



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE
DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Effect of fasting prior to electroejaculation on behavioral responses and reproductive parameters in young Simmental bulls

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Romano J.E., Mari G., Stradaoli G., Mislei B. (2021). Effect of fasting prior to electroejaculation on behavioral responses and reproductive parameters in young Simmental bulls. *THERIOGENOLOGY*, 173, 19-22 [10.1016/j.theriogenology.2021.05.019].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/904898> since: 2024-04-19

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2021.05.019>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of: Romano JE, Mari G, Stradaioli G, Mislei B. Effect of fasting prior to electroejaculation on behavioral responses and reproductive parameters in young Simmental bulls. *Theriogenology*. 2021 Oct 1;173:19-22. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2021.05.019. Epub 2021 May 26. PMID: 34144273.

The final published version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2021.05.019>

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1
2
3
4 1 Effect of fasting prior to electroejaculation on behavioral responses
5
6
7 2 and reproductive parameters in young Simmental bulls
8
9
10 3
11
12 4
13
14

15 5 Juan E. Romano^{1a}, Gaetano Mari², Giuseppe Stradaoli³, Beatrice Mislei⁴
16
17
18 6

19
20 7 ¹Large Animal Clinical Sciences. College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences
21
22
23 8 Texas A&M University. College Station, TX 77843-4475. USA
24
25

26 9 ²Dipartimento di Scienze Mediche Veterinarie, Università di Bologna - 40064 Ozzano
27
28 10 dell'Emilia. Bologna - Italy
29

30 11 ³Dipartimento di Scienze Agroalimentari, Ambientali e Animali, Università di Udine, 33100
31
32 12 Udine, Italy
33
34

35 13 ⁴AUB-INFA, National Institute of Artificial Insemination, Università di Bologna – 40057
36
37 14 Cadriano, Italy
38
39
40 15

41
42 16 ^aCorrespond author: jromano@cvm.tamu.edu
43
44
45 17

46
47
48 18 Abstract
49

50 19 The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effect of 24 hours fasting prior to
51
52 20 semen collection by electroejaculation on behavioral responses, volume of rectal fecal content,
53
54 21 bladder size, penis protrusion, erection, ejaculation stimuli, and ejaculate parameters in young
55
56 22 Simmental bulls. Twenty-two Simmental beef bulls with an age of 13.2 ± 1.2 months (mean \pm
57
58 23 SD) were used in a prospective randomized blinded controlled cross-over design with two
59
60 24 corrals fasted for 24 hours (n=9; FAS group) and the other three corrals were non-fasted (n= 13;
61
62
63
64
65

CON group). The bulls were maintained under confined conditions without access to pasture. One week later the pen treatments were inverted and semen collected again by the same conditions and team. The behavioral responses, volume of fecal rectal content, bladder size to electroejaculation, as well as number of stimuli required to obtain penis protrusion, erection, and ejaculation were measured. The following ejaculate parameters were measured: volume, concentration, spermatozoa motility, and morphology. The behavioral response of the bulls to electroejaculation was not different between CON group and FAS group (3.2 ± 0.5 and 3.0 ± 0.7 , respectively; $P=0.36$). Bladder size was significantly reduced in the FAS group compared with CON group (2.3 ± 0.8 vs. 2.8 ± 0.9 , respectively; $P=0.02$). The volume of feces in the rectum was not different between both groups (CON was 2.3 ± 1.7 and FAS was 3.0 ± 1.8 ; $P=0.23$). The FAS group resulted in a higher proportion of penis protrusion compared with CON group (100% versus 81.8%, $P=0.10$), erection (100% versus 81.8%; $P=0.10$), and ejaculation (100% versus 90.9%; $P=0.49$), respectively. The combined efficiency of penis protrusion, erection, and ejaculation (CE-PPEE) in FAS group was superior than CON group ($P=0.001$) for those parameters. The number of stimuli necessary for penis protrusion, erection, and ejaculation for the CON group was 13.5 ± 3.7 , 14.9 ± 3.7 , and 20.8 ± 5.8 and for FAS group was 15.0 ± 4.2 , 16.6 ± 4.2 , and 20.2 ± 8.1 , respectively. The number of stimuli for penis protrusion ($P=0.09$), erection ($P=0.08$), and ejaculation ($P=0.77$) were no different between groups. Ejaculate volume was 4.0 ± 2.6 ml and 4.1 ± 2.3 ml for CON and FAS groups, respectively ($P=0.90$). The motility was 1.4 ± 0.7 and 1.4 ± 0.8 for CON and FAS groups, respectively ($P=0.72$). The concentration of spermatozoa was 336.2 ± 273.1 million and 421.1 ± 300.6 million for CON and FAS groups, respectively ($P=0.31$). The percentage of normal spermatozoa was 50.9 ± 18.8 and 45.6 ± 14.3 for CON and FAS groups, respectively ($P=0.16$). It was concluded that fasting for 24 hours prior semen collection by electroejaculation reduced the bladder size and increased the proportion of bulls with penis protrusion, erection and ejaculation without any difference detected in behavioral responses, volume of rectal fecal content, and ejaculate parameters.

Keywords: Bulls, electroejaculation, fasting, behavioral responses, reproductive parameters.

54

55 Introduction

56

57 Electroejaculation is a widely used technique of semen collection in beef bulls for
58 breeding soundness examinations, for non-trained bulls in artificial vagina, and for the diagnosis
59 and treatment of penile and preputial diseases [1-3]. It is also used in artificial insemination (AI)
60 centers to collect semen from bulls unwilling or unable to serve an artificial vagina because of
61 specific pathological conditions or psychological weaknesses [2]. The equipment required for
62 electroejaculation has advanced and improved noticeably since the first collections in guinea pigs
63 [4,5], then with the invention of bipolar electrode rectal probe for ruminants [6,7] to the present
64 equipment computerized and under automatic control [8]. When appropriately used to collect
65 semen from bulls, electroejaculation can produce consistently satisfactory results [1,2]. Carroll et
66 al. [1] reported in beef bulls submitted to breeding soundness examination by using
67 electroejaculation, satisfactory penile protrusion occurred in 95.9 %, with penile erection in 97.7
68 %, and ejaculation in 96.1 % of 5,397 evaluations performed. Multiple factors have been
69 associated with effective electroejaculation such as: individual variation, bred, restraint
70 conditions, equipment and probe used, skill and experience of the person in charge of semen
71 collection among others [2,9,10]. Wide variation in behavioral response were also detected
72 [8,11,12]. To the best of our knowledge, one aspect of electroejaculation procedure is that no
73 information was available on the effect of fasting prior to electroejaculation in bulls. Deprivation
74 of food and water is used in planned surgery in ruminants because it reduces heart rate and
75 contents in the digestive system, decreases gas production, and the risk of regurgitation, among
76 others [13-19]. In our ambulatory food animal practice or under clinic conditions working either
77 with *Bos Taurus* or *Bos indicus*, one recommendation prior to perform breeding soundness
78 examination in clinically healthy bulls was complete fasting between 12- and 24-hours. Overall,
79 using this prerequisite satisfactory result were obtained. Nevertheless, under these non-controlled
80 situations the true beneficial effect of fasting cannot be critically assessed. Therefore, if the
81 same bulls randomly assigned and each it is used as control and treatment, maintained under
82 similar environmental conditions, where semen is collected using the same equipment, and

1
2
3
4 83 people are blinded to the animal's treatments will produce more objective and reliable answers
5
6 84 about the real effect of fasting on reproductive and non-reproductive parameters.
7
8

9 85 The objective for the present study was to evaluate the effect of 24 hours fasting prior to
10 86 semen collection by electroejaculation on behavioral responses, volume of fecal rectal content,
11
12 87 bladder size, penis protrusion, erection, and ejaculation stimuli as well as ejaculate parameters in
13
14 88 young Simmental bulls.
15
16

17 89

19 90 2. Material and Methods

21 22 91 2.1. Animals

23
24
25 92 One week prior to the experiment, in fall season, 24 young Simmental bulls were
26
27 93 physically evaluated and submitted to breeding soundness examination. One bull was removed
28
29 94 from this pool due to a previous surgery for an umbilical hernia. A CBC and a urinalysis were
30
31 95 performed in all eligible bulls in order to detect potential subclinical pathological conditions.
32
33 96 Finally, all bulls were declared physically healthy and available for this project. All the bulls
34
35 97 were post-pubertal, with above the minimum requirements on scrotal circumference and motility
36
37 98 according to the Society for Theriogenology [19]. However, most of them presented a
38
39 99 spermogram characteristic of immature bulls [21-22].
40

41 100 All the bulls were maintained in different group corrals in a close barn. Each group corral
42
43 101 contained 4 to 6 bulls of the same age. The bulls received a ration of corn silage, mixed hay and
44
45 102 alfalfa with water ad libitum. In addition, each bull received 2.5 kg/day of pellets concentrate
46
47 103 once a day containing 14% of crude protein. The bulls age was 13.2 ± 1.2 (mean \pm SD; range; 12
48
49 104 to 15) and the weight was 523.8 ± 67.4 kg (409.0 to 630). The body condition score was $6.1 \pm$
50
51 105 0.6 (5.0 – 7.0; 23]. The scrotal circumference was 35.2 ± 2.8 cm (30 to 40). These bulls had
52
53 106 never been collected by electroejaculation.
54

54 107

56 57 108 2.2. Experimental design

58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 109 Each bull was confined in a cattle crush with only neck restraint without any limitations
5
6 110 in the side to side movement. No restraint belt was used under the abdomen of any of the bulls.
7
8 111 The bulls were used in a prospective randomized blinded controlled cross-over design in which 2
9
10 112 bull corral groups (n=9; treated group; FAS) were fasted for 24 hours and the other 3 bull corrals
11
12 113 groups (n=13; control group; CON) were not. The FAS group had no available food nor water 24
13
14 114 hours prior to semen collection. The evaluations were performed in the morning starting at 8:00
15
16 115 am. One week later the treatments were inverted and semen was collected again by the identical
17
18 116 team group using the same equipment and conditions. The semen collection team was aware of
19
20 117 the project but did not know to which treatment group the bull belongs at the time of
21
22 118 electroejaculation. All the information about the bull treatments was provided at the end of the
23
24 119 experiment.

25 120 The order of work was evaluation of volume rectal fecal content, bladder size
26
27 121 determination, behavior response to electroejaculation, protrusion, erection, and ejaculates
28
29 122 stimuli, and ejaculate parameters. The volume of rectal fecal content prior to semen collection
30
31 123 was recorded as: 1-No feces in the rectum; 2-One hand scoop of feces in the rectum;3-Two hand
32
33 124 scoop of feces in the rectum; 4-Three to five hand scoops of feces in the rectum; and 5-More
34
35 125 than 5 hand scoops of feces in the rectum. The bladder size score was evaluated by per rectum
36
37 126 palpation. The scored used was: 1-Small: Bladder completely contracted (example: immediately
38
39 127 after urination); 2-Medium: bladder of the hand size; 3-Large: bladder between one and two
40
41 128 hands size; and 4-Extra-large: bladder more than 2 hands size. The behavioral response during
42
43 129 the electroejaculation was score as: 1-Light, evidence of light/almost undetectable of muscle
44
45 130 contractions; 2-Mild, included slight uneasiness and muscle tremors; 3-Moderate: included
46
47 131 hunching of back and limb and neck extension; 4-Severe: included marked hunching of the back,
48
49 132 limb extension, neck straining, salivation and vocalization; 5-Dangerous, the signs of score 4
50
51 133 plus at this time, bulls which appeared to be in considerable distress or likely to lie down. Semen
52
53 134 was collected from each bull by electroejaculation by using an electroejaculator in automatic
54
55 135 mode using the same set-up for all the bulls (Pulsator V, Lane Manufacturing, Denver, CO,
56
57 136 USA) using a two-electrode rectal probe of 60 mm. The number of stimuli required for obtaining
58
59 137 penis protrusion, erection, and ejaculation was recorded from the screen of electroejaculator. In
60
61 138 addition, the combined efficiency of penis protrusion, erection, and ejaculation (CE-PPEE) was
62
63 139 calculated as the proportion of achievement of penis protrusion, erection and ejaculation from the
64
65

1
2
3
4 140 total collection for each treatment. Volume of ejaculate was measured in graduated tubes to 0.1
5
6 141 ml at the time of collection. Semen (25 μ l) was placed in one warm slide and covered by a
7
8 142 coverslip to assess progressive sperm motility. A board-certified Theriogenologist analyzed
9
10 143 percent of progressive sperm motility by evaluating multiple fields under light microscopy at
11
12 144 X400. Motility was scored as: 1- Very good: mass activity characterized by rapid swirling with
13
14 145 an individual motility $\geq 70\%$; 2- Good: mass activity characterized by slower swirling with an
15
16 146 individual motility between 50 and 69%; 3; Fair: mass activity characterized by generalized
17
18 147 oscillating and individual motility between 30 and 49%; and 4-Poor: mass activity with sporadic
19
20 148 oscillation and individual motility $\leq 29\%$ according to the criteria the Society for
21
22 149 Theriogenology [20]. Concentration of spermatozoa in the ejaculate was determined by using
23
24 150 NucleoCounter SP-100 previously validated for bull sperm concentration (24). Another aliquot
25
26 151 of neat semen (25 μ l) was diluted with buffer formalin (1.0 mL) and taken back to the lab for the
27
28 152 evaluation of sperm morphology. Sperm morphology was analyzed at higher power
29
30 153 magnification (X1000) by using contrast phase microscope and 200 hundred sperm cells were
31
32 154 evaluated for their morphology according to SFT criteria [20]. Bulls were monitored twice daily
33
34 155 for demeanor and appetite during the whole period of investigation and for the following week of
35
36 156 the last semen collection. Procedures used in this investigation were approved by Committee for
37
38 157 Animal Welfare, Bologna University (Prot. N. 0005783).

38 158 2.3. Statistical analysis.

39
40
41 159 The continuous variables were analyzed by “t” student test for paired samples. The
42
43 160 dichotomous outcomes were analyzed by McNemar test. A difference was considered significant
44
45 161 at $P \leq 0.05$. A software program was used [25].
46
47 162

48 49 50 163 Results

51
52 164
53
54
55 165 From the 23 bulls available, one bull presented pneumonia 4 days after the first semen collection.
56
57 166 This bull was treated and removed from the experiment. Therefore, 22 matches comparisons
58
59 167 were available for statistical analysis. The behavioral response of the bulls to electroejaculation
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 168 was not different between FAS and CON groups (3.2 ± 0.5 and 3.0 ± 0.7 , respectively; $P=0.36$).
5
6 169 The bladder size was smaller in the FAS group compared with the CON group (2.3 ± 0.8 vs. 2.8
7
8 170 ± 0.9 , respectively; $P=0.02$). The volume of feces in the rectum was not different between
9
10 171 groups; CON was 2.3 ± 1.7 and FAS 3.0 ± 1.8 ($P=0.23$). The FAS group resulted in a higher
11
12 172 proportion of penis protrusion compared with CON group (100% versus 81.8%, $P=0.10$),
13
14 173 erection (100% versus 81.8%; $P=0.10$), and ejaculation (100% versus 90.9%; $P=0.49$),
15
16 174 respectively. The combined efficiency of penis protrusion, erection, and ejaculation (CE-PPEE)
17
18 175 in FAS group was superior than CON group ($P=0.001$) for those parameters. The number of
19
20 176 stimuli needed for penis protrusion, erection, and ejaculation for the CON group was 13.5 ± 3.7 ,
21
22 177 14.9 ± 3.7 , and 20.8 ± 5.8 and for the FAS group was 15.0 ± 4.2 , 16.6 ± 4.2 , and 20.2 ± 8.1 ,
23
24 178 respectively. The number of stimuli for penis protrusion ($P=0.09$), erection ($P=0.08$), and
25
26 179 ejaculation ($P=0.77$) were no different between groups Ejaculate volume was 4.0 ± 2.6 ml and
27
28 180 4.1 ± 2.3 ml for CON and FAS groups, respectively ($P=0.90$). The motility was 1.4 ± 0.7 and 1.4
29
30 181 ± 0.8 for CON and FAS groups, respectively ($P=0.72$). The concentration of spermatozoa was
31
32 182 336.2 ± 273.1 million and 421.1 ± 300.6 million for CON and FAS groups, respectively
33
34 183 ($P=0.31$). The percentage of normal spermatozoa was 50.9 ± 18.8 and 45.6 ± 14.3 for CON and
35
36 184 FAS groups, respectively ($P=0.16$). No changes in behavior or appetite during the experimental
37
38 185 period and for the following week of the last semen collection was identified.
39
40 186

41 187 Discussion

42 188
43
44
45 189 In the present investigation, no adverse effects were noted during and after semen
46
47 190 collection by electroejaculation in any of the bulls. All bulls remained in a healthy condition
48
49 191 during and after the experiment. The electroejaculation did not affect the wellbeing of the bulls.

50
51 192 The behavioral responses of the young bulls to electroejaculation can be considered
52
53 193 satisfactory based on the mean response was moderated (score 3) in both groups. No significant
54
55 194 differences were detected between fasting and non-fasting bulls. Nevertheless, the detailed
56
57 195 analysis of data showed that the same bulls when they were fasted all the scores were between 1,
58
59 196 2, 3 and 4 and in the non-fasting conditions the scores were all 3, 4, and 5. This suggests a

1
2
3
4 197 potential beneficial effect of fasting that was failed to be significant to the limited power of the
5
6 198 present study. Investigations including more animals to confirm or correct the present findings
7
8 199 will be required.
9

10
11 200 The effect of fasting produced a considerable reduction in bladder size. The decrease in
12
13 201 bladder volume has several potential positive effects for semen collection such as improved
14
15 202 contact between the rectal probe and the accessory sexual glands, and a decrease in the risk of
16
17 203 urospermia. A full bladder size may increase the chances of urine in the ejaculate during the
18
19 204 process of electroejaculation. In a previous study, it was showed that almost of 50% of the bulls'
20
21 205 electroejaculated presented partial retrograde ejaculation; therefore, part of the semen was sent
22
23 206 backward into the bladder rather forward to the terminal urethra [26]. Unfortunately, in this
24
25 207 above-mentioned study no information was provided if the bulls were fasted prior to
26
27 208 electrostimulation. Urospermia has a negative effect not only in the semen parameters but also
28
29 209 could affect the freezability of the semen sample [27,28]. This is an area that needs further
30
31 210 investigation.

32 211 No reduction in the rectal fecal content was observed by fasting for 24 hours. This
33
34 212 finding agrees with multiple independent studies which have shown the need of at least two days
35
36 213 of fasting in order to notice a reduction of feces in the rectum [13-15]. The effect of fasting has
37
38 214 been shown not only to reduce rumen and reticular content but also diminish the frequency and
39
40 215 amplitude of rumen contractions as decline the ruminal flora and pH as well [17] as well as
41
42 216 reduced the heart rate [19]. One day of feed and water deprivation in healthy animals stimulated
43
44 217 mobilization of body nutrients and breakdown of fat reserves, elicited neuroendocrine and acute-
45
46 218 phase protein responses, and resulted in loss of body weight, however, these effects were quickly
47
48 219 reversed after feeding [16-18].

49 220 No differences were noticed in the stimuli required to produce penis protrusion, erection,
50
51 221 and ejaculation between both groups. However, in the FAS group 100% of penis protrusion,
52
53 222 erection, and ejaculation while on the CON group 81.8% of penis protrusion and erection and
54
55 223 90.9% of ejaculation was obtained. The overall efficiency of PPEE for FAS group was higher
56
57 224 compared with CON group. The reason of this is unknown, however, the fasting showed a
58
59 225 positive effect in increasing the proportion of penis protrusion with erection and ejaculation.
60
61 226 Moreover, the proportion of penis protrusion, erection, and ejaculation agrees with previous
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 227 studies that obtained more than 90% of satisfactory responses using electroejaculators by manual
5
6 228 mode [1,10,11,29].
7

8
9 229 In the current study, no changes in any of the 4 ejaculate parameters that were evaluated:
10 230 volume, concentration, spermatozoa motility and morphology between groups were detected. In
11 231 regards to the last parameter, the percentage of normal sperm morphology was below from the
12 232 minimum required [70%] for the Society for Theriogenology. The main reason was that most of
13
14 233 the bulls were young; from the 22 bulls used in the present study, 10 were 12 months old, 9 were
15
16 234 14 months old, and only 3 were 15 months old. Even though, all the bulls were post-pubertal and
17
18 235 most of them presented a spermogram characteristic of immature bulls [21,22].
19
20
21

22 236 It is necessary to comment that the present investigation exhibited multiple weaknesses
23
24 237 including the limited number of bulls, only young, just one breed, and that they were maintained
25
26 238 in a confined system with a feeding management that only provided total mixed ration during all
27
28 239 the process. It is necessary to reinvestigate the effect of fasting in bulls using other breeds and
29
30 240 ages as well as in bulls maintained in a pastoral system.
31

32 241 From this investigation, it was concluded that fasting for 24 hours prior to semen
33
34 242 collection by electroejaculation reduced the bladder size and increased the proportion of bulls
35
36 243 with penis protrusion, erection and ejaculation without any difference detected in behavioral
37
38 244 responses, volume of rectal fecal content, and ejaculate parameters.
39

40 245 Acknowledgments

41 246
42
43
44 247 This research was supported by funds from the Dipartimento di Scienze Mediche
45
46 248 Veterinarie, Università di Bologna (Prot. N. 0005783). The present experiment was
47
48 249 performed in Azienda Agricola Sperimentale Dott. Francesco Ricchieri. Associazione
49
50 250 Nazionale Allevatori Bovini di Razza Pezzata Rossa Italiana. Comune di Fiume Veneto.
51
52 251 The authors express sincere gratitude for the director of the center Dr. Daniele Vicario
53
54 252 and also the collaboration of Fabio Fioretto, Matteo Franco, Marco Moretto, and Paolo
55
56 253 Pivetta for the assistance during the entire period of investigation. We also would like to
57
58 254 thank you, Ms. Guilia Cristoni, for her helpful assistance during this project.
59

59 255 Competing Interests

60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 256 All authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as
5
6
7 257 prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.
8

9 258

10
11
12 259 References
13

14 260

- 15
16
17 261 1- Carroll, E. J, Ball I. Scott JA. Breeding Soundness in Bulls-A summary of 10,940
18
19 262 Examinations. J Amer Vet Med Assoc 1963; 142:1105-11.
20
21 263 2- Ball L, Furman JW. Electroejaculation of the bull. Bov Pract 1972; 7:46-8.
22
23 264 3- Chenoweth PJ, McPherson FJ. Bull breeding soundness, semen evaluation and cattle
24
25 265 productivity. Anim Reprod Sci 2016; 169:32-6.
26
27 266 4- Battelli, F. Une Methode pour obtenir l' Emission Complete du Liquide des Vesicules
28
29 267 Seminales chez le Cobaye. Soc. de Physique et d' Hist. nat. de Geneve, Comp. Rend., 1922;
30 268 39: 73-4.
31
32 269 5- Moore CR, Gallagher TF. Seminal-vesicle and prostate function as testis-hormone indicator;
33
34 270 the electroejaculation test. Amer J Anat 1930:45-39-9.
35
36 271 6- Lapalud M, Cassou R. Nouveau proced de recolte du sperme para electrode bipolaire rectal
37
38 272 unique. Comp Rend Acad Agr France. 1945; 31:37-8.
39
40 273 7- Thibault C, Lapaud C, Ortavant R. L'Electro-ejaculation chez le taureau, techniques e
41
42 274 resultats. Comp Rend Acad Agr France. 1948:226:2006-8
43
44 275 8- Whitlock BK, Coffman EA, Coetzee JF, Daniel JA. Electroejaculation increased vocalization
45
46 276 and plasma concentrations of cortisol and progesterone, but not substance P, in beef bulls.
47
48 277 Theriogenology 2012; 78:737-46.
49
50 278 9- Chenoweth PJ, Osborn HG. Breed differences in the response of young beef bulls to
51
52 279 electroejaculation. Aust Vet J 1978;54:333-7.
53
54 280 10- Hill HJ, Scott FS, Homan N, Gassner FW. Electroejaculation in the bull. J Amer Vet Med
55
56 281 Assoc 1956; 128:375-80.
57
58 282 11- Falk AJ, Waldner CL, Cotter BS, Gudmundson J, Barth AD. Effects of epidural lidocaine
59
60 283 anesthesia on bulls during electroejaculation. Can Vet J 2001; 42:116-20.
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 284 12- Etson CJ, Waldner CJ, Barth AD. Evaluation of a segmented rectal probe and caudal epidural
5
6 285 anesthesia for electroejaculation of bulls. *Can Vet J* 2004; 45:235-40.
7
8 286 13- Blaxter KL, Wainman FW. The fasting metabolism of cattle. *Br J Nutr* 1966; 20:103-11.
9
10 287 14- Atterbury JT, Johnson HD. Effects of environmental temperature, controlled feeding and
11
12 288 fasting on rumen motility. *J Anim Sci* 1969; 29:734-7.
13
14 289 15- Galyean ML, Lee RW, Hubbert ME. Influence of fasting and transit on ruminal and blood
15
16 290 metabolites in beef steers. *J Anim Sci* 1981; 53:7-18.
17
18 291 16- Phillips WA, Juniewicz PE, VonTungeln DL. The effect of fasting, transit plus fasting, and
19
20 292 administration of adrenocorticotrophic hormone on the source and amount of weight lost by
21
22 293 feeder steers of different ages. *J Anim Sci* 1991; 69:2342-8.
23
24 294 17- Loerch SC, Fluharty FL. Physiological changes and digestive capabilities of newly received
25
26 295 feedlot cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1999; 77:1113-9.
27
28 296 18- Marques RS, Cooke RF, Francisco CL, Bohnert DW. Effects of twenty-four transport or
29
30 297 twenty-four-hour feed and water deprivation on physiologic and performance responses of
31
32 298 feeder cattle. *J Anim Sci* 2012; 90:5040-6.
33
34 299 19- Clabough DL, Swanson CR. Heart rate spectral analysis of fasting-induced bradycardia of
35
36 300 cattle. *Am J Physiol* 1989;257: R1303-6.
37
38 301 20- Hopkins FM, Spitzer JC. The new Society for Theriogenology Breeding Soundness
39
40 302 evaluation system. *Vet Clin of North Amer: Food Animal Practice*. 1997; 13:283-93.
41
42 303 21- Lunstra, DD. and Echternkamp, S. E. Puberty in beef bulls: Acrosome morphology and
43
44 304 semen quality in bulls of different breeds. *J Anim Sci* 1982; 55:638-48.
45
46 305 22- Spitzer JC, Hopkins FM. Breeding soundness evaluation of yearling bulls. *Vet Clin of North*
47
48 306 *Amer: Food Animal Practice*. 1997; 13: 295-304.
49
50 307 23- Richards, M. W., J. C. Spitzer, and M. B. Warner. Effect of varying levels of postpartum
51
52 308 nutrition and body condition at calving on subsequent reproductive performance in beef
53
54 309 cattle. *J Anim Sci* 1986; 62:300-6.
55
56 310 24- Anzar M, Kroetsch T, Buhr MM. Comparison of Different Methods for Assessment of
57
58 311 Sperm Concentration and Membrane Integrity with Bull Semen. *J Androl* 2009; 30:661-8.
59
60 312 25- Minitab 17. Minitab Inc: State College, PA
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 313 26- Dooley MP, Pineda MH, Maurer RR, Lunstra DD. Evidence for retrograde flow of
5
6 314 spermatozoa into the urinary bladder of bulls during electroejaculation. *Theriogenology*
7
8 315 1985; 26:101-9.
9
10 316 27- Griggers S, Paccamonti DL, Thompson RA, Eilts BE. The effects of ph, osmolarity and urine
11
12 317 contamination on equine spermatozoal motility. *Theriogenology* 2001; 56:613-22.
13
14 318 28- Ellerbrock RE, Honorato J, Curcio BR, Stewart JL, Souza JAT, Love CC, Lima FS, Canisso
15 319 IF. Effect of urine contamination on stallion semen freezing ability. *Theriogenology*. 2018;
16
17 320 117:1-6.
18
19 321 29- Furman JW, L. Ball L, and G. E. Seidel GE Jr. Electroejaculation of bulls using pulse waves
20
21 322 of variable frequency and length. *J Anim Sci* 1975;40;665-70.
22
23 323
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65