Geological and hydrochemical prerequisites of unexpectedly high biodiversity in spring ecosystems at the landscape level

Published Version:
Cantonati M., Segadelli S., Spitale D., Gabrieli J., Gerecke R., Angeli N., et al. (2020). Geological and hydrochemical prerequisites of unexpectedly high biodiversity in spring ecosystems at the landscape level. SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT, 740, 1-16 [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140157].

Availability:
This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/904464 since: 2022-11-20

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140157

Marco Cantonati, Stefano Segadelli, Daniel Spitale, Jacopo Gabrieli, Reinhard Gerecke, Nicola Angeli, Maria Teresa De Nardo, Kei Ogata, John D. Wehr, Geological and hydrochemical prerequisites of unexpectedly high biodiversity in spring ecosystems at the landscape level, Science of The Total Environment, Volume 740, 2020, 140157

The final published version is available online at: ***https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140157***

*Graphical Abstract

Pools of representative spring habitats as regional freshwater biodiversity hotspots



Hydrogeological characterization



Geodiversity

Ecomorphological diversity

Extensive physical and chemical gradients

13 µS cm⁻¹	Conductivity	11560 µS cm⁻¹
1	Shading	5
0.2 mg L⁻¹	Nitrates	48 mg L⁻¹
0 µg L⁻¹	Chromium	705 µg L⁻¹

Impacts:

Water diversion, Intensive agriculture and industry in the plains.



High γ biodiversity

***Highlights (for review : 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters including spaces per bullet point)**

► Study region: High geodiversity with diverse lithotypes and aquifer structures.
► Consequence: Wide variation in ecomorphological types and hydrochemistry.
► Effect: High landscape-level (γ) biodiversity.
► Conservation: Protection of representative and proportional regional groups of springs.
► Springs: Ideal systems in which to investigate geo-biodiversity relationships.

Geological and hydrochemical prerequisites of unexpectedly high biodiversity in spring ecosystems at the landscape level

Marco Cantonati [a,*], Stefano Segadelli [b], Daniel Spitale [a], Jacopo Gabrieli [c], Reinhard Gerecke [d], Nicola Angeli [a], Maria Teresa De Nardo [b], Kei Ogata [e], John D. Wehr [f]

[a] *MUSE – Museo delle Scienze, Limnology & Phycology Section, Corso del Lavoro e della Scienza 3, I-38123 Trento, Italy*

[b] *Emilia-Romagna Region, Geological, Seismic & Soil Survey, Viale della Fiera, 8, 40127 Bologna, Italy*

[c] *University Ca' Foscari of Venice, IDPA-CNR, Calle Larga Santa Marta 2137, 30123 Venice, Italy*

[d] *University of Tübingen, Department of Evolution and Ecology, Auf der Morgenstelle 28E, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany*

[e] *Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, dell'Ambiente e delle Risorse (DiSTAR), via vicinale cupa Cintia 21, Complesso Universitario di Monte S.Angelo, Edificio L 80126 Napoli*

[f] *Fordham University, Louis Calder Center - Biological Field Station, 31 Whippoorwill Road, PO Box 887, Armonk, NY 10504 USA*

[*] Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* marco.cantonati@muse.it (M. Cantonati).

ABSTRACT

This study explores the factors affecting the biodiversity of diatoms, vegetation with focus on bryophytes, and invertebrates with focus on water mites, in a series of 16 spring-habitats. The springs are located primarily from the mountainous part of the Emilia-Romagna Region (Northern Apennines, Italy), and two pool-springs from agricultural and industrial lowland locations. Overall, data indicate that biological diversity (Shannon-Wiener, α-diversity) within individual springs was relatively low, e.g.: $S_{diatoms}$ = 0-46, $S_{water\text{-}mites}$ = 0-11. However, when examined at the regional scale, they hosted a very high total number of taxa (γ-diversity; $S_{diatoms}$ = 285, $S_{water\text{-}mites}$ = 40), including several new or putatively-new species, and many Red-List taxa. This pattern suggested there is high species turnover among springs, as well as high distinctiveness of individual spring systems. A key goal was to assess the hydrogeological and hydrochemical conditions associated with this high regional-pool species richness, and to provide a guide to future conservation strategies. There was a striking variety of geological conditions (geodiversity, captured mainly with lithotype and aquifer structure) across the study region, which led to wide variation in the hydrosphere, especially in conductivity and pH. Agriculture and industrial activities (anthroposphere) in the lowlands resulted in nutrient enrichment and other forms of pollution. Across all 16 spring-systems, several hydrogeological conditions most strongly influenced the presence or absence of particular biota and were determinants of species importance: spring-head morphology, hydroperiod, discharge, current velocity, and elemental concentration. These findings have important practical consequences for conservation strategies. Our data show that it is imperative to protect entire regional groups of springs, including representatives of the different ecomorphological spring types, lithologies, and degrees of human influence. These findings suggest that springs, when studied from an ecohydrogeological perspective, are excellent systems in which to further investigate and understand geo-biodiversity relationships.

Keywords: Springs, Diatoms, Bryophytes, Zoobenthos, Water mites, Geodiversity

1. Introduction

Spring habitats possess remarkably distinctive ecological features and hold great importance for biodiversity conservation; however, they are fragile ecosystems affected by many human impacts, particularly water diversion and habitat destruction (e.g., Glazier, 2014). Springs in natural or near-natural conditions have often been described as biodiversity hotspots (e.g., Cantonati et al., 2012a), but the type of diversity and key factors have rarely been specified (but see e.g. Nascimbene et al., 2011; Pascual et al., 2020). Among the reasons often mentioned for spring habitat uniqueness and biodiversity are the marked heterogeneity of water characteristics, their complex microhabitat mosaic structure, and their characteristic ecotonal environment. Springs typically transition from groundwater to surface water, and intergrade between aquatic and terrestrial habitats, leading to localized spatial heterogeneity (Cantonati et al., 2012a).

The heterogeneous nature of spring habitats has been classified in a variety of ways (e.g., Glazier, 2014; Cantonati et al., 2020). Seepages are known as the spring type richest in diatom and meiofauna species (Cantonati et al., 2012a). Low-conductivity springs on siliceous (e.g., granitic) substratum, when not affected by acidification or other types of contamination, host very species-rich and distinct diatom assemblages (e.g., Cantonati et al., 2009). Flowing springs are those most similar to streams, and indeed often the transition from springhead to spring-fed streams is seamless, but nevertheless there are diatom species with a marked preference for the uppermost section of the running-water system, but not in lower reaches (e.g., Cantonati et al., 2012b). Limestone Precipitating Springs (LPS) or petrifying springs are one of the few spring types indicated as priority habitat by the European Union Habitat Directive (EU-HD, 1992; Cantonati et al., 2016), and shelter biota highly-adapted to this this special, limestone-precipitating hydrochemical microhabitat. Biota in these systems include specific algae (in particular the bio-calcifying desmid alga *Oocardium stratum*; Linhart and Schagerl, 2015). Inland-saline (mineral) springs are potentially of high evolutionary significance as putative stepping-stone habits that facilitated the radiation in inland waters of algal taxa of marine origin (e.g., Cantonati et al., 2019b).

Species composition and spatial distribution in springs is mainly determined by ion content, particle-sizes of major substrata (determined by current velocity), shading, nutrients, and dissolved metals (Bonettini and Cantonati, 1996; Cantonati et al., 2012b). Disturbances markedly alter spring-habitats and can result in clearly-detectable changes in diatom assemblages, in particular by alterations to the physical structure of springs and contamination with nitrates (e.g., Angeli et al., 2010). Diatom Red List species (percentage of species in threat categories) were shown to react sensitively to habitat alteration and destruction (e.g., water capturing), as well as to nitrate pollution (Cantonati et al., 2012a). The recent (Hofmann et al., 2018) publication of an updated and expanded version of the diatom Red List for Central Europe (first edition: Lange-Bertalot, 1996) allows for an even more effective use of this tool for spring-habitat integrity evaluation in this geographic region.

Spring-ecosystem hydrochemistry is influenced by a variety of pre- and post-emergence factors affecting the aquifer, including geology (e.g., Cantonati et al., 2020), climate, vegetation, and land-use (Merk et al., 2020). The close relationship between spring-dwelling communities and geological formations of the aquifers has been clearly demonstrated for diatom assemblages (Werum and Lange-Bertalot 2004). In some cases, the occurrence of individual diatom species appears to be correlated with the dominant lithotype in the drainage basin, such as dolomite or granite. The occurrence of some diatom species in spring ecosystems has been studied in detail and their ecological characterization often shows that their distribution is driven by a complex combination of hydrogeological and chemical factors. For instance, *Achnanthidium dolomiticum* (Cantonati and Lange-Bertalot, 2006), as the specific epithet suggests, is found on dolomite [$CaMg(CO_3)_2$] substrata. However, Cantonati et al. (2012b) found that this species is characteristic of karstic springs with strongly fluctuating discharge. Recently, *A. dolomiticum* was also found in springs emerging on other rock types, but were nonetheless influenced by groundwater enriched in magnesium (Cantonati et al., 2017).

Bryophytes in spring habitats, like diatoms and other photoautotrophs, are strongly influenced by the geological characteristics of the substratum (e.g., Nascimbene et al., 2011). Among invertebrates, water mites are apparently a group with among the greatest number of spring-dependent species; this points to a particularly strong relationship between this group and conditions in the spring environment (e.g., Gerecke et al., 2018).

Despite these patterns in individual studies, few papers have addressed the broader relationship between biota and spring hydrogeology (e.g., Van der Kamp, 1995; Cantonati et al., 2020). The link between geological diversity, or “geodiversity” (e.g., Gray et al., 2013) and biodiversity is increasingly gaining interest, but far more studies are needed to provide empirical evidence for this connection (Alahuhta et al., 2020). The role of geodiversity in positively influencing biodiversity has been recognized for terrestrial ecosystems (e.g., Bailey et al., 2017), but studies on freshwater habitats are still rare (e.g., Kärnä et al., 2019, who worked on streams). The Northern Apennines (Italy) are renowned as a highly complex geoscape and area of high geological diversity (e.g., Castellarin, 2001; Boccaletti et al., 2004; Marroni et al., 2010). Therefore, we focused this study specifically in the Emilia-Romagna Region for a deeper understanding of spring-habitat biodiversity and a better understanding of their ecological and geological drivers.

We examined the hydrogeological and hydrochemical conditions associated with high regional-pool species richness and landscape-level (ɣ) biodiversity with the goal to show how high lithological and aquifer-structure diversity generate a multiplicity of ecomorphological and hydrochemical environmental settings, and how this translates into high ɣ diversity. We focused our studies primarily on two groups of organisms, diatoms and water mites, which have contrasting ecological roles within the spring ecosystem. The consequences of our findings for spring-habitat conservation strategies are highlighted.

2. Methods

We examined sixteen springs: Names and codes (with explanations) are listed in Table 1, and will be used throughout the paper. Data from these springs are listed by increasing mineral content, from lowest to highest conductivity.

Springs were selected on the basis of the following criteria: (1) location in different types of nature reserves; (2) representativeness of the diverse ecomorphological and hydrochemical spring types, and of the main lithotypes occurring in the study area; (3) availability of medium-term data series; (4) location within the main northern Apennine aquifer types; (5) location in the different altitudinal belts; (6) presence of a permanent hydraulic regime; and (7) in natural or near-natural conditions. Seventy separate morphological, physical, and chemical variables (Supplementary Material Table 1) and variables were determined for each of 16 selected springs.

2.1. Sampling surveys

Field work was carried out in the summers of 2011 and 2012 (hydrochemistry and biota). Hydrogeological observations and monitoring of selected springs were performed continuously within a 6-year timeframe, from 2007-2013. The position of the springs was recorded by measuring the geographic coordinates with a GPS (Garmin eTrex 10; Garmin Ltd., Olathe, KS, USA). Geomorphology (configuration) was assessed recording the main characteristics on sampling forms and drawing sketches. Current-velocity was assessed using the five-point scale reported in Cantonati et al. (2012b). Canopy cover (as a measure of shading) was assessed with a hemispherical densiometer.

Depending on local conditions, discharge measurements were performed either using the volumetric method (with low flow rates) or using flumes and weirs to convey all the water inside a graduated container. Measures were repeated at least three times at each site. Temperature, specific conductance at 25 °C, and pH were measured on-site by means of handheld Eutech temperature-conductivity meter (Cole-Parmer, Vernon Hills, IL, USA) along with each discharge measurement. Finally, at the Poia_MiSp-SC and Laba_LPS-le springs, discharge values were determined with an STS digital pressure transducer (model DL/N-64, STS - Sensor Technik Sirnach, Sirnach, Switzerland), whereas temperature and conductivity were measured with a digital thermometer-conductimeter (Hanna Instruments; Woonsocket, RI, USA). To calculate variability indices, discharge, temperature, and electrical conductivity data collected in the field during the EBERs project have been integrated with own (SS) datasets or available from the literature (Chiesi and Forti, 2009; Filippini, 2009-2010; Gherardi, 2011-2012).

2.2. Geology and Hydrogeology

Geological data used for this work was derived from the database of the geological map of the Emilia-Romagna Region, scale 1:10,000 (Geological cartography of Appennines, scale 1:10,000, 2012).

A variable termed 'lithology' was defined to express the main geological subdivisions in the Northern Apennines and their influence on the mineral content of the studied spring waters. Lithological classes were ordered on the basis of the degree of solubility of the rock and therefore on the basis of their capacity to condition the chemistry of the waters during flow inside the aquifer. 'Lithology' expressed the range of conditions from poorly-soluble rocks (e.g. siliciclastic arenites and basalts) to karst rocks composed of evaporatic Triassic - Messinian rocks, and classified from 1 to 8, as follows: (1) siliciclastic arenites, (2) ophiolites (basalts), (3) ophiolites (peridotites), (4) ophiolites (serpentinized peridotites), (5) lithic arenites, (6) limestone-marly flyschs and calcarenites, (7) alluvial deposits, and (8) Triassic and Messinian evaporites (details in Table 1).

The springs were classified on the basis of variation in discharge through time, and quantified using the variability index (Rv) introduced by Meinzer (1923). This index is a function of the maximum (QM), minimum (Qm), and mean (Qmed) discharge values within the hydrological year: $Rv = ((QM – Qm)/Qmed)*100)$. Values of $Rv<25\%$ indicate springs with constant discharge, whereas Rv between 25% and 100% are defined as sub-variable. Higher values ($Rv > 100\%$) identify springs with variable discharge. This index was also implemented for temperature and specific conductance data. For several springs (Helo_LCSe, CaLS_LCFS, GeRi_MiSp-Su, FoVR_PS-Sh, and FoVR_PS-Su), the Rv values had to be estimated.

2.3. Hydrochemistry

Sampling was conducted using polyethylene (PE) bottles previously cleaned with ultra-pure HNO_3 (Ultrapure grade, Romil, Cambridge, UK), and then rinsed several times with ultra-pure water (Purelab Ultra Analytic, Elga Lab Water, High Wycombe, UK) to minimize the risk of external contaminations. Water samples for major ions and nutrients were kept chilled (ca. 4 °C) in fridges and fridge bags until analysis. Detailed hydrochemical analyses were carried out following standard methodology (APHA, 2000). Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, Na^+, K^+, NH_4^+, Cl^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Br^-, F^- were analysed by ion chromatography (ICS 1500 Dionex Corp., Sunnyvale, CA, USA), and dissolved nutrients (N-NO_2^-, N-NH_4^+, P-PO_4^{3-}, TP, TN, Si) by standard absorption spectrometry (details in Cantonati et al., 2012b).

The bottles of water samples for trace elements were capped after sampling, packed in double LDPE bags, and transported to the IDPA-CNR Laboratories (Milan, Italy), where samples remained frozen until they were analysed. The samples were thawed at room temperature under a class 100 laminar flow clean bench, and 10 mL aliquots were transferred to 12 mL ultra-clean LDPE vials and acidified with ultra-pure HNO_3 to obtain 2% solutions (v/v). Concentrations of 29 elements (Li, Be, Na, Mg, Al, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ga, As, Rb, Sr, Mo, Ag, Cd, Sn, Cs, Sb, Ba, Tl, Pb, Bi, U) were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma Sector Field Mass Spectrometry (ICP-SFMS; Element2, Thermo-Fisher, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a desolvation system (APEX IR, Elemental Scientific, Omaha, US). The system was calibrated by external calibration using a certified multi-standard solution containing the 29 trace elements (IMS-102, UltraScientific, US). Concentrations in the standard solutions ranged from 0.005 to 50 ng/g for all the elements except Na, Mg, Al, Fe, Mn and Ba which were calibrated at higher concentration values (from 1.0 to 1000 ng/g). The accuracy was evaluated (ION 96.2, National Water Research Institute, Canada) and ranged from 76% (Al) to 105% (Sn). Average precision was always better than 12%.

In order to evaluate the relative contribution from natural (e.g., rock and soil dust) versus anthropogenic sources, trace element concentrations were expressed in the form of crustal enrichment factors (EF_{Ba}). EF_{Ba} is defined as the concentration ratio of a given element to that of Ba (or any other conservative element which derives mainly from rock and soil dust), normalized to the same concentration ratio characteristic of the upper continental crust (after Wedepohl, 1995). However, given the large variations in the composition of rock and soil, enrichment factors within ± 10 times the mean crustal abundance (i.e. EF_{Ba} values ranging from ~ 0.1 to 10) do not likely demonstrate the input from sources other than rock and soil dust. Conversely, any EF_{Ba} value significantly greater than 10 strongly suggests contributions from other natural sources and/or anthropogenic sources. Elements with EF_{Ba} values between 10 and 100 are considered moderately enriched, indicating one or several other sources in addition to the crustal material. Finally, elements with EF_{Ba} values greater than 100 are considered highly enriched, suggesting a severe anthropogenic contribution (after Veysseyre et al., 2001).

2.4. Diatom sampling, identification, and quantification

Diatom assemblages were sampled and treated following the methods described previously for spring habitats by Cantonati et al. (2012b), with specific designation of the spring-head area (= eucrenal, defined here as the submerged portion at the time of sampling and the inner bank clearly influenced by the water during the year), choice of substrata, and sample treatment. Epilithic diatoms were collected by brushing ten stones. For diatoms epiphytic on the dominant bryophyte species, the epibryon were collected from the most frequent and abundant bryophytes in each sample location (see Supplementary Material Table 4). The collected materials, including the bryophytes, were cut into small pieces and were digested using hydrogen peroxide (Cantonati et al., 2012b). The cleaned material was mounted in Naphrax (refractive index of 1.74). For each sample, three cover-slips were prepared on one permanent slide, and a pooled total of about 450 valves were counted. All samples (original samples, suspensions of prepared material, and permanent mounts) have been catalogued and deposited in the collections of the MUSE – Museo delle Scienze (Trento) (access codes: cLIM007 DIAT 1956-2002) along with information about the abundance of the species found and the main environmental variables.

Counting was conducted with a Zeiss Axioskop 2 at 1000x magnification (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The most updated taxonomy and nomenclature available at the time when counts were performed was applied (in particular, Levkov, 2009; Hofmann et al., 2011; Lowe et al., 2014), and other identification reference works followed were as in Cantonati et al. (2012b). Nomenclature and some taxonomic concepts were updated using Cantonati et al. (2017), AlgaeBase (Guiry and Guiry, 2020), DiatomBase (Kociolek et al., 2020), Diatoms of North America (Spaulding et al., 2019), the Freshwater Diatom Flora of Britain and Ireland (Jüttner et al., 2020). To confirm identifications and document taxa with poorly-observed ultrastructure, several taxa were examined with SEM (Zeiss-EVO40XVP, Carl Zeiss SMT Ltd., Cambridge, UK) at the MUSE - Museo delle Scienze (Trento) or at the University of Frankfurt using a Hitachi S-4500 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at high vacuum on gold-coated stubs.

2.5. Bryophytes and vascular plants

Within the eucrenal, all the bryophytes and vascular plants were identified and assigned a score according to their visually estimated percent cover: 1 = < 5%, 2 = 5-25%; 3= 25-50%; 4 = 50-75%; 5 = 75-100 (e.g., Braun-Blanquet, 1964; Tomaselli et al. 2011). Species nomenclature follows Aeschlimann et al. (2004) for vascular plants, Hill et al. (2006) for mosses, and Ros et al. (2007) for liverworts. Specimens of vascular plants were collected as needed to confirm field identifications at a later stage. Bryophytes were systematically collected and will be deposited in the Herbarium of the MUSE – Museo delle Scienze, Trento, Italy (Code = TR).

2.6. Zoobenthos

Cumulative sediment samples from all significant substrata were preserved in 70% denaturated ethanol or in 4% formaldehyde; animals from the latter was later transferred to 70% denaturated ethanol. During sorting, water mites were then preserved in Koenike's fluid (glycerol:acidic acid:distilled water 10:3:6). Before sorting, samples were washed and divided into two fractions (>1.000 µm and <1.000 µm). The large-scale fraction was investigated at lower magnification, the fine fraction at high magnification under a Zeiss SV6 stereo microscope. All samples were examined completely; individuals encountered were counted from the whole sample, although not all were directly enumerated. In cases of high densities, a quarter of each dish was counted and the resulting number multiplied by 4. Resulting specimen numbers were rounded and were used for calculating categories of relative density for all taxonomic groups recognized.

The material was sorted at the taxonomic level of orders, or, in the case of Diptera, families. As a general rule, for taxa in low densities, all specimens were sorted and enumerated, while for more frequent taxa, only a representative number of specimens was preserved. During treatment, attention was paid to obvious differences in morphology and size classes, trying to sort out different morphotaxa in relation to their relative abundance. In order to get insight into the whole diversity spectrum (often reflected by differences in body weight and consequently in sedimentation rate), specimens of all taxa were selected during the whole sorting process, from the first to the last Petri dish. For each spring, and each order/family, a tube with two labels (taxon name and site abbreviation; information on collecting site and date) was deposited in the collection. A part of the material has been sent to specialists, the rest was deposited in the collections of the MUSE– Museo delle Scienze (Trento) Limnology Section (access codes: cLIM007).

2.7. Data processing and statistical analyses

For all diatom species collected in this study, a threat status (a measure of rarity) was assigned, according to current (Hofmann et al., 2018) and previous Red List data (Lange-Bertalot, 1996). For the species present in both lists, a check was made if the conservation status is improving or declining. Hofmann et al. (2018) provide further ecological attributes (trophic and mineralization preferences, aerial species) used in this study (Supplementary Material Table 3).

Shannon-Wiener diversity (Shannon, 1948) was calculated using a base-2 logarithm. Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) was calculated with the package *vegan* (Oksanen et al., 2019) in the R statistical environment (R CORE TEAM, 2018). CCA was selected over other ordination techniques, such as redundancy analysis (RDA), after evaluation of the length of the gradient. Model selection was performed with the *orddistep* function of vegan, with an automatic, stepwise model with constrained ordination. Only the environmental variables significant in the last step of selection was then used for the final CCA model. Significance of the CCA model, terms, and constrained axes was tested with an ANOVA like permutation test (999 permutations).

A Mantel test was used to assess correlation between epibryon and epilithon diatoms and vegetation. The significance of the statistic is evaluated by permuting rows and columns of the first dissimilarity matrix. The function to perform the Mantel test is available in the vegan package. To study α, β, and ɣ diversity for diatoms, we used an additive diversity partitioning, where the mean values of α diversity at lower levels of a sampling hierarchy are compared to the total diversity in the entire data set (ɣ diversity, Crist et al., 2003); this function is available in the vegan package.

3. Results

3.1. Ecomorphology, Geology and Hydrogeology

The ecomorphological classification of the springs studied here led to the recognition of seven spring-types, listed in order of increasing mineral content of the spring water (Table 1, Fig. 3). The spring codes are explained in Table 1, and include, after the underscore, an abbreviation of the spring type, and, after the hyphen, an abbreviation of the possible sub-category. Within shaded, flowing springs, a subgroup was characterized by the occurrence of the macroscopic crustose red alga *Hildenbrandia rivularis*.

The geological (lithological) and hydrogeological classification of the studied springs is provided in Table 1. Our study also included two lowland pool springs in alluvial deposits, locally called *fontanili*. Hard Rock Aquifers (HRA) is the best represented group because the ophiolites, and in particular the turbiditic units, both silico-clastic, and calcareous and calcareous-marly, cover very vast and seamless territories (Fig. 1).

3.2. Hydrochemistry

The study revealed several factors that ranged widely among spring-types (Fig. 4, Supplementary Material Table 1, Table 2). The conductivity gradient of the studied springs (13-11560 µS cm^{-1}) spanned more than three orders of magnitude. In one system, Prin_ShFS-pH, very high pH (11.2) was due to enrichment with sodium and chloride. The two mineral springs (Poia_MiSp-SC, GeRi_MiSp-Su) were characterized by high sodium, chloride, sulphate, and total phosphorus (TP) values. TP enrichment is otherwise rare in most systems, even in the agricultural lowland limnocrenes (*fontanili*) contaminated by nitrate and chloride (Table 2). The MtNe_ShFS-Hi (aquifer: deep-seated gravitational deformations in slopes - DSGSD) and CiLi_HygS springs, both coming to daylight on ophiolithic rocks, were enriched with sodium, magnesium, chromium, nickel, arsenic, uranium, molybdenum, cadmium, antimony, titanium (Supplementary Material Table 2, Table 2).

3.3. Diatoms

In the spring GeRi_MiSp-Su, bryophytes were not found (see below), and diatoms were absent also on lithic material. Diatom analyses of samples from the remaining 15 springs revealed a total species richness of 285 taxa (272 identified to the species -or intraspecific- level) belonging to 63 genera (Supplementary Material Table 3). Red-List threat-category data were available for nearly all the taxa identified to species level: only nine taxa were not listed (Hofmann et al., 2018). A high proportion (60%) of the species for which Red List information was available were found to belong to one of the key threat categories (1, 2, 3, G, R, V, D oligotraphentic) (Supplementary Material Table 3). A selection of frequent and abundant, characteristic, rare, and Red-List species is summarized in Fig. 5.

Two of the species identified were listed in the highest threat category, i.e. threat category 1 (“threatened with extinction”): *Achnanthidium trinode* (Fig. 5 11b) and *Eunotia cisalpina* (Fig. 5 2b). Several more species in the studied springs are members of threat category 2 (“strongly threatened”), including *Achnanthidium dolomiticum*, *A. rosenstockii*, *Brachysira calcicola*, *B. vitrea*, *Cymbella tridentina* (Fig. 5 12a), *C. tumidula* (Fig. 5 12b), *Cymbopleura austriaca*, *Encyonema hebridicum*, *Encyonopsis lange-bertalotii*, *Eucocconeis flexella*, *Eunotia kruegeri*, *E. nymanniana*, *E. palatina*, *E. sudetica*, *E. tetraodon*, *Kolbesia carissima* (Fig. 5 2d), *Navicula angusta* (Fig. 5 1a), *N. dealpina*, *Psammothidium altaicum*, *Rossithidium anastasiae*, *R. pusillum*, *Sellaphora stroemii*.

On the basis of in-depth studies on LM morphology, plastid shape and arrangement, SEM ultrastructure, ecology and distribution, and extensive literature research, eight species in the genera *Achnanthidium*, *Amphora*, *Delicata*, *Eunotia*, *Fragilaria*, *Halamphora*, *Navicula*, and *Planothidium* were recognized as new to science (Cantonati et al., 2019a;b; MC, Horst Lange-Bertalot, unpublished data).

Ecological preferences were assigned (based on Hofmann et al. 2018) for 252 of the 272 taxa identified at least to the species level (Supplementary Material Table 3). Of these only 24 species (= 9.5%) were classified as aerial, and included *Cymbopleura austriaca*, *Delicata minuta*, *Diploneis minuta*, *Encyonema alpinum*, *E. bipartitum* (Fig. 5 9f), *Halamphora montana*, *H. normanii*, *Hantzschia amphioxys*, almost all *Humidophila* spp. found (excepting *H. schmassmannii*), *Hygropetra balfouriana*, *Nitzschia harderi*, *Nupela lapidosa*, *Pinnularia obscura*, *Simonsenia delognei*, *Surirella terricola*, *Tetracyclus rupestris*, *Tryblionella debilis*. Most species were encountered in only one or two springs. Table 3 shows richness (*S*) and Shannon-Wiener (*H'*) ranges. Species richness in the epilithon ranged from 7 (Poia_MiSp-SC) to 46 (CaLS_LCFS); richness in the epibryon ranged from 5 (Poia_MiSp-SC) to 65 (Helo_LCSe). The variable 'lithology' was correlated significantly with both Shannon-Wiener diversity of epibryon diatoms ($r = -0.74$; $p = 0.003$) and vegetation ($r = -0.78$; $p < 0.001$, Fig. 6).

A CCA (Table 4, Fig. 7) indicated that the distribution of the sites and characteristic species was mainly determined by differences in chromium, nitrates, elevation (for epilithon), and by nitrates, magnesium, bicarbonate alkalinity, and elevation (for epibryon). This is confirmed by the placement of typical mountain species (*Psammothidium daonense*, *Achnanthidium trinode* (Fig. 5 11b), *Nupela lapidosa*, *Gomphonema tenoccultum* (Fig. 5 11c), *Odontidium neolongissimum*) close to the apex of the elevation vector. The eutraphentic *Fragilaria famelica* is positioned close to the head of the nitrate vector, and indeed this species was abundant in FoVR_PS-Su, where the highest nitrate values were measured (Table 2).

The total species richness (ɣ-diversity) recorded across all springs was partitioned into the average number of species that occur within a sample (α) and the average number of species absent from a sample (β), Table 5. The partition showed that α diversity was on average 23.5 in the epilithon and 28.4 on average in the epibryon, each of which is relatively low, compared to total ɣ-diversity (199 and 214 species).

3.4. Bryophytes and vascular plants

A total of 93 macrophytic plant species were observed across all sites (43 bryophytes, and 49 vascular plants; Supplementary Material Tables 4-5), with an average richness of 9.6 per site. In the spring GeRi_MiSp-Su both bryophytes and vascular plants were absent, whereas the richest spring was Helo_LCSe, with 13 and 15 species respectively for bryophytes and vascular plants. Of note were the bryophytes *Hygrohypnum eugyrium* (the second record in Italy), *Fissidens viridulus*, *Racomitrium aciculare*, and *Southbya tophacea*; taxa that were not recorded after 1950 in the Emilia-Romagna Region. Most species were encountered only once or twice. Species observed more than 5 times are common in many aquatic habitats, such as *Bryum pseudotriquestrum*, *Palustriella commutata*, and *Brachythecium rivulare*. Other less frequent species occurred in particular spring types, including *Didymodon tophaceus* and *Eucladium verticillatum* in LPS springs, and *Sphagnum* spp. in seepages. The vegetation composition, designated here as plant and bryophyte assemblages together, was highly correlated with the epibryon diatom assemblages (Mantel statistic $r = 0.95$; $p < 0.001$), and correlated, but less so, with the epilithic diatoms (Mantel statistic $r = 0.30$; $p < 0.011$).

3.5. Zoobenthos

3.5.1. Overview on invertebrates

The invertebrate groups encountered and the numbers of specimens counted, are given in Supplementary Material Table 6. The most abundant groups were chironomids, followed by ostracods, mites, and stoneflies. The total specimen number in samples collected during the investigation exceeded ten thousand. A considerable abundance and frequency of groundwater taxa (= stygophilous) were observed. Among these, particularly common were amphipods in the genus *Niphargus*, stygobiont hydrobiid snails, and the mites genera *Stygothrombium* and *Frontipodopsis*, the latter two taxa previously not published from spring sites in Italy. An interesting finding was also a larva of the psephenid beetle genus *Eubria*, a taxon with a very scattered distribution in Europe. The relationship between selected groups of invertebrates and lithology is shown in Fig. 8. Of these, only chironomid species composition was significantly correlated to lithology ($r = -0.65$; $p = 0.006$).

3.5.2. Water mites

Distribution and frequency of mite species are given in Supplementary Material Table 7. A total of 40 water-mite taxa were observed, 36 of which are members of the true water mites. With regard to individual numbers, of the globally 827 specimens collected in the frame of this project, only 47 (5%), are representative of terrestrial groups. They represented (specimen numbers in brackets): Trombidiformes (3), Gamasida (4), and Oribatida (40, including 20 Phthiracaridae). True aquatic mites occurred in 14 of the springs studied, but in six of these occurred in low numbers. Terrestrial taxa were recorded only from sites GeRi_MiSp-Su (Gamasida, Oribatida, 10 specimens) and FoVR_PS-Sh (Oribatida, 1). Sites with very low water-mite density were Bari_ShFS-mc (*Partnunia aprutina*, *Protzia squamosa*, each one specimen), Poia_MiSp-SC (*Partnunia aprutina*, one specimen), Laba_LPS-le (*Aturus* cf. *natangensis*, one specimen, *Sperchonopsis verrucosa*, 2 specimens), FoVR_PS-Su (only one undetermined larva), FoVe_LCFS (*Feltria setigera*, *Lebertia schechteli*, each 2 specimens), and CaLS_LCFS (*Pseudofeltria aemiliana*, *Protzia eximia* gr., 1 specimen each). Furthermore, also CiLi_HygS (3 water mite taxa, 14 specimens) and Cara_LPS-sn (4 water mite taxa, 5 specimens) had a rather poor fauna. Higher population densities and species richness were observed at sites MtPe_ShFS-Hi, MaPa_ShFS-Hi, Laga_ShFS-Hi, MtNe_ShFS-Hi, Prin_ShFS-pH and Helo_LCSe.

Hygrobates psammocrenicus occurred in greatest abundance, mostly due to an extreme density of this species at one site: Laga_ShFS-Hi. *Sperchon thienemanni* was the next most common taxon, along with *Feltria setigera* and *Partnunia aprutina*, as frequently recorded species (4 sites). Other species found in higher numbers or more frequently (specimen numbers/frequency in parentheses) are *Lebertia schechteli* (36/3), *Atractides longisetus* (24/2), *Pseudofeltria appenninica* (14/2), *Hydrovolzia placophora* (13/2), *Atractides loricatus* (10/2), *Sperchonopsis verrucosa* (7/3), and *Panisus michaeli* (4/3). The remaining 25 species were found at one or two site(s) only and in low numbers, 14 of them as single specimens.

In this study, nearly 75% of the water mite species are classified to have a close relationship to spring habitats: crenobionts (= strictly bound to springs): 60%; and crenophiles (= with a preference for springs, but also in other habitats): 14%. Typical crenobionts, some of them with a particular preference for special habitats, are *Panisus michaeli*, *Protzia squamosa paucipora*, *Sperchon resupinus*, *Bandakia concreta* (all with a known preference for helocrenes), *Hydrovolzia placophora*, *Lebertia cuneifera*, *Lebertia holsatica* (preferably in rheohelocrenes rich in macrophytes and fine detritus), and *Lebertia schechteli*, *Sperchon mutilus*, *Sperchon thienemanni* (in all types of springs). Important crenophiles in our study are *Lebertia maculosa*, *Sperchon squamosus* and *Atractides loricatus*.

The pattern revealed by ɣ-diversity partitioning (Table 3) parallels the results obtained for diatoms.

4. Discussion

The regional assemblages of spring organisms examined included a very high total number of species, including several new or putatively-new species, and many Red-List taxa. The ɣ-diversity partitioning for both diatoms and water mites demonstrated that α-diversity of the individual springs is relatively low, and that the main contribution to ɣ-diversity was due to β-diversity, that is, a high turnover of species among springs. This pattern and the percentages were very similar for diatoms and water mites.

These results are in good agreement with previous studies. Nascimbene et al. (2011) investigated algae, diatoms, lichens, and bryophytes in springs of the southeastern Alps and compared α-, β- and ɣ-diversity: In individual springs, these photoautotroph groups formed relatively species poor communities with a high species replacement among springs whilst regional species pools were important. Studying macrozoobenthos from 19 mountain springs of the River Sarca catchment in the Adamello-Brenta Nature Park, Bonettini and Cantonati (1996), observed low species numbers in individual biotopes, and a high total number of taxa. This condition demonstrates marked site to site (spatial) differences, which also emerged from the TWINSPAN ordination. In the present study, springs with the greatest number of species, and those that may be considered rare in northern Italy, were characterized by low flow variability. Pascual et al. (2020) underline the uniqueness of individual spring communities after studying α-, β- and ɣ-diversity of macroalgae, diatoms, bryophytes, vascular plants, aquatic invertebrates and vertebrates in Mediterranean springs. Our findings also have important implications for conservation, suggesting that the protection of single sites will not be effective, because a biodiversity conservation plan for spring environments requires a plan developed at the regional level, and include a network of sites representative of the different ecomorphologies, lithologies, and other key ecological factors.

These results also reveal the need for a deeper understanding of the causes of high ɣ-diversity. Specifically, these data demonstrate that high geological diversity (lithotypes, aquifer hydrostructure, etc.), translates into high spring ecomorphological and hydrochemical diversity. Further, these patterns are reflected in high biodiversity. Other factors that may affect high ɣ-diversity include ecotonal character (aquatic-aerial) of spring habitats, the fact that spring habitats in the study region (Emilia-Romagna) are still relatively unexplored, and a general lack of high spatial resolution and in-depth taxonomic approaches of springs.

A large part of the geological framework of the Northern Apennines comprises Cretaceous to Miocene, marine neritic (shallow water) or turbiditic (deep water) deposits (siliciclastic arenites, marly-limestones or arenaceous-pelitic turbidites), as well as scattered outcrops of ophiolites, mostly composed of peridotites, serpentinites, gabbros, and basalts. The latter represent inducted remnants of the original oceanic crust of the Ligurian basin, developed in the Middle to Upper Jurassic, which separated the European from the Adriatic plate (Marroni et al., 2010). Each of these geological units are tectonically layered and deformed within the Apennine system, and constitute valuable groundwater reservoirs. In some circumstances, the sedimentary rocks exhibit heterogeneous and anisotropic hydraulic conductivity distributions, similar to those commonly observed for HRA (Gargini et al., 2006; 2008; Vincenzi et al., 2009; Piccinini et al., 2013; Vincenzi et al., 2014; Segadelli et al., 2017b). In particular, Gargini et al. (2014) and Piccinini et al. (2013) consider that the Northern Apennines groundwater reservoirs are all represented either by hard rock aquifers *sensu stricto* (like ophiolites) or by sedimentary units comprising hard rock aquifers as defined above (Fig. 1). These units can be classified as shallow/surficial, recharge-dominated, strongly heterogenous and anisotropic aquifers. Much less represented are the karstic aquifers, comprising Triassic -

Messinian evaporite sequences and Late Jurassic - Paleogene non-metamorphic carbonate successions (Fig. 1). The geological, structural and geomorphological survey conducted in part during the EBERs project and in subsequent years (Gargini et al., 2014; Cantonati et al., 2016; Segadelli et al., 2017a;b; Cantonati et al., 2020) highlighted in the study area a variability of hydrogeological situations as described and summarized in the conceptual scheme proposed in Figs 1-2, with particular attention to the HRA group, because it represents, from a geological standpoint, the backbone of the northern Apennines. In one system, very high pH values were associated with low temperature reaction between meteoric water and ultramafic rocks (Neal and Shand, 2002; Boschetti and Toscani, 2008; Boschetti et al., 2013).

The percentage of Red List diatom species identified in this study belonging to the most important threat categories (60%) is remarkably high. As a comparison, ~ 50% Red List species belonging to threat categories were previously found in high-integrity springs and high-mountain lakes of the Alps (Cantonati et al., 2012a). It is also important to note that most species belonging to the highest threat categories encountered were collected in low-conductivity and dystrophic mountain springs, habitats that were singled out for their rich and peculiar diatom microflora by previous works (Cantonati et al., 2009; 2011; 2019), and, secondarily, in limestone-precipitating springs (LPS), which are as well known as special habitats (Cantonati et al., 2016). The share of Red-List threat categories species was also high likely because in the recently published Red List (Hofmann et al., 2018; summarized in Supplementary Material Table 3), the status of most species changed as a result of the new Red List classifications (Lange-Bertalot, 1996), with many placed in higher threat categories. The relatively low share of aerial diatom species in the present study (less than 10%) is likely due to a focus on stable-discharge springs for this study, as compared to previous investigations in the Alps. Most diatom, bryophyte and vascular-plant species were encountered only once or twice because of the high diversity of spring typologies and the consequent distinctiveness of the individual spring sites.

In the zoobenthos, a considerable abundance and frequency of stygophilous taxa were observed, which suggests that the selected sites are probably of considerable biogeographical interest. As confirmed also by this study, in Europe, but probably also on other continents, water mites (Hydrachnidia) are the group of organisms (other than microbes and diatoms) with the greatest number and percentage of species, which in many different genera, independently evolved strong ecological links to spring habitats (Gerecke et al., 2018). Only in Hydrobiid snails, a similarly high share of spring-typical species is reported from western North America, due to a strong radiation of the genus *Pyrgulopsis* (Hershler et al., 2014). As with diatoms (Hofmann et al., 2018), these data offer information useful for a future Red List for European water mites. In the course of the EBERs study, *Pseudofeltria aemiliana* was detected and described as a species new to science (Gerecke, 2014). Unusual in water mites, and particularly surprising in a genus with strong sexual dimorphism, this species is more distinct in females than in males. As with all known species of the genus, it probably is a crenobiont with a preference for weakly seeping helocrenes. It can be considered an endemic species of the Northern Apennines. The material from the study area includes also further interesting records: the species found in highest abundance, *Hygrobates psammocrenicus*, has been described only recently from springs in the Apennines and on Corsica (Gerecke and Di Sabatino, 2013); *Stygothrombium chappuisi* is a very rare species reported from Italy only recently (Veneto, Emilia Romagna: Gerecke and Di Sabatino, 2013); and *Partnunia aprutina*, after its first description from Abruzzo (Gerecke, 1993), has been found again recently in several sites of the northern Appennines (Bottazzi et al., 2011). *Lebertia fontana* in Italy was previously known only from two sites in Trentino (Gerecke, 2009), so this is the first record South of the Alps, while *Lebertia mediterranea*, described from several sites in Italy, France (Corsica), Spain and Bulgaria, and later on detected also in the Austrian Alps (Fišer et al., 2012), is a species in need of revision. Specimens of the latter species found in this study differ from the original diagnosis in some morphological details. With reference to species closely linked to groundwater habitats, the most striking result was the finding of a large population of the typical interstitial-dwelling, laterally-compressed water mite *Frontipodopsis reticulatifrons*. This species is normally restricted to interstitial waters, and not found in springheads.

The topic of the relationship between biodiversity and geodiversity is now emerging with greater awareness in the international scientific community (Kärnä et al, 2019; Alahuhta et al., 2020). There is a clear need to develop new approaches through which to quantify and allow the comparison between geodiversity and biodiversity in many types of ecosystems. In particular, as is already the case in other ecological questions, the adoption of suitable indices and metrics stimulates and facilitates the comparison between the biotic and abiotic diversity of a given area.

Our data suggest that only an integrated hydrogeological-ecological approach (= ecohydrogeological perspective; Cantonati et al., 2020) will permit researchers to accurately define the foundations for conservation actions and for the monitoring of springs. These systems should not be examined as simple points of aquifer-system discharge, but as ecotones with regional patterns that create complex GDEs (groundwater dependent ecosystem, Bertrand et al., 2012). Springs, studied in an ecohydrogeological perspective, are ideal systems in which to investigate and understand the geo-biodiversity relationship.

5. Conclusions

The main conclusions that can be drawn from our observations are as follows:

- We confirm for spring habitats that the study region (Emilia-Romagna) is highly complex geoscape and an area of high geodiversity. In relation to inland waters, and spring ecosystems in particular, this is mainly apparent in the occurrence of diverse lithotypes and aquifer structures.
- Our data demonstrate that the diversity of rock and aquifer types generates a wide variation in ecomorphological types and hydrochemistry.
- Considering two groups of organisms (diatoms, water mites) with contrasting ecological roles within the spring ecosystem, our study for a deeper understanding of spring-habitat biodiversity and uniqueness and of their determinants shows that the multiplicity of ecomorphological and hydrochemical types translates into high landscape-level (γ) biodiversity, with individual sites typically having relatively low diversity (α diversity) but differing markedly from one another (high individuality).

- The main consequence of our findings for spring-ecosystem conservation is that it is imperative to protect representative and proportional groups of springs at the landscape level.

We further note that springs, studied from an ecohydrogeological perspective, are ideal systems where to investigate geo-biodiversity relationships.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Geological Survey of the Emilia-Romagna Region (in particular Raffaele Pignone and Gabriele Bartolini) for fostering and funding the EBERs (Exploring the Biodiversity of Emilia-Romagna springs; 2011-2013) Project, which provided all data used in this paper. Many thanks go to Horst Lange-Bertalot for support in the identification of complex diatom taxa.

References

Aeschlimann, D., Lauber, K., Moser, D.M., Theurillat., J.P., 2004. Flora alpina, three-volume book, Zanichelli, Bologna (2670 pp.).

Alahuhta, J., Toivanen, M., Hjort, J., 2020. Geodiversity–biodiversity relationship needs more empirical evidence. Nature Ecology & Evolution 4, 2–3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-1051-7

Angeli, N., Cantonati, M., Spitale, D., Lange-Bertalot, H., 2010. A comparison between diatom assemblages in two groups of carbonate, low-altitude springs with different levels of anthropogenic disturbances. Fottea 10(1): 115–128. DOI: 10.5507/fot.2010.006

APHA (AMERICAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION). 2000. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 20th edition. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation, Washington, DC.

Bailey, J.J., Boyd, D.S., Hjort, J., Lavers, C.P., Field, R., 2017. Modelling native and alien vascular plant species richness: At which scales is geodiversity most relevant? Global Ecology and Biogeography 26, 763–776. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12574

Bertrand, G., Goldscheider, N., Gobat, J.M., Hunkeler, D., 2012. Review: From multi-scale conceptualization to a classification system for inland groundwater-dependent ecosystems. Hydrogeology Journal 20, 5–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-011-0791-5

Boccaletti, M., Bonini, M., Corti, G., Gasparini, P., Martelli, L., Piccardi, L., Tanini, C., Vannucci, G., 2004. Carta Sismotettonica della Regione Emilia-Romagna. Regione Emilia-Romagna – CNR – Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Firenze, S.EL.CA Firenze.

Bonettini, A.M., Cantonati, M., 1996. Macroinvertebrate assemblages of springs of the River Sarca catchment (Adamello-Brenta Regional Park, Trentino, Italy). Crunoecia 5, 71–78.

Boschetti, T., Toscani, L., 2008. Springs and streams of the Taro-Ceno valleys (northern Apennine, Italy): Reaction path modeling of waters interacting with serpentinized ultramafic rocks. Chemical Geology 257, 76–91.

Boschetti, T., Etiope, G., Pennisi, M., Romain, M., Toscani, L., 2013. Boron, lithium and methane isotope composition of hyperalkaline waters (northern Apennines, Italy): Terrestrial serpentinization or mixing with brine? Applied Geochemistry 32, 17–25.

Bottazzi, E., Bruno, M.C., Pieri, V., Di Sabatino, A., Silveri, L., Carolli, M., Rossetti, G., 2011. Spatial and seasonal distribution of invertebrates in Northern Apennine rheocrene springs. Journal of Limnology 70, 77–92. DOI: 10.3274/JL11-70-S1-06

Braun-Blanquet, J. 1964. Pflanzensoziologie. 3. Aufl. Springer, Wien (865 pp.).

Cantonati, M., Lange-Bertalot, H., 2006. *Achnanthidium dolomiticum* sp. nov. (Bacillariophyta) from oligotrophic mountain springs and lakes fed by dolomite aquifers. Journal of Phycology 42, 1184–1188. DOI: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2006.00281.x

Cantonati, M., Van de Vijver, B., Lange-Bertalot, H., 2009. *Microfissurata* gen. nov. (Bacillariophyta), a new diatom genus from dystrophic and intermittently-wet terrestrial habitats. Journal of Phycology 45, 732–741. DOI: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2009.00683.x

Cantonati, M., Angeli, N., Lange-Bertalot, H., 2019a. Three new *Fragilaria* species (Bacillariophyta) from low-conductivity mountain freshwaters of the Alps and the Apennines. Phytotaxa 404(6), 261–274. DOI: 10.11646/phytotaxa.404.6.5

Cantonati, M., Angeli, N., Lange-Bertalot, H., Levkov, Z., 2019b. New *Amphora* and *Halamphora* (Bacillariophyta) species from springs in the northern Apennines (Emilia-Romagna, Italy). Plant Ecology and Evolution 152(2), 285–292. DOI: 10.5091/plecevo.2019.1605

Cantonati, M., Kelly, M.G., Lange-Bertalot, H., 2017. Freshwater benthic diatoms of Central Europe: over 800 common species used in ecological assessment. Koeltz Botanical Books, Schmitten-Oberreifenberg, Germany (942 pp).

Cantonati, M., Füreder, L., Gerecke, R., Jüttner, I., Cox, E.J., 2012a. Crenic habitats, hotspots for freshwater biodiversity conservation: toward an understanding of their ecology, in: Cantonati, M., Füreder, L., Jüttner, I., Cox, E.J. (Eds.), The Ecology of Springs. Freshwater Science 31, 463–480. https://doi.org/10.1899/11-111.1

Cantonati, M., Angeli, N., Bertuzzi, E., Spitale, D., Lange-Bertalot, H., 2012b. Diatoms in springs of the Alps: spring types, environmental determinants, and substratum, in: Cantonati, M., Füreder, L., Jüttner, I., Cox, E.J. (Eds.), The Ecology of Springs. Freshwater Science 31, 499–524. https://doi.org/10.1899/11-065.1

Cantonati, M., Segadelli, S., Ogata, K., Tran, H., Sanders, D., Gerecke, R., Rott, E., Filippini, M., Gargini, A., Celico, F., 2016. A global review on ambient Limestone-Precipitating Springs (LPS): Hydrogeological setting, ecology, and conservation. Science of the Total Environment 568, 624–637. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.02.105

Cantonati, M., Stevens, L.E., Segadelli, S., Springer, A.E., Goldscheider, N., Celico, F., Filippini, M., Ogata, K., Gargini, A., 2020. Ecohydrogeology: The interdisciplinary convergence needed to improve the study and stewardship of springs and other groundwater-dependent habitats, biota, and ecosystems. Ecological Indicators 110. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105803

Castellarin, A., 2001. Alps-Apennines and Po Plain-frontal Apennines relations, in: Castellarin, A. (Ed.), Anatomy of an Orogen: the Apennines and Adjacent Mediterranean Basins, 177–195.

Chiesi, M., Forti, P., 2009. L'Alimentazione delle Fonti di Poiano. Memorie dell'Istituto Italiano di Speleologia 22, 69-98. Available online at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262455882_L'alimentazione_delle_Fonti_di_Poiano. [Accessed: 20 February 2020].

Crist, T.O., Veech, J.A., Gering, J.C., Summerville, K.S., 2003. Partitioning species diversity across landscapes and regions: a hierarchical analysis of α, β, and γ-diversity. Am. Nat. 162, 734–743.

EU-HD (European Union Habitat Directive) 1992. Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the Conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (EC Habitats Directive). Official Journal of the European Communities L 206 (22.7.1992): 7–50.

Filippini, M., 2009-2010. Monitoraggio del Deflusso di Base di un Acquifero Arenaceo dell'Appennino Bolognese (Castel D'Aiano) al fine di Valutare l'Entità della Risorsa Idrica Sotterranea. Tesi di Laurea Magistrale, Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna, Anno accademico (166 pp).

Fišer, C., Gerecke, R., Meisch, C., Stoch, F., 2012. Milben (Acari) und Krebstiere (Crustacea), in: Gerecke, R., Haseke, H., Klauber, J., Maringer, A., (Eds.), Quellen. Schriften des Nationalparks Gesäuse, 7 (Weng im Gesäuse), 108–131.

Gargini, A., Piccinini, L., Martelli, L., Rosselli, S., Bencini, A., Messina, A., Canuti, P., 2006. Hydrogeology of turbidites: A conceptual model derived by the geological survey of Tuscan-Emilian Apennines and the environmental monitoring for the high speed railway tunnel connection between Florence and Bologna. Bollettino della Societa Geologica Italiana 125(3), 293–327.

Gargini, A., De Nardo, M.T., Piccinini, L., Segadelli, S., Vincenzi, V., 2014. Spring discharge and groundwater flow systems in sedimentary and ophiolitic hard rock aquifers: Experiences from Northern Apennines (Italy), in: Sharp J.M. (Ed.), Fractured Rock Hydrogeology. CRC Press, The Netherlands, pp. 129–143.

Gargini, A., Vincenzi, V., Piccinini, L., Zuppi, G., Canuti, P., 2008. Groundwater flow systems in turbidites of the Northern Apennines (Italy): natural discharge and high speed railway tunnel drainage. Hydrogeology Journal 16(8), 1577–1599.

Gerecke, R., 1993. The Water Mites of the Genus *Partnunia* Piersig, 1896 (Acari, Actinedida, Hydryphantidae). Entomologica Basiliensia 15, 13–44.

Geological cartography of Appennines, scale 1:10,000, 2012. https://ambiente.regione.emilia-romagna.it/en/geologia/cartografia/cartografia/the-geological-cartography-of-appennines-scale-1-10-000 or through the wms service (http://servizigis.regione.emilia-romagna.it/wms/geologia10k). [Accessed: 06 May 2020].

Gerecke, R., 2009. Revisional studies on the European species of the water mite genus *Lebertia* Neuman, 1880 (Acari: Hydrachnidia: Lebertiidae). Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft 566, 1–144. ISBN 978-3-510-61391-5.

Gerecke, R., 2014. *Pseudofeltria* (Acariformes: Pionidae) in Europe: Three previously described taxa, a species new to science from the Northern Apennines, and a redefinition of Foreliinae. Acarologia 54 (1), 57–67. DOI: 10.1051/acarologia/20142115

Gerecke, R., Di Sabatino, A., 2013. The water mites (Hydrachnidia and Halacaridae) of the collection Daniele Benfatti at the Museo Civico di Storia Naturale Verona. Boll. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Verona 37, 67–112.

Gerecke, R., Martin, P., Gledhill, T., 2018.Water mites (Acari: Parasitengona: Hydrachnidia) as inhabitants of groundwater-influenced habitats in Europe – considerations after an update of the European limnofauna. Limnologica 69, 81–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2017.11.008

Gherardi, A., 2011-2012. Bilancio Idrogeologico Sperimentale di un Acquifero in Ofioliti nell’alto Appennino Ligure-Parmense (Monte Penna). Tesi di Laurea Magistrale, Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna (240 pp).

Glazier, D.S., 2014. Springs, in: Elias, S.A. (Ed.), Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences. Elsevier, Waltham MA, USA. (78 pp).

Gray, M., Gordon, L.E., Brown, E.J., 2013. Geodiversity and the ecosystem approach: the contribution of geoscience in delivering integrated environmental management. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association, 124, Issue 4, 659–673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pgeola.2013.01.003.

Guiry, M.D., Guiry, G.M., 2020. AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. https://www.algaebase.org; searched on 07 February 2020.

Hershler, R., Liu, H.-P., Howard, J., 2014. Springsnails: A new conservation focus in Western North America. BioScience 64 (8), 693–700.

Hofmann, G., Werum, M., Lange-Bertalot, H., 2011. Diatomeen im Süßwasser-Benthos von Mitteleuropa. Bestimmungsflora Kieselalgen für die ökologische Praxis. Über 700 der häufigsten Arten und ihre Ökologie. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G. (908 pp).

Hofmann, G., Lange-Bertalot, H., Werum, M., Klee, R., 2018. Rote Liste der limnischen Kieselalgen. Naturschutz und Biologische Vielfalt 70(7), 601–708.

Hill, M.O., Bell, N., Bruggeman-Nannenga, M.A., Brugués, M., Cano, M.J., Enroth, J., Flatberg, K.I., Frahm, J.P., Gallego, M.T., Garilleti, R., Guerra, J., Hedenäs, L., Holyoak, D.T., Hyvönen, J., Ignatov, M.S., Lara, F., Mazimpaka, V., Muñoz J., Söderström, L., 2006. An annotated checklist of the mosses of Europe and Macaronesia. J. Bryol. 28 (3), 198–267. https://doi.org/10.1179/174328206X119998

Jüttner, I., Bennion, H., Carter, C., Cox, E.J., Ector, L., Flower, R., Jones, V., Kelly, M.G., Mann, D.G., Sayer, C., Turner, J. A., Williams, D.M., 2020. Freshwater Diatom Flora of Britain and Ireland. Amgueddfa Cymru - National Museum Wales. Available online at https://naturalhistory.museumwales.ac.uk/diatoms. [accessed 7 February 2020].

Kärnä, O., Heino, J., Laamanen, T., Jyrkänkallio-Mikkola, J., Pajunen, V., Soininen, J., Tolonen, K.T., Tukiainen, H., Hjort, J., 2019. Does catchment geodiversity foster stream biodiversity?. Landscape Ecology 34 (10), 2469–2485. DOI: 10.1007/s10980-019-00901-z

Kociolek, J.P., Balasubramanian, K., Blanco, S., Coste, M., Ector, L., Liu, Y., Kulikovskiy, M., Lundholm, N., Ludwig, T., Potapova, M., Rimet, F., Sabbe, K., Sala, S., Sar, E., Taylor, J., Van de Vijver, B., Wetzel, C.E., Williams, D.M., Witkowski, A., Witkowski, J., 2020. DiatomBase. http://www.diatombase.org on 2020-03-02 (accessed 07 March 2020).

Lange-Bertalot, H., 1996. Rote liste der limnischen Kieselalgen (Bacillariophyceae) Deutschlands. Schrittenreihe für Vegetationskunde 28, 633–677.

Levkov Z. 2009. *Amphora* sensu lato. In: Lange-Bertalot H. (ed.). Diatoms of Europe vol. 5: 5–916.

Linhart, C., Schagerl, M., 2015. Seasonal succession of the travertine-forming desmid *Oocardium stratum*. Journal of Phycology 51, 1055–1065. DOI: 10.1111/jpy.12345.

Lowe, R.L., Kociolek, P., Johansen, J.R., Van de Vijver, B., Lange-Bertalot, H., Kopalová, K., 2014. *Humidophila* gen.nov., a new genus for a group of diatoms (Bacillariophyta) formerly within the genus *Diadesmis*: species from Hawaii, including one new species. Diatom Research 29(4), 351–360.

Marroni, M., Meneghini, F., Pandolfi, L., 2010. Anatomy of the Ligure-Piemontese subduction system: evidence from Late Cretaceous–middle Eocene convergent margin deposits in the Northern Apennines, Italy. International Geology Review 52(10-12), 1160–1192. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00206810903545493

Meinzer, O.E., 1923. Outline of ground-water hydrology. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Water Supply Paper 494, Washington Government Printing Office.

Merk, M., Goeppert, N., Goldscheider, N., 2020. Processes Controlling Spatial and Temporal Dynamics of Spring Water Chemistry in the Black Forest National Park. Science of the Total Environment 723, 137742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137742

Nascimbene, J., Spitale, D., Thüs, H., Cantonati, M., 2011. Congruencies between photoautotrophic groups in springs of the Italian Alps: implications for conservation strategies. Journal of Limnology 70(Suppl. 1), 3–8. DOI: 10.4081/jlimnol.2011.s1.3

Neal, C., Shand, P., 2002. Spring and surface water quality of the Cyprus ophiolites. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci. 6, 797–817. https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00304729

Oksanen, J., Guillaume Blanchet, F., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Henry, M., Stevens, H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., 2019. *Vegan*: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5–6.

Pascual, R., Nebra, A., Gomà, J., Pedrocchi, C., Cadiach, O., García, G., Solé, J., 2020. First data on the biological richness of mediterranean springs. Limnetica 39(1), 121–139. DOI: 10.23818/limn.39.09

Piccinini, L., Vincenzi, V., Pontin, A., Andreella, G., D'Agostini, S., 2013. Groundwater drainage into a tunnel in fractured rock mass (Flysch): Numerical modeling to predict maximum rate of water drainage. Geoingegneria Ambientale e Mineraria 140(3), 5–20.

R Core Team, 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/ (accessed 07 March 2003).

Ros, R.M., Mazimpaka, V., Abou-Salama, U., Aleffi, M., Blockeel, T.L., Brugués, M., Cano, M.J., Cros, R.M., Dia, M.G., Dirkse, G.M., El Saadawi, W., Erdağ, A., Ganeva, A., Gonzáles-Macebo, J.M., Herrnstadt, I., Khalil, K., Kürschner, H., Lanfranco, E., Losada-Lima, A., Refai, M.S., Rodríguez- Nuñez, S., Sabovljevic, M., Sergio, C., Shabbara, H., Sim-Sim, M., Söderström, L., 2007. Hepatics and Anthocerotes of the Mediterranean, an annotated checklist. Cryptogamie Bryologie 28(4), 351–437.

Segadelli, S., Vescovi, P., Ogata, K., Chelli, A., Zanini, A., Boschetti, T., Petrella, E., Toscani, L., Gargini, A., Celico, F., 2017a. A conceptual hydrogeological model of ophiolitic aquifers (serpentinized peridotite): The test example of Mt. Prinzera (northern Italy). Hydrological Processes 31,1058–1073. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.11090

Segadelli, S., Vescovi, P., Chelli, A., Petrella, E., De Nardo, M.T., Gargini, A., 2017b. A conceptual hydrogeological model of ophiolitic aquifers (serpentinised peridotite): The test example of Mt. Prinzera (Northern Italy). Hydrological Processes 31(5), 1058–1073. https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2017.1376228

Shannon, C. E. 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell System Technical Journal 27:37–42.

Spaulding, S.A., Bishop, I.W., Edlund, M.B., Lee, S., Furey, P., Jovanovska, E., Potapova, M., 2019. Diatoms of North America. https://diatoms.org/ (accessed 07 March 2003).

Tomaselli, M., Spitale, D., Petraglia, A., 2011. Phytosociological and ecological study of springs in Trentino (south-eastern Alps, Italy). Journal of limnology, 70(1s), 23–53. DOI: 10.3274/JL11-70-S1-03

Van der Kamp, G., 1995. The hydrogeology of springs in relation to the biodiversity of spring fauna: a review. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 68, 4–17.

Veysseyre, A., Moutard, K., Ferrari, C., Van de Velde, K., Barbante, C., Cozzi, G., Capodaglio, G., Boutron, C., 2001. Heavy metals in fresh snow collected at different altitudes in the Chamonix and Maurienne valleys, French Alps: initial results. Atmospheric Environment 35, 415–425.

Vincenzi, V., Gargini, A., Goldscheider, N., 2009. Using tracer tests and hydrological observations to evaluate effects of tunnel drainage on groundwater and surface waters in the Northern Apennines (Italy). Hydrogeology Journal 17(1), 135–150.

Vincenzi, V., Gargini, A., Goldscheider, N., Piccinini, L., 2014. Differential hydrogeological effects of draining tunnels through the northern Apennines, Italy. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering 47(3), 947–965.

Wedepohl, K.H., 1995. The composition of the continental crust. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 59, 1217–1232.

Werum, M., Lange-Bertalot, H., 2004. Diatoms in springs from Central Europe and elsewhere under the influence of hydrogeology and anthropogenic impacts. Iconographia Diatomologica 13. A. R. G. Gantner Verlag K. G., Ruggell (480 pp.).

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Geological map with the location of the springs studied. The small images exemplify the geodiversity that characterizes the landscape of the Emilia-Romagna Region. (4) The basalts of Mt. Ciapa Liscia, 1658 m a.s.l. (9) The serpentinized peridotites of Mt. Prinzera, 736 m a.s.l. (1) View to the East from the Fugicchia Pass: siliciclastic arenites, 1667 m a.s.l. (12) Parallel-stratified calcarenite deposits, 636 m a.s.l. See Table 1 for the meaning of the codes of the 16 springs.

Fig. 2. Hydrogeological block diagram of groundwater flow systems in the main hard rock aquifers outcropping in the study area. Spring numbers are as in Fig. 1.

Fig. 3. Representative images of springs of the different ecomorphological types and subcategories.

Fig. 4. Box plots of the main environmental biota determinants.

Fig. 5. LM and SEM (3a external view; 9e internal view) micrographs of selected frequent and abundant, characteristic, rare and Red List diatom species of the different ecomorphological types. The micrographs (sites) follow the usual order of increasing mineralization. **1a-d**, **Helo_LCSe**, 1a *Navicula angusta*, 1b *Gomphonema pseudobohemicum*, 1c *Eunotia soleirolii* “dwarf”, 1d *Stauroneis smithii*; **2a-d**, **CaLS_LCFS**, 2a *Encyonema neomesianum*, 2b *Eunotia cisalpina*, 2c *Chamaepinnularia muscicola*, 2d *Kolbesia carissima*; **3a-d**, **FoVe_LCFS**, 3a *Geissleria acceptata*, 3b *Gomphonema sphenovertex*, 3c *Nitzschia dealpina*, 3d *Achnanthidium lineare*; **4a-c**, **CiLi_HygS**), 4a *Gomphonema minusculum*, 4b *Encyonema ventricosum*, 4c *Amphora micra*; **5a-c**, **MtNe_ShFS-Hi**, 5a *Encyonema vulgare*, 5b *Encyonema sublangebertalotii*, 5c *Encyonopsis moseri*; **6a**, **MtPe_ShFS-Hi**, 6a *Brachysira calcicola*; **7a-c**, **Laga_ShFS-Hi**, 7a *Sellaphora atomoides*, 7b *Sellaphora seminulum*, 7c *Gomphosphenia fontinalis* girdle view; **8a-b**, **MaPa_ShFS-Hi**), 8a

Navicula splendicula, 8b *Neidiomorpha binodiformis*. **9a-f**, **Prin_ShFS-pH**, 9a *Gomphonema auritum*, 9b *Navicula veronensis*, 9c-d *Humidophila irata*, 9e *Reimeria uniseriata*, 9f *Encyonema bipartitum*; **10a**, **Bari_ShFS-mc**, 10a *Gomphonema angustum*; **11a-c**, **Cara_LPS-sn**, 11a *Gomphonema lateripunctatum*, 11b *Achnanthidium trinode*, 11c *Gomphonema tenoccultum*; **12a-d**, **Laba_LPS-le**, 12a *Cymbella tridentina*, 12b *C. tumidula*, 12c-d *Denticula elegans*: 12c valve view, 12d girdle view; **13a**, **FoVR_PS-Su**, 13a *Fragilaria famelica* low-salinity morphotype; **14a-c**, **FoVR_PS-Sh**, 14a *Staurophora wislouchii*, 14b-c *Planothidium werumianum*: 14b RL valve, 14c raphe valve; **15a-b**, **Poia_MiSp-SC**, 15a *Nitzschia frustulum*, 15b *Fragilaria famelica*.

Fig. 6. Site-environmental variables, and species-environmental variables CCA biplots for the epilithon and the epibryon, respectively. See Table 1 for the meaning of the codes of the 16 springs. Species OMNIDIA acronyms: PDAO = *Psammothidium daonense*, ADOL = *Achnanthidium* sp. aff. *dolomiticum*, ADTR = *Achnanthidium trinode*, NULA = *Nupela lapidosa*, GTNO = *Gomphonema tenoccultum*, ONEO = *Odontidium neolongissimum*, GLAT = *Gomphonema lateripunctatum*, PMCR = *Psammothidium microscopicum*, GCUN = *Gomphonema cuneolus*, AINA = *Amphora inariensis*, NEXI = *Navicula exilis*, ADFO_mn = *Achnanthidium fontisalinae* sp. nov. mn, AIND = *Amphora indistincta*, CRUM = *Crenotia rumrichorum*, COPL = *Cocconeis pseudolineata*, FFAM = *Fragilaria famelica*, PTEN_mn = *Planothidium tenuilanceolatum* sp. nov. mn; ACAF = *Achnanthidium affine*, ENCM = *Encyonopsis microcephala*, DLMI = *Delicata minuta*, GPUM = *Gomphonema pumilum*, PGRI = *Psammothidium grischunum*, GACC = *Geissleria acceptata*, HPEP = *Humidophila perpusilla*, HUCO = *Humidophila contenta*, SENI = *Sellaphora nigri*, SSEM = *Sellaphora seminulum*.

Fig. 7. Scatterplots showing the relations between diatom-epibryon Shannon-Wiener diversity (*H'*) and vegetation Shannon-Wiener diversity (*H'*) and lithology. Explanations of the eight values of the variable 'lithology' are given in Table 1.

Fig. 8. Scatterplots showing the relations between selected zoobenthos groups (absolute abundances) and lithology. The meaning of the eight values of the variable ‘lithology’ are explained in Table 1.

Supplemental online materials

Supplementary material Table 1. The seventy morphological, physical, and chemical variables and parameters measured in the 16 springs.

Supplementary material Table 2. Enrichment factors for the trace elements and metals measured in the springs studied (2011).

Supplementary material Table 3. List of all diatom species identified in the samples. N. occurrences, min, max relative abundance %); Red-List status, aerial species, and ecology (according to Hofmann et al., 2018).

Supplementary material Table 4. Bryophytes.

Supplementary material Table 5. Vascular plants.

Supplementary material Table 6. Zoobenthos.

Supplementary material Table 7. Water mites.

Table 1. Ecomorphological and hydrogeological classifications of the springs studied and related variables.

Spring code	**Helo_LCSe**	**CaLS_LCFS**	**FoVe_LCFS**	**CiLi_HygS**	**MtNe_ShFS-Hi**	**MtPe_ShFS-Hi**	**Laga_ShFS-Hi**	**MaPa_ShFS-Hi**	**Prin_ShFS-pH**	**Bari_ShFS-mc**	**Cara_LPS-sn**	**Laba_LPS -le**	**FoVR_PS-Su**	**FoVR_PS-Sh**	**Poia_MiSp-SC**	**GeRi_MiSp-Su**
Spring name	Helocrene at Lago Scuro (**Helo**)	Capanne Lago Scuro (**CaLS**)	Fontana del Vescovo (**FoVe**)	Ciapa Liscia (**CiLi**)	Monte Nero (**MtNe**)	Monte Penna (**MtPe**)	Lagacci (**Laga**)	Mangiapane (**MaPa**)	Prinzera (**Prin**)	Barigazzo (**Bari**)	Carameto (**Cara**)	Labante (**Laba**)	Fontanile Valle Re (**FoVR**) Sun	Fontanile Valle Re (**FoVR**) Shade	Poiano (**Poia**)	Gessi Riolo (**GeRi**)
Ecomorphology	Low Cond. (**LC**) Seepage (**Se**)	Low Conductivity (**LC**) Flowing Springs (**FS**)		Hygropetric (**Hyg**) spring (**S**)	Shaded (**Sh**) Flowing Springs (**FS**) with Hildenbrandia (**Hi**)				**ShFS** with high pH (**pH**)	**ShFS** with medium cond. (**mc**)	**LPS** small near-natural (**sn**)	**LPS** large exploited (**le**)	Pool Spring (**PS**) Sun (**Su**)	Pool Spring (**PS**) Shade (**Sh**)	Mineral Spr. (**MiSp**) Sodium Chloride (**SC**)	Mineral Spr. (**MiSp**) Sulphates (**Su**)
Cond. ($\mu S\ cm^{-1}$)	13	27	57	62	56	62	111	265	255	305	462	451	788	815	11560	2170
Shading (%)	3	20	3	70	78	69	77	77	78	67	11	8	6	72	80	96
Discharge ($L\ s^{-1}$)	0,01	0,8	1	1	1,2	3,5	3,5	0,9	0,03	0,4	0,07	15,5	0,5	0,5	50	2,5
pH	7,27	6,41	7,31	7,30	7,63	6,56	7,47	7,70	11,20	7,48	7,66	8,20	7,11	7,22	7,44	7,83
Na$^+$ ($mg\ L^{-1}$)	1,5	1,3	1,6	1,5	0,39	1,8	1,0	2,8	33	6,7	4,4	4,8	21	22	2250	11,1
Cl$^-$ ($mg\ L^{-1}$)	1,7	1,2	1,1	1,4	0,7	1,2	1,0	1,5	24,0	2,7	2,6	7,5	41,0	41,0	4370,0	13,6
SO$_4^{2-}$ ($mg\ L^{-1}$)	1,7	1,5	1,8	2,8	0,81	2,5	2,2	10,7	9,7	27	45	27	69	68	2090	144
Lithology var. (1-8)	1	1	1	2	3	2	3	4	4	5	6	6	7	7	8	8
Lithology	siliciclastic arenites			basalts	peridotites	basalts	peridotites	serpentinized peridot.		lithic arenites	limest. flyschs; calcarenites		gravel and sand		gypsum	
Aquifer	turbidites			ophiolites						turbidites			alluvial deposits		evaporites	
Aquifer broad cat.	Hard Rock Aquifers (HRA)												Porous aquifer		Karst	

Table 2. Main morphological, physical, and chemical characteristics as emerging from data processing and statistical analyses.

Spring code	**Helo_ LCSe**	**CaLS_ LCFS**	**FoVe_ LCFS**	**CiLi_ HygS**	**MtNe_ ShFS-Hi**	**MtPe_ ShFS-Hi**	**Laga_ ShFS-Hi**	**MaPa_ ShFS-Hi**	**Prin_ ShFS-pH**	**Bari_ ShFS-mc**	**Cara_ LPS-sn**	**Laba_ LPS-le**	**FoVR_ PS-Su**	**FoVR_ PS-Sh**	**Poia_ MiSp-SC**	**GeRi_ MiSp-Su**
Longitude E	10°2'56.534"	10°2'54.256"	10°2'26.790"	9°28'2.709"	9°31'13.674"	9°30'29.493"	9°35'41.766"	9°29'24.606"	10°4'45.008"	9°48'37.719"	9°45'35.165"	11°2'9.836"	10°31'22.143"	10°31'20.988"	10°26'21.274"	11°43'50.073"
Latitude N	44°22'41.151"	44°22'40.381"	44°22'44.767"	44°34'14.370"	44°33'38.595"	44°29'6.029"	44°37'53.091"	44°46'19.328"	44°38'45.603"	44°36'44.062"	44°39'50.840"	44°15'38.339"	44°45'53.362"	44°45'50.898"	44°23'20.168"	44°14'8.570"
Elevation (m a.s.l.)	1534	1547,5	1613	1381	1509	1324	926	736	492	880	758	603	31,3	32	430	167,5
Discharge (L s^{-1})	0,01	0,8	1	1	1,2	3,5	3,5	0,9	0,03	0,4	0,07	15,5	0,5	0,5	50	2,5
Variab. Ind. Disch.	200	50	269	172	98	70	162	53	95	93	150	233	20	20	129	200
T (°C)	9,8	8,8	4,7	5,4	5,8	5,3	7,7	11,1	14	7,9	12,8	15,4	15	13,2	9,6	11,9
Conduct. (µS cm^{-1})	13	27	57	62	56	62	111	265	255	305	462	451	788	815	11560	2170
pH	7,27	6,41	7,31	7,3	7,63	6,56	7,47	7,7	11,2	7,48	7,66	8,2	7,11	7,22	7,44	7,83
HCO_3^- (mg L^{-1})	14	8,2	18	20	20	19	39	93	40	92	145	143	210	208	79	110
Ca^{2+} (mg L^{-1})	6,9	4,2	10,7	11,5	0,77	10,3	2,4	23	10,3	43	88	69	143	142	880	651
Mg^{2+} (mg L^{-1})	0,63	0,42	0,53	1,7	7,3	1,5	14,7	25	0,11	15	15,5	23	29	29	4,1	39
TP (µg L^{-1})	<1	4	14	3	<1	7	6	<1	10	<1	6	4	43	3	33	11
NO_3^- (mg L^{-1})	0,17	1,1	1,1	0,43	0,46	1,2	0,64	1,1	<0.05	1,1	0,12	1,9	41	48	0,56	14,6
Cr (µg L^{-1})	0,28	0,24	0,5	1,1	40	0,88	16,8	15,4	0,18	0,85	0,12	0,38	1	0,29	3,4	1,06
Ni (µg L^{-1})	0,54	0,15	0,19	0,31	2,6	0,24	4,4	10,8	0,14	0,74	0,74	1,33	3,3	1,35	3	5,4
Cu (µg L^{-1})	1,11	0,24	0,13	0,11	0,17	0,17	0,14	0,93	0,54	0,18	0,19	0,17	1,11	0,25	7,1	0,33
Zn (µg L^{-1})	1,21	0,36	0,2	0,13	1,15	0,62	0,32	0,71	0,28	0,26	0,36	0,2	3	0,44	0,32	0,38
Fe (µg L^{-1})	15	9,3	18	28	7,2	14	13	82	8,4	59	58	38	391	93	274	643
Mn (µg L^{-1})	11,1	0,15	0,061	0,079	0,26	0,079	0,18	1,9	0,26	1,6	0,33	0,078	10,2	0,43	0,52	0,23

Table 3. Diatom species richness (*S*) and Shannon-Wiener diversity (*H'*).

S	epilithon	epibryon	debris	***H'***	epilithon	epibryon	debris
min	7	7	5	**min**	0,56	0,25	0,52
max	46	65	22	**max**	2,59	2,15	2,29
mean	25	28	16	**mean**	1,74	1,57	1,41
N	12	14	3	**N**	12	14	2

Table 4. Diatom (epilithon and epibryon) Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) data.

epilithon	*df*	*Chi2*	*F*	*P*	epibryon	*df*	*Chi2*	*F*	*P*
elevation	1	0,575	1,343	0,039	**elevation**	1	0,636	1,413	0,029
logNO3	1	0,523	1,222	0,132	**HCO3**	1	0,540	1,200	0,228
Cr	1	0,657	1,535	0,038	**Mg**	1	0,418	0,930	0,562
					logNO3	1	0,726	1,613	0,044
Residual	10	4,280			**Residual**	9	4,050		

Table 5. Landscape-level (ɣ) diversity partitioning for diatoms and water mites.

	DIATOMS								WATER MITES	
	Richness				**Shannon-Wiener**				**Richness**	
	epilithon		epibryon		epilithon		epibryon			
	statistic	%	statistic	%	statistic	%	statistic	%	statistic	%
alpha.1	23,5	0,12	28,4	0,13	1,69	0,51	1,57	0,50	5,07	0,13
gamma	199		214		3,35		3,13		39	
beta.1	175,5	0,88	185,6	0,87	1,65	0,49	1,56	0,50	33,9	0,87


N
W
E
S
10°0'0"E
20°0'0"E
Study area
Roma
40°0'0"N
40°0'0"N
0 75 150 300 450 600 Km
10°0'0"E
BOLOGNA
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
Legend
● The Springs studied (EBERs Project)
Emilia-Romagna plain, alluvial deposits
Aquitard / Aquiclude
Hard Rock Aquifers
Dominant marly-calcareous sequence
Pelitic-arenaceous sequence and conglomerates
Ophiolites
Karst and soluble rocks
Evaporites
Limestones
1 Helo_LCSe
2 CaLS_LCFS
3 FoVe_LCFS
4 CiLi_HygS
5 MtNe_ShFS-Hi
6 MtPe_ShFS-Hi
7 Laga_ShFS-Hi
8 MaPa_ShFS-Hi
9 Prin_ShFS-pH
10 Bari_ShFS-mc
11 Cara_LPS-sn
12 Laba_LPS-le
13 FoVR_PS-Su
14 FoVR_PS-Sh
15 Poia_MiSp-SC
16 GeRi_MiSp-Su
0 20 40
Km


A
A
A
A
A
A
1
2
3
D
D
4
6
SWE
12
D
C
C
5
8
7
10
SWE
A'
Mi
SWE
D
B
9
A
A'
Moss - covered limestone cascade slope
11
Pool terrace or rapids and waterfits limestone deposits
LEGEND
Tectonic fault:
Impermeable core (cross-ft flow barrier)
Permeable damage zone (along-ft flow path)
Gravitational fault:
(detensioned core and detensioned damage zone)
Landslide deposit
Lake
Limestone Precipitating Springs (L.P.S.)
Aquitard / Aquiclude
Fractured aquifer, saturated zone perched groundwater
Fractured aquifer, saturated zone basal groundwater
Porous aquifer, saturared zone
12
Spring studied (EBERs Project)
Flow in the saturated zone
Groundwater-surface
A
Fractured aquifer
B
Detensioned: stress release
C
Slope affected by block-slide gravitational movement and side-valley unloading
D
Groundwater from landslide or slope debris fan deposits
Mi
Mires
SWE
Small wetland ecosystems

Figure


LOW-COND. SEEPAGE
a
LOW-COND. FLOWING SPR.
b
HYGROPETRIC SPRING
c
SHADED FLOWING SPRINGS:
- with *Hildenbrandia*
d
- high pH
e
LPS: - small, near-natural
f
LPS: - large, exploited
g
POOL SPRINGS: - shaded
h
MINERAL SPRINGS: - NaCl
i


Discharge Variability Index
50
100
150
200
250
Shading (%)
0
20
40
60
80
Conductivity (µS cm^{-1})
0
2000
4000
6000
8000
12000
HCO$_3^-$ (mg L^{-1})
50
100
150
200
NO$_3^-$ (mg L^{-1})
0
10
20
30
40
Cr (µg L^{-1})
0
10
20
30
40
Ni (µg L^{-1})
0
2
4
6
8
10
Cu (µg L^{-1})
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7


LOW-CONDUCTIVITY SEEPAGE (1)
1a 1b 1c 1d
LOW CONDUCTIVITY FLOWING SPRINGS (2-3)
2a 2b 2c 2d 3a 3b 3c 3d
HYGROPETRIC SPRING (4)
4a 4b 4c
10 µm
SHADED FLOWING SPRINGS:
- with *Hildenbrandia* (5-8)
- high pH (9)
- medium cond. (10)
5a 5b 5c 6a 7a 7b 7c 8a 8b
9a 9b 9c 9d 9e 9f
10a
LIMESTONE PRECIPITATING SPRINGS:
- small, near-natural (11) - large exploited (12)
11a 11b 11c 12a 12b 12c 12d
POOL SPRINGS:
- shaded (14)
13a 14a 14b 14c
- sun exposed (13)
MINERAL SPRINGS: (15)
15a 15b


Epilithon
Epibryon
elevation
CaLS_LCFS
Cara_LPS-le
CiLi_Hyg
FoVR_PS-Su
MaPa_ShFS-Hi
FoVe_LCFS
Helo_LCSe
Laba_LPS-le
MtNe_ShFS-Hi
Laga_ShFS-Hi
Cr
MtPe_ShFS-Hi
FoVR_PS-Sh
log NO_3^-
Poia_MiSp-SC
CCA 2
2
1
0
−1
−2
−3
−3
−2
−1
0
1
2
3
elevation
Mg^{2+}
Laba_LPS-le
MtNe_ShFS-Hi
MtPe_ShFS-Hi
MaPa_ShFS-Hi
HCO_3^-
Cara_LPS-le
Bari_ShFS-m
CaLS_LCFS
log NO_3^-
Poia_MiSp-SC
FoVR_PS-Sh
Prin_ShFS-pH
Laga_ShFS-Hi
3
2
1
0
−1
−2
−3
−4
−2
0
2
4
elevation
PDAO ADOL
ADTR NULA
GTNO
ONEO
GLAT
PMCR
GCUN
Cr
AINA
NEXI ADFO_mn AIND
CRUM COPL
PTEN_mn FFAM
log NO_3^-
CCA 2
2
1
0
−1
−2
−3
−3
−2
−1
0
1
2
3
CCA 1
elevation
ACAF
ENCM
Mg
DLMI
GPUM
PGRI AIND AINA
HCO_3^-
GACC ADOL
log NO_3^-
FFAM
HPEP
ENCM HUCO
SENI
SSEM
3
2
1
0
−1
−2
−3
−4
−2
0
2
4
CCA 1

Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image]



Figure
Click here to download high resolution image