

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Biodegradable plastics: Effects on functionality and fertility of two different soils

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Mazzon, M., Gioacchini, P., Montecchio, D., Rapisarda, S., Ciavatta, C., Marzadori, C. (2022).
Biodegradable plastics: Effects on functionality and fertility of two different soils. *APPLIED SOIL ECOLOGY*,
169, 1-11 [10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.104216].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/831443> since: 2021-09-07

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.104216>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Biodegradable plastics: effects on functionality and fertility of two different soils**

2

3 *Martina Mazzon*, Paola Gioacchini, Daniela Montecchio, Salvatore Rapisarda, Claudio*

4 *Ciavatta, Claudio Marzadori*

5 *Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy.*

6

7 *Corresponding author: Martina Mazzon, martina.mazzon2@unibo.it

8 **ABSTRACT**

9 In agriculture, the use of soil biodegradable mulch films could represent an eco-friendly
10 alternative to conventional plastic films. However, soil biodegradable mulch films
11 incorporated into the soil through tillage, being not only a physical but also a biogeochemical
12 input, is expected to influence the soil quality by affecting its functions. Therefore, the eco-
13 compatibility of these biodegradable plastics needs to be evaluated for their impact on
14 different soil functions. To understand the effect of biodegradable plastics on soil quality (i.e.
15 microbial biomass, nitrogen cycle, and activity of soil enzymes involved in the biochemical
16 processes of carbon and nitrogen), we added increasing quantities of biodegradable plastics
17 in two different soils: a loamy (Cambisol) and sandy (Arenosol) soil. The results highlight
18 that the carbon added through the biodegradable plastics influenced the processes linked
19 to carbon and nitrogen cycles. Significant effects were observed mainly with the highest
20 dose of biodegradable plastics added (1%), resulting in a higher growth of microbial
21 biomass, increased carbon mineralisation, and increased immobilisation of available
22 nitrogen. The results also underline the importance of evaluating the impact of
23 biodegradable plastics in different soils because all the processes considered are also
24 influenced also by soil physicochemical characteristics.

25 **Keywords**

26 Biodegradable plastics; soil quality; soil respiration; soil microbial activity; soil enzyme
27 activities

28

29 **Highlights**

- 30 - Biodegradable mulch films as eco-friendly alternative in agriculture
- 31 - Biodegradable mulch films incorporation could affect soil functionality
- 32 - Significant impact of biodegradable plastics on soil microbial biomass and activity
- 33 - Biodegradable plastics influenced the processes linked to soil C, N and P cycles
- 34 - Importance of considering soils with distinct characteristics

1. INTRODUCTION

Plastics are durable and cost-efficient materials that have been applied in a wide range of sectors, including agricultural production, particularly as plastic mulch (PlasticsEurope, 2018). In agriculture, plastic mulch contributes to increasing yields, extending the growing season, reducing weed pressure, improving fertiliser use efficiency, preserving soil moisture, and increasing soil temperature (Lalitha et al., 2010; Lamont, 2005). One of the major limitations to the use of plastic mulch is related to the operations and costs of removing and disposing of mulch film from the field at the end of the crop cycle; indeed incorrect removal and/or disposal of plastic mulch may cause environmental accumulation of fragmented materials and subsequent pollution of soil, water, and air resources (Moore-Kucera et al., 2014; Steinmetz et al., 2016).

The use of biodegradable plastics (BDP) as mulch films could represent an eco-friendly alternative to conventional plastic films. BDP mulch films offer the same agronomic advantages as plastic mulch films, but do not need to be removed and disposed of at the end of the crop cycle. Indeed, because of their biodegradability (according to the main standards, such as EN 17033:2018), they can be incorporated into the soil where they are used and mineralized by soil microorganisms, leading to reduced environmental impact and management costs (Brodhagen et al., 2015; Kyrikou and Briassoulis, 2007; Lucas et al., 2008). Once incorporated into the soil, BDP mulch films constitute a source of organic carbon (C), potentially influencing soil microbial biomass and activity. Consequently, these processes influence the biogeochemical cycles of elements and their bioavailability. Bandopadhyay et al. (2018) pointed out that the amount of C added to the soil for each single biodegradable plastic treatment is very small compared to the total volume of soil; however, it can cause an increase in microbial biomass and enzyme activity (Li et al., 2014; Yamamoto-Tamura et al., 2015). Several studies concerning the effects of conventional

60 plastics on soil quality have been conducted taking into account physical, chemical, and
61 biological parameters; however, conflicting conclusions have been reached. For example,
62 Liu et al. (2017) studied the effect of polypropylene microplastics on the dynamics of soluble
63 forms of C and nitrogen (N) (DOC, dissolved organic C and TDN, total dissolved N) and on
64 soil enzyme activities. They found a stimulus of soil enzymatic activities that resulted in an
65 increased availability of soluble C for microorganisms and nutrients (N and phosphorous
66 (P)) for plants. In contrast, Awet et al. (2018) observed a reduction in soil dehydrogenase,
67 N-(leucine-aminopeptidase), P-(alkaline-phosphatase) and C-(β -glucosidase and cellulose
68 1,4-beta-cellobiosidase) activities after the incorporation of polystyrene nanoparticles into
69 the soil.

70 In the case of BDP, while biodegradation processes have been and are the subject of
71 numerous studies in terms of mechanism and kinetics (Chinaglia et al., 2018; Dharmalingam
72 et al., 2015; Hablot et al., 2014; Hayes et al., 2017; Kasirajan and Ngouajio, 2012;
73 Kijchavengkul and Auras, 2008; Singh and Sharma, 2008; Tosin et al., 2019), only a few
74 studies have investigated the effects of these materials on soil functionality, with results that
75 are not always consistent (Bandopadhyay et al., 2018; Li et al., 2014; Qi et al., 2020; Sintim
76 et al., 2019). This is mainly because of the presence of different edaphic factors (i.e.
77 management systems, location, and season), as observed by Sintim et al. (2019). Generally,
78 BDP have been shown to increase microbial biomass, respiration, enzyme activity, and
79 fungal abundance (Li et al., 2014; Muroi et al., 2016); however, Moreno and Moreno (2008)
80 found decreased microbial activity under mulching, and Moore-Kucera et al. (2014) found
81 minimal effect of BDP on the microbial community. Bandopadhyay et al. (2018) highlighted
82 the potential relationship between the microbial activity stimulated by BDP, microbial
83 biomass, and soil organic matter (SOM) dynamics, whereas Li et al. (2014) found increased
84 enzyme activity (β -glu) in soil with BDP and no corresponding increase in microbial biomass.
85 Nonetheless, the effective eco-compatibility of these BDP needs to be evaluated by

86 targeting the impact on different soil functions, particularly those related to the supply of
87 nutrients and to the support of the microbial community. In this context, the amount and
88 quality of C derived from BDP and their degradation by-products are expected to affect
89 microbial growth and activity, as well as the composition of the microbial community. This,
90 in turn, would influence the enzymatic activities directly involved in BDP degradation and/or
91 in the release of nutrients needed for microbial growth and for the synthesis of the enzymes
92 responsible for the degradation. Together, these mechanisms can affect the cycle of
93 nutrients and their availability to plants; therefore, the soil functions are directly related to
94 soil fertility (Bastida et al., 2008; Giacometti et al., 2013; Gil-Sotres et al., 2005; Mazzon et
95 al., 2018; Trasar-Cepeda et al., 1998).

96 The objective of this study was to understand the effect of increasing amounts of BDP on
97 soil functionality in two different soils that mainly differ in texture, with a high content of loam
98 and clay in one, and a high content of sand in the other. Our aim was to determine which
99 amount of BDP and derived C affect soil functionality measured by the use of chemical and
100 biochemical parameters (growth and activity of the microbial biomass, N availability, and soil
101 enzyme activities), which are fundamental in determining soil functionality.

102

103 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

104 *2.1 Experimental setup*

105 A laboratory experiment was conducted over one year on two different agricultural soils: a
106 loamy soil (Cambisol; WRB-IUSS, 2015) and a sandy soil (Arenosol; WRB-IUSS, 2015)
107 (main characteristics are listed in Table 1). Both soils were collected from two farms in
108 northern Italy (Piedmont Region). The two soils were cultivated without the use of BDP
109 mulch films for horticultural production. The soils were sampled in September 2018, sieved
110 at 2 mm, cleaned from plant debris, and stored at 4 °C. Two weeks before starting the

111 experiment, the water content of the soils was adjusted to 60% of their water holding
112 capacity (WHC) and kept at 23 °C. At the end of the pre-incubation period, 30 plastic
113 containers (15 for each soil) with an equivalent of 700 g of dry soil were prepared. These
114 corresponded to four biodegradable plastic treatments and one control (no plastic addition,
115 CK), each carried out in triplicate.

116 The BDP used in this study are a commercial mulch film made of Mater-Bi (grade EF04P),
117 a biodegradable plastic material produced by Novamont in the form of pellets, and certified
118 “OK Biodegradable Soil” (TUV Austria). The mulch film is produced using Mater-Bi granules
119 converted into film by film blowing with the addition of carbon black (approximately 2.8 %).
120 Carbon black is supplemented using a masterbatch based on a biodegradable polymer
121 present in Mater-Bi used in the production of the mulch film.

122 The amount of BDP added in the four treatments was 10 (P10), 100 (P100), 1,000 (P1000),
123 and 10,000 (P10000) mg of biodegradable plastic per kg of dry soil (Table 2). The P100
124 treatment (100 mg/kg soil) corresponds to the mean annual quantity of BDP material
125 incorporated into the soil (EN 17033 suggests 0.0063% = 63 mg/kg calculated based on
126 mean characteristics of BDP), whereas the P10000 treatment corresponds to a loading rate
127 of 1%, the quantity recommended in EN 17033. The BDP were added as small fragments
128 (< 2 mm) and carefully mixed with the soil. The containers were covered with screw caps
129 with a few holes to ensure gas exchange during incubation. The moisture content of each
130 container was checked weekly and restored when necessary.

131 Sampling was carried out at 0, 28, 56, 112, 168, 224, and 350 days of incubation. At every
132 sampling time, each container of soil was carefully mixed and the residual weight was
133 recorded in order to maintain the same soil humidity throughout the experiment.

134

135 *2.2 Soil respiration*

136 Soil respiration was simultaneously measured for 35 days with a distinct incubation
137 developed at 23 °C. For this analysis, moist soil samples, equivalent to 10 g of dry soil, were
138 weighed in aluminium vessels, and the amount of BDP corresponding to the different
139 treatments (Table 2) were added to the soil. The samples were then placed within airtight
140 glass jars together with a glass vial containing 20 mL of 0.25 M NaOH. Twice a week, the
141 vials were changed to new vials. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) released from the soil and trapped
142 by NaOH was quantified using an elemental analyser for liquid samples (TOC-VCPH/CPN,
143 Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) and expressed as $\mu\text{g C-CO}_2 \text{ g}_{\text{ds}}^{-1}$ (Cheng, 2009).

144

145 *2.3 Soil biochemical parameters*

146 At every sampling time, microbial biomass C (MBC) and N (MBN) were measured using the
147 fumigation-extraction method proposed by Vance et al. (1987). The C and N in the fumigated
148 and non-fumigated extracts were determined using an elemental analyser for liquid samples
149 (TOC-VCPH/CPN). The non-fumigated extracts were used to measure dissolved organic C
150 (DOC) and total dissolved N (TDN). The C and N pools were expressed as $\text{mg kg}_{\text{ds}}^{-1}$.

151 Three enzymatic activities were measured during the experiment: dehydrogenase (Dehy)
152 and β -glucosidase (β -glu) involved in the C cycle, and alkaline phosphatase (Phos) linked
153 to P availability. The activity of these enzymes can be used as good indicators of soil quality
154 and functionality (Gil-Sotres et al., 2005; Rao and Gianfreda, 2014). Dehy and β -glu are
155 linked to the C cycle: the former (an intracellular enzyme) plays a marked role in the
156 biological oxidation of SOM by transferring hydrogen from organic substrates to inorganic
157 acceptors (Kumar et al., 2013), and the latter (an extracellular enzyme) hydrolases maltose,
158 cellobiose and related products, which are important sources of energy for soil
159 microorganisms (Ferraz De Almeida et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2011). Both Dehy and β -glu
160 are considered to be good soil quality indicators related to soil microbial activity (Dick and

161 Tabatabai, 1992; Gil-Sotres et al., 2005). Phos activity is related to the P cycle (P is the
162 second-most limiting nutrient in agricultural production and a fundamental element for soil
163 microbial activity) and is known to be a sensitive indicator of soil management changes
164 (Acosta-Martínez and Tabatabai, 2011).

165 Dehy activity was determined according to the method described by von Mersi and Schinner
166 (1991a). Moist soil (1g) was incubated with 2-(4-iodophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-
167 phenyltetrazolium chloride (INT) at 37 °C for 2 h. The release of 5-(4-iodophenyl)-1-(4-
168 nitrophenyl)-3-phenylformazan (INTF) was measured at 464 nm and dehydrogenase activity
169 was expressed as $\mu\text{g INTF g}_{\text{ds}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$.

170 Phos activity was measured according to Eivazi and Tabatabai (1977) and β -glu was
171 measured following Eivazi and Tabatabai (1988). For Phos, 1 g of moist soil was incubated
172 with p-nitrophenyl-phosphate (pNP) as a substrate at 37 °C for 1 h. For β -glu, 1 g of soil was
173 incubated with p-nitrophenyl- β -glucoside (pNG) at 37 °C for 1 h. The two enzymatic
174 reactions release the same product, p-nitrophenol (pN), which is measured at 400 nm;
175 therefore, Phos and β -glu activities are expressed as $\mu\text{g pN g}_{\text{ds}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$.

176 Finally, the metabolic potential index (MI), an expression of soil metabolic activity related to
177 the potential C sources for soil microbial metabolism and general microbial activity (Bastida
178 et al., 2008), was obtained by dividing the Dehy activity by the dissolved organic C
179 (Masciandaro et al., 2000, 1998). In general, the MI is used to assess variations in soil
180 microbial activity after soil management changes, and decreases in MI indicate a reduction
181 in microbial metabolic activity (Caravaca et al., 2002; Mazzon et al., 2018; Saviozzi et al.,
182 2001).

183

184 *2.4 Nitrification potential*

185 The nitrification potential was determined following the procedure described by Berg and
186 Rosswall (1985). This assay provides an index of the population size of autotrophic nitrifiers
187 in the soil (Parker and Schimel, 2011). Briefly, 5 g of soil was incubated for 5 h in anoxic
188 conditions with 20 mL of 1 mM ammonium sulphate as the substrate and 0.1 mL of sodium
189 chlorate. The released nitrite was measured at 520 nm, and nitrification potential activity
190 was expressed as $\text{ng N-NO}_2^- \text{ g}_{\text{ds}}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$. The nitrification potential was measured at 112, 224,
191 and 350 days of incubation.

192

193 *2.5 Statistical analysis*

194 Statistical analysis of the data was conducted using the R environment (R Core Team,
195 2020).

196 Soil cumulative respiration data were analysed by applying a negative exponential equation,
197 the curve of which can be denoted as

$$198 \quad CO_2 = CO_{2,max} \cdot (1 - e^{kt}) \quad (1)$$

199 where CO_2 is the quantity of CO_2 produced, t (day) is the time at which CO_2 concentration
200 was measured, $CO_{2,max}$ is the asymptotic maximum quantity of CO_2 produced, and k is a
201 parameter describing the shape of the curve (Creamer et al., 2014).

202 The effects of soil type, biodegradable plastic dose, and time were assessed using the
203 function “anova_test” (rstatix package) for repeated measures ANOVA at a P level of 0.05.
204 Previously, assumptions of normality, homogeneity, and sphericity were determined, and
205 the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was used when needed. A pairwise t-test was then
206 applied to determine the differences between soil type and biodegradable plastic dose within
207 each measurement time ($P < 0.05$).

208 For C and N pools, MI, and the enzyme activities were also determined by soil type and
209 biodegradable plastic dose effect over measurement time with a split-split plot ANOVA ($P <$

210 0.05) accounting for the repeated measures, followed by an LSD post hoc test ($P < 0.05$)
211 with Bonferroni adjustment.

212 Finally, principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out using the “princomp” function.
213 In order to assess if the separation between BDP doses was statistically significant, a
214 PERMANOVA test was applied (“adonis” function with Euclidean distance).

215

216 **3. RESULTS**

217 *3.1 Soil respiration*

218 The CO₂ released during the first month of incubation, the calculated asymptotic maximum
219 quantity of CO₂ produced, and the k parameter are listed in Table 3. The addition of BDP at
220 lower doses (P10, P100, and P1000) induced different soil respiration (SR) responses in the
221 two soils. In the loamy soil, SR was reduced compared to the control, whereas in the sandy
222 soil, there was an increase in SR with dose, with 11%, 27%, and 40% SR for P10, P100,
223 and P1000, respectively, although the difference was not statistically significant. In both
224 soils, the only dose that caused a significant increase in SR compared to the control and
225 other treatments was P10000 (Table 3). However, this increase was different between the
226 two soils: in the loamy soil, only 49% more CO₂ was released compared to the control,
227 whereas in the sandy soil, the extra CO₂ release was 435%.

228 In general, the loamy soil showed values of SR much closer to those of the calculated
229 asymptotic maximum quantity of CO₂ produced ($CO_{2,max}$) compared to the sandy soil, where
230 a greater discrepancy between the SR and the model was detected for all treatments. This
231 aspect is clearly visible in the soil cumulative respiration graph (Figure 1), where it can be
232 noted that, while the loamy soil was close to the plateau, the sandy soil was still rising and
233 distant from the $CO_{2,max}$ value, thus indicating a greater oxidative capacity of sandy soil and
234 a higher potential for CO₂ release.

235

236 *3.2 Soil biochemical parameters*

237 The changes in soil biochemical parameters over time during the incubation period are
238 shown in Figures 2 and 3. The pattern of DOC over time showed a peak after two months
239 of incubation in the loamy soil and then decreased to levels lower than the initial values. In
240 the sandy soil, the observed fluctuations may represent cycles of immobilisation and release
241 of DOC in the system, with values always higher than the initial values (Figure 2). Moreover,
242 the sandy soil showed significantly higher values (+45% on average) of DOC than loamy
243 soil for all measurement times. However, the behaviour of DOC was not influenced by BDP
244 dose in either of the soils (Table 4). In contrast, the three other parameters displayed in
245 Figure 2 (MBC, TDN, and MBN) were significantly affected by the highest dose of BDP and
246 soil type (Table 4). MBC at day 0 was 50% higher in the loamy soil than in the sandy soil
247 (Table 4). In both soils, after an initial decline in the first two months, MBC significantly
248 increased in the P10000 treatment (from days 112 to 350). In the loamy soil, this increase
249 in MBC was observed between days 56 and 168, and then the level decreased, although
250 values at the end of the incubation period were still 70% higher than those of the other
251 treatments. In the sandy soil, MBC increased with the P10000 treatment (+67%) until day
252 224 compared to the other treatments, and then tended to level off to the values of the
253 control and other treatments. A similar pattern was also observed for MBN (Figure 2). Even
254 for this parameter, from day 112 (Table 4), P10000 induced a significant increase in MBN
255 (+68 and +48% compared to the other treatments in the sandy and loamy soils, respectively)
256 with a pattern similar to that of MBC. The loamy soil showed significantly higher values
257 (+62%) than the sandy soil on days 56 and 168 (Table 4).

258 A pattern opposite to that of MBC and MBN was observed for TDN that showed continuous
259 N release during the entire experimental period for the control and lower doses of BDP

260 (Figure 2). Instead, consistent N immobilisation from day 56 was induced by P10000 in both
261 soils, resulting in a decrease in TDN content with P10000, compared to the other treatments,
262 with reduction reaching 200% between days 112 and 224.

263 In addition, the enzymatic activities and MI trend over time (Figure 3) showed fluctuations
264 that were more accentuated in the loamy soil. Specifically, the main differences in Phos
265 activity occurred from day 112 with loamy soil (Table 4), which showed higher values than
266 the sandy soil (+59% on average) until the end of the experiment (day 350). In the loamy
267 soil, P10000 treatment induced higher Phos activity than the other treatments at days 168
268 and 224 (+20%), whereas in the sandy soil, higher Phos activity occurred at days 112, 168,
269 and 224 (+30%), and no significant differences between treatments were detected in both
270 soils at day 350 (Table 4). β -glu, Dehy, and MI showed significant differences between soil
271 type from days 0 to 350 (Table 4), with higher values in the loamy soil (β -glu +73%, Dehy
272 +30%, and MI +73% on average) than in the sandy soil (Figure 3). When looking at the BDP
273 effect (Figure 3), β -glu showed significantly higher values (+19%) with P10000 treatments
274 in both soils only on day 112. Dehy showed significant differences mainly for the soil type -
275 BDP interaction (Table 4); BDP effects were comparable between the two soils (Figure 3)
276 only on days 168 and 224, with P10000 that was higher (+28%) compared to the other
277 treatments. Finally, MI was affected by BDP only on days 56 and 168 (Table 4), but a clear
278 increase (+25%) in both soils (Figure 3) with P10000 treatment was observed only on day
279 168.

280 Based on our findings, soil type affected all the considered parameters (Table S1), which
281 showed higher values in the loamy soil with the exception of DOC, which was higher in the
282 sandy soil, and TDN, which did not differ between the two soils (Figures 4 and 5). Within the
283 BDP treatments, only P10000 (the highest dose) significantly differentiated from the other
284 doses, but not for all the parameters considered. Indeed, P10000 treatment resulted in lower

285 TDN content and higher DOC, microbial biomass content (MBC and MBN), and Phos and
286 Dehy activities.

287 PCA revealed that the first component (PC1) explained more than 30% and more than 50%
288 of the total variance in the loamy and sandy soil, respectively, separating the P10000
289 treatment from the other treatments (Figure 6), as confirmed by the PERMANOVA test
290 (Table 5). Moreover, from the PCA, it seems that microbial biomass (MBC and MBN) and
291 Dehy activity were the most characteristic parameters of the P10000 treatment in both soils.

292

293 *3.3 Nitrification potential*

294 Changes in nitrification potential over time (Table S2) during the incubation period are shown
295 in Figure 7. In general, loamy soil showed a higher nitrification potential than sandy soil
296 (Figure 7, Table 6). The P10000 BDP dose increased the nitrification potential by 26%,
297 compared to the other treatments, in the loamy soil during the whole incubation period,
298 whereas in the sandy soil, an increase of 29% was detected only at the first sampling time,
299 corresponding to day 112 of incubation (Figure 7). Afterwards, in the sandy soil, the
300 nitrification potential significantly decreased and levelled off in all treatments.

301

302 **4. DISCUSSION**

303 *4.1 Soil respiration*

304 During the first month of incubation, only the highest dose of BDP (1%) caused an increase
305 in CO₂ losses compared to the other treatments and the control. However, this stimulus was
306 greater in the sandy soil than in the loamy soil. In our experiment, we were not able to
307 distinguish the source of this extra CO₂ released from the soil after the addition of BDP.
308 Therefore, not only the degradation of BDP by soil microorganisms could have accounted
309 for this increased loss of CO₂, but also the mechanisms related to the priming effect. Indeed,

310 the addition of C substrate to soil, such as the that applied in our study, actually impacts
311 microbial activity and can cause either an acceleration of microbial biomass turnover
312 (apparent priming effect) or a change in the mineralisation of the SOM as a result of a real
313 priming effect (Blagodatskaya and Kuzyakov, 2008; Kuzyakov et al., 2000). Real priming is
314 usually observed with complex substrates poor in N, where microorganisms use native SOM
315 to recover energy and N for the synthesis of enzymes capable of metabolising the substrate.
316 Therefore, the N limitation induced by a surplus of complex C added to the soil stimulates N
317 mining from native SOM, which is one of the mechanisms responsible for the real priming
318 effect (Blagodatskaya and Kuzyakov, 2008; Chen et al., 2014). In our experiment, treatment
319 with the highest dose of BDP supplied a large amount of C ($6 \text{ g kg}_{\text{ds}}^{-1}$) but not N, and in this
320 context, a real priming effect might have contributed to the increased SR. This increase,
321 however, was greater in the sandy soil, where the calculated $\text{CO}_{2,\text{max}}$ value was two times
322 higher than that of the loamy soil, supporting that the former has a higher C mineralisation
323 capacity over time than the latter. The lower release of CO_2 from the loamy soil after BDP
324 addition was also evident with the lower doses that caused a reduction in the CO_2 released
325 from soil compared to the control. Therefore, not only the amount of BDP and the related C
326 added to the soil is an important factor in terms of stimuli to SR, but soil characteristics also
327 play an important role in the regulation of this process (Sintim et al., 2019).

328

329 *4.2 Soil biochemical parameters*

330 In both soils at the end of the first month of incubation, most of the C added with the BDP
331 still remained in the system, potentially available to the soil microbial community. However,
332 only the highest BDP treatment supplied a dose of C able to stimulate a significant response
333 in terms of microbial biomass content, Dehy and Phos activities, and N immobilisation. The
334 increase in C availability with the P10000 treatment may have stimulated microbial growth

335 and activity and caused a decrease in TDN content (Sinsabaugh, 2010), which has become
336 the limiting element in this context (Li et al., 2014). The same result was observed by Li et
337 al. (2014), who attributed the N dynamic to the fact that biodegradable films (such as the
338 ones used in the present study) are generally C-rich but nutrient-poor. In particular, the BDP
339 tested in our experiment did not contain any N and, unlike the lower doses that did not affect
340 the C/N ratio of the soil, the highest dose caused a shift in soil C/N ratio from 10 to 14 in the
341 loamy soil and from 9 to 13 in the sandy soil (Table 2). Therefore, the demand for N by the
342 microbial biomass that we observed in our study might be driven either by this consistent
343 shift in C/N ratio of the soil towards higher values, or by the structural complexity of this kind
344 of material (Sinsabaugh, 2010). These two aspects affect the dynamics of microbial
345 biomass, N immobilisation, and enzymatic activities. According to the stoichiometric
346 decomposition theory, the C/N ratio of the substrate, compared to that of the microbial
347 biomass, drives the decomposition process and regulates the amount of C and N that is
348 used for microbial growth, which is released as CO₂ and mineral N (Barrett and Burke, 2000;
349 Hessen et al., 2004; Mooshammer et al., 2014b). The addition of the highest dose of BDP,
350 which is a C-rich substrate without N, increased the C content of the system but also caused
351 a stoichiometric imbalance between the substrate and microbial biomass, thereby leading
352 to the sequestration of soil available N by soil microorganisms. The demand for N during the
353 incubation period may have also stimulated the decomposition of SOM for N mining by the
354 microbial biomass (Moorhead and Sinsabaugh, 2006). Moreover, BDP are a complex
355 substrate that need to be deconstructed through the activity of extracellular enzymes to
356 make its C available to the microbial biomass (Caldwell, 2005). The production of
357 extracellular enzymes also requires N and can further contribute to N sequestration
358 (Mooshammer et al., 2014a; Schimel and Weintraub, 2003). In our study, we observed in
359 both soils a clear and significant effect of the BDP treatment on the N dynamics only after
360 two months of incubation, when N immobilisation clearly started in both soils in the presence

361 of the highest BDP dose (Table 4, Figure 2). This caused significant microbial growth in both
362 soils from day 112, which remained high until day 224. At approximately the same time
363 range (days 112–224), the highest levels of dehydrogenase and phosphatase activities were
364 observed. The increased Dehy activity could be related to the SOM degradation (N mining)
365 induced by the demand for N (Kumar et al., 2013; Piotrowska-Długosz, 2014; Srinivasulu
366 and Rangaswamy, 2014). Moreover, Phos activity could be related to the demand for P by
367 the growing microbial biomass, given that both N and P could become limiting nutrients for
368 microbial growth in the presence of a C-rich substrate (Mooshammer et al., 2014). However,
369 a net N release was observed with P10000 treatment in both soils with TDN, which increased
370 by 56% and 59% from days 224 to 350 in loamy and sandy soil, respectively. During the
371 same time interval, with P10000 treatment, the microbial biomass decreased by 32%–45%,
372 and the same trend was observed for the abovementioned enzymatic activities. Together,
373 these results could indicate a strong microbial turnover confirmed by the reduction of Dehy
374 activity, which is only present in active microorganisms, and therefore, could reflect the
375 death of some of the soil microorganisms and their subsequent turnover (Bello et al., 2014).
376 The trend over time observed for microbial biomass, TDN, and Dehy and Phos activities
377 was not observed for β -glu activity and MI. Contrary to our results, Li et al. (2014) observed
378 an increase in β -glu activity without a corresponding increase in microbial biomass,
379 suggesting that there was a more efficient metabolic process for the microbial community
380 and that β -glu activity is a responsive parameter for testing mulch effects on soil. In our
381 study, we did not observe any marked increase in β -glu activity with P10000 treatment. This
382 could be attributed to the complexity of BDP as substrates, which may reach a degree of
383 degradation that is not sufficient to bring biodegradation products suitable for β -glu utilisation
384 to the soil. Indeed, it is known that β -glu is mainly involved in the last stage of degradation
385 of C-substrates as glucosidases hydrolyse the degradation products of amylase and
386 cellulose (Deng and Popova, 2011; Piotrowska-Długosz, 2014). The soil type significantly

387 impacted all enzymatic activities and MI (Table 4, Figure 3), with higher values in loamy soil.
388 This was not a surprising result, as it is known that enzymes are strongly adsorbed by clays,
389 which influence their activity and stability in the soil (Burns, 1982, 1978; Monreal and
390 Bergstrom, 2000; Saviozzi et al., 1997).

391 However, considering all these parameters (microbial biomass, MI, enzyme activities, and
392 available C and N) together in the PCA analysis (Figure 6) for both soils revealed a strong
393 relationship of P10000 treatment with microbial biomass (MBC and MBN) and activity (Dehy
394 activity), confirming that BDP addition strongly affected the microbial community
395 (Bandopadhyay et al., 2018) potentially by stimulating SOM degradation and N mining.

396

397 *4.3 Nitrification potential*

398 The nitrification potential, similar to most of the observed parameters, was much higher in
399 the loamy soil than in the sandy soil. The lower doses of BDP always showed similar results
400 to the control, and did not significantly affect the nitrification process. Our results with the
401 lower doses confirm the findings of Bettas Ardisson et al. (2014), who did not observe any
402 negative effect on the nitrification rate after tillage with BDP mulches.

403 A significant increase in the nitrification potential was observed only with the highest dose
404 of BDP in loamy soil at all sampling times. In sandy soil, this effect was observed only at the
405 first sampling time (day 112 of incubation) with P10000, which was 29% higher than that of
406 the other treatments; afterwards, the values became similar to the control.

407 As previously observed, the highest BDP dose stimulated a general increase in microbial
408 biomass and a greater N immobilisation as a result of the higher amount of C added. High
409 levels of MBN measured in soils receiving high C inputs were reported to be accompanied
410 by high rates of gross ammonification due to the high N demand (Burger and Jackson,
411 2003). As already hypothesised, in our study, the elevated and prolonged N demand during

412 the period of maximal microbial growth (days 56–224) may have stimulated the
413 mineralisation of SOM and the ammonification rate. In this phase, however, the N released
414 from SOM mineralisation may be simultaneously immobilised by the heterotrophic microbial
415 community that preferentially assimilates mineral N in the NH_4^+ form (Recous et al., 1990;
416 Rice and Tiedje, 1989; Shi et al., 2004; Shi and Norton, 2000) and is more competitive for
417 NH_4^+ compared to nitrifiers (Johnson, 1992; Recous et al., 1990; Schimel et al., 1989).
418 Consequently, despite the higher nitrification potential, the level of TDN remained
419 significantly lower than the other treatments. Moreover, we cannot exclude the possibility
420 that some NO_3^- may also be immobilised. Indeed, in the presence of a high amount of
421 complex C substrate, NO_3^- has been found to be immobilised to a certain extent (Burger and
422 Jackson, 2003; Cheng et al., 2017).

423

424 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

425 The addition of C from BDP influenced the processes linked to the C and N cycles, with
426 positive effects on soil microbial biomass, even if the extent of the processes was
427 significantly influenced by the physicochemical characteristics of the soils considered.
428 Indeed, C and N dynamics and enzyme activities were strongly affected by soil texture,
429 independent of the BDP dose added.

430 The lower doses of BDP (P10, P100, and P1000) induced results that were comparable to
431 those of the control, indicating that their addition to the soil did not affect the soil
432 biochemistry. Only the highest dose of BDP (P10000) stimulated growth of the microbial
433 biomass, increased C mineralisation, and increased immobilisation of available N. Indeed,
434 addition of C with the highest BDP dose caused an imbalance in the C/N ratio, thereby
435 increasing the need for microorganisms to immobilise N, the limiting element. This, in turn,
436 stimulated the microbial activity for SOM decomposition and N mining (priming effect).

437 Our study has clearly shown that, independent of soil physical-chemical characteristics, BDP
438 addition at higher dose (1%) induces an imbalance in C/N stoichiometry, which opens the
439 road for future investigation that should include plant and N supply in order to evaluate BDP
440 effects on both soil and plants.

441

442 **CRedit authorship contribution statement**

443 Mazzon: methodology, formal analysis, writing, review and editing

444 Gioacchini: conceptualization, investigation, writing, review and editing

445 Montecchio: conceptualization, investigation, review and editing

446 Rapisarda: investigation, methodology, writing

447 Ciavatta: conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition

448 Marzadori: conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition

449

450 **Funding sources**

451 This research was supported by Novamont S.p.A..

452

453 **References**

454 Acosta-Martínez, V., Tabatabai, M.A., n.d. Phosphorus cycle enzymes, in: Dick, R.P. (Ed.),
455 Methods of Soil Enzymology. SSSA Book series 9.

456 Awet, T.T., Kohl, Y., Meier, F., Straskraba, S., Grün, A.L., Ruf, T., Jost, C., Drexel, R., Tunc,
457 E., Emmerling, C., 2018. Effects of polystyrene nanoparticles on the microbiota and
458 functional diversity of enzymes in soil. Environ. Sci. Eur. 30.
459 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-018-0140-6>

460 Bandopadhyay, S., Martin-Closas, L., Pelacho, A.M., DeBruyn, J.M., 2018. Biodegradable

461 plastic mulch films: Impacts on soil microbial communities and ecosystem functions.
462 *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00819>

463 Barrett, J.E., Burke, I.C., 2000. Potential nitrogen immobilization in grassland soils across a
464 soil organic matter gradient. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 1707–1716.
465 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(00\)00089-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00089-4)

466 Bastida, F., Zsolnay, A., Hernández, T., García, C., 2008. Past, present and future of soil
467 quality indices: A biological perspective. *Geoderma* 147, 159–171.
468 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.08.007>

469 Bello, D., Trasar-Cepeda, C., Gil-Sotres, F., 2014. Enzymes and Environmental
470 Contaminants Significant to Agricultural Sciences, in: *Enzymes in Agricultural Sciences*;
471 Gianfreda, L Rao, M, Eds.; OMICS Group EBooks.

472 Berg, P., Rosswall, T., 1985. Ammonium oxidizer numbers, potential and actual oxidation
473 rates in two swedish arable soils. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 1, 131–140.
474 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00301780>

475 Bettas Ardisson, G., Tosin, M., Barbale, M., Degli-Innocenti, F., 2014. Biodegradation of
476 plastics in soil and effects on nitrification activity. A laboratory approach. *Front.*
477 *Microbiol.* 5, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00710>

478 Blagodatskaya, E., Kuzyakov, Y., 2008. Mechanisms of real and apparent priming effects
479 and their dependence on soil microbial biomass and community structure: Critical
480 review. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 45, 115–131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-008-0334-y>

481 Brodhagen, M., Peyron, M., Miles, C., Inglis, D.A., 2015. Biodegradable plastic agricultural
482 mulches and key features of microbial degradation. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 99,
483 1039–1056. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-014-6267-5>

- 484 Burger, M., Jackson, L.E., 2003. Microbial immobilization of ammonium and nitrate in
485 relation to ammonification and nitrification rates in organic and conventional cropping
486 systems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35, 29–36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(02\)00233-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00233-)
487 X
- 488 Burns, R.G., 1982. Enzyme activity in soil: Location and a possible role in microbial ecology.
489 *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 14, 423–427. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(82\)90099-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(82)90099-2)
- 490 Burns, R.G., 1978. *Soil enzymes*. Academic Press.
- 491 Caldwell, B.A., 2005. Enzyme activities as a component of soil biodiversity: A review.
492 *Pedobiologia (Jena)*. 49, 637–644. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2005.06.003>
- 493 Caravaca, F., Masciandaro, G., Ceccanti, B., 2002. Land use in relation to soil chemical and
494 biochemical properties in a semiarid Mediterranean environment. *Soil Tillage Res.* 68,
495 23–30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(02\)00080-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(02)00080-6)
- 496 Chen, R., Senbayram, M., Blagodatsky, S., Myachina, O., Dittert, K., Lin, X., Blagodatskaya,
497 E., Kuzyakov, Y., 2014. Soil C and N availability determine the priming effect: Microbial
498 N mining and stoichiometric decomposition theories. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20.
499 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12475>
- 500 Cheng, J., Chen, Y., He, T., Liao, R., Liu, R., Yi, M., Huang, L., Yang, Z., Fu, T., Li, X., 2017.
501 Soil nitrogen leaching decreases as biogas slurry DOC/N ratio increases. *Appl. Soil*
502 *Ecol.* 111, 105–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.12.001>
- 503 Cheng, W., 2009. Rhizosphere priming effect: Its functional relationships with microbial
504 turnover, evapotranspiration, and C-N budgets. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41, 1795–1801.
505 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.04.018>
- 506 Chinaglia, S., Tosin, M., Degli-Innocenti, F., 2018. Biodegradation rate of biodegradable

507 plastics at molecular level. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 147, 237–244.
508 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2017.12.011>

509 Creamer, R.E., Schulte, R.P.O., Stone, D., Gal, A., Krogh, P.H., Lo Papa, G., Murray, P.J.,
510 Pérès, G., Foerster, B., Rutgers, M., Sousa, J.P., Winding, A., 2014. Measuring basal
511 soil respiration across Europe: Do incubation temperature and incubation period
512 matter? *Ecol. Indic.* 36, 409–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.08.015>

513 Deng, S., Popova, I., 2011. Carbohydrate hydrolases., in: Dick, R.P. (Ed.), *Methods of Soil*
514 *Enzymology*. SSSA Book series 9.

515 Dharmalingam, S., Hayes, D.G., Wadsworth, L.C., Dunlap, R.N., DeBruyn, J.M., Lee, J.,
516 Wszelaki, A.L., 2015. Soil Degradation of Polylactic Acid/Polyhydroxyalkanoate-Based
517 Nonwoven Mulches. *J. Polym. Environ.* 23, 302–315. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-015-0716-9)
518 [015-0716-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-015-0716-9)

519 Dick, W.A., Tabatabai, M.A., 1992. Significance and potential uses of soil enzymes, in:
520 Metting, F.B. (Ed.), *Soil Microbial Ecology - Applications in Agricultural and*
521 *Environmental Management*. Marcel Dekker, Inc.

522 Eivazi, F., Tabatabai, M.A., 1988. Glucosidases and galactosidases in soils. *Soil Biol.*
523 *Biochem.* 20, 601–606. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(88\)90141-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(88)90141-1)

524 Eivazi, F., Tabatabai, M.A., 1977. Phosphates in soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 9, 167–172.

525 Ferraz De Almeida, R., Naves, E.R., Pinheiro, R., Mota, D., 2015. Soil quality: Enzymatic
526 activity of soil β -glucosidase. *Glob. J. Agric. Res. Rev.* 3, 2437–1858.

527 Giacometti, C., Demyan, M.S., Cavani, L., Marzadori, C., Ciavatta, C., Kandeler, E., 2013.
528 Chemical and microbiological soil quality indicators and their potential to differentiate
529 fertilization regimes in temperate agroecosystems. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 64, 32–48.

530 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.10.002>

531 Gil-Sotres, F., Trasar-Cepeda, C., Leirós, M.C., Seoane, S., 2005. Different approaches to
532 evaluating soil quality using biochemical properties. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 37, 877–887.
533 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.10.003>

534 Hablot, E., Dharmalingam, S., Hayes, D.G., Wadsworth, L.C., Blazy, C., Narayan, R., 2014.
535 Effect of Simulated Weathering on Physicochemical Properties and Inherent
536 Biodegradation of PLA/PHA Nonwoven Mulches. *J. Polym. Environ.* 22, 417–429.
537 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-014-0697-0>

538 Hayes, D.G., Wadsworth, L.C., Sintim, H.Y., Flury, M., English, M., Schaeffer, S., Saxton,
539 A.M., 2017. Effect of diverse weathering conditions on the physicochemical properties
540 of biodegradable plastic mulches. *Polym. Test.* 62, 454–467.
541 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2017.07.027>

542 Hessen, D.O., Ågren, G.I., Anderson, T.R., Elser, J.J., De Ruiter, P.C., 2004. Carbon
543 sequestration in ecosystems: The role of stoichiometry. *Ecology* 85, 1179–1192.
544 <https://doi.org/10.1890/02-0251>

545 Johnson, D.W., 1992. Nitrogen Retention in Forest Soils. *J. Environ. Qual.* 21, 1–12.
546 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1963.03700080014004>

547 Kasirajan, S., Ngouajio, M., 2012. Polyethylene and biodegradable mulches for agricultural
548 applications: A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 32, 501–529.
549 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-011-0068-3>

550 Kijchavengkul, T., Auras, R., 2008. Compostability of polymers. *Polym Int* 57, 793:804.
551 <https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.2420>

552 Kumar, S., Chaudhuri, S., Maiti, S.K., 2013. Soil dehydrogenase enzyme activity in natural

553 and mine soil - A review. *Middle East J. Sci. Res.* 13, 898–906.
554 <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2013.13.7.2801>

555 Kuzyakov, Y., Friedel, J.K., Stahr, K., 2000. Review of mechanisms and quantification of
556 priming effects. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 1485–1498. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00084-5)
557 [0717\(00\)00084-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00084-5)

558 Kyrikou, I., Briassoulis, D., 2007. Biodegradation of agricultural plastic films: A critical review.
559 *J. Polym. Environ.* 15, 125–150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-007-0053-8>

560 Lalitha, M., Thilagam, V., Balakrishnan, N., Mansour, M., 2010. Effect of Plastic Mulch on
561 Soil Properties and Crop Growth - A Review. *Agric. Rev.* 31, 145–149.

562 Lamont, W.J., 2005. Plastics: Modifying the microclimate for the production of vegetable
563 crops. *Horttechnology* 15, 477–481. <https://doi.org/10.21273/horttech.15.3.0477>

564 Li, C., Moore-Kucera, J., Lee, J., Corbin, A., Brodhagen, M., Miles, C., Inglis, D., 2014.
565 Effects of biodegradable mulch on soil quality. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 79, 59–69.
566 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.02.012>

567 Liu, H., Yang, X., Liu, G., Liang, C., Xue, S., Chen, H., Ritsema, C.J., Geissen, V., 2017.
568 Response of soil dissolved organic matter to microplastic addition in Chinese loess soil.
569 *Chemosphere* 185, 907–917. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.07.064>

570 Lucas, N., Bienaime, C., Belloy, C., Queneudec, M., Silvestre, F., Nava-Saucedo, J.E.,
571 2008. Polymer biodegradation: Mechanisms and estimation techniques - A review.
572 *Chemosphere* 73, 429–442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.06.064>

573 Masciandaro, G., Ceccanti, B., Gallardo-Lancho, J.F., 1998. Organic matter properties in
574 cultivated versus set-aside arable soils. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 67, 267–274.
575 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809\(97\)00124-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(97)00124-2)

576 Masciandaro, G., Ceccanti, B., Ronchi, V., Bauer, C., 2000. Kinetic parameters of
577 dehydrogenase in the assessment of the response of soil to vermicompost and
578 inorganic fertilisers. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 32, 479–483.
579 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003740000280>

580 Mazzon, M., Cavani, L., Margon, A., Sorrenti, G., Ciavatta, C., Marzadori, C., 2018. Changes
581 in soil phenol oxidase activities due to long-term application of compost and mineral N
582 in a walnut orchard. *Geoderma* 316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.12.009>

583 Monreal, C.M., Bergstrom, D.W., 2000. Soil enzymatic factors expressing the influence of
584 land use, tillage system and texture on soil biochemical quality. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 80,
585 419–428. <https://doi.org/10.4141/S99-088>

586 Moore-Kucera, J., Cox, S.B., Peyron, M., Bailes, G., Kinloch, K., Karich, K., Miles, C., Inglis,
587 D.A., Brodhagen, M., 2014. Native soil fungi associated with compostable plastics in
588 three contrasting agricultural settings. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 98, 6467–6485.
589 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-014-5711-x>

590 Moorhead, Daryl L. and Sinsabaugh, R.L., 2006. Mosaic Patterns of Thermal Stress in the
591 Rocky Intertidal Zone: Implications for Climate Change. *Ecol. Monogr.* 76, 151–174.
592 [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615\(2006\)076](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(2006)076)

593 Mooshammer, M., Wanek, W., Hämmerle, I., Fuchslueger, L., Hofhansl, F., Knoltsch, A.,
594 Schneckner, J., Takriti, M., Watzka, M., Wild, B., Keiblinger, K.M., Zechmeister-
595 Boltenstern, S., Richter, A., 2014a. Adjustment of microbial nitrogen use efficiency to
596 carbon:Nitrogen imbalances regulates soil nitrogen cycling. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 1–7.
597 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4694>

598 Mooshammer, M., Wanek, W., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Richter, A., 2014b.
599 Stoichiometric imbalances between terrestrial decomposer communities and their

600 resources: Mechanisms and implications of microbial adaptations to their resources.
601 Front. Microbiol. 5, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00022>

602 Moreno, M.M., Moreno, A., 2008. Effect of different biodegradable and polyethylene
603 mulches on soil properties and production in a tomato crop. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam).
604 116, 256–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2008.01.007>

605 Muroi, F., Tachibana, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Sakurai, T., Kasuya, K.I., 2016. Influences of
606 poly(butylene adipate-co-terephthalate) on soil microbiota and plant growth. Polym.
607 Degrad. Stab. 129, 338–346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2016.05.018>

608 Parker, S.S., Schimel, J.P., 2011. Soil nitrogen availability and transformations differ
609 between the summer and the growing season in a California grassland. Appl. Soil Ecol.
610 48, 185–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.03.007>

611 Piotrowska-Długosz, A., 2014. Enzymes and soil fertility, in: Enzymes in Agricultural
612 Sciences; Gianfreda, L Rao, M, Eds.; OMICS Group EBooks.

613 PlasticsEurope, 2018. Plastics – the Facts 2017. An analysis of European plastics
614 production, demand and waste data. www.plasticseurope.org 38.

615 Qi, Y., Beriot, N., Gort, G., Huerta Lwanga, E., Gooren, H., Yang, X., Geissen, V., 2020.
616 Impact of plastic mulch film debris on soil physicochemical and hydrological properties.
617 Environ. Pollut. 266, 115097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115097>

618 R Core Team, 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

619 Rao, M.A., Gianfreda, L., 2014. Soil enzymes, in: Enzymes in Agricultural Sciences;
620 Gianfreda, L Rao, M, Eds.; OMICS Group EBooks.

621 Recous, S., Mary, B., Faurie, G., 1990. Microbial immobilization of ammonium and nitrate in
622 cultivated soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 22, 913–922. <https://doi.org/10.1016/0038->

623 0717(90)90129-N

624 Rice, C.W., Tiedje, J.M., 1989. Regulation of nitrate assimilation by ammonium in soils and
625 in isolated soil microorganisms. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 21, 597–602.
626 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(89\)90135-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(89)90135-1)

627 Saviozzi, A., Levi-Minzi, R., Cardelli, R., Riffaldi, R., 2001. A comparison of soil quality in
628 adjacent cultivated, forest and native grassland soils. *Plant Soil* 233, 251–259.
629 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010526209076>

630 Saviozzi, A., Riffaldi, R., Levi-Minzi, R., Panichi, A., 1997. Properties of soil particle size
631 separates after 40 years of continuous corn. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 28, 427–
632 440. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103629709369801>

633 Schimel, J.P., Jackson, L.E., Firestone, M.K., 1989. SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL EFFECTS
634 ON PLANT-MICROBIAL COMPETITION FOR INORGANIC NITROGEN IN A
635 CALIFORNIA ANNUAL GRASSLAND. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 21, 1059–1066.
636 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-326X\(99\)00140-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-326X(99)00140-9)

637 Schimel, J.P., Weintraub, M.N., 2003. The implications of exoenzyme activity on microbial
638 carbon and nitrogen limitation in soil: A theoretical model. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35, 549–
639 563. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(03\)00015-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00015-4)

640 Shi, W., Miller, B.E., Stark, J.M., Norton, J.M., 2004. Microbial Nitrogen Transformations in
641 Response to Treated Dairy Waste in Agricultural Soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 68, 1867–
642 1874. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2004.1867>

643 Shi, W., Norton, J.M., 2000. Microbial control of nitrate concentrations in an agricultural soil
644 treated with dairy waste compost or ammonium fertilizer. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 1453–
645 1457. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(00\)00050-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00050-X)

646 Singh, B., Sharma, N., 2008. Mechanistic implications of plastic degradation. *Polym.*
647 *Degrad. Stab.* 93, 561–584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2007.11.008>

648 Sinsabaugh, R.L., 2010. Phenol oxidase, peroxidase and organic matter dynamics of soil.
649 *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42, 391–404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.10.014>

650 Sintim, H.Y., Bandopadhyay, S., English, M.E., Bary, A.I., DeBruyn, J.M., Schaeffer, S.M.,
651 Miles, C.A., Reganold, J.P., Flury, M., 2019. Impacts of biodegradable plastic mulches
652 on soil health. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 273, 36–49.
653 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.12.002>

654 Srinivasulu, M., Rangaswamy, V., 2014. Enzymes and pesticides, in: *Enzymes in*
655 *Agricultural Sciences*; Gianfreda, L Rao, M, Eds.; OMICS Group EBooks.

656 Steinmetz, Z., Wollmann, C., Schaefer, M., Buchmann, C., David, J., Tröger, J., Muñoz, K.,
657 Frör, O., Schaumann, G.E., 2016. Plastic mulching in agriculture. Trading short-term
658 agronomic benefits for long-term soil degradation? *Sci. Total Environ.* 550, 690–705.
659 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.153>

660 Tosin, M., Pischedda, A., Degli-Innocenti, F., 2019. Biodegradation kinetics in soil of a multi-
661 constituent biodegradable plastic. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 166, 213–218.
662 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2019.05.034>

663 Trasar-Cepeda, C., Leirós, C., Gil-Sotres, F., Seoane, S., 1998. Towards a biochemical
664 quality index for soils: An expression relating several biological and biochemical
665 properties. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 26, 100–106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003740050350>

666 Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S., 1987. An extraction method for measuring soil
667 microbial biomass C. *Soil Biol. Biochem* 19, 703–707. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(87)90052-6)
668 [0717\(87\)90052-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(87)90052-6)

669 von Mersi, W., Schinner, F., 1991. An improved and accurate method for determining the
670 dehydrogenase activity of soils with idonitrotetrazolium chloride. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 11,
671 216–220. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00335770>

672 WRB-IUSS, 2015. World Reference Base for Soil Resources. World Soil Resources Reports
673 106, World Soil Resources Reports No. 106. Rome.

674 Yamamoto-Tamura, K., Hiradate, S., Watanabe, T., Koitabashi, M., Sameshima-Yamashita,
675 Y., Yarimizu, T., Kitamoto, H., 2015. Contribution of soil esterase to biodegradation of
676 aliphatic polyester agricultural mulch film in cultivated soils. *AMB Express* 5.
677 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-014-0088-x>

678 Zhang, Y., Chen, L., Wu, Z., Sun, C., 2011. Kinetic parameters of soil β -glucosidase
679 response to environmental temperature and moisture regimes. *Rev. Bras. Ciência do*
680 *Solo* 35, 1285–1291. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0100-06832011000400022>

681