

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Constraints upon fault zone properties by combined structural analysis of virtual outcrop models and discrete fracture network modelling

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Ceccato, A., Viola, G., Antonellini, M., Tartaglia, G., Ryan, E.J. (2021). Constraints upon fault zone properties by combined structural analysis of virtual outcrop models and discrete fracture network modelling. JOURNAL OF STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY, 152, 1-18 [10.1016/j.jsg.2021.104444].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/831393 since: 2021-09-06

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2021.104444

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Ceccato, Alberto; Viola, Giulio; Antonellini, Marco; Tartaglia, Giulia; Ryan, Eric J.: Constraints upon fault zone properties by combined structural analysis of virtual outcrop models and discrete fracture network modelling

JOURNAL OF STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY VOL. 152 ISSN: 0191-8141

DOI: 10.1016/j.jsg.2021.104444

The final published version is available online at: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2021.104444</u>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1 Constraints upon fault zone properties by combined structural analysis of virtual outcrop

2 models and discrete fracture network modelling

3 Alberto Ceccato¹, Giulio Viola¹, Marco Antonellini¹, Giulia Tartaglia¹, Eric J. Ryan²

⁴ ¹Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences – BiGeA. Alma Mater Studiorum

5 – University of Bologna – Italy

² Norwegian University of Science and Technology – Department of Geoscience and Petroleum –
 Trondheim – Norway

8 Corresponding Author: Alberto Ceccato (alberto.ceccato@unibo.it)

9 Abstract

10 The permeability structure of a fault zone is strongly dependent on the occurrence of meso-scale 11 fracture patterns within the damage zone. Here, structural analyses of Virtual Outcrop Models (VOM) 12 integrated with Discrete Fracture Network (DFN) modelling are used to constrain the relationship 13 between meso-scale fracture patterns and the bulk permeability of a regional-scale fault zone. The 14 Goddo Fault Zone (GFZ, Bømlo – Norway) is a long-lived extensional fault zone cutting across a 15 granodioritic body developed during the long-lasting rifting of the North Sea. Fracture geometrical 16 characteristics and the spatial variation of fracture intensity derived from VOM structural analysis 17 were adopted as input for stochastic DFN models representing selected portions of the GFZ to 18 constrain the variability of the structural permeability tensor K related to the mesoscopic fracture 19 pattern. The intensity of fault-related fracture set(s), and the associated structural permeability 20 computed with DFN models, likely exhibits a decreasing power-law trend within the damage zone 21 with increasing distance from the fault cores. The orientation of the maximum K tensor component 22 is controlled by the intersection direction of the dominant fracture sets. These results highlight the 23 fundamental role of mesoscopic fracture patterns in controlling the bulk petrophysical properties of 24 large fault zones.

25 Keywords

- 26 Fault zone permeability; Virtual Outcrop Model; Permeability tensor; Discrete Fracture Network
- 27 modelling.

29 **1. Introduction**

30 Metamorphic and igneous crystalline basement rocks are characterised by a very low matrix permeability (<10⁻⁴ mD), which is related to an inherent low porosity (Achtziger-Zupančič et al., 31 32 2017). Their bulk permeability is thus controlled by the so-called structural permeability, i.e. the 33 secondary permeability due to fractures and fault zones (Nelson, 2001). The permeability and 34 hydraulic properties of fault zones are, in turn, controlled by the fault zone structural architecture 35 (Caine et al., 1996). The geometrical arrangement, deformation intensity and size of fault zone 36 domains and brittle structural facies (sensu Tartaglia et al., 2020) control whether fault zones behave 37 as conduits or barriers to fluid flow (Bruhn et al., 1994; Faulkner et al., 2010; Bense et al., 2013). 38 Fault architectural elements include (i) the fault core(s), which localises most of the deformation 39 accommodated by fault zones, and (ii) the damage zone, defined as a zone of diffuse micro- to meso-40 scale fractures surrounding the fault core. At the micro-scale, micro-fracturing and cataclasis affect 41 the matrix properties within fault zone domains, i.e. the bulk permeability of the rock (Evans et al., 42 1997). The matrix permeability increases proportionally to the micro-fracture intensity within the 43 fault damage zone (Mitchell and Faulkner, 2012; Rempe et al., 2018; Gomila et al., 2021). Intense 44 cataclasis and fluid-rock interaction within fault cores may form fine-grained, phyllosilicate-rich and low-permeability fault rocks (Faulkner, 2004). The main factors controlling fault structural 45 46 permeability are, instead, fracture intensity and connectivity within the meso-scale fracture networks 47 (Hardebol et al., 2015; Peacock and Sanderson, 2018). In this paper, the term *fracture intensity* refers 48 to both linear fracture intensity P_{10} (m⁻¹), expressed as the number N of fractures intersected by a 1D survey scanline of length L ($P_{10} = N/L m^{-1}$), and the volumetric fracture intensity $P_{32} (m^2/m^3)$, which 49 50 quantifies the total surface area of fractures within a given volume of rock (Dershowitz and Herda, 1992). Fracture intensity generally varies across faults/damage zones such that a detailed 51 52 quantification of the spatial variability of fracture intensity is necessary to evaluate the variation of 53 the hydraulic properties across a fault zone (Martinelli et al., 2020).

Here we present field and Virtual Outcrop Model (VOM) structural analyses to quantify the geometrical parameters of the fracture sets associated with the Goddo Fault Zone (Bømlo, southwestern Norway). Our results were used as input to Discrete Fracture Network (DFN) modelling (computed in FracMan 7.9) to quantify the structural permeability related to the meso-scale fracture network developed across the large-scale Goddo Fault Zone.

59 The analysis of fracture distribution in the VOMs allowed us to constrain the geometry, intensity, 60 spatial distribution, and organisation of subseismic-resolution-scale (SSRS) structural features 61 (Wilson et al., 2011; Seers and Hodgetts, 2014; Bisdom et al., 2017; Biber et al., 2018). Examples of 62 SSRS structural features include outcrop-scale fracture patterns and local-scale fault zones 63 characterized by either low seismic impedance or dimensions that are below resolution and thus 64 undetectable by standard-industry seismic surveys (e.g. fault throw <4-10 m) (Tanner et al., 2019). 65 In contrast, seismic-resolution scale (SRS) structural features such as the GFZ include fractures and 66 fault zones that are commonly detected by standard seismic surveys. SSRS structural features are 67 intrinsic properties of the damage zone of SRS fault zones and deeply affect the local-scale 68 permeability of reservoir rocks (Damsleth et al., 1998).

69 VOMs produced by Structure-from-Motion (SfM) algorithms on unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) 70 imagery are increasingly adopted to document the high-resolution architecture of fault zones and to 71 retrieve input parameters for quantitative models of meso-scale structural permeability (Romano et 72 al., 2020; Hansberry et al., 2021; Smeraglia et al., 2021). VOMs generate structural data from large 73 areas, leading to statistically significant datasets of geometrical fracture properties (Martinelli et al., 74 2020). In this study, results from VOM structural analysis are adopted as inputs to stochastic DFN 75 models aiming to quantify the permeability and its variability due to the meso-scale fracture patterns 76 that are associated with a fault zone. DFN models make it possible to define the magnitude and 77 orientation of the principal components of the equivalent permeability tensor **K** in a Representative 78 Elementary Volume (REV) (Oda, 1988) of the studied fault zone domains.

79 Ultimately, the focus of this combined structural and modelling approach is to understand and 80 quantify the impact on the rock bulk permeability of variable intensities of SSRS fractures associated 81 with SRS fault zones. Our results have significant implications upon the understanding of the relative 82 variation of permeability (magnitude and orientation of maximum permeability) as a function of the 83 spatially variable intensity of meso-scale fractures close to large-scale fault zones in crystalline 84 basements (e.g. Mitchell and Faulkner, 2012). This is highly relevant as fractured crystalline 85 basement blocks are increasingly becoming the focus of many applied and theoretical studies, also 86 aiming at the sustainable exploitation of georesources (oil, mineral, heat and water) (Lüschen et al., 87 2015; Achtziger-Zupančič et al., 2016; Ceccato et al., 2021), and at their characterisation as potential 88 sites for anthropogenic waste repositories (Marchesini et al., 2019; Vo Thanh et al., 2019; Prando et al., 2020). The analysis of outcrop analogues of fractured crystalline basement and the 89 90 analytical/numerical workflow presented here make it possible to improve our understanding of the 91 spatial variation of the permeability tensor, which, in turn, can be of great importance to the 92 development of effective exploration and production strategies for unconventional reservoirs.

93

94 **2.** Geological Settings

95 The Goddo Fault Zone (GFZ) crops out along the southeastern coast of the Goddo Island (Bømlo, 96 Hordaland, Western Norway; Fig. 1). The fault zone cuts through the Rolvsnes granodiorite, a pre-97 Scandian granitoid pluton (466 ± 3 Ma, U/Pb on zircon; Scheiber et al., 2016) intruding the 98 metamorphic units of the Caledonian Upper Allochthon (Gee et al., 2008; Slagstad et al., 2011; 99 Scheiber et al., 2016). The Rolvsnes granodiorite is cut across by a complex network of fractures and 100 fault zones, which records the prolonged brittle deformation history that unfolded during the post-101 Caledonian orogenic collapse and subsequent multi-stage rifting of the northern North Sea (Scheiber 102 et al., 2016; Scheiber and Viola, 2018). The tectonic history of the area is only shortly summarized 103 in the following, while further details can be found in Scheiber and Viola (2018). Fractures formed during this prolonged tectonic activity can be sorted into three main sets in relation with their relative timing with the progressive development of the GFZ: (a) Pre-Permian background fractures, mineralised veins, and shear fractures; (b) GFZ-related fractures and fault zones and (c) fracture clusters spatially and genetically associated with Cretaceous alteration processes.

108 During Caledonian arc accretion and following continental collision in Silurian-Devonian times, two dominant conjugate sets of NNW-trending and WNW-trending strike-slip faults developed in 109 110 response to a NW-SE-directed compressional stress field. ENE-trending, NW-verging minor thrust 111 faults also formed. A first phase of NW-SE-directed tectonic extension was recorded by the 112 reactivation and kinematic inversion of existing structures during mid-Devonian times. Tectonic 113 quiescence characterised the Late Devonian to Late Carboniferous periods. The variably oriented, pre-Permian mineralised veins and shear fractures formed during these early stages of brittle tectonic 114 115 deformation. They include K-feldspar-quartz-muscovite pegmatitic greisens, epidote and chlorite 116 veins, and biotite- and muscovite-bearing shear fractures, and likely formed at mid to upper crustal 117 levels (6-10 km depth).

118 The recent tectonic history of the area was controlled by the multi-stage extensional tectonics related 119 to the northern North Sea rifting during the Permian to the mid-Cretaceous (Bell et al., 2014). During 120 this multi-stage history, several sets of normal faults, including the GFZ, formed under variably 121 oriented extensional stress fields. During Permian to Triassic times (290 to 245 Ma), NW-striking, 122 top-to-NE normal faults developed in an ENE-WSW-directed extensional stress field. From the Late 123 Triassic to early Jurassic (210-160 Ma), WNW-ESE-directed extension led to the formation of NNW-124 trending, top-to-ENE normal faults as well as to the reactivation of suitably oriented pre-existing 125 structures. Fracture and fault zones with thick (>>1 cm) fault-rock-bearing cores formed only during 126 this Permo-Jurassic stages of faulting and reactivation (Scheiber and Viola, 2018).

During the Early Cretaceous, a set of minor, N-S trending normal (top-to-E) faults and fracture zones
developed in the area in response to far-field stresses related to the extensional tectonics in the

northern North Sea and along the Mid-Norwegian Margin. During this latest deformation stage, N-S
to NNE-SSW trending fracture clusters formed at very shallow crustal conditions (<2 km). These
clusters are commonly associated with evidence of fluid-rock interaction that locally transformed the
host Rolvsnes granodiorite into a sandy, non-cohesive aggregate of quartz and clay-minerals (Viola
et al., 2016; Fredin et al., 2017b; Ceccato et al., 2021).

The Rolvsnes granodiorite resided at upper crustal levels throughout its entire deformation history, reaching surficial conditions during the Triassic, as inferred from the formation of weathering products (saprolite) during the subaerial exposure of the granodiorite in tropical-humid climate (Fredin et al., 2017b, 2017a).

138 2.1 The Goddo Fault Zone

139 The GFZ is a long-lived, iteratively reactivated fault zone accommodating several episodes of 140 extension since the Permian (Viola et al., 2016). The fault zone has a general NNW-SSE strike, and 141 it is characterised by the occurrence of two main, top-to-ENE, normal fault planes (average Dip/Dip 142 Dir: 50°/070°), antithetic to the dominant regional sets of W-dipping normal faults and extensional 143 detachments (Viola et al., 2016; Scheiber and Viola, 2018). Earlier field investigations highlighted 144 the complex GFZ architecture and fault core structure at the outcrop (Viola et al., 2016; Scheiber and Viola, 2018; Ceccato et al., 2021). The GFZ outcrop is oriented WSW-ESE along its southern 145 146 exposure, and NNW-SSE along its northern exposure (Fig. 2a-c). This exposure is well suited for the 147 characterisation of the footwall and main fault cores (that crop out along the southern part of the 148 outcrop), but it does not allow for clear analysis of the hanging wall, with the northern exposure being 149 almost parallel to the main fault strike (Fig. 2a). The exposed footwall and hanging wall damage 150 zones are characterised by a variable fracture intensity, the quantification of which is the focus of this 151 study. The central portion of the GFZ is defined by the occurrence of two parallel main fault cores, 152 both oriented c. 50°/070° although characterised by different thicknesses and brittle structural facies associations (Viola et al., 2016; Ceccato et al., 2021). The southern fault core (sFC, Fig. 2) includes: 153

(i) a polished Principal Slip Surface (PSS), dipping 50°/071° with dip-slip, normal 50°/070° 154 155 slickenlines; (ii) a 5-10 cm thick, massive, well-sorted clay-rich gouge layer, overlain by (iii) a 40-50 156 cm thick layer of consolidated cataclasite (Fig. 3a). The northern fault core (nFC in Fig. 2; outcrop 157 S_{3B} in Ceccato et al., 2021) includes: (i) a PSS dipping 56°/079°, characterised by dip-slip slickenlines 158 suggesting normal, top-to-ENE kinematics; (ii) a 5-10 cm thick layer of massive, clay-rich gouge; 159 (iii) a 10 cm thick chlorite-bearing phyllonitic gouge, characterised by S-C' microstructures; (iv) a 160 ~ 2 m thick layer of cohesive cataclasite (Fig. 3b). According to the general scaling relationship 161 between fault core thickness and accommodated fault throw (e.g., Torabi and Berg, 2011) and 162 considering that the fault cores are up to 2 m thick, the GFZ may have accommodated a cumulative 163 normal throw in the order of several hundreds to a thousand meters. This estimate suggests that the 164 GFZ is a good example of an SRS fault zone (Ceccato et al., 2021). The fault zone is locally crosscut by NNE-SSW-striking fracture clusters usually associated with alteration of the host granodiorite 165 166 (Figs. 2 and 3c) (Viola et al., 2016; Fredin et al., 2017b; Scheiber and Viola, 2018).

167 Detailed microstructural and geochronological analyses of the brittle structural facies (sensu Tartaglia 168 et al., 2020) within the GFZ fault core have allowed us to track its temporal meso- and micro-169 structural evolution (Viola et al., 2016). The Permian age (264.1 ± 5.4 Ma) of the phyllonitic gouge, 170 and the Early Jurassic age (200.2 ± 4.1 Ma) of the clay-rich fault gouge retrieved from K-Ar dating 171 of synkinematic illite suggest that the GFZ recorded a multi-stage activity of extensional faulting and 172 fluid-rock interaction at different temperature conditions at upper crustal levels (< 6 km depth, 173 Scheiber and Viola, 2018). Extensive alteration of the host granodiorite occurred along fracture clusters at high angle to the GFZ during the Early Cretaceous, as inferred from K-Ar dating of 174 175 authigenic illite $(125.2 \pm 4.2 \text{ Ma})$ (Viola et al., 2016).

176 2.2 Fault rock bulk permeability and anisotropy

177 The petrophysical and geomechanical properties of the GFZ fault rocks have been characterised

through in-situ measurements and discussed by Ceccato et al. (2021). The permeability of the fault

179 cores (gouge, cataclasite) and host granodiorite was measured with a New England Research 180 TinvPerm3 air-minipermeameter. Results are reported in Table 1. Details on methods and data 181 statistics can be found in Ceccato et al. (2021). The lowest permeability was observed in the host 182 granodiorite (48 mD on average) and in the fault core directly on PSS along a direction perpendicular 183 to it (43 mD on average). The highest permeability was observed in the cataclasite (368 mD on 184 average) measured parallel to the fault strike (NNW-SSE direction). The clay-rich gouge layers 185 exhibit an intermediate permeability of 96 mD on average. Based on the discussion of literature data, 186 Ceccato et al. (2021) suggested that the shape of the permeability tensor of gouge and cataclasite is 187 likely strongly oblate, with the principal minimum permeability component measured perpendicular 188 to the fault plane and on average three orders of magnitude smaller than the maximum and 189 intermediate axes of the permeability tensor parallel to the fault plane (Faulkner and Rutter, 1998; 190 Zhang and Tullis, 1998).

191

3. Workflow and methods

The proposed workflow includes four main steps (Fig. 4): (1) creation of VOMs from UAV imagery;
(2) detection and interpretation of fractures from VOMs; (3) analysis of fracture intensity and spatial
organisation by means of virtual cross-sections and scanlines; (4) stochastic DFN modelling in
FracMan.

196 *3.1 UAV imagery acquisition and Virtual Outcrop Model elaboration*

Georeferenced (WGS 84 / UTM zone 31N - EPSG:32631) Virtual Outcrop Models of the GFZ outcrop were generated using UAV-drone imagery through SfM algorithms. UAV-drone image acquisition was carried out with a DJI Phantom 4 drone, equipped with a 20 MP camera (CMOS-1 sensor, 24 mm lens) using Ground Station Pro software on an iPad. Two flights were flown on the GFZ outcrop: (i) an overview survey, acquiring images at low-resolution (1 cm/pxl) and covering the entire exposed outcrop), (ii) a high-resolution (1-3 mm/pxl) survey of the central fault zone area, specifically covering the sFC and nFC. The images were taken with 80% front and 60% side overlap while the UAV was in stationary flight. Point clouds, orthophotos and digital surface models were
generated through the analysis of the drone imagery by means of SfM algorithms in ContextCapture
(Bentley Systems Inc.).

207 3.2 Virtual Outcrop Models interpretation and fracture identification

208 The interpretation of fractures and the structural analysis of the generated VOMs were performed in 209 CloudCompare (https://www.danielgm.net/cc/). The VOMs were plotted in CloudCompare as point 210 clouds. The point clouds of the two VOMs generated from the two drone flights were combined to 211 build a single, high-resolution VOM of the GFZ (Fig. 4A). Fracture extraction from the VOMs 212 required the segmentation of exposed fracture surfaces and the analysis of fracture traces on the 213 outcrop surface adopting the structural analysis toolkits Facets (Dewez et al., 2016) and Compass 214 (Thiele et al., 2017) implemented in CloudCompare (Fig. 4B). These toolkits rely on two different 215 approaches to retrieve a geometrical representation of fracture planes. The Facets tool is designed to 216 retrieve the planar 3D polygon that best fits the points of a manually selected portion of the point 217 cloud. The Compass tool allows to either fit a square plane to a selected region of defined radius 218 around a selected point of a point cloud (Plane tool), or to retrieve the plane that best fits the trace of 219 a fracture on the outcrop surface (Trace tool). Thus, these tools are efficient in retrieving the 220 orientation of fracture surfaces and planes when the outcrop topography is irregular and offer a three-221 dimensional exposure of fracture planes or traces. We have mainly adopted Facets to analyse the 222 exposed fracture surfaces, and the Trace tool in Compass to retrieve the orientation of fracture planes 223 and length from the fracture trace on the outcrop surface. The accuracy of both analytical tools in 224 retrieving reliable orientation data depends on the resolution of the point cloud and the dimension of 225 the segmented fracture plane: the smaller the fracture surface/trace, the fewer the points that can be 226 fitted by the interpretation tools, and thus, the less accurate the retrieved plane orientation (Dewez et 227 al., 2016; Thiele et al., 2017). Accordingly, the range of fracture length that the tools can analyse is 228 limited at the lower bound by the resolution of the point cloud, and at the upper bound by the 229 computational capability of the PC/workstation (Dewez et al., 2016; Thiele et al., 2017). The

interpreted planar or linear trace expression of fractures ranges between 10 cm and 5 m. The structural
orientation data exported from Facets and Compass tools were then analysed and plotted with
Stereonet v.11.2.2. (https://www.rickallmendinger.net/stereonet). Fracture trace length distributions
were analysed with an ad-hoc MATLAB script adopting the functions for distribution fitting made
available by FracPaQ (Healy et al., 2017; Rizzo et al., 2017).

3.3 Analysis of fracture intensity and spatial organisation through virtual cross-sections and
 scanlines

237 The interpreted fracture traces and segmented polygons were then exported as .dfx meshes and 238 imported into MOVE (Petex) to identify the dominant fracture sets, analyse their spatial distribution 239 and the related fracture intensity (Fig. 4). The imported meshes were converted in rectangular fracture 240 planes displaying the same orientation and horizontal length dimension of the polygons interpreted 241 from the VOM. In MOVE, the entire fracture plane database was sorted into different orientation sets 242 through manual segmentation of point clusters formed by the poles to the fracture planes in the 243 stereographic projections. The fracture intensity (P₁₀) was computed for each set on virtual cross-244 sections across the GFZ with the aid of virtual scanlines. In the VOM displayed in MOVE, we 245 selected only the polygons-fractures belonging to a single orientation set. Then, several cross-sections 246 were traced through the outcrop, oriented perpendicularly to the average strike of the selected fracture 247 set and cutting across the areas of the VOM populated by the largest density of polygons-fractures 248 (Fig. 4D). On each section we projected the polygon-fractures occurring within a tabular volume 249 centred around the cross-section plane (Fig. 4D). In doing so, we assumed that the local intensity and 250 distribution of meso-scale fractures is constant along fault strike. Virtual scanlines were traced 251 perpendicular to the main dip angle of projected fractures on each section. The length of scanlines 252 drawn on each section was limited to a few meters (<2-5 m). Fracture intensities were then computed 253 by counting the number of fractures intersected and/or occurring in proximity (1-2 m above or below) 254 to the virtual scanline (Fig. 4E).

Finally, to compare results from different scanlines and cross-sections, we projected the virtual scanlines on a single cross-section to track the variation of fracture intensity for each set across the profile (Fig. 4F).

258 3.4 Discrete Fracture Network Modelling with FracMan

259 The quantification of the structural permeability related to meso-scale fracture networks was performed by stochastic Discrete Fracture Network (DFN) modelling in FracMan 7.9 (Golder 260 261 Associates) (Fig. 4G). FracMan allows to compute the permeability related to a specific fracture 262 network in a rock mass through numerical modelling based on Discrete Fracture Network methods. The fracture network can be deterministically retrieved from 2D outcrop maps and imported into the 263 264 software as trace maps (Antonellini et al., 2014). Alternatively, fracture networks can be generated with a stochastic approach using statistical parameters describing the geometrical properties of the 265 266 fracture network as retrieved from deterministic field measurements (Lei et al., 2017). Here, we adopt 267 the stochastic approach: the fracture network in the DFN models has been generated using the 268 parameters describing the statistical distribution of geometrical fracture properties as retrieved from 269 the analysis of VOMs. The input parameters required for stochastic DFN modelling include (Table 270 2): (i) the average orientation and orientation variability; (ii) the target P₃₂ local intensity; (iii) a 271 function describing the shape of the cumulative distribution of some fracture size (length, height, 272 radius); (iv) the fracture shape.

The input P₃₂ for each fracture set in each model was calculated from the measured P₁₀ intensity retrieved from the virtual scanlines following the approach suggested by Antonellini et al. (2014). For each fracture set, several DFN models were computed by simulating progressively increasing P₃₂ (P₃₂ = 0.1; 0.5; 1.0; 2.0; 5.0; 10.0 m²/m³) while keeping constant the other geometrical parameters (orientation, length distribution). For each DFN model at any given P₃₂, the related P₁₀ was calculated on virtual scanlines oriented perpendicular to the average fracture plane orientation. By plotting the different input P₃₂ values and the related measured P₁₀ values on a scatter plot, we retrieved the proportionality coefficient relating P_{10} and P_{32} for each fracture set. The proportionality coefficient subsequently allowed us to calculate the appropriate P_{32} for each fracture set in each DFN model.

Each DFN model consists of a 100x100x100 m (10⁶ m³) volume domain composed of 8000 REV of 282 283 125 m³ (5x5x5 m) each (Fig. 4G). Each REV was populated stochastically with selected assemblages 284 of fracture sets. The elementary block dimensions (cube side length = 5 m) are larger than the 285 minimum dimensions suggested by Oda (1988) for the definition of a REV, which must be at least 286 three times larger than the average length of fractures (3.0.8-1m = 2.4-3 m in our case; see Table 2). The computed volumetric grid, therefore, represents 8000 possible configurations of a 125 m³ REV 287 288 of a rock mass populated by a specific assemblage of fracture sets with specific fracture parameters. 289 By doing so we aimed at analysing the statistical variation of the permeability tensor properties among the 8000 REV in the 10⁶ m³ modelled volume domain. The permeability computation in the DFN 290 291 models follows the approach of Oda (1985). The approach of Oda allows retrieving the magnitude 292 and orientation of the permeability tensor principal components (K1, K2, K3 with K1>K2>K3) from 293 the "crack tensor" describing the geometrical properties of the fractures-discontinuities occurring 294 within a REV of fractured rock mass. Comparing the magnitude and orientation of the tensor principal 295 components computed for each of the 8000 REV within the same DFN model, we have retrieved the 296 statistical variability of K components (Fig. 4H-I). The resulting permeability values and permeability 297 tensor components only refer to the structural permeability, as the matrix permeability of the host 298 rock is not accounted for in our models.

299

4. Results

301 *4.1 Field and VOM outcrop characterization*

The structural field analysis of this study was limited to the acquisition of a reliable dataset of fracture
orientations and the identification of the fault zone domains (fault cores and damage zone) (Figs. 2By comparing the 3D point clouds with field investigations, we could identify the three different

domains composing the GFZ (Figs. 2 and 5): the footwall (FW) damage zone, the central GFZ, and
the northern hanging wall (HW) damage zone.

307 The southern portion of the outcrop exposes the damage zone in the footwall of the main fault plane 308 (main PSS, Fig. 5a-b), which is characterised by rather spaced, up to 20 m long fractures organised 309 in clusters and oriented in two main sets, trending NNE-SSW and ENE-WSW, respectively (Fig. 5c-310 d). A third set of NW-SE-trending fractures becomes increasingly prominent (Fig. 4d) moving toward 311 the southern fault core (sFC, Figs. 2, 3a, 5a-b). These sets of fractures dip toward either NE or SW 312 (Fig. 5d). The central GFZ includes the Internal Damage Zone (IDZ), the southern (sFC) and northern 313 (nFC) fault cores (Fig. 5e-f). The sFC is defined by a large areal exposure of the main PSS above 314 which a 50-60 cm thick fault core is exposed (Figs. 3a, 5f). The nFC is characterised by a limited 315 exposure of the main PSS, which crops out at the bottom of a thick zone of cataclasites (~2 m thick 316 measured perpendicularly to the PSS). The sFC and nFC bound an Internal Damage Zone (IDZ) 317 characterised by high fracture intensity to the southern and northern side, respectively (Fig. 5g). Five 318 main sets of fractures are recognized in the central GFZ (Fig. 5h): in addition to the previously 319 identified fracture sets, an additional fracture set was observed, which shows the same orientation of 320 the main PSS (on average $50^{\circ}/070^{\circ}$; Fig. 5h). The northern portion of the outcrop exposes the hanging 321 wall (HW) damage zone (Fig. 5i-j). This portion of the outcrop is characterised by a decreasing 322 fracture intensity moving northward from the nFC and by large (up to 10-15 m wide) volumes of weathered granodiorite ("Alteration zone" in Fig. 5j). These alteration zones are related to NNE-323 324 SSW-trending fracture clusters (Viola et al., 2016; Scheiber and Viola, 2018; Ceccato et al., 2021).

325 *4.1.1 Fracture set identification*

The orientations of the segmented fracture surfaces and fracture traces interpreted in CloudCompare were plotted on stereographic projections in MOVE (Fig. 6). The total dataset of interpreted planes includes more than 2300 fractures (Fig. 6a). Clusters of fracture orientations were manually selected and classified into 5 main fracture sets (A-E; Fig. 6b; Table 2) in MOVE. Set A corresponds to the

NNE-SSE-trending clustered fractures, mainly observed in the southern footwall damage zone and 330 within the alteration zones in the northern HW damage zone (Fig. 5i-l). Set B corresponds to WSW-331 332 ENE-trending fractures. Sets C and D correspond to the NW-SE-trending fractures found throughout 333 the GFZ, dipping toward NE and SW, respectively. Set E fractures have the same orientation of the 334 main PSS ($50^{\circ}/070^{\circ}$). Sets C and E display a similar orientation but different spatial distributions 335 (Fig. 5d,h,l) suggesting that they are two distinct fracture sets. The identified fracture sets include 336 only part of the total number of the interpreted fracture planes, whose orientation distribution is 337 characterised by a significant background orientation noise (Fig. 6a). Nonetheless, the identified 338 fracture sets represent a good first approximation of the entire dataset (1806 fractures included in the 339 interpreted clusters out of 2347 fractures identified from VOMs - 77% of 2347 fractures). The 340 variability of fracture set orientation was quantified by both the K-Fisher value - for Sets C, D and 341 E, which display well-clustered orientations – and standard deviations for a uniform distribution – for 342 Sets A and B, which exhibit very small K-Fisher parameter. This was necessary to better reproduce 343 the observed orientation distribution in DFN models (see below).

344

4.1.2 Fracture trace length distribution

345 The trace length reported here represents the fracture trace persistency and is retrieved from the 346 projection of either the fracture trace or the horizontal dimension of a fracture plane on the horizontal 347 plane. Trace length distributions for each set were analysed by the Maximum Likelihood Estimation 348 method (MLE) and KS-test to obtain the best fit function describing the observed distribution (Rizzo 349 et al., 2017). The trace length distribution dataset is reported in the Supplementary Data Table T1. All retrieved fracture trace length distributions range between 0.1 and 5 m in length, and are best 350 351 fitted by negative exponential functions. Length ranges, statistical parameters, and the exponential 352 parameter λ are reported in Table 2.

353 4.1.3 Intensity and spatial distribution of fracture sets across the GFZ outcrop

Here we report the results of the fracture intensity analysis on the virtual cross-sections and scanlines for each set of fractures identified within the GFZ. The virtual cross-sections are reported in the Supplementary Data S1, and the related scanline results are reported in the Supplementary Data Table T2.

358 Set A. The spatial distribution and local P_{10} of Set A were quantified through virtual scanlines on a 359 single horizontal section (map view) through the entire GFZ outcrop (Supplementary Data S1-Set A). 360 Following this, the virtual scanlines were projected again on the vertical cross-section B-B' (Fig. 2a), 361 oriented N060°W-N120°E to visualize the variability of fracture intensity in a direction perpendicular 362 to the average strike of Set A (Fig. 7a). Set A fractures mainly occur in clusters with a high fracture intensity (up to $P_{10}=6 \text{ m}^{-1}$ - Fig. 7a). In the southern portion of the GFZ outcrop (FW) there are three 363 364 main Set A clusters, which are on average 10-15 m apart from one another (Fig. 7a). The inter-cluster granodiorite is characterised by a lower local fracture intensity ($P_{10}=1 \text{ m}^{-1}$ on average). Set A fracture 365 clusters occur also in the northern GFZ outcrop (HW), where they are associated with alteration zones 366 367 (Fig. 5j) (Viola et al., 2016; Scheiber and Viola, 2018; Ceccato et al., 2021).

368 *Set B.* The P₁₀ and spatial distribution of Set B fractures were quantified by means of a single vertical, 369 N-S oriented cross-section perpendicular to their average strike and across the entire GFZ outcrop 370 (cross-section C-C' in Fig. 2a, Supplementary Data S1-Set B). Set B fractures are scattered, and they 371 do not exhibit any obvious preferential spatial distribution. The measured P₁₀ ranges between 0.5 and 372 2.5 m^{-1} (1.4 m⁻¹ on average, Fig. 7a).

Set C. Spatial distribution and P₁₀ were quantified from multiple NE-SW cross-sections perpendicular
to the main fracture strike (Supplementary Data S1-Set C). Set C fractures crop out along the entire
GFZ exposure.

Set D. Spatial distribution and P₁₀ were quantified on multiple NE-SW cross-sections (Supplementary
Data S1-Set D). Set D fractures occur mainly in the central and southern portion of the GFZ outcrop,
as small clusters with variable fracture intensity moving from south to north across the outcrop.

Set E. Spatial distribution and P_{10} were quantified on multiple N070° cross-sections, perpendicular to the main fault strike (Supplementary Data S1-Set E). Fracture intensity varies spatially, displaying larger P_{10} values close to the main fault cores (sFC, nFC) and progressively decreasing values moving away from the central fault zone both northward and southward.

383 Spatial distribution of Sets C, D and E. To analyse the spatial distribution of P10 for Sets C, D and E, 384 the variably oriented cross-sections traced for the different sets (Supplementary Data S1), as well as 385 the related scanlines, were projected onto a cross section oriented N070°E, thus perpendicular to the 386 strike of the main fault (Fig. 7b). On this cross-section, we assessed the spatial distribution of P₁₀ 387 intensity on a profile perpendicular to the fault dip (black line in Fig. 7b). This allowed for the 388 relationship between P₁₀ intensity of each fracture set and the distance perpendicular to the main fault 389 planes to be determined (Fig. 7c). The intensity of Set C fractures does not display any obvious spatial trend (constant $P_{10} = 1.1 \text{ m}^{-1}$ on average; Fig. 7c). The diagram in Fig. 7c highlights two different 390 391 spatial trends for fracture Sets D and E intensity. P₁₀ for Set D varies from 0.5 m⁻¹ in the southern GFZ outcrop up to a maximum of 5.5 m⁻¹ just north of the nFC outcrop (Fig. 7c). A second peak in 392 393 intensity ($P_{10}= 2.9 \text{ m}^{-1}$) is observed next to the sFC. Set D fractures show an increasing intensity moving from SW to NE across the fault zone, which is best fitted by a power-law function ($R^2 =$ 394 395 0.7702; Fig. 8a-b). The spatial trend of Set E fracture intensity increases next to the two main fault cores (Fig. 7c), showing 5.7 m⁻¹ and 4.5 m⁻¹ close to the sFC and nFC, respectively. The IDZ is 396 characterised by variable intensity ranging between 1.2 and 1.9 m⁻¹. In the footwall, fracture intensity 397 increases quite abruptly from $<1 \text{ m}^{-1}$ to $>5 \text{ m}^{-1}$ over less than 5 m from the southern PSS. Conversely, 398 in the hanging wall, Set E fracture intensity decreases slowly, and P₁₀ values larger than 2 m⁻¹ are still 399 observed ~15 m away from the northern PSS (Fig. 7c). The decreasing trend of Set E P₁₀ intensity is 400

401 best fitted by a power-law function moving away from the sFC into the FW ($R^2 = 0.9809$; Fig. 8a-b). 402 The decreasing trend of Set E P₁₀ intensity moving away from the nFC into the HW is instead best 403 fitted by a negative exponential function ($R^2 = 0.88$; Fig. 8a), even though data fitting with a power-404 law function also yielded a statistically meaningful result ($R^2 = 0.7293$; Fig. 8b).

405 *4.2 Discrete Fracture Network models*

406 To track the variation of the magnitude and orientation of the **K** tensor principal components across 407 the fault zone, we computed several DFN models for different combinations of fracture sets and 408 related P_{10} to simulate the observed fracture networks of selected portions of the GFZ and recreate a 409 synthetic fault zone (Figs. 7b-9a).

410 The DFN models include (Figs. 7c-9; Table 3): (i) model FW_1, representing the crystalline basement 411 affected by background fracturing alone in the footwall of the GFZ; (ii) model FW 2, representing the transition from background fracturing toward the footwall damage zone (Set E $P_{10} = 1 \text{ m}^{-1}$); (iii) 412 413 model FW_3, representing the footwall damage zone; (iv) model sFC, representing the damage zone 414 close to the southern fault core (max Set E intensity); (v) model IDZ, representing the Internal 415 Damage Zone; (vi) model nFC, representing damage zone close to the northern fault core; (vii) model 416 HW_1, representing the hanging wall damage zone affected by the maximum observed Set D 417 intensity; (viii) model HW 2, representing the intermediate portion of hanging wall damage zone; 418 (ix) model HW_3, representing the external portion of the hanging wall damage zone without the 419 contribution of Set D. Additionally, we have created a model targeting the permeability properties of 420 the crystalline basement affected by Set A fracture clusters (model Clus in Fig. 9a). The fracture sets, 421 the related P₁₀ intensities and all the fracture parameters adopted for each model computation are 422 listed in Table 2 and 3. We assumed a constant mechanical fracture aperture of 100 µm for all the fracture sets in the DFN models. The proportionality coefficients relating P₁₀ with P₃₂ and the adopted 423 P₃₂ values for each fracture set in each DFN model are listed in Table 2 and plotted in Fig. 9a 424 (Supplementary Data S2). An example of the graphical output of a DFN model computation is 425

426 427 reported in the Supplementary Data S3. The magnitude and orientation of the permeability tensor principal components retrieved from the DFN models are reported in Table 4 and Fig. 9.

428 The magnitudes of the principal components of the permeability tensor show a significant variation 429 across the GFZ (Fig. 9b). The K1 component ranges between 0.03 mD and 0.13 mD on average, 430 showing maximum values as high as 0.4 mD and displaying a relative increase of about one order of 431 magnitude between the least and the most fractured zone (Table 4). The K₂ component shows a 432 variation trend similar to K₁. The K₃ component is the least variable component, ranging between 433 0.01 mD and 0.05 mD. The relative magnitude of the tensor components suggests that in all cases the 434 shape of the K tensor is oblate, having very similar K₁ and K₂ permeability values that are much 435 larger than K₃ (Fig. 9b). As to the orientation of the **K** principal components (Fig. 9c), the orientation of the K₃ component is constant for all models, being almost subhorizontal and NE-striking. The 436 437 orientation of K₁ varies across the GFZ: in the footwall and in the most distal portions of the GFZ hanging wall (FW_1, FW_2, FW_3, HW_3), K₁ plunges 45° eastward, laying subparallel to the 438 439 intersection direction between Sets B and E or Sets B and C. In these domains, the K₂ direction is 440 close to the intersection direction between Sets C and D (Fig. 9c, Supplementary Data S4). In the 441 central and most fractured portions of the GFZ (sFC, IDZ, nFC, HW_1, HW_2), on the other hand, 442 K₁ is almost subhorizontal and NW-trending, laying subparallel to the intersection direction between 443 Sets D and E (Fig. 9c, Supplementary Data S4). In most cases, the distribution of the entire K_1 444 orientation dataset defines a girdle spanning almost 90° and overlapping with a similar K₂ girdle 445 distribution (Supplementary Data S4). This girdle distribution for K1-K2 orientations is most pronounced in the footwall models (FW_1, FW_2, FW_3), while it becomes less pronounced in the 446 447 hanging wall models (HW_1, HW_2, HW_3; Supplementary Data S4).

448 The maximum permeability K_1 of fracture clusters (Clus) is equal to 0.11 mD (Fig. 9b) and the K_1 -

449 K₂ principal component vectors rest on a plane parallel to Set A average orientation (Fig. 9c).

450 Accordingly, the K₃ principal component is equal to 0.04 mD, is subhorizontal, and plunges toward

- 451 WNW. Overall, the computed principal components of K increase linearly with the computed total
- 452 P₃₂ fracture intensity both within the GFZ and Set A clusters (Fig. 10).

5. Discussion

In the following, we first discuss the geometry of the SRS GFZ and its SSRS structural features, andthen the effects of the geometry on the permeability of the fractured crystalline basement.

457 *5.1 Geometry of the Goddo Fault Zone*

The GFZ case study offers useful insights into the geometry of SSRS fracture networks associated with SRS fault zones cutting across crystalline basement rocks, which is otherwise difficult if not impossible to do by means of geophysical investigations (e.g., Lüschen et al., 2015). Our results from the GFZ VOM structural analysis revealed the following characteristics:

- 462 (i) The GFZ is characterised by two distinct fault cores probably representing two fault strands with a hard overlap, which have been activated coevally, as it can be inferred from 463 464 the same assemblage of structural facies with the same probable age in the two fault cores 465 (Viola et al., 2016; Ceccato et al., 2021). However, the limited exposure of the studied 466 outcrop does not allow to fully characterise the large-scale geometry of the GFZ. The two 467 fault strands can either represent two en-echelon segments of a larger-scale normal fault 468 or the same fault core embedding a very large damage zone lithon (the IDZ) (Childs et al., 469 1995; Fossen and Rotevatn, 2016).
- The intensity of the ENE-dipping Set E fractures varies from $<1 \text{ m}^{-1} 5 \text{ m}$ from the main 470 (ii) 471 fault zone to $\sim 6 \text{ m}^{-1}$ adjacent to the main fault cores. If fracture intensity in the most 472 external portions of the GFZ represents the "background" fracture intensity of Set E, we define c. 1 m⁻¹ as the limit between the background fracture intensity and the GFZ damage 473 zone (Choi et al., 2016; Torabi et al., 2020). The transition from background intensity 474 values to values >1 m⁻¹ occurs in the footwall \sim 5 m from the southern fault core. In the 475 hanging wall, the transition to intensity values $<1 \text{ m}^{-1}$ occurs at >20 m from the nFC (Fig. 476 7c). The intensity profile displayed by Set E fractures within the footwall and hanging 477

wall suggests that the fault zone is asymmetric, with the damage zone preferentiallydeveloped in the hanging wall of the fault zone.

- 480 (iii) The width of the fault zone (fault core + damage zone) is on the order of 35-40 m,
 481 consistent with the average width expected for fault zones characterised by fault traces
 482 longer than 100-1000 m with fault cores as thick as 2 m (Wilson et al., 2003; Faulkner et
 483 al., 2006, 2010).
- 484 (iv) Set E and Set D fractures clearly exhibit a decreasing intensity trend moving away from 485 the closest "high strain" zones – which are the sFC and nFC for Set E, and the highest 486 intensity peak along the profile for Set D, respectively (Figs. 7c, 8a-b). Whether related to 487 the GFZ or not, the decreasing trend is best fitted for both sets by a power-law decreasing function, which shows, in most cases, R^2 coefficients for a least-square regression (Fig. 488 489 8b) higher than those obtained from the fitting through negative-exponential functions 490 (Fig. 8a). An exponentially decreasing trend is usually described for the density of 491 microfractures within damage zones with increasing distance from fault cores (Mitchell 492 and Faulkner, 2009; Ostermeijer et al., 2020). Conversely, intensity variations of meso-493 scale (cm-to-m scale) fractures seem to be better described by a power-law decreasing 494 function as observed within the GFZ (Savage and Brodsky, 2011; Johri et al., 2014; O'Hara et al., 2017). The observed range of power-law coefficients (-0.28 - -0.45; Fig. 495 496 8b) are consistent with the power-law decay expected for multi-strand fault zones 497 accommodating >150 m of displacement (Savage and Brodsky, 2011).

The GFZ contains thin fault cores (<1 m) embedded within a 35-40 m thick damage zone. The ratio between damage zone thickness (footwall damage zone + IDZ + hanging wall damage zone = 40 m) and the total fault zone thickness (damage zone + fault cores = \sim 42 m) is approximately 0.95, suggesting that the GFZ represents a zone of distributed deformation behaving as a preferential distributed conduit for fluid-flow, following Caine et al. (1996). In addition, the distributed deformation occurs mainly in the hanging wall of the GFZ, which is therefore expected to focus fluid-flow within the hanging wall block.

505 5.2 Geometry of Set A fracture clusters

506 Set A fracture clusters overprinted the fractured and faulted Rolvsnes granodiorite during Jurassic-507 Cretaceous deformation (Viola et al., 2016; Scheiber and Viola, 2018). Set A fractures form 5-7 m 508 thick clusters, 10-15 m apart and with a P_{10} fracture intensity >5-7 m⁻¹ (Fig. 7a). The clusters mainly occur in the footwall of the GFZ. However, their occurrence in the hanging wall of the GFZ can be 509 inferred from the presence of "alteration zones" and linear topographic depressions (gullies) just to 510 511 the N/NE of the GFZ (dashed lines in Fig. 2a), which have previously been correlated with fracture 512 clusters of similar orientation to Set A in the same outcrop (Viola et al., 2016). The "alteration zones" and gullies are spaced ~ 10 m perpendicular to the strike of the gully. This is similar to the spacing 513 514 between Set A clusters observed in the footwall (Fig. 7a). Thus, Set A fracture clusters seem to be 515 rather homogeneously distributed over the GFZ outcrop, forming high-fracture intensity channels clustered with a spacing of 10-15 m and separated by low-fracture intensity (~1 m⁻¹) domains. 516

Fracture clusters are quite common in the crystalline basement of southwestern Norway (Gabrielsen and Braathen, 2014; Torabi et al., 2018). Observations from the published literature and from the studied outcrop suggest that the fracture clusters are an ubiquitous feature of the fractured crystalline basement, yet impossible to detect by commonly adopted seismic investigation methods (Torabi et al., 2018; Ceccato et al., 2021). Their occurrence, however, controls the hydrology and fluid-flow within fractured rock masses at different crustal levels and scales (Ogata et al., 2014; Place et al., 2016; Souque et al., 2019).

5.3 Relationship between the geometry of SSRS structures and structural permeability within a SRS
fault zone

526 The results of our DFN models highlight the effects of fracture intensity variations on the magnitude527 and orientation of the K principal components across the GFZ.

The overall variation in permeability is related to an increase of total fracture intensity 528 (i) P_{32} from 3.5 m²/m³ (background intensity) up to 14.4 m²/m³ across the GFZ outcrop (Fig. 529 10). The computed increase of c. one order of magnitude in total intensity P₃₂ of fractures 530 longer than 10⁻¹ m leads to a relative increase of one order of magnitude of the principal 531 532 maximum (K₁) permeability. In all cases, the shape of **K** tensor is strongly oblate (K₁ \approx 533 $K_2 >> K_3$; Fig. 9c). The magnitude of the **K** principal components increases linearly with 534 the computed total P₃₂, despite the different assemblage of fracture sets and related 535 intensity characterising each DFN model (Fig. 10, Table 4). Accordingly, the ratios 536 between principal components (K₁/K₂, K₁/K₃) are almost constant despite the different 537 assemblages.

(ii) The average orientation of the K₁ principal component is generally subparallel to the 538 539 intersection directions of the dominant fracture sets (Fig. 9c; Table 3; Supplementary Data 540 S4). The variability of K₁ orientation (cluster vs. girdle distribution) depends on three 541 main factors: (1) the variability in orientation of each fracture set (K-fisher parameters and 542 standard deviations for uniform distributions; Table 2); (2) the similarity of the average 543 orientation of intersecting fracture sets (Table 2), and (3) the relative frequency of fracture 544 sets in each model (Table 3). A well-defined cluster distribution of K₁ orientation occurs 545 when the model is characterised by either two predominant fracture sets (e.g. Set D and E 546 in model HW 1) or fracture sets exhibiting similar orientations and relative frequencies 547 (e.g. Set B, C and E in model HW 3). Girdle distributions are, instead, promoted when 548 fracture sets characterised by dissimilar average orientations and a large statistical 549 variation have comparable relative frequency (e.g. Sets B, C, D and E in model FW_1; 550 Fig. 9c; Table 3; Supplementary Data S4).

551 (iii) The asymmetric development of the GFZ damage zone suggests that, even though the 552 magnitude of the **K** components may be comparable throughout the fault zone, the hanging wall damage zone could be the principal conduit for fault-parallel fluid-flowgiven the larger volumetric extension compared to the footwall damage zone (Fig. 7c).

(iv) As observed in many other geological settings, fracture clusters (Set A) may form highly
permeable preferential pathways for the flow of fluids derived from either deeper crustal
levels (Souque et al., 2019), or surficial, meteoric conditions (Place et al., 2016;
McCaffrey et al., 2020).

The structural permeability within the GFZ are particularly enhanced in the hanging wall damage zone, where the direction of maximum permeability (K_1) is steered by the intersection direction of the dominant fracture sets therein. The resulting anisotropic permeability and the K_1/K_3 permeability ratio both indicate that the GFZ acts as a combined conduit-barrier favouring fault-parallel fluid-flow rather than fault-perpendicular flow.

564 5.4 Fault zone bulk permeability

565 The fault zone bulk permeability results from the sum of the matrix permeability of the deformed host 566 rock within the fault zone and the superimposed structural permeability related to the meso-scale 567 fracture network. Previous investigations of the GFZ focussed on the in-situ characterisation of the 568 fault rocks matrix permeability (Ceccato et al., 2021). At odds with what would be commonly 569 expected (cf. Bruhn et al., 1994; Caine et al., 1996; Evans et al., 1997; Caine and Forster, 1999; 570 Rawling et al., 2001), the matrix permeability of fault rocks measured by air-minipermeametry (Table 571 1) is larger than the damage zone structural permeability obtained by DFN modelling (Table 4) under 572 the adopted boundary conditions. The permeability values measured by air-minipermeametry and DFN modelling refer to significantly different sampled volumes (~cm³ in air-minipermeametry, 125 573 574 m³ for DFN modelling). Therefore, the comparison of permeability values retrieved from these two 575 different methods may be problematic and a straightforward correlation would require dedicated 576 analyses which go beyond the scope of the present paper. However, in terms of relative permeability 577 changes with respect to the undeformed host rock, permeability measurements with air578 minipermeametry have revealed that the gouge and cataclasite (matrix) permeability is generally four 579 orders of magnitude larger than the host rock permeability (measured parallel to the fault plane, Table 580 1) (Ceccato et al., 2021). In the same way, DFN models suggest that the increase of fault-related 581 fractures across the GFZ leads to a relative increase in (structural) permeability of one order of 582 magnitude with respect to the area outside the GFZ damage zone.

583 The occurrence of PSSs and microstructurally anisotropic fault gouges within the fault cores lead to 584 an oblate permeability tensor, whose major principal axes are oriented parallel to the fault planes 585 (Faulkner and Rutter, 1998; Zhang and Tullis, 1998). Similarly, petrophysical and microstructural 586 analyses of the matrix permeability related to microfractures in the damage zone of large-scale fault 587 zones within crystalline rocks suggest the occurrence of a strongly oblate matrix permeability tensor 588 whose minimum component (K₃) is oriented perpendicular to the main fault planes (Rempe et al., 589 2018; Gomila et al., 2021). Our DFN models consistently indicate that the minimum principal 590 component K_3 of the structural permeability related to meso-scale fractures within the damage zone 591 is also oriented normal to the main fault planes. The values of K1 and K3 within the damage zone 592 usually do not differ more than one order of magnitude (Fig. 7b). The maximum and minimum 593 components of the (matrix) permeability tensor within the fault core, however, are expected to differ 594 by up to four orders of magnitude (Faulkner and Rutter, 1998).

595 Considering the linear relationship between total fracture intensity and the **K** components, the 596 structural permeability within the damage zone probably decreases following a power-law function 597 similar to that observed for the fracture P_{10} intensity moving away from the "high-strain" fault cores 598 (Figs. 8, 10). However, this is based on a rather limited dataset of permeability data and a more 599 systematic and detailed characterisation of fracture intensity, effective aperture and related 600 permeability would be necessary to fully validate our hypothesis. Such a validation, however, would 601 go well beyond the scope of the present paper. 602 In summary, the bulk (matrix + structural) permeability of an SRS fault zone is expected to be 603 characterised by a strongly oblate permeability tensor, with the minimum permeability component 604 (K₃) perpendicular to the main fault plane. Instead, the direction of the maximum permeability 605 component (K₁) is controlled by the intersection direction of the dominant meso-scale fracture sets. 606 Speculatively, matrix permeability and structural permeability likely vary following different trends 607 (negative exponential and decreasing power-law, respectively) with increasing distance from the fault 608 core(s) (cf. Mitchell and Faulkner, 2012). Consequently, structural permeability is expected to control 609 the permeability of the whole fault zone over larger distances compared to matrix permeability related 610 to micro-fracturing, in terms of both orientation and magnitude of the bulk K principal components.

611 5.5 The fractured crystalline basement reservoir of the Rolvsnes granodiorite

All fracture sets adopted in the computation of the DFN models have identical fracture apertures. 612 613 Therefore, results of the DFN modelling represent the structural permeability of the fractured 614 crystalline basement in its actual, surficial conditions. During the local geological evolution, the 615 sequence of fracturing events, the different tectonic stresses and fluid-rock interaction processes 616 affecting each fracture set may have led to different permeabilities, which are not captured by the 617 DFN modelling. Indeed, previous field structural analyses have identified different fracture sets in 618 the Rolvsnes granodiorite that are genetically related to tectonic events of different ages and 619 characterised by different fracture apertures and mineral infillings (Scheiber et al., 2016; Scheiber 620 and Viola, 2018). As introduced above, the Rolvsnes granodiorite is characterised by three main 621 classes of fracture sets (Scheiber and Viola, 2018): (i) pre-Permian mineralised veins and minor faults; (ii) fault-related fractures; (iii) post-faulting fracture clusters. Sets B and C generally display 622 623 orientations similar to the mineralised veins and shear fractures related to pre-Permian brittle 624 deformation (pre-Permian veins and shear fractures; Scheiber and Viola, 2018). Their intensity is 625 almost constant across the entire GFZ outcrop (Fig 7a, c). The orientation of Set C suggests that this 626 set is probably related to the NE-dipping "pegmatitic greisens" identified by Scheiber and Viola 627 (2018). Further analyses are needed to understand the origin of Set D, whose fractures are similar in

orientation to pre-Permian structures but show a variable intensity across the GFZ. Given that most 628 629 of these fractures and veins are sealed by mineral infillings, the contribution of mineralised veins and 630 shear fractures of Set B-C (and D?) to the overall current permeability of the fractured basement is 631 likely limited (Scheiber and Viola, 2018). Set E fractures are generally oriented parallel to the main 632 fault plane, their intensity varies as a function of the distance from the identified fault cores of the 633 GFZ. These characteristics suggest that Set E fractures may have developed coevally with the GFZ, 634 i.e. during the Permian-to-Early Jurassic rifting stage (Viola et al., 2016; Scheiber and Viola, 2018). 635 Set A fractures are organized in fracture clusters composed of steeply dipping NE-SW- and N-S-636 trending fractures. Their orientation and the field association with alteration zone suggests that Set A 637 fracture clusters belong to the N-S-trending structures related to the Early Cretaceous rifting stage 638 (Viola et al., 2016; Fredin et al., 2017b). In this case, the permeability of the fractured Rolvsnes 639 granodiorite would be mainly controlled by fault-related Set E and clustered Set A fractures (Ceccato 640 et al., 2021). Further field analyses aimed at the characterisation of fracture aperture and mineral 641 infilling of each fracture set are required for more detailed modelling of the permeability evolution 642 during the deformation history of the Rolvsnes granodiorite.

643 The crystalline basement of Bømlo exposes a composite network of fracture clusters and fault zones 644 (Gabrielsen and Braathen, 2014; Scheiber et al., 2015, 2016; Scheiber and Viola, 2018; Ceccato et 645 al., 2021). In such fractured crystalline basement, major fault zones are expected to act as barriers to fluid flow in the direction normal to the fault orientation, creating "fault-bounded polyhedral 646 647 domains", where fluid flow is promoted parallel to the fault planes within fault damage zones but, at 648 the same time, it is limited perpendicularly to the fault planes by low-permeability fault cores 649 (Ceccato et al., 2021). Fracture clusters, cutting across pre-existing fault zones, may break the "seal" 650 provided by low-permeability fault cores, creating viable conduits for fluid flow, increasing the 651 connectivity of fracture networks within fractured crystalline basement units (Ogata et al., 2014; Place 652 et al., 2016; Souque et al., 2019) and increase the basement connectivity.

654 **6.** Conclusions

655 We present an integrated VOM-DFN workflow to quantify variations of fracture intensity across a 656 fault zone and compute changes in structural permeability changes related to SSRS fracture patterns 657 within an SRS fault zone. The results have implications for: (i) the characterisation of SSRS fracture 658 patterns within SRS fault zones in crystalline basement units, which are usually impossible to detect 659 and analyse at the meso-scale by indirect geophysical methods; (ii) the quantification of the 660 relationships between SSRS fracture patterns and the related structural permeability variations, (iii) 661 the understanding of the spatial variation of petrophysical and structural properties of REVs fault 662 zones adopted in reservoir modelling. In detail:

663(a) The GFZ outcrop displays a complex internal architecture including two overlapping fault664strands, each with a discrete core, sandwiching an Internal Damage Zone and both embedded665within an asymmetric damage zone, mainly developed in the hanging wall. The P_{10} - P_{32} 666intensity of fracture Set E, related to the main fault planes, varies more rapidly in the footwall667than in the hanging wall, decreasing according to a negative power-law function moving away668from the closest fault core(s).

669 (b) The average structural permeability resulting from the DFN modelling of the SSRS fracture 670 patterns within the damage zone of an SRS fault zone ranges between 0.01 and 0.14 mD, 671 reaching local maximum values as high as 0.4 mD. The magnitude and anisotropy of the K 672 principal components depend linearly on the total fracture intensity of the fractured domain, 673 whereas the orientation of the **K** principal components depends on the intersection direction between the most abundant fracture sets. Thus, SSRS fracture networks represent a 674 675 preferential conduit for fault-parallel fluid-flow within the damage zone of a SRS fault zone, 676 especially along a direction parallel to the intersection of the dominant fracture sets.

(c) The approach combining structural analysis and DFN modelling suggests that it is reasonable
 to expect a power-law decreasing trend for the structural permeability within the damage zone
 moving away from high strain zones. Thus, the structural permeability is expected to control

a fault zone bulk permeability over larger distances when compared to the likely
exponentially-decreasing matrix permeability related to micro-fracturing within the damage
zone (Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009, 2012).

In conclusion, the analysis of (virtual) meso-scale outcrops yields invaluable qualitative and quantitative observations that can help to understand and quantitatively upscale the role of SSRS fracture patterns in controlling the permeability structure of a major SRS fault zone. The results of stochastic DFN modelling based on inputs retrieved from VOM structural analysis can provide reliable first order estimates of the permeability in fractured crystalline basement.

688 Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the use of the MOVE Software Suite granted by Petroleum Experts Limited through 689 690 an Educational Institution Licence Agreement. We thank Golder Associates for providing the 691 academic licences for FracMan 7.9. Our research work was funded by the still ongoing BASE 2 692 project ("Basement fracturing and weathering onshore and offshore Norway—Genesis, age, and 693 landscape development" – Part 2), a research initiative launched and steered by the Geological Survey 694 of Norway and supported by Equinor ASA, Aker BP ASA, Lundin Energy Norway AS, Spirit Energy 695 Norway AS, Wintershall Dea Norge, and NGU. We thank all BASE colleagues for continuous 696 discussion and constructive inputs. We thank A. Cilona and an anonymous reviewer for constructive 697 comments and inputs.

699 Figure Captions

Figure 1. Geological map of the northern Bømlo Island, including the island of Goddo and the
location of the Goddo Fault Zone (GFZ) outcrop (modified after, Ceccato et al., 2021; Scheiber and
Viola, 2018; Viola et al., 2016; NGU geological map of Norway 1:50000).

703 Figure 2. (a) Simplified geological map of the Goddo Fault Zone drawn upon the orthophotos 704 retrieved from SfM elaboration of UAV imagery and the LiDAR digital elevation model. Dashed 705 black lines define the continuation of fracture clusters with alteration zones inferred from their 706 topographic expression. (b) Perspective view and interpreted domains of the GFZ outcrop 707 perpendicular to the cross-section A-A' (from CloudCompare). (c) Geological cross-section along 708 the A-A' profile of the GFZ outcrop. (Modified after Ceccato et al., 2021). FW: Footwall; HW: 709 Hanging wall; IDZ: Internal Damage Zone; nFC: norther Fault Core; PSS: Principal Slip Surface; 710 sFC: southern Fault Core.

711 Figure 3. Main outcrops of the GFZ. (a) Outcrop of the southern Fault Core (sFC), showing the 712 principal slip surface (PSS) and the above-lying composite fault core. (b) Outcrop of the northern 713 Fault Core (nFC), showing the thick composite fault core characterised in detail by Viola et al. (2016) 714 and Ceccato et al. (2021). (c) Outcrop displaying the association between a fracture cluster and the 715 alteration zone (modified after Viola et al., 2016). (d) Stereonets of structural data collected in the 716 field. The contoured poles stereonet includes the entire dataset of pole to fracture planes (equal area, 717 lower hemisphere). The two stereonets on the bottom row show fracture planes associated with a 718 lineation (slickenlines, "Slip planes") and fracture planes associated with alteration zones ("Alteration 719 zones").

Figure 4. Methodological workflow adopted in this paper. See text for details regarding the steps ofthe procedure.

Figure 5. Virtual Outcrop Model of the Goddo Fault Zone as obtained from the SfM elaboration of
the UAV-drone imagery and related structural interpretation and analyses performed in

CloudCompare. (a-e-i) Point cloud representing the VOM of the GFZ as visualised in CloudCompare. (b-f-j) Structural interpretation of the GFZ virtual outcrop showing the fault domains, including: the footwall (FW), hanging wall (HW), and Internal Damage Zone (IDZ), and the southern (sFC) and northern (nFC) fault cores. Fracture sets are also highlighted (Set A-E). (c-g-k) Virtual fracture planes resulting from the structural analyses and manual interpretation of fracture traces and fracture planes in CloudCompare. (d-h-l) Equal area, lower hemisphere stereonet presenting the contoured poles of virtual fracture planes interpreted in CloudCompare.

Figure 6. (a) Equal area, lower hemisphere stereonet presenting the total dataset of poles to virtual
fracture planes as interpreted from VOM. (b) Equal area, lower hemisphere stereonet presenting the
poles to fracture planes classified in Sets A-E and the number of planes for each set (from MOVE –
Petex).

735 Figure 7. (a) P₁₀ intensity profiles for Sets A and B, along the cross-section B-B' and C-C' of Fig. 736 2a, respectively. The "first projected scanline" to which the X axis refers to is indicated in the 737 Supplementary material (Supplement S1 – Set A-B). Fracture clusters are highlighted in the P₁₀ 738 profile for Set A (light orange rectangles). Set B shows a rather constant P₁₀ intensity. (b) Schematic 739 cross-section of the GFZ along the A-A' profile of Fig. 2a showing the identified domains composing 740 the GFZ on which the virtual scanlines adopted to quantify the local fracture intensity P_{10} of Sets C, 741 D, and E are projected. (c) Diagram showing the variation of the fracture intensity P_{10} of Sets C, D, 742 and E along the fault-perpendicular profile as reported in the schematic cross-section in (b). The 743 locations of the modelled fault zone domains (FW_1, FW_2, FW_3, sFC, IDZ, nFC, HW_1, HW_2, 744 HW_3) are also reported. See text for explanation.

Figure 8. Diagrams showing the variation pf P_{10} intensity of Set D and Set E with increasing distance from fault cores and high-intensity domains. The "southernmost projected scanline" to which the X axis refers to is indicated in the Supplementary material (Supplement S1 – Set C). Two intensity profiles are plotted for Set E, representing the variation of P_{10} with increasing distance form sFC into the footwall damage zone (orange dotted line – Set E footwall), and with increasing distance from nFC into the hanging wall damage zone (orange dashed line – Set E hanging wall). The distance for Set D is calculated (southward) from the point showing the maximum intensity ($P_{10} = 5.5 \text{ m}^{-1}$) on the profile of Fig. 7c. (a) Linear-logarithmic plot of distance vs. intensity. A straight fitting curve would define a negative exponential trend for P_{10} intensity. (b) Logarithmic-Logarithmic plot of distance vs. intensity. A straight fitting curve would define a power-law decreasing trend for P_{10} intensity.

755 Figure 9. (a) Diagram showing the P₃₂ intensities for each fracture Set (A-E) adopted for each DFN 756 model (FW 1, FW 2, FW 3, sFC, IDZ, nFC, HW 1, HW 2, HW 3) and the total P₃₂ resulting from 757 DFN modelling. (b) Diagram showing the magnitude (vertical axis on the left) and the relative ratio 758 $(K_1/K_n \text{ with } n=2,3; \text{ vertical axis on the right})$ of the principal components of the permeability tensor 759 (K₁>K₂>K₃) resulting from the DFN model computations. The average permeability values (dots and 760 squares) are reported along with their statistical variation ($\pm 2\sigma$). (c) Equal area, lower hemisphere 761 stereonets of the orientation of the principal components (K1, K2, K3) of the permeability tensor and 762 the main orientation of the fracture planes for Sets A-E.

Figure 10. Diagram showing the linear relationship between the input P_{32} total intensity in each DFN model and the magnitude of the **K** principal components. The permeability ratios (K₁/K_n with n=2;3) show almost constant values independent from the total fracture intensity.

766

768 Tables

Matrix Permeability from air-minipermeameter (mD)

| Rock type | Min | Max | Average |
|-------------------------|-------|----------|---------|
| Granodiorite | 0.030 | 254.887 | 48.429 |
| Principal Slip Surface | 0.047 | 58.653 | 43.995 |
| Cataclasite (Strike //) | 1.549 | 3730.449 | 368.762 |
| Gouge (Strike //) | 1.523 | 400.019 | 96.494 |

769

| 770 | Table 1. Permeabilit | v data of fault rocks | from Ceccato et al. | (2021). See text for ex | planation. |
|-----|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| 110 | | j adda of facilit found | | (2021). See tent for en | pranation |

| | | | | | Uniform distribution | | | Length distribution parameters | | | | | |
|----------|---------|------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Set | Di P | Dip dir | K Fisher | N | Std Dev Trend | Std Dev Plunge | Min (m) | Max (m) | Avg. (m) | Distrib. Type | Lamb da | Aperture (m) | Shape |
| Set A | 85 | 286 | 1.34 | 78 1 | 11 | 5 | 0.09 | 5.06 | 0.84 | Exp | -1.543 | 0.0001 | Hexagon al |
| Set B | 89 | 357 | 1.26 | 26 9 | 6 | 5 | 0.11 | 3.54 | 0.76 | Exp | -1.735 | 0.0001 | Hexagon al |
| Set C | 46 | 40 | 48 | 31 0 | - | - | 0.07 | 3.67 | 0.86 | Exp | -1.366 | 0.0001 | Hexagon al |
| Set D | 65 | 230 | 23.61 | 17 7 | - | - | 0.08 | 4.19 | 0.71 | Exp | -1.451 | 0.0001 | Hexagon al |
| Set E | 60 | 75 | 36.86 | 26 9 | - | - | 0.07 | 4.67 | 0.83 | Exp | -1.24 | 0.0001 | Hexagon al |

Fracture set parameters

| 773 | Table 2. | Fracture | set | parameters | (orientation, | length | distribution) | as | retrieved | from | the | VOM |
|-----|-----------|----------|-----|------------|---------------|--------|---------------|----|-----------|------|-----|-----|
| 774 | analyses. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Model | Set A | Set B | Set C | Set D | Set E | X (m) |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
| | FW_1 | - | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 32.2 |
| (| FW_2 | - | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1 | 47.3 |
| | FW_3 | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 52.1 |
| | sFC | - | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 54.6 |
| [n] | IDZ | - | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2 | 2 | 60 |
| P ₁₀ (1 | nFC | - | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 64.7 |
| | HW_1 | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 68.2 |
| | HW_2 | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 72.7 |
| | HW_3 | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | - | 1.5 | 79.1 |
| | Clus | 7 | 1.5 | 1 | - | - | 85 |
| | | | | | | | |
| P ₁₀ -P ₃₂ C | Conversion | 1.0831 | 0.9655 | 1.4571 | 1.1337 | 1,1818 | |
| fac | ctors | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Total P ₃₂ |
| | FW_1 | - | 1.45 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 0.59 | 3.54 |
| | FW_2 | - | 1.45 | 1.75 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 5.15 |
| | FW_3 | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.19 |
| m ³) | sFC | - | 1.45 | 3.06 | 3.17 | 6.74 | 14.40 |
| n²// | IDZ | - | 1.45 | 2.62 | 2.27 | 2.36 | 8.68 |
| 2 (I | nFC | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.20 |
| \mathbf{P}_3 | HW_1 | - | 1.45 | 2.19 | 6.24 | 3.90 | 13.74 |
| | HW_2 | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.70 |
| | HW_3 | - | 1.45 | 2.19 | - | 1.77 | 5.39 |
| | Clus | 7.58 | 1.45 | 1.46 | - | - | 11.20 |
| _ ~ | EW 1 | | 13 | 19 | 25 | 14 | |
| ota) (% | FW_1 FW/2 | - | 45 | 31 | 18 | 14 20 | |
| of t els | FW_2 | _ | 26 | 33 | 15 | 20 26 | |
| o uc | rw_3 «FC | _ | 11 | 20 | 26 | 20 42 | |
| rtic N n | IDZ | _ | 18 | 20 | 30 | 42 24 | |
|)FJ | nFC | - | 13 | 20 | 28 | 39 | |
| pr(in I | HW 1 | _ | 11 | 14 | 20 51 | 24 | |
| ive Ire j | HW 2 | - | 11 | 20 | 26 | 42 | |
| etu etu | HW 3 | - | 31 | 39 | - | 31 | |
| R(fra | Clus | 65 | 16 | 19 | - | - | |

| 777 | Table 3. DFN model set-up. Fracture set P ₁₀ -P ₃₂ intensities and related P ₁₀ -P ₃₂ conversion factors |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 778 | adopted in the DFN model computations. |

| | | | | Permeabilit | y Tensor co | omponents | (mD) | | |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|
| Model | | $P_{32} (m^2/m^3)$ | P ₃₃ (m ³ /m ³) | | K ₁ | K ₂ | K ₃ | K1/K3 | K_1/K_2 |
| | Avg | 3.5379 | 0.0004 | | 0.031 | 0.027 | 0.013 | 2.43 | 1.14 |
| 1 | Std dev | 0.4655 | 0.0001 | | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.003 | | |
| FW | Min | 1.8585 | 0.0001 | | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.005 | | |
| | Max | 5.5815 | 0.0006 | | 0.117 | 0.108 | 0.033 | | |
| | | | | Dir/DipDir | 58/278 | 41/123 | 13/024 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Avg | 5.1480 | 0.0005 | | 0.046 | 0.040 | 0.018 | 2.56 | 1.16 |
| ۷_2 | Std dev | 0.5620 | 0.0001 | | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.004 | | |
| FV | Min | 3.3216 | 0.0003 | | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.008 | | |
| | Max | 7.1633 | 0.0009 | | 0.126 | 0.121 | 0.046 | | |
| | | | | Dir/DipDir | 46/278 | 35/145 | 25/036 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Avg | 6.1896 | 0.0006 | | 0.056 | 0.048 | 0.020 | 2.74 | 1.15 |
| N N | Std dev | 0.6289 | 0.0001 | | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.005 | | |
| H | Min | 4.0665 | 0.0003 | | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.008 | | |
| | Max | 8.7333 | 0.0010 | | 0.137 | 0.126 | 0.050 | | |
| | | | | Dir/DipDir | 47/275 | 29/150 | 29/042 | | |
| | | 14 2077 | 0.0014 | | 0 127 | 0.116 | 0.044 | 2.80 | 1 10 |
| | Avg | 14.3977 | 0.0014 | | 0.127 | 0.116 | 0.044 | 2.89 | 1.10 |
| ъFС | Std dev | 1.0607 | 0.0001 | | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.007 | | |
| •1 | Min | 9.9835 | 0.0009 | | 0.066 | 0.060 | 0.024 | | |
| | Max | 18.5370 | 0.0020 | Din/DinDin | 0.239 | 0.222 | 0.089 | | |
| | | | | DII/DIPDIF | 50/515 | 49/181 | 23/039 | | |
| | Ava | 8.6797 | 0.0009 | | 0.075 | 0.068 | 0.031 | 2.42 | 1.11 |
| N | Avg Std dev | 0.7605 | 0.0001 | | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.006 | | |
| Dž | Min | 5.7784 | 0.0005 | | 0.039 | 0.036 | 0.015 | | |
| | Max | 11.3742 | 0.0013 | | 0.411 | 0.401 | 0.070 | | |
| | Mux | | | Dir/DipDir | 28/304 | 55/170 | 22/047 | | |
| | | | | _ | | | | | |
| | Avg | 12.2047 | 0.0012 | | 0.107 | 0.097 | 0.039 | 2.72 | 1.10 |
| ç | Std dev | 0.9558 | 0.0001 | | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.007 | | |
| nF | Min | 8.4710 | 0.0008 | | 0.061 | 0.056 | 0.020 | | |
| | Max | 16.4494 | 0.0018 | | 0.294 | 0.270 | 0.077 | | |
| | | | | Dir/DipDir | 32/310 | 48/176 | 24/057 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Avg | 13.7397 | 0.0014 | | 0.121 | 0.104 | 0.050 | 2.44 | 1.16 |
| V_1 | Std dev | 1.0137 | 0.0001 | | 0.016 | 0.014 | 0.007 | | |
| Η | Min | 9.8992 | 0.0009 | | 0.069 | 0.059 | 0.029 | | |
| | Max | 17.7589 | 0.0019 | | 0.206 | 0.175 | 0.090 | | |
| | | | | Dir/DipDir | 17/320 | 72/153 | 13/053 | | |
| | | 0.000 | 0.0000 | | 0.075 | 0.077 | 0.022 | 0.04 | 1.10 |
| 7 | Avg | 8.6993 | 0.0009 | | 0.075 | 0.067 | 0.032 | 2.36 | 1.12 |
| M | Std dev | 0./018 | 0.0001 | | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.006 | | |
| Н | Min | 5.8951 | 0.0005 | | 0.041 | 0.03/ | 0.015 | | |
| | Max | 11.9084 | 0.0013 | Dir/Dir Dir | 0.105 | 0.138 | 10/049 | | |
| | | | | nu/nihnii, | 50/307 | 55/100 | 17/040 | | |
| | I | I | | | | | | | |

| | Avg | 5.3886 | 0.0005 | | 0.052 | 0.041 | 0.015 | 3.52 | 1.26 |
|-----|---------|----------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|
| V_3 | Std dev | 0.5986 | 0.0001 | | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.004 | | |
| ΗΛ | Min | 3.4290 | 0.0003 | | 0.024 | 0.018 | 0.006 | | |
| | Max | 7.7426 | 0.0009 | | 0.161 | 0.153 | 0.034 | | |
| | | | | Dir/DipDir | 50/256 | 17/144 | 34/042 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Avg | 11.1982 | 0.0011 | | 0.106 | 0.082 | 0.036 | 2.96 | 1.29 |
| sn | Std dev | 0.9297 | 0.0001 | | 0.016 | 0.014 | 0.008 | | |
| CI | Min | 7.6337 | 0.0007 | | 0.058 | 0.043 | 0.013 | | |
| | Max | 14.93.53 | 0.0017 | | 0.246 | 0.224 | 0.094 | | |
| | | | | Dir/DipDir | 63/190 | 26/018 | 03/286 | | |

Table 4. Results of the **K** permeability tensor computations from DFN modelling.

783 **References**

- Achtziger-Zupančič, P., Loew, S., Hiller, A., Mariethoz, G., 2016. 3D fluid flow in fault zones of
 crystalline basement rocks (Poehla-Tellerhaeuser Ore Field, Ore Mountains, Germany).
 Geofluids 16, 688–710. https://doi.org/10.1111/gfl.12192
- 787 Achtziger-Zupančič, P., Loew, S., Mariéthoz, G., 2017. A new global database to improve predictions
- 788 of permeability distribution in crystalline rocks at site scale. Journal of Geophysical Research:

789 Solid Earth 122, 3513–3539. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014106

- Antonellini, M., Cilona, A., Tondi, E., Zambrano, M., Agosta, F., 2014. Fluid flow numerical
 experiments of faulted porous carbonates, Northwest Sicily (Italy). Marine and Petroleum
 Geology 55, 186–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.12.003
- Bell, R.E., Jackson, C.A.L., Whipp, P.S., Clements, B., 2014. Strain migration during multiphase
 extension: Observations from the northern North Sea. Tectonics 33, 1936–1963.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014TC003551
- Bense, V.F., Gleeson, T., Loveless, S.E., Bour, O., Scibek, J., 2013. Fault zone hydrogeology. EarthScience Reviews 127, 171–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.09.008
- Biber, K., Khan, S.D., Seers, T.D., Sarmiento, S., Lakshmikantha, M.R., 2018. Quantitative
 characterization of a naturally fractured reservoir analog using a hybrid lidar-gigapixel imaging
 approach. Geosphere 14, 710–730. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES01449.1
- 801 Bisdom, K., Nick, H.M., Bertotti, G., 2017. An integrated workflow for stress and flow modelling
- 802 using outcrop-derived discrete fracture networks. Computers and Geosciences 103, 21–35.
 803 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2017.02.019
- Bruhn, R.L., Parry, W.T., Yonkee, W.A., Thompson, T., 1994. Fracturing and hydrothermal
 alteration in normal fault zones. Pure and Applied Geophysics PAGEOPH 142, 609–644.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00876057

- Caine, J.S., Evans, J.P., Forster, C.B., 1996. Fault zone architecture and permeability structure.
 Geology 24, 1025–1028. https://doi.org/10.1130/00917613(1996)024<1025:FZAAPS>2.3.CO;2
- Caine, J.S., Forster, C.B., 1999. Fault zone architecture and fluid flow: Insights from field data and
 numerical modeling. AGU Geophysical Monograph 113, 101–127.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/gm113p0101
- Ceccato, A., Viola, G., Tartaglia, G., Antonellini, M., 2021. In–situ quantification of mechanical and
 permeability properties on outcrop analogues of offshore fractured and weathered crystalline
 basement: Examples from the Rolvsnes granodiorite, Bømlo, Norway. Marine and Petroleum
 Geology 124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2020.104859
- 817 Childs, C., Watterson, J., Walsh, J.J., 1995. Fault overlap zones within developing normal fault
 818 systems. Journal Geological Society (London) 152, 535–549.
 819 https://doi.org/10.1144/gsjgs.152.3.0535
- Choi, J.H., Edwards, P., Ko, K., Kim, Y.S., 2016. Definition and classification of fault damage zones:
 A review and a new methodological approach. Earth-Science Reviews 152, 70–87.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.11.006
- Damsleth, E., Sangolt, V., Aamodt, G., 1998. Sub-seismic faults can seriously affect fluid flow in the
 Njord field off Western Norway A stochastic fault modeling case study. Proceedings SPE
 Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition 1999-Septe, 295–304.
 https://doi.org/10.2523/49024-ms
- 827 Dershowitz, W.S., Herda, H.H., 1992. Interpretation of fracture spacing and intensity.
- Dewez, T.J.B., Girardeau-Montaut, D., Allanic, C., Rohmer, J., 2016. Facets : A cloudcompare plugin
 to extract geological planes from unstructured 3d point clouds. International Archives of the
- 830 Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences ISPRS Archives 41, 799–

- 831 804. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsarchives-XLI-B5-799-2016
- Evans, J.P., Forster, C.B., Goddard, J. V., 1997. Permeability of fault-related rocks, and implications
 for hydraulic structure of fault zones. Journal of Structural Geology 19, 1393–1404.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(97)00057-6
- Faulkner, D.R., 2004. A model for the variation in permeability of clay-bearing fault gouge with
 depth in the brittle crust. Geophysical Research Letters 31.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020736
- Faulkner, D.R., Jackson, C.A.L., Lunn, R.J., Schlische, R.W., Shipton, Z.K., Wibberley, C.A.J.,
 Withjack, M.O., 2010. A review of recent developments concerning the structure, mechanics
 and fluid flow properties of fault zones. Journal of Structural Geology 32, 1557–1575.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2010.06.009
- Faulkner, D.R., Mitchell, T.M., Healy, D., Heap, M.J., 2006. Slip on "weak" faults by the rotation of
 regional stress in the fracture damage zone. Nature 444, 922–925.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05353
- Faulkner, D.R., Rutter, E.H., 1998. The gas permeability of clay-bearing fault gouge at 20 degrees C.
 147, 147–156.
- Fossen, H., Rotevatn, A., 2016. Fault linkage and relay structures in extensional settings-A review.
 Earth-Science Reviews 154, 14–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.11.014
- 849 Fredin, O., Viola, G., Zwingmann, H., Sorlie, R., Bronner, M., Lie, J.E., Grandal, E.M., Muller, A.,
- Margreth, A., Vogt, C., Knies, J., 2017a. Correspondence: Reply to Challenges with dating
 weathering products to unravel ancient landscapes. Nature Communications 8, 1–2.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01468-6
- 853 Fredin, O., Viola, G., Zwingmann, H., Sørlie, R., Brönner, M., Lie, J.E., Grandal, E.M., Müller, A.,
- 854 Margreth, A., Vogt, C., Knies, J., 2017b. The inheritance of a mesozoic landscape in western

- 855 Scandinavia. Nature Communications 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14879
- 856 Gabrielsen, R.H., Braathen, A., 2014. Models of fracture lineaments Joint swarms, fracture corridors
- and faults in crystalline rocks, and their genetic relations. Tectonophysics 628, 26–44.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.04.022
- 859 Gee, D.G., Fossen, H., Henriksen, N., Higgins, A.K., 2008. From the early Paleozoic platforms of
- Baltica and Laurentia to the Caledonide Orogen of Scandinavia and Greenland. Episodes 31,
 44–51. https://doi.org/10.18814/epiiugs/2008/v31i1/007
- Gomila, R., Arancibia, G., Nehler, M., Bracke, R., Morata, D., Cembrano, J., 2021. Quantitative
 anisotropies of palaeopermeability in a strike-slip fault damage zone: Insights from micro-CT
 analysis and numerical simulations. Tectonophysics 810, 228873.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2021.228873
- Hansberry, R.L., King, R.C., Holford, S.P., Hand, M., Debenham, N., 2021. How wide is a fault
 damage zone? Using network topology to define the effects of large faults on natural fracture
 networks. Journal of Structural Geology 146, 104327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2021.104327
- 869 Hardebol, N.J., Maier, C., Nick, H., Geiger, S., Bertotti, G., Boro, H., 2015. Multiscale fracture
- 870 network characterization and impact on flow: A case study on the Latemar carbonate platform.
- 871 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 120, 8197–8222.
 872 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JB011879
- 873 Healy, D., Rizzo, R.E., Cornwell, D.G., Farrell, N.J.C., Watkins, H., Timms, N.E., Gomez-Rivas, E.,
- 874 Smith, M., 2017. FracPaQ: A MATLABTM toolbox for the quantification of fracture patterns.
- 875 Journal of Structural Geology 95, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2016.12.003
- Johri, M., Zoback, M.D., Hennings, P., 2014. A scaling law to characterize fault-damage zones at
 reservoir depths. AAPG Bulletin 98, 2057–2079. https://doi.org/10.1306/05061413173
- 878 Lei, Q., Latham, J.P., Tsang, C.F., 2017. The use of discrete fracture networks for modelling coupled

879 geomechanical and hydrological behaviour of fractured rocks. Computers and Geotechnics 85,

880 151–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.2016.12.024

- Lüschen, E., Görne, S., von Hartmann, H., Thomas, R., Schulz, R., 2015. 3D seismic survey for
 geothermal exploration in crystalline rocks in Saxony, Germany. Geophysical Prospecting 63,
 975–989. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2478.12249
- Marchesini, B., Garofalo, P.S., Menegon, L., Mattila, J., Viola, G., 2019. Fluid-mediated, brittleductile deformation at seismogenic depth Part 1: Fluid record and deformation history of fault
 veins in a nuclear waste repository (Olkiluoto Island, Finland). Solid Earth 10, 809–838.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/se-10-809-2019
- 888 Martinelli, M., Bistacchi, A., Mittempergher, S., Bonneau, F., Balsamo, F., Caumon, G., Meda, M.,
- 2020. Damage zone characterization combining scan-line and scan-area analysis on a km-scale
 Digital Outcrop Model: The Qala Fault (Gozo). Journal of Structural Geology 140, 104144.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2020.104144
- McCaffrey, K.J.W., Holdsworth, R.E., Pless, J., Franklin, B.S.G., Hardman, K., 2020. Basement
 reservoir plumbing: fracture aperture, length and topology analysis of the Lewisian Complex,
 NW Scotland. Journal of the Geological Society.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2019-143 This
- Mitchell, T.M., Faulkner, D.R., 2012. Towards quantifying the matrix permeability of fault damage
 zones in low porosity rocks. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 339–340, 24–31.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.05.014
- Mitchell, T.M., Faulkner, D.R., 2009. The nature and origin of off-fault damage surrounding strikeslip fault zones with a wide range of displacements: A field study from the Atacama fault system,
 northern Chile. Journal of Structural Geology 31, 802–816.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2009.05.002

903 Nelson, R.A., 2001. Geologic Analysis of naturally fractured reservoirs.

- O'Hara, A.P., Jacobi, R.D., Sheets, H.D., 2017. Predicting the width and average fracture frequency
 of damage zones using a partial least squares statistical analysis: Implications for fault zone
 development. Journal of Structural Geology 98, 38–52.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2017.03.008
- Oda, M., 1988. A method for evaluating the representative elementary volume based on joint survey
 of rock masses. Canadian Geotechnical Journal. https://doi.org/10.1139/t88-049
- 910 Oda, M., 1985. Permeability tensor for discontinuous rock masses. Géotechnique 483–495.
- 911 Ogata, K., Senger, K., Braathen, A., Tveranger, J., 2014. Fracture corridors as seal-bypass systems in 912 siliciclastic reservoir-cap rock successions: Field-based insights from the Jurassic Entrada 913 Formation (SE Utah, USA). Journal of Structural Geology 66, 162–187. 914 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2014.05.005
- 915 Ostermeijer, G.A., Mitchell, T.M., Aben, F.M., Dorsey, M.T., Browning, J., Rockwell, T.K., Fletcher,
- 916 J.M., Ostermeijer, F., 2020. Damage zone heterogeneity on seismogenic faults in crystalline
- 917 rock; a field study of the Borrego Fault, Baja California. Journal of Structural Geology 137,
- 918 104016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2020.104016
- Peacock, D.C.P., Sanderson, D.J., 2018. Structural analyses and fracture network characterisation:
 Seven pillars of wisdom. Earth-Science Reviews 184, 13–28.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.06.006
- 922 Place, J., Géraud, Y., Diraison, M., Herquel, G., Edel, J.B., Bano, M., Le Garzic, E., Walter, B., 2016.
- 923 Structural control of weathering processes within exhumed granitoids: Compartmentalisation of
- 924 geophysical properties by faults and fractures. Journal of Structural Geology 84, 102–119.
- 925 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2015.11.011
- 926 Prando, F., Menegon, L., Anderson, M., Marchesini, B., Mattila, J., Viola, G., 2020. Fluid-mediated,

- brittle-ductile deformation at seismogenic depth Part 2: Stress history and fluid pressure
 variations in a shear zone in a nuclear waste repository (Olkiluoto Island, Finland). Solid Earth
 11, 489–511. https://doi.org/10.5194/se-11-489-2020
- Rawling, G.C., Goodwin, L.B., Wilson, J.L., 2001. Internal architecture, permeability structure, and
 hydrologic significance of contrasting fault-zone types. Geology 29, 43–46.
 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029<0043:IAPSAH>2.0.CO;2
- Rempe, M., Mitchell, T.M., Renner, J., Smith, S.A.F., Bistacchi, A., Di Toro, G., 2018. The
 Relationship Between Microfracture Damage and the Physical Properties of Fault-Related
 Rocks: The Gole Larghe Fault Zone, Italian Southern Alps. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Solid Earth 123, 7661–7687. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB015900
- Rizzo, R.E., Healy, D., De Siena, L., 2017. Benefits of maximum likelihood estimators for fracture
 attribute analysis: Implications for permeability and up-scaling. Journal of Structural Geology
 95, 17–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2016.12.005
- Romano, V., Bigi, S., Carnevale, F., Hyman, J.D.H., Karra, S., Valocchi, A.J., Tartarello, M.C.,
 Battaglia, M., 2020. Hydraulic characterization of a fault zone from fracture distribution. Journal
 of Structural Geology 104036. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2020.104036
- Savage, H.M., Brodsky, E.E., 2011. Collateral damage: Evolution with displacement of fracture
 distribution and secondary fault strands in fault damage zones. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Solid Earth 116, 3405. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JB007665
- 946 Scheiber, T., Fredin, O., Viola, G., Jarna, A., Gasser, D., Łapińska-Viola, R., 2015. Manual extraction
- 947 of bedrock lineaments from high-resolution LiDAR data: methodological bias and human
 948 perception. Gff 137, 362–372. https://doi.org/10.1080/11035897.2015.1085434
- Scheiber, T., Viola, G., 2018. Complex Bedrock Fracture Patterns: A Multipronged Approach to
 Resolve Their Evolution in Space and Time. Tectonics 37, 1030–1062.

951 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004763

- Scheiber, T., Viola, G., Wilkinson, C.M., Ganerød, M., Skår, Ø., Gasser, D., 2016. Direct40Ar/39Ar
 dating of Late Ordovician and Silurian brittle faulting in the southwestern Norwegian
 Caledonides. Terra Nova 28, 374–382. https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12230
- Seers, T.D., Hodgetts, D., 2014. Comparison of digital outcrop and conventional data collection
 approaches for the characterization of naturally fractured reservoir analogues. Geological
 Society Special Publication 374, 51–77. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP374.13
- Slagstad, T., Davidsen, B., Stephen Daly, J., 2011. Age and composition of crystalline basement rocks
 on the norwegian continental margin: Offshore extension and continuity of the CaledonianAppalachian orogenic belt. Journal of the Geological Society 168, 1167–1185.
 https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-76492010-136
- 962 Smeraglia, L., Mercuri, M., Tavani, S., Pignalosa, A., Kettermann, M., Billi, A., Carminati, E., 2021.
- 3D Discrete Fracture Network (DFN) models of damage zone fluid corridors within a reservoir scale normal fault in carbonates: Multiscale approach using field data and UAV imagery. Marine
- and Petroleum Geology 126, 104902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2021.104902
- Souque, C., Knipe, R.J., Davies, R.K., Jones, P., Welch, M.J., Lorenz, J., 2019. Fracture corridors
 and fault reactivation: Example from the Chalk, Isle of Thanet, Kent, England. Journal of
 Structural Geology 122, 11–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2018.12.004
- 969 Tanner, D.C., Buness, H., Igel, J., Günther, T., Gabriel, G., Skiba, P., Plenefisch, T., Gestermann, N.,
- Walter, T.R., 2019. Fault detection, Understanding Faults: Detecting, Dating, and Modeling.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815985-9.00003-5
- Tartaglia, G., Viola, G., van der Lelij, R., Scheiber, T., Ceccato, A., Schönenberger, J., 2020. "Brittle
 structural facies" analysis: A diagnostic method to unravel and date multiple slip events of long-
- 974 lived faults. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 545, 116420.

975 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116420

- Thiele, S.T., Grose, L., Samsu, A., Micklethwaite, S., Vollgger, S.A., Cruden, A.R., 2017. Rapid,
 semi-automatic fracture and contact mapping for point clouds, images and geophysical data.
 Solid Earth 8, 1241–1253. https://doi.org/10.5194/se-8-1241-2017
- Torabi, A., Alaei, B., Ellingsen, T.S.S., 2018. Faults and fractures in basement rocks, their
 architecture, petrophysical and mechanical properties. Journal of Structural Geology 117, 256–
 263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2018.07.001
- Torabi, A., Ellingsen, T.S.S., Johannessen, M.U., Alaei, B., Rotevatn, A., Chiarella, D., 2020. Fault
 zone architecture and its scaling laws: where does the damage zone start and stop? Geological
 Society, London, Special Publications 496, 99–124. https://doi.org/10.1144/sp496-2018-151
- Viola, G., Scheiber, T., Fredin, O., Zwingmann, H., Margreth, A., Knies, J., 2016. Deconvoluting
 complex structural histories archived in brittle fault zones. Nature Communications 7, 1–10.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13448
- Vo Thanh, H., Sugai, Y., Nguele, R., Sasaki, K., 2019. Integrated workflow in 3D geological model
 construction for evaluation of CO2 storage capacity of a fractured basement reservoir in Cuu
 Long Basin, Vietnam. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 90, 102826.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2019.102826
- Wilson, C.E., Aydin, A., Karimi-Fard, M., Durlofsky, L.J., Sagy, A., Brodsky, E.E., Kreylos, O.,
 Kellogg, L.H., 2011. From outcrop to flow simulation: Constructing discrete fracture models
- 994 from a LIDAR survey. AAPG Bulletin 95, 1883–1906. https://doi.org/10.1306/03241108148
- 995 Wilson, J.E., Chester, J.S., Chester, F.M., 2003. Microfracture analysis of fault growth and wear
- 996 processes, Punchbowl Fault, San Andreas system, California. Journal of Structural Geology 25,
- 997 1855–1873. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(03)00036-1
- 298 Zhang, S., Tullis, T.E., 1998. The effect of fault slip on permeability and permeability anisotropy in

999 quartz gouge. Tectonophysics 295, 41–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(98)00114-0