

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna  
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Application of life cycle assessment to high quality-soil conditioner production from biowaste

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Arfelli, F., Cespi, D., Ciacci, L., Passarini, F. (2023). Application of life cycle assessment to high quality-soil conditioner production from biowaste. WASTE MANAGEMENT, 172, 216-225 [10.1016/j.wasman.2023.10.033].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/949039> since: 2024-05-27

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2023.10.033>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

**Francesco Arfelli, Daniele Cespi, Luca Ciacci, Fabrizio Passarini; Application of life cycle assessment to high quality-soil conditioner production from biowaste; Waste Management, Volume 172, 2023, Pages 216-225, ISSN 0956-053X,**

The final published version is available online at:  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2023.10.033>

#### Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

*This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)*

***When citing, please refer to the published version.***

# 1    **Application of life cycle assessment to high-soil conditioner production from** 2    **biowaste**

3    *Francesco Arfelli<sup>1</sup>, Daniele Cespi<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Luca Ciacchi<sup>1,2</sup>, Fabrizio Passarini<sup>1,2</sup>*

4    <sup>a</sup>Department of Industrial Chemistry “Toso Montanari”, University of Bologna, via Piero Gobetti 85,  
5    40129 Bologna, Italy.

6    <sup>b</sup>Interdepartmental Centre of Industrial Research “Renewable Resources, Environment, Sea and  
7    Energy”, University of Bologna, via Angherà 22, 47922 Rimini, Italy.

8

9    Mail of the corresponding author: daniele.cespi2@unibo.it

## 10    **Abstract**

11    *The recent large-scale urbanization and industrialization resulted in an impressive growth of solid waste generation*  
12    *worldwide. Organic fraction generally constitutes a large fraction of municipal solid waste and its peculiar chemical*  
13    *properties open to various valorization strategies. On this purpose, life cycle assessment is applied to an innovative*  
14    *industrial system that processes 18 kt/y of agricultural and livestock waste into a high-quality soil conditioner. The high-*  
15    *quality soil conditioner production system consists of a series of processes, including anaerobic digestion and*  
16    *vermicomposting, allowing the generation of a peat-like material with high carbon content, porosity, and water-holding*  
17    *capacity. The presence of a photovoltaic plant and a cogeneration plant, fed with the biogas produced in the anaerobic*  
18    *digestion, makes the system entirely self-sufficient from the national grid and generating a surplus of electricity of*  
19    *1177MWh/y. The high-quality soil conditioner showed better environmental performances in 15 out of 18 impact*  
20    *categories when compared to alternative scenarios. In particular, the high-quality soil conditioner and the related*  
21    *biowaste management resulted in a carbon saving of around 397 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/ton compared with a scenario involving the*  
22    *employment of peat in place of the high-quality soil conditioner and a traditional biowaste management, and 165 kg CO<sub>2</sub>*  
23    *eq/ton compared with a scenario where cogeneration is replaced by biomethane upgrading. This study demonstrates the*  
24    *possibility of using organic waste as an environmentally sustainable and renewable source for energy and carbon to soil*  
25    *conditioning.*

26    **KEYWORDS:** *Biowaste valorization, Life cycle thinking, Agricultural waste, Circular economy, Vermicomposting*

27

## **1. Introduction**

The large-scale urbanization, industrialization, and changing lifestyle of the city dwellers have resulted in several urgent environmental and socio-economic challenges including a virtuous and sustainable management of solid waste (Das et al., 2020), which is expected to reach 3.40 billion tons per year worldwide, or about +41% respect to the current situation (Singh et al., 2022). The biowaste fraction is estimated to account for more than 34% of the total solid waste generated in the EU-28 (Boccarossa et al., 2021) and to range from 53% to 64% in low to middle income nations (Ardolino et al., 2021). Furthermore, the uncontrolled degradation of the organic fraction is estimated to contribute for about 5% of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (UNEP, 2022), with only 37% of the total organic waste annually generated currently undergoing resource recovery treatments (Ardolino and Arena, 2019). However, the potential future increase of biowaste may play a relevant role in the transition from a linear to a circular economy (CE) (Palazzo et al., 2023). If subjected to proper valorization, biowaste may be a key feedstock to reduce the dependency on non-renewable resources in anthropogenic systems (Rodríguez et al., 2019), due to its notable content of potential nutrients such as nitrogen (Amlinger et al., 2003), phosphorous (Mejia Duque Torres et al., 2023; Tonini et al., 2019), and carbon (Oviedo-Ocaña et al., 2023).

A safe and eco-oriented transformation of solid waste organic fraction into beneficial products is hence one of the main aims of resource recovery and recycling principles (Yadav and Garg, 2011). Some of the most promising management technologies are based on physical-chemical conversion approaches such as composting, vermicomposting (VC), and anaerobic digestion (AD), for the production of bio/organic fertilizers, nutrient sources for microbes, animal feed, biogas, and many others (Kiyasudeen et al., 2020). In the EU-28, in particular, the biogas contributes to more than 35% of the bioenergy generated from biomass sources (Rasapoor et al., 2020), and its production from AD plants increased from 93 to 187 TWh between 2008 and 2016 (Cesaro, 2021), with expectations to double it by 2030 (Kampman et al., 2016). In addition, the unprecedented European energy crisis due

53 to the Russo-Ukrainian conflict further stressed the need to convert energy systems towards  
54 decarbonization strategies (Frilingou et al., 2023). Regarding the employed raw materials, to date the  
55 agricultural sector largely relies on peat to produce fertilizers and soil conditioners, with exploitation  
56 of peatlands being responsible for about 5% of the total annual GHG emission (Paoli et al., 2022;  
57 Virginia Gewin, 2020). For this reason, the European Commission has set a target for 30% reduction  
58 of the dependency on non-renewable resources in the fertilizer composition (Chojnacka et al., 2020).  
59 In this view, AD and VC are widely considered as two of the most preferred strategies to convert  
60 biowaste into valuable fertilizing products (Das et al., 2020). The main products of AD and VC  
61 comprise biogas and a peat-like material usable as soil conditioner (Kiyasudeen et al., 2020). AD is  
62 often applied under anaerobic conditions to process livestock manures, sludge derived by waste water  
63 treatments, and solid ligno-cellulosic waste into biogas (Achinas et al., 2020), an energy carrier with  
64 a key role for the ecological transition (Varling et al., 2023). On the other hand, VC consists of an  
65 aerobic and bio-oxidative process that involves the employment of earthworms to promote the  
66 mineralization of biomass and result in a valuable carbon-rich material, usually named  
67 “vermicompost” (Santos et al., 2022). The application of vermicompost on land is beneficial to soil  
68 properties such as physical texture, porosity, water-holding capacity, seed germination induction,  
69 high concentrations of humic and fulvic acids to a greater extent respect to traditional compost (Das  
70 et al., 2020). Further advantages of vermicompost relate to its capacity of reducing soil-borne plant  
71 pathogens and removing water-soluble metals (He et al., 2016). However, despite VC presents several  
72 advantages with respect to traditional management alternatives (e.g., simple traditional composting  
73 or dumping), the process and survival rate of earthworms in VC are particularly sensitive to certain  
74 operating conditions such as the carbon-nitrogen ratio of the feedstock (Huang et al., 2016), the  
75 presence of microplastics (Zhong et al., 2021), the operating temperature (Balachandar et al., 2021),  
76 earthworm species (Zziwa et al., 2021) and geometry and type of the reactor (Enebe and Erasmus,  
77 2023). Regarding the latter parameter, VC reactors usually fall within two main categories: batch  
78 process/scale and continuous process/scale systems, with a general preference for continuous

79 configurations (Enebe and Erasmus, 2023). Moreover, the VC process can be also negatively  
80 influenced by the presence of recalcitrant materials in the feedstock such as plastics used in  
81 agriculture or by inhibiting substances like salt, e.g. NaCl (Seesamut et al., 2022).  
82 Although AD and VC have been widely investigated in the relevant literature (Bellitürk et al., 2023;  
83 Das et al., 2020; Ferraz Ramos et al., 2022; Goswami et al., 2013; Hanc et al., 2020; Kiyasudeen et  
84 al., 2020; Lim et al., 2016; Ruan et al., 2023; Yadav and Garg, 2011), also with the inclusion of  
85 assessment of their environmental implications in some cases (Deng et al., 2022; Komakech et al.,  
86 2016; Vicentin et al., 2021; Yasmin et al., 2022), the combination of AD and VC to co-production of  
87 biogas and a high-quality soil conditioner (HQ-SC) has not been explored yet, ultimately hindering a  
88 comprehensive evaluation of the potential waste management options, the related impacts, and  
89 achievable benefits from biowaste valorization and the CE. The latter point, in particular, aligns to  
90 the new CE action plan for the EU, which claims for a reliable environmental evaluation of products  
91 over their whole life cycle, from planning and design stages through end-of-life (EoL) management  
92 and final disposal. Life cycle approach should be always applied when an innovation is under study,  
93 since it enables comparison of alternative scenarios including potential direct and indirect impacts  
94 related to the whole product/process value chain (Pasciucco et al., 2022). To this aim, in this study  
95 we applied life cycle assessment (LCA) (ISO, 2006a, 2006b) to estimate the environmental  
96 performance of an operating industrial plant comprising a combination of AD and VC to agricultural  
97 and livestock waste (ALW) for the co-production of biogas and HQ-SC.

## 98 ***2. Materials and methods***

99 According to the international ISO standards 14040:2006/Amd 1:2020 and 14044:2006/Amd  
100 1:2017+Amd2:2020 (ISO, 2006a, 2006b), LCA is a strategic technique to identify and quantify the  
101 potential environmental impacts associated with a product, process or system throughout its life cycle.  
102 The common LCA framework (goal and scope definition, life cycle inventory – LCI, life cycle impact

assessment – LCIA, and interpretation) applies well-regarded scientific mechanisms and characterization models to relate the LCI results to selected category indicators for a quantitative evaluation of the environmental impacts (LCIA). The interpretation, transversal to the previous three phases, ensures consistency between the aims of the study and its execution to recommendations.

## **2.1 Case study**

The case study is based on a full scale production system (depicted in Figure 1), owned by the PANECO AMBIENTE s.r.l. (Paneco Ambiente S.r.l., 2022). The production system is located in the Piemonte region, northern Italy, serving local farms and breeders for ALW management. Often, the management of ALW may cause both economic and environmental issues at the local level, since the national regulation (Ministero dell'agricoltura e della sovranità alimentare e delle foreste, 2016) sets specific requirements for direct use of ALW on land such as soil quality and conditions, atmospheric temperatures and chemical compositions of the ALW residue. In addition, when the amount of raw ALW to be disposed exceeds the legislation limit (DM 5046 05/02/2016), it is common practice for farmers and breeders to rent neighboring soils and spread the ALW excess. Although complying with the relevant legislation, such ALW management implies, on one side, a worse fixation of carbon in soil (Vidal et al., 2020) and, consequently, higher carbon emissions over time. Moreover, this practice determines additional costs for farmers and breeders, and a growing competition with agriculture and plant cultivation.

As an alternative management strategy, the system implemented by PANECO AMBIENTE s.r.l. combines AD and VC to convert ALW into biogas for energy generation and HQ-SC of greater quality, stability and amendment capacity than raw ALW. Beyond HQ-SC, the installation of an in-situ photovoltaic plant (PV) and a co-generator fed with the biogas outflow, allows the system to be completely independent from external energy sources and to sell the electrical excess to the national grid. A biogas-to-biomethane (Btb) upgrading set up is also evaluated in this case study, as an alternative strategy to cogeneration. Overall, the system under scrutiny provides several advantages

129 since: *i*) it constitutes an alternative to farmers to locally manage their waste with no need to rent  
130 supplemental land for spreading raw ALW; *ii*) it reduces the dependency on fossil-based fertilizers  
131 (especially peat) to provide nutrients to soil; *iii*) it enables the production of bioenergy from waste.  
132 In a broader context, the last point is also mainstreamed by UN sustainable development goals (Dada  
133 and Mbohwa, 2018), in goals #7 (affordable and clean energy), #12 (responsible consumption and  
134 production) and #13 (climate action). A detailed description of the system is provided in the following  
135 sections.

136

## 137 ***2.2 Goal and scope definition***

138 The aim of the study is to estimate the environmental impacts associated with the conversion of ALW  
139 to HQ-SC (named “Baseline scenario” hereafter) and to compare the results with *i*) the replacement  
140 of energy cogeneration with biogas to biomethane upgrading (i.e., “Btb scenario”); and *ii*) a scenario  
141 modelling the extraction and processing of peat as a non-renewable commercial alternative, together  
142 with the AWL management previous to the development of the HQ-SC system (i.e., “Peat+TM  
143 scenario”). HQ-SC product is thought as an alternative to traditional peat and to propose an  
144 unconventional ALW management practice, able to valorize the carbon content of the residues and  
145 avoid the emissions associated to improper managements.

146 According to an economic breakdown of the company revenues from the valuable outputs, the  
147 production of HQ-SC results to be the main product of the system as it represents, at least, about the  
148 65% of the annual revenues (Table ESI 1). Therefore, the functional unit (FU) was set at 1 ton of  
149 produced and packed HQ-SC, ready for the market. The study was performed following a *cradle-to-*  
150 *gate* approach, with the system boundaries including infrastructure and machineries, in-bound  
151 transportation, the production and supply of auxiliary materials, packaging materials, usage of the  
152 energy carriers (i.e., diesel and electricity) involved in the system, the emissions in the atmosphere  
153 from the core phase (i.e., production) and the on-site generation of the renewable energy. With the  
154 exception of the liquid digestate (LD) outflow (see section 2.1.1), on-site generated waste amounted



155 to less than 1% so that it was excluded from the analysis in reason of cut-off criteria. The “zero  
156 burden” approach was applied to the raw ALW input, in consistency with previous studies (Arfelli  
157 et al., 2023; Ware and Power, 2016).

158 Lastly, system expansion was carried out to account in the modelling of the Baseline scenario and the  
159 Btb scenario for the functions related to the co-products (i.e., HQ-SC and electricity or HQ-SC and  
160 biomethane, respectively) and avoid allocation (ISO, 2006b).

## 161 ***2.3 Life cycle inventory (LCI)***

162 Data collection and model assumptions for the scenarios investigated are described below. For  
163 background information and secondary data, the reference database was ecoinvent 3.8 (Wernet et al.,  
164 2016a), while the software SimaPro 9.4 (PRé Consultants, 2022) was employed for modelling and  
165 calculations. A detailed description of the scenarios follows.

### 166 ***2.3.1 Baseline scenario***

167 The LCI of the Baseline scenario was compiled with primary data provided by the company, covering  
168 the ALW inflow, balances of materials and energy (both purchased and self-produced) involved in  
169 the production site. The selected reference year is 2021, being this representative for the  
170 contemporary production chain in the case study.

171 **Errore. L'origine riferimento non è stata trovata.** depicts the system boundaries and main stages  
172 covered by the model, namely: (I) in bound transportation and discharge, (II) AD, (III) solid liquid-  
173 separation, (IV) vermicomposting, (V) screening and (VI) packaging. The energy supplied to the  
174 system is sourced from either domestic PV, the cogeneration plant and fossil carriers (e.g., diesel).  
175 The PV production amounted at 460 MWh<sub>e</sub>/y, of which 46% (212 MWh<sub>e</sub>/y) is self-consumed, while  
176 the remaining amount (248 MWh<sub>e</sub>/y) is entirely sold to the national grid. The latter flow was given a  
177 credit in the LCA model for avoiding the production of an equivalent amount of electricity generated  
178 from the Italian grid mix in 2021 (IEA, 2022a). The energy derived by diesel combustion resulted in  
179 104 MWh<sub>i</sub>/y, while the energy produced by the cogeneration plant was not directly measured, but

180 estimated according to the annual biogas production and the low heating value of biogas (2.9  
 181 kWh/m<sup>3</sup>) indicated in the ecoinvent record used as the reference. A bottom-up approach was then  
 182 followed to estimate the energy consumption in each process and considering the annual energy input  
 183 to the system, the nominal power of the machineries, and the cumulative time of employment in the  
 184 reference year. More details are reported in Table ESI 2.

185 The total amount of the ALW inflow (i.e., 3 kt/y of agricultural waste and 15 kt/y of livestock waste  
 186 respectively) was supplied from several local farmers and breeders to the company through diesel-  
 187 fueled freight lorries, and covering an average distance of 15 km (Stage I, **Errore. L'origine**  
 188 **referimento non è stata trovata.**). AD (Stage II, **Errore. L'origine referimento non è stata**  
 189 **trovata.**) is performed at 40°C for 2 months and enables the production of 17 kt/y of digestate and 1  
 190 E+06 m<sup>3</sup>/y of biogas (assuming an average productivity of 3500m<sup>3</sup>/day in a period of 300 days and  
 191 70 m<sup>3</sup> biogas/t of ALW). The biogas is then conveyed into the cogeneration plant, for heat and  
 192 electricity production. According to Odeh (2017), 1% of the biogenic methane generated during the  
 193 AD is assumed to be lost in the atmosphere. Part of the heat by the AD is self-consumed for  
 194 maintaining the required temperature in the digester (40°C), while the heat excess is dissipated into  
 195 the atmosphere. The electricity surplus (estimated at 1528 MWh<sub>e</sub>/y) is instead sold to the national  
 196 grid and considered in the model as an avoided product.

197 In the separation stage (Stage III, **Errore. L'origine referimento non è stata trovata.**), the solid and  
 198 liquid fractions of the digestate are separated into two streams. The solid-liquid separation step  
 199 requires a continuous handling by a mechanical shovel, with a related diesel and electricity  
 200 consumption estimated, respectively, in 58 MWh<sub>t</sub>/y and 20 MWh<sub>e</sub>/y. The LD is handed back to the  
 201 waste suppliers and spreaded on soils, complying with the emission limits for pollutants to air and  
 202 soil set by the law (European Union, 2019). LD and the properties of local soils may both increase  
 203 the nutrient enrichment in the soil (Black et al., 2017), but they might be also responsible for eventual  
 204 emission of pollutants not able to be fixed. Due to a lack of data related to the chemical composition  
 205 of LD, the related emissions occurring from its Use on Land (UOL) are estimated by assuming the

206 same characterization factors as those applied for UOL of raw ALW, in an extremely conservative  
207 approach. More details are available in Table ESI 3.

208 In contrast, the solid fraction is continuously fed to the VC process (Stage IV, **Errore. L'origine**  
209 **referimento non è stata trovata.**) where it remains, on average, for 12 months. The worm species  
210 employed for VC is *Eusemia fetida*, in reason of their natural ability to mineralize organic waste,  
211 relatively short life cycle and reasonable tolerance to a wide range of atmospheric conditions  
212 (Dominguez and Edwards, 2011), and the presence of recalcitrant or inhibiting substances in the  
213 feedstock (Seesamut et al., 2022). The best process rate occurs at an operating temperature of 20-  
214 25°C and 80-85% of moisture content (Singh et al., 2018). The periodic handling of the solid digestate  
215 by mechanical shovel is also required (12 MWh/y of diesel). According to the relevant literature, the  
216 removal of earthworms from vermicompost can be managed, relatively easily, by means of light,  
217 vertical or sideways separation stages (Lin et al., 2021). After separation, the vermicompost is then  
218 subjected to screening (Stage V, **Errore. L'origine referimento non è stata trovata.**) through an  
219 electric rotary screen (6 MWh/y). The final product (i.e., HQ-SC) is moved to an adjacent site by a  
220 bucket equipped tractor, and then packed in bags with a capacity of 5, 15 or 22 kg HQ-SC for the  
221 market. The overall diesel and electricity amount consumed is 11 m<sup>3</sup>/y and 837 kWh/y, respectively  
222 (Stage VI, **Errore. L'origine referimento non è stata trovata.**).

223 The LCI related to transportation, cogeneration process, electricity, AD, diesel combustion and  
224 supply, and packaging material were retrieved from ecoinvent 3.8 (Wernet et al., 2016b) and  
225 complemented with primary data from the company. In Table ESI 4 a list of proxy processes drawn  
226 by ecoinvent with further details and assumptions are also reported.

### 227 **2.3.2 Peat+TM scenario**

228 Peat is the youngest and among the least altered combustible rocks. Compared with similar fossil  
229 fuels, it is characterized by the lowest content of fixed carbon and the highest content of volatile  
230 constituents (Grumpelt, 2000). Thanks to its properties (i.e., high natural moisture content, high  
231 compressibility and water-holding capacity, low specific gravity, low bearing capacity, and medium-

to-low permeability), peat is widely used as soil conditioner (Wong Sing, 2008) and, for this reason, in this study was set as a commercial alternative to HQ-SC for comparative purposes. In Peat+TM scenario, the modelling covered peat extraction, processing, supply stages (Wernet et al., 2016b) and collection; and UOL emissions of the ALW. Due to the unavailability of primary data related to the behavior of ALW spreaded to soil, the elemental emissions to soil and atmosphere of the raw feedstock were estimated according to Hamelin et al. (2014) and Tambone et al. (2017). More details about the emissions' modelling are reported in Table ESI 3.

### 2.3.3 *Biogas to Biomethane (Btb)*

Biomethane is a renewable substitute of natural gas, and suitable for a variety of uses such as cooking, heating, shipping and transportation (Ardolino and Arena, 2019). In Europe, Btb represents a well-established technology to upgrade biogas from AD (European Biogas Association, 2021) and, for this reason, it was selected as a promising alternative to the Baseline scenario. Therefore, the main difference between the two scenarios is the substitution of the cogeneration unit with a Btb upgrading unit in the Btb scenario. Since the generated biomethane is then pressurized and distributed to the national pipeline network for natural gas, an LCA credit was given the biomethane for avoiding the production of natural gas from fossil sources (**Errore. L'origine riferimento non è stata trovata.**). The assumption of shipping biomethane to the national grid is consistent with national data, which attest that 98% of 1.5 million TJ of natural gas demanded in Italy in 2020 was employed for energy purposes (IEA, 2022b). The inventories of the Btb was compiled according to literature dataset (Ardolino et al., 2021), from which the membrane separation resulted to be the most sustainable solution to perform the upgrading and, for this reason, modelled in the Btb scenario. In this scenario, since the upgrading phase does not allow a direct heat production (i.e., for instance, through cogeneration), the amount of heat fed to AD was estimated at 0.81 MJ/m<sup>3</sup> of produced biogas based on proxy record in the ecoinvent database (Wernet et al., 2016b).

## 2.4 LCIA

The LCIA phase consists in a quantitative determination of the potential environmental impacts resulting from the system under scrutiny (ISO, 2006a). To this aim, environmental mechanisms and cause-effect characterization models are applied to relate the LCI results to impact category indicators. The ReCiPe 2016 method (Huijbregts et al., 2017) was adopted for the evaluation. The hierarchical perspective was set as the default perspective, with this being preferred choice in previous LCA application to biowaste valorization processes (Brancoli et al., 2020; Chazirakis et al., 2023; Oviedo-Ocaña et al., 2023). In the LCIA phase, the environmental performance of the existing system (i.e., Baseline) were compared with those resulting from Peat+TM and Btb scenarios. In addition, the LCIA allowed the identification of the main contributing processes to the observed impacts, which were further analyzed in sensitivity analysis as following described.

## 2.5 Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis

The outcomes of the contribution analysis were taken as reference to elaborate the sensitivity analysis, performed to test the robustness of the model created and enable identification and quantification of the influence of the main exogeneous parameters onto the environmental impact of the entire system (Goedkoop M., 2020).

Uncertainty evaluation was performed at midpoint level. In general, as discussed above, the LCA model for the system under scrutiny was entered with primary data provided by the company owner of the system. As such, data of the Baseline scenario can be considered very reliable and fulfilling the highest scores for data quality criteria commonly applied in LCA such as, for instance, geographical, temporal, and technological representativeness. The same considerations were assumed also for the Btb scenario, with the exception of the Btb unit, for which literature data (Ardolino et al., 2021) were taken as reference for uncertainty assigned by means of the pedigree matrix (Weidema and Wesnæs, 1996). Higher uncertainty scores were attributed to the UOL of ALW and LD emissions. More details on pedigree matrix and Monte Carlo results are reported in Table ESI 5 and Table ESI 6a, 6b, 6c.

## 3. Results

### 3.1 LCIA

The LCIA comparison between Baseline, Btb and Peat+TM scenarios, using ReCiPe 2016, concerning the Global Warming Potential (GWP) and Particulate Matter Formation Potential (PMFP) categories are displayed in **Errore. L'origine riferimento non è stata trovata.** GWP and PMFP have been selected for graphic visualization, since they allow a comparison between cogeneration and biomethane upgrading processes. Moreover, these categories have been identified, under the hierarchical perspective, as those having the greatest influence on the single score results in ReCiPe 2016 (Arfelli et al., 2022) and other LCIA methods (Bulle et al., 2019). From the outcomes, it resulted that the highest impacts are related to the UOL of the LD (for Baseline and Btb scenarios) and raw ALW (for Peat+TM scenario). More specifically, the UOL of raw ALW was estimated at 883.7 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/FU, while the UOL of dumped LD amounted to 625.5 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/FU. Compared to total impact for GWP, the UOL of LD contributes for 87% and 75% in the Baseline and Btb scenarios respectively, while the UOL of AWL achieves 98.5% in the Peat+TM scenario. However, as early discussed, the model created for UOL of LD assumed the same characterization factors as those for UOL of AWL due to lack of information. This assumption is dictated by a conservative approach that very likely overestimates the release of emissions from UOL of LD, and ultimately it boils down the difference between UOL of LD and AWL to the higher amount of AWL to be disposed of inventoried in the in Peat+TM scenario. Therefore, to enable a highly detailed process contribution between the investigated waste management alternatives, in Figure 3 only the net emission to GWP (Figure 3a) and PMF (Figure 3b) is displayed for Peat+TM, with the impact of UOL of LD being subtracted to all the scenarios. Normalized results related to all the investigated categories are reported in the Figure ESI 7. Particularly, the Baseline scenario results to be the less impacting one for 14 out of the 18 categories. In contrast, the Btb scenario and Peat+TM scenario, respectively, rank as the most harmful pathways for 11/18 and 7/18 categories.

307 Considering the GWP category (**Errore. L'origine riferimento non è stata trovata.a**), the Baseline  
308 scenario demonstrated to be the best solution, showing a GWP of -125.4 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/FU, followed by  
309 Btb scenario (39.2 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> eq/FU) and Peat+TM scenario (271.5 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/FU). A similar trend  
310 is also showed for PMFP (**Errore. L'origine riferimento non è stata trovata.b**), where the HQ-SC  
311 produced in the Baseline scenario still ranks as the best alternative (-96.0 g PM 2.5 eq /FU), followed  
312 by Btb (50.9 g PM 2.5 eq /FU) and Peat+TM (771.3 g PM 2.5 eq /FU). The main contribution to the  
313 overall environmental preference for the Baseline scenario results from the credit given to i)  
314 renewable electricity production delivered by PV, and ii) the cogeneration plant for avoiding the  
315 generation of an equivalent amount of electricity from the national grid. The influence of the  
316 electricity mix on the environmental impacts is discussed in 3.3.

317 Then, a Monte Carlo simulation with 10,000 runs was carried out to determine how the intrinsic  
318 variability of the parameters and the quality of the data used in the modelling may affect the outcomes.  
319 The uncertainty analysis confirmed the overall findings, attributing a net preference to the Baseline  
320 scenario for both GWP and PMFP.

### 321 **3.3 Contribution analysis**

322 The contribution analysis related to Baseline and BtB scenarios is depicted in **Errore. L'origine**  
323 **riferimento non è stata trovata.**, again by excluding the emissions occurring after the UOL f the  
324 LD. In the case of GWP, AD represents the main contributing stage to the impact quantified for the  
325 Baseline (about 54% of the total impact) and Btb (46%) scenarios. In the Baseline scenario, AD step  
326 is followed by transportation (17%), diesel combustion and supply (13%), the packing phase (12%)  
327 and the cogeneration stage (5%). In the case of Btb scenario, the biomethane upgrading stage ranks  
328 as the second main impacting process (35%), followed by transport (7%), diesel (6%) and packing  
329 phase (5%).

330 In contrast, PMFP showed a different trend, since for this category the main impacting processes  
331 resulted to be the diesel supply and its consumption in the Baseline scenario, while in Btb the main

burdens still occur in AD. Concerning the whole spectrum of impact categories, AD resulted the main impacting process only for 3 of the 18 categories (i.e., GWP; Land Occupation Potential, LOP and Fossil Resources Scarcity, FFP) in the Baseline scenario and for 10/18 in the Btb (namely, GWP; Ozone Depletion Potential, ODP, Ionizing Radiation Potential, IRP; PMFP; Terrestrial Acidification Potential, TAP; Freshwater Ecotoxicity Potential, FETP; Marine Ecotoxicity Potential, METP; Human Carcinogenic Toxicity Potential, HTPc; LOP, and Mineral Resources Scarcity, SOP). Regarding the remaining contributing processes for the Baseline scenario, diesel ranked as the main contributor to Ozone Formation Potential-Human Health (HOFP), PMFP, Ozone Formation Potential-Ecosystem (EOFP), TAP, and Human non-Carcinogenic Toxicity Potential (HTPnc), while transportation for Terrestrial Ecotoxicity Potential (TETP) and METP. In the Btb scenario, biomethane upgrading is the main contributor to Freshwater Eutrophication Potential (FEP), Marine Eutrophication Potential (MEP), FFP, and Water Consumption Potential (WCP), with transportation mainly for TETP. In case of Peat+TM, instead, the impacts are attributed for about 76% of the total to extraction operations, with the rest being attributed to transportation. The complete contribution analysis referred to all the 18 environmental categories examined is reported in Table ESI 8.

### 3.4 Sensitivity analysis

For sensitivity analysis, the effects of two main parameters were investigated, which include: *i*) the distance covered by local supplier of ALW from the farm to the plant, and *ii*) the percentage of fossil electricity in the national mix. Accordingly, in sensitivity scenario *i*), the kilometers of ALW local suppliers was re-calculated ( $GWP_{\text{system}} = 0$ ) to determine the minimum average distance at which the system can be considered carbon neutral (i.e.,  $GWP_{\text{system}} = 0$ ), see section 3.4.1). In the sensitivity scenario *ii*), the upper bound market share of fossil electricity in the national mix ( $\cong 49\%$ ) was reassessed to determine the break-event point for fossil percentage that makes the system suitable for the neutrality (3.4.2). Also in this case the emissions associated to raw ALW and LD UOL were excluded.



### 3.4.1 Influence of local suppliers

Regarding the Baseline scenario, the in-bound transportation phase of ALW, calculated assuming an average distance of 15 km between its source and the plant, resulted to contribute for about 17% of the direct GHG emissions. To estimate the theoretical minimum distance that could enable the achievement of carbon neutrality, we first computed the total potential contribution to GWP resulting from system as function of the relative terms for cogenerator ( $GWP_{cogen}$ ), PV ( $GWP_{PV}$ ), packaging ( $GWP_{pack}$ ), AD ( $GWP_{AD}$ ) and diesel ( $GWP_{diesel}$ ), but excluding the transportation term ( $GWP_T$ ), as described in ESI 9, Eq. 1.

Second, the difference between the GWP of the Baseline scenario in a carbon neutral state (i.e.,  $GWP_{system} = 0$ , or  $GWP_{system, GWP=0}$ ) and  $GWP_{system(ex,T)}$  calculated from Eq. 1 (ESI 9) (and resulting in 109.9 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/t) was used to estimate the theoretical break even distance for transportation. This was made by disaggregating  $GWP_T$  by the main explanatory variables. Third, knowing the value of  $GWP_{system(ex,T)}$  (calculated with Second, the difference between the GWP of the Baseline scenario in a carbon neutral state (i.e.,  $GWP_{system} = 0$ , or  $GWP_{system, GWP=0}$ ) and  $GWP_{system(ex,T)}$  calculated from Eq. 1 (ESI 9) (and resulting in 109.9 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/t) was used to estimate the theoretical break even distance for transportation. This was made by disaggregating  $GWP_T$  by the main explanatory variables. ) and fixing  $GWP_{system}$  equal to 0 ( $GWP_{system, GWP=0}$ ) to fulfill the carbon neutrality as the target condition, the theoretical break-even has resulted in about 211 km (ESI 9, Eq. 2).

### 3.4.2 Influence of fossil electricity in the national mix

To establish the limit fossil percentage in the national mix, Eq. 3 (ESI 10) was applied. The national grid mix is constituted for 49% by fossil sources (i.e., 40% natural gas, 6% coal, and 3% oil) and for 51% by renewable sources including biofuels (5%), hydroelectric (16%), wind (7%), solar (8%), waste (2%), nuclear (12%) and geothermal (2%) (IEA, 2022a). However, the fossil fraction is responsible for about the 94% of the total GHG emissions of the Italian mix. Accordingly,

382  $GWP_{credit}$  is calculated by summing the GWP from renewables ( $GWP_{ren}$ ) and that from fossil  
 383 sources ( $GWP_{fossil}$ ). Then, the single contributions of each fossil source was evaluated by  
 384 multiplying the amount of electricity produced from the specific source in the national mix ( $El$ ), by  
 385 the GWP of each energy carrier used (e.g., natural gas GWP,  $GWP_{NG,kwh} = 468 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ eq/kWh}$ )  
 386 of a specific source (ESI 10, Eq. 4). Scaling the percentages of the three fossil sources composing  
 387 the non-renewable fraction of the mix onto 1, it resulted that 1 kWh from fossil sources ( $kWh_{fossil}$ )  
 388 derives for 84% from natural gas electricity, for 10% from coal and for 6% from oil. From which,  
 389 an average impact of 1  $kWh_{fossil}$  can be estimated by the Eq. 5, where  $El(\%)$  represents the  
 390 percentage of the carrier contribution from a source (e.g.,  $El_{NG}(\%) = 0.84$ ).  
 391 The same calculation was followed for the average GWP from renewable sources ( $GWP_{ren,kwh}$ ),  
 392 obtaining a value of 0.039 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/kWh. For the Baseline scenario, Eq. 6 (ESI 10) is accordingly  
 393 defined. Setting  $GWP_{system} = 0$ , the electricity fraction deriving from fossil resources in a condition  
 394 of carbon neutrality ( $El_{fossil,GWP=0}$ ) can be calculated according to Eq. 7 (ESI 10).  
 395 The estimated amount of  $El_{fossil,GWP=0}$  allows the calculation of the complementary amount of  
 396 electricity deriving from renewables in the mix ( $El_{ren,GWP=0}$ ) with Eq. 8 (ESI 10).  
 397 In this way, it is possible to state that the system is considered sustainable ( $GWP_{system}=0$ ) until the  
 398 fossil fraction of the national electricity mix is higher than the 17%.

#### 399 **4. Discussion**

400 In the case study, the results confirmed that a properly management of AD+VD for biowaste  
 401 treatment would represent a promising and environmentally preferable alternative to the traditional  
 402 management of ALW. Transforming the ALW from waste to resource as achieved in the examined  
 403 system, indeed, showed that direct and indirect GHGs and particulate matter emissions of the Baseline  
 404 scenario, although the emissions occurring from UOL of LD make an exception as they are not  
 405 avoidable at today conditions, are offset by the avoided energy production. This allows the output of  
 406 a product (HQ-SC) with net-avoided impacts for GWP, ODP, IRP, HOF, PMFP, EOF, TAP, FEP,

MEP, FETP, METP, HTPc, LOP, SOP, FFP and WCP categories. The notable impacts associated to the UOL of ALW and LD imply the need of focusing on both policies and research that can valorize the LD fraction, preventing the release of potentially impacting emissions into the atmosphere. Future studies should be also aimed at investigating the chemical composition of LD in order to estimate waste-specific characterization factors for emissions. Concerning the Btb scenario, it demonstrated to be competitive, although less environmentally preferable than the Baseline (with the only exception of FFP category). The main reason is that, notwithstanding the avoided production of natural gas achievable by biomethane recovery, which mitigates the impacts associated to biofuel upgrading, the conversion of biogas into methane requires supplemental heat inputs that worsens the overall environmental performance of this scenario. Despite not being the most preferable pathway among those investigated here, Btb might benefit from higher economic feasibility, as the selling price of electricity to grid is around 0.2 €/kWh, which is quite lower than that of biomethane to grid (estimated to be 13.5 €/kWh + tradable certificates based on the quota obligation for fossil fuel traders contribution or *Certificati di Immissione in Consumo*) (MISE, 2018). The economic advantage may be also enforced by the incentives introduced in some European countries including Italy (Pasciucco et al., 2023). For both the Baseline and Btb scenarios, the credit associated to the renewable energy produced by PV allowed a net impact reduction for all the 18 examined categories. In particular, in the Baseline scenario, the PV plant conveys 88 kWh/FU to the system and 103 kWh/FU distributed to the national grid. If the PV was not installed in the system (the diagram of the configuration which excludes the presence of the PV is depicted in Figure ESI 11), the credit associated to electrical energy recovery would have been replaced by that attributed to the cogeneration plant, ultimately reducing the avoided impacts from PV and those from cogeneration to 548.6 kWh/FU. These results confirm that the main advantage associated to the credit of electricity is attributed to the cogeneration plant, which allows an overall net-negative impact of the system (Figure ESI 12).

This outcome highlights the essential role of renewable electricity generation and consumption to achieve an overall environmental sustainability of the Baseline system. Clearly, the higher the market

433 share of fossil sources in the national mix, the greater is the credit for avoiding fossil GHG emissions.

434 The sensitivity analysis quantified in about 211 km the average distance limit for ALW suppliers at

435 the current operating conditions (e.g., truck types, fuel types, etc.), emphasizing the role of local ALW

436 suppliers in the overall sustainability of the system. On one hand, this finding highlights the

437 importance of a local ALW supply network to approach a carbon negative or, at least, a carbon neutral

438 system. On the other hand, it implies a potential for expanding the existing ALW supply network as

439 the current average distance covered by local farmers and breeders amounts to “only” 15 km. The

440 analyzed system could be also implemented in areas where similar amounts of ALW must be properly

441 managed at EoL. The sensitivity analysis has also highlighted an apparent conundrum: the overall

442 system’s GWP performance tend to improve with increase of the share of the fossil fraction used in

443 the national mix. About 50% of the electricity consumed in Italy are fossil-based, which corresponds

444 to about 94% of the total carbon intensity (IEA, 2022a). According to the EU regulation 1999/2018

445 (EU, 2018), the percentage of renewable sources within the European electricity mixes will gradually

446 increase with a consequent reduction of the theoretical benefits related to the avoided production from

447 the grid. In the specific case, the GWP of the Baseline scenario demonstrated to be equal to 0 at a

448 reduction of the fossil percentage in the mix from 50% to 17%. However, an overarching LCA method

449 could reveal an increasing beneficial trend in terms of avoided extraction of metal resources such as

450 critical materials used in the ecological transition (e.g., wind, electric vehicles, etc.). In addition,

451 further technological developments could be considered to achieve a systemic and overarching

452 improvement all over the supply chain, as exemplified by reduced carbon-intensity building blocks

453 synthesized using renewable energy sources for reducing the potential burdens of chemical products

454 (Cucciniello et al., 2023). In particular, the advantages associated to the coupling of AD and VC

455 technologies might be further improved by working on operating conditions such as temperature,

456 moisture, pH control, and the reactor type employed in the two phases. Further improvements in this

457 direction could enhance the quality of the outgoing product, making it even more competitive in the

market, but they could also reduce the generation of byproducts (i.e., LD) or to increase biogas production, positively impacting the energy balance.

About the HQ-SC produced, the expected benefits align to the principles of CE, including waste and pollution minimization, reduction of disposed biowaste; achievement of material circularity in product and material cycles; preservation and regeneration of natural ecosystems through soil amendment. In addition, the total independency of the system from external energy suppliers is a further advantage, especially now, in Europe, because of the dramatic crisis of the energy sector (Frilingou et al., 2023), in part due to the still-standing (at the time of writing) Russian-Ukraine (Nerlinger and Utz, 2022), but also to the European policies against nuclear energy (Nam et al., 2021). Moreover, the biogas produced through the Baseline scenario is not in competition with food crops, making its generation and utilization more socially and ethically preferable than the first-generation of biofuels (Patterson et al., 2011), peat extraction and utilization, or raw ALW landfarming. One drawback might be the limitation to which biofuels (among which biogas) will be subjected from 2035. However, while a ban will be enforced for road vehicles (European Parliament, 2022), no restrictions related to residential or industrial markets are instead expected.

473

## 474 **5. Conclusions**

In this study, the sustainability of a local system producing high quality soil conditioner and biogas from biowaste have been analyzed by means of LCA. This quantitative assessment framework enabled the evaluation of the environmental impacts associated to different configurations implemented in this system. From the outcomes, some clear patterns can be summarized as follows. First, the potential emissions resulting from the UOL of unprocessed biowaste, as well as liquid digestate, can be significant in absolute terms and be also responsible for the largest contribution to the overall environmental performance of organic waste management practices and, as such, the development of techniques and technologies aiming at preventing and reducing those emissions is

highly recommended. Second, the Baseline configuration (cogeneration plant plus the photovoltaic system) is the less impacting solution among those investigated, while the upgrading of biogas to biomethane demonstrated to be competitive, but less environmentally preferable than the Baseline scenario. Third, the credit and thus the advantages of the system on the combustion-related categories are dependent on the primary electricity sources used in the national grid mix. Lastly, the agricultural and livestock suppliers must be located into neighborhood areas and possibly within a radius of approximately 200 km to achieve ideal carbon neutrality. The results provide more in-depth understanding of the implications associated to the vermicomposting and anaerobic digestion management of biowaste. More broadly, LCA confirmed to be a fundamental methodology for system-thinking approaches, which may ultimately support decision-makers and local communities for planning near- and long-term strategies aimed at mitigating anthropogenic burdens on the ecosystem.

### Table of acronyms

AD	Anaerobic digestion	LCA	Life cycle assessment
ALW	Agricultural and livestock waste	LCI	Life cycle inventory
BtB	Biogas to Biomethane	LCIA	Life cycle impact assessment
CE	Circular economy	LD	Liquid digestate
EOFP	Ozone formation potential (ecosystem)	LOP	Land use occupation
EOl	End of life	MEP	Marine eutrophication potential
FEP	Freshwater eutrophication potential	METP	Marine ecotoxicity potential
FETP	Freshwater ecotoxicity potential	ODP	Ozone depletion potential
FFP	Fossil resources scarcity	Peat + TM	Peat + Traditional Management
FU	Functional unit	PV	Photovoltaic plant
GHG	Green house gasses	SOP	Mineral resources scarcity
GWP	Global warming Potential	TAP	Terrestrial acidification potential
HOFP	Ozone formation potential (human health)	TETP	Terrestrial ecotoxicity potential
HQ-SC	High quality soil conditioner	UOL	Use On Land
HTPc	Human carcinogenic toxicity potential	VC	Vermicomposting
HTPnc	Human non carcinogenic toxicity potential	WCP	Water consumption potential
IRP	Ionizing radiation potential		

494

### Acknowledgements

496 The authors express their sincere thanks to Dr. Lorenzo Bertolotto and Danilo Calcaterra of Paneco  
497 Ambiente s.r.l. for sharing the life cycle inventory information and for the support during the system  
498 modelling.

499

## References

- Achinas, S., Achinas, V., Euverink, G.J.W., 2020. Microbiology and biochemistry of anaerobic digesters: an overview, in: *Bioreactors*. Elsevier, pp. 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821264-6.00002-4>
- Amlinger, F., Götz, B., Dreher, P., Geszti, J., Weissteiner, C., 2003. Nitrogen in biowaste and yard waste compost: dynamics of mobilisation and availability—a review. *European Journal of Soil Biology* 39, 107–116. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-5563\(03\)00026-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-5563(03)00026-8)
- Ardolino, F., Arena, U., 2019. Biowaste-to-Biomethane: An LCA study on biogas and syngas roads. *Waste Management* 87, 441–453. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.02.030>
- Ardolino, F., Cardamone, G.F., Parrillo, F., Arena, U., 2021. Biogas-to-biomethane upgrading: A comparative review and assessment in a life cycle perspective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 139, 110588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110588>
- Arfelli, F., Ciacci, L., Vassura, I., Passarini, F., 2022. Nexus analysis and life cycle assessment of regional water supply systems: A case study from Italy. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 185, 106446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2022.106446>
- Arfelli, F., Maria Pizzone, D., Cespi, D., Ciacci, L., Ciriminna, R., Salvatore Calabrò, P., Pagliaro, M., Mauriello, F., Passarini, F., 2023. Prospective life cycle assessment for the full valorization of anchovy fillet leftovers: The LimoFish process. *Waste Management* 168, 156–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2023.06.002>
- Balachandar, R., Biruntha, M., Yuvaraj, A., Thangaraj, R., Subbaiya, R., Govarthan, M., Kumar, P., Karmegam, N., 2021. Earthworm intervened nutrient recovery and greener production of vermicompost from *Ipomoea staphylina* – An invasive weed with emerging environmental challenges. *Chemosphere* 263, 128080. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128080>
- Bellitürk, K., Fang, L., Görres, J.H., 2023. Effect of post-production vermicompost and thermophilic compost blending on nutrient availability. *Waste Management* 155, 146–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2022.09.032>
- Black, C.K., Davis, S.C., Hudiburg, T.W., Bernacchi, C.J., DeLucia, E.H., 2017. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature increase soil C losses from a soybean-maize ecosystem. *Glob Change Biol* 23, 435–445. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13378>
- Boccarossa, M., Di Addario, M., Folino, A., Tatàno, F., 2021. Scenarios of Bioenergy Recovery from Organic Fraction of Residual Municipal Waste in the Marche Region (Italy). *Sustainability* 13, 11462. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132011462>
- Brancoli, P., Bolton, K., Eriksson, M., 2020. Environmental impacts of waste management and valorisation pathways for surplus bread in Sweden. *Waste Management* 117, 136–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.07.043>
- Bulle, C., Margni, M., Patouillard, L., Boulay, A.-M., Bourgault, G., De Bruille, V., Cao, V., Hauschild, M., Henderson, A., Humbert, S., Kashef-Haghighi, S., Kounina, A., Laurent, A., Levasseur, A., Liard, G., Rosenbaum, R.K., Roy, P.-O., Shaked, S., Fantke, P., Jolliet, O., 2019. IMPACT World+: a globally regionalized life cycle impact assessment method. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 24, 1653–1674. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-019-01583-0>
- Cesaro, A., 2021. The valorization of the anaerobic digestate from the organic fractions of municipal solid waste: Challenges and perspectives. *Journal of Environmental Management* 280, 111742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111742>
- Chazirakis, P., Giannis, A., Gidarakos, E., 2023. Material flow and environmental performance of the source segregated biowaste composting system. *Waste Management* 160, 23–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2023.02.005>



Chojnacka, K., Moustakas, K., Witek-Krowiak, A., 2020. Bio-based fertilizers: A practical approach towards circular economy. *Bioresource Technology* 295, 122223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122223>

Cucciniello, R., Cespi, D., Riccardi, M., Neri, E., Passarini, F., Pulselli, F.M., 2023. Maleic anhydride from bio-based 1-butanol and furfural: a life cycle assessment at the pilot scale. *Green Chem.* 25, 5922–5935. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D2GC03707F>

Dada, O., Mbohwa, C., 2018. Energy from waste: A possible way of meeting goal 7 of the sustainable development goals. *Materials Today: Proceedings* 5, 10577–10584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.12.390>

Das, S., Goswami, L., Bhattacharya, S.S., 2020. Vermicomposting. *Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 79–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-64309-4.00003-9>

Deng, S., Li, P., Wu, Y., Tang, H., Cheng, S., Thunders, M., Qiu, J., Li, Y., 2022. Eco-risk management of tylosin fermentation residues using vermicomposting. *Journal of Environmental Management* 303, 114126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.114126>

Dominguez, J., Edwards, C.A., 2011. Biology and ecology of earthworm species used for vermicomposting. *Vermiculture technology: earthworms, organic waste and environmental management*. CRC Press, Boca Raton 27–40.

Enebe, M.C., Erasmus, M., 2023. Vermicomposting technology - A perspective on vermicompost production technologies, limitations and prospects. *Journal of Environmental Management* 345, 118585. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118585>

European Biogas Association, 2021. Annual Report 2020.

European Parliament, 2022. Deal confirms zero-emissions target for new cars and vans in 2035 [WWW Document]. URL <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/news/en/press-room/20221024IPR45734/deal-confirms-zero-emissions-target-for-new-cars-and-vans-in-2035> (accessed 10.23.23).

European Union, 2019. Regulation (EU) 2019/1009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 laying down rules on the making available on the market of EU fertilising products and amending Regulations [WWW Document]. URL <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A32019R1009> (accessed 5.5.23).

Ferraz Ramos, R., Almeida Santana, N., de Andrade, N., Scheffer Romagna, I., Tirloni, B., de Oliveira Silveira, A., Domínguez, J., Josemar Seminoti Jacques, R., 2022. Vermicomposting of cow manure: Effect of time on earthworm biomass and chemical, physical, and biological properties of vermicompost. *Bioresource Technology* 345, 126572. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126572>

Frilingou, N., Xexakis, G., Koasidis, K., Nikas, A., Campagnolo, L., Delpiazzo, E., Chiodi, A., Gargiulo, M., McWilliams, B., Koutsellis, T., Doukas, H., 2023. Energy Research & Social Science Navigating through an energy crisis : Challenges and progress towards electricity decarbonisation , reliability , and affordability in Italy. *Energy Research & Social Science* 96, 102934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102934>

Goedkoop M., 2020. SimaPro database manual.

Goswami, L., Patel, A.K., Dutta, G., Bhattacharyya, P., Gogoi, N., Bhattacharya, S.S., 2013. Hazard remediation and recycling of tea industry and paper mill bottom ash through vermiconversion. *Chemosphere* 92, 708–713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.04.066>

Grumpelt, H., 2000. Peat. *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*. [https://doi.org/10.1002/14356007.a19\\_015](https://doi.org/10.1002/14356007.a19_015)

594 Hamelin, L., Naroznova, I., Wenzel, H., 2014. Environmental consequences of different carbon  
595 alternatives for increased manure-based biogas. *Applied Energy* 114, 774–782.  
596 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.09.033>

597 Hanc, A., Hrebeckova, T., Pliva, P., Cajthaml, T., 2020. Vermicomposting of sludge from a malt  
598 house. *Waste Management* 118, 232–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.08.027>

599 He, X., Zhang, Y., Shen, M., Zeng, G., Zhou, M., Li, M., 2016. Effect of vermicomposting on  
600 concentration and speciation of heavy metals in sewage sludge with additive materials.  
601 *Bioresource Technology* 218, 867–873. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.07.045>

602 Huang, K., Xia, H., Li, F., Wei, Y., Cui, G., Fu, X., Chen, X., 2016. Optimal growth condition of  
603 earthworms and their vermicompost features during recycling of five different fresh fruit  
604 and vegetable wastes. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 23, 13569–13575.  
605 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6848-1>

606 Huijbregts, M.A.J., Steinmann, Z.J.N., Elshout, P.M.F., Stam, G., Verones, F., Vieira, M., Zijp, M.,  
607 Hollander, A., van Zelm, R., 2017. ReCiPe2016: a harmonised life cycle impact assessment  
608 method at midpoint and endpoint level. *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 22,  
609 138–147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-016-1246-y>

610 IEA, 2022a. Electricity generation by source [WWW Document]. URL  
611 <https://www.iea.org/countries/italy> (accessed 5.8.23).

612 IEA, 2022b. Gas Information 2022 [WWW Document]. URL <https://www.iea.org/countries/italy>  
613 (accessed 5.5.23).

614 ISO, 2006a. 14040:2006/Amd 1:2020; Environmental Management—Life Cycle Assessment—  
615 Principles and Framework. International Organization for Standardization (ISO): Geneva,  
616 Switzerland.

617 ISO, 2006b. 14044:2006/Amd 1:2017+Amd2:2020; Environmental Management—Life Cycle  
618 Assessment—Requirements and Guidelines—Amendment 1. ISO: Geneva, Switzerland.

619 Kampman, B., Leguijt, C., Scholten, T., Tallat-Kelpsaite, J., Brückmann, R., Maroulis, G., Lesschen,  
620 J.P., Meesters, K., Sikirica, N., Elbersen, B., 2016. Optimal use of biogas from waste  
621 streams: An assessment of the potential of biogas from digestion in the EU beyond 2020.

622 Kiyasudeen, K., Ibrahim, M.H., Ismail, S.A., 2020. Vermicomposting of organic wastes and the  
623 production of vermicompost, Biovalorisation of Wastes to Renewable Chemicals and  
624 Biofuels. Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-817951-2.00014-6>

625 Komakech, A.J., Zurbrugg, C., Miito, G.J., Wanyama, J., Vinnerås, B., 2016. Environmental impact  
626 from vermicomposting of organic waste in Kampala, Uganda. *Journal of Environmental*  
627 *Management* 181, 395–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.06.028>

628 Lim, S.L., Lee, L.H., Wu, T.Y., 2016. Sustainability of using composting and vermicomposting  
629 technologies for organic solid waste biotransformation: Recent overview, greenhouse  
630 gases emissions and economic analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 111, 262–278.  
631 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.08.083>

632 Lin, J., zhao, shuai, Yuan, Q., 2021. A novel technology for separating live earthworm from  
633 vermicompost: Experiment, mechanism analysis, and simulation. *Waste Management* 131,  
634 50–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.05.031>

635 Mejia Duque Torres, C., Ciacci, L., Passarini, F., 2023. Phosphorous flow analysis and resource  
636 circularity at the province level in north Italy. *Sustainable Chemistry and Pharmacy* 33,  
637 101133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scp.2023.101133>

638 Ministero dell’agricoltura e della sovranità alimentare e delle foreste, 2016. Decreto  
639 Interministeriale n. 5046 del 25 Febbraio 2016 [WWW Document]. URL  
640 <https://www.politicheagricole.it/flex/cm/pages/ServeBLOB.php/L/IT/IDPagina/9780>  
641 (accessed 6.16.23).

642 MISE, 2018. Promotion of the use of biomethane and other advanced biofuels in the  
 643 transportation sector [WWW Document]. URL  
 644 [https://www.mimit.gov.it/index.php/it/normativa/decreti-interministeriali/decreto-](https://www.mimit.gov.it/index.php/it/normativa/decreti-interministeriali/decreto-interministeriale-2-marzo-2018-promozione-dell-uso-del-biometano-nel-settore-dei-trasporti)  
 645 [interministeriale-2-marzo-2018-promozione-dell-uso-del-biometano-nel-settore-dei-](https://www.mimit.gov.it/index.php/it/normativa/decreti-interministeriali/decreto-interministeriale-2-marzo-2018-promozione-dell-uso-del-biometano-nel-settore-dei-trasporti)  
 646 [trasporti](https://www.mimit.gov.it/index.php/it/normativa/decreti-interministeriali/decreto-interministeriale-2-marzo-2018-promozione-dell-uso-del-biometano-nel-settore-dei-trasporti) (accessed 5.5.23).  
 647 Nam, H., Konishi, S., Nam, K.W., 2021. Comparative analysis of decision making regarding nuclear  
 648 policy after the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident: Case study in Germany  
 649 and Japan. *Technology in Society* 67, 101735.  
 650 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101735>  
 651 Nerlinger, M., Utz, S., 2022. The impact of the Russia-Ukraine conflict on the green energy  
 652 transition – A capital market perspective. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.  
 653 <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4132666>  
 654 Odeh, N., 2017. Methodology to Assess Methane Leakage from AD Plants 2016.  
 655 Oviedo-Ocaña, E.R., Abendroth, C., Domínguez, I.C., Sánchez, A., Dornack, C., 2023. Life cycle  
 656 assessment of biowaste and green waste composting systems: A review of applications and  
 657 implementation challenges. *Waste Management* 171, 350–364.  
 658 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2023.09.004>  
 659 Palazzo, M., Vollero, A., Siano, A., 2023. Intelligent packaging in the transition from linear to  
 660 circular economy : Driving research in practice. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 388, 135984.  
 661 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.135984>  
 662 Paneco Ambiente S.r.l., 2022. Paneco Ambiente S.r.l. [WWW Document]. URL  
 663 <https://www.bioecologicalsystem.com> (accessed 4.4.22).  
 664 Paoli, R., Feofilovs, M., Kamenders, A., Romagnoli, F., 2022. Peat production for horticultural use in  
 665 the Latvian context: sustainability assessment through LCA modeling. *Journal of Cleaner*  
 666 *Production* 378, 134559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134559>  
 667 Pasciucco, F., Francini, G., Pecorini, I., Baccioli, A., Lombardi, L., Ferrari, L., 2023. Valorization of  
 668 biogas from the anaerobic co-treatment of sewage sludge and organic waste: Life cycle  
 669 assessment and life cycle costing of different recovery strategies. *Journal of Cleaner*  
 670 *Production* 401, 136762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.136762>  
 671 Pasciucco, F., Pecorini, I., Iannelli, R., 2022. Planning the centralization level in wastewater  
 672 collection and treatment: A review of assessment methods. *Journal of Cleaner Production*  
 673 375, 134092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134092>  
 674 Patterson, T., Esteves, S., Dinsdale, R., Guwy, A., 2011. An evaluation of the policy and techno-  
 675 economic factors affecting the potential for biogas upgrading for transport fuel use in the  
 676 UK. *Energy Policy* 39, 1806–1816. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.01.017>  
 677 PRé Consultants, 2022. LCA Software.  
 678 Rasapoor, M., Young, B., Brar, R., Sarmah, A., Zhuang, W.-Q., Baroutian, S., 2020. Recognizing the  
 679 challenges of anaerobic digestion: Critical steps toward improving biogas generation. *Fuel*  
 680 261, 116497. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.116497>  
 681 Rodríguez, P., Cerda, A., Font, X., Sánchez, A., Artola, A., 2019. Valorisation of biowaste digestate  
 682 through solid state fermentation to produce biopesticides from *Bacillus thuringiensis*.  
 683 *Waste Management* 93, 63–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.05.026>  
 684 Ruan, S., Qi, J., Wu, F., Lai, R., Tang, X., 2023. Response of yield , grain quality , and volatile organic  
 685 compounds of aromatic rice to vermicompost application. *Journal of Cereal Science* 109,  
 686 103620. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2022.103620>  
 687 Santos, F.R. dos, Wiecheteck, G.K., Virgens Filho, J.S. das, Carranza, G.A., Chambers, T.L., Fekih, A.,  
 688 2022. Effects of a Floating Photovoltaic System on the Water Evaporation Rate in the  
 689 Passaúna Reservoir, Brazil. *Energies* 15, 6274. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15176274>

Seesamut, T., Ng, B., Sutcharit, C., Chanabun, R., Panha, S., 2022. Responses to salinity in the littoral earthworm genus *Pontodrilus*. *Sci Rep* 12, 22304. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-26099-w>

Singh, J., Singh, S., Vig, A.P., Kaur, A., 2018. Environmental Influence of Soil toward Effective Vermicomposting, in: Ray, S. (Ed.), *Earthworms - The Ecological Engineers of Soil*. InTech. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.75127>

Singh, R., Paritosh, K., Pareek, N., Vivekanand, V., 2022. Integrated system of anaerobic digestion and pyrolysis for valorization of agricultural and food waste towards circular bioeconomy: Review. *Bioresource Technology* 360, 127596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127596>

Tambone, F., Orzi, V., D'Imporzano, G., Adani, F., 2017. Solid and liquid fractionation of digestate: Mass balance, chemical characterization, and agronomic and environmental value. *Bioresource Technology* 243, 1251–1256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.07.130>

Tonini, D., Saveyn, H.G.M., Huygens, D., 2019. Environmental and health co-benefits for advanced phosphorus recovery. *Nat Sustain* 2, 1051–1061. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0416-x>

UNEP, 2022. Solid waste management [WWW Document]. URL <https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/resource-efficiency/what-we-do/cities/solid-waste-management> (accessed 5.11.23).

Varling, A.S., Christensen, T.H., Bisinella, V., 2023. Life cycle assessment of alternative biogas utilisations, including carbon capture and storage or utilisation. *Waste Management* 157, 168–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2022.12.005>

Vicentin, R., Masín, C.E., Lescano, M.R., Zalazar, C.S., 2021. Poultry litter stabilization by two-stage composting-vermicomposting process: Environmental, energetic and economic performance. *Chemosphere* 281, 130872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130872>

Vidal, A., Lenhart, T., Dignac, M.F., Biron, P., Höschel, C., Barthod, J., Vedere, C., Vaury, V., Bariac, T., Rumpel, C., 2020. Promoting plant growth and carbon transfer to soil with organic amendments produced with mineral additives. *Geoderma* 374, 114454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114454>

Virginia Gewin, 2020. Bringing back the bogs 578, 204–208. <https://doi.org/doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-00355-3>

Ware, A., Power, N., 2016. Biogas from cattle slaughterhouse waste: Energy recovery towards an energy self-sufficient industry in Ireland. *Renewable Energy* 97, 541–549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.05.068>


Wernet, G., Bauer, C., Steubing, B., Reinhard, J., Moreno-Ruiz, E., Weidema, B., 2016a. The ecoinvent database version 3 (part I): overview and methodology. *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 21, 1218–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-016-1087-8>

Wernet, G., Bauer, C., Steubing, B., Reinhard, J., Moreno-Ruiz, E., Weidema, B., 2016b. The ecoinvent database version 3 (part I): overview and methodology. *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 21, 1218–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-016-1087-8>

Wong Sing, 2008. Engineering behaviour of stabilized peat soil. *European Journal of Scientific Research* 21, 581–591.

Yadav, A., Garg, V.K., 2011. Industrial wastes and sludges management by vermicomposting. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology* 10, 243–276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-011-9242-y>

738 Yasmin, N., Jamuda, M., Panda, A.K., Samal, K., Nayak, J.K., 2022. Emission of greenhouse gases  
 739 (GHGs) during composting and vermicomposting: Measurement, mitigation, and  
 740 perspectives. *Energy Nexus* 7, 100092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nexus.2022.100092>  
 741 Zhong, H., Yang, S., Zhu, L., Liu, C., Zhang, Ying, Zhang, Yaozong, 2021. Effect of microplastics in  
 742 sludge impacts on the vermicomposting. *Bioresource Technology* 326, 124777.  
 743 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.124777>  
 744 Zziwa, A., Jjagwe, J., Kizito, S., Kabenge, I., Komakech, A.J., Kayondo, H., 2021. Nutrient recovery  
 745 from pineapple waste through controlled batch and continuous vermicomposting systems.  
 746 *Journal of Environmental Management* 279, 111784.  
 747 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111784>



748  
 749 *Figure 1: Diagram of the system with annual flows (Baseline scenario). System boundaries represented by red dashed line and company*  
 750 *boundaries by blue dashed line.*




Figure 2: Diagram of the system with annual flows (biogas to biomethane scenario). System boundaries represented by red dashed line and company boundaries by blue dashed line.




Figure 3: Comparison between HQ\_SC (high quality soil conditioner) produced via Baseline, BtB (biogas to biomethane) and Peat+TM (peat + traditional management) scenarios for the GWP (global warming potential) (a) and PMFP (particulate matter formation potential) (b) categories (net values represented by dashed lines).




Figure 4: Impact contribution for Baseline (orange) and Btb (biogas to biomethane, purple) scenarios. GWP: Global warming; ODP, Stratospheric ozone depletion; IRP, Ionizing radiation; HOFp, Ozone formation, Human health; PMFP, Fine particulate matter formation; EOFp, Ozone formation Terrestrial ecosystems; TAP, Terrestrial acidification; FEP, Freshwater eutrophic.; MEP, Marine eutrophic.; TETp, Terrestrial ecotoxicity; FETp, Freshwater ecotoxicity; METp, Marine ecotoxicity; HTPc, Human carcinogenic toxicity; HTPnc, Human non-carcinogenic toxicity; LOP, Land use; SOP, Mineral resource scarcity; FFP, Fossil resource scarcity; WCP, Water consumption