ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE
DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Effects of simulated nitrogen deposition on the nutritional and physiological status of beech forests at two climatic contrasting sites in Italy

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:
Teglia, A., Di Baccio, D., Matteucci, G., Scartazza, A., De Cinti, B., Mazzenga, F., et al. (2022). Effects of simulated nitrogen deposition on the nutritional and physiological status of beech forests at two climatic contrasting sites in Italy. SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT, 834(15 August 2022), 1-13 [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155362].

Availability:
This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/883343 since: 2022-04-28

Published:
DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155362

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

12 October 2024

Journal Pre-proof

Effects of simulated nitrogen deposition on the nutritional and physiological status of beech forests at two climatic contrasting sites in Italy

Alessandra Teglia, Daniela Di Baccio, Giorgio Matteucci, Andrea Scartazza, Bruno De Cinti, Francesco Mazzenga, Dario Ravaioli, Enrico Muzzi, Graziella Marcolini, Federico Magnani

PII: S0048-9697(22)02455-X

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155362

Reference: STOTEN 155362

To appear in: *Science of the Total Environment*

Received date: 22 December 2021

Revised date: 31 March 2022

Accepted date: 14 April 2022

Please cite this article as: A. Teglia, D. Di Baccio, G. Matteucci, et al., Effects of simulated nitrogen deposition on the nutritional and physiological status of beech forests at two climatic contrasting sites in Italy, *Science of the Total Environment* (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155362

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier B.V.
This manuscript version is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Effects of simulated nitrogen deposition on the nutritional and physiological status of beech forests at two climatic contrasting sites in Italy

Alessandra Teglia[1*], Daniela Di Baccio[2], Giorgio Matteucci[3], Andrea Scartazza[2], Bruno De Cinti[4], Francesco Mazzenga[4], Dario Ravaioli[1], Enrico Muzzi[1], Graziella Marcolini[1], Federico Magnani[1]

[1] Department of Fruit Tree and Woody Plant Science, University of Bologna, Viale Fanin 44, Bologna, Italy

[2] National Research Council of Italy, Research Institute on Terrestrial Ecosystems (CNR-IRET), Via Giuseppe Moruzzi 1, Pisa, Italy

[3] National Research Council of Italy, Institute of BioEconomy (CNR-IBE), Via Madonna del Piano, 10, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy

[4] National Research Council of Italy, Institute for Terrestrial Ecosystems (CNR-IRET), Via Salaria km 29,300, Montelibretti (RM), Italy

Journal Pre-proof

*Corresponding author at: Department of Fruit Tree and Woody Plant Science, University of Bologna, Viale Fanin 44, Bologna, Italy

Email address: alessandra.teglia3@unibo.it

Abstract

Anthropogenic activities have resulted in a significant increase of reactive nitrogen (N) compounds in the atmosphere and a rise in N deposition on forest ecosystems. Increasing N loads impact forest productivity and health, altering tree physiological status and nutrient balance with possible beneficial and detrimental consequences. The impact of N deposition has received considerable attention by scientific research, covering medium and high latitudes, while experimental studies in the Mediterranean area are almost absent. The present study used a manipulative approach, through replicated N additions (background deposition, 30, 60 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) to simulate the cumulative effects of N deposition in two beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) forests located in contrasting climatic regions of Italy. Leaf nutrients and photosynthetic pigments were tested as monitoring indicators after four years of N fertilization. Foliar N and pigment concentrations indicated not limiting N conditions at both forest sites, although changes in chlorophylls and carotenoids showed an early response of the canopy to N additions. N-to-phosphorus (P) and sulphur (S) ratios increased under elevated N fertilization, which could be partly related to the relative enhancement of foliar N concentration, and partly associated with the reduction of foliar P and S. The two eutrophic beech forests monitored were not severely affected by chronic N addition, not showing critical nutritional and physiological impairments over the short to medium period. However, the modifications in leaf nutrient and pigment compositions showed an incipient stress response and accentuated the differences induced by climatic and soil characteristics at the two sites. The potential use of nutrients and photosynthetic pigments in monitoring forest N deposition under contrasting climatic conditions and the eventual limits of manipulative experiments are discussed.

Keywords: Nutrients; Chlorophyll; Carotenoids; Nitrogen deposition.

Highlights:

- ✓ Foliar nutrient concentrations are slightly decreased by N deposition.
- ✓ N addition affected significantly foliar N:P and N:S ratios.
- ✓ The two climatic contrasting sites differently respond to N addition.

Journal Pre-proof

Introduction

Human activities over the last decades have drastically altered the natural nitrogen (N) cycle, as fertilizer manufacturing and fossil-fuel combustion have generated and released in the atmosphere an increasing amount of N reactive compounds such as nitric oxides (NO_x) and ammonia (NH_3), which has then deposited onto managed and natural ecosystems.

Sulfur (S) deposition, often associated with N deposition in the past, has now dropped dramatically due to environmental regulations (Berger et al., 2016; Cecchini et al., 2021; Johnson et al., 2018), bringing N deposition to the forefront of current environmental concerns. Indeed, atmospheric N deposition to forests has reached globally 187 million tonnes in 2005 and has been estimated to almost double by 2050 (Galloway et al., 2008; Law, 2013). N deposition in Italy is among the highest in Europe (Cecchini et al., 2021). The highest rate of N deposition occurred in Northern Italy (up to a maximum of 28.8 kg N ha^{-1} y^{-1}, Ferretti et al., 2014), around the Po valley, one of the most urbanized and industrialized areas in Europe (Cecchini et al., 2021). Relatively lower N deposition rates (4.5 kg N ha^{-1} y^{-1}) were found in Southern Italy (Ferretti et al., 2014), as measured by the permanent monitoring network ICP Forests (International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests). Even if the N deposition rate in Southern Italian regions is not as high as in the North of Europe, chronic N inputs to forest ecosystems are not negligible, and they could cause a cumulative effect in the long term (Cecchini et al., 2021; De Marco et al., 2014, Gentilesca et al., 2013).

Atmospheric deposition is an essential driver of various changes in forest ecosystem productivity and health. The long-term N addition to forest ecosystems could result in a cascade of different, often contrasting, consequences. Although excess N have a detrimental physiological effect on the plant (Pearson and Stewart, 1993), N often stimulates growth, in particular in temperate and boreal ecosystems, which are often limited by its availability. On the other hand, on non-N-limited sites (e.g., in tropical climate regions, or already fertile sites) atmospheric N deposition could also cause ecosystems N saturation, increased nitrification rate and N leaching. The latter, in turn, could induce soil acidification and nutrient losses, as

Journal Pre-proof

well as the mobilization of other minerals (Galloway et al., 2003). The main effects on plants are nutrient imbalances in leaf tissues, especially due to the decreasing availability of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}, with relative deficiency symptoms such as chlorosis and necrosis of tips (Taiz et al., 2015; Carter et al., 2017); such effects may, in turn, increase plant sensitivity to pest or pathogens (Braun et al., 1999), and induce growth reduction (Etzold et al., 2020). From a monitoring point of view, the evaluation of the foliar data collected at ICP Forests plots over a 20-year period has highlighted a critical decreasing trend in the foliar concentrations of some nutrients (Jonard et al., 2014). In particular a significant decline in foliar phosphorus (P), magnesium (Mg), and calcium (Ca) concentrations has been observed in beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) forests across Europe over the last ten years, while the foliar contents of N and S have shown a significant increase (Jonard et al., 2014). This trend has often led to unbalanced foliar nutrient ratios in forest trees, with a consistent increase of N:P ratios across all species in Europe (Penuelas et al., 2020; Talkner et al., 2015). In many European beech stands, in particular, an excess of N nutrition has been detected (Jonard et al., 2014), raising the concern that these forests could be exposed to the ecological and growth impairments often found in N saturated ecosystems (Aber et al., 1998). The response of beech biochemistry and stoichiometry to increasing atmospheric N, however, is far from clear, influenced as it is by differences in soil and climate across the ICP monitoring range, which have a profound effect on associated biota and on soil biogeochemical processes involved in the N cycle (e. g. Braun et al., 2020; Clausing et al., 2021; Dziedek et al., 2016).

Changes in leaf nutrients could affect the content of key biochemical compounds, in particular photosynthetic pigments. The leaf content of photosynthetic pigments reflects the plant's light capture performance and, consequently, its functional ecology and productivity (Poorter et al., 2009; Scartazza et al., 2016). Chlorophylls and carotenoids play essential functional and protective roles in plant photosynthetic processes, and therefore they are often used as biomarkers in monitoring forest health conditions at different scales, from the leaf to the canopy level (Nestola et al., 2018; Niinemets et al., 2015; Scartazza et al., 2016).

Journal Pre-proof

Leaf biochemical parameters, such as the content of elements and photosynthetic pigments, are a valuable tool to assess nutrient limitations status and health of plants, commonly adopted in nutrient manipulative experiments designed to establish the effects of fertilization in forest ecosystems (Ågren, 2008; Sullivan et al., 2014). Indeed, foliar biochemistry and elemental stoichiometry can appropriately reflect the availability of nutrients in the forest soil (Cleveland et al., 2011; Han et al., 2005). These leaf traits respond over a relatively short timescale to natural deposition or fertilization, in contrast with tree growth and biometric parameters which often require more than a decade of treatments to manifest a shift (Wright, 2011). At the same time, nutrients and photosynthetic pigments are characterized by relatively simple collecting techniques, relative to physiological parameters such as photosynthesis. Despite dynamic nature of biochemical traits requires careful planning and standardization (e.g., to avoid the effects of phenological changes; Grassi et al., 2005), their analysis can provide a powerful tool for the early detection of plant responses to environmental drivers.

Several replicated manipulative studies have been carried out over the last decades to forecast N deposition impacts on ecosystems, but they have mainly focused on coniferous forests (de Schrijver et al., 2011; Hyvönen et al., 2008), for which N fertilization has also been widely applied with productive purposes under boreal and temperate climates (e.g., Billow et al., 1994; Kaakinen et al., 2009). More limited information is available for deciduous forests in the Mediterranean area, where summer drought could constrain soil processes, plant function, and growth irrespective of N availability. European beech is one of the most dominant tree species in Europe (Poetzelsberger et al., 2015), as well as in Italy, where beech forests are broadly distributed and represent about 12% of the national forest coverage, extending from the Alps to Sicily's southernmost sites (INFC et al., 2007). Due to the species sensitivity to water supply (Granier et al., 2007), and to chronic N deposition (Braun et al., 2020; Jonard et al., 2014; Talkner et al., 2015), the future of beech at a southern distribution limit is uncertain under expected global change. In countries like Italy, in particular, a long history of integration between forests and agricultural activities has created

Journal Pre-proof

relevant environmental and socio-economic concerns for the preservation of carbon stocks and mitigation capacity, sustainable wood production and maintenance of biodiversity, in the framework of traditional and sustainable forest management (Cullotta et al., 2016).

Against this backdrop, the addition of chronic N inputs under field conditions can be a useful tool to test and predict the potential long-term N cumulative effects on forests exposed to elevated atmospheric N loads, especially keeping in mind that a multidecadal perspective is needed in monitoring due to the inherently slow processes involved (Bortolazzi et al., 2021; Braun et al., 2020).

In this work, a replicated long-term soil N addition experiment was set up in two monospecific beech forests without understory and similar in age, both close to ICP Forest Level 2 permanent monitoring plots historically exposed to different N depositions levels and located at two contrasting geographical sites (Cansiglio and Collelongo, Northern and Central Italy, respectively).

The main questions explored in the present paper were: i) if leaf nutrient and photosynthetic contents are suitable indicators for beech forest monitoring under N deposition, simulating N cumulative effects; ii) how beech differently responds to N addition in two climatic contrasting Mediterranean sites. We expected higher soil N availability to enhance foliar N and photosynthetic pigment contents and induce a decline in foliar P, Mg, and Ca concentrations. Moreover, we expected the magnitude of the impact of chronic N addition on foliar nutrient imbalances to be higher at the Cansiglio site, which is already subjected to high natural N deposition and likely closer to N saturation.

Journal Pre-proof

Material and methods

Site description

The study was carried out in two Italian beech forests located on the Eastern Alps (Cansiglio; 46° 3' 19" N 12° 22' 51" E) and on the Central Apennines (Collelongo; 41° 50' 59" N 13° 35' 6" E). Both experimental sites are close to ICP Forest Level 2 permanent monitoring plots (VEN1 - Pian del Cansiglio, Northern Italy and ABR1 - Selva Piana-Collelongo, Central Italy, respectively; Marchetto et al., 2014). The vicinity of the two sites to long-term ICP monitoring stations (1996 to present) allowed the availability of key meteorological variables, forest growth rates, atmospheric N deposition data, leaf and soil biochemical characteristics (Table S1 and Fig. S1). This has made it possible to place the results of the present N fertilization study in a broader perspective.

At both sites, the forest is a pure single-layer beech stand; the stands were selected to have similar age and geological substrate but with marked differences in climate and background N deposition. The Cansiglio area (1200 m a.s.l.) is characterized by the presence of an oceanic climate, and a period of summer dryness is generally absent (Fig. S2). On the other side, the Collelongo area (1560 m a.s.l) is subjected to mild summer drought, characteristic of the typical Mediterranean-mountain climate (Fig. S2; Scartazza et al., 2016). Cansiglio forest grows on a Calcareous pedogenetic substrate (Haplic Luvisol), while Collelongo on a calcareous substrate with sizeable stoniness and clay components (Humic Alisols). The natural atmospheric N deposition exceeds the estimated critical N load (Ferretti et al., 2014) only in the Northern site (Cansiglio). The main characteristics of the two forest stands are summarized in Table 1.

Experimental design and N treatments

Three N addition treatments were compared at each site: untreated control (Control), soil addition of 30 (Medium), and 60 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (High). While the Medium treatment falls within the range of predicted N deposition scenarios for 2050 (~ 12-24 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}; Phoenix et al., 2006) and the range of N deposition measured in other Mediterranean areas (up to 45 kg ha^{-1} yr^{-1}; Fenn et al., 2003), the High-level treatment was chosen to achieve N saturation in both

forest ecosystems. The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design with three replicate plots for each treatment, located at a suitable distance from ICP monitoring sites. The plots have dimensions of 30 x 30 m and are adjacent to each other. In order to avoid any lateral contamination effects, measurements were carried out on plants ($n \geq 3$) in the central area of each plot (15 x 15 m). N fertilization has been applied at the two sites since the start of the experiment in 2015. N has been applied as an ammonium nitrate solution (NH_4NO_3, 35.1 g L^{-1}) and distributed on the ground using a backpack sprayer. The fertilizer has been equally distributed in three annual applications (June, July, and September), performed simultaneously at the two sites. Because of the small amount of solution added (< 1 mm yr^{-1}), no water has been added to control plots.

Sample collection and analysis

Leaf samples were collected in 2016 and 2018 just after the peak of the vegetative period (late July / early August; Nolè et al., 2009) at both sites by the tree-climbing technique, following the ICP Forests manual (ICP Forests, 2016). Two branches developed in full light were sampled from 3 plants per plot. Leaves were collected from each branch between 11:00 and 13:00 h from healthy trees (i.e. with no sign of stress due to pathology or photobleaching) at the top of the canopy to obtain two paired samples of about 20 leaves each. Based on their position within the canopy, all sampled leaves can be classified as “sun leaves” adapted to high solar radiation (Scartazza et al., 2016). To be used for nutrient determination, a first sample was stored in a cooler bag during fieldwork, then kept at 4 °C in the laboratory until analysis. The second sample for photosynthetic pigments was immediately packed in aluminum foil, frozen in liquid N, and kept at -80 °C until analysis.

Leaves were washed, oven-dried at 60 °C until constant weight, ground, and analyzed for C, N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Mn, Fe, Zn, Cu, and B concentrations. The percentage of foliar N was measured with a C/N elemental analyzer (Model NA 1500, Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). The other nutrients were determined by an Ion Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometer (Inductively Coupled Plasma-ICP; Ametek Spectro Arcos, Kleve, Germany) on samples

mineralized (US EPA Methods 3052; Kingston 1988) in a microwave mineralizer (Ethos TC, Mileston, Bergamo, Italy).

Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll *a*, Chl *a*, chlorophyll *b*, Chl *b,* and total carotenoids) were determined on liquid N_2 homogenized leaf samples according to the method of Wellburn (1994), with some modifications. Briefly, 30-50 mg of leaf material were extracted in 80% (w/v) cold acetone, centrifuged at 3000×g for 10 min at 4°C, filtered, if necessary (0.2-µm, Sartorius Stedim Biotech, Göttingen, Germany), and the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 663.2, 646.8, and 470.0 nm using an UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-1800 Spectrophotometer, Shimadzu).

Statistical analysis

All data were averaged within the plot to avoid pseudo-replication. Data were analyzed separately for each sampling year (2016 and 2018) in a complete randomized factorial design with two factors: site (2 levels: Carsegio and Collelongo) and N treatment (3 levels: Control, Medium and High). For each combination of treatments, three replicate plots (n = 3) were considered. The normality and homoscedasticity of data were assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk and Bartlett tests, respectively. Since their distribution of the data respected the ANOVA assumptions, no transformation was applied. When the two-way analysis of variance (2-way-ANOVA) showed a significant ($p \leq 0.05$) interaction between factors, *a posteriori* separation of means was performed by the Student Newman-Keuls test (SNK). An analysis of covariance was also performed to highlight any differences in the relationship between leaf chlorophylls and N content in response to N treatments at the two sites for each sampling date, utilizing the leaves collected on tree branches as sampling units (n = 5-10). A mixed-effect model was not applied, since the specific time and site were of interest, therefore were considered as fixed effects. A Canonical Discriminant Analysis (CDA, Friendly M, Fox J (2021) was also performed to identify the most relevant factors explaining the variance between and within sites. CDA is a multivariate statistical technique that can identify differences among groups of individuals, taking into account the group structure of the data

Journal Pre-proof

(Cruz-Castillo et al., 1994). All statistical analyses were carried out with the R open-source software (R Core Team 2017) using the *easyanova*, *agricolae,* and *candisc* libraries.

Results

A significant interannual variability in leaf nutrient and photosynthetic pigment concentrations was observed in Cansiglio and Collelongo beech forests, independently of N addition levels. For this reason, foliar data from 2016 and 2018 were analyzed separately.

In both sampling sites and years, the concentration of most macro- and meso-nutrients in leaves from the control plots fell within the optimal range for the species. In both 2016 and 2018, leaf N concentration differed significantly between sites, with higher values in Collelongo than Cansiglio, but was not affected by fertilization (Table 2). On the contrary, leaf P concentration was affected by N additions in 2016, showing a slight decrease in both beech forests with increasing N addition levels (on average more than 4% and 9% at Medium and High N treatment, respectively; Table 2). Remarkably different P concentrations were measured at both sites between the two years, with values consistently above the optimum range in 2016 but close to its minimum value in 2018. Moreover, in 2016 also leaf K concentration was influenced by both site and N treatment, with generally higher values at Collelongo than Cansiglio and a slight but significant decrease (p = 0.045) in response to the higher N dose. In 2018, on the contrary, neither the site nor N addition had a significant effect on the parameter (Table 2). Also leaf Ca concentration was consistently higher in Collelongo than in Cansiglio, in particular in the 2016 growing season (p < 0.001), while the concentration of Mg was not significantly affected by site, N fertilization, or their interaction in either year of sampling (Table 2). Finally, the foliar S concentration was consistently lower in Cansiglio than in Collelongo (-12.5% and -10.3% in 2016 and 2018, respectively) and was significantly affected by N treatment, although only in 2016 (Table 2). In this year, the addition of N caused a progressive S reduction of -8.5 % and -16.41 % with the Medium and High N fertilization treatments, respectively, compared to control (Table 2).

Considering the leaf concentration of micronutrients (Table 3), only Mn and B consistently differed between sites in both growing seasons. Mn was more elevated at Cansiglio than

Collelongo, while the opposite was true for B. Differences between sites in Fe, Zn, and Cu concentrations were only observed in either one or the other of the two campaigns (Table 3). An effect of fertilization was only observed in 2016, when foliar Fe and Zn concentrations at both sites showed a significant and progressive decline with increasing N doses, which did not persist, however, in 2018. Finally, a significant increase in Mn concentration at the Cansiglio site was observed in 2018.

The N treatments investigated had a significant effect on foliar N:P and N:S ratio in both years of sampling (Fig. 1). During 2016, at both sites, N addition led to a general increase of the leaf N:P ratio of +6.0 and +8.7 % for Medium and High N treatments, respectively (Fig. 1A, B). In 2018, on the contrary, the response to fertilization differed between sites, with a marked increase in Cansiglio (+14.3% and +16.5% for Medium and High N treatments, respectively) but rather stable N:P values in Collelongo (Fig. 1B, C). In both years, N:P ratios were consistently higher in Collelongo than Cansiglio.

The N:S ratio was also influenced in both sites and study years by N fertilization. While a similar increase was observed at both sites in 2016, in 2018, N addition resulted in a 14% increase in High N plots at the Cansiglio site while the increase at Colleongo was not statistically significant (Fig. 1B and Fig. 1C). N treatments did not significantly affect the N to Ca, K, and Mg ratios in either site or year (Table S2), except for N:Fe ratio, which increased significantly in treated plots during the first year of sampling (p=0.006), primarily due to a significant decrease in foliar Fe concentration (p=0.005, Table 3).

In order to better understand the functional significance of the observed changes in leaf nutrients, these have been complemented by paired measurements of leaf pigment concentration. The content of photosynthetic pigments in beech leaves was generally higher in 2018 than in 2016 (Fig. 2 A, B). In 2016, Chl (a+b) was influenced neither by site nor by N application or their interaction (Fig.2 E). This was largely due to a relative decrease of both Chl a and Chl b in response to Medium and High N fertilization levels at Cansiglio, and to a parallel increase at the Collelongo site (1-way ANOVA within site, $p \leq 0.05$; Table S4); the interaction between site and N addition, however, was not statistically significant. The Chl a/b

ratio, on the contrary, was significantly affected in 2016 by both site and treatment, but not by their interaction (Table S3). In 2018, Chl (*a*+*b*) was significantly different between sites, with a higher Chl *a*/*b* ratio in Collelongo than Cansiglio (Fig. 2 B, E and Table S3). At both sites, chlorophylls tended to increase with the addition of N: at Cansiglio, Chl (*a*+*b*) progressively increased with N fertilization (1.5-fold with Medium N and 1.7-fold with High N); in Collelongo Chl (*a*+*b*) increased about 1.2-fold with both Medium and High N fertilization (1-way ANOVA within site, $p \leq 0.05$; Table S5).

As for the leaf carotenoid content, in the 2016 growing season a contrasting effect of N fertilization was observed at the two sites (Fig. 2 E), with a decrease at Cansiglio in response to both Medium and High N fertilization (~ -18%) and, on the contrary, an increase at Collelongo in response to the High N fertilization level (+52%, Fig. 2C). In 2018, on the contrary, total carotenoids were positively affected by N at both forest sites (Fig. 2 E), increasing with the Medium N dose at Collelongo (+36%) and with the High N dose at both Cansiglio (+33%) and Collelongo sites (+48%, Fig. 2 D). However, the ratio of total chlorophyll to carotenoids (Chls/Car ratio) was not affected by fertilization either in 2016 or in 2018 (Table S3).

The expected correlation between leaf N content and Chl (*a*+*b*) was weak and negative at the Cansiglio site in 2016 ($r = -0.34$, $p = 0.014$), while the two variables were positively related in 2018 ($r = 0.65$; $p < 0.001$, Fig. 3A, B). In Collelongo, on the contrary, the relationship was positive and significant in both 2016 ($r = 0.64$; $p < 0.001$) and 2018 ($R = 0.63$; $p < 0.001$, Fig. 3C, D).

The results of the canonical discriminant analysis (CDA), performed for the foliar nutrient and photosynthetic pigment concentrations separately for the two study years (2016 and 2018), are presented in Fig. 4A and 4B, respectively. The CDA finds the canonical coordinates (linear combinations of independent continuous variables, i.e., leaf concentrations of macro-, meso- and micro-nutrients, chlorophylls, and carotenoids) that best separate the plots belonging to different factor-groups (sites and N treatments). In both years, the first and second canonical variable components accounted for more than 90% of total variation

(95.5% and 95.8%, referring to 2016 and 2018, respectively). Along the primary gradient of variance (Can1), in both 2016 and 2018, there was an evident separation of data between the two sites, while N treatments within site were poorly discriminated. The first canonical coordinate, however, markedly differed between the two years (as apparent from an analysis of the blue arrows in Fig. 4). The N fertilization treatments, on the contrary, were better discriminated along the second gradient of variance (Can2), which explains, however, less than 20 % of total variation in both years. In the first study year, the second canonical coordinate determined a clear separation among N treatment groups (C, Medium, and High), with the same sequence at both sites (Fig. 4A), but explained only 16.1 % of the overall system variability. On the contrary, in 2018 the discrimination of N treatments by foliar nutritional status was not confirmed at the Collelongo site, for which all different groups of N fertilization appeared clustered together (Fig. 4B). In Cansiglio, on the contrary, the discrimination of N fertilization treatments observed in 2016 persisted in 2018, but the Medium and High N treatments clustered together and only separated from the control plots. Also, in this case, the factors contributing to the second canonical coordinate differed between 2016 and 2018.

Discussion

Last decades' reports on N deposition in forest ecosystems describe different and sometimes contrasting effects, from the marked increase in biomass production to the lack of growth stimulation, possibly also as a result of differences in simulated or natural N deposition, timing and mode of exposure, canopy structure (de Schrijver et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2020; Reay et al., 2008; Y. Zhang et al., 2017). In the present study, after four years of N treatments neither a significant increase in radial growth nor in leaf production was detected in the two mature beech stands in northern (Cansiglio) and central Italy (Collelongo) (Teglia et al., in preparation). Two Mediterranean forests of similar composition (pure old-growth beech forest stands without understory species) had been chosen for the study, so as to better compare the effects of N fertilization at two different sites. Nevertheless, apart from the

different soil and climatic characteristics (Fig. S1, Table 1, and Table S1), each site presents a distinct pattern of environmental conditions, with a combination of independent parameters that differ in intensity during the year (Pierce et al., 2005; Rezaie et al., 2018). In particular, during spring 2016 Collelongo site was hit by an exceptional late frost, causing complete defoliation, which altered some tree phenological traits and non-structural carbohydrate dynamics (D'Andrea et al., 2019).

In forest ecosystems, where the primary limiting factors are light and soil nutrient availability, leaf biochemical traits such as nutrient content and photosynthetic pigments directly reflect the plant capacity of using these resources (Niinemets, 2007, Sardans and Peñuelas, 2013). In particular, leaf mineral content and stoichiometry are standardized parameters widely used in forest monitoring programs, while the content of photosynthetic pigments is less used in this context for its relatively higher determination costs, but more exploited for their spectral properties in remote sensing monitoring systems (Jonard et al., 2010; Nestola et al., 2018). In the current trend of climate change and environmental pollution, verifying the efficiency of leaf nutritional elements and photosynthetic pigments as indicators of forest nutritional status and photosynthesis rates can have widespread interest in monitoring applications at local and regional scale, respectively.

Foliar nutrient concentrations were found to be adequate in both Cansiglio and Collelongo forests, as indicated by a comparison with foliar nutritional thresholds (Mellert and Göttlein, 2012; Stefan et al., 1997). In this context, the foliar N concentration of the control plots at the Collelongo site was above the adequate range for beech leaves in both years of sampling, while at Cansiglio foliar N in control plots approached the upper reference limit. In the two years investigated, both stands showed foliar N levels typical of forest ecosystems close to N saturation despite the different N deposition backgrounds. If, on the one hand, N is likely not a limiting element for the primary production of trees in both sites (Ferretti et al., 2014), on the other hand it was observed that in the short period, additional inputs of N did not induce severe nutritional imbalances at leaf level. The differences observed between the two sites for almost all nutrients analysed could be related to differences in soil characteristics, as the

Collelongo site showed an average higher soil cation exchange capacity and base saturation than Cansiglio (Table S1). This appears to confirm that foliar stoichiometry can be a valuable tool to assess the supply of nutrients in the forest soil (Cleveland et al., 2011). Leaf P concentrations measured agree with other monitoring and manipulative studies (Braun et al., 2010; Gradowski and Thomas, 2008, 2006; Prietzel and Stetter, 2010), which observed that soil acidification due to N deposition and climate change negatively affect P availability in forest ecosystems. A low P availability, a decrease of this macronutrient in leaves, or a high N:P ratio were also found in Swiss (Braun et al., 2010), French, Belgian, and Luxemburg beech forests (Jonard et al., 2010) under conditions of high N deposition. In our study, foliar P concentrations significantly decreased with increasing doses of N applied in 2016, when the P availability for plants was more elevated, judging from the high foliar content of this nutrient even in control plots of both sites (Table 2). The depletion of foliar P with high N addition was also found in one experiment with maple trees treated with chronic N additions at the Harvard Forest (Minocha et al., 2000). In 2018, on the contrary, the foliar P concentration was lower than in 2016, regardless of the N treatments, and was not significantly influenced by the N supply. This might be explained by the *F. sylvatica* attempt to maintain a certain degree of leaf homeostasis, trying to preserve the foliar nutrient concentrations within the optimum range. This mechanism might allow the plant to maintain the leaf physiological functions, compensating for the limited availability of nutrients in the soil by re-mobilizing the stored P in the reserve organs, such as the root system (Zavišić and Polle, 2018). If this hypothesis were true, the general decrease in the P foliar concentration in European forests could be a symptom both of the decline in soil P availability and of the consequent depletion of this nutrient content in the plant reserve organs.

Foliar S concentrations during 2016 decreased significantly in the plots treated with N fertilization. Chen et al. (2018) observed that N addition had inhibitory effects on the activity of soil arylsulfatase (an enzyme involved in the mineralization of organic S) and suggested that N deposition may decelerate soil S cycling. At Collelongo, the leaf S reduction could also be explained by the physiological unbalances that occurred to the canopy after the foliar

depletion and the reserve remobilization caused by an exceptional late frost (D'Andrea et al., 2021, 2019), although this would not be the case at Cansiglio.

The marked differences between the two years could also be explained by other factors, such as fruit production, which was significantly higher than in 2016 (Table S6). Elevated fruit production is thought to be a high energy-demanding process for trees, leading to a consistent depletion of individuals' stored resources due to substantial investment in sexual reproduction (Fernández-Martínez et al., 2017; Sala et al., 2012; Yasumura et al., 2006). This might have consequences on the foliar nutrient stoichiometry (Güsewell, 2004), resulting in a consistent reduction in the majority of foliar macro- and meso-nutrients (especially P, K, and Ca) and significant higher N:P ratios compared to the 2016 growing season.

The increase of N:P and N:S ratios in both sites and sampling years can be partially related to the relative increase of foliar N concentration (although not significant in any experimental conditions), and partially associated with the reduction of foliar P and S content, which showed a decreasing trend in both sampling years, albeit significantly so only for 2016. As well as N:P and N:S ratios, also the N:Fe ratio was significantly altered by N fertilization in 2016. A pot experiment on N fertilization of young beech plants (Flückiger and Braun, 1998) caused an increase in the ratio of N to all other nutrients; it should be mentioned, however, that a considerable amount of N (200 kg ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) was applied, which hardly reflects the actual effect of N deposition in forest ecosystems, contrary to the aim of our research. In our case, the observed leaf N:P and N:S variations could indicate that the beech nutrient demand can be almost totally supplied by the soil nutrient pool available at both experimental sites and that N deposition mainly influences the S and P availability (Berger et al., 2016). For what concerns the N:Fe ratio, a similar response was also found by Liu et al. (2021) in grassland ecosystems after three years of chronic N additions. The addition of N could reduce soil pH, which involves an enhanced Fe and Mn mobility (Haynes and Swift, 1985; Thomson et al., 1993). Despite this, we found a significant decrease in foliar Fe in 2016, while we detected increases in Mn concentration in 2018 in the Cansiglio site. Increased

foliar Mn concentrations were also found by Flückiger and Braun (1998) in trees subjected to high N deposition. The concentration of the other foliar micronutrients did not vary in function of N treatments, except for Zn, which showed a significant reduction in treated plots only in 2016. The micronutrients Mn, Fe, Zn, and Cu, are redox-active metals involved in photosynthetic processes, and Fe is also fundamental in chlorophyll biosynthesis (Schmidt et al., 2020; Shahid et al., 2016). In any case, Fe concentration was more consistent in the beech leaves of Collelongo than Cansiglio, reflecting the relatively higher content of Chl a and Chl b at this site. On the contrary, most of the other microelements seem to be more available in Cansiglio, probably due to the higher soil acidification (Table S1).

An increase of leaf chlorophyll content (and photosynthetic rates) is usually associated with high N availability. However, this appears to strictly depend on forest type and physiological status (species, age, and nutrient condition) and climatic conditions (Liu et al., 2019; Tavarini et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2013). In our study, leaf N content was higher in the Collelongo beech forest than in Cansiglio, independently of N addition; the same was true for Chl (*a*+*b*), especially in the 2018 growing season. These results are consistent with the positive and significant correlation found between foliar N concentration and Chl (*a*+*b*), except at the Cansiglio site in the first year of sampling (Fig. 3A). The late frost event of 2016, which induced the remobilization of C reserves and a re-growth of the canopy after defoliation at Collelongo (D'Andrea et al., 2021), probably impaired the N assimilation and allocation in the photosynthetic apparatus (chlorophylls and proteins) and other metabolic pathways. Nevertheless, a similar unchanged or reduced value of Chl (*a*+*b*) was observed in other experiments of N deposition (Arróniz-Crespo et al., 2008; M. Li et al., 2018). The relatively slow response of the beech forest at Cansiglio site to three years-N fertilization with regard to the leaf concentration of chlorophylls might be related to the different climatic conditions and plant stand characteristics (density, age, canopy architecture, management, etc.) of the two sites (R. Li et al., 2018; Phoenix et al., 2012; R. Zhang et al., 2017). However, if in some cases, total leaf chlorophylls content cannot be an appropriate indicator of N deposition effects, further measurements of photosynthetic pigment compositions such as Chl *a*/*b* and

carotenoids are suggested to reveal the impacts of N addition on photosynthesis-related parameters and plant growth performances (e.g., Arróniz-Crespo et al., 2008; M. Li et al., 2018; Ochoa-Hueso et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). In both beech forests, Chl *a*/*b* was reduced by N fertilization in the 2016 growing season, due to a decrease of Chl *a* at Cansiglio and a relatively greater increase of Chl *b* than Chl *a* at the Collelongo site. Similarly, in *Leymus chinensis* Zhang et al. (2016) found a significant rise in Chl *b* due to N addition, while M. Li et al. (2018) revealed constant or enhanced values of both Chl *a* and Chl *b* in *Cupressus lusitanica* after different N treatments. In both beech forest sites, the leaves sampled are typical sun leaves developed in full light, with a relatively elevated Chl *a*/*b* ratio (> 2.7-2.8), mainly due to a minor investment of Chl *b* in PSII (Scartazza et al., 2016). The Chl *a*/*b* ratio is a valuable indicator of N partitioning within a leaf because it is positively correlated with the ratio of PSII cores to light harvesting chlorophyll-protein complex (LHCII) (Terashima and Hikosaka, 1995). It has been predicted that the ratio of PSII to LHCII (and the Chl *a*/*b* ratio) should increase with the decreasing of N availability (Kitajima and Hogan, 2003), while it has not been demonstrated the contrary, i.e. that an increase in N availability for plants should be associated with a decrease of leaf Chl *a*/*b* ratio, as in our case. However, N plays important roles in photosynthesis and the production of light-harvesting pigments and diphosphoribulose carboxylase (Wu et al., 2008), and variations in leaf Chl *a*/*b* are usually related to morphological and physiological differences in plants and to plant response to alterations involving re-arrangements and distribution of photosynthetic pigments between the two photosystems (Maina and Wang, 2015; Y. Li et al., 2018). This could explain the constitutive differences found in leaf Chl *a* and Chl *b* concentrations found at Cansiglio and Collelongo, as well as the modification of Chl *a*/*b* and carotenoids during the 2016 and 2018 growing season. Moreover, the relative increases of carotenoids observed at the Collelongo site with both N doses during 2016 and 2018 and at Cansiglio with the High N treatment in 2018 (Fig. 2 C, D) can be due to an up-regulation of the synthesis of these pigments to protect the photosynthetic apparatus to possible photo-oxidative damages

caused by the effects of N depositions, as found in similar experimentations (M. Li et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2016).

The multivariate analysis helped identify the most representative factors influencing this complex forest system, highlighting the apparent differences between the two forest stands. The site of Cansiglio showed an overall higher response to further N inputs than the site of Collelongo in both years of sampling. It is known that the effects of N addition differ depending on abiotic factors, such as soil pH and exchangeable base cations (Mao et al., 2020). The higher soil pH and cation exchange capacity in Collelongo might represent a better-buffered system against acidification (Bowman et al., 2008) than in Cansiglio. These soil properties may explain why we found fewer imbalances in foliar nutrient stoichiometry for the central site.

In accordance with the result of the CDA, imbalances in nutrient stoichiometry due to N fertilization were greater during the 2016 growing season (Fig. 4 A), when both sites had relatively low fruit production, and Collelongo was interested by a severe late frost event (D'Andrea et al., 2019; 2021). N additions determined a detectable enrichment of N foliar concentration in the Northern site, combined with an overall depletion of all macro and meso-nutrients, except for Ca in 2018 (Fig 4 A-B). In contrast, in the Southernmost site, treatments did not significantly affect foliar nutrient concentrations in the last sampling year (Fig. 4 B). Hence, the interaction with the late spring freezing event may have emphasized the effect of N fertilization on foliar nutrient concentrations at the site, which we did not observe during the 2018 growing season. Although many studies had already demonstrated the consistent reduction of beech forest productivity during years interested by frosts events (e.g. D'Andrea et al., 2020; Gazol et al., 2019; Vitasse et al., 2019), much less is known about the impact on canopy biochemistry and morphology. Even if the Collelongo forest had to mobilize C reserves to produce a second leaf flush after the severe frost foliar damage (D'Andrea et al., 2021), the concentration of nutrients did not drop below deficiency thresholds for optimal physiological functions (Mellert and Göttlein, 2012; Stefan et al., 1997). Nevertheless, we observed a consistent reduction of P, S, Ca, and K foliar concentration in High N fertilized

plots compared to control plots, which we did not find during the 2018 growing season. St. Clair et al. (2009) observed a similar reduction in foliar nutrient concentrations after a defoliation event in Aspen trees, although the study did not consider interaction with N depositions. As other authors suggested, excess of N could directly affect tree sensitivity to early frost episodes in spring (Jönsson et al., 2001). Hence, an increased frequency of climate extreme events could lead to higher depletion of foliar nutrient resources in presence of elevated N deposition, potentially undermining the health and resilience of the forest ecosystem.

Photosynthetic pigment concentrations also varied more remarkably in 2016 than in the 2018 growing season, with a reduction in the relative content of Chl *a* to Chl *b* in both sites in the first year, in addition to changes in carotenoids, which persist in 2018. This was also demonstrated by the different directions of Chl *b* in respect to the other pigment components in 2016 and of carotenoids to total Chls ratio in 2018 (Fig. 4). Photosynthetic pigments are early sensitive indicators of plant response to environmental stresses (Y. Li et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2016), so changes in their synthesis and composition might reflect the level of plant acclimation to the increased N deposition. This was more evident at both sites in 2016, when the total chlorophyll and carotenoid amounts and the relative content of Chl *a* and *b* (Chl *a/b*) were affected.

A last consideration should regard the potential limitations of the experimental setup applied in the study, in particular the application of N fertilizer directly to the soil which bypasses the relevant natural process of canopy N uptake. Indeed, estimates suggest that tree canopies can retain a significant percentage of incoming atmospheric N to forests. Substantial amounts of N can be obtainable by the plant from leaf or branch surfaces, which could supplement nutrition from the soil (Nair et al., 2016). Canopy N fertilization is a direct addition of N to plant metabolism, and could potentially affect tree growth and physiological processes such as photosynthesis more readily than direct soil application (Sparks, 2009). As a result, the response of beech forests to natural N deposition could have been underestimated.

Journal Pre-proof

Conclusions

We found that chronic N addition differently affected leaf biochemical and stoichiometric composition of two monospecific beech forests, developed in contrasting climatic regions of Italy. Leaf nutrients and photosynthetic pigments have proved to be good indicators of beech acclimation to environmental and changes, pigments showing an earlier response to N deposition, while nutrients a later and more stable one possibly due to the complex relations of soil availability and plant biomass partitioning. The modifications observed in nutrient and photosynthetic pigment composition accentuated and reflected the natural differences between the Northern (Cansiglio) and Central (Collelongo) sites, being the former closer to N saturation conditions than the latter.

Despite the potential limitations of the study, which relied on the traditional spreading of fertilizer to the soil and could mis-represent the effects of N deposition onto the canopy, our results provide a useful insight into the future effects of ongoing N deposition on beech in Italy. We suggest that eutrophic beech forests, developed on nutrient-rich soils for several years, should not manifest, at least over the short to medium period, critical nutritional and physiological impairments in response to elevated N input, but only modifications indicative of a developing stress response. Seasonal extremes and high fruit production in 2016 may also have influenced results.

Further investigations over an extended period are needed to understand the dynamics and limits of the observed variations in leaf stoichiometry and pigment composition, and their interactions with climate variability and extremes at the two contrasting sites.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the MIUR-PRIN grant ‘Global change effects on the productivity and radiative forcing of Italian forests: a novel retrospective, experimental and prognostic analysis. We are grateful to the workers of the Biodiversity Department of Vittorio Veneto (BL) and the Carabinieri Unit for Biodiversity Protection Pian Cansiglio, for their help in the installation and maintenance of the experimental site.

Journal Pre-proof

References

Aber, J.D., McDowell, W., Nadelhoffer, K., Magill, A., Berntson, G., Kamakea, M., McNulty, S., Currie, W., Rustad, L., Fernandez, I., 1998. Nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems. Bioscience 48, 921–934. https://doi.org/10.2307/1313296

Ågren, G.I., 2008. Stoichiometry and nutrition of plant growth in natural communities. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 39, 153–170. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173515

Arróniz-Crespo, M., Leake, J.R., Horton, P., Phoenix, G.K., 2008. Bryophyte physiological responses to, and recovery from, long-term nitrogen deposition and phosphorus fertilisation in acidic grassland. New Phytol. 180, 864–874.

Berger, T.W., Türtscher, S., Berger, P., Lindebner, L., 2016. A slight recovery of soils from Acid Rain over the last three decades is not reflected in the macro nutrition of beech (Fagus sylvatica) at 97 forest stands of the Vienna Woods. Environ. Pollut. 216, 624–635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.06.024

Billow, C., Matson, P., Yoder, B., 1994. Seasonal Biochemical-Changes in Coniferous Forest Canopies and Their Response to Fertilization. Tree Physiol. 14, 563–574.

Bortolazzi, A., Da Ros, L., Rodegniero, M., Tognetti, R., Tonon, G., Ventura, M., 2021. The canopy layer, a biogeochemical actor in the forest N-cycle. Sci. Total Environ. 776, 146024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146024

Bowman, W.D., Cleveland, C.C., Halada, Ĺ., Hreško, J., Baron, J.S., 2008. Negative impact of nitrogen deposition on soil buffering capacity. Nat. Geosci. 1, 767–770. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo339

Braun, S., Rihm, B., Schindler, C., Flückiger, W. (1999). Growth of mature beech in relation to ozone and nitrogen deposition: an epidemiological approach. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 116(1), 357-364.

Braun, S., Schindler, C., Rihm, B., 2020. Foliar Nutrient Concentrations of European Beech in Switzerland: Relations With Nitrogen Deposition, Ozone, Climate and Soil Chemistry. Front. For. Glob. Chang. 3, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2020.00033

Journal Pre-proof

Braun, S., Thomas, V.F.D., Quiring, R., Flückiger, W., 2010. Does nitrogen deposition increase fores... phosphorus _ Elsevier Enhanced Reader.pdf. Environ. Pollut. 2043–2052.

Carter, T. S., Clark, C. M., Fenn, M. E., Jovan, S., Perakis, S. S., Riddell, J., Schaberg, P. G., Greaver, T. L., Hastings, M. G. (2017). Mechanisms of nitrogen deposition effects on temperate forest lichens and trees. Ecosphere, 8(3), e01717.

Cecchini, G., Andreetta, A., Marchetto, A., Carnicelli, S., 2021. Soil solution fluxes and composition trends reveal risks of nitrate leaching from forest soils of Italy. Catena 200, 105175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105175

Chen, H., Li, D., Zhao, J., Xiao, K., Wang, K., 2018. Effects of nitrogen addition on activities of soil nitrogen acquisition enzymes : A meta-analysis. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 252, 126–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.09.032

Clausing, S., Likulunga, L.E., Janz, D., Feng, H.Y., Schneider, D., Daniel, R., Krüger, J., Lang, F., Polle, A., 2021. Impact of nitrogen and phosphorus addition on resident soil and root mycobiomes in beech forests. Biol. Fertil. Soils 57, 1031–1052. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01593-x

Cleveland, C.C., Alan, R., Taylor, P., Mercedes, M.C., Chuyong, G., Dobrowski, S.Z., Harms, K.E., Houlton, B.Z., Martin, A., Parton, W., Porder, S., Reed, S.C., Sierra, C.A., Silver, W.L., Tanner, E.V.J., Wieder, W.R., 2011. Relationships among net primary productivity, nutrients and climate in tropical rain forest : a pan-tropical analysis. Ecol. Lett. 939–947. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01658.x

Cruz-Castillo, J.G., Ganeshanandam, S., MacKay, B.R., Lawes, G.S., Lawoko, C.R.O., Woolley, D.J., 1994. Applications of canonical discriminant analysis in horticultural research. HortScience 29, 1115–1119. https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.29.10.1115

Cullotta, S., La Placa, G., Maetzke, F.G., 2016. Marginal fragmented beech stands and climate change in Sicily: effects of non-sustainable traditional silviculture practices in relation to micro-topographic gradient. L'Italia For. E Mont. 7–30. https://doi.org/10.4129/ifm.2016.1.01

Journal Pre-proof

D'Andrea, E., Rezaie, N., Battistelli, A., Gavrichkova, O., Kuhlmann, I., Matteucci, G., Moscatello, S., Proietti, S., Scartazza, A., Trumbore, S., Muhr, J., 2019. Winter's bite: beech trees survive complete defoliation due to spring late-frost damage by mobilizing old C reserves. New Phytol. 625–631. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16047

D'Andrea, E., Rezaie, N., Prislan, P., Gričar, J., Collalti, A., Muhr, J., Matteucci, G., 2020. Frost and drought: Effects of extreme weather events on stem carbon dynamics in a Mediterranean beech forest. Plant Cell Environ. 43, 2365–2379. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13858

D'Andrea, E., Scartazza, A., Battistelli, A., Collalti, A., Proietti, S., Rezaie, N., Matteucci, G., Moscatello, S., 2021. Unravelling resilience mechanisms in forests: role of non-structural carbohydrates in responding to extreme weather events. Tree Physiol. 41, 1808–1818. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpab044

De Marco, A., Proietti, C., Cionni, I., Fischer, R., Screpanti, A., Vitale, M., 2014. Future impacts of nitrogen deposition and climate change scenarios on forest crown defoliation. Environ. Pollut. 194, 171–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.07.027

de Schrijver, A., de Frenne, P., Ampoorter, E., van Nevel, L., Demey, A., Wuyts, K., Verheyen, K., 2011. Cumulative nitrogen input drives species loss in terrestrial ecosystems. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 20, 803–816. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00652.x

Dziedek, C., Von Oheimb, G., Calvo, L., Fichtner, A., Kriebitzsch, W.U., Marcos, E., Pitz, W.T., Härdtle, W., 2016. Does excess nitrogen supply increase the drought sensitivity of European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) seedlings? Plant Ecol. 217, 393–405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-016-0581-1

Etzold, S., Ferretti, M., Reinds, G.J., Solberg, S., Gessler, A., Waldner, P., Schaub, M., Simpson, D., Benham, S., Hansen, K., Ingerslev, M., Jonard, M., Karlsson, P.E., Lindroos, A.J., Marchetto, A., Manninger, M., Meesenburg, H., Merilä, P., Nöjd, P., Rautio, P., Sanders, T.G.M., Seidling, W., Skudnik, M., Thimonier, A., Verstraeten, A., Vesterdal, L., Vejpustkova, M., de Vries, W., 2020. Nitrogen deposition is the most

Journal Pre-proof

important environmental driver of growth of pure, even-aged and managed European forests. For. Ecol. Manage. 458, 117762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.117762

Fenn, M.E., Baron, J.S., Allen, E.B., Rueth, H.M., Nydick, K.R., Geiser, L., Bowman, W.D., Sickmann, J.O., Meixner, T., Johnson, D.W., Neitlich, P., 2003. Ecological Effects of Nitrogen Deposition in the Western United States. Bioscience 53, 404. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0404:eeondi]2.0.co;2

Fernández-Martínez, M., Vicca, S., Janssens, I.A., Espelta, J.M., Peñuelas, J., 2017. The role of nutrients, productivity and climate in determining tree fruit production in European forests. New Phytol. 213, 669–679. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14193

Ferretti, M., Marchetto, A., Arisci, S., Bussotti, F., Calderisi, M., Carnicelli, S., Cecchini, G., Fabbio, G., Bertini, G., Matteucci, G., de Cinti, B., Salvati, L., Pompei, E., 2014. On the tracks of Nitrogen deposition effects on temperate forests at their southern European range - an observational study from Italy. Glob. Chang. Biol. 20, 3423–3438. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12552

Flückiger, W., Braun, S., 1998. Nitrogen deposition in Swiss forests and its possible relevance for leaf nutrient status, parasite attacks and soil acidification. Environ. Pollut. 102, 69–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(98)80017-1

Friendly M, Fox J (2021). candisc: Visualizing Generalized Canonical Discriminant and Canonical Correlation Analysis. R package version 0.8-6, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=heplots

Galloway, J.N., Aber, J.D., Erisman, J.W., Seitzinger, S.P., Howarth, R.W., Cowling, E.B., Cosby, B.J., 2003. The Nitrogen Cascade. Bioscience 53, 341. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0341:tnc]2.0.co;2

Galloway, J.N., Townsend, A.R., Erisman, J.W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J.R., Martinelli, L.A., Seitzinger, S.P., Sutton, M.A., 2008. Transformation of the Nitrogen Cycle : Science (80-.). 320, 889–892. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136674

Gazol, A., Camarero, J.J., Colangelo, M., de Luis, M., Martínez del Castillo, E., Serra-Maluquer, X., 2019. Summer drought and spring frost, but not their interaction, constrain

Journal Pre-proof

European beech and Silver fir growth in their southern distribution limits. Agric. For. Meteorol. 278, 107695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107695

Gentilesca, T., Vieno, M., Perks, M.P., Borghetti, M., Mencuccini, M., 2013. Effects of Long-Term Nitrogen Addition and Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on Carbon Accumulation in Picea sitchensis Plantations. Ecosystems 16, 1310–1324. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-013-9685-9

Gradowski, T., Thomas, S.C., 2008. Responses of Acer saccharum canopy trees and saplings to P, K and lime additions under high N deposition. Tree Physiol. 28, 173–185. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/28.2.173

Gradowski, T., Thomas, S.C., 2006. Phosphorus limitation of sugar maple growth in central Ontario. For. Ecol. Manage. 226, 104–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.12.062

Granier, A., Reichstein, M., Bréda, N., Janssens, I.A., Falge, E., Ciais, P., Grünwald, T., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Facini, O., Grassi, G., Heinesch, B., Ilvesniemi, H., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Köstner, B., Lagergren, F., Lindroth, A., Longdoz, B., Loustau, D., Mateus, J., Montagnani, L., Nys, C., Moors, E., Papale, D., Peiffer, M., Pilegaard, K., Pita, G., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rebmann, C., Rodrigues, A., Seufert, G., Tenhunen, J., Vesala, T., Wang, Q., 2007. Evidence for soil water control on carbon and water dynamics in European forests during the extremely dry year: 2003. Agric. For. Meteorol. 143, 123–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.12.004

Grassi, G., Vicinelli, E., Ponti, F., Cantoni, L., Magnani, F., 2005. Seasonal and interannual variability of photosynthetic capacity in relation to leaf nitrogen in a deciduos forest plantation in northern Italy. Tree Physiol. 25, 349:360.

Güsewell, S., 2004. N:P ratios in terrestrial plants: Variation and functional significance. New Phytol. 164, 243–266. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01192.x

Han, W., Fang, J., Guo, D., Zhang, Y., 2005. Leaf nitrogen and phosphorus stoichiometry across 753 terrestrial plant species in China. New Phytol. 168, 377–385. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01530.x

Journal Pre-proof

Haynes, R.J., Swift, R.S., 1985. Effects of soil acidification on the chemical extractability of Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu and the growth and micronutrient uptake of highbush blueberry plants. Plant Soil 201–212.

Hyvönen, R., Persson, T., Andersson, S., Olsson, B., Ågren, G.I., Linder, S., 2008. Impact of long-term nitrogen addition on carbon stocks in trees and soils in northern Europe. Biogeochemistry 89, 121–137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-007-9121-3

ICP Forests, Rautio, P., Furst, A., Stefan, K., Raitio, H., Bartels, U., 2016. Part XII: Sampling and Analysis of Needles and Leaves, in: UNECE ICP Forests Programme Co-ordinating Centre (Ed.), Manual on Methods and Criteria for Harmonized Sampling, Assessment, Monitoring and Analysis of the Effects of Air Pollution on Forests. Thünen Institute of Forest Ecosystems, Eberswalde, Germany, p. 16.

INFC, Tabacchi, G., De Natale, F., Di Cosmo, L., Floris, A., Gagliano, C., Gasparini, P., Genchi, L., Scrinzi, G., Tosi, V., 2007. Le stime di superficie 2005 - Prima parte., Inventario Nazionale delle Foreste e dei Serbatoi Forestali di Carbonio. MiPAF - Corpo Forestale dello Stato - Ispettorato Generale, CRA - ISAFA. Trento. Trento.

Johnson, J., Graf Pannatier, E., Carnicelli, S., Cecchini, G., Clarke, N., Cools, N., Hansen, K., Meesenburg, H., Nieminen, T.M., Pihl-Karlsson, G., Titeux, H., Vanguelova, E., Verstraeten, A., Vesterdal, L., Waldner, P., Jonard, M., 2018. The response of soil solution chemistry in European forests to decreasing acid deposition. Glob. Chang. Biol. 24, 3603–3619. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14156

Jonard, M., André, F., Giot, P., Weissen, F., van der Perre, R., Ponette, Q., 2010. Thirteen-year monitoring of liming and PK fertilization effects on tree vitality in Norway spruce and European beech stands. Eur. J. For. Res. 129, 1203–1211. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-010-0410-3

Jonard, M., Fürst, A., Verstraeten, A., Thimonier, A., Timmermann, V., Potočić, N., Waldner, P., Benham, S., Hansen, K., Merilä, P., Ponette, Q., de la Cruz, A.C., Roskams, P., Nicolas, M., Croisé, L., Ingerslev, M., Matteucci, G., Decinti, B., Bascietto, M., Rautio, P., 2014. Tree mineral nutrition is deteriorating in Europe. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21, 418–

430. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12657

Jönsson, A.M., Kivimäenpää, M., Stjernquist, I., Sutinen, S., 2001. Frost hardiness in bark and needles of Norway spruce in southern Sweden. Trees - Struct. Funct. 15, 171–176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004680100088

Kaakinen, S., Piispanen, R., Lehto, S., Metsometsä, J., Nilsson, U., Saranpää, P., Linder, S., Vapaavuori, E., 2009. Growth, wood chemistry, and fibre length of Norway spruce in a long-term nutrient optimization experiment. Can. J. For. Res. 39, 410–419. https://doi.org/10.1139/X08-180

Kitajima, K., Hogan, K.P., 2003. Increases of chlorophyll a/b ratios during acclimation of tropical woody seedlings to nitrogen limitation and high light. Plant, Cell Environ. 26, 857–865. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.01017.x

Law, B., 2013. Biogeochemistry: Nitrogen deposition and forest carbon. Nature 496, 307–308. https://doi.org/10.1038/496307a

Li, M., Guo, W., Du, N., Xu, Z., Guo, X., 2018. Nitrogen deposition does not affect the impact of shade on Quercus acutissima seedlings. PLoS One 13, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194261

Li, R., Lu, Y., Wan, F., Wang, Y., Pan, X., 2018. Impacts of a high nitrogen load on foliar nutrient status, N metabolism, and photosynthetic capacity in a Cupressus lusitanica Mill. plantation. Forests 9, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3390/f9080483

Li, Y., He, N., Hou, J., Xu, L., Liu, C., Zhang, J., Wang, Q., Zhang, X., Wu, X., 2018. Factors Influencing Leaf Chlorophyll Content in Natural Forests at the Biome Scale. Front. Ecol. Evol. 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00064

Liu, H., Wang, R., Lü, X.T., Cai, J., Feng, X., Yang, G., Li, H., Zhang, Y., Han, X., Jiang, Y., 2021. Effects of nitrogen addition on plant-soil micronutrients vary with nitrogen form and mowing management in a meadow steppe. Environ. Pollut. 289, 117969. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117969

Liu, M., Wang, Y., Li, Q., Xiao, W., Song, X., 2019. Photosynthesis, ecological stoichiometry, and non-structural carbohydrate response to simulated nitrogen deposition and

Journal Pre-proof

phosphorus addition in chinese fir forests. Forests 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/F10121068

Liu, N., Zhang, S., Huang, Y., Wang, J., Cai, H., 2020. Canopy and understory additions of nitrogen change the chemical composition, construction cost, and payback time of dominant woody species in an evergreen broadleaved forest. Sci. Total Environ. 727, 138738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138738

Mao, J., Mao, Q., Zheng, M., Mo, J., 2020. Responses of Foliar Nutrient Status and Stoichiometry to Nitrogen Addition in Different Ecosystems: A Meta-analysis. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences 125, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005347

Marchetto, A., Arisci, S., Tartari, G.A., Balestrini, R., Tait, D., 2014. Current state and temporal evolution of the chemical composition of atmospheric depositions in forest areas of the CONECOFOR network. For. Riv. di Selvic. ed Ecol. For. 11, 72–85. https://doi.org/10.3832/efor1003-011

Mellert, K.H., Göttlein, A., 2012. Comparison of new foliar nutrient thresholds derived from van den Burg's literature compilation with established central European references. Eur. J. For. Res. 131, 1461–1472. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-012-0615-8

Minocha, R., Long, S., Magill, A.H., Aber, J., McDowell, W.H., 2000. Foliar free polyamine and inorganic ion content in relation to soil and soil solution chemistry in two fertilized forest stands at the Harvard Forest, Massachusetts. Plant Soil 222, 119–137. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004775829678

Minocha, R., Turlapati, S.A., Long, S., McDowell, W.H., Minocha, S.C., Millard, P., 2015. Long-term trends of changes in pine and oak foliar nitrogen metabolism in response to chronic nitrogen amendments at Harvard Forest, MA. Tree Physiol. 35, 894–909. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv044

Mohapatra S, Minocha R, Long S, Minocha SC (2009) Putrescine over- production negatively impacts the oxidative state of poplar cells in culture. Plant Physiol Biochem 47:262–271

Nair, R.K.F., Perks, M.P., Weatherall, A., Baggs, E.M., Mencuccini, M., 2016. Does canopy

Journal Pre-proof

nitrogen uptake enhance carbon sequestration by trees? Glob. Chang. Biol. 22, 875–888. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13096

Nestola, E., Scartazza, A., Di Baccio, D., Castagna, A., Ranieri, A., Cammarano, M., Mazzenga, F., Matteucci, G., Calfapietra, C., 2018. Are optical indices good proxies of seasonal changes in carbon fluxes and stress-related physiological status in a beech forest? Sci. Total Environ. 612, 1030–1041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.167

Niinemets, Ü., 2007. Photosynthesis and resource distribution through plant canopies. Plant, Cell Environ. 30, 1052–1071. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01683.x

Niinemets, Ü., Keenan, T.F., Hallik, L., 2015. A worldwide analysis of within-canopy variations in leaf structural, chemical and physiological traits across plant functional types. New Phytol. 205, 973–993. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13096

Nolè, A., Law, B.E., Magnani, F., Matteucci, G., Ferrara, A., Ripullone, F., Borghetti, M., 2009. Application of the 3-PGS model to assess carbon accumulation in forest ecosystems at a regional level. Can. J. For. Res. 39, 1647–1661. https://doi.org/10.1139/X09-077

Ochoa-Hueso, R., Paradel, C., Perez-Corona, M.E., Manrique, E., 2014. Pigment ratios of the Mediterranean bryophyte Pleurochaete squarrosa respond to simulated nitrogen deposition, in: Sutton, M.A., Mason, K.E., Sheppard, L.J., Sverdrup, H., Haeuber, R., Hicks, W.K. (Eds.), Nitrogen Deposition, Critical Loads and Biodiversity. © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014, pp. 207–216. https://doi.org/DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-7939-6_23

Pearson, J., Stewart, G.R., 1993. The deposition of atmospheric ammonia and its effects on plants. New Phytol. 125, 283–305. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1993.tb03882.x

Penuelas, J., Fernández-Martínez, M., Vallicrosa, H., Maspons, J., Zuccarini, P., Carnicer, J., Sanders, T.G.M., Krüger, I., Obersteiner, M., Janssens, I.A., Ciais, P., Sardans, J., 2020. Increasing atmospheric CO2 concentrations correlate with declining nutritional status of European forests. Commun. Biol. 3, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-

Journal Pre-proof

0839-y

Phoenix, G.K., Emmett, B.A., Britton, A.J., Caporn, S.J.M., Dise, N.B., Helliwell, R., Jones, L., Leake, J.R., Leith, I.D., Sheppard, L.J., Sowerby, A., Pilkington, M.G., Rowe, E.C., Ashmore, M.R., Power, S.A., 2012. Impacts of atmospheric nitrogen deposition: Responses of multiple plant and soil parameters across contrasting ecosystems in long-term field experiments. Glob. Chang. Biol. 18, 1197–1215. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02590.x

Phoenix, G.K., Hicks, W.K., Cinderby, S., Kuylenstierna, J.C.I., Stock, W.D., Dentener, F.J., Giller, K.E., Austin, A.T., Lefroy, R.D.B., Gimeno, B.S., Ashmore, M.R., Ineson, P., 2006. Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: The need for a greater global perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. Glob. Chang. Biol. 12, 470–476. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01104.x

Pierce, S., Vianelli, A., Cerabolini, B., 2005. From ancient genes to modern communities: The cellular stress response and the evolution of plant strategies. Funct. Ecol. 19, 763–776. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2005.01028.x

Poetzelsberger, E., Wolfslehner, B., Hasenauer, H., 2015. Climate change impacts on key forest functions of the Vienna Woods. Eur. J. For. Res. 134, 481–496. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-015-0866-2

Poorter, H., Walter, A., Fiorani, F., Schurr, U., Niinemets, Ü., 2009. Meta-phenomics: Building a unified framework for interpreting plant growth responses to diverse environmental variables. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 153, S224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2009.04.556

Prietzel, J., Stetter, U., 2010. Long-term trends of phosphorus nutrition and topsoil phosphorus stocks in unfertilized and fertilized Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) stands at two sites in Southern Germany. For. Ecol. Manage. 259, 1141–1150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.12.030

Reay, D.S., Dentener, F., Smith, P., Grace, J., Feely, R.A., 2008. Global nitrogen deposition and carbon sinks. Nat. Geosci. 1, 430–437. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo230

Journal Pre-proof

Rezaie, N., D'Andrea, E., Bräuning, A., Matteucci, G., Bombi, P., Lauteri, M., 2018. Do atmospheric CO2 concentration increase, climate and forest management affect iWUE of common beech? Evidences from carbon isotope analyses in tree rings. Tree Physiol. 38, 1110–1126. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy025

Sala, A., Hopping, K., McIntire, E.J.B., Delzon, S., Crone, E.E., 2012. Masting in whitebark pine (Pinus albicaulis) depletes stored nutrients. New Phytol. 196, 189–199. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04257.x

Sardans, J., Peñuelas, J., 2013. Plant-soil interactions in Mediterranean forest and shrublands: Impacts of climatic change. Plant Soil 365, 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1591-6

Scartazza, A., Di Baccio, D., Bertolotto, P., Gavrichkova, O., Matteucci, G., 2016. Investigating the European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) leaf characteristics along the vertical canopy profile: Leaf structure, photosynthetic capacity, light energy dissipation and photoprotection mechanisms. Tree Physiol. 36, 1060–1076. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw038

Shahid, M., Shukla, A.K., Bhattacharyya, P., Tripathi, R., Mohanty, S., Kumar, A., Lal, B., Gautam, P., Raja, R., Panda, B.B., Das, B., Nayak, A.K., 2016. Micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu) balance under long-term application of fertilizer and manure in a tropical rice-rice system. J. Soils Sediments 16, 737–747. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-015-1272-6

Sparks, J.P., 2009. Ecological ramifications of the direct foliar uptake of nitrogen. Oecologia 159, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1188-6

St. Clair, S.B., Monson, S.D., Smith, E.A., Cahill, D.G., Calder, W.J., 2009. Altered leaf morphology, leaf resource dilution and defense chemistry induction in frost-defoliated aspen (Populus tremuloides). Tree Physiol. 29, 1259–1268. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpp058

Stefan, K., Furst, A., Hacker, R., 1997. Forest Foliar Condition in Europe, Results of large-scale foliar chemistry surveys 1995, Forest Research. Brussels, Belgium.

Journal Pre-proof

Sullivan, B.W., Alvarez-Clare, S., Castle, S.C., Porder, S., Reed, S.C., Schreeg, L., Townsend, A.R., Cleveland, C.C., 2014. Concepts & Synthesis. Ecology 95, 668–681.

Taiz, L., Zeiger, E., Møller, I. M., & Murphy, A. (2015). Plant physiology and development, 6th Edition, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, CT.Talkner, U., Meiwes, K.J., Potočić, N., Seletković, I., Cools, N., De Vos, B., Rautio, P., 2015. Phosphorus nutrition of beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) is decreasing in Europe. Ann. For. Sci. 72, 919–928. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-015-0459-8

Tavarini, S., Pagano, I., Guidi, L., Angelini, L.G., 2016. Impact of nitrogen supply on growth, steviol glycosides and photosynthesis in Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni. Plant Biosyst. 150, 953–962. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2014.993743

Hikosaka, K., Terashima, I., 1995. A model of the acclimation of photosynthesis in the leaves of C3 plants to sun and shade with respect to nitrogen use. Plant, cell & environment, 18(6), 605-618.

Vitasse, Y., Bottero, A., Cailleret, M., Bigler, C., Fonti, P., Gessler, A., Lévesque, M., Rohner, B., Weber, P., Rigling, A., Wohlgemuth, T., 2019. Contrasting resistance and resilience to extreme drought and late spring frost in five major European tree species. Glob. Chang. Biol. 25, 3781–3792. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14803

Wright, S.J., 2011. Potassium , phosphorus , or nitrogen limit root allocation , tree growth , or litter production in a lowland tropical forest. Ecology 92, 1616–1625. https://doi.org/10.2307/23034887

Wu, F.Z., Bao, W.K., Li, F.L., Wu, N., 2008. Effects of water stress and nitrogen supply on leaf gas exchange and fluorescence parameters of Sophora davidii seedlings. Photosynthetica 46, 40–48. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-008-0008-x

Yasumura, Y., Hikosaka, K., Hirose, T., 2006. Resource allocation to vegetative and reproductive growth in relation to mast seeding in Fagus crenata. For. Ecol. Manage. 229, 228–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2006.04.003

Zavišić, A., Polle, A., 2018. Dynamics of phosphorus nutrition, allocation and growth of young beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) trees in P-rich and P-poor forest soil. Tree Physiol. 38, 37–

Journal Pre-proof

51. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx146

Zhang, R., Wu, J., Li, Q., Hanninen, H., Peng, C., Yao, H., Song, X., Ying, Y., 2017. Nitrogen Deposition Enhances Photosynthesis in Moso Bamboo but Increases Scusceptibility to Other Stress Factors. Front. Plant Sci. 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01975

Zhang, T., Yang, S., Guo, R., Guo, J., 2016. Warming and nitrogen addition alter photosynthetic pigments, sugars and nutrients in a temperate meadow ecosystem. PLoS One 11, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155375

Zhang, Y., de Vries, W., Thomas, B.W., Hao, X., Shi, X., 2017. Impacts of long-term nitrogen fertilization on acid buffering rates and mechanisms on a slightly calcareous clay soil. Geoderma 305, 92–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.05.021

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Leaf N:P and N:S ratios in beech forests at two experimental sites (Cansiglio, white bars; Collelongo, grey bars) subjected to N addition treatments (Control; Medium, 30 N kg ha^{-1} y^{-1}; High, 60 kg N ha^{-1} y^{-1}) in 2016 and 2018 (panels A and B). Mean ± standard error (*n* = 3). Horizontal lines refer to minimum (dotted) and maximum (dashed line) critical values for the species, according to the normal range for nutrient concentrations suggested by Mellert and Göttlein (2012) for N:P ratio and by Stefan et al. (1997) for N:S ratio. Results of two-way ANOVA analysis (factors: site and N treatment, N) are given in panel C. Significant ($p \leq 0.05$) effects of site, N and their interaction are in bold. The columns identified by different letters are significantly different (differences between treatments or sites, simultaneously; SNK test).

Fig. 2. Total leaf chlorophyll (Chl *a*+*b*) and carotenoid concentrations in *F. sylvatica* trees subjected to N addition treatments (Control; Medium, 30 N kg ha^{-1} y^{-1}; High, 60 kg N ha^{-1} y^{-1}) at the Cansiglio (white bars) and Collelongo (grey bars) sites for 2016 and 2018 sampling years (panels A to D). Mean ± standard error (*n* = 3). Statistics as in Fig. 1. Results of the two-way ANOVA analysis (factors: sites and N treatment, N) are given in panel E.

Fig. 3. Correlation between leaf N concentration (N) and total chlorophyll concentration (Chl *a*+*b*) in Cansiglio and Collelongo forests in 2016 and 2018. Points correspond to measurements on individual plants (*n*=3-9) from each experimental plot (Control, grey dots; Medium, dark grey squares; High, black triangle during the two sampling campaigns. The Pearson's coefficient and significance level (p) of linear regressions are also shown.

Journal Pre-proof

Fig. 4. Principal component analysis showing the difference in nutritional status of *F. sylvatica* trees at the two sites (Cansiglio and Collelongo) and exposed to different N fertilization treatments (Control, Medium, High), along the first two canonical variate axes during the first (2016, A), and the second year (2018, B) of sampling.

Journal Pre-proof

Graphical abstract



Journal Pre-proof

Fig. 1



C

Parameter	N:P				N:S			
Years	2016		2018		2016		2018	
Factors	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*
Site	73.54	**<0.001**	69.37	**<0.001**	8.805	**0.012**	**0.015**	0.906
N	4.836	**0.029**	5.200	**0.024**	46.24	**<0.001**	5.492	**0.020**
Site x N	0.344	0.715	4.068	**0.045**	0.462	0.641	3.855	**0.051**

Journal Pre-proof

Fig. 2



E

Photosynthetic pigments (mg g^{-1})	Chl (a+b)				Carotenoids			
Year	2016		2018		2016		2018	
Factors	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*
Site	0.688	0.415	41.92	**<0.001**	2.443	0.132	1.741	0.194
N	0.588	0.564	1.982	0.151	0.492	0.618	4.243	**0.021**
Site x N	1.982	0.162	0.020	0.98	3.99	**0.032**	0.927	0.404

Journal Pre-proof

Fig. 3



Journal Pre-proof

Fig. 4



Journal Pre-proof

Table 1. General description of Cansiglio and Collelongo experimental sites. Stand structural data from July 2015; N deposition data are an average for the period 1998-2017; climatic data are an average for the period 1981-2010. Total N soil con refers to mineral horizon A.

	Unit	Cansiglio	Collelongo
Latitude		46° 03’ 29’’ N	41° 50’ 58’’ N
Longitude		12° 22’ 45’’ E	13° 35’ 17’’ E
Elevation	m a.s.l.	1100	1560
Management		High forest	Coppice converted to high forest since 1950
Tree species		*Fagus sylvatica* L.	*Fagus sylvatica* L.
Stand age	years	130-140	105-125
Stand density	trees ha^{-1}	168	740
Mean tree DBH	cm	45	27
Mean tree height	m	29	21
FAO soil type		Haplic Luvisol	Humic Alisol
Annual precipitation	mm y^{-1}	1767	877
Mean annual temperature	°C	7.2	7.4
Mean annual total N deposition (open field) *	kg N ha^{-1} y^{-1}	17.7	5.99
Mean annual total N deposition (throughfall) *	kg N ha^{-1} y^{-1}	12.6	5.58
Total soil N concentration	%	0.34	0.67
Soil field capacity	% volume	21	14
Soil net N mineralization**	kg N ha^{-1} y^{-1}	95	120
Soil pH (at 20 cm depth)		4.3	5.6

* Cecchini et al., 2021. **In 2015, at the beginning of the experimental study.

Journal Pre-proof

Table 2. Macro- (N, P, K) and meso-(S, Mg, Ca) nutrients concentration in beech leaves collected at the two experimental sites in 2016 and 2018. Mean ± standard error (*n* = 3). For each parameter, the results of 2-way ANOVA analysis (factors: Site and N treatment) are also presented for each sampling year (2016 and 2018). Values of significant level (p) and F are reported; significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) are indicated in bold. Fertilization treatments correspond to 0 (Control), 30 (Medium) and 60 kg N ha^{-1} y^{-1} (High).

Macronutrients (mg g^{-1})	N				P				K			
Year	2016		2018		2016		2018		2016		2018	
CANSIGLIO												
Control	23.5 (±0.98)		22.0 (±0.61)		2.17 (±0.06)		1.28 (±0.03)		6.05 (±0.21)		5.21 (±0.40)	
Medium	23.6 (±1.04)		22.7 (±1.08)		2.04 (±0.01)b		1.16 (±0.05)		5.76 (±0.25)		5.00 (±0.30)	
High	22.8 (±0.62)		23.6 (±0.35)		1.91 (±0.05)		1.17 (±0.06)		5.51 (±0.29)		4.90 (±0.26)	
COLLELONGO												
Control	27.1 (±0.84)		24.6 (±0.73)		2.02 (±0.06)		1.09 (±0.02)		7.19 (±0.26)		5.53 (±0.48)	
Medium	27.5 (±0.59)		25.6 (±1.39)		1.97 (±0.02)		1.08 (±0.05)		7.75 (±0.09)		5.66 (±0.40)	
High	27.0 (±0.38)		25.0 (±0.58)		1.91 (±0.05)		1.12 (±0.04)		6.60 (±0.37)		5.78 (±0.51)	
	F	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*
Site	37.41	**<0.001**	10.59	**0.007**	1.311	0.273	9.390	**0.010**	44.01	**<0.001**	3.598	0.082
N	0.393	0.683	0.729	0.502	4.647	**0.032**	1.145	0.351	4.070	**0.045**	0.006	0.994
Site x N	0.102	0.904	0.439	0.655	0.933	0.420	1.318	0.304	1.888	0.194	0.261	0.775

Mesonutrients (mg g^{-1})	Ca				Mg				S			
Year	2016		2018		2016		2018		2016		2018	
CANSIGLIO												
Control	9.70 (±0.27)		8.12 (±0.02)		1.75 (±0.12)		1.61 (±0.03)		1.32 (±0.06)		1.32 (±0.02)	
Medium	9.03 (±0.27)		7.93 (±0.42)		1.46 (±0.06)		1.39 (±0.04)		1.21 (±0.04)		1.27 (±0.03)	
High	8.16 (±0.16)		8.71 (±1.00)		1.35 (0.10)		1.53 (±0.10)		1.08 (±0.02)		1.24 (±0.02)	
COLLELONGO												
Control	14.25 (±0.58)		9.55 (±0.48)		1.69 (±0.18)		1.45 (±0.04)		1.46 (±0.04)		1.37 (±0.05)	
Medium	13.70 (±1.93)		10.1 (±0.42)		1.35 (±0.07)		1.42 (±0.15)		1.33 (±0.03)		1.46 (±0.08)	
High	12.22 (±1.00)		9.11 (±1.05)		1.53 (±0.29)		1.42 (±0.14)		1.25 (±0.02)		1.37 (±0.05)	
	F	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*
Site	33.746	**<0.001**	5.658	**0.035**	0.002	0.967	1.068	0.322	19.373	**<0.001**	10.570	**0.007**
N	1.887	0.194	0.019	0.981	2.351	0.138	0.804	0.470	16.395	**<0.001**	0.777	0.482
Site x N	0.060	0.942	0.870	0.444	0.472	0.635	0.465	0.639	0.171	0.845	1.054	0.379

Journal Pre-proof

Journal Pre-proof

Table 3. Micro-nutrients (Mn, Fe, Zn, Cu and B) concentrations in beech leaves as a function of N fertilization at the two experimental sites (Collelongo and Cansiglio) in 2016 and 2018. Mean ± standard error (*n* = 3). For each parameter, the results of a 2-way ANOVA analysis (factors: Site and N treatment) are also presented for each sampling year (2016 and 2018); significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) are indicated in bold. Abbreviation as indicated in Table 2.

Micronutrients ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$)	Mn				Fe				Zn				Cu				B			
Year	2016		2018		2016		2018		2016		2018		2016		2018		2016		2018	
CANSIGLIO																				
Control	0.85 (±0.05)		0.74 (±0.07)		109.7(±13.8)		80.7 (±3.17)		24.2 (±1.26)		24.7 (±0.52)		9.62 (±0.76)		8.71 (±0.36)		34.3 (±0.85)		11.4 (±0.36)	
Medium	1.01 (±0.09)		1.09 (±0.12)		95.4 (±3.39)		86.4 (±4.23)		23.5 (±0.40)		25.9 (±0.49)		9.93 (±0.66)		8.28 (±0.35)		30.3 (±0.39)		10.2 (±0.03)	
High	0.98 (±0.12)		0.95 (±0.08)		89.1 (±3.23)		85.7 (±2.93)		20.1 (±0.83)		24.8 (±2.37)		11.2 (±0.18)		8.54 (±0.37)		28.8 (±0.21)		9.96 (± 0.52)	
COLLELONGO																				
Control	0.85 (±0.06)		0.17 (±0.04)		118.6 (±8.5)		101.1 (±5.6)		18.1 (±0.85)		25.8 (±1.73)		10.7 (±0.35)		7.42 (±0.48)		49.8 (±0.73)		18.5 (±1.76)	
Medium	0.20 (±0.01)		0.15 (±0.01)		97.6 (±2.25)		93.2 (±7.75)		16.9 (±2.65)		25.9 (±3.73)		10.5 (±0.33)		7.13 (±0.47)		48.3 (±3.80)		19.8 (±1.38)	
High	0.33 (±0.04)		0.23 (±0.02)		82.3 (±2.93)		91.9 (±4.0[illegible])		14.0 (±1.41)		26.8 (±2.85)		10.4 (±1.46)		7.05 (±0.21)		48.7 (±1.09)		19.5 (±0.83)	
	F	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*	*F*	*p*
Site	139.0	**<0.001**	164.2	**<0.001**	0.06	0.8[illegible]8	7.67	**0.017**	27.31	**<0.001**	0.31	0.586	0.20	0.661	17.46	**0.001**	166.87	**<0.001**	111.89	**<0.001**
N	0.76	0.487	2.47	0.126	8.28	**0.005**	0.09	0.913	5.15	**0.024**	0.05	0.956	0.41	0.671	0.47	0.636	2.25	0.149	0.05	0.951
Site x N	1.47	0.269	2.32	0.141	0.[illegible]	0.549	1.32	0.303	0.07	0.930	0.09	0.915	0.87	0.442	0.10	0.905	0.87	0.445	1.02	0.390

Journal Pre-proof

Journal Pre-proof

Journal Pre-proof

CRediT author statement

Alessandra Teglia: Writing-Original Draft preparation, Visualization, Formal analysis, Data curation, Investigation, Conceptualization.

Daniela Di Baccio: Writing-Original Draft preparation, Writing-Review & Editing, Supervision, Conceptualization.

Giorgio Matteucci: Methodology, Funding acquisition, Project administration

Andrea Scartazza: Writing-Review & Editing

Bruno De Cinti: Writing-Review & Editing, Investigation

Francesco Mazzenga: Data curation, Investigation

Enrico Muzzi: Formal analysis

Dario Ravaioli: Investigation

Graziella Marcolini: Methodology, Resources, Project administration, Data curation, Investigation

Federico Magnani: Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Writing-Review &Editing

Journal Pre-proof

Journal Pre-proof

Dr. Alessandra Teglia
Phone +39- 3386069683
alessandra.teglia3@unibo.it

December, 2021

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

Journal Pre-proof