Environmental productivity index GIS-based model to estimate prickly pear biomass potential availability for biogas production

Published Version:
Leanza P.M., Valenti F., D'Urso P.R., Arcidiacono C. (2022). Environmental productivity index GIS-based model to estimate prickly pear biomass potential availability for biogas production. AGRONOMY JOURNAL, 114(6), 3206-3224 [10.1002/agj2.21192].

Availability:
This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/933694 since: 2023-07-05

Published:
DOI: http://doi.org/10.1002/agj2.21192

Core Ideas

As part of the submission process, we ask authors to prepare highlights of their article. The highlights will consist of 3 to 5 bullet points that convey the core findings of the article and emphasize the novel aspects and impacts of the research on scientific progress and environmental problem solving.

The purpose of these highlights is to give a concise summary that will be helpful in assessing the suitability of the manuscript for publication in the journal and for selecting appropriate reviewers. If the article is accepted the highlights may also be used for promoting and publicizing the research.

Core Idea 1: - The use of Opuntia ficus indica biomass for anaerobic digestion was assessed

Core Idea 2: - The methodology was applied by combining models and spatial analysis tools

Core Idea 3: - The study was carried out from data acquired at local scale to a territorial level

Core Idea 4: - Based on bioclimatic data, the Environmental Productivity Index was estimated

Core Idea 5: - The most suitable areas for producing biogas and electricity per year and per hectare were computed

EPI GIS- based model to estimate prickly pear biomass potential availability for biogas production: an application to a Mediterranean area

Paola Maria Leanza[a], Francesca Valenti[a*], Provvidenza Rita D'Urso[a], Claudia Arcidiacono[a]

paola.leanza@unict.it, francesca.valenti@unict.it, provvidenza.durso@phd.unict.it, carcidi@unict.it

[a] University of Catania, Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, Building and Land Engineering Section, via S. Sofia 100, 95123, Catania, Italy

*Corresponding author: Francesca Valenti, University of Catania, Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, Building and Land Engineering Section, via S. Sofia 100, 95123, Catania, Italy. email: francesca.valenti@unict.it; phone: +390957147580

Abstract

Nowadays, climate change is the environmental issue facing the world. To reach the 2030 EU goals, recently, biogas production by anaerobic digestion has developed significantly, by using alternative biomass sources due to the competition between food and no-food products. In this regard, *Opuntia ficus-indica (OFI*) has been suggested as a suitable new biomass for producing biomethane within the context of circular economy. In this study, a predictive methodology was applied by combining the Nobel model of Environmental Productivity Index (EPI) and Geographic Information System (GIS), with the aim of estimating *OFI* biomass amount, as well as biogas and electricity potential production.

GIS analyses allowed the identification of the most suitable territorial areas for producing biogas from *OFI*, and an estimation of electricity production. The achieved results are highly valuable information for strategic planning of biogas sector development and could be relevant to the intervention priorities established by the European Union.

Keywords: Opuntia ficus-indica; GIS; spatial analysis, EPI, bioenergy, biomass

1 Introduction

Demand for renewable biomass-based carbon resources to use for lignocellulosic biofuels is expected to increase in the future due to the reduction of GHG released into the atmosphere (Aosaar & Varik, 2012; Yang et al., 2015). Nowadays, the production of biogas by anaerobic digestion has developed significantly, by using alternative biomass sources due to the competition between food and no-food products (Dale et al., 2016). Furthermore, water availability is the crucial factor that limit the cultivation of bioenergy crops, therefore on those water-limited areas the crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) species such as *Agave* (*Agavaceae*) and *Opuntia* (*prickly pear*) could be suitable biomasses due to their growth characteristics that allow to thrive in semi-arid regions (Yang et al., 2015).

Prickly pear is widely used within the food, pharmaceutical and cosmetic, and textile industries (Ortiz-Laurel et al., 2014) but is also recognised as a bioenergetic crop, for production of lignocellulosic biofuels, biogas, and biofertilisers. Crops characterised by a CAM, such as *Opuntia ficus-indica (OFI*), are a recommended resource for alternative energy production as they have a high potential for biomass production (Nobel & de Cortázar;1991; de Cortázar & Nobel, 1992; de Cortázar & Varnero, 1999, Mason et al., 2015). In this regard, it is well known that the chemical composition of the biomass, the degree of solubilisation, and hydrolysis of the organic matter within the digester are crucial factors for the anaerobic digestion process in order to obtain a high anaerobic biodegradability and a high biogas yield (Santos et al., 2016; Valenti et al., 2018a). Since it is demonstrated that a large fraction of the stems, also known as cladodes, is biodegradable, this implies that they could constitute an important source of feedstock for biogas production (Jigar et al., 2011). On the other hand, the biomass from cladodes contains high organic matter but low nitrogen (Jigar et al., 2011), therefore it needs to be mixed with other feedstocks richer in nitrogen content, such as manure (Valenti et al., 2020), in order to maximise the biogas production in terms of methane content (Varnero & de Cortázar, 2013, Valenti et al., 2018b). Furthermore, waste material from *OFI* crop pruning can also be used as a feedstock to produce biogas and biofertilizers through the anaerobic digestion process, within the concept of Biogasdoneright© (Dale et al., 2016). With regard to this concept, the by-products (i.e., waste material from OFI crop) can be used for producing biogas in a more sustainable way (Valenti et al., 2017; Selvaggi & Valenti, 2021).

Based on the various possibilities offered by the valorisation of this crop (Feyisa et al., 2022), it is therefore necessary to acquire information on productive capacity of *OFI* and its localisation at the territorial level in order to evaluate its possible use for energy production.

In this context, several studies have been carried out on biomass-bioenergy systems in recent years by using the GIS tool which makes it possible to both manage and analyse different types of georeferenced information by adopting the concept of map-layers (Valenti et al., 2018c; Bambara et al., 2019). Some research studies have covered subjects including biomass to biofuel feedstock and conversion technologies, biomass supply chain design and management including modelling and optimisation approaches (Ba et al., 2016; Ghaderi et al., 2016; Barbosa-Póvoa et al., 2017). Erre et al. (2009) proposed a GIS-based methodology to analyse the capacity of adaptation of two local biotypes of *OFI* (i.e., *Opuntia ficus-indica (L.) Mill.,* and *Opuntia amyclaea Ten*) to different types of land and environmental conditions. Land-use planning and strategic management in agriculture, through the use of GIS tools, are effective tools to achieve sustainable development (Ghosh and Kumpatla, 2022). Determination of the suitability of land-use types for a certain area, that is, setting the priority of agricultural land-use types, is an important part of land-use planning (Akpinar et al., 2004).

The application of GIS tools has been widely proposed in several research studies aimed at defining indices and indicators suitable for describing the potential production of biomass in Mediterranean areas and for estimating the potential production of biogas (Valenti et al., 2016;

Valenti and Porto, 2019; Selvaggi et al., 2021). In these studies, the definition of the indicators was carried out on the basis of crop coverage derived from digital cartography and orthophotos.

In other studies, the main objective concerned the analysis of the productivity of the plant species in the examined area. Owen and Griffiths (2014) applied the Environmental Productivity Index (EPI), computed by following the methodology proposed by Nobel and Meyer (1985), for the development of a geospatial model aimed at estimating the bioethanol yield potential of four CAM crops (i.e., *Agave fourcroydes, Agave salmiana, Agave tequilana, and OFI*) in Australia. In this research, GIS software was utilised to combine climatic data with titratable acidity responses as a function of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), temperature and precipitation in order to evaluate the influence of environmental conditions on the species distribution. However, in the study *OFI* bioethanol yield potential was not computed, since it did not match the environmental responsibility (ER) criteria, defined by the authors, as a 'best' option to identify potential trial sites outside areas that support high-yield agriculture (Owen et al., 2016).

Therefore, by defining tailored indices, this study aims at evaluating the feasibility of using *OFI* biomass for anaerobic digestion and its territorial distribution, as well as estimating the biogas and electricity potential production in a territorial area of Sicily. By following the methodology proposed by Owen & Griffiths (2014), this study was carried out through the application of GIS software and EPI model. Specifically, the aim was to express the prickly pear productivity based on the soil and climatic variables of the considered territorial area. To this end, the province of Catania was selected as the study area for the computation of the EPI for *OFI,* by taking into account the necessary environmental parameters acquired by local weather stations during a 10-year time interval. Furthermore, on the basis of the evaluated amount of potential biomass, the biogas per unit of surface area and the electricity potentially obtainable per unit of surface area were computed by taking into account the estimated EPI, the production of dry matter (DM), and the results of the Biochemical Methane Potential (BMP) test.

2 Computation of biomass, biogas, and bioenergy production from *O. ficus-indica* in the literature

As worldwide recognised, prickly pear has an excellent biomass production capacity still under unsuitable soil and climate conditions, thanks to its high efficiency in the use of water (Santos et al., 2016; Ramos-Suàrez & Martinez, 2014). However, the productivity of Opuntia is influenced by the average temperature, and by solar radiation within the wavelength range between 400 and 700 nm. Opuntia plant dies at temperatures below -5 ° C and could survive at soil temperatures around 70 ° C, yet with permanent damage. The maximum production of Opuntia is reached within the 5-20 °C range (Nobel, 2001). Furthermore, the biomass production from Opuntia is considered stable over time because it is not affected by rainfall events that are irregularly distributed during very dry periods (Santos et al., 2016).

Based on the main research studies found in the literature, Table 1 shows a comparison between the main parameters due to the biogas production in different contexts.

Table 1. Main data and parameters from experimental analyses carried out on OFI.

Santos et al. (2016) compared different *OFI* varieties in Brazil and found that the average productivity of fresh biomass (raw matter) of prickly pear reached almost 90 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1} and the productivity of dry matter was equal to 8 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1}. Furthermore, they highlighted that, under favourable and suitable water irrigation conditions, prickly pear can reach up to 45-50 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1} of dry matter production, which could be considered a very high yield if compared to those of the most commonly used crops for biomass production (Santos et al., 2016; Ramos-Suàrez & Martinez, 2014]. In cultivations of *OFI* located in Argentina it has been found that, in sandy soils and in those territorial areas characterised by 300 mm of rainfall, the productivity of dry matter ranged between 2.1 – 2.4 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, which corresponds to a mean rainfall-use efficiency factor (RUE) of 7.4 kg ha^{-1} yr^{-1} mm^{-1} of dry matter. These yield values are lower than those ones found for arid and sandy soils, which are characterised by an average annual rainfall ranging between 200 and 400 mm, and by a yield of about 15 - 22.5 kg ha^{-1} yr^{-1} mm^{-1}. On the contrary, on silty sand soils, with rainfall slightly above 200 mm, the productivity of dry matter reached values of about 0.75 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1} and a mean rainfall-use efficiency factor (RUE) of only 3.5 kg ha^{-1} yr^{-1} mm^{-1} (Guevara & Estevez, 2001).

The estimation of the theoretical potential of biogas production from the biomass of prickly pear was carried out by Santos et al. (2016) by considering the average productivity value of the dry biomass of three selected species, giant palm *(Opuntia ficus-indica),* palma redonda *(Opuntia ficus-indica)* and palma miúda *(Nopalea cochenillifera*), which was equal to 7.9 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, with an average value of volatile solids (VS) equal to 91%. Therefore, by taking into account all the above-mentioned parameters, the potential biogas production was estimated to be 3717 m^3 ha^{-1} yr^{-1}. Similar results of potential biogas production were found in the literature for other traditional energy crops such as maize (5780 m^3 CH_4 ha^{-1}), alfalfa (3995 m^3 CH_4 ha^{-1}), and forage beet (5800 m^3 CH_4 ha^{-1}) (Santos et al., 2016).

Mason et al., (2015) compared different datasets for OFI, unfertilised rain-fed crops and a manual harvest, with limited availability of water. It was found that increased yields per hectare due to *greater planting densities could be achieved through mechanised harvesting.* Furthermore, the resilience of these plants to drought leads to a decrease in production rather than crop failure. Through the application of the methodology reported by Mason et al., (2015), on data elaborated from Gasston et al., (2013), the gas yield production was estimated to be 325 CH_4 l kg^{-1} and electricity from biomass of dry matter was equal to 1.33 $MWht^{-1}$.

De Cortazar & Nobel (1990) predicted EPI for 253 regions worldwide by using data from 1464 weather stations within 60° of the equator. First, the climatic data were used to calculate daily values of a PAR index, a temperature index, and a water index. In this research study, OFI productivity of 32 tons ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$ was predicted for western South America with rainfall above 331 mm.

Comparetti et al., (2017) carried out a research work aimed at estimating the potential production of biogas and, indirectly, biomethane or electrical and thermal energy in Sicily. As a result, they found a biomass production from prickly pear equal to 8.5 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1} of dry matter with an average annual rainfall of 300 mm, a value similar to Spain (Rosato, 2014), and by applying a BMP value of about 300 Nm^3 t^{-1}, the potential production of biogas was estimated.

Furthermore, a conversion factor suitable for estimating the amount of produced electricity was proposed by several authors (Ortiz-Laurel et al., 2014; Quadros et al., 2010; Pompermayer & Paula Junior, 2000). In detail, it was found that 1 m^3 of biogas containing about 60% methane allows the production of 1.25 kWh, therefore it was estimated that biomass from prickly pear allows an electrical energy production equal to 4646 kWh ha^{-1} yr^{-1}e and from the analysis of the produced biogas it has a calorific value equal 5500 kcal m^{-3}, according to Pompermayer & Paula-Júnior (2000).

Obach & Lemus (2006) estimated a production of 23,400 kWh ha^{-1} yr^{-1} based on an average production of 300 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1} of raw matter, with a biogas potential production of 58 m^3 per t SV^{-1} (with the 52% of methane content) and 1.5 kWh m^{-3} of electrical energy. Furthermore, by considering that the average electricity consumption of a Brazilian household is equal to 200 kWh $month^{-1}$, biomass from prickly pear would allow the sufficient production of electricity to meet the annual consumption of about two houses (Santos et al., 2016).

Table 1 highlighted that many studies in the field did not evaluate the potential biogas production as well as the electricity from biomass. Therefore, in the research outlined in this paper, the computation of biomass, biogas, and bioenergy production from *OFI* aims at contributing to the needed increase of knowledge in the field, as highlighted in this state of the art from the literature studies.

3 Materials and methods

The methodology applied in this study was carried out through the following steps, according to Owen & Griffiths (2014):

1. Analyses and elaborations of data related to precipitation, PAR, and average values of the minimum and maximum temperatures acquired by the weather stations located in the area, in order to define eco-physiological indices useful for the EPI computation;
2. Analyses of the soil characteristics nearby the weather station, by evaluating the clay, silt and sand values of the soils in order to define the soil water retention, in order to define an eco-physiological index useful for the EPI computation;
3. Computation of the eco-physiological indices, through the use of the ArcGIS® software, in order to estimate EPI by taking into account variations of solar radiation, water content, and temperatures;
4. Application of *kriging* interpolation tool of GIS software, to produce tailored maps with the aim of showing the EPI distribution at the territorial level;
5. Estimation of the potential production of biogas, by using the ArcGIS® software, based on literature data related to both the biomass production and its yield;
6. Estimation of the electricity production based on both the estimated potential production of biogas and literature data (e.g., biogas-electricity conversion factors).

3.1 Definition of eco-physiological indices and computation of the Environmental Productivity Index (EPI)

Based on the methodology proposed in many research studies (Nobel & Meyer, 1985; Nobel, 1988; Nobel & Quero, 1986), the first step of the study provides forecast information on the biomass productivity and makes it possible to determine these values in different areas, different climatic conditions, and different soils.

EPI depends on the minimum and maximum temperature (through the *temperature index* I_t), rainfall (through the *rainfall index* I_w) and PAR, as a fraction of solar radiation, (through the *Ecophysiological response to PAR index* I_p), and it was calculated by applying the following equation (de Cortázar & Nobel, 1986; Nobel, 1988; Nobel & Valenzuela, 1987; Nobel, 1989):

$$EPI = I_W \times I_t \times I_p \quad (1)$$

In detail, the EPI was computed as an annual average value by using monthly data. The EPI equation was the following:

$$EPI_{annual} = \sum_{m=1}^{12} \frac{(I_W \times I_t \times I_p)_m}{12} = \frac{{I_W}^{JAN} \times {I_t}^{JAN} \times {I_P}^{JAN} + \dots + {I_W}^{DEC} \times {I_t}^{DEC} \times {I_P}^{DEC}}{12} \quad (2)$$

where m is related to the month of the year.

In the following section the contribution provided by the individual parameters adopted for the EPI calculation is detailed.

3.1.1 Rainfall and soil texture parameters

In order to compute the EPI it was necessary to evaluate the relationship between rainfall and the current water availability in terms of soil water potential.

The soil water potential (Ψs) has mainly negative values, therefore a high potential requires a low water retention capacity, and a low energy is required to the plants for the absorption. On the contrary, if the soil water potential is low, the soil strongly holds water, and a considerable effort to absorb water is required to plants.

Furthermore, the soil water potential depends on rainfall and soil texture, therefore the necessary analyses for EPI estimation, required data on soil texture, i.e., the calculation of the clay, sand, and silt fraction, neglecting the value of the soil organic fraction.

According to Nobel (1988), CAM plants are very sensitive to the lack of water in the soil and their soil water absorption commonly takes place between -0.2 and -0.4 MPa for Ψs and, under stress conditions, this value is around -0.5 MPa. Therefore, water absorption takes place when Ψs > -0.5 MPa.

The soil water potential is computed as a function of the water content (θ) and texture classes (C = clay; S = sand), by using the following equation (Acevedo et al., 1983):

$$\Psi_s = A \times \vartheta^B \tag{3}$$

in which A and B depend on soil textures through the following relations:

$$A = 100\ exp\left[a + b(\%C) + c(\%S)^2 + d(\%S)^2(\%C)\right] \tag{4}$$

$$B = e + f(\%C)^2 + g(\%S)^2 + g(\%S)^2(\%C) \tag{5}$$

where the parameters a, b, c, d, e, f, and g were obtained from Saxton et al. (1986).

The computation of the gradient function ($g_{i,soil}$) for each single type of soil was carried out by means of a linear proportion between the $\theta_{sandy\ soil}$ and $g_{i,sandy\ soil}$ while the following equation (Eqn. 6) is considered valid for a wide range of textures and for h values within unsaturated soil conditions (Saxton et al., 1986). Consequently, the day-duration (U_{days}) was estimated as a function of precipitation R, when the condition Ψs>-0.5 MPa occurs, by the following equation:

$$U_{days} = g_i \times R \tag{6}$$

The effective number of days per month (U_e) when plant carbon uptake is not rate-limited by water availability was determined by the following equation:

$$U_e = g_i \times R \times f_d \quad (7)$$

where f_d = 1.92 for OFI and identifies the value of the titratable plant acidity (TA) within a phase of water deficit through the calculation of the ratio between titratable plant acidity under drought conditions (TA_d) and TA under optimal conditions, by considering a 28-day interval (Acevedo et al., 1983; Saxton et al., 1986; Nobel and Valenzuela, 1987; Nobel, 1989).

Finally, the rainfall index I_w was computed by the following equation:

$$I_w = U_e/D_m \quad (8)$$

where D_m is the number of days in a month. Therefore, it was established that $I_w = 1$ when $U_e/D_m \geq 1$ (Nobel, 1988).

3.1.2 Temperature parameters

The carbon absorption capacity of *OFI* demonstrates that this plant is strongly affected by temperatures. Therefore, the definition of the temperature index I_t aims at representing this absorption capacity based on temperatures during both day and night.

Consequently, the analysis of both the monthly minimum night-time temperatures $I_t min$ and the monthly maximum day-time temperatures $I_t max$ was necessary to determine the temperature index I_t, by applying the following equations (Nobel, 1988; 1989; Nobel & de Cortázar, 1991; Nobel & Israel, 1994):

$$I_{t\,min} = -0.0041t_{min}^2 + 0.117t_{min} + 0.186 \quad (9)$$

$$I_{t\,max} = -0.0002t_{max}^2 + 0.0104t_{max} + 0.875 \quad (10)$$

$$I_t = I_{t\,min}/I_{t\,max} \quad (11)$$

3.1.3 Photosynthetically Active Radiation parameter

In previous research studies carried out on OFI it was found that the CO_2 absorption during night-time, as well as the increase of acid concentration, and their ratio are influenced by the amount of PAR that the plant is able to absorb during the day (Nobel & Hartsock, 1983; de Cortázar & Nobel, 1986).

Therefore, the *Ecophysiological response to photosynthetically active radiation index* I_p depends on PAR and it was computed according to the following equation (Nobel and Valenzuela, 1987; Nobel et al., 1987; Nobel, 1988; Nobel & de Cortázar, 1991; Nobel & Israel, 1994):

$$I_P = -0.0007p^2 + 0.057p - 0.1856 \quad (12)$$

in which p stands for the PAR value. When $p \geq 35\ mol\ m^{-2} day^{-1}$, the index I_P was set equal to 1.

3.2 Evaluation of potential production of biomass, biogas, and electricity from *OFI*

In order to estimate the amount of potential biogas per unit of surface area, after the computation of the EPI, it is necessary to take into account the data related to the dry matter content as well as the BMP tests of the species.

The biomass yield or potential biomass production (P) of *OFI, expressed in* $[t\ yr^{-1} ha^{-1}]$, was estimated by the following equation, as the product of the EPI and the maximum dry matter productivity (P_{max}) expressed in t per hectare and per year, by considering optimal irrigation conditions and a value of 8 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1} for irrigation plant density:

$$P = P_{max} \times EPI \quad (13)$$

In this equation, the value of P_{max} was considered equal to 8.5 t ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, in accordance with other research studies carried out on the same territorial area (Comparetti et al., 2017). Furthermore, by considering the pessimistic value of BMP, i.e., equal to 300 Nm^3 t^{-1} of dry matter per year, the total potential production of biogas (B) expressed in Nm^3 per hectare and per year can be obtained by the following relation:

$$B = P \times BMP \tag{14}$$

Additionally, the potential production of electricity obtainable from biogas-conversion was computed by using the conversion factor proposed by Pompermayer & Paula-Júnior (2000) for the estimation of electricity. In detail, since a cubic meter of biogas containing about 60% of methane allows the production of 1.25 kWh, the electric energy production P_{eep} for one year expressed in $[kWh\, yr^{-1}\, ha^{-1}]$ was estimated by the following relation:

$$P_{eep} = 1{,}25 \times B \tag{15}$$

Next, the computation of the surface area *S* in terms of hectares of each considered municipality, allows achieving the potential total production of biogas and electricity per municipality by using the following relations, respectively:

$$Btot = P \times BMP \times S \tag{16}$$

$$P_{eep\,tot} = 1{,}25 \times Btot \tag{17}$$

4 Case study

The study was carried out in the Province of Catania. Catania province covers an area of 3,552 km^2, includes 58 municipalities and is located on the east coast of the island. It is characterised by the presence of Etna, one of the largest active volcanoes in the Mediterranean area, which reaches 3,350 m a.s.l. (Carbone et al., 2009).

The average temperature within Sicilian region is quite high everywhere, ranging from 19°C of the coastal areas to 13°C of the higher inland areas. January is the coldest month and has a temperature value close to the coastal areas of about 10°C, as it is influenced by the sea. The month of July is the hottest one with an average value of temperature that ranges from 25-26°C, close to the coastal areas, to 18°C in the mountainous ones (Venturella, 2004).

The province of Catania offers a great climatic variety, which is influenced by the altitude and the proximity to the sea (Carbone et al., 2009). The area of the Catania Plain has a semi-dry climate with low precipitations, mostly concentrated during the autumn season. Moreover, this area is characterised in all seasons by a strong temperature range from day to night. The coastal area is characterised by very hot summer season and mild winter, with rainfall mainly concentrated in the autumn-winter period. Conversely, in the internal areas the winter temperatures are lower than those recorded in the coastal zone, while summer ones are quite similar (Carbone et al., 2009; Leanza et al., 2022).

Furthermore, based on a study carried out by the Agriculture and Forestry Department of the Sicilian region on the analysis of data from 1965 to 1994, in the province of Catania three main areas can be distinguished based on the average yearly temperatures: the coastal and plain areas, belonging to the municipalities of Acireale, Catania, Piedimonte Etneo, and Ramacca, with values of about 18°C; the internal hilly area belonging to the municipalities of Mineo and Caltagirone which reported yearly temperatures of 16-17°C; and the volcanic area, where the temperature values decrease with altitude (Cartabellotta et al., 1998).

With regard to rainfalls, the highest annual values (about 960 mm) in the whole Sicily are recorded on the eastern and north-eastern territorial areas of Etna, with a value that proportionally increases with altitude, reaching about 1200 mm at the top of Etna. On the contrary, very low annual rainfall values (about 500 mm) are found on the western and south-western territorial areas of Etna, particularly in the municipalities of Paternò, Motta Sant'Anastasia, Maniace, and Ragalna.

Low annual rainfall values (about 500 mm) are recorded in the south of the province ranging from 402 mm in Ramacca municipality to 579 mm in Mirabella Imbaccari municipality. The other values acquired from the weather stations located in Caltagirone, Mineo, and Vizzini municipalities ranged between the above-reported values (i.e., 402 and 579 mm) (Cartabellotta et al., 1998).

Moreover, based on the surveys carried out in Sicily by the Ministry of Economic Development, 1 million m^3, 2.5 million m^3, and 7.2 million m^3 of natural gas are used by industries, to produce thermal and electrical energy, and for domestic heating, respectively (Comparetti et al., 2017). In this regard, the potential biogas production from biomass of *OFI* could contribute to meet the demand for natural gas.

4.1.1 Environmental productivity index (EPI) within the study area

Twenty-three regional weather stations (Table 2; Figure 1), managed by the Sicilian Agro-meteorological Information Service (SIAS), were taken into account in this study. The weather stations acquire climatic data, such as air temperature and PAR, at different locations and the Service provides them to the users at various granularities.

Figure 1 – Localisation of weather stations within the study area.

Table 2 – WGS84 geographical coordinates of the weather stations and related provinces

Among these weather stations, 14 are located in the Province of Catania and 9 are located in the other neighbouring provinces (i.e., Messina, Enna, Syracuse, Ragusa, and Caltanissetta). The considered number of weather stations has proven to be adequate in order to obtain a uniform distribution of data throughout the territory with a good coverage in coastal and mountainous areas.

Furthermore, the decision to include in this study weather stations located outside the Province of Catania was due to the need to determine a good data spatial coverage also in those areas located close to the administrative boundaries.

In detail, the daily average data of maximum and minimum temperatures, rainfalls and PAR, recorded from 1 January 2006 to 1 January 2016 were elaborated.

The PAR index was computed from the solar radiation data, acquired from SIAS database, and expressed in (MJ m^{-2}), by assuming that 48% of the incident beams fall within the action-interval between 400 and 700 nm (Weiss & Norman, 1985).

For evaluating the clay, silt, and sand values of the soils in which weather stations are located, the following maps were taken into account for GIS analyses:

- Italian map of the clayey soils provided by the Ministry of University and Scientific and Technological Research and by the National Research Council, which was carried out based on 1985-cartography;
- The Dominant Surface Textural Class of STU map provided by the European Soil Data Center (ESDAC), https://esdac.jrc.ec.europa.eu/;
- The Topsoil physical properties for Europe map developed by the European Soil Data Center (ESDAC);
- The Harmonized World Soil Database (v 1.2) (FAO/IIASA/ISRIC/ISSCAS/JSR, 2012), https://www.fao.org/soils-portal/soil-survey/soil-maps-and-databases/harmonized-world-soil-database-v12/en/.

The Harmonized World Soil Database (HWSD), in particular, made it possible to define the fractions of clay, silt and sand of Topsoil, which represents the soil layer between 0 and 30 cm depth. Results of these elaborations are reported in Figure 2.

Figure 2 – Soil type distribution based on HWSD data. a) Distribution at regional level within Sicily region. b) Distribution at provincial level within the study area (i.e., Catania province).

The twenty-three weather stations were georeferenced in GIS, as shown in Figure 1. In detail, the geographical coordinates of the weather stations were acquired and transformed into a new vector layer (*point as feature*). The obtained map was overlaid to the map reporting the soil types (Figure 2b), in order to define their soil texture.

On this basis, for each selected soil texture associated to the weather stations, the soil water content was computed, according to Saxton et al. (1986), by setting the soil water potential equal to Ψs = 0.5 MPa. By assuming that each soil can be defined through the parameter g_i as a function of the soil water content when Ψs =-0.5 MPa and, by considering a linear relationship between Ψs and precipitation (Nobel et al., 1987; Nobel, 1988), each type of soil was compared to the rainfall R (mm) and duration (in days), and only when Ψs exceeded the values of -0.5 MPa, the types of soil were also compared to the behaviour of sandy soils as defined by Nobel and Venezuela (1987).

The point data, defined as EPI_{annual} and computed for each weather station, were then interpolated by using, among the stochastic methods, the *Kriging* tool, available in GIS software, in order to determine the EPI_{annual} value over the whole study area.

In this regard, as reported in the literature, it has been observed that when the amount of acquired data results high, and with a well distribution over the territorial areas, all methods, both deterministic ones (i.e., IDW, spline) and stochastic ones (i.e., kriging and co-kriging), of spatial estimation and analysis result acceptable. On the contrary, only in the case of complex morpho-topographic characteristics, with low data acquired due to the number of weather stations, stochastic methods for minimising the possible estimation errors should be applied (Fiorenzo et al., 2008).

The monthly values of the I_w, I_t and I_p indices were computed over a 10-year period (2006-2015) and reported in ArcGIS® to produce the map of the EPI distribution within the study area. Then, by means of the *Kriging* tool, the interpolation of the monthly indices was carried out, producing 12 maps, for each considered indicator (I_{w_gen}, I_{w_feb}, …, I_{w_dic}; I_{t_gen}, I_{t_feb}, …, I_{t_dic}; I_{p_gen}, I_{p_feb}, …, I_{p_dic}).

Next steps involved the use of the ArcGIS® *map algebra tool* to compute the monthly EPI, related to each month of the year (i.e., $EPI_{\_January}$; $EPI_{\_February}$; $EPI_{\_March}$, etc.) and then the computation of annual EPI, according to Eqn. 1.

The raster file of EPI distribution was then firstly converted into weighted points with values ranging between 0 and 1, based on the index, and then overlaid with municipality boundaries of the Province of Catania. In detail, the vector layer contained polygons that represent the surface area of each municipality.

As a result, for each municipality a new layer was defined containing both the weighted points with values ranging between 0 and 1, and the adopted EPI weights with the aim of computing for each municipality, the average EPI value.

Finally, the EPI_{annual} index was applied to compute, per year and per hectare, the potential production of biogas, by adopting the value that represents the maximum dry biomass productivity (P), according to Equation 13.

5 Results and discussion

5.1 Environmental productivity index (EPI)

Nine different soil types were found in the study area, i.e., Etna volcanic cone, *alluvional plains, coastal plains, arenaceous reliefs, carbonate reliefs of the Hyblaean hill, clayey-marly hilly reliefs, hilly reliefs with chalky or carbonate crests, hilly reliefs with sandy hills at the summit, Hyblaean Vulcanites*. In terms of soil texture, 65% of the considered twenty-three weather stations falls on soil classified as loam, 30% on sandy loam soil, 5% on loamy sand soil. Within these considered soil types, the percentage content of clay ranged from a minimum of about 6% in the loamy sand soils in the weather station located in Bronte municipality, to a maximum of 26% for the loam soils recorded for the weather station of Ramacca municipality. Conversely, the sand content ranged from a minimum value of 32% in the loam soils to a maximum value of 83% in the loamy sand soils (i.e., Bronte municipality).

The soil water potential had an average value of water content equal to 1077.21 mm at Ψ_s=-0.5 MPa and increased in soils characterised by a lower clay content. The minimum value of 79.18 mm was measured in Bronte municipality (i.e., loamy sand soil) whereas the maximum value equal to 3282.26 mm in the municipality of Ramacca (i.e., loamy soil). Furthermore, in Bronte municipality the minimum value of g_i, equal to 0.3370 was recorded, whereas the maximum g_i value equal to 0.6545 was found in Ramacca. Therefore, based on data elaboration, the highest value of the g_i was found in the loamy soils, i.e., in the municipalities of Ramacca, Caltagirone, Mineo, Maletto, Linguaglossa, Riposto, and Adrano.

Furthermore, it emerged that, within all the territorial areas in which the weather stations are located, the I_w index resulted equal to 1 during the months of January, February, March, October and December, in some years also in April (i.e., 2012, 2013), in September (i.e., 2009, 2010, 2011) and in November (i.e., 2007, 2009, 2011).

Conversely, this index I_w assumed a value equal to zero, in most of the territorial areas in which the weather stations are located, in the months of June (during the years 2012 and 2013), July in the year 2011, and August (during the years 2011 and 2014). Therefore, the wettest municipality was Linguaglossa and the driest Ramacca.

During the calculation of the I_P index, the value of I_P=1 was always found during the entire time interval (i.e., 10 years) in the months of April, May, June, July, August and September, and only for the years 2012 and 2015 also during the month of March. The value of I_P<1 was found in the months of March and September only for the weather station located in the municipality of Pedara. The minimum PAR value of 5.06 mol m $^{-2}$ day^{-1} was registered for the year 2011 during the month of November in the weather station located in Pedara municipality whereas the maximum value of 29.01 mol m $^{-2}$ day^{-1} was found during the month of June in the weather station located in Gela municipality, which is a weather station located outside the provincial administrative boundaries.

The results of the I_T computation showed values between -0.75 and 1 as minimum and maximum values, respectively. During the interval April-October and for some years also during the month of November, higher average values of the I_T were found. Therefore, it was observed that *OFI* showed higher values of CO_2 potential absorption at different daily T_{max} values (Owen and Griffiths, 2014). In general, a low night temperature and the resistance to variations in temperatures between day and night demonstrated that the species has a greater suitability in southern latitudes as characterised by these considerable variations in temperature within the same season (Owen et al., 2016). The lowest average value for the minimum temperature was found in the weather station located on the Etna volcano, while the highest average value for the minimum temperature was found in the municipality of Ramacca, which is located at 270 m a.s.l. and at about 45 km-distance from the coast. The lowest average value for the maximum temperature was found in Maletto municipality (960 a.s.l. on the north-west side of the Etna volcano) while the highest one was recorded in the municipality of Paternò (225 m a.s.l., at 18 km-distance from the coast).

Recorded data were elaborated and reported in the GIS software to produce the EPI map. Figure 3 shows the index distribution at the territorial level within the whole province of Catania where EPI values ranged between 0.47 and 0.57. Therefore, the maximum EPI value was less than 0.60 as found by Owen & Griffiths (2014).

The most suited areas for *OFI* were found in the south-west (currently the commercial production area of *OFI*), in the north-eastern and north-western areas of the province, and also in those territorial areas close to the administrative boundaries between the provinces of Catania and Messina. In detail, the municipalities of Mirabella Imbaccari, Raddusa, San Cono, Mineo, Grammichele, Calatabiano, Fiumefreddo, Caltagirone, Castel di Iudica, Piedimonte, Mascali, Giarre, Santa Venerina and Maniace were selected as the most suitable areas.

On the contrary, the area of the Catania plain, the area at the top of the volcano, and the area located to the south-east close to the administrative boundaries between the provinces of Catania and Ragusa, were identified with a low suitability value. In detail, the municipalities of Catania, Misterbianco, Paternò, Belpasso, Camporotondo, Tremestieri Etneo, Mascalucia, Santa Maria di Licodia were selected as the less suitable areas.

The municipalities with a high EPI value were found to have an average monthly rainfall ranging between 40.00 mm and 80.00 mm. These values are rather moderate in comparison to those recorded in the other municipalities and contribute, together with the soil characteristics (i.e., high value of g_i), to reach a high value of I_W indicator during the EPI calculation. Conversely, high rainfall that will theoretically raise the EPI value would not produce high yields of *OFI* within the considered territorial areas, since they are characterised by sandy loam or loamy sand soils and therefore low I_w values. As regard soils with a high clay content, that provides low values of water absorption, these do not contribute for reaching optimal EPI values. In this regard, it has been found that soil texture and rainfall are the main factors affecting the productivity of *OFI* (Guevara & Estevez, 2001). In a previous study (Leanza et al., 2022), also the maximum temperature and the altitude were relevant factors for the estimation of OFI probability of presence.

Within the most suitable municipalities, the monthly average values of the minimum temperatures were the highest, whereas the average values of the maximum temperatures were found similar to those ones registered for the other municipalities of the province. This latter result, found for the maximum temperatures, applies also for the monthly average value of the PAR that, in the most suitable municipalities, was recorded as being equal to 16.80 mol m^{-2} day^{-1}, which is a value close to those registered in the other municipalities of the province. Therefore, it is possible to highlight that the minimum average temperature affects the carbon absorption, unlike the maximum average temperature and the PAR.

By analysing other research studies carried out in Sicily (Comparetti et al., 2017), it was observed that this region could be highly exploited for agro-energy crops, especially for the cultivation of *OFI*, in marginal areas currently not dedicated to cultivation. Marginal are considered those areas where agricultural utilisation has lowered due to various issues, such as population decrease, reduction of agricultural employment, reduced services, and degraded areas. In detail, in these areas cultivation can reach about 600,000 hectares (ISTAT, 2011), at an altitude lower than 700 m a.s.l., with a temperature that rarely drops below 0°C, and a slope ranging between 5% and 35% (Comparetti et al., 2017). These results are in line with those acquired in a previous research (Leanza et al., 2022) where good potential for OFI presence was found in hilly territories, having an altitude ranging from approximately 200 m to 600 m.

By considering the computed values of the EPI, it is possible to evaluate the productivity of potential biomass and, therefore, a better estimation of the amount of biogas potential production.

In Figure 4, the average value of the EPI provides an estimation of the index per m^2 of surface area in each municipality.

Figure 3 – EPI yearly value distribution within the whole province of Catania.

Figure 4 – EPI yearly value distribution within the municipalities of Catania province.

5.2 Potential biogas and electricity production within the study area

The potential biomass production was computed per hectare for each municipality and its distribution was reported in Figure 5. The municipality with the lowest production of biomass was Motta Sant'Anastasia (3.86 $t \ yr^{-1} ha^{-1}$) followed by the municipalities of Misterbianco, Paternò, Catania, Belpasso, and Camporotondo Etneo, which are mostly located within the inner areas of the province (i.e., Catania plain). Low values of biomass production per hectare were also found in those municipalities situated on the slopes of Etna volcano. In detail, territorial areas located in the southern area of the volcano resulted less suitable than those located in the northern area.

The outcomes of the analyses proved that the soils located close to the Caltagirone municipality were found as the most suitable ones. In detail, the municipality of Raddusa registered the highest biomass production per hectare, equal to 4.50 $t\ yr^{-1}ha^{-1}$, followed by the municipalities of Mirabella Imbaccari, San Michele di Ganzaria, Grammichele, San Cono, and Mineo. With regard to the municipalities belonging to the Ionian coast, Giarre, Calatabiano, Fiumefreddo, Riposto and Mascali resulted the most suitable ones.

In Figure 6, the distribution of the potential biogas produced was reported for the province of Catania. It was computed by taking into account and combining the EPI values and data from the literature on the potential biomass production and its capacity to produce biogas. Municipalities were classified by using the method that adopts the data division into predefined groups, which are established prior to data classification. This classification method was used for showing both the biogas potential biogas and the electricity distribution at territorial level (Figure 6 and Figure 7). In detail, Figure 6 shows that the Caltagirone municipality represents the best territorial area for an excellent potential biogas production, followed by the municipalities of Ramacca, Mineo, Randazzo, and Bronte.

Figure 5 - Distribution of the potential biomass production computed per hectare.

Figure 6 – Distribution of potential biogas production in the municipalities of Catania province.

Figure 7 – Distribution of potential electricity production per year and hectare.

According to the last step of the methodology reported in this study, the potential biogas production and electricity production per hectare were evaluated to be 1240.99 $Nm^3\ yr^{-1}ha^{-1}$ and 1551.24 $kWh\ yr^{-1}$, respectively, based on a computed average biomass production from *OFI* equal to 4.14 $t\ \ yr^{-1}ha^{-1}$ (Figure 6 and Figure 7)

These results are in line with those obtained by Comparetti et al., (2017). In detail, in their research study, a biomass production equal to 2500 (10^3t), biogas production of 87,500 (10^3m^3), biomethane production of about 49,000 (10^3 m^3), electricity production of 9583 (MWh), and thermal energy production of 10.062 (MWh) were computed for the province of Catania. Therefore, the results reported by Comparetti et al., (2017) applied to an area of 600,000 ha, as in this study, would produce an estimation of the average biomass production from *OFI* equal to 4.17 $t\ \ yr^{-1}ha^{-1}$, close to that obtained in this study, thus confirming the suitability of the methodology.

6 Conclusions

In this study, the objectives aimed at defining the potential biomass production of OFI, its theoretical potential production of biogas, and therefore the potential electricity production were achieved by applying tailored indices, based on local values of climate variables and geospatial analyses. The use of GIS software allowed the visualisation at the territorial level of bioclimatic data recorded by 23 selected weather stations within the study area, during a 10-year time interval, the elaboration of the acquired data by spatial analysis tools, and the computation of the EPI. In addition, the results achieved from GIS analyses, allowed the identification of the most suitable territorial areas for producing biogas from *OFI*, and an estimation of electricity production per year and per hectare. Based on the outcomes, the combination of the methodology and tools, applied at the territorial level, allowed increase of knowledge on the use of the *OFI* biomass residues for a sustainable production of both electricity and biogas in the Mediterranean area. Further studies could be focused on coupling the results of potential biomass production with geostatistical analyses of species presence based on various predictors.

Author contributions

Paola Maria Leanza: Methodology, Software, Validation. **Francesca Valenti:** Data curation, Writing- Original draft preparation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing. **Provvidenza Rita D'Urso**: Writing- Reviewing and Editing. **Claudia Arcidiacono:** Conceptualization, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Supervision.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The research study was carried out within the project: '*Piano incentivi per la ricerca di Ateneo 2020-2022* – 'Engineering solutions for sustainable development of agricultural buildings and land' (ID: 5A722192152) coordinated by Professor Claudia Arcidiacono; and it is in line with the project '*PON "RICERCA E INNOVAZIONE" 2014 – 2020*, "*Miglioramento delle produzioni agroalimentari mediterranee in condizioni di carenza di risorse idriche* – WATER4AGRIFOOD", CUP: B64I20000160005'. Authors are grateful to the Sicilian Agro-meteorological Information Service (SIAS) for providing climatic data.

References

1. Acevedo, E., Badilla, I., & Nobel, P.S. (1983). Water relations, diurnal acidity changes, and productivity of a cultivated cactus, Opuntia ficus-indica. *Plant Physiology,* 72(3), 775-780.

2. Aosaar, J., Varik, M., & Uri, V. (2012). Biomass production potential of grey alder (Alnus incana (L.) Moench.) in Scandinavia and Eastern Europe: a review. *Biomass Bioenergy*, 45, 11-26.

3. Akpinar, N., Talay, I., & Gun, S. (2004). Priority setting in agricultural land-use types for sustainable development. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 20(3), 136-147.

4. Ba, B. H., Prins, C., & Prodhon, C. (2016). Models for optimization and performance evaluation of biomass supply chains: An operations research perspective. *Renewable Energy*, 87, 977-989.

5. Bambara, L. D. F., Sawadogo, M., Roy, D., Blin, J., Anciaux, D., & Ouiminga. S.K. (2019). Wild and cultivated biomass supply chain for biofuel production. A comparative study in West Africa. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 53, 1-14.

6. Barbosa-Póvoa, A. P., da Silva, C., & Carvalho, A. (2017). Opportunities and challenges in sustainable supply chain: An operations research perspective. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 268 (2), 399-431.

7. Carbone, S., Branca, S., & Lentini, S. (2009). *Note illustrative della carta geologica d'Italia alla scala 1:50000, Foglio 634 Catania.* Università degli Studi di Catania, Dipartimento di Scienze Geologiche Stampa S.EL.CA.s.r.l., Firenze.

8. Cartabellotta, D., Drago, A., Lo Bianco, B., & Lombardo, M. (1998). Climatologia della Sicilia. Regione Siciliana, Assessorato Agricoltura e Foreste, Palermo.

9. Comparetti, A., Febo, P., Greco, C., Mammano, M.M., & Orlando, S. (2017). Potential production of biogas from prinkly pear (oputia ficus- indica l.) in sicilian uncultivated areas, *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 58, 559-564.

10. Dale, B.E., Sibilla, F., Fabbri, C., Pezzaglia, M., Pecorino, B., Veggia, E., Baronchelli, A., Gattoni, P., & Bozzetto, S. (2016). An innovative new system is commercialized in Italy. *Biofuels Bioprod Biorefin*, 10, 341-345.

11. de Cortázar, V. G., & Nobel P. S. (1992). Biomass and Fruit Production for the Prickly Pear Cactus, Opuntia ficus-indica. *J. AMER. Soc. HORT. SCI.,* 117(4), 558-562.
12. de Cortázar, V. G., & Varnero, M.T. (1999). *Producción de energía*. In G. Barbera, P. Inglese & E. Pimienta, eds. Agroecología, cultivo y usos del nopal. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper, 132, 194-200, Rome
13. de Cortázar, V.G., & Nobel, P.S. (1986). Modeling of PAR Interception and Productivity of a Prickly Pear Cactus, Opuntia ficus-indica L., at Various Spacings1. *Agron. J.*, 78: 80-85.
14. de Cortázar, V.G., & Nobel, P.S. (1990). Worldwide environmental productivity indices and yield predictions for a cam plant, Opuntia ficus-indica, including effects of doubled CO2 levels. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 49 (4), 261-279.
15. Erre, P., Chessa, I., Nieddu, G., & Jones, P.G. (2009). Diversity and spatial distribution of Opuntia spp. in the Mediterranean Basin. *Journal of Arid Environments* 73:1058-1066.
16. ISTAT - National Institute of Statistics, 6th General Census of Agriculture, Sicilian Region, Rome, Italy (2011). Available at: http://dati-censimentoagricoltura.istat.it/Index.aspx?lang=it, accessed on October 2016.
17. FAO/IIASA/ISRIC/ISSCAS/JRC, Harmonized World Soil Database (version 1.2). FAO, Rome, Italy and IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria (2012).
18. Feyisa, T., Tolera, A., Nurfeta, A., Balehegn, M., Yigrem, S., Bedaso, M., Boneya, M., & Adesogan, A. (2022). Assessment of fodder resources in Ethiopia: Biomass production and nutritional value. *Agronomy Journal,* 114, 8-25
19. Fiorenzo, F., Mancino, G., Borghetti, M., & Ferrara, A. (2008). Metodi per l'interpolazione delle precipitazioni e delle temperature mensili della Basilicata. *Forest@,* 5, 337-350.
20. Ghaderi, H., Pishvaee, M. S., & Moini. A. (2016). Biomass supply chain network design: an optimization-oriented review and analysis. *Industrial Crops and Products,* 94, 972-1000.

21. P. Ghosh, & S. P. Kumpatla, "GIS Applications in Agriculture", in Geographic Information System. London, United Kingdom: IntechOpen, 2022. Available: https://www.intechopen.com/online-first/81685 doi: 10.5772/intechopen.104786
22. Guevara, C. J., & Estevez, R.O. (2001). Opuntia spp. For fodder and forage production in argentina: experiences and prospects in Cactus (Opuntia spp.) as forage. Produced within the framework of the FAO International Technical Cooperation Network on Cactus Pear.
23. Jigar, E., Sulaiman, H., Asfaw, A., & Bairu, A. (2011). A. Study on renewable biogas energy production from cladodes of Opuntia ficus indica. *ISABB Journal of Food and Agriculture Science,* 1(3), 44-48.
24. Leanza, P.M., Valenti, F., D'Urso, P.R., & Arcidiacono, C. (2022). A combined MaxEnt and GIS-based methodology to estimate cactus pear biomass distribution: application to an area of southern Italy. *Biofuels, Bioproducts & Biorefining*, 16, 54-67.
25. Lee, M., Steiman, M., & St. Angelo, S. (2021). Biogas digestate as a renewable fertilizer: Effects of digestate application on crop growth and nutrient composition. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems,* 36(2), 173-181.
26. Mason, P. M., Glover K., Smith, J. A. C., Willis, K. J., Woods, J., & Thompson, I. P. (2015). The potential of CAM crops as a globally significant bioenergy resource: moving from ‘fuel or food’ to ‘fuel and more food’. *Energy Energy & Environmental Science*, 8, 2320-2329.
27. Michel, J, Weiske, A., & Möller, K. (2010). The effect of biogas digestion on the environmental impact and energy balances in organic cropping systems using the life-cycle assessment methodology. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 25, 204-218.
28. Nobel, P. S., & Hartsock, T.L. (1983). Relationships between Photosynthetically Active Radiation, Nocturnal Acid Accumulation, and CO_2 Uptake for a Crassulacean Acid Metabolism Plant, Opuntia ficus-indica. *Plant Physiol,* 71(7), 1-75.

29. Nobel, P. S., & Meyer, S.E. (1985). Field productivity of a CAM plant, Agave salmiana, estimated using daily acidity changes under various environmental conditions. *Physiologia. Plantarum*, 65, 397-404.

30. Nobel, P. S., & Quero, E. (1986). Environmental productivity indices for a Chihuahuan Desert CAM plant: Agave Lechuguilla. *Ecology,* 67, 1-11.

31. Nobel, P. S., & Valenzuela, A.G. (1987), Environmental responses of the CAM plant, Agave Tequilana. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 39, 319-334.

32. Nobel, P. S., Russell, C. E., Felker, P., Medina, J. G., & Acuña, E. (1987). Nutrient Relations and Productivity of Prickly Pear Cacti. *Agron. J.,* 79, 550-555

33. Nobel, P. S. (1988). *Environmental Biology of Agaves and Cacti*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

34. Nobel, P. S. (1989). Productivity of desert succulents. *Excelsa*, 14, 21-28.

35. Nobel, P. S., & de Cortázar, V. G. (1991). Growth and Predicted Productivity of Opuntia ficus-indica for Current and Elevated Carbon Dioxide. *Agron. J.,* 83, 224-230.

36. Nobel, P. S., & Israel, A. A. (1994). Cladode development, environmental responses of CO2 uptake, and productivity for Opuntia ficus-indica under elevated CO2. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 45(3), 295-303.

37. Obach, J. E., & Lemus, M. P. (2006). *Bio energy generation using opuntia ficus indica in arid and semi-arid zones of developing countries*. In: Proceedings of Venice, Biomass and Waste to Energy Simposium, Venice.

38. Ortiz-Laurel, H., Rössel-Kipping, D., & Kanswohl Norbert, N. (2014). *Energy production balance for biogas generation from cactus prickly in a staged biorefinery*. International conference of agricultural Engineering, Zurich.

39. Owen, A. N., & Griffiths, H. (2014). Marginal land bioethanol yield potential of four crassulacean acid metabolism candidates (Agave fourcroydes, Agave salmiana, Agave tequilana and Opuntia ficus-indica) in Australia. *GCB Bioenergy,* 6, 687-703.

40. Owen, A. N., Fahy, K. F., & Griffiths, H. (2016). Crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) offers sustainable bioenergy production and resilience to climate change. *GCB Bioenergy*, 8, 737-749.

41. Pompermayer, R., & Paula Junior, D.R. (2000). Estimativa do potencial brasileiro de produção de biogás através da biodigestão da vinhaça e comparação com outros energéticos. In Proceedings of the 3. Encontro de Energia no Meio Rural, Campinas (SP, Brazil).

42. Ramos-Suárez, J. L., & Martínez, N. C. A. (2014). Optimization of the digestion process of Scenedesmus sp. And Opuntia maxima for biogas production. *Energy Conversion and Management,* 88, 1263-1270.

43. Rosato, M.A., 2014, Il fico d'India: una biomassa trascurata. AgroNotizie - Notizie agricoltura - tecnica, economia e innovazione . http://agronotizie.imagelinenetwork.com/bio-energie-rinnovabili/2014/02/13/ilfico-drsquoindia-una-biomassa-trascurata/36494.

44. Santos, N. T., Dutra, E. D. do Prado, A. G., Leite, F. C. B., de Souza, R. D. F. R., dos Santos, D. C., Moraes de Abreu, C. A.M., Simões, D. A., de Morais, M. A. Jr., & Menezes, R. S. C. (2016). Potential for biofuels from the biomass of prickly pear cladodes: Challenges for bioethanol and biogas production in dry areas. Biomass and Bioenergy, 85, 215-222.

45. Saxton, K.E., Rawls, W.J., Romberger, J.S., & Papendisk, R.I. (1986). Estimating generalized soil-water characteristics from texture. *Soil Science Society America Journal,* 50, 1031-1036.

46. Selvaggi, R., Valenti, F., Pecorino, B., & Porto, S. M. C. (2021). Assessment of tomato peels suitable for producing biomethane within the context of circular economy: A gis-based model analysis. *Sustainability*, 13 (10), 5559.
47. Selvaggi, R., & Valenti, F. (2021). Assessment of fruit and vegetable residues suitable for renewable energy production: GIS-based model for developing new frontiers within the context of circular economy. *Applied System Innovation,* 4 (1), 1-15.
48. Valenti, F., Porto, S.M.C., Chinnici, G., Cascone, G., & Arcidiacono, C. (2016). A GIS-based model to estimate citrus pulp availability for biogas production: an application to a region of the Mediterranean Basin. *Biofuels, Bioproducts & Biorefining*, 10(6), 710-727
49. Valenti, F., Porto, S.M.C., Chinnici, G., Cascone, G., & Arcidiacono, C. (2017). Quantification of olive pomace availability for biogas production by using a GIS-based model. *Biofuels, Bioproducts & Biorefining,* 11(5), 784-797.
50. Valenti, F., Porto, S.M.C., Selvaggi, R., & Pecorino, B. (2018a). Evaluation of biomethane potential from by-products and agricultural residues co-digestion in southern Italy. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 223, 834-840.
51. Valenti, F., Porto, S.M.C., Dale, B.E., & Liao, W. (2018b). Spatial analysis of feedstock supply and logistics to establish regional biogas power generation: A case study in the region of Sicily, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 97, 50-63.
52. Valenti, F., Zhong, Y., Sun, M., Porto, S.M.C., Toscano, A., Dale, B.E., Sibilla, F., & Liao, W. (2018c). Anaerobic co-digestion of multiple agricultural residues to enhance biogas production in southern Italy. *Waste Management,* 78, 151-157
53. Valenti, F., & Porto, S.M.C. Net electricity and heat generated by reusing Mediterranean agro-industrial by-products. *Energies,* 12 (3), 470.

54. Valenti, F., Porto, S.M.C., Selvaggi, R., & Pecorino, B. (2020). Co-digestion of by-products and agricultural residues: A bioeconomy perspective for a Mediterranean feedstock mixture. *Science of the Total Environment*, 700, 134440.

55. Varnero, M. T., & de Cortázar, V. G. (2013). *Production of bioenergy and fertilizers from cactus cladodes* in Chapter 8 Agro-industrial utilization of cactus pear. Food and Agriculture Organization of The United Nations, Rome.

56. Venturella, G. (2004). Climatic and pedological features of Sicily. *BOCCONEA* 17, 47-53.

57. Weiss, A., & Norman, J.M. (1985). Partitioning solar radiation into direct and diffuse, visible and near-infrared components. *Agricultural and Forest meteorology*, 34(2-3), 205-213.

58. Yang, L., Lu, M., Carl, S., Mayer, J. A., Cushman, J. C., Tian, E., & Lin, H. (2015). Biomass characterization of Agave and Opuntia as potential biofuel feedstocks, *Biomass and Bioenergy,* 76, 43-53.

Figure legends

Figure 3 – Localisation of weather stations within the study area.

Figure 4 – Soil type distribution based on HWSD data. a) Distribution at regional level within Sicily region. b) Distribution at provincial level within the study area (i.e., Catania province).

Figure 3 – EPI yearly value distribution within the whole province of Catania.

Figure 4 – EPI yearly value distribution within the municipalities of Catania province.

Figure 5 - Distribution of the potential biomass production computed per hectare.

Figure 6 – Distribution of potential biogas production in the municipalities of Catania province.

Figure 7 – Distribution of potential electricity production per year and hectare.

Tables

Table 1. Main data and parameters from experimental analyses carried out on OFI.

Reference	**Soil texture**	**Site**	**Main annual rainfall** [mm yr^{-1}]	**Raw matter** [t ha^{-1} yr^{-1}]	**Dry matter** [t ha^{-1} yr^{-1}]	**Mean rainfall-use efficiency factor (RUE)** [kg ha^{-1} yr^{-1} mm^{-1}]	**BMP** [m^3 t^{-1} DM $^{-1}$]	**Biogas production** [m^3 ha^{-1} yr^{-1}]	**CH_4 per cubic meter of biogas [%]**	**Electricity** [kWh m^{-3}]	**Electrical energy production** [kWh ha^{-1} yr^{-1}]
Santos et al. (2016)	Semi-arid	Alagoas, Pernambuco and Paraíba, Brazil	450*	89.7	7.9	18**	517	3717	60	1,25	4646
	Sandy	Argentina	300	N.A.	2.1 - 2.4	7.4	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Guevara & Estevez (2001)	Arid and sandy	Argentina	200 - 400	N.A.	3 - 9	15 - 22.5	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
	Silty sand	Argentina	200	N.A.	0.75	3.5	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Obach & Lemus (2006) cited by Santos et al. (2016)	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	300	N.A.	58[a]	N.A.	52	1,5	23,400
Gasston et al. (2013) cited by Mason et al. (2015)	Sandy	Mutumayu, Kenya	500 - 600	120***	40	80 - 67 **	325	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	53,200
De Cortázar &Nobel (1990)	Arid and semi-arid	Western South America	331	N.A.	32	97 **	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Comparetti et al. (2017)	Semi-arid	Sicily	300	N.A.	29**	97 **	300	770**	56**	0,109**	112**
Rosato (2014)	Semi-arid	Sicily	300	150**	12	40 **	350	3600**	60	N.A.	N.A.

* Data acquired from https://it.climate-data.org/

** Computed values from data

*** Computed average values for different planting densities

[a] Unit of measure: m^3 t^{-1} SV $^{-1}$

Table 2 – WGS84 geographical coordinates of the weather stations and related provinces

Meteorological station	Longitude	Latitude	Provinces of Sicily
Linguaglossa_Etna volcano	15.034649	37.790359	Catania
Ramacca	14.63355	37.48101	Catania
Caltagirone	14.57481	37.230025	Catania
Randazzo	14.97775	37.88973	Catania
Pedara	15.048439	37.642643	Catania
Paternò	14.855254	37.514767	Catania
Mineo	14.725331	37.319229	Catania
Mazzarrone	14.559542	37.096146	Catania
Maletto	14.872486	37.826202	Catania
Linguaglossa	15.130906	37.824482	Catania
Riposto	15.198342	37.685127	Catania
Catania	15.067711	37.441788	Catania
Adrano	14.833333	37.666667	Catania
Bronte	14.786194	37.753529	Catania
Gela	14.231500	37.081400	Caltanissetta
Aidone	14.446100	37.416500	Enna
Cesarò	14.713900	37.845800	Messina
Lentini	15.000400	37.286500	Syracuse
Montalbano Elicona	15.013500	38.025700	Messina
Agira	14.522400	37.657200	Enna
Comiso	14.611000	36.952400	Ragusa
Augusta	15.220500	37.237600	Syracuse
Antillo	14.245600	37.978300	Messina


Calabria
Montalbano Elicona
Antillo
Randazzo
Cesar□
Maletto
Linguaglossa
Linguaglossa - Etna Nord
Bronte
Riposto
Agira
Adrano
Pedara
Siciliy
Patern□
Ramacca - Giumarra
Catania
Aidone
Mineo
Lentini
Augusta
Caltagirone
Mazzarrone
Gela
Comiso
Study area
Province of Catania
Italian regions
Calabria
Sicily
0 12,5 25 50 75 100
Kilometers
N


Soil type
Andosols
Cambisols
Fluvisols
Leptosols
Luvisols
Regosols
Urban, mining, etc.
Vertisols
Water Bodies
0 25 50 100 150 200
Kilometers
N

a)


0 7.500 15.000 30.000 45.000 60.000
Meters
N

b)


EPI values
0.475 - 0.488
0.488 - 0.499
0.499 - 0.509
0.509 - 0.516
0.516 - 0.524
0.524 - 0.531
0.531 - 0.540
0.540 - 0.551
0.551 - 0.570
N
0 3 6 12 18 24
Kilometers


Maniace
Randazzo
Castiglione
Calatabiano
Linguaglossa
Piedimonte Etneo
Fiumefreddo
Bronte
Maletto
Sant'Alfio
Mascali
Milo
Giarre
Randazzo
Adrano
Zafferana Etnea
Riposto
Santa Venerina
Biancavilla
Ragalna
Trecastagni
Pedara
Nicolosi
Acireale
Santa Maria di Licodia
Aci S. Antonio
Viagrande
Tremestieri Etneo
Mascalucia
Aci Catena
S. Giovanni La Punta
Valverde
S. Pietro Clarenza
Aci Castello
Belpasso
Camporotondo Etneo
S. Gregorio di Catania
Paternò
Tremestieri Etneo
Castel di Iudica
Raddusa
Misterbianco
Motta S. Anastasia
Ramacca
Catania
Palagonia
N
Mirabella Imbaccari
S. Cono
S. Michele di Ganzaria
Mineo
Scordia
Militello in Val di Catania
Grammichele
EPI values
Caltagirone
Vizzini
0.484 - 0.492
0.496 - 0.502
0.503 - 0.508
0.510 - 0.514
0.515 - 0.517
0.518 - 0.519
Licodia Eubea
0.521 - 0.525
0.527 - 0.534
Mazzarrone
0.536 - 0.543
0.553 - 0.563
0 3 6 12 18 24
Kilometers


Maniace
Randazzo
Castiglione
Calatabiano
Bronte
Maletto
Linguaglossa
Fiumefreddo
Sant'Alfio
Mascali
Milo
Giarre
Riposto
Zafferana Etnea
Santa Venerina
Adrano
Biancavilla
Ragalna
Nicolosi
Trecastagni
Pedara
Acireale
Aci S. Antonio
Santa Maria di Licodia
Viagrande
Aci Bonaccorsi
Mascalucia
Valverde
Aci Catena
S. Pietro Clarenza
S. Giovanni La Punta
Gravina
Aci Castello
Camporotondo Etneo
Paternò
Belpasso
Misterbianco
Motta S. Anastasia
Catania
Raddusa
Castel di Iudica
Ramacca
Palagonia
Mirabella Imbaccari
Mineo
Scordia
S. Cono
S. Michele di Ganzaria
Militello in Val di Catania
Grammichele
Caltagirone
Vizzini
Licodia Eubea
Mazzarrone
Biomass production [t y^-1 ha^-1]
3.86 - 3.97
3.97 - 4.08
4.08 - 4.20
4.20 - 4.35
4.35 - 4.50



Maniace
Randazzo
Castiglione
Calatabiano
Maletto
Linguaglossa
Piedimonte Etneo
Fiumefreddo
Bronte
Sant'Alfio
Mascali
Milo
Giarre
Randazzo
Zafferana Etnea
Riposto
Adrano
Santa Venerina
Biancavilla
Ragalna
Trecastagni
Pedara
Nicolosi
Acireale
Santa Maria di Licodia
Aci S. Antonio
Viagrande
Tremestieri Etneo
Mascalucia
Aci Catena
S. Giovanni La Punta
Valverde
S. Pietro Clarenza
Aci Castello
Belpasso
Camporotondo Etneo
S. Gregorio di Catania
Tremestieri Etneo
Paternò
Castel di Iudica
Raddusa
Misterbianco
Motta S. Anastasia
Ramacca
Catania
Palagonia
Mirabella Imbaccari
Scordia
S. Cono
Mineo
Militello in Val di Catania
S. Michele di Ganzaria
Grammichele
Caltagirone
Vizzini
Licodia Eubea
Mazzarrone
N
Biogas production [Nm3 y-1ha-1]
200,000 - 5,000,000
5,000,000 - 10,000,000
10,000,000 - 15,000,000
15,000,000 - 20,000,000
20,000,000 - 25,000,000
25,000,000 - 35,000,000
35,000,000 - 40,000,000
40,000,000 - 45,000,000
45,000,000 - 50,000,000
50,000,000 - 300,000,000
0 3 6 12 18 24
Kilometers



209x296mm (300 x 300 DPI)