

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Correction to: Intrinsic curvature of curves and surfaces and a Gauss-Bonnet theorem in the Heisenberg group

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Balogh Z. M., Tyson J. T., Vecchi E. (2020). Correction to: Intrinsic curvature of curves and surfaces and a Gauss-Bonnet theorem in the Heisenberg group. MATHEMATISCHE ZEITSCHRIFT, 296(1-2), 875-876 [10.1007/s00209-019-02234-8].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/831671> since: 2021-09-08

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-019-02234-8>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Balogh, Z.M., Tyson, J.T. & Vecchi, E. Correction to: Intrinsic curvature of curves and surfaces and a Gauss–Bonnet theorem in the Heisenberg group. Math. Z. 296, 875–876 (2020)

The final published version is available online at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-019-02234-8>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

ERRATUM TO: INTRINSIC CURVATURE OF CURVES AND SURFACES AND A GAUSS–BONNET THEOREM IN THE HEISENBERG GROUP

ZOLTÁN M. BALOGH, JEREMY T. TYSON, AND EUGENIO VECCHI

In the publication [1] there is an unfortunate computational error, which however does not affect the correctness of the main results.

Let us recall some notation from the paper. By $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ we denote a \mathcal{C}^2 smooth parametrized regular curve $t \rightarrow \gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), \gamma_3(t))$. The action of the standard contact form $\omega = dx_3 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 dx_2 - x_2 dx_1)$ on γ is denoted by

$$\omega(\dot{\gamma}) = \omega(\dot{\gamma})(t) = \dot{\gamma}_3(t) - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1(t)\dot{\gamma}_2(t) - \gamma_2(t)\dot{\gamma}_1(t)).$$

A point $t_0 \in [a, b]$ is called horizontal if and only if $\omega(\dot{\gamma})(t_0) = 0$. The mistake in the paper arises due to a statement implicitly assumed in the proof of Lemma 3.4, that at any horizontal point we also have that $\omega(\ddot{\gamma})(t_0) = 0$, where

$$\omega(\ddot{\gamma}) = \omega(\ddot{\gamma})(t) = \ddot{\gamma}_3(t) - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1(t)\ddot{\gamma}_2(t) - \gamma_2(t)\ddot{\gamma}_1(t)).$$

This fact is in general not true. As a result, various statements in the paper, including the second formula in equation (1.1), equation (3.4), the second part of equation (3.10), and the second displayed equations in both Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 4.13, do not hold for all horizontal points.

However, noticing that $\omega(\ddot{\gamma}) = \frac{d}{dt}\omega(\dot{\gamma})$ we see that the assertion $\omega(\ddot{\gamma})(t_0) = 0$ is still true for horizontal points that arise as accumulation points of other horizontal points. Since the parameterizing interval is compact, there are at most a finite number of isolated horizontal points t_1, \dots, t_N at which the quantity $\omega(\ddot{\gamma})(t_i)$ may be nonzero, and hence all of the preceding formulas hold at all points of $[a, b]$ except for this finite number of isolated points.

The main result of the paper, Theorem 1.1, is not affected by these corrections since its proof is based on an approximation argument relying on the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. In the application of this theorem a set of countably many points can be ignored as a null set, and the proof works as indicated in Section 6 of the paper.

Date: June 7, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C17; Secondary 53A35, 52A39.

Key words and phrases. Heisenberg group, sub-Riemannian geometry, Riemannian approximation, Gauss–Bonnet theorem, Steiner formula.

ZMB and EV were supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant No. 200020-146477, and have also received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement No. 607643 (Grant MaNET ‘Metric Analysis for Emergent Technologies’). JTT acknowledges support from U.S. National Science Foundation Grants DMS-1201875 and DMS-1600650 and Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant 353627.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Derek Jung and Maxim Tryamkin for pointing out the error in the proof of Lemma 3.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] Balogh, Z. M., Tyson, J. T. and Vecchi, E., Intrinsic curvature of curves and surfaces and a Gauss-Bonnet theorem in the Heisenberg group, *Math. Z.*, **287** (2017), 1-38.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BERN, SIDLERSTRASSE 5, 3012 BERN, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: zoltan.balogh@math.unibe.ch

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 WEST GREEN ST., URBANA, IL, 61801
E-mail address: tyson@illinois.edu

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “GUIDO CASTELNUOVO”, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA, P.LE ALDO MORO 5, 00185, ROMA, ITALY
E-mail address: vecchi@mat.uniroma1.it