



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Pros and cons of using artificial intelligence Chatbots for musculoskeletal rehabilitation management

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Rossettini, G., Cook, C., Palese, A., Pillastrini, P., Turolla, A. (2023). Pros and cons of using artificial intelligence Chatbots for musculoskeletal rehabilitation management. JOURNAL OF ORTHOPAEDIC & SPORTS PHYSICAL THERAPY, 53(12), 728-734 [10.2519/jospt.2023.12000].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/942174> since: 2023-09-18

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2023.12000>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Rossettini G, Cook C, Palese A, Pillastrini P, Turolla A.

Pros and Cons of Using Artificial Intelligence Chatbots for Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation Management.

J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2023 Dec;53(12):1-7

The final published version is available online at: [10.2519/jospt.2023.12000](https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2023.12000)

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Pros and Cons of using Artificial Intelligence Chatbots for Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation Management

Giacomo Rossetini, PT, PhD¹ @GiacomoRoss86

Chad Cook, PT, PhD^{2,3,4} @chadcookpt

Alvisa Palese, RN, PhD⁵

Paolo Pillastrini, PT, MSc^{6,7}

Andrea Turolla, PT, PhD^{6,7} @turolla_andrea

¹ School of Physiotherapy, University of Verona, Verona, Italy.

² Duke Center for Excellence in Manual and Manipulative Therapy, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.

³ Doctor of Physical Therapy (DPT) Division, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.

⁴ Department of Orthopaedics, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.

⁵ School of Nursing, Department of Medicine (DAME), University of Udine, Udine, Italy.

⁶ Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences-DIBINEM, Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

⁷ Unit of Occupational Medicine, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

Address correspondence to Dr Giacomo Rossetini, PhD, PT. School of Physiotherapy, University of Verona, Verona, Italy. Via Bengasi 4, 37131, Verona, Italy. Email:

giacomo.rossetini@gmail.com

Copyright ©2023 *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*[®]

Funding information:

The Viewpoint received no funding.

Word count:

1353

Conflicts of Interest:

All authors state that they have no conflicts of interests involving this Viewpoint.

Acknowledgements:

None.

Author Contribution:

The authors contributed equally in the conception and development of the manuscript and approval of the final version.

Patient and Public Involvement:

The author group consists of musculoskeletal physical therapist, academic physical therapists, educators, methodologists and researchers. No pertinent parties outside the author group were consulted for this Viewpoint.

37 **Data Sharing:**
38 There are no data in this manuscript.

39 **ABSTRACT**

40 Artificial intelligence (AI), specifically Large Language Models (LLMs), which focus on
41 the interaction between computers and human language, can influence musculoskeletal
42 rehabilitation management. AI chatbots (e.g., ChatGPT, Microsoft Bing, Google Bard)
43 are a form of LLMs designed to understand, interpret, and generate text similar to what
44 is produced by humans. Since their release, chatbots have triggered controversy in the
45 international scientific community, including when they have passed university exams,
46 generated credible scientific abstracts, and shown potential for replacing humans in
47 scientific roles. The controversies extend to the field of musculoskeletal rehabilitation. In
48 this Viewpoint, we describe the potential applications and limitations, and recommended
49 actions for education, clinical practice and research when using AI chatbots for
50 musculoskeletal rehabilitation management, aspects that may have similar implications
51 for the broader health care community. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2023;x(x):xxx-xxx.*

52 **Key words:** artificial intelligence, ChatGPT, clinical reasoning, Microsoft Bing, Google
53 Bard, musculoskeletal pain.

54 Artificial intelligence (AI) is a field of study that combines computer science and
55 robust datasets to enable and/or improve problem-solving. Large Language Models
56 (LLMs) focus on how computers and human language interact. Recent progress has
57 seen algorithms capable of understanding *and* generating advanced and meaningful
58 language.⁶ Several AI companies have released AI chatbots, including ChatGPT,
59 Microsoft Bing, Google Bard, with a user-friendly interface available for free. AI chatbots
60 are designed to understand, interpret, and generate text similar to that produced by
61 humans.⁵ Modern LLMs predict the possibility of a specific word or phrase in relation to
62 the context of the words around it, and can automatically and instantaneously generate
63 human-like responses to the user’s prompt—a notable improvement over previous
64 iterations.^{5,6}

65 Simple AI tools are already used for analysing data, classifying, and predicting in
66 musculoskeletal rehabilitation. Adding sophisticated AI chatbots may foster new health
67 care solutions and further inform decision-making.¹⁰ However, the “game-changing”
68 abilities of AI chatbots may also result in unplanned challenges to musculoskeletal
69 rehabilitation that deserve further discussion, especially with respect to education,
70 clinical practice, and research. In this Viewpoint, we describe the potential applications
71 and limitations, as well as recommended actions for education, clinical practice and
72 research when using AI chatbots for musculoskeletal rehabilitation.

73 AI CHATBOTS: POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

74 We present the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of AI chatbots
75 in **FIGURE 1**. AI chatbots provide both pros and cons when addressing health care
76 education, practice, and research scenarios in musculoskeletal rehabilitation (**TABLE 1**).

77 In education,^{2,8} AI chatbots can assist in writing essays and dissertations, and in
78 preparing research papers. AI chatbots can provide personalized learning experiences
79 by offering tutorials for complex concepts (e.g., pain neurophysiology) and virtual
80 teaching assistance during musculoskeletal rehabilitation clinical training. AI chatbots
81 can help students manage their time with reminders and scheduling for assignments.

82 In clinical practice,^{2,8} AI chatbots can assist providers' clinical reasoning,
83 suggesting, for example, which symptoms to investigate (e.g., pain, disability), which
84 tests to perform (e.g., provocation, mobility) and influencing treatment decisions.
85 Chatbots can accelerate administrative and clinical workflow activities such as
86 scheduling musculoskeletal rehabilitation appointments, contacting insurance
87 companies, and coordinating patient records such as daily notes and discharge
88 summaries. They can support tailoring of patient care, facilitate communication (e.g.,
89 simplifying healthcare messages into patient's language), and improve adherence to
90 home programs (e.g., through reminders for home exercises).

91 In research,^{2,8} AI chatbots can improve scientific writing efficiency by drafting,
92 correcting grammar, formatting, and proofreading articles, enhancing language and
93 readability. Chatbots can efficiently generate a literature review by summarizing
94 research papers, assisting data collection and analysis, generating research questions,
95 computer codes, and statistical analyses. AI chatbots can also improve researcher
96 productivity by overcoming language barriers for non-native English-speaking authors.

97 Nonetheless, AI chatbots have several limitations^{2,8} that can also influence
98 musculoskeletal rehabilitation. Chatbots increase the risk of plagiarism and copyright
99 disputes over the material copied from other documents. They may provide inaccurate
100 sources/references and may produce credible - albeit - incorrect answers. Chatbots can

101 also ‘hallucinate’—inventing terms when information is limited—generating superficial and
102 misleading answers, mainly when simple prompts are posed in contrast to detailed ones
103 or when the previous versions of Generative Pre-trained Transformer (GPT) model (e.g.,
104 GPT-3.5) are adopted compared the new ones (e.g., GPT-4). Chatbots can generate
105 repetitive and redundant text, lacking in creativity, originality, and analysis when
106 deliberating complex health care concepts. While some AI chatbots are constantly
107 updated, others (e.g., ChatGPT), having been trained by scraping data up to 2021, have
108 restricted knowledge, potentially limiting content generation at risk for dated
109 recommendations. Lastly, AI chatbots are free for now, but there is a possibility that
110 some versions (e.g., Professional and Premium editions) will likely convert to pay-as-
111 you-go in the future, introducing inequalities between low and high-income countries.

112 **AI CHATBOTS: RECOMMENDED ACTIONS**

113 Since their release, AI chatbots have generated controversy in the international
114 scientific community, stimulating debate on their use among scientists and researchers.⁷
115 The concerns reflect AI chatbots’ abilities to: 1) achieve passing or near passing scores
116 of the United States Medical Licensing Examination, which is a set of three standardized
117 tests of expert-level knowledge required for medical licensure in the United States;⁴ and
118 2) generate scientific abstracts capable of fooling human reviewers that were unable to
119 differentiate between AI-generated and original abstracts.³ Because AI chatbots can
120 influence education, clinical practice and research, we recommend taking immediate
121 actions to mitigate potential negative outcomes (**TABLE 2**).

122 Education-based Actions: We support the development and implementation of
123 academic principles and guidelines for use of AI chatbots (and other platforms).

124 Promoting a culture of ethics and integrity; developing of real-time, ongoing, critical
125 thinking skills; promoting practical-based assessments; and training will help students.
126 For faculty, we advocate for continuous digital literacy training to promote the
127 appropriate adoption of LLMs and AI chatbots. While generating content for their
128 teaching sessions or quizzes for examining the knowledge of students, faculty must
129 have the skills to analyse opportunities and limits of using chatbots. These actions may
130 reduce areas that may become overly dependent on technology such as essay writing,
131 journaling self-reflection, and developing formative knowledge. Guidelines may also
132 prevent cheating on unsupervised examinations, projects and papers. Partially limiting
133 the use of AI chatbots in learning activities could foster novel collaborations between
134 students, educators, and peers, promoting interpersonal skills that are fundamental for
135 future practice.

136 Clinical Practice-Based Actions: We promote the development and
137 implementation of clinical guidelines addressing the use of AI chatbots involving security
138 and privacy controls and creating policies on professional responsibilities for use of
139 LLMs. The first step is to ensure that the transparent use of AI chatbots will not
140 perpetuate or exacerbate existing biases, inequalities or injustices in health care, thus
141 respecting the autonomy, dignity and rights of patients and healthcare professionals. We
142 advocate for a culture that sees AI chatbots as a support tool, accessed with proper
143 training, support and resources, but not at the expense of the therapeutic alliance,
144 respect, and compassion. These guidelines may reduce legal and health-related risks,
145 critical issues regarding privacy violations, data security, that can also protect from
146 cyber-attacks. It is presently unknown how AI chatbots manage, transmit and store
147 sensitive patient information used during conversations.

148 Research-Based Action: We recommend the development and promotion of
149 international guidelines and standards to define accepted uses of AI chatbots, and
150 ongoing study of the true value that chatbots bring to a research setting. LLMs have the
151 capacity to generate research quickly. We encourage the a culture of quality over
152 quantity, in which AI chatbots are used as supportive tools, and where researchers are
153 trained in how to use chatbots well. Our recommendations reflect those of several
154 publishing groups who have declared concerns about how AI chatbots may undermine
155 international authorship criteria defined by the International Committee of Medical
156 Journal Editors and the Committee on Publication Ethics guidelines.^{1,9} We seek to deter
157 data fabrication, ghostwriting, and the production of unreliable, non-original, low-quality
158 papers or grants, which threaten the credibility of the musculoskeletal rehabilitation
159 academic community.

160 **SUMMARY**

161 Technology will continue to evolve. Responsible members of the musculoskeletal
162 rehabilitation community, each in our specific fields (education, clinical practice and
163 research), must work together towards a common goal: creating and developing
164 guidelines on the ethical use of AI chatbots. Adopting guidelines will help (a) balance the
165 positive and negative aspects of each AI tool, by integrating its use for specific purposes
166 (**TABLE 3**) and (b) ensure people remain at the centre of musculoskeletal health care.
167 As much as AI chatbots can be trained to acquire specific skills in educational, clinical,
168 and research fields, they cannot replace humans' hard competencies (e.g., use of
169 therapeutic touch) and people skills (e.g., verbal and non-verbal communication).

170 **KEY POINTS**

171 **Findings:**

- 172 • AI chatbots are an artificial intelligence model designed to understand, interpret and
173 generate text that is similar to natural human language.
- 174 • AI chatbots can influence educational, clinical and research fields in musculoskeletal
175 rehabilitation.

176 **Implications:**

- 177 • There is a need for internationally-agreed principles, guidelines and rules to guide
178 the ethical implementation of AI chatbots in the musculoskeletal rehabilitation field.

179 **Cautions:**

- 180 • AI chatbots (and other artificial intelligence platforms), as acknowledged to date,
181 have potential benefits and limitations that demand conscientious use.

182 **REFERENCES**

- 183 1. Brainard J. Journals take up arms against AI-written text. *Science*.
184 2023;379(6634):740-741. doi: 10.1126/science.adh2762.
- 185 2. Dave T, Athaluri SA, Singh S. ChatGPT in medicine: an overview of its applications,
186 advantages, limitations, future prospects, and ethical considerations. *Front Artif*
187 *Intell*. 2023;6:1169595. doi: 10.3389/frai.2023.1169595
- 188 3. Else H. Abstracts written by ChatGPT fool scientists. *Nature*. 2023;613(7944):423.
189 doi: 10.1038/d41586-023-00056-7.

- 190 4. Kung TH, Cheatham M, Medenilla A, et al. Performance of ChatGPT on USMLE:
191 Potential for AI-assisted medical education using large language models. *PLOS Digit*
192 *Health*. 2023;2(2):e0000198. doi: 10.1371/journal.pdig.0000198.
- 193 5. Lee P, Bubeck S, Petro J. Benefits, Limits, and Risks of GPT-4 as an AI Chatbot for
194 Medicine. *N Engl J Med*. 2023;388(13):1233-1239. doi: 10.1056/NEJMr2214184.
- 195 6. Li H, Moon JT, Purkayastha S, et al. Ethics of large language models in medicine
196 and medical research. *Lancet Digit Health*. 2023;5(6):e333-e335. doi:
197 10.1016/S2589-7500(23)00083-3.
- 198 7. Owens B. How Nature readers are using ChatGPT. *Nature*. 2023;615(7950):20. doi:
199 10.1038/d41586-023-00500-8.
- 200 8. Sallam M. ChatGPT Utility in Healthcare Education, Research, and Practice:
201 Systematic Review on the Promising Perspectives and Valid Concerns. *Healthcare*
202 *(Basel)*. 2023;11(6):887. doi: 10.3390/healthcare11060887.
- 203 9. Stokel-Walker C. ChatGPT listed as author on research papers: many scientists
204 disapprove. *Nature*. 2023;613(7945):620-621. doi: 10.1038/d41586-023-00107-z.
- 205 10. Tack C. Artificial intelligence and machine learning | applications in musculoskeletal
206 physiotherapy. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2019;39:164-169. doi:
207 10.1016/j.msksp.2018.11.012.

TABLE 1. Example of artificial intelligence chatbots pros and cons when addressing healthcare education, practice, and research scenarios in musculoskeletal rehabilitation.

Scenario	Examples AI Chatbots Pros	Examples of AI Chatbots Cons
<p><u>Education</u></p> <p>A university student has to prepare an essay on the rehabilitation of a patient with an anterior cruciate ligament injury and asks AI chatbots for help.</p>	<p>Provide a vast amount of information on the topic of the essay (e.g., explanations, advice, guidance).</p> <p>Support the organization of the essay (e.g., tips on structure, identifying key topics to be covered, establishing a logical flow).</p> <p>Help the expansion and development of ideas (e.g., broadens the point of view, finds new perspectives, generates additional and innovative ideas).</p> <p>Enable grammatical review and correction (e.g., suggests writing style and sentence structure, identifies common errors and suggests fluent and accurate alternatives).</p>	<p>Difficulty attributing intellectual property to students' writing and evaluating the expected learning outcomes.</p> <p>Prevent the development of critical thinking skills, self-reflection, knowledge, and expertise.</p> <p>Risk of becoming overly dependent on technology with long-term negative consequences, threatening independent/critical thinking.</p> <p>Limit students' interactions with educators and peers, influencing the development of interpersonal skills fundamental for their future practice.</p>
<p><u>Clinical Practice</u></p> <p>Clinician manages a patient with non-specific neck pain during a direct access and asks AI chatbots for help.</p>	<p>Provide useful background information to gain a general understanding of the clinical condition (e.g., risk factors, red flags, prognostic factors)</p> <p>Suggest which elements to investigate during history taking (e.g., pain intensity) and physical examination (e.g., mobility and strength test) useful to formulate the diagnosis.</p> <p>Advice on treatment strategies (e.g., manual therapy, therapeutic exercise and education) and outcome measures (e.g. self-administered questionnaires).</p>	<p>Possible inaccuracies and obsolescence in guiding clinicians with incorrect and not-updated information, thus deviating the practice from the best available evidence.</p> <p>Lack of direct clinical experience, lack of in-depth understanding of clinical nuances, complexity and individual patient issues.</p> <p>Limited understanding of the patient's context, providing general answers that do not consider the individual characteristics, preferences, and previous experience of the patient.</p> <p>Progressive impoverishment of meta competence, clinical reasoning, problem-solving and decision-making skills needed for interpreting the complexity of patients with MSK pain; the</p>

	Support the treatment process planning (e.g., identify intervention priorities, set realistic goals, suggest treatment frequency).	long-term consequences on the rehabilitative profession still need to be understood.
<u>Research</u>	Provides a broad overview of the topic (e.g., helps to understand the most relevant and original aspects of the grant)	Progressive underdevelopment of the researchers' skills, originality, creativity and writing ability, critical analysis and creative thinking.
A researcher has to produce a grant proposal for research on shoulder tendinopathies and asks AI chatbots for help.	Stimulates creativity by offering suggestions on possible innovative methodological approaches to be used (e.g., study designs) to address the challenges of the grant	Need to adapt the information provided that may not fully fit the specific requirements of the grant proposal and the particular needs of the funder or the research organization.
	Supports the structuring of the proposal and its development (e.g., suggests the arrangement of sections and clear, concise and coherent writing of the arguments presented).	Possible inaccuracy and/or lack of evidence and data to support arguments presented, contributing to the production of unreliable, non-original, low-quality grant proposal
	Performs linguistic review (e.g., provides word or sentence alternatives, corrects punctuation and improves sentence structure and clarity).	Lack of review and support from colleagues, mentors or professionals in the field, thus preventing more in-depth feedback, specific advice and critical evaluation of the grant proposal.
Legend: AI, Artificial Intelligence; MSK, Musculoskeletal		

TABLE 2. Recommended actions when adopting artificial intelligence chatbots in musculoskeletal rehabilitation.

Field	Actions	Approach
Education	Defining and developing academic principles and guidelines in curricula.	Addressing AI chatbots allowed and not-allowed use (e.g., in which circumstances), indicating the rules when declaring its transparent use and implementing a surveillance system detecting failures towards established guidelines.
	Promoting a culture of ethics and integrity among students.	Raising students' awareness of the risks of using AI chatbots, which can be trained on poor-quality data sets and generate biased or misleading learning.
	Coaching students with challenging tasks.	Continuously valuing, promoting and assessing students' critical appraisal skills, soft competencies, independent thought and self-directed learning abilities.
	Avoiding only writing assignments and diversifying the evaluation models rendering them multi-dimensional.	Including the use of oral presentations, multiple-choice exams, open-ended questions, practical assessments, simulated scenarios, collaborative group projects and supervised patient treatments in combination with scientific writing.
	Continuously training the digital literacy of the faculty members on AI chatbots-related issues.	Promoting awareness of the academic community capable of detecting further potentialities and pitfalls early.
	Training students in all programs regarding the potentialities and risks of AI chatbots.	Promoting their full awareness, updating their knowledge and digital literacy according to the progressive advancements in the field.
Clinical Practice	Implementing and testing AI chatbots for clinical practice.	Guaranteeing adequate security and privacy, accuracy and reliability of its data to avoid misuse in clinical settings.
	Stimulating professional and scientific societies to regulate AI chatbots.	Identifying policies and guidelines on the use of AI chatbots, the limits of application by defining the deontological boundaries and the professional responsibilities in case of litigation.
	Fostering a culture among clinicians on AI chatbots.	Identifying AI chatbots as a support (e.g., workload lowering) rather than a replacement for them, keeping their expertise and clinical judgement central.
	Training clinicians on AI chatbots.	Providing support and resources, involving policymakers and promoting the patients' education on the benefits and risks

		of adopting AI chatbots in MSK rehabilitation, increasing their awareness of this artificial intelligence tool.
	Continuing to promote the importance of clinician's soft skills.	Emphasizing the quantity and quality of human interactions that cannot be guaranteed in the clinical setting using AI chatbots.
	Outlining the clinician's core competencies.	Highlighting skills and tasks and those that can be delegated to AI chatbots while embracing technological advancements.
Research	Developing and promoting internationally shared guidelines and standards on AI chatbots.	Defining whether to add AI chatbots as an author, its use during the drafting and the threshold of acceptable text AI chatbots generated in manuscripts; stimulating cooperation with other institutions (e.g., journal editors and publishers) to implement artificial intelligence plagiarism-checker tools.
	Researching the stakeholders' perspective on AI chatbots.	Investigating frequency (e.g., with quantitative surveys) and experience (e.g., by qualitative interviews and focus groups) of AI chatbots use among stakeholders (e.g., students, faculty members, clinicians and researchers) in MSK rehabilitation.
	Studying performance, accuracy and reliability of AI chatbots.	Investigating the comparative effectiveness of AI chatbots vs humans in performing educational (e.g., correcting tasks), clinical (e.g., interpreting patient findings) and research (e.g., performing a peer-review) tasks in MSK rehabilitation.
	Analyzing the educational, clinical and research impact of AI chatbots in MSK rehabilitation.	Investigating longitudinally (e.g., with prospective observational studies) the maintenance or degradation of core competencies after using AI chatbots among stakeholders (e.g., students, faculty members, clinicians and researchers).
	Training researchers on the benefits and risks of adopting AI chatbots in research.	Stimulating reflection on their responsibilities as authors and awareness on its ethical use; encourage them to disclose its adoption (e.g., in acknowledgements or methods section) reporting details (e.g., name of the tool, version, extension) in their research outputs (e.g., grant proposal, scientific articles).

Promoting a culture of research quality
(e.g., high standards) rather than
quantity (e.g., "publish or perish").

Continuing to stimulate the development of curiosity, heuristic,
creativity and imagination among researchers despite AI
chatbots.

Legend: AI, Artificial Intelligence; MSK, Musculoskeletal.

TABLE 3. Examples of artificial intelligence tools with possible applications in musculoskeletal rehabilitation.

Aim	Name	Source*
AI Writing assistance	DeepL Write	https://www.deepl.com/write
	Istalex	https://instatext.io
	Grammarly	https://app.grammarly.com/
	QuillBot	https://quillbot.com/
	Trinka	https://www.trinka.ai/
	Grammar Check	https://www.grammarcheck.ai
	ProWritingAid	https://prowritingaid.com
	Sentence Checkup	https://sentencecheckup.com
	Slick Write	https://www.slickwrite.com/#!home
AI Translation	DeepL Translator	https://www.deepl.com/translator
	Google Translate	https://translate.google.com/
	Bing Translator	https://www.bing.com/translator
	Reverso Translation	https://www.reverso.net/text-translation
	Taia	https://taia.io
	Systran Translate	https://na-translate.systran.net
	Smartling	https://www.smartling.com
	SmartCat	https://www.smartcat.com/machine-translation/
	TextUnited	https://www.textunited.com
	Language I/O	https://languageio.com
AI Writing generator	ChatGPT	https://chat.openai.com/
	Copy.ai	https://www.copy.ai/
	Texta	https://www.texta.ai/
	ChatSonic	https://writesonic.com/chat
	Writier	https://writier.io/
	Writerly	https://writerly.ai/
	Google Bard	https://bard.google.com/?hl=en
	Perplexity	https://www.perplexity.ai
	Jasper.ai	https://www.jasper.ai
	Jenni	https://jenni.ai
AI Research assistant	Elicit	https://elicit.org
	Research rabbit	https://www.researchrabbit.ai
	Inciteful	https://inciteful.xyz
	R Discovery	https://discovery.researcher.life
	Scholarcy	https://www.scholarcy.com
	Scite	https://scite.ai/home
	Notion	https://www.notion.so
	Wizdom	https://www.wizdom.ai
	SciSpace	https://typeset.io
	Consensus	https://consensus.app
AI Detector	DetectGPT	https://detectgpt.com
	GPTZero	https://gptzero.me

	Originality.ai	https://originality.ai
	Plagibot	https://plagibot.com
	Writer	https://writer.com/ai-content-detector/
	Copyleaks	https://copyleaks.com/ai-content-detector
	Content at Scale	https://contentatscale.ai/ai-content-detector/
	Sapling	https://sapling.ai/ai-content-detector
	Turnitin	https://www.turnitin.com/products/features/ai-writing-detection
	Compilatio	https://www.compilatio.net/en
AI Image creator	Canva	https://www.canva.com/ai-image-generator/
	Nightcafe	https://creator.nightcafe.studio/text-to-image-art
	Starry AI	https://starryai.com/app/my-creations
	Craiyon	https://www.craiyon.com
	DALL·E 2	https://openai.com/dall-e-2
	Fotor	https://www.fotor.com/features/ai-image-generator/
	Pixray	https://replicate.com/pixray/text2image
	Bing Image Creator	https://www.bing.com/create
	Midjourney	https://docs.midjourney.com
	Jasper Art	https://www.jasper.ai/art

Legend: AI, Artificial Intelligence.

* Web links accessed on 5th September 2023




FIGURE 1. SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats) analysis of artificial intelligence chatbots for musculoskeletal rehabilitation.