

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Functional and sensory properties of phenolic compounds from unripe grapes in vegetable food prototypes

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Bucalossi G., Fia G., Dinnella C., De Toffoli A., Canuti V., Zanoni B., et al. (2020). Functional and sensory properties of phenolic compounds from unripe grapes in vegetable food prototypes. FOOD CHEMISTRY, 315, 1-9 [10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126291].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/805410 since: 2024-05-14

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126291

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1	Functional and sensory properties of phenolic compounds from unripe grapes in vegetable
2	food prototypes
3	
4	Ginevra Bucalossi ^a , Giovanna Fia ^a , Caterina Dinnella ^a , Alessandra De Toffoli ^a , Valentina Canuti ^a ,
5	Bruno Zanoni ^a , Maurizio Servili ^b , Ella Pagliarini ^c , Tullia Gallina Toschi ^d , Erminio Monteleone ^a *
6	
7	^a Department of Agricultural, Food, Environmental and Forestry Sciences and Technologies
8	(DAGRI) ,University of Florence, Piazzale delle Cascine, 18 - 50144 - Firenze, Italy
9	^b Department of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Borgo XX
10	Giugno, 74 - 06121 - Perugia, Italy
11	° Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milan, Via
12	Giovanni Celoria, 2, - 20133 – Milano, Italy
13	^d Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences (DiSTAL), Alma Mater Studiorum - University of
14	Bologna, Italy
15	ginevra.bucalossi@unifi.it, giovanna.fia@unifi.it, caterina.dinnella@unifi.it,
16	alessandra.detoffoli@unifi.it, valentina.canuti@unifi.it, bruno.zanoni@unifi.it,,
17	maurizio.servili@unipg.it, ella.pagliarini@unimi.it, tullia.gallinatoschi@unibo.it
18	
19	* Corresponding author: erminio.monteleone@unifi.it
20	
21	Abstract
22	Unripe grapes (UGs) from thinning are an unexploited source of phenols useful as functional
23	ingredient. However, phenols may negative affect sensory quality of food. Chemical and sensory

24 properties of UG phenols in plant-based foods were not investigated before.

With this aim, an extract from UGs, obtained by a green extraction technique, was used to fortify
three plant-based food models: carbohydrates/acidic pH/sweet - beetroot purée, proteins/neutral
pH/sweet - pea purée and starch/neutral pH - potato purée.
Functional and sensory properties of phenol-enriched foods varied as a function of their

29 composition and original taste. The amount of UG phenols recovered from potato purée was higher

30 than that recovered from beetroot and pea purée, while the antioxidant activity detected in beetroot

31 purée was higher than that in potato and pea purée. Significant variations of sourness, saltiness,

32 bitterness and astringency were induced by UG phenols added to food models. Beetroot purée

resulted more appropriate to counteract the negative sensations induced by UG phenols.

34

35 Keywords: functional food; unripe grapes; polyphenols; antioxidant activity; sourness; sweetness.

36

37 1. Introduction

By-products of the wine industry are rich in phenols and other valuable elements for the human diet 38 such as mineral salts, fibres and vitamins. There are emerging evidences of the potential preventive 39 40 effects of grape polyphenols towards cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and degenerative diseases such as cancer (Guilford & Pezzuto, 2011; Mihaylova, Popova, Alexieva, Krastanov & Lante, 41 2018). The role of phenols from grapes in the prevention of various diseases associated with 42 oxidative stress is primarily related to their antioxidant properties (Guilford & Pezzuto, 2011; 43 Villaño, Fernández-Pachón, Moyá, Troncoso, & García-Parrilla, 2007; Rasines-Perea & Teissedre, 44 2017). 45

46

The sustainability of the winemaking process could be improved by the recovery of high-value
bioactive compounds from by-products. Indeed, extensive studies have been made of the biological
properties, extraction techniques and applications in the food system of phenols from grape pomace,

50 the main by-product of the wine industry (Beres et al., 2017; Yu & Ahmedna, 2013).

Unripe grapes (UGs) discarded during thinning are an undervalued by-product of vineyard
management for the production of high-quality wine (Gatti, Bernizzoni, Civardi, & Poni, 2012;
Keller, Mills, Wample, & Spayd, 2005; Ough Cs, 1984). In unripe berries, the most important
classes of grape antioxidants (phenolic acids, flavan-3-ols, flavonols, anthocyanins, stilbenes and
glutathione) are present to variable extents in function of some factors such as variety, maturity
level and season (Adams, 2006) but their anti

57

58

59 oxidant activity and potential application have received scarce scientific attention (Fia, Gori, Bucalossi, Borghini, & Zanoni, 2018; Tinello & Lante, 2017). Low-quality unripe grapes are 60 processed into various traditional juices and sauces with a low pH and variable levels of antioxidant 61 activity ((Dupas de Matos, Magli, Marangon, Curioni, Pasini & Vincenzi, 2018; Öncül & 62 63 Karabiyikli, 2015). The added value of thinned grapes is higher than the one of other by-products of wine industry that were largely studied and proposed as source of antioxidants. That is because, the 64 thinned grapes have not been exploited to make wine and therefore contain an intact complex of 65 bio-active compounds. Recently, a green extraction technique (i.e. performed without solvents and 66 preservatives) was patented (Fia & Gori, 2016) and applied at an industrial level with the aid of a 67 patented oenological machine (Gori, C., Menichetti, S., & Fia, G. 2014) to obtain an extract from 68 unripe grapes. 69

70

Functional food is essentially a marketing term with different definitions and regulations depending on the country (Henry, 2010). Recently in Europe, there has been a growing interest in functional foods. A scientific consensus document was drafted to develop a science-based approach for the emerging concepts in functional food (Europe, 1999). Foods that have been modified by enrichment with bioactive substances are included in the functional food categories and the health benefits of

phenols, beyond basic nutritional values of plant-based food and beverages containing phenols, are
reported in a recent review (Shahidi & Ambigaipalan, 2015).

Phenols from plant by-products have been proposed as ingredients for functional foods and
beverages preparation to improve their nutritional characteristics (De Toffoli et al., 2019; Torri et
al., 2015; Nirmala, Bisht, Bajwa, & Santosh, 2018; Świeca, Gawlik-Dziki, Sęczyk, Dziki, & Sikora,
2018). Some examples of functional food enriched with phenols from tea and Guava are already
included in the "food for specified health uses" (FOSHU) and regulated as functional food in Japan

83 (Iwatani & Yamamoto, 2019).

In developing a phenol-enriched functional food, two main aspects need to be investigated: the first concerns the phenols' stability after their addition to the food system, affecting the preservation of their biological activities; the second concerns oral sensations, such as astringency, bitterness and sourness, which can arise after the addition of phenols to food and impair the acceptability of the product to consumers.

89

From a sensory point of view, it is well documented that phenolic compounds contribute to the 90 bitter and astringent oral sensation of food and beverages (Hufnagel & Hofmann, 2008) and this 91 significantly affects the preference and choice of phenol-rich vegetable foods (Dinnella, Recchia, 92 Tuorila, & Monteleone, 2011). Monomeric and polymeric phenols have been widely studied 93 because of their contribution to wine sensory perception. Monomeric flavan-3-ols, procyanidin 94 dimers and trimers seem to be involved in the perception of astringency and bitterness in red wine 95 (Peleg, Gacon, Schlich, & Noble, 1999). Several authors have studied the bitterness of polyphenols 96 in red wine, demonstrating that larger molecules tend to be less bitter and more astringent (Peleg et 97 al., 1999). More recently, in reconstruction studies it was observed that the puckering astringent 98 99 offset was caused by a polymeric fraction exhibiting molecular masses above >5 kDa and it was 100 found to be amplified by organic acids (Hufnagel & Hofmann, 2008). Some factors such as pH, acidity, carbohydrate content and saliva characteristics could affect oral sensations (Dinnella, 101

Recchia, Fia, Bertuccioli, & Monteleone, 2009; Fia, Dinnella, Bertuccioli, & Monteleone, 2009; de
Freitas & Mateus, 2012).

104

To mitigate functional phenol's bitter and astringent potential, the naturally occurring interactions 105 phenols/biopolymers in vegetable foods (Zhang et al., 2014) are an effective strategy (De Toffoli et 106 al., 2019). Plant biopolymers can act as a physical barrier for the phenol stimuli utilized, thus 107 hindering their interactions with sensory receptors and saliva. Many factors affect 108 phenol/biopolymer binding, including pH and reagent features such as chemical compositions, 109 structure, and hydrophobic/hydrophilic characteristics (Kroll, Rawel, & Rohn, 2003). Furthermore, 110 several studies have investigated the chemical features of phenol/biopolymer interactions and their 111 consequences on sensory attributes (Jakobek, 2015). 112 113 114 The health effects of phenols depend on the consumed amount and on their bioavailability. The

bioavailability of phenols may vary depending on their bioaccessibility, referred as the release from 115 the food matrix, their stability against several biochemical factors, and their later intestinal 116 absorption (Sengul, Surek & Nilufer-Erdil, 2014). The bioavailability of phenols from many 117 different vegetable sources, including grapes, was systematically studied by Manach, Scalbert, 118 Morand, Rémésy, & Jiménez (2004). In humans, among the most well absorbed phenols there are 119 gallic acid, catechins and quercetin glucosides (Manach et al., 2004). Recently, a phenol extract 120 121 from grape pomace was included in the diet of Wistar rats by Olivero-David et al., (2018). The same authors observed a partial bioavailability of the phenol extract and an improvement in lipid 122 metabolism of rats. 123

During food processing, bioactive compounds may undergo chemical degradation and lose their activities. Thermal processing and long-term storage can lead to a decrease in both polyphenol content and antioxidant activity (Yu & Ahmedna, 2013). Other factors such as pH and interactions with other macromolecular food constituents can affect the chemical stability and antioxidant

128	activity of phenolic compounds (Jakobek, 2015). It is emerging that the bioaccessibility and
129	bioavailability of phenolic compounds are affected by interaction with other macromolecules such
130	as proteins, carbohydrates and lipids. These interactions could give phenolic compounds protection
131	from oxidation during their passage through the gastrointestinal tract (Saura-Calixto, 2011). On the
132	other hand, phenol/protein interactions can lead to a loss of nutritional values due to protein
133	precipitation and enzyme inactivation (Rohn, Petzke, Rawel & Kroll, 2006).
134	
135	Variations in chemical composition, antioxidant activity and sensory profiles in food-base
136	vegetables with added phenols from unripe grapes have never been investigated before.
137	
138	This paper explores the chemical and sensory properties of phenols extracted from UGs and the
139	consequences of phenol/biopolymer interactions on the chemical and sensory properties of plant-
140	base foods. With this aim, three food models with variable macro-compositions in which different
141	phenol/biopolymer interactions might occur were functionalised with an extract from unripe grapes
142	(UGs).

143

144 2. Material & Methods

2.1. UG extract and UG-water solutions preparation

146 The unripe grapes (UGs), cv Merlot, were hand-picked in August 2017 in a commercial vineyard

147 located in Velletri, Rome, Italy. To obtain the UG extract, maceration was performed as previously

148 described by Fia et al. (2018), with some modifications (Fig. S1). After decantation and filtration of

the liquid extract, sugar was eliminated by ultrafiltration, using a spiral wound configuration

150 membrane, with a molecular weight cut-off of 2500 Dalton (General Electrix, Boston,

151 Massachusetts, United States). The liquid extract was dehydrated by lyophilization with the addition

152 of arabic gum (2% w/v) (Nexira Food, Rouen Cedex, France) as a support and stored in

153 polyethylene pouches under vacuum, in a desiccator, at room temperature, protected from the light.

The UG extract (334 g) was diluted in distilled water to a total volume of 1L. This suspension was centrifuged at 1646 g, for 10 min, to eliminate the excess arabic gum. The phenol concentration in the supernatant UG stock solution (SS) was 6.81 g/L. The SS was daily prepared and used to prepare UG-water solutions at different phenol concentrations to be added to the plant-based food models (**Fig. S1**).

The UG-water solutions were filtered through a membrane (Ø 0.45 μm) and the phenolic
compounds were purified using a C18 Sep-pak cartridge (1 g) (Waters, Milan, Italy) before the

161 evaluation of the total polyphenol content.

162

163 2.2 Food models

Three food models were selected on the basis of their composition (Table S1) and taste: beetroot 164 purée (BP) characterized by high carbohydrate content, acidic pH and sweet taste; pea purée (PeP) 165 characterized by high proteins content, neutral pH and sweet taste; potato purée (PoP) characterized 166 by high carbohydrates content and neutral pH. Canned or powdered ingredients produced by large 167 168 food companies were used to prepare the food models, since they are not subject to seasonal restriction and their composition is constant. Purées of beetroot, pea and potato were prepared as 169 following: a) 500 g of peeled and steamed beetroots were blended at maximum speed, for about 1 170 min, using a Kenwood FDM 780 mixer (Kenwood, Treviso, Italy), until it was obtained a 171 homogeneous product; b) 310 g of steamed peas were rinsed under cold water for 30 sec and 172 drained for 30 sec to eliminate the water, then 7 g of water were added and the mix was blended at 173 maximum speed for 2 min in a mixer Kenwood; c) 75 g of dehydrated potatoes were added to 340 g 174 175 of water brought to 80°C and the product was mixed until it became homogeneous, then it was cooled for 30 min before using. Each food model was prepared at five levels of phenol 176 concentration (0.00, 0.21, 0.44, 1.11 and 1.93 g/kg) (Fig. S1). 177

178

2.3. Chemicals

- 180 All solvent and reagents were supplied from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy), except for methanol and
- 181 ethanol which were supplied by Carlo Erba (Milan, Italy). Ultrapure water was obtained using a
- 182 Milli-Q Gradient water purification system (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA).
- 183

184 2.4. Physical-chemical analysis

185 2.4.1 General analysis

186 Total acidity and pH were evaluated according to the methods recommended by the International

187 Organization of Vine and Wine (OIV) (International Organization of Vine and Wine Website,

188 2014).

189 2.4.2. Moisture content and water activity

190 The powder moisture content was determined gravimetrically by drying in a vacuum oven, at 70°C,

until a constant weight was reached (A.O.A.C., 1990). Powder water activity (A_w) was measured

using a Rotronic Hygroskop *DT* hygrometer (Michell Italia Srl, Milan, Italy).

2.4.3. Solubility

194 Water solubility was determined according to (Cano-Chauca, Stringheta, Ramos, & Cal-Vidal,

195 2005). A volume of 100 mL of distilled water was transferred into a blender jar. The sample (1g,

dry basis) was carefully added to the blender while operating at high speed for 5 min. The solution

197 was centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 min. An aliquot of 25 mL of the supernatant was transferred to pre-

198 weighed Petri dishes and immediately oven-dried at 105°C for 5 h. The solubility (%) was

199 calculated by weight difference.

200 2.4.4. Hygroscopicity

201 Hygroscopicity was evaluated following the method described by Callahan et al. (1982), with some

202 modifications. The equilibrium moisture content (EMC) of the samples (1 g, dry basis) was

203 evaluated following storage in desiccators containing saturated salt solutions with a relative

humidity ranging from 8% to 84% at 25°C until a constant weight was reached (approx. 21 days).

The hygroscopicity was expressed as g of adsorbed water per 100 g of dry matter (g/100g dm).

206 2.4.5. Phenol extraction

Extracts were obtained from the food models (FMs) following the method described by Turkmen,
Sari, & Velioglu (2005). For each food matrix, 1 g was homogenized and extracted twice with 4.5
mL of 80% aqueous methanol solution in a mechanical shaker, for 2 h. The mixture was centrifuged
at 13440 g, for 15 min, at room temperature, and the supernatant decanted into polypropylene tubes.
The supernatant was filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper. The extraction procedure was
performed in triplicate.

213 2.4.6. Total polyphenol

The total polyphenols (TP) were quantified according to the Folin-Ciocalteau method (Singleton,

215 Rossi Jr., & Rossi J A Jr., 1965). A Perkin Elmer Lambda 10 spectrophotometer (Waltham, MA,

USA) was used to measure the absorbance of the reaction mixture at 700 nm. A standard curve was

obtained with (+)-catechin solutions at concentrations ranging from 5 to 500 mg/L. The TP was

expressed as mg of (+)-catechin equivalents/L of the UG-water solution or kg of the food model
extracts.

220 2.4.7. Antioxidant activity

221 Antioxidant activity was evaluated by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay (Brand-

222 Williams, Cuvelier, & Berset, 1995). Trolox standard solutions were prepared daily in absolute

ethanol at concentrations ranging from 10 to 600 μmol/L. Antioxidant activity was expressed as

 μ mol of Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC)/L of the solution or kg of the food model

extract.

226 2.4.8. LC-HRMS analysis

227 Analysis of the phenolic compounds and glutathione was performed via liquid chromatography –

high-resolution mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS), according to Fia et al. (2018) using an Accela

229 1250 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled with an LTQ OrbitrapExactive mass spectrometer

230 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source in negative mode.

231 The standards were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy), except for the quercetin 3-O-

glucoside which was supplied by Analytik GmbH (Rülzheim, Germany). Coumaric and ferulic
acids were used as standards for coutaric and fertaric acids due to the lack of reference materials.

234 Data were expressed as mg of phenols/kg of the UGs or food models.

235

236 2.5. Sensory evaluations

The present data were collected as part of a larger study aimed at investigating factors affecting the 237 acceptability of health foods (PRIN 2015: Individual differences in the acceptability of health 238 foods: focus on phenol and fat content). This multisession study consisted of a home questionnaire 239 session and one-on-one testing in a sensory laboratory across two days. This paper will only present 240 a selection of these data. The sensory tests are further detailed in De Toffoli et al. (2019). Two 241 respondent groups were recruited to evaluate the UG extract (Group 1: n=29; 59% females; mean 242 age 27.5 \pm 7.1) or functionalized food prototypes (Group 2: n=27; 70% females; mean age 31.5 \pm 243 9.4). The participants received a gift to compensate for their time. The respondents gave their 244 written informed consent at the beginning of the test according to the principles of the Declaration 245 of Helsinki. In brief, training was performed as described by Monteleone et al., (2017) using the 246 general Labelled Magnitude Scale - gLMS (0: no sensation-100: the strongest imaginable sensation 247 248 of any kind) (Green et al., 2007). Eight water solutions of UG extract were prepared as sensory stimuli with increasing phenol concentration: 0.14, 0.21, 0.30, 0.41, 0.59, 1.11, 1.27 and 1.93 g/L of 249 phenol (Fig. S1). The data were collected using Fizz software (ver.2.51. A86, Biosystèmes, 250 251 Couternon, France).

252

253 2.6. Data analysis

A one-way ANOVA model was used to assess the storage effect on the variation of phenol content and antioxidant activity of the UG extract. Two-way ANOVA models were used to assess the effect of both phenol concentration and replicates on the antioxidant activity in the UG solutions and to assess the effect of both the amount of phenol added and replicates on the recovery of UG phenolsfrom food models.

The UG phenols recovered (recovery %) from the functionalized food samples were calculated as 259 260 the difference between the total phenol content of the functionalized food and that of the nonfunctionalized food, then it was expressed as percentage of the phenols added. Two-way ANOVA 261 models were used to assess the effect of phenol concentration on the intensity of the target 262 sensations in UG solutions and food prototype samples (phenol concentration were used as fixed 263 factor; subjects were considered as random factor). Three-way ANOVA were used to assess the 264 effect of the food matrix on the perceived intensity of the target sensations models (fixed factors: 265 food matrix and phenol concentration; random factor: subjects and interactions). A *p*-value of 0.05 266 was considered as the threshold for statistical significance. 267 Data analysis was performed using XLSTAT statistical software package (Addinsoft - version 268 19.02). 269

270

271 **3. Results**

272

273 *3.1. Physical-chemical characterization*

274 *3.1.1. UG extract*

The solubility of the UG extract was $88.1 \pm 1.2\%$. The moisture content of the UG extract, at 25°C, was $8.1 \pm 0.3\%$ and the water activity was $38.7 \pm 0.1\%$. The adsorption isotherm of the UG extract at 25°C was determined (**Fig. S2**). The experimental data for water activity (A_w) as a function of the moisture content fitted well with the Halsey model (Okos et al., 1992), as follows:

279

280
$$A_w = exp\left(-\frac{B}{n_s^A}\right)$$
 $(r^2 = 0.98)$

281

where
$$n_s$$
 (g water/g dry matter), $A = 0.039$ and $B = 1.461$

The powder displayed little hygroscopic behaviour up to A_w values < 0.80, while for A_w values greater than 0.85 the hygroscopicity increased exponentially.

285

The total phenol content of the UG extract was 20403 ± 943 mg/kg. The total phenol content of the UG extract was evaluated monthly until to nine months of storage. After this period, the UG extract displayed the same phenolic concentration as the outset. No significant differences (p = 0.05) were assessed among phenolic content values during storage.

290

291 The phenolic composition of the UG extract was analysed by LC-HRMS. Nineteen phenolic

compounds were identified in the UG extract (Table 1). Phenolic acids were the most abundant

class of phenolic compounds and they accounted for 89% of the amount of phenols identified in the

UG extract. Caftaric acid accounted for 85% of the phenolic acid content. Flavonols, flavan-3-ols,

295 procyanidins, trans-resveratrol and 2-S-glutathionyl fertaric acid accounted for the remaining 11%

of the amount of phenols detected in the UG extract.

297

The antioxidant activity of the UG extract was 33829 ± 949 TEAC µmol/kg, and the specific activity of the phenols was 1.66 ± 0.04 TEAC µmol/mg. The antioxidant activity of the UG extract was evaluated monthly, up to nine months of storage. After this period, the antioxidant activity of the UG extract remained at 99.4%. No significant differences (p = 0.05) were assessed in the antioxidant activity values at different times of storage.

303 3.1.2. UG water solutions

The total phenol content of the stock solution was 6.81 ± 0.04 g/L. The stock solution was

characterized for total acidity (7.6 \pm 0.26 g/L as tartaric acid) and pH (3.21 \pm 0.02). The solutions

306 from the UG extract were tested for antioxidant activity at increasing phenol concentration levels

307 (0.14, 0.21, 0.30, 0.41, 0.59, 1.11, 1.27 and 1.93 g/L) (Fig. S3). The UG phenol concentration

significantly affected the level of antioxidant activity of the water solutions ($p \le 0.001$) while the

replicates were not significant (p < 0.05). A significant positive relationship (r = 0.978) was found between the total phenol content and the antioxidant activity of the UG water solutions.

311

312 3.1.3. Functionalized food models

After the addition of an increasing amount (0.00, 0.21, 0.44, 1.11 and 1.93 g/kg) of UG phenols to the food models, the phenol concentration in the FM extracts was determined (**Fig. 1A**). The nonfunctionalized food models showed different phenolic content, with the highest level detected in the beetroot purée and the lowest in the potato purée. The amount of phenols added to the food models significantly affected the concentration of phenols found in the FM extracts ($p \le 0.05$).

318

The phenols recovered from food models significantly varied as a function of both the food model and the amount of phenols added. The recovered amount ranged from 27.7% to 81.3% in the beetroot purée, from 34.0% to 53.6% in the pea purée and from 52.7% to 86.4% in the potato purée. The mean phenol value recovered with the highest added amount of phenols was highest in the potato purée (68.7%), followed by the beetroot purée (57.8%), and the pea purée (43.3%). (**Fig. 1B**).

325

The food samples functionalized with the highest amount of phenols (1.93 g/kg) were extracted and 326 the extracts analysed via LC-HRMS to evaluate their phenol composition. The FM extracts 327 contained almost all of the phenolic compounds identified in the original UG extract, except for 328 kaempferol-3-O-glucoside, quercetin-3-O-hexoside and 2-S-glutathionyl caftaric acid (Table 1). 329 Caftaric acid was the most abundant phenolic compound assayed in the FM extracts of the three 330 food models. Ferulic acid was not detected in the potato purée. The phenol profiles of the food 331 model functionalized with 1.93 g/kg of UG phenols were compared to the profile of the UG extract 332 (Fig. 1C). The relative amounts of each phenolic class in functionalized beetroot purée was similar 333 to that observed in the UG extract, while slight differences were observed in the functionalized pea 334

and potato purées. Phenolic acids represented the most abundant class of phenols in the UG extract
(90.3%) and the beetroot purée almost retained this same high percentage (88.9%), while in the pea
and potato purées a slight loss was observed (80.6 and 83.9%, respectively). The proportion of other
phenolic classes (flavonols, flavan-3-ols, procyanidins and stilbenes) was slightly higher in the pea
and potato purées compared to the figure observed in the UG extract and the beetroot purée.

340

The antioxidant activity of the food models with an increasing added amount (0.00, 0.21, 0.44, 1.11 341 and 1.93 g/kg) of UG phenols was determined after extraction (Fig. 2A). The non-functionalized 342 beetroot and pea purées had similar values of antioxidant activity while it was much lower in the 343 potato purée. A significant increase in antioxidant activity was observed in the beetroot purée as 344 function of the UG phenol concentration. No significant difference was observed between the 345 antioxidant activity of the pea purée functionalized with 0.44 or 1.11 g/kg of UG phenols. 346 The difference between the antioxidant activity of functionalized food and that of food without 347 added phenol was calculated to assess the contribution of UG phenols to the food models' final 348 antioxidant activity. The relationship between the antioxidant activity of UG phenols in the water 349 solution and in the FM extracts is shown in Figure 2B. The antioxidant activity was always 350 significantly higher in the extracts of beetroot purée compared to that detected in the potato and pea 351 purée extracts. The mean antioxidant activity was 3794 µmol/kg in the BP, 1722 µmol/kg in the 352 PoP and 1127 µmol/kg in the PeP extracts. 353

354

355 3.2. Sensory evaluation

356 3.2.1. UG extract solutions

The phenol concentration of the UG solutions significantly affected the intensity of the target
sensations (Fig. 3A and Table S2). According to the F values, the increase in phenol concentration
had the strongest effect on sourness while it influenced the other target sensations much less.
Significant intensity increases were observed in the samples with phenols from the UG extract

compared to the sample without added phenol (0.00 g/L). Sourness increased from weak to strong
 across the phenol concentration range. Bitterness, astringency and saltiness showed limited intensity
 increases, from barely detectable to weak.

Four concentration levels, which cover the whole range of significant variations of intensity of
target sensations, were selected to fortify the vegetable matrices: 0.00, 0.21, 0.41, 1.11 and 1.93
g/L.

367 3.2.2. Functionalized foods

The intensity of target sensations significantly changed in all of the three vegetable prototypes as a 368 function of the increasing phenol concentrations, the only exception being sweetness in the PoP 369 (Table 2). Phenol concentration induced the strongest effect on sourness in all of the three food 370 models as showed by F-values. The intensity of the other sensations was influenced by both the 371 increase in phenol concentration and, to a lesser extent, by the macro-composition of the matrix. All 372 of the sensations were barely detectable in the beetroot purée sample without added phenol, while in 373 the rest of the samples, sourness increased from weak to strong, sweetness showed a significant 374 decrease from moderate to weak, while saltiness, astringency and bitterness increased slightly from 375 barely detectable to weak (Fig. 3 B-Beetroot purée). The variation in intensity of the target 376 sensation in the pea purée as a function of the phenol concentration was similar to that observed in 377 the beetroot purée (Fig. 3 C-Pea purée). The increase in sourness from barely detectable to 378 moderate was associated with a significant decrease in sweetness, from moderate to weak, while the 379 rest of the sensations were perceived at a weak intensity or even lower. In the potato purée sample 380 without added phenols, all the sensations were rated at a barely detectable/weak intensity, while 381 only sourness showed a remarkable increase from barely detectable to strong as the phenol 382 concentration increased (Fig. 3 D-Potato purée). 383

Bitterness, astringency and saltiness were not further investigated since these sensations were
marginally affected by addition of phenols and perceived at a weak intensity across the whole range
of concentrations.

Sourness and sweetness perceived in the food functionalized at different UG concentration were 388 compared to further explore the effect of food macro-composition on UG phenol sensory properties. 389 While the vegetable matrix and phenol concentration significantly affected the intensity of sourness 390 and sweetness, the vegetable matrix*concentration interaction was never significant (Table S3). 391 Significant differences were found upon comparing sourness from the three matrices at phenol 392 concentrations of 0.41, 1.11 and 1.93 g/L. The highest sourness intensity was rated in the PoP, 393 whereas no significant differences were found between the BP and PeP (Fig. 4-A). Sweetness was 394 rated as more intense in the BP and PeP than in the PoP across the 0.0 to 0.41 g/kg concentration 395 range of spiked phenols. At the highest concentration levels, sweetness was perceived at the highest 396 intensity in the BP (Fig. 4-B). 397

398

387

399 4. Discussion

400

Physical-chemical characterization was carried out to evaluate the attitude of UG extract towards
rehydration and stability during storage, in terms of phenolic content and antioxidant activity. The
solubility value of the UG extract was similar to those (86% - 88%) obtained by Kuck & Noreña
(2016) on grape skin extracts lyophilized with arabic gum and partially hydrolysed guar gum as
supports.

406

The moisture content and water activity value of the UG extract were in agreement with the results
obtained on grape skin extracts by Kuck & Noreña (2016). The UG extract showed similar
hygroscopic behaviour to the absorption isotherm of an aqueous solution of salts and simple sugars.
Therefore, the powder has to be protected from humidity during storage to avoid water absorption,
thus preserving the extract's stability.

412

The total phenol content of the UG extract was similar to that obtained by Kuck & Noreña (2016) 413 414 on aqueous extracts of grape skin microencapsulated with different agents while the antioxidant activity was slightly lower. In general, the phenol content and antioxidant activity of extracts vary 415 mainly depending on the origin of grape by-products and extraction conditions (Trigo, Alexandre, 416 Saraiva, & Pintado, 2019). Indeed, when ethanol or methanol were used for the extraction, the 417 phenolic content and antioxidant activity of the extracts were higher than those detected in aqueous 418 extracts (Trigo et al., 2019; Tournour, Segundo, Magalhães, Costa & Cunha, 2017). After nine 419 months, the high percentage of both residual phenols and antioxidant activity in the UG extract 420 indicated that the adopted storage conditions were suitable to protect the UG phenols from 421 degradation. 422

423

When a different amount of the UG phenols was used to enrich the food models, the increase of 424 phenol concentration in the FM extracts was expected. Similar results were obtained by other 425 authors who studied the addition of phenolic extracts from different by-products to some food and 426 beverages (Trigo et al., 2019). Chemical-physical characteristics of food models explored in these 427 study significantly affect phenol recovery thus indicating clear reactivity differences between UG 428 phenols and food components. The lowest amount of phenols was recovered from the protein-rich 429 model (pea purée). A similar effect of the interaction phenol/biopolymers on the bioactivity of 430 phenols from olive mill waste waters in plant-based food has already been observed by other 431 authors (De Toffoli et al., 2019). 432

The formation of phenol/protein aggregates significantly lowers the phenol bio-activity both in terms of extractability from raw material and antioxidant activity (Ozdal et al., 2013). Proteins bind plant polyphenols through hydrophobic and hydrogen interactions; the preferred sites of interaction plant phenol/food protein in *in vitro* conditions are the proline-rich regions of leguminous proteins characterized by high basic-residue contents as well as open and flexible structures (Kroll et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2014).

Phenol chemical structure, size and composition, including number of OH groups, play an
important role in phenol/protein interactions, and phenolic compounds with a low molecular weight
are inefficient to bond proteins (de Freitas & Mateus, 2012). It is known that upon extraction, the
acidic condition of grape juice promotes the depolymerization of proanthocyanidins (Vidal,
Cartalade, Souquet, Fulcrand, & Cheynier, 2002). However, these reactions begin during
maceration and proceed slowly in wine, but they have never been highlighted in grape juice.

The quite high percentages of UG phenols recovered, mainly in the carbohydrate-rich potato and beetroot purée food models, indicated that moderate/weak chemical interactions take place among UG phenols and food components. These findings, associated with the significant increase in antioxidant activity detected in the functionalized food models after the addition of UG phenols, indicate that most of the potential biological activity and the extractability of UG phenols were maintained after blending.

Phenolic compounds can bridge or cross-link with polysaccharides, and a large fraction of the not
extractable polyphenols consist phenol associated with polysaccharides (Pérez-Jiménez, DíazRubio, & Saura-Calixto, 2013). The consequences of phenol/carbohydrate interactions on phenol
biological activity depends on the chemical characteristics of both phenols and carbohydrates
(Zhang et al., 2014).

Other authors have described a competition between the arabic gum and other carbohydrates and 457 the proteins to bind to the tannin (Gonçalves, Mateus, & de Freitas, 2011). The mechanism was 458 previously investigated by tasting the influence of several carbohydrates on the formation of 459 polyphenols/protein complexes. Polygalacturonic acid, arabic gum and pectin prevented the 460 association of procyanidin B3 with trypsin, and that of salivary proteins with grape seed 461 procyanidins. The interruption of polyphenol-protein association by carbohydrates can prevent 462 some of the negative effects of these complexes, such as enzyme activity inhibition, and it can 463 influence the perceived astringency of some food products. 464

The antioxidant activity of UG phenols was influenced by the food composition. The highest level 466 of antioxidant activity was found in the carbohydrate-rich/acidic pH beetroot purée. The antiradical 467 capacity of phenols depends on several factors such as their concentration and structures, and the 468 physical-chemical characteristics of the solvent. The role of acidity in the kinetics of phenol/radical 469 reactions was previously investigated by (Musialik, Kuzmicz, Pawcowski, & Litwinienko, 2009). In 470 general, it is known that deprotonated flavonoids are more potent electron donors and are better 471 radical scavengers than neutral molecules. However, the ability of phenols to scavenge reactive 472 oxygen species such as peroxyl and hydroxyl radicals is still far from being fully understood. 473 Valgimigli et al. (2009) described an unexpected dramatic acceleration of phenol-peroxyl radical 474 reaction with the addition of acid. The best performance, in terms of antioxidant activity, of UG 475 phenols when added to beetroot purée could be due to the acidic pH of the beetroot food model. 476 477

Sensory profiles of the three matrices were significantly affected by the addition of UG extracts. 478 Sourness intensity increased as a function of the UG phenol concentration. The natural sweetness 479 480 of the beetroot and pea purées was reduced by the spiked phenols due to the intermodal interaction between sour and bitter tastes, which induced the suppression of perceived sweetness as the 481 sourness intensity increased (Keast & Breslin, 2002). The bitterness, saltiness and astringency 482 intensities were significantly modified by the UG extract, but the extent of these effects appears 483 484 marginal since these sensations are perceived at a weak intensity across the whole range of concentrations. 485

The different compositions of the vegetable matrices affect the UG phenols' contribution to 486 sourness. Furthermore, the observed increasing intensity range differed across the series of samples 487 indicating that their macro-component plays an active role in modulating the sensory impact of UG 488 phenols. 489

465

490

491 **5.** Conclusions

492

An extract from unripe grapes showed suitable physical-chemical characteristics for its inclusion in 493 plant-based foods. Food composition influenced the functional and sensory properties of phenols 494 from unripe grapes. The strongest effect in terms of recovered phenol and antioxidant activity was 495 observed in protein-based food. The use of matrices high in carbohydrates, with acidic pH and 496 characterized by sweet taste appears a suitable strategy to counteract the impact of the negative 497 sensory properties of added phenol on plant-based food. The use of phenolic extracts from unripe 498 grapes can be useful to improve potential health benefits when formulating plant-based functional 499 food. 500

501

502 Acknowledgements

503 This research was support by the Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell' Università e della Ricerca (MIUR),

504 ITALY - Research Project : 20158YJW3W Programmi di Ricerca Scientifica di Rilevante Interesse

505 Nazionale – PRIN 2015: "Individual differences in the acceptability of health foods: focus on

506 phenol and fat content".

507507

508 References

- Adams, D. O. (2006). Phenolics and ripening in grape berries. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 57(3), 249–256.
- A.O.A.C., 1990. Official Methods of Analysis, 14th ed. Association of Official Analytical
 Chemists, Washington, D.C. Bhandari.
- Beres, C., Costa, G. N. S., Cabezudo, I., da Silva-James, N. K., Teles, A. S. C., Cruz, A. P. G.,
 Freitas, S. P. (2017). Towards integral utilization of grape pomace from winemaking process:
 A review. Waste Management, 68, 581–594. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WASMAN.2017.07.017
- Brand-Williams, W., Cuvelier, M. E., & Berset, C. L. W. T. (1995). Use of free radical method to
 evaluate antioxidant activity. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, 28, 25–30.
- 519 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438(95)80008-5

- Callahan, J. C., Cleary, G. W., Elefant, M., Kaplan, G., Kensler, T., & Nash, R. A. (1982).
 Equilibrium Moisture Content of Pharmaceutical Excipients. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*, 8(3), 355–369. https://doi.org/10.3109/03639048209022105
- Cano-Chauca, M., Stringheta, P. C., Ramos, A. M., & Cal-Vidal, J. (2005). Effect of the carriers on
 the microstructure of mango powder obtained by spray drying and its functional
 characterization. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 6(4), 420–428.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2005.05.003
- de Freitas, V., & Mateus, N. (2012). Protein/Polyphenol Interactions: Past and Present
 Contributions. Mechanisms of Astringency Perception. *Current Organic Chemistry*, 16(6),
 724-746. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/138527212799958002
- De Toffoli, A., Monteleone, E., Bucalossi, G., Veneziani, G., Fia, G., Servili, M., Zanoni, B., 530 Pagliarini, E., Gallina Toschi, T., & Dinnella, C. (2019). Sensory and chemical profile of a 531 532 phenolic extract from olive mill waste waters in plant-base food with varied macrocomposition. Food Research International, 119(October 2018), 236-243. 533 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.02.005 534
- Dinnella, C., Recchia, A., Fia, G., Bertuccioli, M., & Monteleone, E. (2009). Saliva Characteristics
 and Individual Sensitivity to Phenolic Astringent Stimuli. *Chemical Senses*, *34*, 295–304.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjp003
- Dinnella, C., Recchia, A., Tuorila, H., & Monteleone, E. (2011). Individual astringency
 responsiveness affects the acceptance of phenol-rich foods. *Appetite*, 56(3), 633–642.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.02.017
- Dupas de Matos, A., Magli, M., Marangon, M., Curioni, A., Pasini, G., & Vincenzi, S. (2018). Use
 of verjuice as an acidic salad seasoning ingredient: evaluation by consumers' liking and CheckAll-That-Apply. *European Food Research and Technology*, 244, 2117-2125.
- Europe, I. (1999). Scientific Concepts of Functional Foods in Europe Consensus Document. *British Journal of Nutrition*, *81*, S1-S27.
- Fia, G., Dinnella, C., Bertuccioli, M., & Monteleone, E. (2009). Prediction of grape polyphenol
 astringency by means of a fluorimetric micro-plate assay. *Food Chemistry*, *113*(1), 325–330.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.07.058
- Fia, G., Gori, C., Bucalossi, G., Borghini, F., & Zanoni, B. (2018). A naturally occurring
 antioxidant complex from unripe grapes: The case of sangiovese (v. Vitis vinifera). *Antioxidants*, 7(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox7020027
- Fia, G., & Gori, C. (2016) Process for the extraction of antioxidants from plant matrices (Italian
 Patent number 102016000022015).
- 554 Gatti, M., Bernizzoni, F., Civardi, S., & Poni, S. (2012). Effects of cluster thinning and

- preflowering leaf removal on growth and grape composition in cv. Sangiovese. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 63(3), 325–332. https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2012.11118
- Gonçalves, R., Mateus, N., & de Freitas, V. (2011). Inhibition of α-amylase activity by condensed
 tannins. *Food Chemistry*, *125*(2), 665–672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.09.061
- Green, B. G., Higgins, J., Cowart, B., Rankin, K., Dalton, P., & Shaffer, G. (2007). Evaluating the
 'Labeled Magnitude Scale' for Measuring Sensations of Taste and Smell. *Chemical Senses*,
 21(3), 323–334. https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/21.3.323
- Gori, C., Menichetti, S., & Fia, G. (2014). Multi-functional oenological machine and use in the
 oenological production chain (European Patent number 2957627).
- Guilford, J. M., & Pezzuto, J. M. (2011). Wine and health: A review. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 62(4), 471–486. https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2011.11013
- Henry, C. J. (2010). Functional foods. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *64*, 657–659.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2010.101
- Hufnagel, J. C., & Hofmann, T. (2008). Quantitative Reconstruction of the Nonvolatile
 Sensometabolome of a Red Wine. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56, 9190–
 9199.
- Iwatani, S., & Yamamoto, N. (2019). Functional food products in Japan : A review. *Food Science and Human Wellness*. 8, 96–101.
- Jakobek, L. (2015). Interactions of polyphenols with carbohydrates, lipids and proteins. *Food Chemistry*, 175, 556–567. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.12.013
- Keller, M., Mills, L. J., Wample, R. L., & Spayd, S. E. (2005). Cluster thinning effects on three
 deficit-irrigated Vitis vinifera cultivars. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 56(2),
 91–103. https://doi.org/10.1071/CH9540055
- Kroll, J., Rawel, H. M., & Rohn, S. (2007). Reactions of Plant Phenolics with Food Proteins and
 Enzymes under Special Consideration of Covalent Bonds. *Food Science and Technology Research*, 9(3), 205–218. https://doi.org/10.3136/fstr.9.205
- Kuck, L. S., & Noreña, C. P. Z. (2016). Microencapsulation of grape (*Vitis labrusca* var. Bordo)
 skin phenolic extract using gum arabic, polydextrose, and partially hydrolyzed guar gum as
 encapsulating agents. *Food Chemistry*, 194, 569–576.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.08.066
- Manach, C., Scalbert, A., Morand, C., Rémésy, C., & Jiménez, L. (2004). Polyphenols: food
 sources and bioavailability. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *79*, 727-747.
- 587 Mihaylova, D., Popova, A., Alexieva, I., Krastanov, A., & Lante, A. (2018). Polyphenols as suitable
 588 control for obesity and diabetes. *The Open Biotechnology Journal*, *12*, 2019-228.
- 589 Monteleone, E., Spinelli, S., Dinnella, C., Endrizzi, I., Laureati, M., Pagliarini, E., ... Tesini, F.

- 590 (2017). Exploring influences on food choice in a large population sample: The Italian Taste
 591 project. Food Quality and Preference, 59, 123–140.
 592 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2017.02.013
- Musialik, M., Kuzmicz, R., Pawcowski, T. S., & Litwinienko, G. (2009). Acidity of HydroxylGrps:
 Overlooked Influence on Antioxidant Properties of Flavonoid. Journal of Organic Chemistry,
 74(7), 2699–2709. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo802716v
- Nirmala, C., Bisht, M. S., Bajwa, H. K., & Santosh, O. (2018). Bamboo: A rich source of natural
 antioxidants and its applications in the food and pharmaceutical industry. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 77, 91–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.05.003
- 599 Olivero-David, R., Ruiz-Roso, M., Caporaso, N., Perez-Olleros, L., De Ias Heras, N., Lahera, V., &
 Ruiz-Roso, B. (2018). In vivo bioavailability of polyphenols from grape by-product extracts,
 and effect on lipemia of normocholesterolemic Wistar rats. Journal of the Science of Food and *Agriculture*, 98, 5581-5590.
- Öncül, N., & Karabiyikli, Ş. (2015). Factors Affecting the Quality Attributes of Unripe Grape
 Functional Food Products. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, *39*(6), 689–695.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12175
- Ough C. S., Nagaoka, R. (1984). Effect of Cluster Thinning and Vineyard Yields on Grape and
 Wine Composition and Wine Quality of Cabernet Sauvignon. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 35(1), 30–34.
- Ozdal, T., Capanoglu, E., & Altay, F. (2013). A review on protein-phenolic interactions and
 associated changes. *Food Research International*, 51(2), 954–970.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.02.009
- Peleg, H., Gacon, K., Schlich, P., & Noble, A. C. (1999). *Bitterness and astringency of flavan-3-ol monomers , dimers and trimers.* 1128, 1123–1128.
- Pérez-Jiménez, J., Díaz-Rubio, M. E., & Saura-Calixto, F. (2013). Non-extractable polyphenols, a
 major dietary antioxidant: Occurrence, metabolic fate and health effects. *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 26(2), 118–129. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954422413000097
- 617 Rasines-Perea, Z., & Teissedre, P. L. (2017). Grape Polyphenols' effects in human cardiovascular
 618 diseases and diabetes. *Molecules*, 22(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22010068
- 619 Sengul, H., Surek, E., & Nilufer-Erdil, D. (2014). Investigating the effect of food matrix and food
- 620 component on bioaccessibility of pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) phenolics and anthocyanins
- using an *in-vitro* gastrointestinal digestion model. *Food Research International*, 62, 10691079.
- Shahidi, F., & Ambigaipalan, P. (2015). Phenolics and polyphenolics in foods, beverages and
 spices: Antioxidant activity and health effects. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 18, 820–897.

625 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2015.06.018

- Singleton, V. L., Rossi Jr., J. A., & Rossi J A Jr. (1965). Colorimetry of Total Phenolics with
 Phosphomolybdic-Phosphotungstic Acid Reagents. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 16(3), 144–158. https://doi.org/10.12691/ijebb-2-1-5
- Sengul, H., Surek, E., & Nilufer-erdil, D. (2014). Investigating the effects of food matrix and food
 components on bioaccessibility of pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) phenolics and
 anthocyanins using an in-vitro gastrointestinal digestion model. *Food Research International*,
 62, 1069–1079. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.05.055
- Świeca, M., Gawlik-Dziki, U., Sęczyk, Ł., Dziki, D., & Sikora, M. (2018). Interactions of green
 coffee bean phenolics with wheat bread matrix in a model of simulated in vitro digestion. *Food Chemistry*, 258(March), 301–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.03.081
- Tinello, F., & Lante, A. (2017). Evaluation of antibrowning and antioxidant activities in unripe
 grapes recovered during bunch thinning. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*,
 23(1), 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12256
- Trigo, J. P., Alexandre, E. M. C., Saraiva, J. A., & Pintado M. E. (2019). High value-added
 compounds from fruit and vegetable by-products Characterization , bioactivities , and
 application in the development of novel food products food products. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 0(0), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1572588
- Torri, L., Piochi, M., Marchiani, R., Zeppa, G., Dinnella, C., & Monteleone, E. (2015). A sensoryand consumer-based approach to optimize cheese enrichment with grape skin powders. Journal
 of Dairy Science, 99(1), 194–204. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9922
- 646 Tournour, H., Segundo, M. A., Magalhães, L. M. Costa, A. S. G., & Cunha, L. M. (2017). Effect of Touriga nacional grape extract on characteritics of mechanically deboned chicken meat kept 647 Journal of Food Process 40, 1-10. under frozen storage. Engineering, 648 649 https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpe.12434
- Turkmen, N., Sari, F., & Velioglu, Y. S. (2005). The effect of cooking methods on total phenolics
 and antioxidant activity of selected green vegetables. *Food Chemistry*, 93(4), 713-718.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.12.038
- Valgimigli, L., Amorati, R., Petrucci, S., Pedulli, G. F., Hu, D., Hanthorn, J. J., & Pratt, D. A.
 (2009). Unexpected Acid Catalysis in Reactions of Peroxyl Radicals with Phenols.
 Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 48(44), 8348–8351.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200903360
- Vidal, S., Cartalade, D., Souquet, J.-M., Fulcrand, H., & Cheynier, V. (2002). Changes in
 Proanthocyanidin Chain Length in Winelike Model Solutions. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 50(8), 2261–2266. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf011180e

- Villaño, D., Fernández-Pachón, M. S., Moyá, M. L., Troncoso, A. M., & García-Parrilla, M. C.
 (2007). Radical scavenging ability of polyphenolic compounds towards DPPH free radical. *Talanta*, 71(1), 230–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2006.03.050
- 663 Yu, J., & Ahmedna, M. (2013). Functional components of grape pomace: Their composition,
 biological properties and potential applications. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 48(2), 221–237. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2012.03197.x
- Zhang, H., Yu, D., Sun, J., Liu, X., Jiang, L., Guo, H., & Ren, F. (2014). Interaction of plant 666 food macronutrients: characterisation phenols with and nutritional-physiological 667 consequences. Nutrition Research Reviews, 27(01), 1–15. 668 https://doi.org/10.1017/s095442241300019x 669
- 670

671 Figure legend

Figure 1. Total phenols (A) of food models, mean values of UG phenols recovered (B) from

beetroot purée (BP), pea purée (PeP) and potato purée (PoP) functionalized with increasing amounts

674 (0.00, 0.21, 0.44, 1.11 and 1.93 g/kg of food) of phenols and percentage of each phenolic class (C)

detected in the UG extract (UG ext) and food models functionalized with 1.93 g/kg phenols from

676 UG extract. The bars represent standard deviation. Different letters represent significant different

- 677 values ($p \le 0.001$).
- 678

Figure 2. Antioxidant activity (A) of beetroot purée (BP), pea purée (PeP) and potato purée (PoP) functionalized with increasing amounts of phenols (0, 0.21, 0.44, 1.11 and 1.93 g/kg of food) from UG extract and antioxidant activity (B) of UG phenols in water solution *vs* antioxidant activity in the FM extracts. The bars represent standard deviation. Different letters represent significant different values ($p \le 0.001$).

684

685 **Figure 3.** Mean intensity of target sensations (A) in the UG solutions with increasing phenol 686 concentration and food models (B, C and D) functionalized with increasing concentrations of 687 phenols from UG extract. The bars represent standard error.

- **Figure 4.** Effect of the vegetable matrix on the perceived intensity of sourness (A) and sweetness
- (B) in foods spiked with different concentrations of phenols from UG extract. Different letters
- 691 represent significant different values ($p \le 0.038$).

693	Table 1. Phenol profile of the UG extract and phenols detected in the FM extracts. Beetroot purée
694	(BP), pea purée (PeP) and potato purée (PoP) functionalized with 1.93 g/kg of phenols from the UG
695	extract.

Compound	mg/kg					
	UG extract	BP*	PeP*	PoP*		
Phenolic acid						
Caffeic acid	11.0 ± 0.4	1.04 ± 0.07 $^{\circ}$	1.55 ± 0.14 $^{\rm a}$	$1.28\pm0.14~^{\rm b}$		
Caftaric acid	704 ± 33	48.7 ± 1.2 a	$35.7\pm6.5\ b$	$36.5\pm4.0\ b$		
Coumaric acid	19.6 ± 0.6	$1.80\pm0.13\ ^{b}$	2.30 ± 0.12 $^{\rm a}$	$1.79\pm0.14~^{b}$		
Coutaric acid	34.3 ± 1.1	2.31 ± 0.17 $^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.03\pm0.18~^{ab}$	1.81 ± 0.15 $^{\rm b}$		
Ferulic acid	4.63 ± 0.59	2.51 ± 0.04 $^{\rm a}$	$0.44\pm0.03~^{\text{b}}$	nd		
Fertaric acid	52.0 ± 2.0	$3.44 \pm 0.10 \text{ a}$	$3.54\pm0.28\ a$	3.71 ± 0.19 a		
Gallic acid	1.63 ± 0.03	$0.03\pm0.01^{\text{ b}}$	0.24 ± 0.02^{a}	$0.05\pm0.01~^{b}$		
Flavonols						
Isorhamnetin	1.41 ± 0.03	$0.05\pm0.01^{\ b}$	$0.09\pm0.01~^{\rm a}$	0.06 ± 0.02^{b}		
Kaempferol	0.78 ± 0.04	$0.06\pm0.01~^{a}$	$0.06\pm0.01^{\ a}$	$0.0\ \pm 0.01\ ^{a}$		
Kaempferol-3-O-glucoside	0.54 ± 0.03	nd	nd	nd		
Myricetin	3.79 ± 0.11	$0.39\pm0.03^{\ b}$	$0.47\pm0.04{}^{\text{a}}$	$0.45\pm0.03~^{ab}$		
Quercetin	14.0 ± 0.4	$1.26\pm0.11^{\text{ b}}$	$1.48\pm0.13^{\;ab}$	$1.57\pm0.14^{\rm \ a}$		
Quercetin-3-O-hexoside	1.32 ± 0.08	nd	nd	nd		
Flavan-3-ols						
(+)-Catechin	13.6 ± 0.8	1.23 ± 0.07 $^{\rm c}$	2.28 ± 0.12 $^{\rm a}$	$1.51\pm0.11~^{b}$		
(-)-Epicatechin	8.23 ± 0.29	0.70 ± 0.03 $^{\circ}$	$1.09\pm0.08~^{\rm a}$	$0.83\pm0.05~^{\rm b}$		
Procyanidins						
Procyanidin B1	4.55 ± 0.19	$0.44\pm0.04~^{b}$	0.56 ± 0.04 $^{\rm a}$	$0.47\pm0.06~^{ab}$		
Procyanidin B2	9.74 ± 0.37	1.13 ± 0.05 $^{\rm c}$	$1.66\pm0.05~^{\rm a}$	1.33 ± 0.07 $^{\text{b}}$		
Stilbenes						
Trans-resveratrol	31.3 ± 1.6	$2.18\pm0.13^{\text{ b}}$	$3.33\pm0.48^{^a}$	$2.36\pm0.36^{\text{ b}}$		
2-S-Glutathionyl caftaric acid	16.8 ± 0.6	nd	nd	nd		

697Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n=3); nd, not detected. Different letters represent698significant different values ($p \le 0.001$) among the columns.

Table 2. Two-way ANOVA mixed model (random effect: assessors): phenol concentration effect
 on intensity of target sensations in food models. Mean, F and p values.

702

			Concentration	on of phenols f	from UG (g/kg	()	
			0.00	0.21	0.41	1.11	1.93
	F	р					
Bitterness							
Beetroot Purée	4.92	0.0011	0.97 b	1.34 b	0.62 b	1.34 b	3.31 a
Pea Purée	6.78	< 0.0001	1.28 b	1.31 b	1.41 b	3.72 a	5.28 a
Potato Purée	2.53	0.0445	2.61 b	3.00 b	3.25 b	4.11 ab	5.46 a
Sourness							
Beetroot Purée	26.22	< 0.0001	2.38 c	3.07 c	4.41 c	13.86 b	21.86 a
Pea Purée	39.02	< 0.0001	3.48 b	3.34 b	5.62 b	16.31 a	19.72 a
Potato Purée	48.39	< 0.0001	3.07 e	8.54 d	13.46 c	20.43 b	27.68 a
Saltiness							
Beetroot Purée	4.85	0.0012	1.17 b	1.38 b	2.38 b	2.86 ab	4.55 a
Pea Purée	3.63	0.0081	4.52 c	4.31 c	5.79 bc	7.24 ab	8.55 a
Potato Purée	5.78	0.0003	2.29 bc	1.96 c	3.89 bc	4.00 b	6.14 a
Sweetness							
Beetroot Purée	3.07	0.0194	16.31 a	17.79 a	15.21 ab	13.83 ab	11.28 b
Pea Purée	10.01	< 0.0001	12.72 a	13.69 a	11.41 a	7.31 b	5.52 b
Potato Purée	1.56	0.1865	4.18	3.21	3.43	2.36	2.54
Astringency							
Beetroot Purée	4.64	0.0017	4.31 bc	4.07 c	31 c	7.38 a	6.34 ab
Pea Purée	4.16	0.0035	5.48 bc	3.72 c	3.97 bc	6.76 ab	8.72 a
Potato Purée	6.01	0.0001	2.86 c	4.93 bc	6.86 ab	7.64 a	8.43 a

703 Different letters indicate significantly different values ($p \le 0.05$).

704

705 Highlights

- A strategy was outlined for the exploitation of high-quality unripe grapes
- The food composition affected both the phenol recovered and antioxidant activity
- The highest recovery of phenols was from the starch/neutral pH food model
- The highest antioxidant activity was from the carbohydrates/acidic pH food model
- The models' sensory properties are modulated by phenol content and food composition
- 711
- 712
- 713
- 714

715	Declaration of interests
716	
717	oxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships
718	that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
719	
720	□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as

- 721 722 potential competing interests:

Ginevra Bucalossi: Investigation, Visualization
Giovanna Fia: Writing- Original draft preparation
Caterina Dinnella: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing
Erminio Monteleone : Conceptualization, Methodology, Funding acquisition
Alessandra De Toffoli: Investigation, Visualization
Valentina Canutia: Investigation
Bruno Zanoni: Writing - Review & Editing
Maurizio Servili: Investigation
Ella Pagliarini: Investigation
Tullia Gallina Toschi: Investigation