

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Social cognition in hyperkinetic movement disorders: a systematic review

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version: Rizzo G., Martino D., Avanzino L., Avenanti A., Vicario C.M. (2023). Social cognition in hyperkinetic movement disorders: a systematic review. SOCIAL NEUROSCIENCE, 18(6), 331-354 [10.1080/17470919.2023.2248687].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/953860 since: 2024-01-24

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2023.2248687

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Rizzo G, Martino D, Avanzino L, Avenanti A, Vicario CM (2023). Social cognition in hyperkinetic movement disorders: a systematic review. Social Neuroscience 18(6), 331-354.

The final published version is available online at:

https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2023.2248687

© 2023. This manuscript version is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Social cognition in hyperkinetic movement disorders: a systematic review

Gaetano Rizzo¹, Davide Martino², Laura Avanzino³, Alessio Avenanti^{4,5,*} & Carmelo Mario Vicario^{1,*}

1 Dipartimento di Scienze Cognitive, Psicologiche, Pedagogiche e degli studi culturali, Università di Messina, Messina, Italy.

2 Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Hotchkiss Brain Institute, Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

3 Department of Experimental Medicine, Section of Human Physiology, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy.

4 Centro studi e ricerche in Neuroscienze Cognitive, Dipartimento di Psicologia "Renzo Canestrari", Campus di Cesena, Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna, Cesena, Italy.

5 Centro de Investigación en Neuropsicología y Neurociencias Cognitivas, Universidad Católica del Maule, Talca, Chile.

* equal contribution

Correspondence: alessio.avenanti@unibo.it cvicario@unime.it

ABSTRACT

Numerous lines of research indicate that our social brain involves a network of cortical and subcortical brain regions that are responsible for sensing and controlling body movements. However, it remains unclear whether movement disorders have a systematic impact on social cognition. To address this question, we conducted a systematic review examining the influence of hyperkinetic movement disorders (including Huntington disease, Tourette syndrome, dystonia, and essential tremor) on social cognition. Following the PRISMA guidelines and registering the protocol in the PROSPERO database (CRD42022327459), we analyzed 50 published studies focusing on theory of mind (ToM), social perception, and empathy. The results from these studies provide evidence of impairments in ToM and social perception in all hyperkinetic movement disorders, particularly during the recognition of negative emotions. Additionally, individuals with Huntington's Disease and Tourette syndrome exhibit empathy disorders. These findings support the functional role of subcortical structures (such as the basal ganglia and cerebellum), which are primarily responsible for movement disorders, in deficits related to social cognition.

KEYWORDS: hyperkinetic disorders; theory of mind; social perception; emotion recognition; empathy

1. Introduction

Social cognition refers to a complex set of mental abilities that enable us to process and respond to social stimuli. These abilities are crucial for accurately interpreting social contexts and effectively interacting with others (Beaudoin & Beauchamp, 2020; Decety & Cacioppo, 2011). When social cognition is impaired, it can have a negative impact on our understanding of others and our ability to interact with them, leading to a diminished quality of life (Hasson-Ohayon et al., 2017). In experimental and clinical settings, researchers have primarily focused on three main dimensions of social cognition: social perception, theory of mind (ToM), and empathy (Henry et al., 2016). These dimensions are conceptually, behaviorally, and neurally distinguishable from each other (Preckel et al., 2018).

At its most basic level, social perception involves how we perceive and interpret social cues. These cues include biological movements (Giese & Poggio, 2003), emotions expressed through facial and bodily expressions (De Gelder et al., 2010; Todorov et al., 2008), and emotional prosody (Mitchell et al., 2003). The posterior superior temporal sulcus (pSTS) is one of the areas most consistently activated in response to biological movements (Allison et al., 2000; Jastorff et al., 2012; Urgesi et al., 2014) and emotional expressions (Deen et al., 2015; Haxby et al., 2000; Paracampo et al., 2018b). Other areas that respond to biological movements include inferior frontal, premotor, somatosensory, and posterior parietal regions that are also active during action execution (Paracampo et al., 2018a; Pitcher et al., 2008; Sliwinska & Pitcher, 2018; Urgesi et al., 2014; Valchev et al., 2017). These sensorimotor regions contribute to linking observed movements and expressions with their corresponding sensorimotor representations (Avenanti et al., 2013; Keysers et al., 2010; Rizzolatti & Sinigaglia, 2016), providing support to embodied-grounded proposals that cognition, and social perception in particular, is rooted in sensorimotor experiences and body-environment interactions (Barsalou, 2008; Borghi et al., 2013; Gallese & Sinigaglia, 2011; Niedenthal et al., 2010; Pezzulo et al., 2011; Shapiro, 2011). Social perception also relies on visual areas involved in processing morphological aspects of facial and body stimuli, including the fusiform facial area (FFA) and occipital face area (OFA) (Kanwisher & Yovel, 2006; Pitcher et al., 2011) and the extrastriate body area (EBA) and fusiform body area (FBA) (Downing & Peelen, 2016; Peelen & Downing, 2007). Additionally, social perception involves the amygdala which is part of a cortico-subcortical network responsible for identifying the salience and emotional value of social stimuli (Bagnis et al., 2020; Costafreda et al., 2008; Seeley, 2019; Tamietto & de Gelder, 2010).

Theory of mind (ToM) allows us to attribute mental states to ourselves and others. This ability enables us to make inferences and adjust our behavior in social environments (Carrington & Bailey, 2009; Gallagher & Frith, 2003). The medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC) plays a role in integrating social information with stored knowledge and norms, allowing us to infer others' mental states, including stable states such as personality traits (Amodio & Frith, 2006; Heleven & Van Overwalle, 2018). On the other hand, the temporoparietal junction (TPJ) is involved in processing temporary inner states such as belief, goals, and intentions of other people (Krall et al., 2015; Van Overwalle, 2009).

Empathy encompasses two main components: cognitive empathy, which refers to the ability to assume the point of view of others (perspective-taking) and understand their feelings, and affective empathy, which involves perceiving and experiencing at an emotional level what another person feels (Cuff et al., 2016; Zaki & Ochsner, 2012) – although some scholars tend to limit the concept of empathy to its affective component (e.g., Preckel et al., 2018). The brain networks involved in cognitive empathy overlap with those associated with ToM, including MPFC and TPJ. On the other hand, affective empathy primarily involves the anterior middle cingulate cortex (aMCC) and anterior insula (Fan et al., 2011; Singer et al., 2004; Zaki & Ochsner, 2012) which reflect individual emotional response (Corradi-Dell'acqua et al., 2016; Fan et al., 2011; Zaki & Ochsner, 2012). Sensorimotor areas also participate in empathic responses, such as when observing others in pain, which activates motor and somatosensory regions (Avenanti et al., 2005; Bufalari et al., 2007; Keysers et al., 2010) relevant for understanding others' bodily states (Adolphs et al., 2000; Pitcher et al., 2008). The collective activity of these brain regions contributes to eliciting compassions, which is a complementary social emotion associated with reward-related brain networks, including the basal ganglia (ventral striatum and nucleus accumbens), orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and subgenual anterior cingulate (sgACC) (Preckel et al., 2018), and regulating prosocial behavior (e.g., Gallo et al., 2018; Zaki & Ochsner, 2012).

Given the critical involvement of brain networks related to sensing and controlling the body in various aspects of social cognition (Avenanti et al., 2013; Barsalou, 2008; Gallese & Sinigaglia, 2011; Keysers et al., 2010; Niedenthal et al., 2010; Rizzolatti & Sinigaglia, 2016; Shapiro, 2011), it is relevant to investigate the extent to which pathological conditions affecting sensorimotor control of body movement, specifically movement disorders, are associated with deficits of social cognition. This is particularly interesting since many of the neural structures affected in individuals with movement disorders overlap with the brain regions involved in social cognition or are directly connected with them. Therefore, it is worth examining these cognitive processes in people with movement disorders. Recent meta-analyses on Parkinson's disease (PD) (Coundouris et al., 2019, 2020) – a complex hypokinetic disorder characterized by slowness and paucity of movement (Abdo et al., 2010) – have reported deficits in social perception, involving the recognition of emotions from faces and prosody, as well as in the cognitive dimensions of ToM and empathy, despite an intact affective empathy. On the other hand, less attention has been devoted to synthesizing knowledge about hyperkinetic movement disorders, which comprise a diverse group of diseases characterized by excessive and involuntary movements (Abdo et al., 2010).

al., 2010). Therefore, our objective is to synthesize the current literature on social cognition processes in hyperkinetic movement disorders to address this knowledge gap.

We conducted a systematic review of social cognition studies in several hyperkinetic movement disorders, including Huntington's disease (HD), Tourette syndrome (TS), dystonia, and essential tremor (ET). These disorders are characterized by excessive and abnormal involuntary movements and are commonly associated with a dysfunction of the basal ganglia and associated cortical-subcortical-circuits; this dysfunction may extend to various brain regions, including the prefrontal cortex and the cerebellum (Albin et al., 1989; Den Dunnen, 2013; Jankovic, 2009).

We focused on studies investigating social perception, ToM, and empathy in hyperkinetic disorders. Social cognition impairments have been observed in these disorders, and understanding the nature and extent of these deficits is crucial for better comprehending their impact on social functioning and developing effective interventions.

This review aims to achieve the following objectives:

Present an overview of the state of the art of social cognition in hyperkinetic movement disorders, highlighting any points of convergence or divergences among the examined diseases.

Build on neuroimaging and clinical evidence to provide new insights into the brain networks and connectivity patterns underlying social cognition. In this regard, particular attention will be given to subcortical structures (i.e., basal ganglia, cerebellum), which are primarily responsible for hyperkinetic movement disorders.

Evaluate the consistency of social cognition deficits across studies to address whether the assessment of social cognition can serve as a tool for the evaluation, treatment, and prognosis of patients affected by hyperkinetic movement disorders.

2. Methods

To conduct the systematic literature review, we followed the guidelines of the PRISMA Statement (Page et al., 2021). The research protocol was registered in PROSPERO, the International prospective register of systematic reviews (CRD42022327459). The review process involved the following steps, based on the PICO framework:

Problem/Patient/Population (P): We analyze the presence of alterations in social cognition in patients with hyperkinetic movement disorders.

Intervention (I): we assessed different dimensions of social cognition, with or without the addition of neuroimaging techniques, to find correlations with brain structure and functioning.

Comparison/Control (C): We compared the results with control groups of healthy subjects.

Outcome (O): We investigated the extent and nature of social cognition alterations or deficits in patients with hyperkinetic movement disorders, along with any structural and/or functional neural correlates at the level of cortical or subcortical areas and/or connectivity between them.

To identify relevant articles, we consulted the semeiotic description of movement disorders from "Principles and practice of movement disorders" (Fahn, 2011; Fahn et al., 2011) and the ICD-11 classification (World Health Organization, 2019). These sources were cross-referenced with the three dimensions of social cognition mentioned above.

The search process involved entering the following string as a search term in the Scopus, PubMed, and Web of Science databases: (("abdominal dyskinesia" OR "akathisia" OR "athetosis" OR "ballism" OR "chorea" OR "dystonia" OR "hemifacial spasm" OR "hyperekplexia" OR "hypnogenic dyskinesia" OR "jumping disorder" OR "jumpy stump" OR "moving toes" OR "moving fingers" OR "myoclonus" OR "myokymia" OR "synkinesis" OR "myorhythmia" OR "periodic movements in sleep" OR "REM sleep behavior disorder" OR "stereotypies "OR "tics" OR "tremor") AND ("social cognition" OR "theory of mind" OR "social perception" OR empathy OR "emotion* recognition")). The string produced a total of 1137 results. To refine the search, duplicates were eliminated, and a first selection was made based on abstracts. The final selection was made after consulting the full texts, considering the following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria: (1) the research articles had to be original and published in English in peer-reviewed journals; (2) the studies needed to be conducted on patients with hyperkinetic movement disorders, based on the list of symptoms in "Principles and practice of movement disorders" (Fahn, 2011; Fahn et al., 2011) and ICD-11 (World Health Organization, 2019). The studies should have involved tasks or assessment measures related to the dimensions of social cognition considered; (3) The studies were required to provide a detailed description of tasks and assessment measures related to social cognition.

Exclusion criteria: (1) review articles were excluded; (2) case studies were not considered; (3) articles that did not pertain to the topic of interest, such as those lacking social cognition measures, movement disorders, or articles on genetics or pharmacology, were excluded; (4) Editorials or commentary were not included; (5) articles not written in English were excluded; (6) Studies without a healthy participants control group were not considered; (7) articles that were already included in a previous meta-analysis on HD (Bora et al., 2016), which highlighted deficits in social perception and ToM in individuals with HD, were excluded. Indeed, our aim was to explore the most recent or excluded developments in social cognition in this disorder.

After applying these criteria, a total of 50 articles were included. The literature search encompassed papers published until NaN Invalid Date . The PRISMA flow diagram (Figure 1) and the table of excluded articles and their reasons (Table 1) are presented below. The selected articles were categorized into paragraphs focusing on different pathological conditions, namely HD, TS, dystonia, and ET.




Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram illustrating the selection process.

	Social Perception	ТоМ	Empathy	
Huntington Disease	Premanifest: individuals tend to perceive social stimuli as less salient.Manifest: difficulties in recognizing emotions, particularly negative ones.Manifest: difficulties in recognizing emotions, particularly negative ones. Manifest: difficulties in recognizing emotions, particularly negative ones.	Premanifest: ToM (cognitive and affective) worsens as motor symptoms progress. Manifest: Impairments in affective ToM (emotional attribution) and cognitive ToM (understanding others' mental states). Altered sarcasm understanding, schadenfreude, and third-party punishment.	Premanifest: Lower scores on cognitive (perspective-taking) and affective empathy (empathic distress) compared to healthy controls.Manifest: Impairments in both cognitive and affective empathy.Manifest: Impairments in both cognitive and affective empathy. Manifest: Impairments in both cognitive and affective empathy.	
Tourette Syndrome	Deficits in recognizing facial expressions of anger, surprise, and sadness. Difficulty in identifying anger prosody.	Difficulties in cognitive ToM (detecting and judging faux pas, unconventional reactions to social stimuli, deficits in indirect sarcasm comprehension, hyper- mentalization). Deficits in affective ToM (reasoning about envy and gloating expressions). TS patients may not necessarily perform worse on ToM tasks but may have task-dependent gray matter alterations.	Reduced scores on cognitive empathy (perspective-taking). Increased scores on personal distress	
Dystonia	Only craniocervical dystonia: difficulties in recognizing the facial expression of disgust, fear, and anger from prosody.	Only craniocervical dystonia: impairments in cognitive ToM (recognizing others' intentions) and affective ToM (attributing emotions to others in a social context).	No significant differences with controls.	
Essential Tremor	Negative correlation between tremor severity and recognition of facial emotions, particularly fear, and joy.	Deficits in cognitive ToM (mental state attribution) but not in affective ToM (emotional attribution).	Unknown	

 Table 1
 Social cognition impairments in hyperkinetic movement disorders.

2.1. Risk of bias assessment

We assessed the risk of bias using "The Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network Methodology checklist: case-control study" (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, 2012) since we reviewed non-randomized case-control studies. This tool consists of sections that evaluate the methodological quality of the studies based on sample selection methods, the validity and reliability of the measures used, control of confounding variables, and the statistical analysis methodology, which help form an overall judgment (Figure 2). The assessment primarily focused on controlling comorbidity with psychiatric and neurological diseases because their presence can influence the outcome of social cognition tasks (Rokita et al., 2018; Weightman et al., 2014). Most studies on movement disorders had a low risk of bias, while the highest risk was found in studies focusing on individuals with HD (28%). For the complete record, refer to the supplementary materials.

Figure 2. Risk of bias summary.

RISK OF BIAS		Huntington Disease	Tourette Syndrome	Dystonia	Essential Tremor	Total
(++)	Low	17 (68%)	12 (80%)	5 (71%)	3 (100%)	37 (74%)
(+)	Medium	1 (4%)	2 (13%)	2 (29%)	-	5 (10%)
(-)	High	7 (28%)	1 (7%)	-	-	8 (16%)
Total articles		25	15	7	3	50

3. Results

3.1. Huntington disease

Huntington Disease is an autosomal dominant neurodegenerative disorder caused by a mutation in the IT15 gene on chromosome 4. This mutation leads to abnormal expansion of the CAG repeat in the region coding for the protein huntingtin (HTT). This mutant HTT aggregates and accumulates within neurons, resulting in progressive neuronal dysfunction and death (Beal et al., 1986; Jimenez-Sanchez et al., 2017; Tabrizi et al., 2020; Walker, 2007). The progression of HD can be divided into three stages: premanifest, prodromal and manifest. The premanifest stage is characterized by the absence of clear symptoms. During this stage, individuals may not exhibit any noticeable signs of the disease, but genetic testing can identify the expansion of the CAG repeat. In the prodromal state, the first subtle symptoms of HD start to emerge. These symptoms can vary among individuals and may include behavioral and motor changes. The manifest stage is characterized by the progressive development of motor and cognitive symptoms until death, which usually occurs around 15-20 years after the appearance of the first symptoms (Vonsattel & DiFiglia, 1998). The classic motor symptom of HD is chorea, which involves involuntary, rapid, and arrhythmic movements. Other motor symptoms may accompany chorea, including tics. In the advanced stages or early-onset forms, bradykinesia and akinesia may become more prominent. Cognitive impairment is also a significant aspect of HD, with executive functions deficits being a common manifestation. These deficits can affect decision-making, planning, sequencing, attention and other cognitive abilities. Additionally, individuals with HD may experience psychiatric problems, including depression and apathy, which worsen as the disease progresses (for a review, see Bates et al., 2015).

Pathologically, HD is characterized by neurodegeneration of the basal ganglia, which is particularly severe in the striatum – a key structure for movement control and reward processing (Beal et al., 1986; Jimenez-Sanchez et al., 2017; Tabrizi et al., 2020). Prominent atrophy occurs mainly in its dorsal sensorimotor components (caudate nuclei and putamen) and results from the loss of GABAergic spiny projection neurons, also known as medium spiny neurons. Atrophy also occurs in the neocortex, the main input region of the striatum, and it is most pronounced in motor and premotor areas, while in advanced disease stages other brain regions become affected, including other basal ganglia nuclei and the cerebellum (Waldvogel et al., 2015). Neurotransmitter systems in the brain are also affected in HD, with a relevant decrease of GABA levels in striatum and dysregulation of other neurotransmitters, including dopamine and glutamate, has also been implicated in the pathophysiology of HD. Finally, HD is associated with mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and impaired energy metabolism in affected neurons. These cellular abnormalities contribute to the progressive degeneration and death of neurons in the brain (Beal et al., 1986; Jimenez-Sanchez et al., 2017; Tabrizi et al., 2020; Walker, 2007).

Social cognition abnormalities in HD primarily manifest in the domain of social perception. Individuals with HD consistently experience difficulties in recognizing emotions from others' facial expressions, particularly negative emotions (Ille et al., 2011; Kordsachia et al., 2018a; Labuschagne et al., 2018; Larsen et al., 2016; Philpott et al., 2016; Sprengelmeyer et al., 2016; Trinkler et al., 2017; Unti et al., 2018; Vicario et al., 2017; Yitzhak et al., 2020). These difficulties have been extensively discussed in recent studies and were highlighted in a meta-analysis by Bora and colleagues (Bora et al., 2016). However, additional research suggest that these difficulties can also extend to the recognition of facial expressions of calmness (Hünefeldt et al., 2020) and happiness (Hünefeldt et al., 2020; Osborne-Crowley et al., 2019), as well as the recognition of emotions conveyed by body

expressions (Zarotti et al., 2019). Moreover, individuals with HD, even in the premanifest or early stages of the disease, tend to perceive a lower salience of social stimuli. When looking at a face, they exhibit fewer fixations and less time scanning the eye region, which is crucial for recognizing various expressions (Kordsachia et al., 2018b).

Emotion recognition impairments are further supported by a recent study using regression-based normative data, which showed that 80% of people with HD perform worse in emotion recognition than healthy participants (Vogel et al., 2022). It should be noted that while individuals with HD demonstrate reduced ability to recognize emotional faces and provide lower intensity ratings of facial expressions compared to controls, they tend to give higher intensity ratings for affective scenes across different emotions. This suggests various impairments in emotion recognition and emotion experience in HD (Ille et al., 2011). Notably, individuals with HD also exhibit reduced spontaneous facial mimicry when faced with others' emotions and demonstrate reduced voluntary imitation (Kordsachia et al., 2018a; Trinkler et al., 2017). The impairment in emotion recognition is correlated with gray matter volume in the caudate as well as in areas previously associated with shared action representations, such as the somatosensory, posterior parietal, pSTS, and subcentral sulcus regions, as shown by voxel-based morphometry (VBM) (Trinkler et al., 2017). On the other hand, studies have reported no correlation between emotion recognition deficits and alexithymia in individuals with HD (Trinkler et al., 2017). Alexithymia refers to a deficit in the cognitive processing of emotions, causing difficulties in identifying and verbally describing experienced feelings and emotions by oneself and others (Barchetta et al., 2021; Craparo et al., 2016; La Rosa et al., 2022; Martino et al., 2021; Taylor & Bagby, 2013). This suggests that the emotion deficit in HD is unrelated to alexithymia but might be tied to the "motoric level" of emotion expression (Trinkler et al., 2017).

Emotion recognition deficits in HD are generally observed across all negative emotions, but particularly compromised in the recognition of fear, disgust, and anger (Bora et al., 2016). These deficits have been reported in both pre-manifest and manifest HD individuals (Bora et al., 2016). Early studies have also shown that emotional experience itself can be affected, as indicated by research on disgust (e.g., Hayes et al., 2007; Mitchell et al., 2005). Interestingly, individuals with HD also struggle with recognizing trustworthiness and dominance from observed facial expressions (Sprengelmeyer et al., 2016). These deficits have been associated with reduced fractional anisotropy, primarily in the MPFC, middle frontal gyrus, anterior corpus callosum, left somatosensory cortex, bilateral insula, amygdala, cerebellum, and pons (Sprengelmeyer et al., 2016).

Furthermore, intranasal oxytocin administration has shown promise in improving social perception of disgust in people with HD, bringing it to levels similar to those of control participants. This improvement is associated with increased activity in the putamen and middle frontal gyrus (Labuschagne et al., 2018). Low levels of oxytocin in individuals with HD have also been found to correlate with poor performance in social perception and ToM tasks (Unti et al., 2018). These findings suggest that oxytocin administration may have a therapeutic potential for improving social cognition in HD.

In their meta-analysis, Bora et al. (2016) reported consistent difficulties in ToM tasks, such as the Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test (RMET) and faux paus recognition, in people with HD. They also found a trend for impairment in individuals with premanifest HD. Subsequent studies have further supported these findings, showing deficits in cognitive and affective ToM in individuals with HD (Bayliss et al., 2019; Belardinelli et al., 2019; Brüne et al., 2021; Eddy et al., 2018; Unti et al., 2018). These deficits are not accounted for by impaired global cognitive functioning, at least in HD patients in mild to moderate disease stages (Lagravinese et al., 2017).

An fMRI investigation conducted by Eddy and colleagues showed reduced RMET performance in people with HD, associated with reduced activation of dorsolateral prefrontal-parietal areas involved in executive functions (Eddy et al., 2018). This study also reported reduced activations in the left insula and supramarginal gyrus in manifest HD and increased activations in MPFC/anteriore cingulate cortex (ACC) in premanifest HD (Eddy et al., 2018). People with premanifest HD have been reported to show changes in eye movements, including alterations in the number of fixations, average fixation time, and percentage of time spent fixating images during performance of the RMET (Olivetti Belardinelli et al., 2021). Additionally, individuals with premanifest HD have been reported to experience a decline in social perception and ToM abilities, alongside the progression in motor symptom severity (Bayliss et al., 2019; Belardinelli et al., 2019; Eddy et al., 2018). It is important to note, however, that certain studies have also found preserved emotional attribution skills in individuals with premanifest HD (Zarotti et al., 2018).

Other investigations of ToM abilities and social reasoning in individuals with HD have reported impairments in understanding sarcasm. One study found that only "simple sarcasm", where the described situations imply the opposite meaning to what is being said (Philpott et al., 2016), is affected (Larsen et al., 2016), while another also identifies abnormalities in recognizing "paradoxical sarcasm", which refers to sentences where the exchange of words is meaningless unless one understands the sarcasm involved (Philpott et al., 2016). The larger sample size in the first study suggests that individuals with HD have greater difficulty identifying simple sarcasm, which may be attributed to challenges in perceiving the emotional nuances during social interactions.

Recent research has expanded the exploration of ToM in HD to include neuroeconomic games such as the ultimatum game and dictator game. These games assess the appreciation of fairness rules and reciprocity (Brüne et al., 2021). Interestingly, people with HD tend to reject unfair offers in the ultimatum game similar to control subjects but tend not to punish unfairness observed from a third-party perspective. This diminished altruistic punishment correlates with deficits in executive functioning, which is related to social decision-making (Lucifora et al., 2021c), social cognition and empathy (Eslinger et al., 2011).

A few studies have investigated empathy in people with manifest and premanifest HD compared to control participants using self-report empathy questionnaires (Maurage et al., 2016; Puig-Davi et al., 2021). Maurage et al. (2016) used the Empathy Quotient Scale and found that people with manifest HD reported lower scores on cognitive empathy scales, while affective empathy scores appeared similar to healthy controls (Maurage et al., 2016). Individuals with premanifest HD showed no significant difference compared to healthy controls in both cognitive and affective empathy scores (Maurage et al., 2016). Puig-Davi et al. (2021) administered the Cognitive and Affective Empathy Test (TECA) and found that individuals with premanifest HD scored lower on perspective-taking (cognitive empathy) and empathic distress (affective empathy) subscales. In contrast, lower scores in almost all TECA subscales were observed in early manifest HD individuals. This study also demonstrated an association between empathy measures and decreased gray matter volume and cortical thickness in several brain regions, including frontotemporal, parieto-occipital, basal ganglia, and limbic regions.

A recent profiling method by Turner et al. (2022) reported that a large proportion of people with premanifest HD fall below healthy volunteers' median values on ToM (>80%), social perception (>57%), empathy (>54%), and social behavior (>40%) (Turner et al., 2022). However, only a small proportion of these individuals displayed marked problems with social cognition, highlighting the need for individual-level assessments.

Finally, Baez et al. (2016) found lower presence of schadenfreude (the pleasure in others' misfortunes) in people with HD, which may be linked to anomalies in the cortico-striatal reward system (Baez et al., 2016). Lower schadenfreude ratings were associated with lower gray matter volume in the bilateral ventral striatum (including the nucleus accumbens) and the right superior parietal lobule and precuneus in people with HD (Baez et al., 2018).

3.2. Tourette syndrome

Tourette Syndrome (TS) is a childhood-onset neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by the presence of tics, which are repetitive and patterned movements and vocalizations that escape volitional control despite being potentially inhibited by subjects on demand (Ganos & Martino, 2015; Vicario et al., 2016). Motor and vocal tics can vary in complexity, ranging from simple movements like excessive blinking to more elaborate actions such as repeatedly touching objects. Similarly, vocal tics can be as simple as clearing the throat excessively or as complex as repeating words and phrases. In some cases, tics may lead to echolalia or, less frequently, coprolalia. The defining characteristic of tics is the sense of urgency that accompanies them, which presents as an intrusive and premonitory sensation and dissipates once the tics are performed. Tics typically emerge around the age of 6–7 and reach their peak during early adolescence. However, symptoms may gradually diminish in certain instances and even disappear in adulthood (Müller-Vahl et al., 2019).

The disorder is believed to involve dysfunction in the cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical circuits, which play a crucial role in motor control and sensorimotor integration (Ganos & Martino, 2015). Disruptions in the balance of neurotransmitters, particularly dopamine and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), within these circuits have been implicated in the development of tics (Ganos, 2016) The increased excitability of brainstem interneurons, as observed in studies on blink reflex and startle reflex, suggests abnormalities in the brainstem and subcortical structures (Berardelli et al., 2003). Some animal models suggest involvement of cholinergic and histaminergic neurotransmission in addition to GABAergic inhibition disruption (Ganos, 2016).Neuroimaging studies have

consistently observed abnormalities in the cortico-striatal dopaminergic circuitry of individuals with TS (Felling & Singer, 2011; Plessen et al., 2009). These anomalies manifest as asymmetry or reduction in the putamen and caudate nucleus, as well as cortical hyperactivity in the insula, supplementary motor area (SMA), ACC, and parietal operculum. These brain regions are implicated in generating the sense of urgency that precedes tic expression (Gagné, 2019; Plessen et al., 2009). Disruption of cortico-cerebellar regulatory loops has also been suggested based on the findings of reduced volumes of cerebellar structures (Tobe et al., 2010; see also Eidelberg et al., 1998; Schirinzi et al., 2018; Brüggemann, 2021).

Regarding social perception, Mermillod et al. (2013) found that individuals with TS have deficits in recognizing emotional facial expressions of anger, surprise, and sadness. However, Drury et al. (2012) did not observe differences in facial emotion recognition in children and adults with TS, but did observe difficulty in identifying anger prosody in adults with TS.

In a study conducted by Neuner et al. (2010), individuals with and without TS we examined using fMRI to perform a gender recognition task involving emotional faces (Neuner et al., 2010). The study found that individuals with TS exhibited hyperactivity in the left amygdala compared to controls. This hyperactivity was negatively correlated with the extroversion trait and involved various brain areas including the MFC, dorsolateral prefrontal areas, inferior frontal gyrus, medial temporal gyrus for all the emotions presented (Neuner et al., 2010).

Another study by Kalsi et al. (2019) investigated a small sample of children with TS using EEG. They found that children with TS showed shorter latency of the P1 and N170 components of event-related potentials (ERPs) in response to anger faces compared to controls. Source reconstruction suggested greater activation in the occipital cortex and lower activation in the amygdala, temporal cortex, and cingulate cortex in response to anger stimuli in children with TS (Kalsi et al., 2019). However, given the small sample size and the limitations of EEG in recording deep nuclei activity, the generalizability of these findings is challenging.

Studies have also investigated the role of the insula in TS during emotion perception tasks. Rae et al. (2018) found hyperactivation of the anterior insula in individuals with TS compared to controls, both for angry and neutral faces. This hyperactivation was associated with increased functional connectivity of the insula with sensorimotor areas involved in the generation of tics such as the premotor cortex, SMA, primary motor cortex and putamen. Furthermore, increased connectivity of the insula with the globus pallidus and thalamus correlated with tic severity, while the SMA correlated with premonitory urgency (Rae et al., 2018). These findings suggest that individuals with TS may exhibit hypersensitivity toward interoceptive experiences associated with social stimuli due to the altered connectivity with striatal-corticothalamic circuits involved in symptom generation.

Six studies reported ToM impairments in individuals with TS (Channon et al., 2012; Drury et al., 2018; Eddy & Cavanna, 2015; Eddy et al., 2010a, 2010b, 2011). These studies have reported various deficits. One study by Channon et al. (2012) found that individuals with TS alone have difficulties detecting faux pas (social errors) but can accurately explain detected faux pas. Similarly, Eddy et al. (2010b) reported that individuals with TS alone do not have problems explaining noticed faux pas but have difficulty judging whether a faux pas has occurred (Eddy et al., 2010b). However, in a mixed TS sample, individuals had increased difficulties in explaining faux pas even when accurately detected, and it is unclear if this also applies to the subset with TS alone (Eddy et al., 2010a). Individuals with TS may exhibit unconventional responses to social stimuli. For example, they may have atypical reactions to unfair offers in the ultimatum game and interpret humorous material unconventionally (Eddy et al., 2011). Consistent with this, people with TS may show deficits in comprehending indirect sarcasm (Drury et al., 2018) and a tendency to hyper-mentalization, attributing meaningful behaviors to random movements of triangular figures, which has been linked to an overactivity of the dopaminergic system (Eddy & Cavanna, 2015).

Other studies found no significant differences in ToM task performance in individuals with TS when compared to controls (Channon et al., 2004). Instead, they showed substantial differences in the brain's structural, functional, and connectivity levels using brain imaging techniques (Eddy et al., 2016, 2017). In particular, it was noted that functional alterations at the level of the rTPJ, posterior cingulate cortex and right amygdala during a ToM task involving reasoning about false belief (Eddy et al., 2016). Activity in rTPJ correlated with the symptoms of echophenomena (imitation of other people's speech and actions), and altered impulse control, whereas activity

in rTPJ and amygdala correlated with tic urgency. This suggests a possible affective component in the generation of tics and a difficulty in distinguishing one's perspective from that of others, due to altered activity of the rTPJ (Eddy et al., 2016).

Regarding affective ToM, Eddy et al. (2011) suggested that people with TS had difficulties reasoning about envy and gloating expressions, as measured by the Socially competitive emotions task (SCET). The authors also reported deficits in interpreting facial expressions, evaluated by the RMET. During an RMET task, individuals with TS exhibited altered brain activity compared to controls. Specifically, increased activity emerged in the left orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), posterior cingulate, right amygdala and rTPJ. Conversely, reduced activity was found in the left inferior parietal cortex. These findings suggest that individuals with TS have altered processing of predominantly negative emotions and mentalizing abilities (Eddy et al., 2017).

Two studies assessed empathy in people with TS using the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (Channon et al., 2004; Eddy et al., 2015). Channon et al. (2004) found no significant differences, but Eddy et al. (2015) with a larger sample reported that individuals with TS showed a reduced tendency to assume the others' perspective (perspective taking, PT scale) and a high degree of distress in interpersonal situations (personal distress, PD scale) compared to controls. However, no significant differences emerged in other affective empathy (Empathy Concern) and cognitive empathy (Fantasy) subscales.

3.3 Dystonia

Dystonia is a movement disorder characterized by sustained or intermittent muscle contractions that lead to abnormal, often repetitive movements, postures, or both (Albanese et al., 2013). It encompasses various symptoms, including torticollis, limb and trunk dystonia, writer's cramp, blepharospasm, and spastic dysphonia (Breakefield et al., 2008). Isolated adult-onset dystonia includes different types of dystonia, such as cranial dystonia (e.g., blepharospasm, oromandibular, and lingual dystonia), cervical dystonia, laryngeal dystonia, and hand dystonia (Phukan et al., 2011). The involvement of basal ganglia deficits in developing dystonia is strongly supported by several lines of evidence derived by from animal models (Jinnah et al., 2017). Basal ganglia lesions resulting from brain injuries, metabolic diseases, and neurodegenerative conditions are linked to secondary dystonia (Kojovic et al., 2013). Studies on people with dystonia undergoing deep brain stimulation (DBS) have demonstrated abnormal neural firing patterns, synchronized oscillations, and expanded receptive fields within the basal ganglia-thalamic circuit (Gatev et al., 2006; Hendrix & Vitek, 2012). Finally, there is evidence that dystonia may be considered a network disorder involving not only the cortico-basal ganglia networks but also the cerebellar pathways (Cerasa & Quattrone, 2016; Louis, 2018; Mavroudis et al., 2021; Tewari et al., 2017), as well as collicular – pulvinar–amygdala subcortical networks (Rafee et al., 2021).

Regarding social cognition, a few studies investigated the impairments and differences in emotion recognition in people with dystonia. Individuals with cranial or cervical dystonia have shown impairments in recognizing negative emotional expressions, particularly the facial expression of disgust (Rinnerthaler et al., 2006). Additionally, they may experience difficulties in recognizing anger from prosody, which relates to the dimension of arousal and valence, as assessed through self-report measures during a listening task (Nikolova et al., 2011). Another study focusing on young individuals (9 children and 12 adolescents) with myoclonus dystonia, a form of early-onset dystonia primarily affecting the upper limbs, found no differences in emotion recognition compared to controls. However, they discovered that a mutation in the ϵ -sarcoglycan gene (SGCE) was associated with greater difficulty in emotion recognition (Coenen et al., 2021). Moreover, a study specifically investigating individuals with cervical dystonia identified significantly more difficulties in recognizing fearful faces in this group (Ellement et al., 2021).

While these findings suggest altered recognition of facial emotion expressions in cranial or cervical dystonia, but not when dystonia affects the upper limbs, it is important to note that there are also discrepant findings that may attributed to the various instruments used in these studies. For instance, people with cervical dystonia exhibited lower performance than the standard norms on naming facial expressions and emotional prosody when assessed using subtests of the Florida Affect Battery (Burke et al., 2020). However, most patients showed normal performance on social cognition subtests from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale IV (Ellement et al., 2021). Furthermore, research indicate that a group of patients with a form of dystonia primarily affecting the upper limbs, demonstrate brain activation patterns similar to controls when exposed to emotional faces or intense emotional scenes (Espay et al., 2018a). In contrast, individuals with functional dystonia, a type of dystonia that arises from psychogenetic causes and affects various body parts, showed distinct changes in

brain activation compared to both healthy controls and individuals with organic dystonia (Espay et al., 2018a). These changes were primarily observed in visual areas such as the occipital cortex and fusiform gyrus, as well as sensorimotor regions, during observation of emotional faces and extremely disgusting or offensive scenes (Espay et al., 2018a).

Social perception deficits in dystonia are thought to result from altered connections between subcortical structures, such as the basal ganglia and cerebellum, and cortical regions involved in social perception. However, scholars have also proposed that changes in collicular – pulvinar–amygdala subcortical networks could underlie non-motor symptoms in dystonia, including social perception deficits (Rafee et al., 2021). Moreover, deficits in social perception abilities can be associated with the psychiatric comorbidity profile. Specifically, better performance on an Affect Naming task was linked to comorbid and more severe anxiety in individuals with cervical dystonia (Ellement et al., 2021).

Recently, studies have investigated affective and cognitive ToM in individuals with dystonia. Lagravinese et al. (2021) investigated different motor phenotypes in cervical dystonia (Lagravinese et al., 2021) and hypothesized that the malfunctioning cerebral network nodes might differ between different dystonia phenotypes. Specifically, they suggested that abnormal cerebellar processing could contribute to the motor phenotype with tremors (Avanzino et al., 2018, 2020; Bologna & Berardelli, 2017; Prudente et al., 2014). The study's results showed that both affective and cognitive aspects of ToM were impaired in people with cervical dystonia (Lagravinese et al., 2021). Individuals with cervical dystonia and tremors showed more significant impairments in cognitive ToM compared to those without tremors, suggesting that the cerebral network responsible for motor and non-motor impairments might be more widespread in patients with tremor (Lagravinese et al., 2021). Another study by Czekóová et al. (2017) examined deficits in recognizing social faux pas in cervical dystonia. They found that individuals with cervical dystonia mistakenly perceived behavior as inappropriate more frequently than controls in situations where no faux pas had occurred, suggesting an impairment in ToM and the ability to recognize social norms (Czekóová et al., 2017). Finally, a recent study quantified the proportion of individuals with cervical dystonia who perform below normative values on ToM tests (Ellement et al., 2021). The study revealed that only about 22% of these patients underperformed in the domain of social cognition (Ellement et al., 2021). Consistent with this, a study highlighted the tendency of people with cervical dystonia to present impairment in basic social perception tasks (naming facial affect and emotional prosody) but not in tasks related to ToM, like the RMET (Burke et al., 2020). Also, in most people with cervical dystonia cognitive, despite deficits in social perception and some aspects of TOM, cognitive and affective empathy appears comparable to that of healthy controls (Czekóová et al., 2017; Ellement et al., 2021).

3.4. Essential tremor

Essential Tremor (ET) is a common movement disorder that primarily manifests with upper limb postural and kinetic trembling. These tremors can be observed during daily activities and are elicited during neurological examination using various maneuvers (Louis, 2019). The diagnosis of ET requires the tremors to persist for at least three years and not be associated with other conditions such as dystonia, ataxia, or Parkinson's disease. The pathophysiology of ET remains poorly understood. Post-mortem examinations, neurophysiological investigations, and animal studies suggest the involvement of a cerebello-thalamo-cortical network encompassing the cerebellum, brainstem, thalamus, and sensorimotor areas of the cortex (Hallett, 2014; Hopfner & Deuschl, 2018). Functional imaging studies have consistently shown cerebellar hyperactivity during rest and during tremors (Sharifi et al., 2014). Several studies have also shown alterations in the Purkinje cells and basket cells of the cerebellum, and the olive-cerebellar climbing fibers, along with possible compensatory mechanisms involving hypertrophy of the GABAergic basket cells to restore inhibitory cerebello-cortical function. When this compensatory mechanism becomes insufficient, the symptoms and progression of the disease can occur (Louis & Faust, 2020).

In the domain of social perception, researchers have found an inverse relation between tremor severity in ET and facial emotion recognition (Auzou et al., 2014). Another study did not find activation differences between individuals with ET and healthy controls during an emotion perception task (Espay et al., 2018b), although it reported greater volume in the right amygdala and reduced volume in the left cerebellum and occipital pole in the ET group (Espay et al., 2018b).

Individuals with ET exhibited deficits in tasks requiring the attribution of mental states (cognitive ToM), but not in tasks requiring emotion attribution (affective ToM) (Santangelo et al., 2012). These deficits persist even when controlling for memory performance (Santangelo et al., 2012).

Finally, research on empathy function in ET is currently lacking.

4. Discussion

In this article, we present a systematic reviewed of 50 published studies that investigate social cognition in hyperkinetic movement disorders. Our comprehensive analysis of these studies reveals consistent evidence of altered social cognition in individuals with these neurological conditions. The key findings and associated social cognition impairments for each movement disorder are summarized in Table 1.

4.1. Altered social cognitive functions in hyperkinetic movement disorders

Our review highlights social perception deficits in people with manifest HD. These individuals have difficulties recognizing emotions, particularly negative ones, and exhibit deficits in ToM (emotional attribution, understanding of others' mental states, understanding of sarcasm), social decision-making, and both cognitive and affective empathy. They also tend not to experience schadenfreude due to alterations in the reward circuit. People with premanifest HD show worsening social perception and ToM as their motor symptoms progress. They also perceive a lower salience to social stimuli and tend to score lower on perspective-taking and empathic distress compared to healthy controls. These deficits in social cognition in premanifest HD are usually mild to moderate and progress as the disease advances.

People with TS may exhibit altered cognitive ToM, although not all studies confirm this. Some cognitive impairments observed in TS include difficulties detecting faux pas, unconventional reactions to social stimuli, deficits in indirect sarcasm comprehension. Additionally, people with TS may show hyper-mentalization but deficits in affective ToM, particularly in reasoning about envy and gloating expressions. Social perception deficits, such as difficulties recognizing emotional facial expressions and identifying anger prosody, have also been observed. Neuroimaging studies have shown hyperactivity in several brain regions, including the left amygdala and prefrontal areas, during emotion recognition tasks individuals with TS. Additionally, these individuals exhibit increased functional connectivity between insula and sensorimotor areas involved in tic generation. Furthermore, empathy research show that individuals with TS may show reduced perspective-taking ability and high social distress.

People with dystonia, specifically cranial and cervical dystonia, exhibit difficulties in the recognition of negative emotions, such as facial expression of disgust or fear and emotional prosody of anger. These deficits are not observed in dystonia affecting the upper limbs. Additionally, individuals with cervical dystonia show deficits in cognitive ToM tasks requiring to recognize other people's intentions. ToM performance correlate with deficits in executive functions, suggesting a contribution of domain-general abilities in explaining ToM impairments, in line with the literature in healthy individuals (Carlson et al., 2002) and other clinical populations (Roca, 2016). On the other hand, cognitive and affective empathy appear intact in people with dystonia.

People with ET experience specific impairments in their cognitive abilities related to the understanding of other people's mental states (cognitive ToM), while their emotional attribution abilities (affective ToM) remains intact. These individuals may exhibit altered social perception, as evidenced by a negative correlation between the severity of tremors and the recognition of facial emotions, particularly fear, and joy. However, there is currently a lack of research on empathy specifically related to ET.

4.2. Altered brain networks in hyperkinetic movement disorders

Overall, the reviewed evidence from HD, TS, dystonia and ET consistently indicates the presence of social perception and ToM deficits in these conditions. These deficits can be at least partially attributed to alterations in the basal ganglia and cerebellum and their pattern of connectivity with other subcortical and cortical regions (Fahn et al., 2011).

HD is characterized by basal ganglia degeneration, which occurs many years before the onset of symptoms (Tang et al., 2019). Imaging studies have revealed progressive degeneration in the striatum and other brain areas, with the caudate and putamen exhibiting the greatest and earliest degeneration (Tang et al., 2019). Moreover, cerebellar anomalies are common in HD (Franklin et al., 2021; Padron-Rivera et al., 2021).

TS is marked by alterations in the cortico-striatal dopaminergic circuitry, with reduction or asymmetry of the putamen and caudate nuclei, along with cortical hyperactivity in specific regions associated with the feeling of urgency preceding tic expression. These regions include the insula, SMA, ACC, and parietal operculum (Gagné, 2019). Also, alterations of the cerebellum have been reported in individuals with TS (Lerner et al., 2007; Tikoo et al., 2021).

Several lines of evidence, including research in animal models (Neychev et al., 2011), support the role of basal ganglia deficits in dystonia development. It has been proposed (Tewari et al., 2017) that dystonia may represent a network disorder involving not only cortico-basal ganglia networks but also cerebellar pathways. Abnormalities in cerebellar structural, function, and connectivity with other areas have been found in people with dystonia, along with the finding of a decrease in symptoms following cerebellar transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) (Tewari et al., 2017). Additionally, collicular – pulvinar–amygdala subcortical networks could also play a role in dystonia and contribute to social cognition deficits (Rafee et al., 2021).

In people with ET, alterations have been identified in the cerebellum, brainstem, thalamus, and sensorimotor areas of the cortex (Hopfner & Deuschl, 2018; Louis & Faust, 2020). A compensatory mechanism involving GABAergic cerebellar circuits aims to restore the inhibitory function of the cerebellum on the cortex. However, when this compensatory mechanism becomes insufficient, symptoms and disease progression occur (Louis & Faust, 2020).

In summary, hyperkinetic movement disorders are associated with social perception and ToM deficits. These deficits can be at least in part attributed to alterations in the basal ganglia and/or cerebellum, along with involvement of other subcortical and cortical regions, with distinct patterns of impairments observed in different clinical categories. For example, individuals with ET display deficits in cognitive ToM but maintain intact affective ToM (Santangelo et al., 2012). Moreover, impaired emotion recognition is found only in individuals with more severe tremors. This contrasts with individuals with HD, TS, and cervical dystonia, who exhibit impairments in social perception and both cognitive and affective domains of ToM, although to a different degree. These differences could be attributed to the minor involvement of the basal ganglia in ET's pathophysiology (Bhalsing et al., 2013; Klaming & Annese, 2014), with little or no alterations in motor and limbic dopaminergic pathways associated with these subcortical regions (Di Giuda et al., 2012). On the other hand, the observed deficit in cognitive ToM in ET could be associated with dysfunction in the prefrontal-cerebellar circuit, as suggested by Cerasa and Quattrone (2016).

4.3. Functional role of subcortical regions in social cognition

Traditional attempts to identify the neural correlates of social cognition have often overlooked the relevance of subcortical structures, with the exception of the amygdala, which is well known for its role in emotions and social perception (Adolphs, 2010; Adolphs et al., 2001; Calder, 1996). Our systematic review of social cognition deficits in hyperkinetic motor disorders emphasizes an important role played by the basal ganglia and cerebellum. We report that these subcortical regions, crucial for motor control, are critical in emotion recognition-based social perception tasks, as evidenced by impaired performance across individuals with HD, TS, cervical dystonia and severe ET. Interestingly, similar impairment has been observed in hypokinetic movement disorders associated with basal ganglia degeneration, such as PD, with reduced facial mimicry and reduced recognition of emotions in facial expressions and prosody (Coundouris et al., 2019). Taken together these results are relevant for embodied-grounded cognition models. These models propose that cognitive processes, including those involved in emotion perception, are deeply rooted in sensorimotor experiences and bodily interactions with the environment (Barsalou, 2008; Borghi et al., 2013; Gallese & Sinigaglia, 2011; Niedenthal et al., 2010; Pezzulo et al., 2011; Shapiro, 2011). In this vein, impaired sensorimotor processing would make observers less able to motorically simulate perceived facial or vocal emotional expressions, leading to poor emotion recognition (Borgomaneri et al., 2020; Niedenthal et al., 2010; Wood et al., 2016). Therefore, the pattern of social perception deficits following alteration of motor control in hyperkinetic movement disorders provide clinical support to these theoretical models.

Another major result of our review is that hyperkinetic movement disorders show impairments even in more abstract and complex cognitive processes related to ToM and empathy. Also in this case, this impairment is also shared by hypokinetic movement disorders, as people with PD show impairments in cognitive and affective ToM (Coundouris et al., 2020). In hyperkinetic disorders, the ToM deficit mainly refers to the cognitive component in ET, whereas for cervical dystonia, and TS and HD in particular, it also involves affective components. Regarding empathy, people with HD and TS report reduced perspective taking (cognitive empathy). On the other hand, personal distress (a disposition negatively associated with more mature forms of affective empathy) seems to be enhanced in TS. This different pattern of results is likely due to different dysfunctions in brain regions involved in social cognition and emotional processing such as the amygdala, dorsal striatum, and insula.

While the functional relevance of basal ganglia and cerebellum to motor control is well established, there is a growing recognition of their importance in affective and cognitive functions. These subcortical structures, along with their direct connections to the cortex, form an expanded limbic and associative circuit (Bostan & Strick, 2018; Pierce & Péron, 2020). The basal ganglia have a fundamental role in action selection and modulation of movement vigor, but contemporary accounts of their function, suggest a general role in controlling, or "gating", the influx of signals from other cortical areas to the prefrontal cortex (Frank et al., 2004; Pierce & Péron, 2020; Stocco et al., 2010), which could explain the impact of basal ganglia degeneration in specific cognitive deficits, including cognitive aspects of ToM and empathy found in hyperkinetic motor disorders. The basal ganglia are also involved in the reward circuitry, contributing to various cognitive and emotional functions (Bostan & Strick, 2018; Pierce & Péron, 2020; Sesack & Grace, 2010). They are modulated by dopaminergic signals and play an active role in behavioral reinforcement mechanisms (Montague et al., 2004). The basal ganglia are known to contribute to learning through stimulus-response associations and influence decision-making (Pennisi et al., 2023; Vicario et al., 2020a). They also play a role in predicting stimulus salience and contribute to the production of positive emotions (Schultz, 1998, 2015). They are also implicated in cognitive functions such as time perception (Rao et al., 2001; Vicario et al., 2020c). As suggested by the results of our work, some deficits in social cognition, like the perception of social stimuli, might depend on imbalances in the reward circuitry, as observed for the lack of schadenfreude in HD patients.

Evidence of a link between basal ganglia and deficits in social cognition also comes from research on other diseases where dysfunction of the basal ganglia is likely to occur. For instance, Wilson's disease, a condition characterized by degenerative changes in the basal ganglia, has been associated with impairments in the recognition of anger, fear, disgust, as well as mentalization abilities (Peyroux et al., 2017). Similarly, research has indicated that schizophrenia is characterized by aberrant patterns of basal ganglia activation (Pierce & Péron, 2020) and deficits in social cognition, including face and prosody perception, affect sharing, mentalizing, emotion experience and emotion regulation (Green et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2014). Furthermore, autism, which is characterized by compromised reasoning about intentions and emotions (Boucher, 2012) and reduced empathy (Baron-Cohen, 2010), has been linked to dysfunction in the basal ganglia (Subramanian et al., 2017).

Another essential structure involved in the pathophysiology of hyperkinetic movement disorders is the cerebellum. Indeed, cerebellar anomalies are common in HD (Franklin et al., 2021; Padron-Rivera et al., 2021), TS (Lerner et al., 2007; Tikoo et al., 2021), dystonia (Brüggemann, 2021; Eidelberg et al., 1998; Schirinzi et al., 2018), and ET (Cerasa & Quattrone, 2016; Louis, 2018; Mavroudis et al., 2021). Recent research has shed light on the link between the cerebellum and social cognition, indicating its role beyond motor functions (Sokolov, 2018; Van Overwalle et al., 2020). A meta-analysis involving over 350 fMRI studies revealed that the cerebellum is activated during tasks related to social cognition, such as observing human actions, inferring others' intentions, and forming inferences about personality traits and abstract thinking (Van Overwalle et al., 2014). Leggio and Molinari (2015) have shown how the cerebellum can play a central role in predictive processes, generating internal models based on temporal and spatial patterns, which are fundamental for mentalizing and constructing models of sequences of events. Studies have also highlighted the connectivity between the cerebellum and cortical areas responsible for social cognition, such as the TPJ, MPFC, OFC and the posterior STS (Nguyen et al., 2017; Sokolov et al., 2012). Non-invasive stimulation techniques, like repetitive TMS (rTMS) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), have shown the potential to improve performance in social perception tasks. For example, high-frequency rTMS (20 Hz for 15 min) applied to the cerebellar vermis enhances reactivity to positive emotional stimuli, such as happy faces (Schutter et al., 2009), while anodal and cathodal tDCS (2 mA for 20 min) applied bilaterally in the cerebellar hemispheres reduces the reaction time in identifying negative emotions from faces (Cattaneo et al., 2021; Ferrucci et al., 2012).

Similarly, our review highlights associations between deficits in social perception and other sensorimotor areas, such as the premotor and motor areas, which are considered key nodes of the Mirror Neuron System (Keysers

et al., 2010; Rizzolatti & Sinigaglia, 2016). Non-invasive brain stimulation studies in healthy participants have demonstrated that interfering with these regions impairs social perception (Avenanti & Urgesi, 2011; Paracampo et al., 2017, 2018a, 2018b). Interestingly, enhancing the same regions can improve social perception (e.g., Avenanti et al., 2018). This suggests the possibility of using brain stimulation techniques to address social perception deficits in patients with movement disorders. These techniques have been successfully used to promote neural plasticity and sensorimotor functioning in healthy humans and clinical populations (Avenanti et al., 2012; Casula et al., 2023; Lefaucheur et al., 2020; Markovic et al., 2021; Vicario et al., 2020b, 2020d).

Given the altered connectivity patterns observed in hyperkinetic movement disorders, it could be particularly interesting to test the efficacy of TMS protocols aimed at strengthening cerebellar-cortical and cortico-cortical connectivity (Chiappini et al., 2018, 2020; Lu et al., 2012; Turrini et al., 2022, 2023c). One promising approach is the use of cortico-cortical paired associated stimulation (ccPAS), which has been successfully applied to enhance brain connectivity (Buch et al., 2011; Trajkovic et al., 2023) and improve motor functions (Fiori et al., 2018; Turrini et al., 2023b, 2023a) and perceptual functions in humans (Di Luzio et al., 2022; Romei et al., 2016), including social perception functions (Borgomaneri et al., 2023). By modulating the neural circuits involved in social perception, ccPAS may offer a potential strategy to address social cognition deficits in clinical populations characterized by altered connectivity.

4.4. Methodological issues, limitations, and future perspectives

In order to understand the extent to which deficits in social cognition may be related to general cognitive disorders, such as executive functions, studies included in the review have investigated the correlation between social cognition tests and executive function tests. For instance, Burke et al. (2020) found a correlation between social cognition tests, such as the RMET, and encoding and executive skills in dystonia. Similarly, Czekóová et al. (2017) reported a correlation between cognitive ToM, working memory, and semantic verbal fluency (Czekóová et al., 2017). However, most studies on TS do not show a relationship between executive functioning and social cognition (Channon et al., 2004, 2012; Drury et al., 2018; Eddy et al., 2010a, 2010b, 2011). On the other hand, conflicting results exist for HD. For example, Bayliss et al. (2019) did not find any correlation between RMET and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), while Unti et al. (2018) report correlations between MoCA and MMSE with various social cognition tests in HD, including faux pas, strange stories test, and recognition of emotional faces. Finally, in ET, executive function and social cognition are predominantly associated. Auzou et al. (2014) found that total Ekman task scores were related to semantic and letter fluency, and Santangelo et al. (2012) showed correlations between cognitive theory of mind, measured through the ATT (Advanced Test of ToM), and the FAB (Frontal Assessment Battery) score, the phonological fluency score, and the global score of WCST (Wisconsin Card Sorting Test), all tests that involve executive function. Taken together, these reports suggest that social cognition deficits may be partially attributed to general cognitive dysfunctions. However, due to the variability in the results and the absence of quantitative meta-analyses, further investigations are required to reach firm conclusions in hyperkinetic movement disorders.

Among the included studies in this systematic review, approximately 58% (29 studies) provided clarification on whether the examined patients were under pharmacological treatment, which could have influenced their social cognition performance. These findings emphasize the necessity for further investigation to understand the impact of drugs and other treatments on social cognition performance in individuals with hyperkinetic movement disorders. It should be noted, however, that the reviewed studies generally exhibited adequate methodology quality, with minimal comorbidity observed in the analyzed samples. While a few studies indicated a high risk of bias (see Figure 2 and supplementary materials), most of the reported studies demonstrated suitable methodology and acceptable reliability. Therefore, although a quantitative meta-analysis was not conducted to assess the specific impact of methodological factors on the patterns of social cognition deficits observed across different studies, the qualitative results reported in this review offer an adequate summary of current state-of-the-art, and these results are based on methodological robust studies.

In the existing literature, there has been a predominant focus on studying social cognition in HD, while comparatively less attention has been given to the investigation of TS, dystonia, and ET. Therefore, additional research is crucially needed to explore and elucidate the underlying mechanisms of social cognition across the different hyperkinetic movement disorders. There is need to carry out more systematic research addressing different aspects of social cognition within the same sample of patients. In particular, there is a need for more research focusing on empathy, to better understand its different facets. For example, in addition to relying solely

on self-report measures of cognitive and affective empathy, future investigations should incorporate complementary approaches, including gathering judgments from caregivers or other observers to provide external perspectives on empathic abilities (Eslinger et al. 2011). Furthermore, neurophysiological investigations of empathic brain responses, using paradigms such as empathy for pain (Avenanti et al., 2005, 2005; Lamm et al., 2019, 2019; Singer et al., 2004, 2004) or empathy accuracy (Gallo et al., 2018, 2018; Paracampo et al., 2017, 2017, 2018b, 2018b; Zaki et al., 2009, 2009), can offer valuable insights into the neural mechanisms underlying impaired empathy in movement disorders.

Developing more ecological methodologies for assessing social cognition is also crucial. In this regard, virtual reality technology could serve as a valuable tool, allows patients to immerse themselves in controlled social contexts that could facilitate more realistic experiences requiring social perception, empathy and mentalizing processes (Daher et al., 2021; Grasso et al., 2019, 2020; Lucifora et al., 2020, 2021a, 2021b). However, it is important to note that social cognition encompasses various processes, including attribution, attitudes, social schema, social attention, and social memory. Currently, these aspects remain underexplored in the literature on hyperkinetic movement disorders. On the other hand, it is worth acknowledging that literature on moral decision-making and/or moral reasoning was not included in this review, despite the presence of some evidence in existing studies on hyperkinetic movement disorders (Vicario et al., 2021). Investigating these aspects would provide a more comprehensive understanding of social cognition deficits in this class of clinical conditions.

To advance our understanding of how hyperkinetic movement disorders impact social cognition, future research should embrace an integrative approach, combining multiple neuroimaging, neurophysiological, and brain stimulation techniques (Chiappini et al., 2022; Ritter & Villringer, 2006; Turrini et al., 2023a; Ystad et al., 2011; Zanon et al., 2018) with a systematic investigation of multiple behavioral measures of processes implicated in social cognition. In this vein, network neuroscience, an emerging discipline that focuses on modeling and analyzing brain networks composed of interacting neural elements, holds great promise in this endeavor and can enrich our understanding of the broader neural context in which social behaviors and interactions between brain regions occur (Baek et al., 2021; Barrett & Satpute, 2013; Bassett & Sporns, 2017).

Our current understanding of social cognition suggests that it involves various processing levels, including bodily, emotional, motivational, cognitive, and neural aspects. Therefore, it is essential to go beyond unidimensional approaches and mere localizationist methods and instead identify and integrate each processing level. Our study emphasizes the relevance of basal ganglia and cerebellum, which are known to be linked together to form an integrated functional network (Bostan & Strick, 2018; Bostan et al., 2010; Pierce & Péron, 2020; Sesack & Grace, 2010). These subcortical structures play a crucial role in bridging corporeality and cognition, integrating the processing of motor control, emotion, and inter-subjectivity (Koziol et al., 2012). However, we have limited knowledge about how changes in connectivity between the basal ganglia, cerebellum, and cerebral cortex, as well as their interactions with bodily process, affects social cognition in hyperkinetic movement disorders. To gain a better understanding of the neural mechanisms that support social cognition, it is crucial for future research to prioritize investigating this specific issue.

It is increasingly recognized that assessing social cognition is important to understand individuals' abilities for successful social interactions, as well as, their overall mental health and well-being (Etchepare & Prouteau, 2018). Our review provides extensive evidence of deficits in social perception, empathy, and ToM across distinct hyperkinetic movement disorders. However, traditional clinical assessment focuses on motor symptoms and functional impairments only. By incorporating the assessment of social cognition deficits into the clinical evaluation, it is possible to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the individual's overall cognitive and functional profile. This integrated approach could enable proactive interventions aimed at improving social functioning and overall quality of life.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Supplementary data

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2023.2248687

Additional information

Funding

Work supported by #NEXTGENERATIONEU (NGEU) and funded by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (MUR), National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), project MNESYS (PE000006)—A Multiscale integrated approach to the study of the nervous system in health and disease (DN. 1553 11.10.2022). This work was also supported by FISM–Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi Multipla [2022/R-Single/071] and financed or co-financed with the '5 per mille' public funding; and by grants from the Bial Foundation [304/2022] and [160/2018]; Universidad Católica Del Maule [CDPDS2022]; and Fondazione del Monte di Bologna e Ravenna [1402bis/2021].

References

Abdo, W. F., Van De Warrenburg, B. P. C., Burn, D. J., Quinn, N. P., & Bloem, B. R. (2010). The clinical approach to movement disorders. Nature Reviews Neurology, 6, 29–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2009.196

Adolphs, R. (2010). What does the amygdala contribute to social cognition? Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1191(1), 42–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05445.x

Adolphs, R., Damasio, H., Tranel, D., Cooper, G., & Damasio, A. R. (2000). A role for somatosensory cortices in the visual recognition of emotion as revealed by three-dimensional lesion mapping. The Journal of Neuroscience, 20(7), 2683–2690. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-07-02683.2000

Adolphs, R., Tranel, D., & Damasio, H. (2001). Emotion recognition from faces and prosody following temporal lobectomy. Neuropsychology, 15(3), 396–404. https://doi.org/10.1037//0894-4105.15.3.396

Albanese, A., Bhatia, K., Bressman, S. B., DeLong, M. R., Fahn, S., Fung, V. S. C., Hallett, M., Jankovic, J., Jinnah, H. A., Klein, C., Lang, A. E., Mink, J. W., & Teller, J. K. (2013). Phenomenology and classification of dystonia: A consensus update. Movement Disorders, 28(7), 863–873. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25475

Albin, R. L., Young, A. B., & Penney, J. B. (1989). The functional anatomy of basal ganglia disorders. Trends in Neurosciences, 12(10), 366–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(89)90074-X

Allison, T., Puce, A., & McCarthy, G. (2000). Social perception from visual cues: Role of the STS region. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 4(7), 267–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01501-1

Amodio, D. M., & Frith, C. D. (2006). Meeting of minds: The medial frontal cortex and social cognition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 7, 268–277. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1884

Auzou, N., Foubert-Samier, A., Dupouy, S., & Meissner, W. G. (2014). Facial emotion recognition is inversely correlated with tremor severity in essential tremor. Journal of Neural Transmission, 121, 347–351. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-013-1110-1

Avanzino, L., Cherif, A., Crisafulli, O., Carbone, F., Zenzeri, J., Morasso, P., Abbruzzese, G., Pelosin, E., & Konczak, J. (2020). Tactile and proprioceptive dysfunction differentiates cervical dystonia with and without tremor. Neurology, 94(6), e639–e650. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000008916

Avanzino, L., Ravaschio, A., Lagravinese, G., Bonassi, G., Abbruzzese, G., & Pelosin, E. (2018). Adaptation of feedforward movement control is abnormal in patients with cervical dystonia and tremor. Clinical Neurophysiology, 129(1), 319–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2017.08.020

Avenanti, A., Bueti, D., Galati, G., & Aglioti, S. M. (2005). Transcranial magnetic stimulation highlights the sensorimotor side of empathy for pain. Nature Neuroscience, 8(7), 955–960. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1481

Avenanti, A., Candidi, M., & Urgesi, C. (2013). Vicarious motor activation during action perception: Beyond correlational evidence. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 7, 185. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00185

Avenanti, A., Coccia, M., Ladavas, E., Provinciali, L., & Ceravolo, M. G. (2012). Low-frequency rTMS promotes use-dependent motor plasticity in chronic stroke: A randomized trial. Neurology, 78(4), 256–264. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182436558

Avenanti, A., Paracampo, R., Annella, L., Tidoni, E., & Aglioti, S. M. (2018). Boosting and decreasing action prediction abilities through excitatory and inhibitory tDCS of inferior frontal cortex. Cerebral Cortex, 28(4), 1282–1296. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhx041

Avenanti, A., & Urgesi, C. (2011). Understanding 'what 'others do: Mirror mechanisms play a crucial role in action perception. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 6(3), 257–259. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsr004

Baek, E. C., Porter, M. A., & Parkinson, C. (2021). Social network analysis for social neuroscientists. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 16(8), 883–901. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsaa069

Baez, S., Pino, M., Berrío, M., Santamaría-García, H., Sedeño, L., García, A. M., Fittipaldi, S., & Ibáñez, A. (2018). Corticostriatal signatures of schadenfreude: Evidence from Huntington's disease. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 89(1), 112–116. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2017-316055

Baez, S., Santamaría-García, H., Orozco, J., Fittipaldi, S., García, A. M., & Pino, M., et al. (2016). Your misery is no longer my pleasure: Reduced schadenfreude in Huntington's disease families. Cortex, 83, 78–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2016.07.009

Bagnis, A., Celeghin, A., Diano, M., Mendez, C. A., Spadaro, G., Mosso, C. O., Avenanti, A., & Tamietto, M. (2020). Functional neuroanatomy of racial categorization from visual perception: A meta-analytic study. NeuroImage, 217, 116939. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116939

Barchetta, S., Martino, G., Craparo, G., Salehinejad, M. A., Nitsche, M. A., & Vicario, C. M. (2021). Alexithymia is linked with a negative bias for past and current events in healthy humans. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(13), 6696. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18136696

Baron-Cohen, S. (2010). Empathizing, systemizing, and the extreme male brain theory of autism . Progress in Brain Research 186 167–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53630-3.00011-7

Barrett, L. F., & Satpute, A. B. (2013). Large-scale brain networks in affective and social neuroscience: Towards an integrative functional architecture of the brain. Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 23(3), 361–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.12.012

Barsalou, L. W. (2008). Grounded cognition. Annual Review of Psychology, 59(1), 617–645. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093639

Bassett, D. S., & Sporns, O. (2017). Network neuroscience. Nature Neuroscience, 20(3), 353–364. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4502

Bates, G. P., Dorsey, R., Gusella, J. F., Hayden, M. R., Kay, C., Leavitt, B. R., Nance, M., Ross, C. A., Scahill, R. I., Wetzel, R., Wild, E. J., & Tabrizi, S. J. (2015). Huntington disease. Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 1(1), 15005. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2015.5

Bayliss, L., Galvez, V., Ochoa-Morales, A., Chávez-Oliveros, M., Rodríguez-Agudelo, Y., Delgado-García, G., & Boll, M. C. (2019). Theory of mind impairment in Huntington's disease patients and their relatives. Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria, 77(8), 574–578. https://doi.org/10.1590/0004-282x20190092

Beal, M. F., Kowall, N. W., Ellison, D. W., Mazurek, M. F., Swartz, K. J., & Martin, J. B. (1986). Replication of the neurochemical characteristics of Huntington's disease by quinolinic acid. Nature, 321, 168–171. https://doi.org/10.1038/321168a0

Beaudoin, C., & Beauchamp, M. H. (2020). Social cognition. In Gallagher, A., Bulteau, C., Cohen, D., Michaud, J. L. (Eds.). Neurocognitive Development: Normative Development. Handbook of Clinical Neurology (pp. 255–264). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64150-2.00022-8

Belardinelli, M. O., Huenefeldt, T., Maffi, S., Squitieri, F., & Migliore, S. (2019). Effects of stimulus-related variables on mental states recognition in Huntington's disease. International Journal of Neuroscience, 129(6), 563–572. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207454.2018.1552691

Berardelli, A., Currà, A., Fabbrini, G., Gilio, F., & Manfredi, M. (2003). Pathophysiology of tics and Tourette syndrome. Journal of Neurology, 250(7), 781–787. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-003-1102-4

Bhalsing, K. S., Saini, J., & Pal, P. K. (2013). Understanding the pathophysiology of essential tremor through advanced neuroimaging: A review. Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 335(1–2), 9–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2013.09.003

Bologna, M., & Berardelli, A. (2017). Cerebellum: An explanation for dystonia? Cerebellum & Ataxias, 4(1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40673-017-0064-8

Bora, E., Velakoulis, D., & Walterfang, M. (2016). Social cognition in Huntington's disease: A meta-analysis. Behavioural Brain Research, 297, 131–140 .https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2015.10.001

Borghi, A., Scorolli, C., Caligiore, D., Baldassarre, G., & Tummolini, L. (2013). The embodied mind extended: Using words as social tools. Frontiers in Psychology, 4, 214. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00214 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00214

Borgomaneri, S., Bolloni, C., Sessa, P., Avenanti, A., & Urgesi, C. (2020). Blocking facial mimicry affects recognition of facial and body expressions. PLOS ONE, 15(2), e0229364. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229364

Borgomaneri, S., Zanon, M., Di Luzio, P., Cataneo, A., Arcara, G., Romei, V., Tamietto, M., Avenanti, A. (2023). Increasing associative plasticity in temporo-occipital back-projections improves visual perception of emotions from facial stimuli Nature Communications, Accepted in principle.

Bostan, A. C., Dum, R. P., & Strick, P. L. (2010). The basal ganglia communicate with the cerebellum. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107, 8452–8456. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000496107

Bostan, A. C., & Strick, P. L. (2018). The basal ganglia and the cerebellum: Nodes in an integrated network. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 19, 338–350. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-018-0002-7

Boucher, J. (2012). Putting theory of mind in its place: Psychological explanations of the socio-emotionalcommunicative impairments in autistic spectrum disorder. Autism, 16(3), 226–246. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361311430403

Breakefield, X. O., Blood, A. J., Li, Y., Hallett, M., Hanson, P. I., & Standaert, D. G. (2008). The pathophysiological basis of dystonias. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 9, 222–234. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2337

Brüggemann, N. (2021). Contemporary functional neuroanatomy and pathophysiology of dystonia. Journal of Neural Transmission, 128, 499–508. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-021-02299-y

Brüne, M., Hein, S. M., Claassen, C., Hoffmann, R., & Saft, C. (2021). Altered third-party punishment in Huntington's disease: A study using neuroeconomic games. Brain and Behavior, 11(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.1908

Buch, E. R., Johnen, V. M., Nelissen, N., O'Shea, J., & Rushworth, M. F. S. (2011). Noninvasive associative plasticityiInduction in a corticocortical pathway of the human brain. The Journal of Neuroscience, 31, 17669–17679. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1513-11.2011

Bufalari, I., Aprile, T., Avenanti, A., DiRusso, F., & Aglioti, S. M. (2007). Empathy for pain and touch in the human somatosensory cortex. Cerebral Cortex, 17(11), 2553–2561. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhl161

Burke, T., Monaghan, R., McCormack, D., Cogley, C., Pinto-Grau, M., O'Connor, S., Donohoe, B., Murphy, L., O'Riordan, S., Ndukwe, I., Hutchinson, M., Pender, N., & O'Keeffe, F. (2020). Social cognition in cervical dystonia: A case-control study. Clinical Parkinsonism & Related Disorders, 3, 100072. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prdoa.2020.100072

Calder, A. J. (1996). Facial emotion recognition after bilateral amygdala damage: Differentially severe impairment of fear. Cognitive Neuropsychology, 13(5), 699–745. https://doi.org/10.1080/026432996381890

Carlson, S. M., Moses, L. J., & Breton, C. (2002). How specific is the relation between executive function and theory of mind? Contributions of inhibitory control and working memory. Infant and Child Development, 11(2), 73–92. https://doi.org/10.1002/icd.298

Carrington, S. J., & Bailey, A. J. (2009). Are there theory of mind regions in the brain? A review of the neuroimaging literature. Human Brain Mapping, 30(8), 2313–2335. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20671

Casula, A., Milazzo, B. M., Martino, G., Sergi, A., Lucifora, C., Tomaiuolo, F., Quartarone, A., Nitsche, M. A., & Vicario, C. M. (2023). Non-invasive brain stimulation for the modulation of aggressive behavior—A systematic review of randomized sham-controlled studies. Life, 13(5), 1220. https://doi.org/10.3390/life13051220

Cattaneo, Z., Ferrari, C., Ciricugno, A., Heleven, E., Schutter, D. J. L. G., Manto, M., & Van Overwalle, F. (2021). New horizons on non-invasive brain stimulation of the social and affective cerebellum. The Cerebellum, 21(3), 482–496. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-021-01300-4

Cerasa, A., & Quattrone, A. (2016). Linking essential tremor to the cerebellum—neuroimaging evidence. The Cerebellum, 15(3), 263–275. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-015-0739-8

Channon, S., Drury, H., Gafson, L., Stern, J., & Robertson, M. M. (2012). Judgements of social inappropriateness in adults with Tourette's syndrome. Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, 17, 246–261. https://doi.org/10.1080/13546805.2011.590689 3

Channon, S., Sinclair, E., Waller, D., Healey, L., & Robertson, M. M. (2004). Social cognition in Tourette's syndrome: Intact theory of mind and impaired inhibitory functioning. Journal of Autism & Developmental Disorders, 34, 669–677. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-004-5287-x

Chiappini, E., Borgomaneri, S., Marangon, M., Turrini, S., Romei, V., & Avenanti, A. (2020). Driving associative plasticity in premotor-motor connections through a novel paired associative stimulation based on long-latency cortico-cortical interactions. Brain Stimulation, 13, 1461–1463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2020.08.003

Chiappini, E., Sel, A., Hibbard, P. B., Avenanti, A., & Romei, V. (2022). Increasing interhemispheric connectivity between human visual motion areas uncovers asymmetric sensitivity to horizontal motion. Current Biology, 32(18), 4064–4070.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.07.050

Chiappini, E., Silvanto, J., Hibbard, P. B., Avenanti, A., & Romei, V. (2018). Strengthening functionally specific neural pathways with transcranial brain stimulation. Current Biology, 28(13), R735–R736. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.083

Coenen, M. A., Eggink, H., Spikman, J. M., & Tijssen, M. A. (2021). Cognition in children and young adults with myoclonus dystonia – a case control study. Parkinsonism & Related Disorders, 89, 162–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2021.07.016

Corradi-Dell'acqua, C., Tusche, A., Vuilleumier, P., & Singer, T. (2016). Cross-modal representations of firsthand and vicarious pain, disgust and fairness in insular and cingulate cortex. Nature Communications, 7, 10904. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10904

Costafreda, S. G., Brammer, M. J., David, A. S., & Fu, C. H. Y. (2008). Predictors of amygdala activation during the processing of emotional stimuli: A meta-analysis of 385 PET and fMRI studies. Brain Research Reviews, 58(1), 57–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.012

Coundouris, S. P., Adams, A. G., Grainger, S. A., & Henry, J. D. (2019). Social perceptual function in Parkinson's disease: A meta-analysis. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 104, 255–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.07.011

Coundouris, S. P., Adams, A. G., & Henry, J. D. (2020). Empathy and theory of mind in Parkinson's disease: A meta-analysis. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 109, 92–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.12.030

Craparo, G., Gori, A., Dell'aera, S., Costanzo, G., Fasciano, S., Tomasello, A., & Vicario, C. M. (2016). Impaired emotion recognition is linked to alexithymia in heroin addicts. PeerJ, 4, e1864. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1864 Cuff, B. M. P., Brown, S. J., Taylor, L., & Howat, D. J. (2016). Empathy: A review of the concept. Emotion Review, 8(2), 144–153. https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073914558466

Czekóová, K., Zemánková, P., Shaw, D. J., & Bareš, M. (2017). Social cognition and idiopathic isolated cervical dystonia. Journal of Neural Transmission, 124, 1097–1104. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-017-1725-8

Daher, K., Capallera, M., Lucifora, C., Casas, J., Meteier, Q., & El Kamali, M. (2021). Empathic interactions in Automated Vehicles #EmpathicCHI. in Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Yokohama Japan: ACM), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1145/3411763.3441359.

Decety, J., & Cacioppo, J. T., (Eds.). (2011). The Oxford Handbook of Social Neuroscience. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195342161.001.0001

Deen, B., Koldewyn, K., Kanwisher, N., & Saxe, R. (2015). Functional organization of social perception and cognition in the superior temporal sulcus. Cerebral Cortex, 25(11), 4596–4609. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv111

De Gelder, B., Van Den Stock, J., Meeren, H. K. M., Sinke, C. B. A., Kret, M. E., & Tamietto, M. (2010). Standing up for the body. Recent progress in uncovering the networks involved in the perception of bodies and bodily expressions. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 34(4), 513–527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.10.008

Den Dunnen, W. F. A. (2013). Neuropathological diagnostic considerations in hyperkinetic movement disorders. Frontiers in Neurology, 4, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2013.00007

Di Giuda, D., Camardese, G., Bentivoglio, A. R., Cocciolillo, F., Guidubaldi, A., Pucci, L., Bruno, I., Janiri, L., Giordano, A., & Fasano, A. (2012). Dopaminergic dysfunction and psychiatric symptoms in movement disorders: A 123I-FP-CIT SPECT study. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 39(12), 1937–1948. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-012-2232-7

Di Luzio, P., Tarasi, L., Silvanto, J., Avenanti, A., Romei, V., & Pack, C. (2022). Human perceptual and metacognitive decision-making rely on distinct brain networks. PLOS Biology, 20(8), e3001750. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001750

Downing, P. E., & Peelen, M. V. (2016). Body selectivity in occipitotemporal cortex: Causal evidence. Neuropsychologia, 83, 138–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.05.033

Drury, H., Channon, S., Barrett, R., Young, M.-B., Stern, J. S., & Simmons, H., et al. (2012). Emotional processing and executive functioning in children and adults with Tourette's syndrome. Child Neuropsychology, 18, 281–298. https://doi.org/10.1080/09297049.2011.613811

Drury, H., Shah, S., Stern, J. S., Crawford, S., & Channon, S. (2018). Comprehension of direct and indirect sarcastic remarks in children and adolescents with Tourette's syndrome. Child Neuropsychology, 24(4), 490–509. https://doi.org/10.1080/09297049.2017.1284777

Eddy, C. M., & Cavanna, A. E. (2015). Triangles, tricks and tics: Hyper-mentalizing in response to animated shapes in Tourette syndrome. Cortex, 71, 68–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2015.06.003

Eddy, C. M., Cavanna, A. E., & Hansen, P. C. (2017). Empathy and aversion: The neural signature of mentalizing in Tourette syndrome. Psychological Medicine, 47, 507–517. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716002725

Eddy, C. M., Cavanna, A. E., Rickards, H. E., & Hansen, P. C. (2016). Temporo-parietal dysfunction in Tourette syndrome: Insights from an fMRI study of theory of mind. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 81, 102–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.07.002

Eddy, C. M., Macerollo, A., Martino, D., & Cavanna, A. E. (2015). Interpersonal reactivity differences in Tourette syndrome. Psychiatry Research, 228(3), 932–935. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.05.070

Eddy, C. M., Mitchell, I. J., Beck, S. R., Cavanna, A. E., & Rickards, H. (2011). Social reasoning in Tourette syndrome. Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, 16(4), 326–347. https://doi.org/10.1080/13546805.2010.538213

Eddy, C. M., Mitchell, I. J., Beck, S. R., Cavanna, A. E., & Rickards, H. E. (2010a). Altered attribution of Intention in Tourette's syndrome. The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 22(3), 348–351. https://doi.org/10.1176/jnp.2010.22.3.348

Eddy, C. M., Mitchell, I. J., Beck, S. R., Cavanna, A. E., & Rickards, H. E. (2010b). Impaired comprehension of nonliteral language in Tourette syndrome. Cognitive and Behavioral Neurology, 23(3), 178–184. https://doi.org/10.1097/WNN.0b013e3181e61cb7

Eddy, C. M., Rickards, H. E., & Hansen, P. C. (2018). Through your eyes or mine? The neural correlates of mental state recognition in Huntington's disease. Human Brain Mapping, 39, 1354–1366. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23923

Eidelberg, D., Moeller, J. R., Antonini, A., Kazumata, K., Nakamura, T., Dhawan, V., Spetsieris, P., DeLeon, D., Bressman, S. B., & Fahn, S. (1998). Functional brain networks in DYT1 dystonia. Annals of Neurology, 44(3), 303–312. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410440304

Ellement, B., Jasaui, Y., Kathol, K., Nosratmirshekarlou, E., Pringsheim, T., Sarna, J., Callahan, B. L., & Martino, D. (2021). Social cognition in cervical dystonia: Phenotype and relationship to anxiety and depression. European Journal of Neurology, 28(1), 98–107. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14508

Eslinger, P. J., Moore, P., Anderson, C., & Grossman, M. (2011). Social cognition, executive functioning, and neuroimaging correlates of empathic deficits in frontotemporal dementia. The Journal of neuropsychiatry and clinical neurosciences, 23(1),74–82. https://doi.org/10.1176/jnp.23.1.jnp74

Espay, A. J., Maloney, T., Vannest, J., Norris, M. M., Eliassen, J. C., Neefus, E., Allendorfer, J. B., Chen, R., & Szaflarski, J. P. (2018a). Dysfunction in emotion processing underlies functional (psychogenic) dystonia: Abnormalities in emotion processing and dystonia. Movement Disorders, 33(1), 136–145. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27217

Espay, A. J., Maloney, T., Vannest, J., Norris, M. M., Eliassen, J. C., Neefus, E., Allendorfer, J. B., Lang, A. E., & Szaflarski, J. P. (2018b). Impaired emotion processing in functional (psychogenic) tremor: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. NeuroImage: Clinical, 17, 179–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.10.020

Etchepare, A., & Prouteau, A. (2018). Toward a two-dimensional model of social cognition in clinical neuropsychology: A systematic review of factor structure studies. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 24(4), 391–404. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617717001163

Fahn, S. (2011). Classification of movement disorders. Movement Disorders, 26, 947–957. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.23759

Fahn, S., Jankovic, J., & Hallett, M. (2011). Clinical overview and phenomenology of movement disorders. In S. Fahn, J. Jankovic, & M. Hallett (Eds.), Principles and Practice of Movement Disorders ((Second Edition), pp. 1– 35). W.B. Saunders. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4377-2369-4.00001-9

Fan, Y., Duncan, N. W., De Greck, M., & Northoff, G. (2011). Is there a core neural network in empathy? An fMRI based quantitative meta-analysis. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 35, 903–911. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.10.009

Felling, R. J., & Singer, H. S. (2011). Neurobiology of Tourette syndrome: Current status and need for further investigation. The Journal of Neuroscience, 31(35), 12387–12395. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0150-11.2011

Ferrucci, R., Giannicola, G., Rosa, M., Fumagalli, M., Boggio, P. S., Hallett, M., Zago, S., & Priori, A. (2012). Cerebellum and processing of negative facial emotions: Cerebellar transcranial DC stimulation specifically enhances the emotional recognition of facial anger and sadness. Cognition & Emotion, 26(5), 786–799. https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2011.619520

Fiori, F., Chiappini, E., & Avenanti, A. (2018). Enhanced action performance following TMS manipulation of associative plasticity in ventral premotor-motor pathway. NeuroImage, 183, 847–858. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.09.002 Franklin, G. L., Camargo, C. H. F., Meira, A. T., Lima, N. S. C., & Teive, H. A. G. (2021). The role of the cerebellum in Huntington's disease: A systematic review. The Cerebellum, 20(2), 254–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-020-01198-4

Frank, M. J., Seeberger, L. C., & O'Reilly, R. C. (2004). By carrot or by stick: Cognitive reinforcement learning in Parkinsonism. Science, 306(5703), 1940–1943. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102941

Gagné, J.-P. (2019). The psychology of Tourette disorder: Revisiting the past and moving toward a cognitivelyoriented future. Clinical Psychology Review, 67, 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2018.09.005

Gallagher, H. L., & Frith, C. D. (2003). Functional imaging of 'theory of mind'. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 7(2), 77–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(02)00025-6

Gallese, V., & Sinigaglia, C. (2011). What is so special about embodied simulation? Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 15(11), 512–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.09.003

Gallo, S., Paracampo, R., Müller-Pinzler, L., Severo, M. C., Blömer, L., Fernandes-Henriques, C., Henschel, A., Lammes, B. K., Maskaljunas, T., Suttrup, J., Avenanti, A., Keysers, C., & Gazzola, V. (2018). The causal role of the somatosensory cortex in prosocial behaviour. eLife, 7, e32740. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32740

Ganos, C. (2016). Tics and Tourette's: Update on pathophysiology and tic control. Current Opinion in Neurology, 29, 513. https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.000000000000356 4

Ganos, C., & Martino, D. (2015). Tics and Tourette syndrome. Neurologic Clinics, 33(1), 115–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2014.09.008

Gatev, P., Darbin, O., & Wichmann, T. (2006). Oscillations in the basal ganglia under normal conditions and in movement disorders. Movement Disorders, 21, 1566–1577. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21033

Giese, M. A., & Poggio, T. (2003). Neural mechanisms for the recognition of biological movements. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 4, 179–192. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1057

Grasso, G., Lucifora, C., Perconti, P., & Plebe, A. (2019). Evaluating mentalization during driving: in Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Vehicle Technology and Intelligent Transport Systems (Heraklion, Crete, Greece: SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications), 536–541. https://doi.org/10.5220/0007756505360541.

Grasso, G. M., Lucifora, C., Perconti, P., & Plebe, A. (2020). Integrating human acceptable morality in autonomous vehicles. In T. Ahram, W. Karwowski, A. Vergnano, F. Leali, & R. Taiar (Eds.), Intelligent Human Systems Integration 2020. IHSI 2020. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 1131 (pp. 41–45). Springer Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39512-4_7

Green, M. F., Horan, W. P., & Lee, J. (2015). Social cognition in schizophrenia. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16, 620–631. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn4005

Hallett, M. (2014). Tremor: Pathophysiology. Parkinsonism & Related Disorders, 20, S118–S122. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1353-8020(13)70029-4

Hasson-Ohayon, I., Mashiach-Eizenberg, M., Arnon-Ribenfeld, N., Kravetz, S., & Roe, D. (2017). Neurocognition and social cognition elements of social functioning and social quality of life. Psychiatry Research, 258, 538–543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.09.004

Haxby, J. V., Hoffman, E. A., & Gobbini, M. I. (2000). The distributed human neural system for face perception. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 4(6), 223–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01482-0

Hayes, C. J., Stevenson, R. J., & Coltheart, M. (2007). Disgust and Huntington's disease. Neuropsychologia, 45, 1135–1151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.10.015

Heleven, E., & Van Overwalle, F. (2018). The neural basis of representing others' inner states. Current Opinion in Psychology, 23, 98–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.02.003.

Hendrix, C. M., & Vitek, J. L. (2012). Toward a network model of dystonia. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1265, 46–55. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06692.x

Henry, J. D., Von Hippel, W., Molenberghs, P., Lee, T., & Sachdev, P. S. (2016). Clinical assessment of social cognitive function in neurological disorders. Nature Reviews Neurology, 12, 28–39. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2015.229

Hopfner, F., & Deuschl, G. (2018). Is essential tremor a single entity? European Journal of Neurology, 25, 71–82. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.13454

Hünefeldt, T., Maffi, S., Migliore, S., Squitieri, F., & Belardinelli, M. O. (2020). Emotion recognition and inhibitory control in manifest and pre-manifest Huntington's disease: Evidence from a new Stroop task. Neural Regeneration Research, 15, 8, 1518–1520. https://doi.org/10.4103/1673-5374.274342

Ille, R., Holl, A. K., Kapfhammer, H.-P., Reisinger, K., Schäfer, A., & Schienle, A. (2011). Emotion recognition and experience in Huntington's disease: Is there a differential impairment? Psychiatry Research, 188, 377–382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2011.04.007 3

Jankovic, J. (2009). Treatment of hyperkinetic movement disorders. The Lancet Neurology, 8(9), 844–856. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70183-8

Jastorff, J., Popivanov, I. D., Vogels, R., Vanduffel, W., & Orban, G. A. (2012). Integration of shape and motion cues in biological motion processing in the monkey STS. NeuroImage, 60(2), 911–921. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.12.087

Jimenez-Sanchez, M., Licitra, F., Underwood, B. R., & Rubinsztein, D. C. (2017). Huntington's disease: Mechanisms of pathogenesis and therapeutic strategies. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine, 7, a024240. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a024240

Jinnah, H. A., Neychev, V., & Hess, E. J. (2017). The Anatomical basis for dystonia: The motor network model. Tremor and Other Hyperkinetic Movements, 7, 506. https://doi.org/10.7916/D8V69X3S

Kalsi, N., Tambelli, R., Altavilla, D., Trentini, C., Panunzi, S., Stanca, M., Aceto, P., Cardona, F., & Lai, C. (2019). Neurophysiological correlate of emotional regulation in cognitive and motor deficits in Tourette's syndrome. The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry, 20(8), 647–661. https://doi.org/10.1080/15622975.2018.1430375

Kanwisher, N., & Yovel, G. (2006). The fusiform face area: A cortical region specialized for the perception of faces. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 361(1476), 2109–2128. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1934

Keysers, C., Kaas, J. H., & Gazzola, V. (2010). Somatosensation in social perception. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 11, 417–428. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2833

Klaming, R., & Annese, J. (2014). Functional anatomy of essential tremor: Lessons from neuroimaging. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 35(8), 1450–1457. https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A3586

Kojovic, M., Pareés, I., Kassavetis, P., Palomar, F. J., Mir, P., Teo, J. T., Cordivari, C., Rothwell, J. C., Bhatia, K. P., & Edwards, M. J. (2013). Secondary and primary dystonia: Pathophysiological differences. Brain, 136(7), 2038–2049. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt150

Kordsachia, C. C., Labuschagne, I., Andrews, S. C., & Stout, J. C. (2018a). Diminished facial EMG responses to disgusting scenes and happy and fearful faces in Huntington's disease. Cortex, 106, 185–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2018.05.019

Kordsachia, C. C., Labuschagne, I., & Stout, J. C. (2018b). Visual scanning of the eye region of human faces predicts emotion recognition performance in Huntington's disease. Neuropsychology, 32(3), 356–365. https://doi.org/10.1037/neu0000424

Koziol, L. F., Budding, D. E., & Chidekel, D. (2012). From movement to thought: Executive function, embodied cognition, and the cerebellum. The Cerebellum, 11(2), 505–525. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-011-0321-y

Krall, S. C., Rottschy, C., Oberwelland, E., Bzdok, D., Fox, P. T., Eickhoff, S. B., Fink, G. R., & Konrad, K. (2015). The role of the right temporoparietal junction in attention and social interaction as revealed by ALE meta-analysis. Brain Structure & Function, 220(2), 587–604. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-014-0803-z

Labuschagne, I., Poudel, G., Kordsachia, C., Wu, Q., Thomson, H., & Georgiou-Karistianis, N., Stout, J C. (2018). Oxytocin selectively modulates brain processing of disgust in Huntington's disease gene carriers. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry, 81, 11–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.09.023

Lagravinese, G., Avanzino, L., Raffo De Ferrari, A., Marchese, R., Serrati, C., Mandich, P., Abbruzzese, G., & Pelosin, E. (2017). Theory of mind is impaired in mild to moderate Huntington's disease Independently from global cognitive functioning. Frontiers in Psychology, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00080

Lagravinese, G., Santangelo, G., Bonassi, G., Cuoco, S., Marchese, R., DiBiasio, F., Erro, R., Pelosin, E., & Avanzino, L. (2021). Affective and cognitive theory of mind in patients with cervical dystonia with and without tremor. Journal of Neural Transmission, 128(2), 199–206. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-020-02237-4

Lamm, C., Rütgen, M., & Wagner, I. C. (2019). Imaging empathy and prosocial emotions. Neuroscience Letters, 693, 49–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2017.06.054

La Rosa, V., Gori, A., Faraci, P., Vicario, C., & Craparo, G. (2022). Traumatic distress, alexithymia, dissociation, and risk of addiction during the first wave of COVID-19 in Italy: Results from a cross-sectional online survey on a non-clinical adult sample. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 20(5), 3128–3144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-021-00569-0

Larsen, I. U., Vinther-Jensen, T., Gade, A., Nielsen, J. E., & Vogel, A. (2016). Do I misconstrue? Sarcasm detection, emotion recognition, and theory of mind in Huntington disease. Neuropsychology, 30(2), 181–189. https://doi.org/10.1037/neu0000224

Lefaucheur, J.-P., Aleman, A., Baeken, C., Benninger, D. H., Brunelin, J., DiLazzaro, V., Filipović, S. R., Grefkes, C., Hasan, A., Hummel, F. C., Jääskeläinen, S. K., Langguth, B., Leocani, L., Londero, A., Nardone, R., Nguyen, J.-P., Nyffeler, T., Oliveira-Maia, A. J. ... Szekely, D. (2020). Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS): An update (2014–2018). Clinical Neurophysiology, 131(2), 474–528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2019.11.002

Leggio, M., & Molinari, M. (2015). Cerebellar Sequencing: A Trick for Predicting the future. The Cerebellum, 14(1), 35–38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-014-0616-x

Lerner, A., Bagic, A., Boudreau, E. A., Hanakawa, T., Pagan, F., Mari, Z., Bara-Jimenez, W., Aksu, M., Garraux, G., Simmons, J. M., Sato, S., Murphy, D. L., & Hallett, M. (2007). Neuroimaging of neuronal circuits involved in tic generation in patients with Tourette syndrome. Neurology, 68(23), 1979–1987. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000264417.18604.12

Louis, E. D. (2018). Essential tremor and the cerebellum. In Manto, M., Huisman, T. A. G. M. (Eds.), The Cerebellum: Disorders and Treatment. Handbook of Clinical Neurology (pp. 245–258). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64189-2.00016-0

Louis, E. D. (2019). Tremor. Continuum: Lifelong Learning in Neurology., 25(4), 959–975. https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.000000000000748

Louis, E. D., & Faust, P. L. (2020). Essential tremor pathology: Neurodegeneration and reorganization of neuronal connections. Nature Reviews Neurology, 16, 69–83. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-019-0302-1

Lucifora, C., Angelini, L., Meteier, Q., Vicario, C. M., Khaled, O. A., Mugellini, E., & Grasso, G. M. (2021a). Cyber-Therapy: The use of artificial intelligence in psychological practice. In D. Russo, T. Ahram, W. Karwowski, G. Di Bucchianico, & R. Taiar (Eds.), Intelligent Human Systems Integration 2021 Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing (pp. 127–132). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68017-6_19

Lucifora, C., Grasso, G. M., Perconti, P., & Plebe, A. (2020). Moral dilemmas in self-driving cars. Rivista internazionale di Filosofia e Psicologia, 238–250. https://doi.org/10.4453/rifp.2020.0015

Lucifora, C., Grasso, G. M., Perconti, P., & Plebe, A. (2021b). Moral reasoning and automatic risk reaction during driving. Cognition, Technology & Work, 23(4), 705–713. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10111-021-00675-y

Lucifora, C., Martino, G., Curcuruto, A., Salehinejad, M. A., & Vicario, C. M. (2021c). How Self-Control Predicts Moral Decision Making: An Exploratory Study on Healthy Participants.Int. J Environ Res Public Health, 18 (7), 3840. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073840

Lu, M.-K., Tsai, C.-H., & Ziemann, U. (2012). Cerebellum to motor cortex paired associative stimulation induces bidirectional STDP-like plasticity in human motor cortex. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 6, 260. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2012.00260

Markovic, V., Vicario, C. M., Yavari, F., Salehinejad, M. A., & Nitsche, M. A. (2021). A systematic review on the effect of transcranial direct current and magnetic stimulation on fear memory and extinction. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 15, 655947. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2021.655947

Martino, G., Caputo, A., Vicario, C. M., Feldt-Rasmussen, U., Watt, T., Quattropani, M. C., Benvenga, S., & Vita, R. (2021). Alexithymia, emotional distress, and perceived quality of life in patients with Hashimoto's thyroiditis. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 667237. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.667237

Maurage, P., Lahaye, M., Grynberg, D., Jeanjean, A., Guettat, L., Verellen-Dumoulin, C., Halkin, S., Heeren, A., Billieux, J., & Constant, E. (2016). Dissociating emotional and cognitive empathy in pre-clinical and clinical Huntington's disease. Psychiatry Research, 237, 103–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2016.01.070

Mavroudis, I., Petrides, F., Karantali, E., Chatzikonstantinou, S., McKenna, J., Ciobica, A., Iordache, A.-C., Dobrin, R., Trus, C., & Kazis, D. (2021). A Voxel-wise meta-analysis on the cerebellum in essential tremor. Medicina, 57(3), 264. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57030264

Mermillod, M., Devaux, D., Derost, P., Rieu, I., Chambres, P., Auxiette, C., Legrand, G., Galland, F., Dalens, H., Coulangeon, L. M., Broussolle, E., Durif, F., & Jalenques, I. (2013). Rapid presentation of emotional expressions reveals new emotional impairments in Tourette's syndrome. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 7, 149. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00149

Mitchell, R. L. C., Elliott, R., Barry, M., Cruttenden, A., & Woodruff, P. W. R. (2003). The neural response to emotional prosody, as revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging. Neuropsychologia, 41(10), 1410–1421. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(03)00017-4

Mitchell, I. J., Heims, H., Neville, E. A., & Rickards, H. (2005). Huntington's disease patients show impaired perception of disgust in the gustatory and olfactory modalities. The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 17(1), 119–121. https://doi.org/10.1176/jnp.17.1.119

Montague, P. R., Hyman, S. E., & Cohen, J. D. (2004). Computational roles for dopamine in behavioural control. Nature, 431(7010), 760–767. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03015

Müller-Vahl, K. R., Sambrani, T., & Jakubovski, E. (2019). Tic disorders revisited: Introduction of the term "tic spectrum disorders". European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 28(8), 1129–1135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-018-01272-7

Neuner, I., Kellermann, T., Stöcker, T., Kircher, T., Habel, U., & Shah, J. N., et al. (2010). Amygdala hypersensitivity in response to emotional faces in Tourette's patients. The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry, 11, 858–872. https://doi.org/10.3109/15622975.2010.480984

Neychev, V. K., Gross, R. E., Lehéricy, S., Hess, E. J., & Jinnah, H. A. (2011). The functional neuroanatomy of dystonia. Neurobiology of Disease, 42(2), 185–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2011.01.026

Nguyen, V. T., Sonkusare, S., Stadler, J., Hu, X., Breakspear, M., & Guo, C. C. (2017). Distinct cerebellar contributions to cognitive-perceptual dynamics during natural iewing. Cerebral Cortex, 27(12), 5652–5662. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw334

Niedenthal, P. M., Mermillod, M., Maringer, M., & Hess, U. (2010). The simulation of Smiles (SIMS) model: Embodied simulation and the meaning of facial expression. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 33(6), 417–433. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X10000865

Nikolova, Z. T., Fellbrich, A., Born, J., Dengler, R., & Schröder, C. (2011). Deficient recognition of emotional prosody in primary focal dystonia. European Journal of Neurology, 18(2), 329–336. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03144.x Olivetti Belardinelli, M., Hünefeldt, T., Meloni, R., Squitieri, F., Maffi, S., & Migliore, S. (2021). Abnormal visual scanning and impaired mental state recognition in pre-manifest Huntington disease. Experimental Brain Research, 239, 141–150. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-020-05957-x

Osborne-Crowley, K., Andrews, S. C., Labuschagne, I., Nair, A., Scahill, R., Craufurd, D., Tabrizi, S. J., & Stout, J. C. (2019). Apathy associated with impaired recognition of happy facial expressions in Huntington's disease. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 25(05), 453–461. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617718001224

Padron-Rivera, G., Diaz, R., Vaca-Palomares, I., Ochoa, A., Hernandez-Castillo, C. R., & Fernandez-Ruiz, J. (2021). Cerebellar degeneration signature in Huntington's disease. The Cerebellum, 20(6), 942–945. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-021-01256-5

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., and Whiting, P. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, 372, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71

Paracampo, R., Montemurro, M., De Vega, M., & Avenanti, A. (2018a). Primary motor cortex crucial for action prediction: A tDCS study. Cortex, 109, 287–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2018.09.019

Paracampo, R., Pirruccio, M., Costa, M., Borgomaneri, S., & Avenanti, A. (2018b). Visual, sensorimotor and cognitive routes to understanding others' enjoyment: An individual differences rTMS approach to empathic accuracy. Neuropsychologia, 116, 86–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2018.01.043

Paracampo, R., Tidoni, E., Borgomaneri, S., diPellegrino, G., & Avenanti, A. (2017). Sensorimotor network crucial for inferring amusement from smiles. Cerebral Cortex, 27, 5116–5129. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw294

Peelen, M. V., & Downing, P. E. (2007). The neural basis of visual body perception. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 8, 636–648. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2195

Pennisi, P., Salehinejad, M. A., Corso, A. M., Merlo, E. M., Avenanti, A., & Vicario, C. M. (2023). Delay discounting in Parkinson's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Behavioural Brain Research, 436, 114101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2022.114101.

Peyroux, E., Santaella, N., Broussolle, E., Rigard, C., Favre, E., Brunet, A.-S., Bost, M., Lachaux, A., & Demily, C. (2017). Social cognition in Wilson's disease: A new phenotype? PLoS ONE, 12(4), e0173467. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173467

Pezzulo, G., Barsalou, L., Cangelosi, A., Fischer, M., Spivey, M., & McRae, K. (2011). The mechanics of embodiment: A dialog on embodiment and computational modeling. Frontiers in Psychology, 2, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00005

Philpott, A. L., Andrews, S. C., Staios, M., Churchyard, A., & Fisher, F. (2016). Emotion evaluation and social inference impairments in Huntington's disease. Journal of Huntington's Disease, 5(2), 175–183. https://doi.org/10.3233/JHD-160190

Phukan, J., Albanese, A., Gasser, T., & Warner, T. (2011). Primary dystonia and dystonia-plus syndromes: Clinical characteristics, diagnosis, and pathogenesis. The Lancet Neurology, 10(12), 1074–1085. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70232-0

Pierce, J. E., & Péron, J. (2020). The basal ganglia and the cerebellum in human emotion. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 15(5), 599–613. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsaa076

Pitcher, D., Dilks, D. D., Saxe, R. R., Triantafyllou, C., & Kanwisher, N. (2011). Differential selectivity for dynamic versus static information in face-selective cortical regions. NeuroImage, 56(4), 2356–2363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.03.067

Pitcher, D., Garrido, L., Walsh, V., & Duchaine, B. C. (2008). Transcranial magnetic stimulation disrupts the perception and embodiment of facial expressions. The Journal of Neuroscience, 28, 8929–8933. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1450-08.2008 Plessen, K. J., Bansal, R., & Peterson, B. S. (2009). Imaging evidence for anatomical disturbances and neuroplastic compensation in persons with Tourette syndrome. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 67, 559–573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2009.07.005

Prudente, C. N., Hess, E. J., & Jinnah, H. A. (2014). Dystonia as a network disorder: What is the role of the cerebellum? Neuroscience, 260, 23–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.11.062

Puig-Davi, A., Martinez-Horta, S., Sampedro, F., Horta-Barba, A., Perez-Perez, J., Campolongo, A., Izquierdo-Barrionuevo, C., Pagonabarraga, J., Gomez-Anson, B., & Kulisevsky, J. (2021). Cognitive and affective empathy in Huntington's disease. Journal of Huntington's Disease, 10(3), 323–334. https://doi.org/10.3233/JHD-210469

Rae, C. L., Polyanska, L., Gould Van Praag, C. D., Parkinson, J., Bouyagoub, S., Nagai, Y., Seth, A. K., Harrison, N. A., Garfinkel, S. N., & Critchley, H. D. (2018). Face perception enhances insula and motor network reactivity in Tourette syndrome. Brain, 141(11), 3249–3261. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy254

Rafee, S., O'Keeffe, F., O'Riordan, S., Reilly, R., & Hutchinson, M. (2021). Adult onset dystonia: A disorder of the collicular–pulvinar–amygdala network. Cortex, 143, 282–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2021.05.010

Rao, S. M., Mayer, A. R., & Harrington, D. L. (2001). The evolution of brain activation during temporal processing. Nature Neuroscience, 4, 317–323. https://doi.org/10.1038/85191

Rinnerthaler, M., Benecke, C., Bartha, L., Entner, T., Poewe, W., & Mueller, J. (2006). Facial recognition in primary focal dystonia. Movement Disorders, 21, 78–82. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20659

Ritter, P., & Villringer, A. (2006). Simultaneous EEG–fMRI. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 30(6), 823–838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2006.06.008

Rizzolatti, G., & Sinigaglia, C. (2016). The mirror mechanism: A basic principle of brain function. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 17, 757–765. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.135

Roca, M. (2016). The relationship between executive functions and theory of mind: A long and winding road. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 87(3), 229–229. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2015-312568

Rokita, K. I., Dauvermann, M. R., & Donohoe, G. (2018). Early life experiences and social cognition in major psychiatric disorders: A systematic review. European Psychiatry, 53, 123–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.06.006

Romei, V., Chiappini, E., Hibbard, P. B., & Avenanti, A. (2016). Empowering reentrant projections from V5 to V1 boosts sensitivity to motion. Current Biology, 26(16), 2155–2160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.06.009

Santangelo, G., Trojano, L., Barone, P., Errico, D., Improta, I., Agosti, V., Grossi, D., Sorrentino, G., & Vitale, C. (2012). Cognitive and affective theory of mind in patients with essential tremor. Journal of Neurology, 260(2), 513–520. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-012-6668-2

Schirinzi, T., Sciamanna, G., Mercuri, N. B., & Pisani, A. (2018). Dystonia as a network disorder: A concept in evolution. Current Opinion in Neurology, 31(4), 498–503. https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.00000000000580

Schultz, W. (1998). Predictive reward Signal of dopamine neurons. Journal of Neurophysiology, 80(1), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1998.80.1.1

Schultz, W. (2015). Neuronal reward and decision signals: From theories to data. Physiological Reviews, 95(3), 853–951. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00023.2014

Schutter, D. J. L. G., Enter, D., & Hoppenbrouwers, S. S. (2009). High-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation to the cerebellum and implicit processing of happy facial expressions. Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience, 34(1), 60–65.

Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). (2012). Methodology checklist 4: case-control studies. https://www.sign.ac.uk/what-we-do/methodology/checklists

Seeley, W. W. (2019). The salience network: A neural system for perceiving and Responding to Homeostatic Demands. The Journal of Neuroscience, 39, 9878–9882. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1138-17.2019

Sesack, S. R., & Grace, A. A. (2010). Cortico-basal ganglia reward network: Microcircuitry. Neuropsychopharmacology, 35(1), 27–47. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2009.93

Shapiro, L. (2011). Embodied cognition. Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.

Sharifi, S., Nederveen, A. J., Booij, J., & van Rootselaar, A.-F. (2014). Neuroimaging essentials in essential tremor: A systematic review. NeuroImage: Clinical, 5, 217–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2014.05.003

Singer, T., Seymour, B., O'Doherty, J., Kaube, H., Dolan, R. J., & Frith, C. D. (2004). Empathy for pain involves the affective but not sensory components of pain. Science, 303(5661), 1157–1162. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1093535

Sliwinska, M. W., & Pitcher, D. (2018). TMS demonstrates that both right and left superior temporal sulci are important for facial expression recognition. NeuroImage, 183, 394–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.08.025

Sokolov, A. A. (2018). The cerebellum in social cognition. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience, 12, 145. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2018.00145

Sokolov, A. A., Erb, M., Gharabaghi, A., Grodd, W., Tatagiba, M. S., & Pavlova, M. A. (2012). Biological motion processing: The left cerebellum communicates with the right superior temporal sulcus. NeuroImage, 59(3), 2824–2830. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.039

Sprengelmeyer, R., Young, A. W., Baldas, E.-M., Ratheiser, I., Sutherland, C. A. M., & Müller, H.-P., et al. (2016). The neuropsychology of first impressions: Evidence from Huntington's disease. Cortex, 85, 100–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2016.10.006

Stocco, A., Lebiere, C., & Anderson, J. R. (2010). Conditional Routing of information to the cortex: A model of the basal ganglia's role in cognitive Coordination. Psychological Review, 117, 541–574. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019077

Subramanian, K., Brandenburg, C., Orsati, F., Soghomonian, J.-J., Hussman, J. P., & Blatt, G. J. (2017). Basal ganglia and autism - a translational perspective. Autism Research, 10(11), 1751–1775. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1837

Tabrizi, S. J., Flower, M. D., Ross, C. A., & Wild, E. J. (2020). Huntington disease: New insights into molecular pathogenesis and therapeutic opportunities. Nature Reviews Neurology, 16, 529–546. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-020-0389-4

Tamietto, M., & de Gelder, B. (2010). Neural bases of the non-conscious perception of emotional signals. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 11, 697–709. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2889

Tang, X., Ross, C. A., Johnson, H., Paulsen, J. S., Younes, L., & Albin, R. L., Ratnanather, J T., Miller, M I. (2019). Regional subcortical shape analysis in premanifest Huntington's disease. Human Brain Mapping, 40, 1419–1433. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24456 5

Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2013). Psychoanalysis and Empirical Research: The example of Alexithymia. Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association, 61, 99–133. https://doi.org/10.1177/0003065112474066

Tewari, A., Fremont, R., & Khodakhah, K. (2017). It's not just the basal ganglia: Cerebellum as a target for dystonia therapeutics. Movement Disorders, 32(11), 1537–1545. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27123

Tikoo, S., Suppa, A., Tommasin, S., Giannì, C., Conte, G., Mirabella, G., Cardona, F., & Pantano, P. (2021). Cerebellum in drug-naive children with Tourette syndrome and obsessive–compulsive disorder. The Cerebellum, 21(6), 867–878. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-021-01327-7

Tobe, R. H., Bansal, R., Xu, D., Hao, X., Liu, J., Sanchez, J., & Peterson, B. S. (2010). Cerebellar morphology in Tourette syndrome and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Annals of Neurology, 67(4), 479–487. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.21918

Todorov, A., Said, C. P., Engell, A. D., & Oosterhof, N. N. (2008). Understanding evaluation of faces on social dimensions. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 12(12), 455–460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2008.10.001

Trajkovic, J., Romei, V., Rushworth, M. F. S., & Sel, A. (2023). Changing connectivity between premotor and motor cortex changes inter-areal communication in the human brain. Progress in Neurobiology, 228, 102487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2023.102487

Trinkler, I., Devignevielle, S., Achaibou, A., Ligneul, R. V., Brugières, P., & Cleret De Langavant, L., et al. (2017). Embodied emotion impairment in Huntington's disease. Cortex, 92, 44–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2017.02.019

Turner, K., Bartlett, D., Grainger, S. A., Eddy, C., Reyes, A., Kordsachia, C., Turner, M., Stout, J C., Georgiou-Karistianis, N., Henry, J D., Ziman, M., Cruickshank, T. (2022). Profiling social cognition in premanifest Huntington's disease. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 28, 217–229. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617721000357 3

Turrini, S., Bevacqua, N., Cataneo, A., Chiappini, E., Fiori, F., Battaglia, S., Romei, V., & Avenanti, A. (2023a). Neurophysiological markers of premotor–motor network plasticity predict motor performance in young and older adults. Biomedicines, 11(5), 1464. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11051464

Turrini, S., Bevacqua, N., Cataneo, A., Chiappini, E., Fiori, F., Candidi, M., & Avenanti, A. (2023b). Transcranial cortico-cortical paired associative stimulation (ccPAS) over ventral premotor-motor pathways enhances action performance and corticomotor excitability in young adults more than in elderly adults. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 15, 1119508 . https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2023.1119508

Turrini, S., Fiori, F., Chiappini, E., Lucero, B., Santarnecchi, E., & Avenanti, A. (2023c). Cortico-cortical paired associative stimulation (ccPAS) over premotor-motor areas affects local circuitries in the human motor cortex via Hebbian plasticity. Neuroimage, 271, 120027. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2023.120027

Turrini, S., Fiori, F., Chiappini, E., Santarnecchi, E., Romei, V., & Avenanti, A. (2022). Gradual enhancement of corticomotor excitability during cortico-cortical paired associative stimulation. Scientific Reports, 12, 14670. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-18774-9

Unti, E., Mazzucchi, S., Frosini, D., Pagni, C., Tognoni, G., Palego, L., Betti, L., Miraglia, F., Giannaccini, G., & Ceravolo, R. (2018). Social cognition and oxytocin in Huntington's disease: New insights. Brain Sciences, 8(9), 161. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci8090161

Urgesi, C., Candidi, M., & Avenanti, A. (2014). Neuroanatomical substrates of action perception and understanding: An anatomic likelihood estimation meta-analysis of lesion-symptom mapping studies in brain injured patients. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00344

Valchev, N., Tidoni, E., Hamilton, A. F. D. C., Gazzola, V., & Avenanti, A. (2017). Primary somatosensory cortex necessary for the perception of weight from other people's action: A continuous theta-burst TMS experiment. NeuroImage, 152, 195–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.02.075

Van Overwalle, F. (2009). Social cognition and the brain: A meta-analysis. Human Brain Mapping, 30, 829–858. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20547

Van Overwalle, F., Baetens, K., Mariën, P., & Vandekerckhove, M. (2014). Social cognition and the cerebellum: A meta-analysis of over 350 fMRI studies. NeuroImage, 86, 554–572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.09.033

Van Overwalle, F., Manto, M., Cattaneo, Z., Clausi, S., Ferrari, C., Gabrieli, J. D. E., Guell, X., Heleven, E., Lupo, M., Ma, Q., Michelutti, M., Olivito, G., Pu, M., Rice, L. C., Schmahmann, J. D., Siciliano, L., Sokolov, A. A., Stoodley, C. J. ... Vandervert, L. (2020). Consensus Paper: Cerebellum and social cognition. The Cerebellum, 19(6), 833–868. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-020-01155-1

Vicario, C. M., Gulisano, M., Martino, D., & Rizzo, R. (2016). Timing recalibration in childhood Tourette syndrome associated with persistent pimozide treatment. Journal of Neuropsychology, 10, 211–222. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnp.12064

Vicario, C. M., Gulisano, M., Maugeri, N., Albin, R. L., & Rizzo, R. (2021). Moral decision-making in adolescents with Tourette syndrome. Movement Disorders, 36(9), 2205–2206. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.28705

Vicario, C. M., Gulisano, M., Maugeri, N., & Rizzo, R. (2020a). Delay reward Discounting in adolescents with Tourette's syndrome. Movement Disorders, 35, 1279–1280. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.28096 7

Vicario, C. M., Nitsche, M. A., Hoysted, I., Yavari, F., Avenanti, A., Salehinejad, M. A., & Felmingham, K. L. (2020b). Anodal transcranial direct current stimulation over the ventromedial prefrontal cortex enhances fear extinction in healthy humans: A single blind sham-controlled study. Brain Stimulation, 13(2), 489–491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2019.12.022

Vicario, C. M., Nitsche, M. A., Salehinejad, M. A., Avanzino, L., & Martino, G. (2020c). Time processing, Interoception, and insula activation: A Mini-review on clinical disorders. Frontiers in Psychology, 11, 1893. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01893

Vicario, C. M., Rafal, R. D., Martino, D., & Avenanti, A. (2017). Core, social and moral disgust are bounded: A review on behavioral and neural bases of repugnance in clinical disorders. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 80, 185–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.05.008

Vicario, C. M., Salehinejad, M. A., Mosayebi-Samani, M., Maezawa, H., Avenanti, A., & Nitsche, M. A. (2020d). Transcranial direct current stimulation over the tongue motor cortex reduces appetite in healthy humans. Brain Stimulation, 13(4), 1121–1123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2020.05.008

Vogel, A., Jørgensen, K., & Larsen, I. U. (2022). Normative data for emotion hexagon test and frequency of impairment in behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia, Alzheimer's disease and Huntington's disease. Applied Neuropsychology: Adult, 29(1), 127–132. https://doi.org/10.1080/23279095.2020.1720686

Vonsattel, J. P., & DiFiglia, M. (1998). Huntington disease. Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology, 57(5), 369–384. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005072-199805000-00001

Waldvogel, H. J., Kim, E. H., Tippett, L. J., & Vonsattel, J. P. (2015). Faull RL.The Neuropathology of Huntington's Disease Curr Top Behav Neurosci. 22, 33–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/7854_2014_354

Walker, F. O. (2007). Huntington's disease. The Lancet, 369, 218–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60111-1 9557

Weightman, M. J., Air, T. M., & Baune, B. T. (2014). A review of the role of social cognition in major depressive disorder. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 5, 179. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2014.00179

Wood, A., Rychlowska, M., Korb, S., & Niedenthal, P. (2016). Fashioning the face: Sensorimotor simulation contributes to facial expression recognition. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 20(3), 227–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2015.12.010

World Health Organization. (2019). International Statistical classification of diseases and related Health problems (11th ed.).

Yitzhak, N., Gurevich, T., Inbar, N., Lecker, M., Atias, D., & Avramovich, H., et al. (2020). Recognition of emotion from subtle and non-stereotypical dynamic facial expressions in Huntington's disease. Cortex, 126, 343–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2020.01.019

Ystad, M., Hodneland, E., Adolfsdottir, S., Haász, J., Lundervold, A. J., Eichele, T., & Lundervold, A. (2011). Cortico-striatal connectivity and cognition in normal aging: A combined DTI and resting state fMRI study. NeuroImage, 55(1), 24–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.11.016

Yu, R., Chien, Y.-L., Wang, H.-L. S., Liu, C.-M., Liu, C.-C., Hwang, T.-J., Hsieh, M. H., Hwu, H.-G., & Tseng, W. Y. I. (2014). Frequency-specific alternations in the amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations in schizophrenia: Low-frequency fluctuations in schizophrenia. Human Brain Mapping, 35(2), 627–637. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22203

Zaki, J., & Ochsner, K. N. (2012). The neuroscience of empathy: Progress, pitfalls and promise. Nature Neuroscience, 15, 675–680. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3085

Zaki, J., Weber, J., Bolger, N., & Ochsner, K. (2009). The neural bases of empathic accuracy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106, 11382–11387. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902666106.

Zanon, M., Borgomaneri, S., & Avenanti, A. (2018). Action-related dynamic changes in inferior frontal cortex effective connectivity: A TMS/EEG coregistration study. Cortex, 108, 193–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2018.08.004

Zarotti, N., Fletcher, I., & Simpson, J. (2019). New perspectives on emotional processing in people with symptomatic Huntington's disease: Impaired emotion regulation and recognition of emotional body language. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 34(5), 610–624. https://doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acy085

Zarotti, N., Simpson, J., Fletcher, I., Squitieri, F., & Migliore, S. (2018). Exploring emotion regulation and emotion recognition in people with presymptomatic Huntington's disease: The role of emotional awareness. Neuropsychologia, 112, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2018.02.030