Effect of biochar amendment on organic matter and dissolved organic matter composition of agricultural soils from a two-year field experiment

1　**Effect of biochar amendment on organic matter and dissolved organic matter composition of**

2　**agricultural soils from a two-year field experiment**

3

4　Alessandro G. Rombolà[a], Cristian Torri[b], Ivano Vassura[c], Elisa Venturini[c], Roberto Reggiani[d],

5　Daniele Fabbri[a]

6

7　*[a]Department of Chemistry "Giacomo Ciamician" and C.I.R.I. MAM Tecnopolo di Rimini, University*

8　*of Bologna, Via Dario Campana 71, 47192, Rimini, Italy*

9　*[b]Department of Chemistry "Giacomo Ciamician", University of Bologna, Campus di Ravenna, via*

10　*Sant'Alberto 163, 48123, Ravenna, Italy*

11　*[c]Department of Industrial Chemistry "Toso-Montanari" and C.I.R.I. FRAME, University of Bologna,*

12　*Campus di Rimini, via Dario Campana 71, 47922, Rimini, Italy*

13　*[d]Experimental Farm Stuard SCRL, Strada Madonna dell'Aiuto 7/a, 43126, San Pancrazio (Parma),*

14　*Italy*

15

16　* Corresponding author: alessandro.rombola@unibo.it (A.G. Rombolà)

17

18　**Abstract**

19　Dissolved organic matter (DOM) is an important organic matter fraction that plays a key role in many

20　biological and chemical processes in soil. The effect of biochar addition on the content and

21　composition of soil organic matter (SOM) and DOM in an agricultural soil in central Italy was

22　investigated within a two-year period. UV-Vis spectroscopy and analytical pyrolysis have been

23　applied to study complex components in DOM soil samples. Additionally, analytical pyrolysis was

24　used to provide qualitative information of SOM at molecular level and the properties of biochar before

25　and one year after amendment. A method was developed to quantify biochar levels by

26　thermogravimetric analysis that enabled to identify deviations from the amendment rate. The water-

soluble organic carbon (WSOC) in the amended soils were significantly lower than those in the

control soils, indicating that biochar decreased the leaching of DOM. DOM in treated soils was

characterized by a higher aromatic character according to analytical pyrolysis and UV-Vis

spectroscopy. Moreover, a relatively high abundance of compounds with N was observed in

pyrolyzed of treated soils, suggesting that biochar increased the proportion of microbial DOM. The

results from thermal and spectroscopy techniques are consistent in highlighting significant changes

in DOM levels and composition due to biochar application with important effects on soil carbon

storage and cycling.

Keywords: Biochar; Dissolved organic carbon; Py-GC-MS; Soil amendment; PAH

1. Introduction

Biochar soil amendment continues to receive worldwide interests for integrated

agricultural/environmental strategies to build soil, enhance water quality, and increase agricultural

productivity while sequestering C and thus mitigating global climate change (Lehmann and Joseph,

2015; Woolf et al., 2016; Purakayastha et al., 2019; Majumder et al., 2019; Giagnoni et al., 2019).

The agronomic and environmental impacts of biochar, and especially its potential as a C sequestration

strategy, require a full comprehension of its effects on native soil organic matter (SOM). However,

while there can be no doubt that the application of biochar to soils increases the recalcitrant fraction

of soil organic carbon (SOC), little is known about how biochar addition affects SOM composition,

especially dissolved organic matter (DOM). Moreover, understanding the real or possible benefits

and drawbacks of using biochar in agroenvironmental management requires knowledge of quantity

of biochar remaining in soil (Koide et al., 2011; Dong et al., 2017). A variety of thermal and chemical

soil analysis methods have been used for biochar quantification (Raya-Moreno et al., 2017), and the

most suitable methods for its assessment are still under debate (Nakhli et al., 2019).

52 DOM, the more mobile and bioavailable fraction of organic matter in soil, is commonly defined as a

53 continuum of organic molecules of different sizes and structures that pass through a filter of 0.45 μm

54 pore size, including dissolved organic carbon (DOC), dissolved organic nitrogenous and dissolved

55 organic phosphorus compounds (Song et al., 2020). DOM is a major form of organic matter and is

56 made up of a small amount of organic acids, sugars, amino acids and humic substances (Nebbioso et

57 al., 2013; Kalbitz et al., 2000). DOM plays a key role in soil aggregation (formation of organometallic

58 complexes), energy source for microorganisms, as well as C storage, cycling, and provision of plant-

59 available nutrients. The change of DOM contents is affected by many anthropogenic and natural

60 factors. Especially DOM is dynamically balanced with complex processes in farmland soil.

61 The incorporation of biochar into soil systems represents an input of biochar-derived DOM that could

62 play an important role in the carbon dynamics and microbial communities in soil. Once applied in the

63 field, biochar could change the content and composition of soil DOM (Smebye et al., 2016; Liu et

64 al., 2019; Feng et al., 2021). In recent years, biochar addition effects on DOM have attracted

65 considerable attention of researchers. However, the results seem to be contradictory. For instance,

66 Smebye et al. (2016) in a batch experiment found that biochar could increase the leaching of DOM

67 from soil, as well as change the DOM composition towards molecules with a larger size and higher

68 aromaticity by sorbing smaller aliphatic species to its micropores. Such effect was also observed in

69 field experiments (Zhang et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019). In these studies, DOM released from biochar

70 and biochar induced increase of soil pH and hydraulic conductivity were presented as possible

71 mechanisms responsible for the increase in DOM content. On the other hand an opposite effect due

72 to biochar amendment has also been reported. Eykelbosh et al. (2015) in a column experiment found

73 that biochar amended soil attenuated DOM leaching and the biochar preferentially retained high-

74 molecular weight, humic-like DOM species. Dong et al. (2019), in a long-term field experiment,

75 proposed that biochar had little effect on soil DOM content. Differences in the results among these

76 studies are primarily attributed to the largely variable properties of different biochars and soils. In

77 fact, some findings have confirmed that the content, composition and characteristics of biochar DOM

78   are related to the biochar source, its preparation process and extraction and used analysis methods (Li

79   et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2019). For instance, the pyrolysis temperature is a critical

80   factor affecting the balance of release and adsorption, with the biochars produced at lower

81   temperatures (<400°C) increasing DOM content mainly by releasing indigenous DOM, while

82   biochars produced at higher temperatures (>700°C) decrease DOM content mainly by adsorbing soil

83   DOM (Feng et al, 2021). Feedstock type seemed to be a less important factor according to the results

84   of this study, though it could affect the release and adsorption of DOM by biochar to a certain extent.

85   The biochar impact on soil DOM is a multi-factor problem related to biochar characteristics, soil

86   properties as well as experimental conditions. Given the complexity of DOM several advanced

87   techniques were used to provide information about relevant changes due to biochar application:

88   namely UV-Vis spectroscopy (Zhang et al., 2020), fluorescent excitation-emission matrices (EEMs)

89   with parallel factor (PARAFAC) analysis for UV-fluorescence spectroscopy (Fan et al., 2020),

90   Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometry (Zhang et al., 2020), Liquid

91   Chromatography-Organic Carbon Detection (LC-OCD), Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometer

92   (GC–MS) (Taherymoosavi et al., 2016), high-resolution Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Orbitrap MS)

93   (Pan et al., 2020) and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) (Bi et al., 2021).

94   Among available techniques, Py-GC-MS is a useful tool that allows a direct investigation of DOM,

95   providing information on molecular structures thereof, and was previously used for the study of

96   biochar-derived DOM. Nonetheless, Py-GC-MS was never used to investigate the structure of soil

97   DOM consequential to biochar application experiments.

98   The objective of the present work is to increase the present knowledge on the impacts of biochar

99   additions on the organic carbon pool in treated agricultural soils by an array of different analytical

100   techniques. The changes of organic carbon (total and recalcitrant) in agricultural soils with repeated

101   treatments have been previously investigated in a field experiment previously (Rombolà et al., 2015;

102   Rombolà et al., 2019). These studies have not addressed the effects on the chemistry of the more

103   mobile and bioavailable fraction of soil organic matter, as the water-soluble fraction. For this purpose,

104 this study investigated the SOM and DOM evolution in agricultural soils amended with two different

105 doses of biochar obtained by a two-year field experiment, studying quantity and quality

106 characteristics by a multi-methodological approach. In order to evaluate the real effect of the biochar

107 content on OM and DOM composition of the soil, a quick, accurate and robust method was developed

108 to quantify the biochar in amended soils by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). This study reports for

109 the first time the combined application of Py-GC-MS and spectroscopic analysis to soil samples

110 deriving from a multi-annual time-scale biochar incubation experiment of a cultivated soil to assess

111 the effect of biochar amendment on the characteristics of soil DOM. Additionally, Py-GC-MS was

112 used to provide qualitative information on SOM and to study the properties of biochar before and one

113 year after amendment.

114

115 **2. Materials and Methods**

116 **2.1. Field experiment**

117 The field experiment was conducted over two consecutive growing seasons (2016/2017 and

118 2017/2018) at the "Ganazzoli Filippo" farm (Parma, Emilia-Romagna, Italy) on an agricultural soil

119 (named as GA) classified as clay (USDA, 2005) textured with 7.7% sand, 37.1% silt and 55.2% clay.

120 The soil characteristics were as follows: pH 8.14±0.01, total C 3.64±0.09%, total N 0.19±0.02%, total

121 H 0.99±0.02%, and a cation exchange capacity of 37.2 mequiv 100 g^{-1}. The amount of total organic

122 carbon (TOC) present in the agriculture soil is of 2%, in the typical range of common SOC

123 concentrations.

124 The biochar employed (named as PSR) in the amendment of agricultural soils is a commercially

125 available biochar derived by pyrogasification of forest wood and brushwood waste (Borgo Val di

126 Taro, Italy). The experimental design consisted of a randomized complete block with plots of 7.5 m^2

127 (5 × 1.5 m), considering ten treatments: two controls without biochar (GA17-T sampled in 2017 and

128 GA18-T sampled in 2018) and soil treated with different amount of biochar PSR: 15 t ha^{-1} (GA17-

129 15), 30 t ha^{-1} (GA17-30), 45 t ha^{-1} (GA17-45) and 60 t ha^{-1} (GA17-60) of biochar applied in 2016 and

130   sampled in 2017; 30 t ha^{-1} (GA18-30), 60 t ha^{-1} (GA18-60), 90 t ha^{-1} (GA18-90) and 120 t ha^{-1} (GA18-

131   120) of biochar applied two times, in 2016 and 2017 at the same rate and sampled in 2018. There

132   were four plots per treatment, resulting in a total area of 30 m^2 for each. The biochar was incorporated

133   manually into the top 20 cm of soil immediately, using a hand hoe, to leave an apparently uniform

134   distribution.

135   Control and soil treated by six levels of biochar amendment were sampled for analysis in December

136   2017 and May 2018 in five randomly chosen points in the space of each replicate by means of soil

137   core sampler at 0-30 cm. A total of forty samples were collected from soil depths of up to 30 cm.

138   Forty subsamples (one for each plot, ~ 50 g) were prepared and examined, each subsample was dried

139   at 40 °C, sieved (mesh size: 2 mm) to obtain homogeneous samples free of stones, larger roots, wood

140   sticks and other coarse fragments, and stored at – 20.

141   Composite samples were prepared (about 4 g) for each different amount of biochar added to soil, by

142   joining about 1 g of subsamples taken from the different batches. The composite sample was

143   homogenized in a mortar prior to analysis. After the aging period, the aged biochar (BC$_{aged}$) in soil

144   was extracted by the method described in Dong et al., 2017. Biochar particles with diameter > 2 mm

145   from samples GA17-45 and GA17-60 were separated by forceps until no visible biochar particles

146   were present in soil samples. The recovered biochar particles were further separated from the soil by

147   rinsing with deionized water at a ratio of 1:10 (w/v) and shaken slightly to remove adhering soil

148   particles. This procedure was repeated four times and then the biochar dried at 60 °C. Both fresh and

149   aged BC were ground to <2 mm prior use, to aid in homogenization.

150   The TOC content in soil treated and untreated was analyzed by a TOC analyzer (mod. SSM 5000A,

151   Shimadzu) and calculated as the difference between total carbon (TC) and total inorganic carbon

152   (TIC). The carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and sulfur (C, H, N and S) contents of the biochars and soils

153   were determined by combustion using a Thermo Scientific FLASH 2000 Series CHNS/O Elemental

154   Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, U.S.A.) (Rombolà et al., 2015). The biochar samples

155   were acid tested for the presence of carbonates as described in Rombolà et al., 2016. The carbonate

content of each biochar was determined on triplicate samples by comparing TOC measured after

hydrochloric acid (HCl) treatment and total carbon.

The ash content of the biochars was determined as the residual mass left after exposure at 600 °C for

5 h. The oxygen content of the biochars was calculated from the mass balance: Oxygen (%) = 100 −

Ash content (%) − C (%) − H (%) − N (%) − S (%). The pH of the biochar and soil samples was

measured at room temperature with a digital pH meter (VWR pH100, VWR International) in a 1:10

and 1:2.5 soil:water suspension, respectively. Additional details about the properties of the PSR can

be found in Marmiroli et al. 2018.

2.2. Development of the method for quantitative analysis of biochar in soil

2.2.1. Samples

An internal reference biochar sample (MSP) was utilized for optimization of the method for biochar

determination in soil by TGA. The MSP biochar is a standard biochar purchased from the UK Biochar

Center, University of Edinburgh. MSP was obtained from pyrolysis of Miscanthus at 700 °C (UK

Biochar Research Centre, 2018). Other two available biochars already characterized were used in the

tests (Table 1). The first one (RB) is a standard biochar obtained by a pilot up-draft gasification plant

from commercial wood pellet (700-800°C) developed in the framework of the research project

RIFASA (Regione Emilia-Romagna); temperature was between 700 and 800 °C. The second one is

a biochar highly characterized (BC1) (Bachmann et al., 2016) obtained from pyrolysis of shavings

from wood chip production at 620 °C.

Biochar samples were thoroughly homogenized and oven-dried at 40 °C for 72 h and stored at − 20

°C prior to analysis. Proximate analysis was performed according to ASTM D7582 method (ASTM,

2015) with slight modifications with a thermogravimetric analyzer (Mettler Toledo TGA /SDTA

851e).

Soil samples were provided by "Azienda Agricola Sperimentale Stuard". The soils were sampled

from agricultural soils of the "Azienda Agricola Querzola" (Parma, Emilia-Romagna, Italy) and of

182   the " Azienda Agricola Sperimentale Tadini" (Podenzano, Emilia-Romagna, Italy) by means of soil

183   core sampler at 0-30 cm. Specifically, two different types of agricultural soil were used, a carbonate-

184   rich (Q) and a carbonate-poor soil (T) from Querzola and Tadini Farm, respectively. They were

185   prepared as indicated in CEN EN 16179 method (European Standards, 2012). Briefly, samples were

186   air dried and extraneous materials were removed (e.g., wood sticks and stones). Then, samples were

187   homogenized and a subsample was crushed to obtain a smaller granulometry.

188   Additionally, some standards were analyzed for comparison purpose: D-(+)-Glucose ($\geq$ 99.5% w/w),

189   Sigma Aldrich; Calcium carbonate ($\geq$ 99%), Merck and, soot, which was generated in our laboratory

190   by incomplete combustion of acetylene.

191

192   **2.2.2. Analysis of biochar in soil by TGA**

193   Calibration standards containing 1.0, 2.0, 5.0 and 10% of MSP biochar were prepared by adding

194   different amount of MSP to soil samples. The mix was homogenized in a mortar before the analysis.

195   Thermogravimetric analysis was conducted by introducing sample aliquots ranging from 10 to 15 mg

196   in a 70 µL alumina crucible. The incremental mass changes (resolution of 0.0001 mg) recorded over

197   this program were processed using STARe software version 9.10. Samples were ramped under an

198   oxidant atmosphere (air, 90 mL min^{-1}) at 10 °C min^{-1} to 600 °C for organic material (OM)

199   determination, then they were ramped at 25 °C min^{-1} to 850 °C for carbonate determination (Kasozi

200   et al., 2009). The heating rates of 10 °C min^{-1} and 25 °C min^{-1} were chosen because they provided

201   the optimal resolution in soils.

202   In order to better interpret the obtained peaks, MSP and PSR biochars were also analyzed with the

203   same thermal program.

204

205   **2.2.3. Testing the method by quantitative analysis of biochar in field soil**

206   The method developed for biochar determination in soil was tested by comparing actual and

207   calculated biochar contents of approximately 10 g samples of field soil (GA) to which we added

208 varying amount of biochar. In particular, calibration standards containing 0.20, 0.50, 1.0, 2.5 and

209 5.0% (w/w) of biochar each were prepared by adding different amount of biochar PSR to control GA

210 soil composite sample. The mix was homogenized in a mortar before the analysis. GA control

211 composite sample represents the level 0% of biochar concentration in the calibration curve.

212 In addition to the five concentrations of biochar in soil (above), duplicate samples of 100% soil (no

213 biochar) and 100% biochar (no soil) were also prepared in order to determine the proportion of control

214 soil and of biochar that is lost in the temperature range of recalcitrant OM (390-600 °C).

215

216 **2.3. Characterization of organic matter in soil and biochar amended soil**

217 **2.3.1. Quantitative analysis of carbon content**

218 The ability of two different dry combustion methods to determine carbon C content was evaluated in

219 model soil/biochar systems. Specifically, TOC analyser (method 1) and HCN analyser (method 2)

220 were applied to determine total carbon (TC), TOC and TIC in agriculture soils, with low (T) and high

221 (Q) content of IC, mixed with biochar at 1.00 % wt. level. Detailed descriptions of each method are

222 provided in Supplementary Materials. The method 1 were selected for the determination biochar

223 influence on TOC levels in agricultural soil of field experiment. Briefly, TOC content was determined

224 with a TOC analyzer (mod. SSM 5000A, Shimadzu, Japan) and calculated as the difference between

225 TC and TIC. Sample was placed in a ceramic boat and introduced in the combustion tube using IR

226 detection and calibration with D-(+)-glucose and Na_2CO_3 for TC and TIC, respectively. Carbonate-

227 rich soils (TIC > 20%) showed slow combustion rates or thermal decomposition reactions causing

228 underestimated TC values, because the time limit of instrument analysis is shorter than combustion

229 time. Therefore, these samples were mixed with V_2O_5 catalyst in order to accelerate the combustion

230 rate.

231

232 **2.3.2. Molecular characterization of organic matter by analytical pyrolysis**

233 The molecular composition of SOM in agricultural soil treated with different concentrations of

234 biochar was assessed with pyrolysis-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (Py-GC-MS). Py-GC-

235 MS was performed using an EGA/PY-3030D micro-furnace pyrolyser (Frontier Laboratories Ltd.,

236 Japan) coupled with a 7890 Agilent HP gas chromatograph (GC) connected to a 5977 Agilent HP

237 quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS) (Agilent Technologies, USA). Unaltered ground samples

238 (25±0.1 mg) were placed in small crucible capsules and introduced into the furnace, which was

239 preheated at 500 or 900 °C for 1 min using helium as carrier gas (1 mL min^{-1}) and an interface

240 temperature of 280 °C. The evolved gases were then directly injected into the GC-MS for analysis.

241 The GC injector was operated in split mode with a 10:1 ratio at 280 °C. Pyrolysis products were

242 separated by a HP-5MS fused silica capillary column (stationary phase poly[5% diphenyl/95%

243 dimethyl]siloxane, 30m × 0.25mm i.d., 0.25mm film thickness, Agilent Technologies, USA) with the

244 following temperature program: 45 °C to 300°C at 10 °C min^{-1}, then a hold for 5 min at 300 °C, using

245 helium as carrier gas (1 mL min^{-1}). The MS was operated in EI positive mode (70 eV, scanning 29-

246 600 m/z) with transfer line temperature 250 °C, ion source temperature 230 °C and quadrupole

247 temperature 150 °C. The relative abundance of each pyrolysis product was calculated as the

248 percentage of their signal relative to the 18 most abundant peaks, using the main ions (m/z) of each

249 product.

250

251 **2.4. Characterization of DOM in soil and biochar amended soil**

252 **2.4.1. Extraction of DOM**

253 Water-soluble organic matter (WSOM) was extracted by a water extraction method previously

254 described in Ghidotti et al., 2017. Briefly, soils were air-dried and ground to pass through a 2 mm

255 mesh before WSOM extraction. A soil/water ratio of 1:10 (g mL^{-1}) was used to extract soil WSOM

256 by ultrapure water from a Millipore Direct-Q 5 UV system (18.2 Ω·cm, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt,

257 Germany). The WSOM was extracted by shaking at 150 rpm and 25°C for 72 h. Afterward, the

258 resulting solutions were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 8 min, and the supernatant was filtered using a

259   pre-washed PTFE syringe filter 0.45 μm (Whatman Inc., Maidstone, UK). The filtered solution was

260   stored at 4 °C until the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and the total nitrogen (TN) concentrations of

261   the WSOM were measured with a Shimadzu TOC-L analyzer. For molecular characterization by

262   analytical pyrolysis, solid DOM samples were prepared by freezing a subset of WSOM solution (20

263   mL) overnight followed by freeze-drying.

264

265   **2.4.2. Quantitative analysis of DOC and TN**

266   Concentrations of DOC and TN were determined with a Shimadzu TOC-L series analyzer coupled

267   with the TN-module (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). Quantification of each analysis is presented

268   here as mean of three to four injections of 50 μL, where the coefficient of variance for the replicate

269   injections was < 2%. The WSOC (mg g^{-1} ^{TOC}) contents of the control and the biochar amended soil

270   were determined as the proportion of the total SOC pool that was extractable by the water extraction

271   method and they were calculated using the following equation (1):

272

273   (1) $WSOC \left(\dfrac{mg\ DOC}{g\ SOC} \right) = \dfrac{V\ (L) * C\ (\frac{mg}{L})}{TOC\ \left(\frac{g}{Kg} \right) * M\ (Kg)}$

274

275   where *V* is the volume of water (L) in each extraction procedure, *C* is the DOC concentration (mg

276   L^{-1}) in the sample, TOC is the organic carbon concentration (mg Kg^{-1}) in soil sample and *M* is the

277   mass of soil sample (Kg) in each extraction procedure.

278

279   **2.4.3. Spectroscopic analysis of DOM**

280   The absorbance of DOM was determined within a spectrum of 200–600 nm using a Cary 300 UV-

281   Visible Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies). Quartz cuvettes (1 cm) were used for this purpose

282   and properly cleaned before each use. Ultrapure water (18.2 Ω·cm) was used as a reference. The

283   values of SUVA$_{254}$ (L mg^{-1} m^{-1}) were measured using Eq. (2).

284

285   (2) SUVA$_{254}$ = $\dfrac{a_{254}}{DOC}$

286

287   where a$_{254}$ is the absorption coefficient at wavelength 254 nm. The a$_{254}$ indexes the DOM aromaticity

288   and was calculated using the Eq. (3).

289

290   (3) $a_{254}\ (m^{-1}) = \dfrac{UV_{254} \times 2.303}{l\ (m)}$

291

292   In the above equation, UV$_{254}$ is the UV/Vis absorbance at a wavelength of 254 nm, 2.303 is the

293   transform coefficient, and l is the cell pathlength in meters (Green et al., 1994; Li et al., 2018).

294

295   **2.4.4. Analytical pyrolysis of DOM**

296   Analytical pyrolysis (Py-GC-MS) of DOM was performed using an EGA/PY-3030D micro-furnace

297   pyrolyser (Frontier Laboratories Ltd., Japan) coupled with a 7890 Agilent HP gas chromatograph

298   (GC) connected to a 5977 Agilent HP quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS) (Agilent Technologies,

299   USA). About 1 mg of DOM sample was placed into a pyrolysis stainless-steel cup and inserted into

300   the microfurnace. Analyses were performed with a pyrolysis temperature of 600 °C and a pyrolysis

301   time of 1 min using helium as carrier gas (1 mL min^{-1}) and an interface temperature of 280 °C. The

302   GC injector was operated in split mode with a 10:1 ratio at 280 °C. Pyrolysis products were separated

303   by a HP-5MS fused silica capillary column (stationary phase poly[5% diphenyl/95%

304   dimethyl]siloxane, 30m × 0.25mm i.d., 0.25mm film thickness, Agilent Technologies, USA) with the

305   following temperature program: 45 °C to 300°C at 10 °C min^{-1}, then a hold for 5 min at 300 °C, using

306   helium as carrier gas (1 mL min^{-1}). The MS was operated in EI positive mode (70 eV, scanning 29-

307 600 m/z) with transfer line temperature 250 °C, ion source temperature 230 °C and quadrupole

308 temperature 150 °C. The relative proportions of each pyrolysis product were calculated as the

309 percentage of the sum of all peak areas (total quantified peak area, TQPA). Quantification of each

310 pyrolysis product was based on the peak area of specific m/z fragments.

311

312 **2.5. Statistical analysis**

313 Mean and standard deviation of three replicates were used to compare results of soils and biochar

314 amended soils. All statistical analyses were done in the statistical environment R using analysis of

315 variance (ANOVA) conducted with R software version 4.0.5 (2021-03-31) followed by Tukey's post

316 hoc tests to evaluate statistically significant differences between control and biochar amended soils

317 and between sampling periods. The difference between the treated soils and the control was evaluated

318 with Dunnett's test ($p < 0.05$).

319

320 **3. Results and discussion**

321 **3.1. Soil and biochar characterization**

322 The characteristics of the biochar used for soil amendment are reported in Table 1. The molar H/C

323 and O/C ratios of 0.10 and 0.08, respectively, indicate a high degree of carbonization and aromaticity

324 (Conti et al., 2014). The C content of fresh biochar decreases to 56% with an aging period of 1 year

325 in the field (Table 1). Therefore, through the aging process, biochar C content significantly decreases

326 by 4% ($p < 0.05$). Similarly, N and ash content of aged biochar were significantly lower than fresh

327 biochar, whereas H content of aged biochar (0.94%) was significantly higher than fresh biochar

328 (0.50%).

329

330 *Table 1*. Elemental analysis (oxygen by difference), ash content, molar ratios and pH of field soil,

331 fresh and aged biochar applied in the field experimental from samples GA17-45 and GA17-60 (mean

332 values ± sd, n = 3).

Parameters	Units	Fresh biochar PSR	Aged biochar PSR	Control soil GA
TC	%	60±1.5	56.3±0.4	3.20±0.09
TOC	%	58±1.1	54.8±0.8	2.0±0.2
TIC	%	1.8±0.4	1.5±0.8	1.2±0.2
N	%	0.21±0.02	0.161±0.002	0.19±0.03
H	%	0.50±0.01	0.94±0.08	0.99±0.10
S	%	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
O	%	6.1±1.9	15±0.7	-
Ash	%	33.2±1.2	27.6±0.5	-
H/C	atomic	0.10	0.24	3.3
O/C	atomic	0.08	0.20	-
pH		9.95±0.01	-	8.14±0.01

333

334 The chemical and physical characteristics of the control soil and biochar amended soils (15, 30, 45,

335 60, 90 and 120 t ha^{-1}) during the two years since the first application of biochar are reported in Tables

336 1 and 2. The soil pH has been slightly modified by the amendments in both years (soil 2017 and

337 2018). The pH of the soil in the first year after application increased with the concentration of biochar

338 amendment, from 8.14 in the control soil to 8.37 in the biochar amended GA17-45 and in the second

339 year from 8.24 in the control soil to 8.54 in the biochar amended GA18-120 (Table 2). The increase

340 in soil pH after the application of biochar is attributed to the alkaline substances in biochar with high

341 degree of carbonization (Conti et al., 2014). Several studies showed that biochar increases the soil

342 pH (Ding et al., 2016; Rombolà et al., 2019). However, the application of biochar with lower pH than

343 the targeted soils might have the potential to decrease soil pH, especially with higher biochar

344 application rates. In these cases, acidic materials produced by the oxidation of biochar and organic

345 matters may have caused the pH decrease (Liu et al., 2012).

346
347 *Table 2*. Chemical characteristics of the control soil and biochar amended soils (15, 30, 45, 60, 90

348 and 120 t ha^{-1}) in the field experiment at different sampling dates. Mean values ± s.d. (n = 3).

Samples	Load (%)	N (%)	H (%)	TOC (%)	C/N	pH

GA17-T	0.0	0.245±0.004	1.05±0.03	1.9±0.2	13.0	8.14±0.01
GA17-15	0.42	0.256±0.007	1.02±0.04	2.2±0.2	13.3	8.20±0.01
GA17-30	0.84	0.261±0.006	1.00±0.04	2.9±0.9	16.1	8.30±0.01
GA17-45	1.26	0.260±0.004	1.06±0.04	3.0±0.8	16.5	8.37±0.02
GA17-60	1.68	0.246±0.003	1.02±0.07	2.4±0.5	15.5	8.29±0.01
GA18-T	0.0	0.230±0.001	1.05±0.06	2.0±0.1	15.3	8.24±0.01
GA18-30	0.84	0.221±0.007	1.00±0.07	2.4±0.2	18.5	8.28±0.01
GA18-60	1.68	0.254±0.019	1.07±0.05	3.8±0.8	20.9	8.40±0.01
GA18-90	2.52	0.229±0.014	0.98±0.09	3.1±0.1	20.5	8.30±0.01
GA18-120	3.36	0.219±0.025	0.94±0.06	4.2±0.5	27.9	8.54±0.01

349

3.2. Determination of biochar content in field experiment

The TGA method was applied to determine the concentration of biochar in soil samples withdrawn

from field experiments (GA) amended with different amount of biochar PSR. Mass loss at different

temperature intervals relative to labile OM (220-390 °C), recalcitrant OM (390-600 °C) and

carbonates (600-800 °C) are reported in Table 3. The soils treated with different biochar loads

presented similar content of labile OM (about 3 % mass loss) indicating that biochar did not affect

this component. Instead, the content of recalcitrant OM of field amended soils was higher than control

due to the contribution of biochar to this OM component. To the purpose of determining the

concentration of biochar, a calibration curve was generated utilizing a control soil sample mixed with

different amount of biochar PSR. The obtained data fitted the equation y = 0.688x + 3.93 (n=6) where

y is the mass loss and x the biochar concentration in soil (% weight). The mass loss of PSR biochar

occurred in the temperature range of recalcitrant OM (390-600 °C). A linear regression between

weight loss and biochar content in soil was observed, with a significant coefficient of determination

($R^2 = 0.997$). The slope was similar to that obtained with a soil rich in inorganic carbon (soil Q) in

accordance with the important mass loss in the thermal region of carbonate decomposition (Table 3).

This calibration curve was used to determine the content of biochar in the real sample. The measured

biochar content versus biochar expected content is shown in Table 3. The concentration of biochar

367 calculated with the regression curve increased with the increasing load of biochar in the soils 2017

368 from 15 to 45 t ha^{-1}.

369 The soil treated with 60 t ha^{-1} exhibited a biochar concentration determined 57% lower than expected

370 according to the values of biochar concentration calculated from the quantity of applied biochar

371 (Table 3). The trend resulting from TGA was confirmed by TOC that showed in the soils 2017 values

372 increasing from 15 to 45 t ha^{-1} and lower value at 60 t ha^{-1} (Table SM3). In the second year after

373 application, the results of the soils 2018 also showed marked differences between the biochar content

374 and biochar expected for soils treated with 30 and 60 t ha^{-1}. In fact, GA18-30 and GA18-60 exhibited

375 a concentration of biochar determine 40 and 61% lower and higher, respectively, than expected values

376 of biochar concentration calculated. The 2018 soil data also show that the biochar contents

377 determined by TGA were concordant with TOC values including discrepancies with expected values

378 (see also paragraph 3.3.1.). This finding would indicate that the discrepancy between calculated and

379 measured biochar concentration was not due to analytical errors, but inherent to the field experiment.

380 For instance, a decrease of biochar content in soil with time probably associated with physical loss

381 has been documented (Rombola et al., 2015).

382

383 *Table 3.* Data from TGA of agricultural soil samples amended with different load (t/ha) of biochar

384 PSR; concentration of biochar expected and determined by the TGA method.

385

Samples	220 - 390 C° weight loss (%)	390 - 600 C° weight loss (%)	600 - 800 C° weight loss (%)	biochar by TGA (%)	biochar calculated* (%)
GA17-T	3.01±0.02	3.94±0.02	6.20±0.07	-	0.0
GA17-15	3.00±0.07	4.10±0.08	6.07±0.03	0.25±0.08	0.42
GA17-30	3.0±0.1	4.6±0.3	6.19±0.03	1.0±0.08	0.84
GA17-45	2.96±0.01	4.82±0.04	6.3±0.1	1.29±0.04	1.26
GA17-60	2.82±0.04	4.47±0.03	6.40±0.08	0.78±0.03	1.68
GA18-T	2.77±0.04	3.95±0.12	7.06±0.3	-	0.0
GA18-30	2.97±0.12	4.28±0.07	7.74±0.7	0.51±0.1	0.84
GA18-60	3.52±0.20	5.80±0.25	8.43±0.11	2.7±0.4	1.68
GA18-90	2.84±0.18	5.56±0.20	8.05±0.01	2.4±0.3	2.52

| GA18-120 | 2.76±0.19 | 6.54±0.16 | 9.2±0.8 | 3.16±0.06 | 3.36 |

386 *The values are obtained by considering a soil bulk density of 1.2 g cm^{-3} and a soil depth of 0.3 m

387 (Zavalloni et al., 2011).

388

389 **3.3. Organic matter characterization in soils untreated and treated with biochar**

390 **3.3.1. Quantification of total and organic carbon**

391 The results determined by TOC analyser show that the biochar amendment caused a consistent

392 increase in TC and TOC with respect to control soil in the soils with biochar (Table SM3).

393 Specifically, the TOC of the GA17 soils in the first year after application significantly increased with

394 the concentration of biochar amendment, from 1.9±0.2% in the control soil to 2.9±0.9% in the soil

395 GA17-30 with 1% of biochar and 3.0±0.8% in the GA17-45 with 1.3% of biochar. In the second year

396 after application, the TOC of GA18 soils increased from 2.0±0.1% in the control to 4.2±0.5% in the

397 soil GA18-120 with 3.16 % of biochar.

398 Figure 1 shows the relationship between the TOC contents measured with the TOC analyzer and the

399 biochar concentration determined by the TGA method in the field soils treated with biochar. Each

400 data point represents the average value from four replicate measurements. Linear regression analysis

401 showed an excellent correlation (R^2 = 0.91) between the TOC contents measured and biochar

402 determined in the soils. The intercept of the relationship was 2.0% corresponding to the total content

403 of organic carbon in control (1.9-2.0%) and the slope of the correlation is equal to 0.62, which is

404 similar to the TOC of biochar (0.58 gC/gbiochar). Such data confirm that the increase of soil TOC is

405 directly due to biochar addition, and TOC variability among biochar treated soil is mainly attributed

406 to biochar variable biochar content, due to losses and translocation of thereof.

407

408 *Figure* 1. TOC % vs. biochar % by TGA in biochar amended soils (15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 t ha$^-$

409 ^{1}) from field experiment at different sampling dates. Mean values ± s.d. (n = 4).

410
411

$$y = 0.62x + 2.07$$
$$R^2 = 0.91$$

X-axis: % biochar by TGA

Y-axis: % TOC

412

413

414

3.3.2. Organic matter characterization by analytical pyrolysis

Product lists and relative proportions (% TQPA) of the Py-GC-MS analyses performed at 500 and

900 °C are shown in Appendix Tables SM4-7. Exemplar TIC pyrograms of the control and amended

soils obtained at 500°C are shown in Figure 2. These are characterized by the presence of phenols,

PAHs and MAHs. The pyrolytic pattern of the treated and untreated soils was similar in terms of

identified compounds, suggesting that the incorporation of biochar did not markedly change the

chemical nature of organic matter. Analytical pyrolysis TIC at 900 °C investigates the most stable

organic component, mainly BC-like material, but no major differences were observed between the

Py-GC-MS analyses performed at 500 °C and 900 °C. The main biochar-induced effects observed in

TIC pyrograms at 500 and 900 °C were an increase in the peak areas of pyrolysis products and a

relative enrichment in aromatic compounds. According to the TGA data, the pyrolysis temperature at

500 °C should ensure each sample decomposed completely. The relative abundance of PAHs in the

soil produced by pyrolysis at 500°C and 900 °C was higher in the soil treated with biochar than in

the control soil, 23-71% vs. 13-25% (Fig. 3A, Py 500 °C) and 43-76% vs. 37-47% (Fig. 3B, Py 900

429 °C). The appearance of PAH has traditionally been identified as a product of the analytical pyrolysis

430 of charred materials (González-Pérez et al., 2007; Girona-García et al., 2019). In analogy to the

431 pyrogenic carbon produced from the partial combustion of organic materials, including biomass and

432 fossil fuels, the biochar matrix comprises a complex assemblage of polyaromatic structures along

433 with heteroaromatic components and alkyl moieties from thermally degraded biomacromolecules

434 (Rombolà et al., 2016). However, in this study, the results of fresh and aged biochar Py-GC-MS

435 analysis showed that the increased levels of PAHs in amended soils in comparison to control soil are

436 not caused by analytical pyrolysis of biochar present in the soil. Moreover, the results (see paragraph

437 3.6.) showed that added biochar into soil may enhance the microbial activity, probably accelerating

438 the degradation of soil organic matter (Mitchell et al., 2015) and influencing the persistence of PAHs.

439 Rising microbial activity initially promotes the decomposition of labile compounds, and later

440 promotes the degradation of more recalcitrant substances. Moreover, Yang et al., (2019) reported that

441 high temperature pyrolyzed biochars preferentially retained high-molecular-weight humic-like DOC

442 species within soil.

443

444 *Figure 2.* Total ion chromatograms of the Py-GC-MS analyses performed at 500 °C of 25±0.1 mg of

445 control soil (GA17-T) and biochar amended soil (GA17-45) samples from field experiment.

446
447

Other pyrolytic products were phenol and C1 alkyl phenols, which can be originated from any phenolic precursor including lignin, tannin, proteinaceous biomass, weakly charred BC and carbohydrates (Stuczynski et al., 1997; Vancampenhout et al., 2009). However, since phenols are minor compounds of the pyrograms of proteins and polycarboxylic acids, the large proportion of phenols in the pyrolyzate of soil samples may be explained by the abundance of lignin. Phenols were not revealed in the Py-GC-MS analysis of fresh and aged biochar, thus phenols and methylphenols are of little diagnostic value with respect to highly pyrolysed lignin. The relative abundance of phenol compounds decreased significantly in the soil treated with biochar, while the absolute abundance did not change significantly in the treated and control soils, suggesting that the phenols originate from soil lignin rather than biochar treatment.

Figure 3. Relative proportions (% of total quantified peak area, sum 100%) of the Py-GC-MS analyses performed at 500 °C (A) and at 900 °C (B) of control soils and biochar amended soils (15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 t ha^{-1}) from field experiment at different sampling dates. Mean values ± s.d. (n = 3).

463

464

The individual levels of PAHs in control soils and in soils amended with one and two consecutive

applications of biochar are presented in supplementary materials (Tables SM4-7). Dibenzofuran,

which is heterocyclic analogues of PAHs, was grouped with the PAHs. The PAHs with 2 and 3 rings

composed the majority of PAHs in control soil and in amended soil samples. Naphthalene was always

the most abundant PAH in control soils and in amended soils. However, soil treated with biochar

contains 2 to 4 times more naphthalene than untreated soil.

471 Analytical pyrolysis has been proposed as a fast alternative approach for the analysis of PAHs

472 included in environmental matrices (i.e., soil and sediments), as pollutants, constituents (i.e., coals

473 and black carbon) or pyrogenic (i.e., chars and charred materials from forest fires) (González-Pérez

474 et al., 2014; Biache et al., 2017). The methyl/parent PAH ratios of selected PAHs, for instance

475 methylnaphthalene/naphthalene (MeNAP/NAP), have been proposed as suitable indices to evaluate

476 the biochar carbonization degree (Calvelo Pereira et al. 2011; Rombolà et al., 2016). However, little

477 is known about how biochar addition in soil affects the methyl/parent PAH ratios. Therefore, the

478 degree of alkylation in control soils and in biochar amended soils was studied by Py-GC-MS. In

479 addition, in order to assess the biochar impact on soil PAHs, the PAH isomeric ratios determined by

480 Py-GC-MS were reported. Frequent routine methodological approaches to study PAHs and PAH

481 isomeric ratios from environmental samples are based on analyses of solvent extracts and further

482 chromatographic separation. Rombolà et al. (2019) reported that solvent extractable naphthalene

483 NAP, phenanthrene PHE, fluoranthene FLA and their isomers anthracene ANT and pyrene PYR in

484 biochar amended soils could be considered as potential candidates for tracking the PAH imprinting

485 of biochar by means of diagnostic ratios. For solvent extractable PAHs, the isomeric ratios

486 ANT/(ANT+PHE) and FLA/(FLA+PYR) are frequently used for source apportionment (Yunker et

487 al., 2015). In addition, in order to assess sources of PAHs in soils, the non-isomeric ratio

488 NAP/(NAP+PHE) was used for soil treated with biochar (Rombolà et al., 2019). The few studies in

489 the literature reporting on the PAH isomeric ratios determine by Py-GC-MS have shed light on a fast

490 alternative approach to detect PAHs in environmental samples (González-Pérez et al., 2014).

491 The PAH ratios determined by Py-GC-MS at 500 °C of control soils and biochar amended soils are

492 reported in Table SM8. The MeNAP/NAP ratios were lower in the biochar amended soil decreasing

493 significantly with the concentration of biochar amendment. In particular, in the first year after biochar

494 application, MeNAP/NAP decreased from 0.66 in the control soil to 0.33 in the biochar amended soil

495 GA17-60 with 0.78% of biochar. In the second year, from 0.87 in control soil to 0.23 in GA17-120

496 with 3.4% of biochar. The utilized biochar obtained by pyrogasification was highly carbonized with

497 atomic H/C and O/C ratios of 0.10 and 0.08, respectively (Table 1), and MeNAP/NAP ratios of 0.02

498 for fresh and aged biochar (Table SM8), consistent with a high degree of aromaticity. Therefore, the

499 PAHs in the biochar applied in the field experiment, in accordance with dealkylation processes

500 occurring at high pyrolysis temperatures, were largely de-alkylated. The impact of biochar was

501 clearly demonstrated by the decreased levels of alkylated PAHs in amended soils in comparison to

502 control soil.

503 The values of ANT/[ANT+PHE] ratios in control soils (0.30) were slightly higher than those of

504 amended soils (0.28-0.25). The FLA/[FLA+PYR] ratios ranged from 0.53 to 0.59 and did not exhibit

505 significant changes between control soils and biochar amended soils. Thus, this isomeric ratio is not

506 relevant to track the impact of biochar in the treated soils. The non-isomeric ratio (NAP/[NAP+PHE])

507 ratio in fresh and aged biochar was the same (0.98) and it was markedly higher than that of control

508 soils (0.75). Consistently amended soil presented higher values in soil with biochar determine by

509 TGA > 0.5%. The ratio in amended soils increased from 0.75 in soil with 0.4% of biochar (GA17-15)

510 to 0.91 in soil with 2.4% of biochar (GA18-90). The trend can be better visualized in the form of the

511 so-called cross plots as depicted in Figure SM3, where the NAP/(NAP+PHE) is plotted vs. the

512 ANT/[ANT+PHE]. Moreover, the actual biochar concentrations determined plotted vs. the

513 NAP/(NAP+PHE) ratio showed that the biochar signature of PAHs can be visualized in amended

514 soils. Therefore, analytical pyrolysis can also be used to investigate PAH isomeric ratios. These ratios

515 can be an efficient supporting tool in studying the persistence of PAHs in soils with biochar and their

516 cross plots of the PAH diagnostic allowed to differentiate between soil with and without biochar.

517 However, the usefulness of the PAH diagnostic ratios to track the biochar in soils cannot be

518 generalized, as they are related to the specific pattern of biochar and soil.

519

520 **3.4. DOM yield and elemental analysis**

521 Significant differences (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) were observed in DOC and in WSOC when the soil was

522 treated with biochar (Table 4), indicating that the biochar addition gave rise to a sharp decrease in

523   DOC. Soil-derived DOC concentrations in the soils treated with biochar (15-32 mg L^{-1}) were lower

524   than in the soils without biochar (31-35 mg L^{-1}; Table 4). Specifically, the DOC of the soil in the first

525   year after application significantly decreased with the concentration of biochar amendment, from

526   34.7±2.0 mg L^{-1} in the control soil to 25.5±0.9 mg L^{-1} in the biochar amended soil GA17-30 with 1%

527   of biochar and 26.4±1.0 mg L^{-1} in the GA17-45 with 1.3% of biochar, representing a loss of 26%.

528   In the second year after application, the DOC values showed a more marked difference between the

529   amended and control soils: 30.7 mg L^{-1} and 18.5-14.7 mg L^{-1} in the soil without and with biochar. In

530   particular, DOC concentration decreased significantly with biochar concentration from 30.7± 2.4 mg

531   L^{-1} in control soil to 14.7±0.6 mg L^{-1} in the GA18-120 with 3.16 % of biochar, representing a decrease

532   of 50%. Similarly, Feng et al., (2021) showed that high temperature pyrolyzed biochars (> 700 °C,

533   as PSR biochar used in our field experiment) decrease soil DOC concentration up to 50% and the

534   decrement increases with the increase of biochar amount. The potential of biochar to decrease of

535   DOC in field experiment was evidenced by Liu et al., (2019), who observed that biochar amendment

536   causes a significant increase of macropores and thus the enhanced infiltration of soil water. Such

537   changes could lead to increased flow discharge, which in turn results in elevated leaching of organic

538   carbon during rainfalls. In this study, moreover, more marked differences are observed between

539   amended and control soils in the dissolved fraction of total SOC (WSOC = mg DOC g^{-1} ^{TOC}). The

540   values of WSOC decreased after biochar amendments in both years and in all treatments (Table 4),

541   in proportion to the TGA and TOC values. Almost two years after the first biochar application, the

542   amount of WSOC in the GA18-120 amended soils (3.4 mg g^{-1} ^{TOC}, biochar 3.16%) were significantly

543   lower than those in the control soil (18.3 ± 1.1 mg g^{-1} ^{TOC} in 2017, 15.4 ± 1.2 mg g^{-1} ^{TOC} in 2018). The

544   results of this study suggested that biochar reduces the DOC, and this effect increased with time and

545   biochar amount over the first two years after application. This could be due to several phenomena,

546   such as adsorption and microorganism growth, which can be enhanced with time and aging of

547   biochar/soil mixture, and/or due to the increase of soil macropores. The environmental consequences

548 of this are presently unknown but may be reflected in the reduction of DOC bioavailability and

549 associated effects on soil aggregation (formation of organometallic complexes), energy source for

550 microorganisms, as well as C storage, cycling, and provision of plant-available nutrients (Gmach et

551 al., 2018), microbial loop dynamics and aquatic food webs (Jaffé et al., 2013).

552 Yang et al., (2019) reported that the biochar amendment caused a consistent decrease in the TN levels

553 of the WSOM due to the higher micropore surface area of higher-temperature biochar, which can

554 retain more inorganic N. On the contrary, the results shown in Table 4 indicated that the TN

555 concentrations in the WSOM were slightly higher in the biochar amended soils. These results are

556 compatible with the hypothesis that biochar treatment is responsible for the observed increase of soil

557 microbial nitrogen.

558

559 *Table 4*. Total nitrogen (TN) in water-soluble matter, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and water-

560 soluble organic carbon (WSOC) concentration of control soils and biochar amended soils (15, 30, 45,

561 60, 90 and 120 t ha^{-1}) from field experiment at different sampling dates. Mean values $\pm$ s.d. (n = 3).

Samples	TN (mg L^{-1})	DOC (mg L^{-1})	WSOC (mg g$^{-1\ TOC}$)
GA17-T	4.43 ± 0.1	34.7 ± 2.0	18.3 ± 1.1
GA17-15	4.50 ± 0.2	28.8 ± 1.1	13.4 ± 0.5
GA17-30	4.53 ± 0.1	25.5 ± 0.9	8.9 ± 0.3
GA17-45	4.91 ± 0.3	26.4 ± 1.0	8.9 ± 0.3
GA17-60	5.26 ± 0.1	30.6 ± 0.5	12.6 ± 0.2
GA18-T	3.69 ± 0.1	30.7 ± 2.4	15.4 ± 1.2
GA18-30	3.69 ± 0.2	16.3 ± 0.7	6.8 ± 0.3
GA18-60	4.15 ± 0.4	16.8 ± 1.6	4.4 ± 0.4
GA18-90	4.39 ± 0.3	18.5 ± 0.7	6.0 ± 0.2
GA18-120	5.10 ± 0.1	14.7 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 0.1

562

563 **3.5. DOM UV–vis absorption**

564 The SUVA$_{254}$ of control soils and biochar amended soils are presented in Supporting information

565 (Table SM9). The UV–vis absorption is a commonly used technique to characterize the DOM

566 structure and composition in soil solution or other aqueous media (Nebbioso et al., 2013). The

567 SUVA$_{254}$ index indicated the presence of aromatic substances such as humic acids and high molecular

568 weight of DOM (Weishaar et al., 2003; Inamdar et al., 2012). In addition, SUVA$_{254}$ values are

569 correlated with C=O and C=C bonds present in aromatic compounds and humic-like substances

570 (Dong et al., 2014).

571 The findings revealed that biochar application increased the soil SUVA$_{254}$ value, and all treatments

572 showed higher SUVA$_{254}$ values. The soil SUVA$_{254}$ value increased from 1.38 L mg^{-1}m^{-1} in control

573 soil to 2.24 L mg^{-1}m^{-1} in GA17-60 with 0.78% of biochar, in the first year after biochar application.

574 In the second year, from 1.65 L mg^{-1}m^{-1} in control soil to 3.55 L mg^{-1}m^{-1} in GA18-30 with 0.51% of

575 biochar, demonstrating the higher aromaticity of the WSOM in the biochar amended soil.

576 Other field studies have examined the biochar impact on DOM of soil reported that the values of

577 SUVA$_{254}$ significantly increased in the extracted DOM of biochar-amended soils relative to the

578 control (Li et al., 2018; Zhang al., 2020). Fan et al. (2020) reported that, during the aging process, a

579 significant amount of aromatics were released from the biochar into the soil, which increased the

580 DOC and the aromaticity of the WSOM. Li et al. (2017) supposed that more aromatics dissolved out

581 from biochar and led to an increase in SUVA$_{254}$ because smaller aliphatic DOM molecules were more

582 strongly sorbed on the biochar surface. In fact, strong/weak adsorption on biochar was partly

583 attributed to the hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity of aliphatics/aromatics. In this study, the correlation

584 between DOC and SUVA$_{254}$ values was not strong indicating that SUVA$_{254}$ index did not provide a

585 persuasive evidence of high C present in aromatic DOM-pools or humic-like substances (Inamdar et

586 al., 2012).

587

588 **3.6. Pyrolysis-GC-MS of DOM**

589 The relative proportions of the main compound groups are shown in Table 5. A typical chromatogram

590 is presented in Figure SM4. The peaks were categorized into nine components: carbohydrate products

591 (CARB), lignin products (LG), monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (MAHs), polycyclic aromatic

592 hydrocarbons (PAHs), phenols (PHENs), nitrogen-containing compounds (NCOMPs), aldehydes

593 (ALDs), methylene chain compounds (MCCs), and others. For the details on proportions of individual

594 pyrolysis products, see Supporting information (Tables SM10 and SM11).

595 Some studies have been conducted in various types of soil on the molecular composition of DOM by

596 Py-GC-MS, and different dominant compounds have been identified (Rosa et al., 2015; Jiang et al.,

597 2017). Contrary to previous observations of soil-derived DOM analyzed by Py-GC-MS (Jiang et al.,

598 2017), pyrolysis products that can be traced back to relatively intact plant-derived polysaccharides,

599 e.g., pyrans and anhydrosugars (Pouwels et al., 1989), were not detected. In the present study, only

600 3-hydroxy-2-methyl-4H-pyran-4-one was identified. Other carbohydrate products, i.e., furans,

601 furfurals, and cyclopentenones can be produced by pyrolysis of plant-derived, microbial and

602 planktonic carbohydrates.

603 In the first year after application, the MAHs account for $6.1 \pm 0.9\%$ in the control soil (GA17-T), 3.4

604 $\pm 0.5\%$ (GA17-30) and $3.8 \pm 0.5\%$ (GA17-45) for biochar amended soil with 1% and 1.3% of biochar,

605 respectively. Benzene is the most abundant product, followed by toluene and styrene (Table SM10).

606 However, the MAHs determined by Py-GC-MS in the soils GA18 indicated no significant difference

607 between the amended and control soils, except for GA18-30 vs. GA18-T. The MAHs are not

608 diagnostic of any source and are probably related to microbial proteinaceous material (e.g., toluene).

609 PAHs are associated with polycondensation of aromatic moieties in pyrogenic organic matter, e.g.

610 charcoal or soot (Kaal et al., 2016). Clearly, the incorporation of biochar into soil systems represents

611 an input of PAHs (Rombolà et al., 2019). However, several studies have shown a low bioavailability

612 of PAHs in biochars, probably due to their strong interaction with the carbonaceous matrix of biochar

613 (Hale et al., 2012; Tomczyk et al., 2020). Therefore, biochar application could increase or decrease

614 the aromatic hydrocarbon components of DOM, influencing the release of PAHs by pyrolysis. This

615 aromatic fraction is affected by different degrees cording to the complex effects of biochar on DOM

616 and on mobility, persistence and degradation in soil. For instance, biochar may increase or decrease

617 the extent and rate of degradation of aromatic hydrocarbons depending on cell density, the microbial

618 species, the soil and/or biochar type and concentration. Naphthalene and acenaphthene were detected

619   in all DOM samples from soil with and without biochar. The biochar amendment caused a significant

620   increase in the PAH levels in the pyrolysates DOM with respect to control soil in all soils with biochar

621   (Table 5). In the first year, the PAH levels detected with Py-GC-MS increased from 0.6% relative

622   area in control soil to 1.8% in GA17-30 with 1.0% of biochar. In the second year, a similar increase

623   was observed, namely from 0.9% in control soil to 1.6% in GA18-60 and GA18-90 with 2.7 and 2.4%

624   of biochar, respectively. Therefore, the increase of PAH levels was less pronounced in soil 2018 and

625   not evident trends with biochar levels were observed. These results suggest that the PAH levels in

626   DOM are probably related to the condensed pyrogenic organic matter from biochar, whose spike

627   disappears as time after application increases. This agrees with the higher aromaticity of the WSOM

628   in the biochar amended soil determined by $SUVA_{254}$.

629   Phenols accounted for an average of $4.2 \pm 0.7\%$ and $6.5 \pm 1.2\%$ of TQPA among the control soil

630   samples, in the soils GA2017 and GA2018, respectively (Table 5). In the biochar amended soils

631   phenols are less abundant ($2.4 \pm 0.8\%$ GA17-45, $2.3 \pm 0.3\%$ GA18-30 and $2.3 \pm 0.6\%$ GA18-120).

632   Therefore, the phenol levels determined by Py-GC-MS in DOM indicated significant difference

633   between the amended and control soils, except for GA17-60. These phenols are major pyrolysis

634   products of degraded lignin and proteinaceous biomass and have been previously reported as being

635   abundant in soil DOM pyrolysates (Kaal et al., 2016). Lignin is an important marker of terrigenous

636   sources, mainly originating from vascular plants. They produce methoxyphenols (guaiacols, and

637   syringols) upon pyrolysis. High methoxyphenol yields in DOM have been reported previously and

638   are considered to be indicative of degradation products of lignin-derived DOM in aquatic ecosystems

639   (Neff et al., 2006).

640   In the present study, 4-methylguaiacol was detected in all DOM samples from Py-GC-MS of soils

641   with and without biochar. The biochar treatment impacts on the levels of 4-methylguaiacol in soil

642   DOM products (Table 5). The lignin-derived DOM products value decreased from $0.69 \pm 0.10\%$ in

643   control soil GA17-T to $0.14 \pm 0.02\%$ in soil GA17-45 with biochar and from $0.80 \pm 0.04\%$ in control

644   soil GA18-T to $0.21 \pm 0.02\%$ in soil GA18-30 with biochar. Decrease of phenols in Py-GC-MS of

645 DOM, by a factor five, could explain a significant portion of reduction in DOM concentration

646 observed over a two-year time.

647 In the first year after application, the compounds with N (NCOMPs) in their structure accounted for

648 $51 \pm 0.2\%$ in the control soil (GA17-T), $63 \pm 2.3\%$ (GA17-30) and $66 \pm 11\%$ (GA17-45) for biochar

649 amended soil with 1% and 1.3% of biochar, respectively (Table 5). The NCOMPs in the second year

650 after application accounted for $44 \pm 3.3\%$ in the control soil (GA18-T), $67.8 \pm 0.09\%$ (GA18-30) and

651 $77 \pm 6.2\%$ (GA18-90) for biochar amended soil, respectively. Therefore, the NCOMPs levels

652 increased in all soil treatments with biochar, except for GA17-60 and GA18-120.

653 This suggests an increase in the proportion of microbial DOM in biochar amended soils. The fact that

654 NCOMPs are enriched in biochar amended soils whereas phenols and methylphenols products are

655 not suggests that the phenols originate from polyphenols rather than peptidic DOM. Moreover, the

656 predominance of N-containing products pyridine, pyrrole, acetamide, indole, and benzonitrile is in

657 agreement with the abundance of degraded and microbial carbohydrates (furfural, cyclopentenone

658 and aldehydes; Table 5) which reflect primarily microbial DOM. Specifically, acetamide was found

659 to be abundant in all soil DOM with and without biochar (25-30% in soil GA17 and 7.6-20% in soil

660 GA18). Acetamide is a marker of chitin-derived organic matter, which originates from fungal cell

661 walls or arthropod exoskeleta (Kaal et al., 2017), and has been used as a marker of intense organic

662 matter mineralization conditions in DOM (Templier et al., 2012). Moreover, its presence suggests

663 that the pyrroles and pyridines are also of microbial origin. The detection of indole and benzyl nitrile

664 is indicative of relatively intact proteinaceous material in DOM (Buurman et al., 2011). Benzonitrile

665 is associated with N-containing structures in the dissolved Black Carbon (Kaal et al., 2008).

666 Furthermore, a series of compounds with dominant m/z 59 and 72 were identified as C_{16}-, C_{18}- and

667 C_{22}- alkylamides.

668 Compounds based on a polymethylene chain (MCC) such as n-alkenes and C_{16}-fatty acid methyl ester

669 are indicative of aliphatic components, principally lipids and account for only $0.42 \pm 0.02\%$ (GA17-

670 T) and $0.30 \pm 0.16\%$ (GA18-T) in control soil, and between $0.49 \pm 0.03\%$ (GA17-15) and $0.06 \pm$

671 0.01% (GA18-90) in the soil with biochar. The remarkably low abundance of these aliphatic products,

672 especially in comparison with the pyrolyzates of SOM, has been reported earlier for DOM

673 pyrolyzates (Kaal et al., 2017).

674 Other compounds that are grouped in "Other" include 4-octadecyl-morpholine from tomato cultivars,

675 4-tert-octylphenol from industrial non-ionic alkylphenolpolyethoxylate surfactants (Greenwood et al.

676 2012), often detected in wastewaters and landfill leachates (Sharma et al., 2009), Benzene, 1,4-

677 dichloro from plastics contamination and unidentified compounds. In particular, 4-octadecyl-

678 morpholine was found to be abundant in all soil DOM with and without biochar. This compound is a

679 metabolite identified in the tomato volatile metabolomic composition (Song et al., 2018) and its

680 presence is due to the fact that tomato plants were grown on the soils of the field experiment in the

681 growing season 2016/2017.

682 In summary, biochar amendment caused a significant change in Py-GC-MS of the soil DOM. The

683 PAHs are increased during the first year after biochar application, whereas DOM derived phenols

684 showed a marked decrease irrespective of the year. The control and treated soils yielded relatively

685 high abundances of nitrogen containing pyrolysates with a significant increase in the soil treated with

686 biochar, evidencing an increase in the proportion of microbial DOM in biochar amended soils

687 compared to control. This is in accordance with several studies that demonstrated the influence of

688 biochar on soil microbial community (Michell et al., 2015; El-Naggar et al., 2019), providing suitable

689 habitat for useful soil microbes (e.g., by enhancing soil aeration, increasing water content, mitigating

690 soil compaction, etc.) (Laghari et al., 2016), supplying nutrients for their growth (Zhu et al., 2017),

691 and stimulating their activity (Lehmann et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2017). Therefore, the results of this

692 study showed that biochar might change soil microbial communities, and thereby influence soil

693 nutrient cycling with significant effects on the environment.

694

695 *Table 5.* Relative percentages (%) of the main groups of compounds identified by DOM Py-GC-MS[a]

696 of control soils and biochar amended soils (15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 t ha^{-1}) from field experiment

697 at different sampling dates. Mean values ± s.d. (n = 2).

Samples	MAHs	NCOMPs	CARB	ALDs	PHEs	PAHs	LIG	MCCs	Other
GA17-T	6.1±0.9	51.0±0.2	7.0±0.3	4.0±0.6	4.2±0.7	0.61±0.01	0.69±0.10	1.66±0.07	27±1.1
GA17-15	3.6±0.1	55±4.9	2.9±0.2	5.0±0.7	3.7±0.6	1.18±0.07	0.15±0.02	1.15±0.07	32±3.8
GA17-30	3.4±0.5	63±2.3	4.1±0.3	5.3±0.5	3.4±0.8	1.8±0.4	0.15±0.05	1.09±0.01	24±2.2
GA17-45	3.8±0.1	66±11	4.6±0.4	4.9±0.3	2.4±0.8	1.71±0.09	0.14±0.02	1.4±0.3	21±8.5
GA17-60	4.0±0.9	49±1.1	8.6±0.9	4.2±0.5	4.3±0.4	1.44±0.02	0.88±0.2	0.6±0.1	28±2.2
GA18-T	7.4±0.7	44±3.3	13.2±0.6	5.1±0.7	6.5±1.2	0.41±0.03	0.80±0.04	1.8±0.6	25±3.0
GA18-30	5.6±1.7	67.8±0.09	2.7±0.3	4.0±1.2	2.3±0.3	0.91±0.18	0.21±0.07	1.50±0.03	24±4.2
GA18-60	8.0±1.3	61±4.1	2.5±0.2	4.6±0.3	3.6±0.2	1.6±0.4	0.30±0.18	1.55±0.01	27±1.1
GA18-90	6.2±0.5	77±6.2	2.9±0.6	4.8±0.3	3.3±0.5	1.6±0.2	0.48±0.14	1.1±0.1	11±1.1
GA18-120	7.1±0.1	35±6.8	8.6±1.5	2.1±0.6	2.3±0.6	1.13±0.09	0.7±0.1	1.9±0.6	42±7.9

698 [a]Abbreviations: MAHs = monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, NCOMPs = nitrogen-containing
699 compounds, CARB = carbohydrate products, ALDs =aldehydes, PHENs = phenols, PAHs =
700 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, LIG = lignin products and MCCs =methylene chain compounds.
701

702 **4. Conclusions**

703 This study provides new insight into the effects of biochar on SOM in cultivated agricultural soils,

704 particularly with regard to the quantity and quality characteristics of DOM leached after one- and

705 two-years field experiment. Py-GC-MS and spectroscopic analysis provided qualitative information

706 on DOM. The results of SOM and DOM characterization by molecular analysis were compared with

707 the real biochar concentration determined by a novel TGA method developed to quantify the biochar

708 in amended soils. Biochar determined by TGA fitted with TOC values and in general were in

709 accordance with amended rates. The biochar application in soil systems at different load significantly

710 decreased the values of DOC and modified the soil properties (TOC, pH) in both years and in all

711 treatments, in proportion to the TGA and TOC values. Considering that DOC is vital for many soil

712 processes, the reduction of DOC caused by biochar application could lead to important environmental

713 consequences. We hypothesized that this decrease may have been caused by biochar impact on soil

714 microbial community and soil macropores. Analytical pyrolysis results of soil with and without

715   biochar showed a higher abundance of nitrogen-containing compounds in the soil treated with biochar

716   to the control soil without biochar, evidencing an increase in the proportion of microbial DOM in

717   biochar amended soils. These results demonstrated that added biochar into the soil may enhance the

718   microbial activity, probably accelerating the degradation of soil organic matter and influencing the

719   composition of DOM with the initial decomposition of labile compounds, and later degradation of

720   the more recalcitrant substances like PAHs. The increase of the $SUVA_{254}$ values and the PAH levels

721   of pyrolysates in biochar amended soil DOM confirmed this hypothesis.

722

727

728 **References**

729 Bachmann, H. J., Bucheli, T. D., Dieguez-Alonso, A., Fabbri, D., Knicker, H., Schmidt, H. P.,

730 Ulbricht, A., Becker, R., Buscaroli, A., Buerge, D., Cross, A., Dickinson, D., Enders, A., Esteves,

731 V. I., Evangelou, M. W. H., Fellet, G., Friedrich, K., Gasco Guerrero, G., Glaser, B., Hanke, U.M.,

732 Hanley, K., Hilber, I., Kalderis, D., Leifeld, J., Masek, O., Mumme, J., Paneque, M., Calvelo

733 Pereira, R., Rees, F., Rombolà, A.G., De la Rosa, J.M., Sakrabani, R., Sohi, S. Soja, G., Valagussa,

734 M., Verheijen, F., Zehetner, F., 2016. Toward the Standardization of Biochar Analysis: The COST

735 Action TD1107 Interlaboratory Comparison. J. Agric. Food Chem., 64, 513-527.

736 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b05055

737

738 Bi, Y., Kuzyakov, Y., Cai, S., Zhao, X., 2021. Accumulation of organic compounds in paddy soils

739 after biochar application is controlled by iron hydroxides. Sci. Total Environ., 764, Article 144300.

740 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144300

741

742 Biache, C., Lorgeoux, C., Saada, A., Colombano, S., Faure, P., 2017. Fast method to quantify PAHs

743 in contaminated soils by direct thermodesorption using analytical pyrolysis. Talanta, 166, 241-248.

744 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.01.055

745

746 Buurman, P., Roscoe, R., 2011. Different chemical composition of free light, occluded light and

747 extractable SOM fractions in soils of Cerrado and tilled and untilled fields, Minas Gerais, Brazil: A

748 pyrolysis-GC/MS study. Eur. J. Soil Sci., 62(2), 253-266. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

749 2389.2010.01327.x

750

751 Calvelo Pereira, R., Kaal, J., Camps Arbestain, M., Pardo Lorenzo, R., Aitkenhead, W., Hedley, M.,

752 Macías, F., Hindmarsh, J., Maciá-Agulló, J. A., 2011. Contribution to characterisation of biochar to

753 estimate the labile fraction of carbon. Org. Geochem., 42(11), 1331-1342.

754 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2011.09.002

755

756 Conti, R., Rombolà, A. G., Modelli, A., Torri, C., Fabbri, D., 2014. Evaluation of the thermal and

757 environmental stability of switchgrass biochars by Py-GC-MS. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 110(1),

758 239-247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2014.09.010

759

760 Ding, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, S., Li, Z., Tan, X., Huang, X., Zeng, G., Zhou, L., Zheng, B., 2016. Biochar

761 to improve soil fertility. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev., 36 (2), 36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-

762 016-0372-z

763

764 Dong, X., Li, G., Lin, Q., Zhao, X., 2017. Quantity and quality changes of biochar aged for 5 years

765 in soil under field conditions. Catena, 159, 136-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2017.08.008

766

767 Dong, X., Ma, L. Q., Gress, J., Harris, W., Li, Y., 2014. Enhanced Cr(VI) reduction and As(III)

768 oxidation in ice phase: Important role of dissolved organic matter from biochar. J. Hazard Mater.

769 267, 62-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.12.027

770

771 Dong, X., Singh, B. P., Li, G., Lin, Q., Zhao, X., 2019. Biochar has little effect on soil dissolved

772 organic carbon pool 5 years after biochar application under field condition. Soil Use Manag., 35(3),

773 466-477. https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12474

774

775 El-Naggar, A., Lee, S.S., Rinklebe, J., Farooq, M., Song, H., Sarmah, A.K., Zimmerman, A.R.,

776 Ahmad, M., Shaheen, S.M., Ok, Y.S., 2019. Biochar application to low fertility soils: a review of

777 current status, and future prospects. Geoderma, 337, 536-554.

778 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GEODERMA.2018.09.034

779

780 Eykelbosh, A. J., Johnson, M. S., & Couto, E. G., 2015. Biochar decreases dissolved organic carbon

781 but not nitrate leaching in relation to vinasse application in a Brazilian sugarcane soil. J. Environ.

782 Manag., 149, 9-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.09.033

783

784 Fan, Q., Sun, J., Quan, G., Yan, J., Gao, J., Zou, X., Cui, L., 2020. Insights into the effects of long-

785 term biochar loading on water-soluble organic matter in soil: Implications for the vertical co-

786 migration of heavy metals. Environ. Int., 136, Article 1054392.

787 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105439

788

789 Feng, Z., Fan, Z., Song, H., Li, K., Lu, H., Liu, Y., Cheng, F., 2021. Biochar induced changes of

790 soil dissolved organic matter: The release and adsorption of dissolved organic matter by biochar

791 and soil. Sci. Total Environ., 783, Article 1470912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147091

792

793 Ghidotti, M., Fabbri, D., Mašek, O., Mackay, C. L., Montalti, M., Hornung, A., 2017. Source and

794 Biological Response of Biochar Organic Compounds Released into Water; Relationships with Bio-

795 Oil Composition and Carbonization Degree. Environ. Sci. Technol., 51(11), 6580-6589.

796 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00520

797

798 Giagnoni, L., Maienza, A., Baronti, S., Vaccari, F. P., Genesio, L., Taiti, C., Martellini, T.,

799 Scodellini, R., Cincinelli, A., Costa, C., Mancuso, S., Renella, G., 2019. Long-term soil biological

800 fertility, volatile organic compounds and chemical properties in a vineyard soil after biochar

801 amendment. Geoderma, 344, 127-136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.03.011

802

803 Gmach, M. R., Cherubin, M. R., Kaiser, K., Cerri, C. E. P., 2020. Processes that influence dissolved

804 organic matter in the soil: A review. Sci. Agric., 77, Article e20180164.

805 https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-992x-2018-0164

806

807 González-Pérez, J. A., Almendros, G., de La Rosa, J. M., González-Vila, F. J., 2014. Appraisal of

808 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in environmental matrices by analytical pyrolysis (Py-

809 GC/MS). J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 109, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2014.07.005

810

811 Green, S. A., Blough, N. V., 1994. Optical absorption and fluorescence properties of chromophoric

812 dissolved organic matter in natural waters. Limnol. Oceanogr., 39, 1903-1916.

813 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1994.39.8.1903

814

815 Greenwood, P. F., Berwick, L. J., Croué, J. P., 2012. Molecular characterisation of the dissolved

816 organic matter of wastewater effluents by MSSV pyrolysis GC-MS and search for source markers.

817 Chemosphere, 87(5), 504-512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.12.051

818

819 Hale, S. E., Lehmann, J., Rutherford, D., Zimmerman, A. R., Bachmann, R. T., Shitumbanuma, V.,

820 O'Toole, A., Sundqvist, K. L., Arp, H. P. H., Cornelissen, G., 2012. Quantifying the total and

821 bioavailable polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and dioxins in biochars. Environ. Sci. Technol.,

822 *46*(5), 2830-2838. https://doi.org/10.1021/es203984k

823

824 Huang, M., Li, Z., Luo, N., Yang, R., Wen, J., Huang, B., Zeng, G., 2019. Application potential of

825 biochar in environment: Insight from degradation of biochar-derived DOM and complexation of

826 DOM with heavy metals. Sci. Total Environ., *646*, 220-228.

827 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.282

828

829 Inamdar, S., Finger, N., Singh, S., Mitchell, M., Levia, D., Bais, H., Scott, D., McHale, P., 2012.

830 Dissolved organic matter (DOM) concentration and quality in a forested mid-Atlantic watershed,

831 USA. Biogeochemistry, 108(1-3), 55-76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-011-9572-4

832

833 Jaffé, R., Ding, Y., Niggemann, J., Vähätalo, A. v., Stubbins, A., Spencer, R. G. M., Campbell, J.,

834 & Dittmar, T., 2013. Global charcoal mobilization from soils via dissolution and riverine transport

835 to the oceans. Science, 340(6130), 345-347. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231476

836

837 Jiang, T., Kaal, J., Liang, J., Zhang, Y., Wei, S., Wang, D., Green, N. W., 2017. Composition of

838 dissolved organic matter (DOM) from periodically submerged soils in the Three Gorges Reservoir

839 areas as determined by elemental and optical analysis, infrared spectroscopy, pyrolysis-GC–MS and

840 thermally assisted hydrolysis and methylation. Sci. Total Environ., 603-604, 461-471.

841 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.114

842

843 Kaal, J., Cortizas, A. M., & Biester, H., 2017. Downstream changes in molecular composition of

844 DOM along a headwater stream in the Harz mountains (Central Germany) as determined by FTIR,

845 Pyrolysis-GC–MS and THM-GC–MS. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 126, 50-61.

846 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2017.06.025

847

848 Kaal, J., Martínez-Cortizas, A., Nierop, K. G. J., Buurman, P., 2008. A detailed pyrolysis-GC/MS

849 analysis of a black carbon-rich acidic colluvial soil (Atlantic ranker) from NW Spain. Appl.

850 Geochem., 23(8), 2395-2405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2008.02.026

851

852 Kaal, J., Wagner, S., Jaffé, R., 2016. Molecular properties of ultrafiltered dissolved organic matter

853 and dissolved black carbon in headwater streams as determined by pyrolysis-GC-MS. J. Anal. Appl.

854 Pyrolysis, 118, 181-191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2016.02.003

855

856   Koide, R. T., Petprakob, K., Peoples, M., 2011. Quantitative analysis of biochar in field soil. Soil

857   Biol. Biochem., 43(7), 1563-1568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.006

858

859   Laghari, M., Naidu, R., Xiao, B., Hu, Z., Mirjat, M.S., Hu, M., Kandhro, M.N., Chen, Z., Guo, D.,

860   Jogi, Q., Abudi, Z.N., 2016. Recent developments in biochar as an effective tool for agricultural soil

861   management: a review. J. Sci. Food Agric., 96, 4840-4849. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7753.

862

863   Lehmann, J., Rillig, M.C., Thies, J., Masiello, C.A., Hockaday, W.C., Crowley, D., 2011. Biochar

864   effects on soil biota – a review. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43, 1812-1836.

865   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.022.

866

867   Lehmann, J., Joseph, S., 2015. Biochar for environmental management: an introduction. In:

868   Lehmann, J., Joseph, S. (Eds.), Biochar for Environmental Management: Science, Technology and

869   Implementation. Taylor and Francis, London, 1-13.

870

871   Li, G., Khan, S., Ibrahim, M., Sun, T. R., Tang, J. F., Cotner, J. B., Xu, Y. Y., 2018. Biochars

872   induced modification of dissolved organic matter (DOM) in soil and its impact on mobility and

873   bioaccumulation of arsenic and cadmium. J. Hazard Mater., 348, 100-108.

874   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.01.031

875

876   Liu, C., Wang, H., Li, P., Xian, Q., Tang, X., 2019. Biochar's impact on dissolved organic matter

877   (DOM) export from a cropland soil during natural rainfalls. Sci. Total Environ., 650, 1988-1995.

878   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.356

879

880 Liu, X.-H., Zhang, X.-C., 2012. Effect of Biochar on pH of Alkaline Soils in the Loess Plateau:

881 Results from Incubation Experiments. J. Agric. Biol., 14, 745-750. http://www.fspublishers.org

882

883 Lutsenko, T. N., Chernova, E. N., Lysenko, E. V., Ryzhakov, D. S., 2015. Organic Matter in the

884 Small Lakes of the Sikhote-Alin Biosphere Reserve. Achiev. Life Sci., 9(1), 32-36.

885 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.als.2015.05.005

886

887 Majumder, S., Neogi, S., Dutta, T., Powel, M. A., Banik, P., 2019. The impact of biochar on soil

888 carbon sequestration: Meta-analytical approach to evaluating environmental and economic

889 advantages. J. Environ. Manag., 250, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109466

890

891 Mitchell, P.J., Simpson, A.J., Soong, R., Simpson M.J., 2015. Shifts in microbial community and

892 water–extractable organic matter composition with biochar amendment in a temperate forest soil.

893 Soil Biol. Biochem., 81, 244-254. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.11.017

894

895 Nakhli, S. A. A., Panta, S., Brown, J. D., Tian, J., Imhoff, P. T., 2019. Quantifying biochar content

896 in a field soil with varying organic matter content using a two-temperature loss on ignition method.

897 Sci. Total Environ., 658, 1106-1116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.174

898

899 Nebbioso, A., Piccolo, A., 2013. Molecular characterization of dissolved organic matter (DOM): A

900 critical review. Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 405 (1), 109-124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-012-6363-2

901

902 Neff, J. C., Finlay, J. C., Zimov, S. A., Davydov, S. P., Carrasco, J. J., Schuur, E. A. G., Davydova,

903 A. I., 2006. Seasonal changes in the age and structure of dissolved organic carbon in Siberian rivers

904 and streams. Geophys. Res. Lett., 33 (23). https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL028222

905

906   Pan, Q., Zhuo, X., He, C., Zhang, Y., Shi, Q., 2020. Validation and Evaluation of High-Resolution

907   Orbitrap Mass Spectrometry on Molecular Characterization of Dissolved Organic Matter. ACS

908   Omega, 5(10), 5372-5379. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.9b04411

909

910   Pouwels, A. D., Eijkel, G. B., Boon, J. J., 1989. Curie-point pyrolysis–capillary gas

911   chromatography–high-resolution mass spectrometry of microcrystalline cellulose. J. Anal. Appl.

912   Pyrolysis, 14, 237-280.

913   https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-2370(89)80003-8

914

915   Purakayastha, T. J., Bera, T., Bhaduri, D., Sarkar, B., Mandal, S., Wade, P., Kumari, S., Biswas, S.,

916   Menon, M., Pathak, H., Tsang, D. C. W., 2019. A review on biochar modulated soil condition

917   improvements and nutrient dynamics concerning crop yields: Pathways to climate change

918   mitigation and global food security. Chemosphere, 227, 345-365.

919   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.03.170

920

921   Raya-Moreno, I., Cañizares, R., Domene, X., Carabassa, V., Alcañiz, J. M., 2017. Comparing

922   current chemical methods to assess biochar organic carbon in a Mediterranean agricultural soil

923   amended with two different biochars. Sci. Total Environ., 598, 604-618.

924   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.168

925

926   Rombolà, A. G., Fabbri, D., Baronti, S., Vaccari, F. P., Genesio, L., Miglietta, F., 2019. Changes in

927   the pattern of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soil treated with biochar from a multiyear field

928   experiment. Chemosphere, 219, 662-670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.11.178

929

930 Rombolà, A. G., Fabbri, D., Meredith, W., Snape, C. E., Dieguez-Alonso, A., 2016. Molecular

931 characterization of the thermally labile fraction of biochar by hydropyrolysis and pyrolysis-GC/MS.

932 J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 121, 230-239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2016.08.003

933

934 Rombolà, A. G., Meredith, W., Snape, C. E., Baronti, S., Genesio, L., Vaccari, F. P., Miglietta, F.,

935 Fabbri, D., 2015. Fate of Soil Organic Carbon and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in a

936 Vineyard Soil Treated with Biochar. Environ. Sci. Technol., 49(18), 11037-11044.

937 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02562

938

939 Rosa, E., Dębska, B., Banach-Szott, M., Tobiasova, E., 2015. Use of HPLC, Py-GCMS, FTIR

940 methods in the studies of the composition of soil dissolved organic matter. Pol. J. Soil Sci., 48(1),

941 101-110. https://doi.org/10.17951/pjss/2015.48.1.101

942

943 Sharma, V.K., Anquandah, G.A.K., Yngard, R.A., Kim, H., Fekete, J., Bouzek, K., Ray, A.K.,

944 Golovko, D., 2009. Nonylphenol, octylphenol, and bisphenol-A in the aquatic environment: a

945 review on occurrence, fate, and treatment. J. Environ. Sci. Health, 44 (5), 423-442.

946 https://doi.org/10.1080/10934520902719704

947

948 Smebye, A., Alling, V., Vogt, R. D., Gadmar, T. C., Mulder, J., Cornelissen, G., Hale, S. E., 2016.

949 Biochar amendment to soil changes dissolved organic matter content and composition.

950 Chemosphere, 142, 100-105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.04.087

951

952 Song, C., Shan, S., Yang, C., Zhang, C., Zhou, X., Ma, Q., Yrjälä, K., Zheng, H., Cao, Y., 2020.

953 The comparison of dissolved organic matter in hydrochars and biochars from pig manure. Sci. Total

954 Environ., 720, Article 137423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137423

955

956

957 Song, Y., Kong, Y., Wang, J., Ruan, Y., Huang, Q., Ling, N., Shen, Q., 2018. Identification of the

958 produced volatile organic compounds and the involved soil bacteria during decomposition of

959 watermelon plant residues in a Fusarium-infested soil. Geoderma, 315, 178-187.

960 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.11.021

961

962

963 Taherymoosavi, S., Joseph, S., Munroe, P., 2016. Characterization of organic compounds in a

964 mixed feedstock biochar generated from Australian agricultural residues. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis,

965 120, 441-449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2016.06.017

966

967 Templier, J., Miserque, F., Barré, N., Mercier, F., Croué, J. P., Derenne, S., 2012. Is nitrogen

968 functionality responsible for contrasted responses of riverine dissolved organic matter in pyrolysis?

969 J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 97, 62-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2012.05.002

970

971 Tomczyk, B., Siatecka, A., Jędruchniewicz, K., Sochacka, A., Bogusz, A., Oleszczuk, P., 2020.

972 Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) persistence, bioavailability and toxicity in sewage

973 sludge- or sewage sludge-derived biochar-amended soil. Sci. Total Environ., 747, Article 141123.

974 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141123

975

976 Vancampenhout, K., Wouters, K., de Vos, B., Buurman, P., Swennen, R., Deckers, J., 2009.

977 Differences in chemical composition of soil organic matter in natural ecosystems from different

978 climatic regions - A pyrolysis-GC/MS study. Soil Biol. Biochem., 41(3), 568-579.

979 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.12.023

980

981 Woolf, D., Lehmann, J., Lee, D. R., 2016. Optimal bioenergy power generation for climate change

982 mitigation with or without carbon sequestration. Nat. Commun., 7, Article 13160.

983 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13160

984

985 Yang, X.Y., Chang, K.H., Kim, Y.J., Zhang, J., Yoo, G., 2019. Effects of different biochar

986 amendments on carbon loss and leachate characterization from an agricultural soil. Chemosphere,

987 226, 625-635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.03.085

988

989 Yunker, M. B., Macdonald, R. W., Ross, P. S., Johannessen, S. C., Dangerfield, N., 2015. Alkane

990 and PAH provenance and potential bioavailability in coastal marine sediments subject to a gradient

991 of anthropogenic sources in British Columbia, Canada. Org. Geochem., 89-90, 80-116.

992 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2015.10.002

993

994 Zavalloni, C., Alberti, G., Biasiol, S., Vedove, G. D., Fornasier, F., Liu, J., Peressotti, A., 2011.

995 Microbial mineralization of biochar and wheat straw mixture in soil: A short-term study. Appl. Soil

996 Ecol., 50(1), 45-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.07.012

997

998 Zhang, A., Zhou, X., Li, M., Wu, H., 2017. Impacts of biochar addition on soil dissolved organic

999 matter characteristics in a wheat-maize rotation system in Loess Plateau of China. Chemosphere,

1000 186, 986-993. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.08.074

1001

1002 Zhang, P., Huang, P., Xu, X., Sun, H., Jiang, B., & Liao, Y., 2020. Spectroscopic and molecular

1003 characterization of biochar-derived dissolved organic matter and the associations with soil microbial

1004 responses. Sci. Total Environ., 708, Article 134619. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134619

1005

1006   Zhu, X., Chen, B., Zhu, L., Xing, B., 2017. Effects and mechanisms of biochar-microbe interactions

1007   in soil improvement and pollution remediation: a review. Environ. Pollut., 227, 98-115.

1008   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.04.032