

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Optimization of agricultural practices for crambe in Europe

This is the submitted version (pre peer-review, preprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Berzuini S., Zanetti F., Christou M., Alexopoulou E., Krzyzaniak M., Stolarski M.J., et al. (2021). Optimization of agricultural practices for crambe in Europe. INDUSTRIAL CROPS AND PRODUCTS, 171(1 November 2021), 1-9 [10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113880].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/830990> since: 2024-04-12

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113880>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the submitted version of the article:

Sara Berzuini^a, Federica Zanetti^{a*}, Myrsini Christou^b, Efthymia Alexopoulou^b, Michał Krzyżaniak^c, Mariusz J. Stolarski^c, Federico Ferioli^c, Andrea Monti^a

Optimization of agricultural practices for crambe in Europe

which has been published in final form in

Industrial Crops and Products Volume 171, 1 November 2021, n. 113880

The final published version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113880>

© 2021 Elsevier

Optimization of agricultural practices for crambe in Europe

Sara Berzuini^a, Federica Zanetti^{a*}, Myrsini Christou^b, Efthymia Alexopoulou^b, Michał Krzyżaniak^c, Mariusz J. Stolarski^c, Federico Ferioli^e, Andrea Monti^a

^aDepartment of Agricultural and Food Sciences (DISTAL), Alma Mater Studiorum–Università di Bologna, Viale Fanin 44, 40127 Bologna, Italy

^bCentre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving, 19th km Marathonos Avenue, 19009, Pikermi, Greece

^cUniversity of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, Department of Genetics, Plant Breeding and Bioresource Engineering, Plac Łódzki 3, 10-724 Olsztyn, Poland

^eDepartment of Agricultural and Food Sciences (DISTAL), Alma Mater Studiorum–Università di Bologna, Food Science University Campus, Piazza Goidanich 60, 47521, Cesena, Italy

*Corresponding author: federica.zanetti5@unibo.it

ABSTRACT

Crambe (*Crambe abyssinica* Hochst R.E. Fries) has recently attracted a renewed interest by the bio-based industry due to its high seed oil content (up to 57%), particularly erucic acid (up to 65% of total fatty acids), short growing cycle, and high drought tolerance. A field trial was conducted during four consecutive growing seasons (2016-19) in Greece, Poland, and Italy. The commercial crambe variety (Galactica) was sown in early, intermediate, and late sowing dates in spring at two seeding rates (LD: 100 seeds m⁻², and HD: 200 seeds m⁻²) in a factorial design at each test location. Mean crambe seed yields exceeded 1.5 Mg ha⁻¹ across all years and locations. Italy and Greece were the most productive sites, with average seed yields of 2.11 Mg ha⁻¹ and 1.97 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively. Oil yield, which was only determined in Italy and Poland, was about 30% greater in the southern environment (Italy). Nevertheless, 1000-seed weight (TKW) was greater in Poland (6.49 g) than Italy (6.12 g), revealing that lower temperatures during seed filling resulted in heavier seeds. In conclusion, sowing

date played a key role in crambe productivity, with the earliest sowings resulting in greatest yields across all locations.

28

Key-words: Non-food crop; *Crambe abyssinica*; sowing date; seeding rate; seed yield; seed weight.

30

31 1. Introduction

32 Recently, the concept of bioeconomy has been introduced as a new paradigm to create, build up, and
33 modernize economic systems based on a sustainable use of renewable biological resources (Aguilar et al.,
34 2019), aimed at decoupling economic growth and exploitation of energy from fossil resources (Golembiewski et
35 al., 2015). In this scenario, agriculture has been identified as a key sector in Europe for providing biobased
36 feedstocks, like vegetable oils for biofuels and biobased materials to replace fossil resources. In particular, the
37 industrial sector is searching for new raw materials to significantly replace fossil-based materials, which are
38 constantly increasing in cost and a source of greenhouse gases (Carlsson et al., 2011). As a biobased feedstock,
39 erucic acid is a long chain fatty acid (C22:1) with specific and well-established industrial applications, such as
40 the production of erucamide (a slip agent for polyethylene production, Zorn et al., 2019), biolubricants, additives,
41 and material for fibers (Zhu, 2016). Commonly, erucic acid is obtained from vegetable oils, in particular high
42 erucic acid rapeseed (HEAR) (*Brassica napus* var. *oleifera*). However, cross-pollination problems of HEAR with
43 canola quality rapeseed, which is cultivated worldwide, has raised concerns about the future large-scale
44 production of HEAR without applying restrictions to prevent its gene flow (Samarappuli et al., 2020).
45 Furthermore, because the seeds of HEAR and canola are physically identical, it is impossible to separate them
46 during processing, causing problems with contamination since erucic acid is not legislated for food uses in both
47 Europe (Regulation (EU) 2015/2284) and the USA (Abbott et al., 2003).

48 Crambe is a 1-m-tall herbaceous annual species belonging to *Brassicaceae* family and native to the
49 Mediterranean. It can be an interesting source of erucic acid as an alternative to HEAR, due to its lower
50 environmental impact (Krzyżaniak et al., 2013), higher erucic acid content, and morphologically different seeds

51 than HEAR, thus ensuring that rapeseed seed can be contaminated. In addition, crambe is characterized by a
52 relatively short growing cycle (90-110 d, Zanetti et al., 2016), needing about 1300-1500 GDD (Growing Degree
53 Days, with a base temperature of 5°C) from sowing to harvest (Meijer and Mathijssen, 1996), that makes it well
54 fitting into conventional European crop rotations. It can be also easily harvested with common harvest machines
55 (Pari et al., 2020). Crambe is also recognized for its high tolerance to drought (Pitol et al., 2010) and soil salinity
56 (Ionov et al., 2013), wide adaptability to different environmental conditions (Von Cossel et al., 2019), limited seed
57 shattering, and resistance to diseases and pests (Machado et al., 2007; Ropelewska et al., 2020). In Brazil,
58 recent studies identified crambe as a suitable break crop in rotation with soybean or as a summer cover crop
59 (Bordin et al., 2020; Secco et al., 2021). Furthermore, in a controlled environment study, Acharya et al. (2019)
60 showed that crambe is a poor host for soybean cyst nematodes. Taken together, these characteristics make
61 crambe cultivation possible even in marginal land, avoiding the competition for arable land currently used for
62 food production (Von Cossel et al., 2019). Despite its adaptability, crambe seed and oil yield can be highly
63 influenced by environmental conditions (Reginato et al., 2013; Zorzenoni et al., 2019), thus limiting the potential
64 scale up of this oilseed crop. As reported by Falasca et al. (2010) frost damage can occur at seedling stage
65 when temperature falls below -5°C. Another limiting factor is waterlogging (Zhu, 2016) that can cause sudden
66 plant death and the occurrence of several diseases (Glaser, 1996; Viana et al., 2015). Furthermore, in a
67 Mediterranean climate, high temperatures at the end of the growing cycle, in particular during seed filling phase,
68 significantly reduced crambe seed yield (Zanetti et al., 2016). Row seeding can be performed by a small grain
69 mechanic or pneumatic seeder, or by a cultipacker seeder (Oplinger et al., 1991). Row spacing can vary from
70 0.12 and 0.90 m, but spacings, narrower than ≈ 0.30 m, usually improve seed yield reducing weed presence and
71 promoting uniform maturity (Zoz et al., 2018). Seeding depth also deeply influences crambe performances, in
72 particular sowing depths between 9-19 mm are recommended (Brandão et al., 2014), but in dry condition deeper
73 sowing, up to ≈ 25 mm, promotes a better establishment (Oplinger et al., 1991).

74 Seed yields can vary greatly depending on growing environment; literature shows a seed yield from 0.97
75 to 2.95 Mg ha⁻¹ (dry matter basis) across different European countries (see Righini et al., 2016 and references
76 therein). Seed oil content also greatly varies between 35-60% (Pitol et al., 2010), but the most recurrent values

are in the range of 35 to 45%, the main differences in oil content are due to seeding time or tested varieties (Samarappuli et al., 2020). Crambe meal, obtained after oil extraction, has a high protein content (>50% crude protein in hulled seeds, Samarappuli et al., 2020) and presents a digestibility similar to soybean meal. However, the presence of glucosinolates (70–150 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ in the seed), in particular *epi*-progoitrin, is toxic to monogastric animals (Tripathi and Mishra, 2007); its use in food/feed applications, therefore, can only be upon detoxifying the meal (Zhu, 2016). Additional uses of crambe oil can be for the production of jet-fuel or biodiesel (Cajamarca et al., 2018) as crambe oil being characterized by higher calorific value and oxidative stability compared with soybean (Wazilewski et al., 2013).

For those reasons, more knowledge is needed to make decisions about best sowing date, seeding rate, and the optimal growing location to improve crambe agronomic productivity in Europe. Thus, the scope of this study was to determine the effect of sowing date, seeding rate, and climate on the agronomic performance of crambe across a wide range of environments spanning Italy, Greece, and Poland.

89

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Experimental layout and agronomic management

The commercial crambe variety, Galactica (supplied by Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands), was evaluated in Cadriano (Italy), Aliartos (Greece) and Łężany (Poland) during multiple seasons (2016-2019). The specific soil and historical climatic characterizations of each location are reported in Table 1. While Cadriano (Italy) and Aliartos (Greece) are both located in the Mediterranean region, but are characterized by two different types of climate, namely Mediterranean north (Cadriano) and Mediterranean south (Aliartos), Łężany (Poland) has a continental climate (Metzger et al., 2005).

Galactica was sown in three consecutive spring sowing dates (SD1, SD2, and SD3) at each test location in three or four consecutive years from 2016 to 2019 (Table 2). Adverse meteorological conditions in 2018 in Italy only permitted establishment of SD3, thus the trial was repeated in 2019. The sowing dates were defined as early (SD1), intermediate (SD2) and late (SD3) considering the environmental specificities of each test

location and the growth needs of crambe (Samarappuli et al., 2020). Two different seeding rates were also compared at all locations and defined as: LD (= 110 seed m⁻² corresponding to 0.26 m interrow distance) vs. HD (= 220 seeds m⁻² corresponding to 0.13 m in Italy and Greece and 0.15 m in Poland interrow distance). The trials were arranged in a split-plot design with three or four replicates (depending on the location), with seeding time as the main plot and seeding rate as sub-plots. Individual sub-plot size was 1.7 m in Italy and Greece and 1.5 m in Poland width (corresponding to 12 rows in the HD and 6 rows in the LD plot) and 6 m long. In Italy and Poland sowing was carried out mechanically using a plot seeder, whereas in Greece sowing was done manually. NPK fertilization was optimized at each location depending on soil type and nutrient availability aiming at maintaining crambe under non-limiting conditions, as reported in Table 1. All the trials were rainfed, apart from Aliartos (Greece) in 2016, where about 60 mm of water was applied by a sprinkler irrigation system to promote crambe establishment and early growth. Weed control was performed manually, and pest and disease control were never needed. Otherwise in Poland chemical treatments (Indoxacarb at a rate of 25.5 g ha⁻¹), against diamondback moth (*Plutella xilostella*) were applied only in 2016.

115

116 2.2 Meteorological data

117 The main meteorological data, i.e. air temperature (minimum and maximum), daily precipitation, and
118 number of rainy days were collected throughout the growing season by weather stations located nearby each
119 experimental location (Table 2). GDD (Growing Degree days) were calculated for each location, seeding time,
120 and growing season, from sowing to harvest using the following equation:

$$121 \text{ GDD} = \sum [(T_{\max} + T_{\min})/2 - T_{\text{base}}] \quad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

122 Where T_{\max} and T_{\min} are the maximum and minimum air temperature, respectively, and T_{base} for crambe was
123 defined as 5°C (Meijer and Mathijssen, 1996).

124 Additional meteorological variables were calculated to further explain variations in crambe productivity
125 across locations using the following equations:

$$126 \text{ Precipitation/rainy d} = \frac{\text{cumulative precipitation from sowing to harvest}}{\text{number of rainy days from sowing to harvest}} \quad \text{Eq. (2)}$$

$$\text{GDD/rainy d} = \frac{\sum[(T_{\text{max}}+T_{\text{min}})/2 - T_{\text{base}}]}{\text{number of rainy d from sowing to harvest}} \quad \text{Eq. (3)}$$

128

129 2.3 Plant measurements

130 At all locations, harvesting was carried out in the same way. Before harvest, plant height was measured
 131 as the mean height of the crambe stand in each plot. When crambe plants reached maturity (i.e., residual seed
 132 moisture content < ~12%) the central portion of each plot, corresponding to 6 m², was manually cut at soil level
 133 and then threshed. Seed and straw (i.e., plant residue after removing seed) were individually weighted, and
 134 representative subsamples were oven dried at 105°C until constant weight to determine residual moisture. Straw
 135 and seed yields were adjusted to dry matter (DM). After harvest, only in Italy and Poland, the number of plants
 136 in two central 1-m-long rows of each plot were counted to determine plant density. Also, in Italy and Poland on
 137 representative sub-samples of seeds from individual plots, the thousand kernel weight (TKW) was determined
 138 by averaging the weight of 3 replicates of 1000 seeds each.

139 Oil content was determined in crambe seed samples from Italy and Poland only for the HD plots,
 140 adopting the following methodology. About 30 g of crambe seeds (including silicles) were ground in a coffee
 141 grinder for 40 s. An aliquot corresponding to 1.5 g of ground material was exactly weighed in a cellulose
 142 extraction thimble (22 × 80 mm), which was then inserted in a 30 mL glass extractor. Lipid extraction was
 143 performed in an in-line extraction unit for Soxhlet extraction (mod. R 306) from Behr Labor-Technik (Düsseldorf,
 144 Germany), using 60 mL of n-hexane as organic solvent. Soxhlet extraction was carried out for 2 h from the start
 145 of solvent siphoning into the round bottom flask placed on the heating element. Some pieces of pumice stone
 146 were added to the distillation flask to avoid bumping of liquid as the temperature increased. The extract
 147 containing the lipidic fraction was dried for 90 min over anhydrous sodium sulphate at 4°C, occasionally shaking.
 148 The organic solvent was then filtered over sodium sulphate in a 100 mL flat bottom flask and removed under
 149 reduced pressure at 30 °C in a rotary evaporator. The residual oil was further dried under nitrogen flow for 5 min
 150 keeping the flask in a water bath (50-55°C) and exactly weighed. Crambe oil yield was calculated multiplying
 151 the dry seed yield by the seed oil content. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) of crambe seed oil were quantified

152 using gas chromatography according to the methods of Christopherson and Glass (1969), only in the samples
153 from Italy.

154

155 2.4 Statistical analysis

156 Prior to ANOVA, the homoscedasticity of variance was verified with Bartlett's Test for $P \leq 0.05$. A 3-way
157 ANOVA was adopted to test the effect of location, seeding time, and seeding rate on crambe straw yield, seed
158 yield, and final plant height. For final plant density, seed weight (TKW) and oil yield, only data from Italy and
159 Poland were compared in a 3-way ANOVA to test the effect of seeding time, seeding rate, and location. When
160 ANOVA revealed statistically different means, the LSD, Fisher's test, was used to separate means ($P \leq 0.05$).

161 Linear regression was used to understand the effect of different meteorological variables on the surveyed
162 agronomic parameters. When the regression was found significant for $P \leq 0.05$ the coefficient of determination
163 (r^2) has been reported. All the statistical analyses were carried using the Statgraphics Centurion 18 software
164 (ver. 18.1.13, Statgraphics Technologies Inc., Virginia, USA).

165

166 3. Results

167 3.1 Meteorological data analysis and crop development

168 The meteorological conditions surveyed in the study were consistent with the typical climate of each test
169 location during crambe growth cycle. The locations differed more in terms of precipitation than temperatures
170 (Table 2). Aliartos (Greece) was the driest site, with on average 84 mm of precipitation from sowing to harvest,
171 and in 2016, seeding time SD2 and SD3 received less than 30 mm of precipitation, thus requiring irrigation.
172 Cadriano (Italy) had on average about 200 mm of precipitation from sowing to harvest, but in 2017 this amount
173 was only half as much. Łężany (Poland) was the wettest site, but again there was great variation across years
174 with cumulative precipitation ranging from 134 mm for SD2 in 2018, up to 405 mm for SD1 in 2016. Despite the
175 variability in seasonal precipitation crambe developed well in all the test locations.

176 The mean Galactica thermal time from sowing to harvest was 1265 GDD, considering the different
177 seeding time and locations (Table 2). Greece reported the lowest (965 GDD in 2017 SD1) and the highest values
178 (1461 GDD in 2018 SD1) for GDD, presumably in relation to greater temperature variation across years and
179 seeding time accompanied with extreme precipitation variability. In Italy and Poland, GDD were less variable,
180 with a mean value of 1265 GDD in Cadriano (Italy) and 1352 GDD in Łężany (Poland), corresponding to at least
181 ~100 d with a maximum of 130 d in Łężany (Poland) in 2016 (SD1) from sowing to harvest. Crambe crop cycle
182 (Table 2) was always longer in the earlier seeding time (SD1) in Italy and Poland, while in Greece there was
183 little variation across years and seeding time, with Galactica maturity averaging 88 d.

184

185 3.2 Crambe crop performance

186 All crop productivity parameters were significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) influenced by location, while seeding time
187 affected only plant height at harvest, seed yield, and 1000-seed weight (TKW) (Table 3). Seeding rate had a
188 significant effect only on seed yield and final plant density (Table 3). A significant location x seeding time
189 interaction ($P \leq 0.05$) was detected for seed yield (Table 3).

190 Straw yields were significantly greater ($P \leq 0.05$) in Greece and Italy, averaging 3.79 Mg ha⁻¹ DM, than
191 at Poland, which averaged 2.20 Mg ha⁻¹ DM (Fig. 1): a 42% reduction in comparison. Crambe seed yield was
192 the most variable parameter across test locations, years, seeding rates, and sowing dates, showing a high
193 variation coefficient (CV=0.34). Seed yield was the highest in Italy (2.11 Mg ha⁻¹ DM), but not different than in
194 Greece, where the mean value was 1.97 Mg ha⁻¹ DM. Again, Poland was the least productive site reporting a
195 mean seed yield of 1.73 Mg ha⁻¹ DM. Seed yield also varied significantly among sowing dates and seeding rates
196 (Table 3). In particular, the delay of sowing caused a linear decrease in the seed yield ($P \leq 0.05$). The highest
197 seed yield was achieved in the earliest sowing date (SD1) with a mean of 2.25 Mg ha⁻¹ DM. When sowing was
198 delayed, there was a 15% decline in yield between SD1 and SD2 and again from SD2 to SD3. Seeding rate
199 played a role in crambe seed yield and higher rate significantly increased seed yields (2.02 vs. 1.83 Mg ha⁻¹ DM
200 in HD and LD respectively, $P \leq 0.05$, Fig. 2A). The interaction between location and seeding time significantly
201 affected crambe seed yield (Fig. 2B). There were no significant differences among sowing dates in Italy; while

202 in Poland there was a difference between SD1 and SD3. In Greece crambe seed yield steadily declined from
 203 SD1 to SD3, and the early sowing in Greece (SD1) had the highest yield across all locations and sowing date,
 204 averaging 2.74 Mg ha⁻¹ DM ($P \leq 0.05$). Final plant density, which was only measured in Italy and Poland,
 205 confirmed that higher seeding rate promoted greater plant density at harvest (Fig. 3). However, in Italy, crambe
 206 stands had more than double the number of plants (75 plants m⁻²) than in Poland (35 plants m⁻²) (Fig. 3), and
 207 this might partially explain the higher seed yield surveyed in Italy compared with Poland. Crambe plant height at
 208 harvest was affected by both location and seeding time (Table 3). In Italy, crambe produced the tallest plants
 209 (1.05 m), while in Greece they were the shortest (0.75 m, $P \leq 0.05$). When considering the effect of sowing date,
 210 SD3 showed significantly taller plants compared with SD1 and SD2, but the differences was minimal (i.e. +6%
 211 and +8% SD1 compared with SD2 and SD3, respectively). Location and sowing date significantly affected TKW
 212 ($P \leq 0.05$) (Fig. 4). Galactica produced heavier seeds in Poland than in Italy (6.49 vs. 6.12 g TKW, in Poland and
 213 Italy, respectively $P \leq 0.05$). The effect of seeding time on TKW was similar to that found for seed yield. A linear
 214 decrease (~10%) was measured in TKW between SD1 and SD2, and between SD2 and SD3 ($P \leq 0.05$).
 215 Furthermore, crambe oil yield in Italy was found to be 32% greater than in Poland (Fig. 5).

216 Significant linear relationships were measured for crambe final plant density, plant height at harvest,
 217 TKW, and oil yield when regressed against specific meteorological variables (Fig. 6). However, for seed yield,
 218 none of the considered meteorological variables showed a significant relationship. In particular, final plant
 219 density (plants m⁻²) was significantly and positively related with the ratio precipitation/rainy d ($r^2 = 0.38$, $P < 0.01$),
 220 showing that plant density increased when precipitation exceeded a certain amount (~13 mm) for each rain
 221 event. Plant height at harvest showed a negative relation with the ratio GDD/rainy d ($r^2 = 0.29$, $P < 0.01$), indicating
 222 that height declined with both higher GDD and low precipitation availability. Crambe TKW was negatively related
 223 to mean maximum temperature from sowing to harvest ($r^2 = 0.34$, $P < 0.01$), indicating that higher temperatures
 224 induced crambe to form lighter seeds. Finally, crambe oil yield showed a positive relation with GDD ($r^2 = 0.37$,
 225 $P < 0.01$), demonstrating that longer thermal time from sowing to harvest promoted greater oil yield.

226

227 4. Discussion

228 For many years crambe has been studied for its feasible introduction into European cropping systems
229 as a source of high erucic seed oil. Despite growing interest by the biobased industry, crambe cultivation has
230 never taken off in Europe, and only sporadically worldwide. Crambe oil is characterized by high compositional
231 stability (Sokólski et al., 2020), and so has great potential as an ideal feedstock for the biobased industry. In the
232 present study, this quality trait of crambe was confirmed. Seed oil composition was analyzed only in Italy (data
233 not presented), and a mean erucic acid content of 54% DM was measured, irrespective of growing seasons and
234 seeding time, a value in line with other studies in similar environments (Fanigliulo et al., 2021; Zanetti et al.,
235 2016). As far as authors know, this is the first study in which the same crambe variety, was grown under very
236 different environmental conditions (Greece, Italy, and Poland). The present results confirmed the broad
237 environmental suitability of crambe to European climates, as previously reported by several authors (Righini et
238 al., 2016; Stolarski et al., 2018; Von Cossel et al., 2019). Galactica was able to produce adequate seed yields
239 ($>1.5 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ DM}$) even when precipitation was very limited ($<50 \text{ mm}$, from sowing to harvest) and its growth
240 cycle short ($\sim 80 \text{ d}$). Moreover, Galactica's growth cycle was found stable across the different locations and
241 seeding time, with values similar to that previously reported (Meijer et al., 1999). This trait might be of interest
242 for crambe inclusion in European rotations, since farmers appreciate having clear and fixed windows for
243 harvesting new crops to better manage farm labor and equipment.

244 In the present study, higher seed yields were achieved in Greece and Italy (southern sites), rather than
245 Poland (northern site), while Zanetti et al. (2016), when comparing two locations in Italy, observed lower
246 production in southern Italy (i.e. Sicily), where higher temperatures at the end of the growing cycle may have
247 impeded crambe growth and productivity. In the present study, average growing season temperatures were
248 similar across locations (Table 2). Because seeding time were optimized to local conditions, the lower
249 productivity in Poland might have been associated with excessive and uneven precipitation. This may have
250 interfered with germination, flowering, or seed formation phases. Crambe has been shown to have tolerance to
251 water limitation (Machado et al., 2007), and is negatively impacted by excessively wet conditions (Sammarapuli
252 et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2000). The suitability of crambe to milder and drier conditions was indicated by the
253 relationship between final plant height and the GDD/rainy d ratio (Fig. 7), demonstrating that crambe preferred

environments with higher temperatures and precipitation concentrated in single events rather than spread along the cycle. Seed yield in Poland (1.73 Mg ha⁻¹ DM) is similar to values observed by Sokólski et al. (2020), and slightly higher than those found by Krzyżaniak and Stolarski (2019), who reported a maximum value of 1.51 Mg ha⁻¹ DM in large scale trials (>1 ha). Crambe seed yields in Italy (grand mean 2.11 Mg ha⁻¹ DM) are considerably higher than those previously reported by Laghetti et al. (1995), possibly due to improved genetics of *Galactica* compared with older accessions. Other Italian studies (Fontana et al., 1998; Zanetti et al., 2016) reported that crambe could exceed 3 Mg ha⁻¹ DM in northern Italy, indicating high variability for seed yield. In agreement with Meijer et al. (1999), a compensation effect between seed yield and seed weight was observed in the present study, in fact in Poland, despite lower yields, crambe seed weight was significantly higher than in Italy. Also, oil yield was promoted with increased GDD accumulation (Fig. 6), confirming the higher suitability of crambe to northern Italy compared with Poland. The reported oil yields - ranging from 0.58 to 0.76 Mg ha⁻¹ DM in Poland and Italy, respectively - are similar to other European reports (Fontana et al., 1998; Zanetti et al., 2016) and are also consistent with recent studies in Brazil (Secco et al., 2021). Oil yield values above 0.3 Mg ha⁻¹ DM could be considered a reliable breakeven yield for calculating the profitability of crambe as demonstrated by Stolarski et al. (2018). Thus, the reported oil yields could assure a net income to farmers, particularly when crambe is sown in early spring or grown in southern European regions. Therefore, the definition of the optimal seeding time remains a strategic point for the future scale up of crambe. In particular, early sowing assured significantly higher seed yields in Greece, while in Italy and Poland the differences among seeding time was less variable (Fig. 2, bottom graph). As reported by Zanetti et al. (2016), in the southern Mediterranean climate the identification of optimal sowing time for crambe to achieve high yields needs to consider sowing early enough to avoid high temperatures (>35°C) during seed maturation. Different than in previous studies (Laghetti et al., 1995; Zanetti et al., 2016), in the present trials, crambe was sown in autumn in Greece because previous unpublished tests showed susceptibility to frost damage, making autumn sowing very challenging and with yield results lower than in spring sowing (A. Alexopoulou, personal communication).

Crambe seed weight (TKW) was also influenced by seeding time. In contrast with the study by Adamsen and Coffelt (2005), which reported no significant differences between seeding time, in the present study the

earliest seeding time resulted in significantly higher TKW, and a progressive reduction was observed when delaying seeding time. This demonstrated that increased temperature during seed filling can reduce seed weight (Zanetti et al., 2016). Furthermore, the regression analysis (Fig. 6) highlighted that the higher maximum temperatures caused the formation of lighter seeds in the warmer conditions, i.e. southern environment and/or delayed seeding time.

For alternative crops, like crambe, for which selective herbicides are not yet available, the identification of the optimal seeding rate results a crucial aspect for their agronomic management. In the present study, seeding rate significantly affected only final plant density and seed yield, which were higher under the highest density (HD). Thus, a seeding rate of 220 seeds m⁻² was identified as appropriate to achieve sustained seed yield, irrespectively of the growing environment nor the seeding time. The tested HD seeding rate assured a better soil coverage, in accordance with the results by Viana et al. (2015). Nevertheless, a doubling of the seeding rate (HD) only increased final plant stand by 38%, presumably in relation to increased competition for available resources (i.e., space, nutrients, water, etc.). Thus, further studies are needed for the fine tuning of crambe seeding rate, in particular when using pneumatic precision seeders, as in the case of rapeseed.

5. Conclusions

The commercial crambe variety Galactica was successfully cultivated in Europe across different locations and climates, but sowing date needed to be tailored to local conditions to maximize yield. In the Mediterranean region, early sowing between mid-February and early March allowed achieving the best productivity, likely by avoiding drought and extreme high temperatures during anthesis and seed development. In Northern Europe, spring sowing should be performed in early to mid-April to avoid low temperature and/or freezing injure. The adoption of higher seeding rate promoted seed yield and soil coverage, thus representing the best option for establishing crambe in Europe, irrespectively of seeding time. In the present study the southern sites (i.e., Italy or Greece) resulted more suitable for crambe cultivation than the northern one (i.e., Poland), but the productive performance of crambe was always above the economic threshold for farmers' profitability.

306

307 6. Acknowledgments

308 We specially thank Dr. Russ Gesch (USTA-ARS) for helping to review and edit the manuscript. Authors
309 want to acknowledge for the technical supervision of the trials in Italy Angela Vecchi and Giuseppe Di Girolamo,
310 and the LARAS laboratory staff (UNIBO) for helping in seed processing, cleaning and TKW determination. In
311 Poland the results were obtained as part of a comprehensive study financed by University of Warmia and Mazury
312 in Olsztyn, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, Department of Genetics, Plant Breeding and Bioresource
313 Engineering (grant No. 30.610.007-110)

314

315 CRediT authorship contribution statement

316 **Sara Berzuini**: Data curation; Formal analysis; Writing - original draft; **Federica Zanetti**: Conceptualization;
317 Data curation; Methodology; Software, Formal analysis; Writing - original draft; **Myrsini Christou**: Writing -
318 review & editing; **Efthymia Alexopoulou**: Data curation; Writing - review & editing; **Michal Krzyżaniak**: Data
319 curation; Writing - review & editing; **Mariusz J. Stolarski**: Writing - review & editing; **Federico Ferioli**: Data
320 curation; Formal analysis; Writing - review & editing; **Andrea Monti**: Conceptualization; Funding acquisition;
321 Supervision; Writing - review & editing

322 7. References

- 323 Abbott, P., Baines, J., Fox, P., Graf, L., Kelly, L., Stanley, G., Tomaska, L., 2003. Review of the regulations for
324 contaminants and natural toxicants. *Food Control*. 14(6), 383–389. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-7135(03)00040-9)
325 7135(03)00040-9.
- 326 Acharya, K., Yana, G., Berti, M., 2019. Can winter camelina, crambe, and brown mustard reduce soybean cyst
327 nematode populations? *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 140, 111637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111637>
- 328 Adamsen, F.J., Coffelt, T.A., 2005. Planting date effects on flowering, seed yield, and oil content of rape and
329 crambe cultivars. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 21(3), 293-307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2004.04.012>
- 330 Aguilar, A., Twardowski, T., Wohlgemuth, R., 2019. Bioeconomy for Sustainable Development. *Biotechnol. J.*,
331 14: 1800638. <https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201800638>
- 332 Bordin, I., Dos Santos Silva, N., Da Silva, T.R.B., Dos Santos, J.B., Gil, L.G., Dos Santos Canalli, L.B., Hojo,
333 R.H., Llanillo, R.F., 2020. Soybean cropping systems on sandy soil of the Caiuá Sandstone formation
334 in Northwestern Paraná, Brasil. *Semin., Ciênc. Agrár.* 41(5), 2061-2070. 10.5433/1679-
335 0359.2020v41n5Supl1p206
- 336 Brandão, A.G., Silva, T.R.B., Henrique, L.A.V., Santos, J.S., Gonçalves, F.M.G., Kohatsu, D.S., Goncalves, A.C.
337 Jr., 2014. Initial development of crambe due to sowing in different depths. *Afr. J. Agric.Res.* 10, 927–
338 930.
- 339 Cajamarca, F.A., Lancheros, A.F., Araújo, P.M., Mizubuti, I.Y., Simonelli, S.M., Ida, E.I., Guimarães, M.F., 2018.
340 Evaluation of various species of winter oleaginous plants for the production of biodiesel in the state of
341 parana, brazil. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 126, 113-118.
342 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.10.003><https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.10.003>
- 343 Carlsson, A.S., Yilmaz, J.L., Green, A.G., Stymne, S., Hofvander, P., 2011. Replacing fossil oil with fresh oil -
344 with what and for what? *Eur. J. Lipid Sci Tech.* 113(7), 812-831. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201100032>

345

346 Christopherson, S.W., Glass R.L., 1969. Preparation of milk fat methyl esters by alcoholysis in an essentially
347 non-alcoholic solution. J. Dairy Sci. 52, 1289-1290. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(69\)86739-1](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(69)86739-1)

348 European Parliament, Council of the European Union, 2015. Regulation (EU) 2015/2284 of the European
349 Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2015. OJ L 327, p. 23–24.

350 Falasca, S.L., Flores, N., Lamas, M.C., Carballo, S.M., Anschau, A., 2010. *Crambe abyssinica*: An almost
351 unknown crop with a promissory future to produce biodiesel in Argentina. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 35(11),
352 5808-5812. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.02.095>

353 Fanigliulo, R., Pochi, D., Bondioli, P., Grilli, R., Fornaciari, L., Folegatti, L., Malaguti, L., Matteo, R., Ugolini, L.,
354 Lazzeri, L., 2021. Semi-refined *Crambe abyssinica* (Hochst. EX R.E.Fr.) oil as a biobased hydraulic fluid
355 for agricultural applications. Biomass Conv. Bioref. 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-020-01213-y>

356 Fontana, F., Lazzeri, L., Malaguti, L., Galletti, S., 1998. Agronomic characterization of some *Crambe abyssinica*
357 genotypes in a locality of the Po Valley. Eur. J. Agron. 9, 117–126. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301(98)00037-9)
358 0301(98)00037-9

359 Glaser, L.K., 1996 Prepared by the Economic Research Service for the Risk Management Agency, Federal Crop
360 Insurance Corporation.

361 Golembiewski, B., Sick, N., Bröring, S., 2015. The emerging research landscape on bioeconomy: What has
362 been done so far and what is essential from a technology and innovation management perspective?
363 Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol. 29, 308-317. doi:10.1016/j.ifset.2015.03.006

364 Ionov, M., Yuldasheva, N., Ulchenko, N., Glushenkova, A.I., Heuer, B., 2013. Growth, Development and Yield
365 of *Crambe Abyssinica* Under Saline Irrigation in the Greenhouse. J. Agro. Crop Sci. 199: 331-339.
366 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12027>

367 Krzyżaniak, M., Stolarski, M., Śnieg, M., Christou, M., Alexopoulou, E., 2013. Life cycle assessment of *Crambe*
368 *abyssinica* production for an integrated multi-product biorefinery. *Environ. Biotechnol.* 9(2), 72-80.
369 10.14799/ebms220

370 Krzyżaniak, M., Stolarski, M.J., 2019. Life cycle assessment of camelina and crambe production for biorefinery
371 and energy purposes. *J. Clean. Prod.* 237, 117755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117755>

372 Laghetti, G., Piergiovanni, A.R., Perrino, P., 1995. Yield and oil quality in selected lines of *Crambe abyssinica*
373 *hochst.* ex R.E. fries and *C. hispanica* L. grown in Italy. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 4(3), 203-212.
374 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-6690\(95\)00033-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-6690(95)00033-9)

375 Machado, M.F., Brasil, A.N., Oliveira, L.S., Nunes, D.L., 2007. Estudo do crambe (*Crambe abyssinica*) como
376 fonte de óleo para produção de biodiesel. Itaúna/MG–UFMG.

377 Meijer, W.J.M., Mathijssen, E.W.J.M., 1996. Analysis of crop performance in research on inulin, fibre and oilseed
378 crops. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 5, 253-264. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6690\(97\)82785-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6690(97)82785-9)

379 Meijer, W.J.M., Mathijssen, E.W.J.M., Kreuzer, A.D., 1999. Low pod numbers and inefficient use of radiation are
380 major constraints to high productivity in crambe crops. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 9(3), 221-233.
381 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6690\(98\)00035-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6690(98)00035-1)

382 Metzger, M.J., Bunce, R.G.H., Jongman, R.H.G., Múcher, C.A., Watkins, J.W., 2005. A climatic stratification of
383 the environment of Europe. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 14: 549-563. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-822X.2005.00190.x)
384 [822X.2005.00190.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-822X.2005.00190.x)

385 Oplinger, E.S., Oelke, E.A., Kaminski, A.R., Putnam, D.H., Teynor, T.M., Doll, J.D., Kelling, K.A., Durgan, B.R.,
386 Noetzel, D.M., 1991. Crambe. In *Alternative Field Crops Manual*; University of Wisconsin–Extension,
387 Cooperative: Madison, WI, USA.

388 Pari, L., Latterini, F., Stefanoni, W., 2020. Herbaceous Oil Crops, a Review on Mechanical Harvesting State of
389 the Art. *Agriculture* 10, 309. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture10080309>

390 Pitol, C., Broch, D.L., Roscoe, R., 2010. Tecnologia e produção: crambe. Maracajú, Fundação MS.

391 Reginato, P., Alves de Souza, C.M., da Silva, C.J., Leyva Rafull, L.Z., 2013. Agronomic performance and seed
392 quality of crambe at different sowing dates and depths. *Pesq. agropec. Bras.* 48(10), 1410-1413.
393 <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2013001000013>.

394 Righini, D., Zanetti, F., Monti, A., 2016. The bio-based economy can serve as the springboard for camelina and
395 crambe to quit the limbo. *OCL* 23(5), D504. <https://doi.org/10.1051/ocl/2016021>

396 Ropelewska, E., Jankowski, K.J., 2020. Effect of sulfur fertilization on the physical and chemical properties of
397 crambe (*Crambe abyssinica* Hochst ex RE Fries) seeds. *OCL* 27, 18.
398 <https://doi.org/10.1051/ocl/2020008>

399 Samarappuli, D., Zanetti, F., Berzuini, S., Berti, M.T., 2020. Crambe (*Crambe abyssinica* Hochst): A non-food
400 oilseed crop with great potential: A review. *Agronomy (Basel)* 10(9), 1380.
401 <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10091380>

402 Secco, D., Bassegio, D., De Villa, B., Ciotti de Marins, A., Zanão Junior, L.A., Benetoli da Silva, T.R., Melegari
403 de Souza, S.N., 2021. Crambe oil yield and soil physical properties responses to no-tillage, cover crops
404 and chiseling. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 161, 113174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.113174>

405 Sokólski, M., Załuski, D., Jankowski, K., 2020. Crambe: Seed yield and quality in response to nitrogen and sulfur
406 — A case study in north-eastern Poland. *Agronomy* 10(9), 1436.
407 <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10091436>

408 Stolarski, M.J., Krzyzaniak, M., Kwiatkowski, J., Tworowski, J., Szczukowski, S., 2018. Energy and economic
409 efficiency of camelina and crambe biomass production on a large-scale farm in north-eastern Poland.
410 *Energy* 150, 770-780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.03.021>

411 Stolarski, M.J., Krzyżaniak, M., Tworkowski, J., Załuski, D., Kwiatkowski, J., Szczukowski, S., 2019. Camelina
 412 and crambe production—Energy efficiency indices depending on nitrogen fertilizer application. Ind. Crop.
 413 Prod. 137, 386-395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.05.047>

414 Tripathi, M.K., Mishra, A.S., 2007. Glucosinolates in animal nutrition: A review. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 132(1),
 415 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2006.03.003>

416 Viana, O.H., Santos, R.F., de Oliveira, R.C., Secco, D., de Souza, S.N.M., Tokura, L.K., Gurgacz, F., 2015.
 417 Crambe (*Crambe abyssinica* H.) development and productivity under different sowing densities. Aust.
 418 J. Crop Sci., 9(8), 690. <https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.502255870680512>

419 Von Cossel, M., Lewandowski, I., Elbersen, B., Staritsky, I., Van Eupen, M., Iqbal, Y., Mantel, S., Scordia, D.,
 420 Testa, G., Cosentino, S.L., Maliarenko, O., Eleftheriadis, I., Zanetti, F., Monti, A., Lazdina, D., Neimane,
 421 S., Lamy, I., Ciadamidaro, L., Sanz, M., Carrasco, J.E., Ciria, P., McCallum, I., Trindade, L.M., Van Loo,
 422 E.N., Elbersen, W., Fernando, A.L., Papazoglou, E.G., Alexopoulou, E., 2019. Marginal agricultural land
 423 low-input systems for biomass production. Energies 12(16), 3123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12163123>

424 Wang, Y.P., Tang, J.S., Chu, C.Q., Tian, J., 2000. A preliminary study on the introduction and cultivation of
 425 *Crambe abyssinica* in China, an oil plant for industrial uses. Ind. Crop. Prod. 12(1), 47-52.
 426 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6690\(99\)00066-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6690(99)00066-7)

427 Wazilewski, W.T., Bariccatti, R.A., Martins, G.I., Secco, D., de Souza, S.N.M., Rosa, H.A., Chaves, L.I., 2013.
 428 Study of the methyl crambe (*Crambe abyssinica* Hochst) and soybean biodiesel oxidative stability. Ind.
 429 Crop. Prod. 43, 207-212. [10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.07.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.07.046)

430 Zanetti, F., Scordia, D., Vamerali, T., Copani, V., Dal Cortivo, C., Mosca, G., 2016. *Crambe abyssinica* a non-
 431 food crop with potential for the Mediterranean climate: Insights on productive performances and root
 432 growth. Ind. Crop. Prod. 90, 152-160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.06.023>

433

- 434 Zhu, L., 2016. Chapter 7 - Crambe (*Crambe abyssinica*), in: McKeon, T., A., Hayes, D., G., Hildebrand, D., F.,
 435 Weselake, R., J. (Eds.) Industrial oil crops (pp. 195-205) Elsevier Inc. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-893997-98-1.00007-5)
 436 893997-98-1.00007-5
- 437 Zorn, K., Oroz-Guinea, I., Bornscheuer, U.T., 2019. Strategies for enriching erucic acid from *Crambe abyssinica*
 438 oil by improved *Candida antarctica* lipase A variants. Process Biochemistry, 79, 65-73.
 439 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2018.12.022>
- 440 Zorzenoni, T.O., Andrade, A.P. d., Higashibara, L.R., Cajamarca, F.A., Okumura, R.S., Prete, C.C., 2019.
 441 Sowing date and fungicide application in the agronomic performance of oleaginous brassica for the
 442 biodiesel production. Revista Ceres, 66(4), 257-264. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-737x201966040003>.
- 443 Zoz, T., Steiner, F., Zoz, A., Castagnara, D.D., Witt, T., Zanotto, M., Auld, D., 2018. Effect of row spacing and
 444 plant density on grain yield and yield components of *Crambe abyssinica* Hochst. Semin Cienc Agrar,
 445 39, 393-402. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5433/1679-0359.2018v39n1p393>

446 Figure 1. Crambe straw yield (Mg DM ha⁻¹) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to the main effect
 447 location (Italy vs. Greece vs. Poland). Different letters: significant different means for $P \leq 0.05$ (LSD's Fisher test).

448 Figure 2. A: Crambe seed yield (Mg DM ha⁻¹) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to the main
 449 effect seeding rate (HD 220 seeds m⁻² vs. LD 110 seeds m⁻²). Different letters: significant different means for
 450 $P \leq 0.05$ (LSD Fisher's test). B: Crambe seed yield (Mg DM ha⁻¹) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in
 451 response in response to the interaction between location and sowing date. Different letters: significant different
 452 means for $P \leq 0.05$ (LSD's Fisher's test).

453 Figure 3 Final crambe plant density (pp m⁻²) at harvest in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to
 454 the main effects: location (Italy vs. Poland) and seeding rate (HD 220 seeds m⁻² vs. LD 110 seeds m⁻²). Different
 455 letters: significant different means for $P \leq 0.05$ (LSD Fisher's test) within the same main effect.

456 Figure 4. Crambe thousand seed weight (TKW, g) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to the
 457 main effects: location (Italy vs. Poland) and sowing date (SD1 vs. SD2 vs. SD3). Different letters: significant
 458 different means for $P \leq 0.05$ (LSD Fisher's test) within the same main effect.

459 Figure 5. Crambe oil yield (Mg DM ha⁻¹) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to the main effect:
 460 location (Italy vs. Poland). Different letters: significant different means for $P \leq 0.05$ (LSD Fisher's test).

461 Figure 6. Significant linear regressions between crambe final plant density, plant height, TKW, and oil yield and
 462 meteorological variables for data from Italy and Poland. r^2 = Coefficient of determination. ** = significant for
 463 $P \leq 0.01$.

464

465 Table 1. Geographical coordinates, soil type, historical climatic data (20-years), soil tillage and NPK fertilization
 466 adopted in the crambe trials are reported.

Country	Italy	Greece	Poland
Location	Bologna	Aliartos	Łęzany
Coordinates	44° 33' N, 11° 23' E	38° 22' N, 23° 06' E	53° 58' N, 21° 08' E
Soil Type	Clay Loam	Sandy Loam	Sandy Loam
Mean annual precipitation (mm)	613	485	683
Mean annual temperature (°C)	13.4	16.7	8.0
Tillage for seedbed preparation	Ploughing + rotary tilling	Ploughing + rotary tilling	Ploughing +rotary tilling + harrowing + rolling
NPK fertilization (kg ha ⁻¹)	50+0+0	83+45+45	120+0+0

467

468 Table 2. Sowing and harvesting dates, Growing Degree Days (= GDD), cycle length (d) from sowing to harvest,
 469 and main meteorological parameters surveyed in the crambe multi-year (2016-2019) trial grown across three
 470 locations in Europe. GDD = growing degree days from sowing to harvest; Prec = cumulative precipitation from
 471 sowing to harvest; T_{\min} = mean minimum temperature from sowing to harvest; T_{\max} = mean maximum
 472 temperature from sowing to harvest.

Country	Year	ST	Sowing	Harvest	GDD*	Cycle	Rain.	T _{min}	T _{max}
(Location)			date			(d)	(mm)	°C	
Greece	2016	SD1	Mar 08	Jun 15	1237	104	88	10.5	23.3
(Aliartos)		SD2	Mar 21	Jun 18	1203	90	25.5	11.5	25.2
		SD3	Apr 05	Jun 23	1209	80	9.9	13.1	27.1
	2017	SD1	Feb 28	May 26	964	88	164	8.4	21.1
		SD2	Mar 19	Jun 12	1157	86	133.3	10.6	23.4
		SD3	Apr 03	Jun 18	1146	77	132.7	11.9	24.6
	2018	SD1	Mar 03	Jun 10	1461	100	61.2	9.5	22.2
		SD2	Mar 19	Jun 15	1416	89	58.7	9.6	22.8
		SD3	Apr 03	Jun 20	1378	79	65.2	10.4	24.4
Average					1241	88	82	10.3	23.8
Italy	2016	SD1	Feb 12	Jun 20	1158	128	383.2	8.9	19.0
(Bologna)		SD2	Mar 15	Jun 30	1355	108	226.6	11.1	22.5
		SD3	Mar 30	Jul 17	1457	106	247.8	13.1	24.5
	2017	SD1	Feb 17	Jun 14	1169	118	106.8	8.3	21.5
		SD2	Mar 01	Jun 14	1147	106	105.6	9.0	22.5
		SD3	Mar 15	Jun 21	1219	99	103.6	10.5	23.9
	2018	SD3	Mar 27	Jul 05	1341	101	127.6	13.2	25.3
	2019	SD1	Feb 13	Jun 26	1253	134	229.8	7.8	20.8

		SD2	Feb 28	Jun 26	1228	119	229.6	8.9	21.5
		SD3	Mar 14	Jul 04	1329	113	231.0	10.3	22.9
Average					1206	113	199.2	10.1	22.4
Poland	2016	SD1	Apr 05	Aug 08	1339	130	405.3	10.4	20.7
(Łężany)		SD2	Apr 15	Aug 12	1286	120	391.0	10.7	21.2
		SD3	Apr 25	Aug 21	1365	119	404.2	11.4	22.0
	2017	SD1	Apr 10	Aug 16	1281	129	237.4	8.5	20.9
		SD2	Apr 20	Aug 16	1270	119	212.4	9.1	21.8
		SD3	Apr 28	Aug 25	1325	120	212.2	9.9	22.6
	2018	SD1	Apr 16	Aug 03	1430	110	145.2	10.8	24.6
		SD2	Apr 26	Aug 07	1416	104	134.4	11.4	25.4
		SD3	Apr 28	Aug 16	1459	102	165.4	12.3	25.9
Average					1352	144	256.4	10.5	22.8

473 *base temperature for GDD calculation was 5°C (Meijer and Mathijssen. 1996)

474

475

476 Table 3. ANOVA results (F-values and Statistical significance) for the surveyed parameters (Straw yield, seed
 477 yield, plant height, final plant density, oil yield and TKW) in the multi-year multi-location trials on crambe. Loc =
 478 location (Greece vs. Italy vs. Poland); SD = sowing date (SD1 vs. SD2 vs. SD3); SR = seeding rate (HD 220
 479 seeds m⁻² vs. LD 110 seeds m⁻²).


Source of Variation	Straw yield	Seed yield	Plant height	Final plant density ¹	Oil yield ²	TKW ¹
Loc	61.26 **	8.45**	79.12**	60.13**	21.11**	7.94**
SD	2.48 <i>ns</i>	24.79***	3.35*	1.58 <i>ns</i>	2.29 <i>ns</i>	33.06**
SR	2.68 <i>ns</i>	4.41*	2.19 <i>ns</i>	11.67**	-	0.04 <i>ns</i>
Loc x SD	1.13 <i>ns</i>	9.15**	2.02 <i>ns</i>	2.40 <i>ns</i>	0.61 <i>ns</i>	1.06 <i>ns</i>
Loc X SR	2.48 <i>ns</i>	1.78 <i>ns</i>	0.85 <i>ns</i>	3.28 <i>ns</i>	-	0.01 <i>ns</i>
SD X SR	0.13 <i>ns</i>	0.06 <i>ns</i>	0.04 <i>ns</i>	0.24 <i>ns</i>	-	0.43 <i>ns</i>
Loc X SD X SR	1.39 <i>ns</i>	0.15 <i>ns</i>	0.87 <i>ns</i>	0.82 <i>ns</i>	-	0.14 <i>ns</i>

480 *, ** Significant at the 0.05, 0.01 probability levels, respectively (LSD Fishers' test); *ns*=not significant.

481 ¹ only data from Italy and Poland are included in the ANOVA

482 ² only data from Italy and Poland from only HD plots are included in the ANOVA


483 Figure 1. Crambe straw yield (Mg DM ha^{-1}) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to the main effect
484 location (Italy vs. Greece vs. Poland). Vertical bars: standard error. Different letters: significant different means
485 for $P \leq 0.05$ (LSD's Fisher test).



486


487

488 Figure 2. A: Crambe seed yield (Mg DM ha⁻¹) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to the main
 489 effect seeding rate (HD 220 seeds m⁻² vs. LD 110 seeds m⁻²). Different letters: significant different means for
 490 P≤0.05 (LSD Fisher's test). B: Crambe seed yield (Mg DM ha⁻¹) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in
 491 response in response to the interaction between location and sowing date. Vertical bars: standard error.
 492 Different letters: significant different means for P≤0.05 (LSD's Fisher's test).




493

494 Figure 3. Final crambe plant density (pp m⁻²) at harvest in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to
495 the main effects: location (Italy vs. Poland) and seeding rate (HD 220 seeds m⁻² vs. LD 110 seeds m⁻²). Vertical
496 bars: standard error. Different letters: significant different means for $P \leq 0.05$ (LSD Fisher's test) within the same
497 main effect.
498




499

500 Figure 4. Crambe thousand seed weight (TKW, g) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to the
501 main effects: location (Italy vs. Poland) and sowing date (SD1 vs. SD2 vs. SD3). Vertical bars: standard error.
502 Different letters: significant different means for $P \leq 0.05$ (LSD Fisher's test) within the same main effect.



503

504 Figure 5. Crambe oil yield (Mg DM ha⁻¹) in the multi-location and multi-year trial in response to the main effect:
505 location (Italy vs. Poland). Vertical bars: standard error. Different letters: significant different means for $P \leq 0.05$
506 (LSD Fisher's test).




507

508

509 Figure 6. Significant linear regressions between crambe final plant density, plant height, TKW, and oil yield and
 510 meteorological variables for data from Italy and Poland. r^2 = Coefficient of determination. ** = significant for
 511 $P \leq 0.01$.

512



513