



## ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

### Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Bacterial volatile compound-based tools for crop management and quality

This is the submitted version (pre peer-review, preprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Bacterial volatile compound-based tools for crop management and quality / Cellini, Antonio; Spinelli, Francesco; Donati, Irene; Ryu, Choong-Min; Kloepper, Joseph W.. - In: TRENDS IN PLANT SCIENCE. - ISSN 1360-1385. - ELETTRONICO. - 26:9(2021), pp. 968-983. [10.1016/j.tplants.2021.05.006]

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/829792> since: 2021-08-13

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2021.05.006>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

## Highlights

- Plant-associated bacteria interact with their environment through exchange of chemicals, including volatile compounds. Innovative agricultural technologies may exploit the inherent advantages of bacterial airborne signals, including diffusibility, independence from water availability and physical connection, and absence of pesticide residuals.
- Volatile compounds resulting from plant-pathogens interactions allow non-destructive disease diagnosis on bulk samples of asymptomatic plant material.
- Volatile compounds, expressing a direct biocidal activity, interfering with signalling, or stimulating plant host defences, contribute to biological control of pests and pathogens.
- Bacterial volatile compounds modulate plant hormones enhancing plant growth, stress tolerance, crop quality, aroma and nutraceutical characteristics, and reduce post-harvest losses.

This is the submitted version of the article

Antonio Cellini, Francesco Spinelli, Irene Donati, Choong-Min Ryu, Joseph W. Kloepper  
*Bacterial volatile compound-based tools for crop management and quality*

which has been published in final form in *Trends in Plant Science*

Volume 26, Issue 9, September 2021, Pages 968-983

The final published version is available online at

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2021.05.006>

© 2021 Elsevier

# 1 **Applications based on bacterial volatile compounds for crop management and quality**

2

3 Antonio Cellini<sup>1</sup>, Francesco Spinelli<sup>1</sup>, Irene Donati<sup>1</sup>, Choong-Min Ryu<sup>2</sup>, Joseph W. Kloepper<sup>3</sup>,

4 <sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum - University of Bologna, Italy

5 <sup>2</sup>Infectious Disease Research Center, KRIBB, Daejeon, 34141, South Korea

6 <sup>3</sup>Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Auburn University, USA

7 Correspondence: [francesco.spinelli3@unibo.it](mailto:francesco.spinelli3@unibo.it) (Francesco Spinelli)

8

## 9 **Keywords**

10 Plant growth promoting bacteria, Biological control, VOC-based diagnosis, Crop protection, Abiotic  
11 stress tolerance

12

## 13 **Abstract**

14 Bacteria produce a huge diversity of metabolites, many of which mediate ecological relations.  
15 Among these, volatile compounds allow broad-range effects at low doses and may therefore be  
16 exploited for applications in plant defence and agricultural production. Such applications are still in  
17 their early development. Here we review the latest technologies involving the use of bacterial  
18 volatile compounds for phytosanitary inspection, biological control, plant growth promotion, and  
19 crop quality. We highlight a variety of effects with a potential applicative interest, based on either  
20 live biocontrol and/or biostimulant agents, or the isolated metabolites responsible for the  
21 interaction with hosts or competitors. Future agricultural technologies may benefit from the  
22 clarification of bacterial interactions with the environment, and the development of new analytical  
23 tools.

24

25

## 26 **Bacterial volatile compounds in plant ecological interactions**

27 Bacterial metabolic products characterised by low vapour pressure, high lipophylicity and a  
28 molecular weight below 300 Da are likely to be released as Volatile Organic Compounds (**VOCs**, see  
29 glossary). Considering the enormous metabolic diversity of bacteria, such compounds may derive  
30 from a large variety of chemical pathways, and are generally emitted as complex mixtures [1]. The  
31 composition of the bacterial **volatilome** (see glossary) is highly influenced by the growth conditions  
32 [2-5], including soil chemistry and structure, pH, availability of water and oxygen, presence of plant

33 exudates or other organic compounds, and light irradiation. Translating such considerations into  
34 horticultural crop management, agricultural (tillage, cover cropping, fertilisation, watering, and  
35 plant protection) or post-harvest (refrigeration, atmosphere control, and ethylene modulation)  
36 practices that modify such parameters can influence BVC emissions as well [6].

37 Along with the characterisation of a growing number of bacterial volatile compounds (**BVCs**, see  
38 glossary) [3,4,7], their roles in intra- or inter-specific signalling or competition are being discovered  
39 (Figure 1). It should also be noted that the most commonly adopted analytical techniques in studies  
40 concerning bacterial volatile compounds are unable to detect molecules with a low molecular  
41 weight, such as CO<sub>2</sub>, ethylene, nitrogen oxides, ethanol and H<sub>2</sub>S (Box 1). Thus, part of the biological  
42 effects mediated by bacterial airborne signals may still be eluding the researchers' efforts. In  
43 comparison to water-soluble compounds, inherent advantages of VOCs in ecological interactions  
44 reside in their high diffusibility, enabling both above- and belowground action, the ability to diffuse  
45 through lipophilic barriers (such as cell membranes and plant cuticle), and the independence from  
46 water and physical connection among the VOC-producing organism and the signal recipient.

47 Technological applications based on the release or the exchange of VOCs are most likely to succeed,  
48 when they take advantage of these characteristics. Volatility is possibly one of the key points, as it  
49 allows a relative uniformity of the gas phase even in cases of poor accessibility of the target (for  
50 instance, in the soil, in stored bulk samples, or in internal plant tissues). In addition, biogenic VOCs  
51 do not pose problems with residues and environmental accumulation. By contrast, one should  
52 consider possible drawbacks deriving from the generally low concentration, impermanence, low  
53 target specificity, and difficult handling of VOCs used for plant treatment.

54 The above reasons may be responsible for the so-far limited enactment of VOC-based technologies.  
55 However, some work-arounds may be envisaged to reduce the weight of drawbacks. The use of  
56 microbes that form stable populations on plant hosts, exploiting naturally occurring resources and  
57 constantly delivering their bio-active function, or that survive harsh conditions (e.g. *Bacillus* spp.  
58 spores), may grant a durable effect of the treatment. In this light, the screening of bacterial species  
59 forming endophytic and/or specialised symbiosis offers a source of biological functions expressed  
60 in an efficient and highly focused way. Recent technological advancement in genomics,  
61 **metagenomics** and **gnotobiotics** (see glossary) has enabled breeding programs centered on the  
62 plant **holobiont** (see glossary), in which, in addition to plant genetic resources, microbial diversity  
63 (overlooked in traditional breeding, and even possibly lost during domestication or selection) is also  
64 explored [8-10]. Alternatively, when live bacteria cannot be used, their active principles could still

65 be considered for field application with encapsulation methods allowing a controlled release [11].  
66 Caution should be taken for such treatments in dosing the active principle's release rates (as plant  
67 stress may derive from its excess) and avoiding wastes due to volatilisation.

68

### 69 **VOC profiling for plant disease diagnosis**

70 Along with visual inspection, immunochemical and molecular methods represent the standard  
71 techniques for disease diagnosis, due to their reliability, sensitivity, specificity, and reasonable  
72 practicality in terms of costs and work effort [12,13]. However, these methods still pose a number  
73 of issues. Since all of them are destructive, the assessment of plant or fruit health status causes an  
74 economic loss and cannot be applied to unique samples. The development and production of  
75 specific antibodies, and the design and validation of PCR primers require a laborious set-up and are  
76 conditioned by the availability of sequence or protein data. Moreover, both PCR and  
77 immunochemical methods are targeted to single organisms, and the screening for multiple  
78 pathogens results consequently in a multiplication of work. Finally, representativity at the sampling  
79 stage is a major constraint, particularly when pathogen populations are relatively small, and the  
80 chance of false negatives must be minimised by increasing the sample size.

81 As a consequence of the bacterial metabolism and the concurrent activation of plant defences, the  
82 VOC emission by pathogen-infected plants is, in principle, discernible from that of healthy ones [14].  
83 Thus, the possibility of a VOC-assisted plant diagnosis has been put forward, and the recognition of  
84 bacterial diseases by volatile fingerprinting has been attempted in several species and/or crops  
85 (Table 1). VOC screening is non-destructive and can be applied to crops or live plants without  
86 compromising their economic value or viability. Unlike molecular and immunochemical methods,  
87 VOC-assisted plant diagnosis, in principle, allows the screening for multiple pathogens in the same  
88 run [15,17,25,30,31]. Finally, bulk samples can be analysed as a whole with minimal risks of sampling  
89 errors.

90

### 91 *Analytical technologies and methods*

92 **GC-MS, PTR-MS, SIFT-MS, E-NOSE** and **FAIMS** (see glossary) are among the technologies available  
93 for VOC-based diagnosis (Table 2). A major distinction can be made between techniques allowing  
94 the analytical determination of the chemical components of the VOC blend (e.g. GC-MS), and  
95 techniques that only allow overall VOC profiling (e.g. most E-NOSE models), with in-between cases  
96 of techniques with analytical power restricted to certain conditions. Techniques of the first class

97 may be used to identify distinctive marker compounds for determinate pathogens [15,17,18,20-  
98 22,29]. Alternatively, the recognition of infected samples may be done through multivariate  
99 statistical analysis or artificial neural networks. These recognition procedures may be applied to any  
100 technique, but they are an obligate choice for non-analytical methods.

101 Based on the technology and the recognition method, several sampling systems are available.  
102 Portable instruments may allow the direct application to ambient air. In these cases, the  
103 instrumental sensitivity can be adjusted by regulating the input flow rate (i.e. the air volume  
104 screened). Ambient air analysis or headspace sampling in odourless gas bags or canisters are the  
105 simplest options, with the smallest chance of artifactual results. However, when such options are  
106 not practical (e.g. gas samples are too small, or marker compounds are in trace amounts), the use  
107 of VOC-sorbent materials may be envisaged to concentrate the VOC sample [14].

108

#### 109 *Sample recognition and applicative perspectives*

110 Besides pathogen infection, other irrelevant factors (such as plant genotype, secondary microbial  
111 colonisation, tissue age and environmental conditions during sampling) are predicted to influence  
112 VOC emissions with additional levels of complexity. Furthermore, diagnostic power is influenced by  
113 disease severity [15,32,33], thus latent infection stages or sporadic pathogen presence are harder  
114 to detect. Physico-chemical factors influencing volatilisation and relative composition of air samples  
115 (temperature, relative humidity, sorbent saturation or chemical affinity) also require to be  
116 accounted for.

117 Thus, the main challenge for VOC-based diagnosis seems to be the development of feature  
118 extraction methods, to isolate disease-related information from background noise. For this reason,  
119 E-NOSE methods have not progressed beyond the proof-of-principle status so far. In fact,  
120 discrimination power is related to the independence among the components of data variability [35],  
121 while E-NOSE models tested for plant diagnosis include no more than 32 sensors with partially  
122 overlapping chemical sensitivity [14]. Recent developments in E-NOSE construction, such as  
123 coupling with chromatographic separation [36] and colorimetry [37] may overcome current  
124 discrimination power limits.

125 With regard to techniques with high analytical power, methods based on unsupervised machine  
126 learning and bacteria-VOCs association database were studied for human diagnostic purposes [38].  
127 The implementation of such methods in plant health monitoring could be integrated with existing

128 microbial VOC databases [3]. An effort is required to expand such databases, currently limited in the  
129 number of bacterial species covered.

130 The current technology readiness of VOC-based diagnostic systems may support standard  
131 phytosanitary inspection by pre-screening plant material, to focus more in-depth, time- and  
132 resource-consuming analyses on dubious cases. Significant advances may come in the future with  
133 the development of more versatile instruments [36,37]. Whatever technology may become  
134 dominant, coordination among researchers, field operators and industry is a requisite for the setting  
135 of standards, databases and accepted practices.

136

### 137 **Bacterial volatile compounds in biological control**

138 Biological control has raised an interest over time, as a tool to achieve a stable level of disease  
139 control by environmentally sustainable means. Biocontrol agents (**BCAs**, see glossary) are organisms  
140 that reduce a pathogen's population size, or its chance to cause disease, by directly killing the  
141 pathogen (with antibiotics, lytic enzymes and other toxic compounds), by interfering with its  
142 signalling or regulatory metabolism, or by direct competition (i.e. better exploitation of resources,  
143 determining the pathogen's starvation). These interactions contribute to microbial antagonism  
144 (Figure 1). In this scenario, several BVCs have drawn attention as possible mediators of long-range  
145 effects. While it may be expected that their gas-phase concentrations never reach biologically active  
146 levels as they diffuse in the atmosphere, the competition among microbes in the phyllosphere takes  
147 place in matrices (such as biofilms, mucilages, plant waxes) or sites (sub-stomatal chambers, soil  
148 pores) where local BVC may attain substantial concentrations [39]. In this light, the identification of  
149 BVC-releasing symbiotic endophytes may be desirable, as their beneficial effects would be delivered  
150 close to their target and in a concentrated form.

151 Alternatively, BVCs can induce systemic plant defences (Box 2). Notably, such induction occurs at  
152 low BVC rates, acts systemically and persists after the removal of the emitter bacterium, whereas  
153 BVC-mediated microbial antagonism would require a local and continuous emission at higher rates.  
154 Low BVC rates prime, rather than activate plant defences, i.e. responses are more prompt and  
155 intense upon pathogen attack, but no phenotypic changes (including in yield and crop quality) are  
156 expressed otherwise. In addition, the same plant may release pre-alert signals to neighbouring ones  
157 [40]. Thus, even signals in low concentrations may lead to significant large-scale consequences.

158 BVCs may also influence plant-pest interactions, insect behaviour and survival rate, and thus could  
159 be possibly used for pest control strategies (Box 3).

160 In spite of the potential applications, the use of BCAs presents some inherent difficulties, such as an  
161 inconstant effectiveness, depending on environmental, agricultural and ecological factors that may  
162 vary in different areas, plant species or growing seasons. In addition, the efficacy of BCAs depends  
163 on their population size, which usually decreases steeply after the release, and co-formulants are  
164 often required to extend the BCA's field life. For these reasons, BVCs have not yet found specific  
165 applications in biological control. As a promising perspective, the development of synthetic bacterial  
166 communities may overcome some of these drawbacks, by achieving a better stability or resilience  
167 of the microbial biocoenosis, along with the integration of multiple mechanisms of action [41,42].

168

#### 169 *Direct toxicity against pathogens*

170 In exerting direct toxicity against plant pathogens, BVCs are influenced by several factors. Along with  
171 the chemical nature of the compound, its release rate, the occurrence of the conditions for its  
172 production, and the gas phase dynamics that regulate its volatility and stability all contribute to its  
173 ecological role and the technological usefulness [39]. The best-studied bacteria, in relation to  
174 characterisation of BVC toxicity, include several actinomycetes, *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, *Serratia*,  
175 *Burkholderia*, *Xanthomonas* and *Erwinia* species.

176 Among the volatile compounds hindering the growth of competitors, ammonia, cyanide and sulfur-  
177 containing metabolites are believed to play a major role [43]. However, bacterial strains not  
178 releasing such compounds can still display antimicrobial properties, indicating that other BVCs  
179 substantially contribute to inhibition of microbial growth, and that synergistic effects exist between  
180 different compounds. Antimicrobial effects were described for alkanes, alkenes, alcohols,  
181 aldehydes, ketones, esters, terpenoids, pyrazines, phenolics, amines, quinolones, chlorine and  
182 sulfur compounds (Table 3) [44-57]. The most common molecular targets of toxic BVCs include  
183 metal cofactors, sulfhydryl groups, and protein folding.

184 Fungi and oomycetes often show a considerable sensitivity to BVCs, both for the elongation of  
185 mycelia and for spore germination [43,46-49]. In contrast, fewer cases are reported regarding BVC-  
186 mediated control of bacterial pathogens, namely, *Agrobacterium* species [48,58], *Clavibacter*  
187 *michiganensis* [59,60], *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* [61] and *Ralstonia solanacearum* [62].  
188 Reasons for such difference in susceptibility may reside in differences between bacteria and  
189 eukaryotic organisms, for instance in plasma membrane composition or gene expression.

190 The importance of BVC toxicity for interspecific competition in real conditions is debated [63],  
191 because of its dependence on BVC production rates and chances of accumulation. Thus, BVC-



192 mediated suppression of pathogens was not considered as a trait for selection of new biocontrol  
193 agents until recently [49,64]. Nonetheless, this mechanism has been documented for several  
194 commercial biocontrol agents, and some of the BVCs involved, such as benzothiazole and dimethyl  
195 sulfides [49,64] have been adopted as active principles in exogenous biocide treatments.  
196 Biomimicry, i.e. the simulation of biological processes and interactions for applicative purposes, may  
197 be advisable in field conditions for a number of reasons, including the caution in introducing  
198 organisms into a new environment with potentially irreversible effects, and the higher control of  
199 chemical nature, dosage and timing of the treatments [65]. Conditions for exogenous VOC  
200 treatments, however, include the technological feasibility of gas application to the target (soil,  
201 canopy, stored crops) and the low toxicity at the treatment dosages for the operator and for non-  
202 target organisms.

203

#### 204 *Disruption of quorum sensing*

205 The complex of regulatory functions connecting the perception of intra- or inter-specific bacterial  
206 population density to the expression of 'social' phenotypes is termed Quorum Sensing (**QS**, see  
207 glossary). The typical QS signalling circuit consists of the production of a signal compound, along  
208 with the expression of specific receptors for the same signal(s). Among the traits governed by QS,  
209 bacterial motility, formation of biofilms, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites and virulence factors  
210 have been observed, implying their role in improving bacterial fitness in a crowded, diverse and  
211 competitive environment [66]. As a consequence, several species may form stable symbiotic  
212 consortia, based on the reciprocal exchange of nutrients and signals [67,68]. In the case of  
213 pathogenic bacteria, the full expression of virulence can require a stimulation by other microbial  
214 neighbours [69].

215 N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs) are the best-studied example of QS signals, since they are  
216 employed by a wide array of Gram-negative bacteria, including plant pathogens such as  
217 *Pseudomonas*, *Erwinia/Pectobacterium* and *Agrobacterium* species. Other compounds mediating  
218 QS include peptide, aminoacid or fatty acid derivatives. While QS activity was only studied in  
219 aqueous solutions for most of these compounds, their semi-volatile nature presumably also allows  
220 airborne signalling.

221 The disruption of QS systems (Quorum Quenching, QQ) may be pursued to reduce the population  
222 of pathogens and/or to control the incidence and severity of plant diseases [70]. Interference in QS  
223 has been demonstrated for some BVCs. Among these, DMDS reduces the production of AHLs in *P.*

224 *chlororaphis* [71]. Linear ketones (2-heptanone, 2-nonanone, 2-undecanone) and 2-amino-  
225 acetophenone showed an activity on engineered AHL biosensors [72,73].

226 Indole and its derivatives may act as QS signals, being produced by some bacterial species in a  
227 population-dependent manner and eliciting specific responses. Non-producing pathogenic bacteria  
228 may also perceive it, possibly by means of AHL receptors [74]. Although auxin (indole-3-acetic acid)  
229 and indole are structurally related, in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (specialised in auxin biosynthesis,  
230 but not releasing indole) only indole can induce bacterial motility, biofilm formation, antibiotic  
231 resistance and expression of virulence genes, while reducing bacterial growth in the 0.2-1 mM  
232 concentration range [75].

233

### 234 **Biostimulation**

235 After the initial observation of plant growth promotion by 2,3-butanediol-emitting bacteria [76], it  
236 has become evident that BVC-mediated biostimulation is a widespread phenomenon involving  
237 numerous bacterial species and compounds [2,77], with potential applications still to be tested. The  
238 adaptive rationale of some biological effects promoted by plant-associated bacteria is, in many  
239 cases, evident. Symbiotic organisms, for instance, take benefit from increasing root growth and  
240 plant nutritional status. Conversely, pathogens can release BVCs to modify plant metabolism to their  
241 own advantage [78,79]. However, some methodological caveats should be pointed out in the study  
242 of influences of VOCs on complex plant traits. Several molecules of great importance for plant  
243 metabolism, but not easily detected in the most common experimental settings, for instance, may  
244 be neglected (Box 1).

245 Plant growth is the result of several factors, such as hormonal signalling, nutrition, stress tolerance  
246 (Figure 1). Thus, the observation of a plant growth-promoting effect by a bacterial strain in  
247 laboratory conditions, in absence of nutritional or cultural constraints, is possibly not indicative of  
248 the applicability of the same strain in field. Secondly, plant growth may not correlate (or even  
249 inversely correlate) with crop yield, for which not only carbon fixation, but also reallocation of  
250 photosynthates is relevant. Thirdly, the effects of BVCs are generally pleiotropic, i.e. they interact  
251 with multiple signalling pathways, and are not specific to a definite target organism [43].

252

### 253 *Plant growth promotion and nutrition*

254 Growth promotion by BVCs was shown on several cultivated species, including alfalfa, barley, basil,  
255 broccoli, lettuce, poplar, soybean, tobacco, tomato [43,77]. These effects have been related to

256 modulation of plant hormones, such as cytokinins [76], auxins, brassinosteroids [80], gibberellins,  
257 ethylene [81] and strigolactones [82].

258 While hormonal effects may shape the allocation of resources within the plant and its phenological  
259 progression [79], plant growth promotion and biomass increase should come with a corresponding  
260 nutritional enhancement. The stimulation of auxin metabolism and/or signalling, for instance, leads  
261 to changes in plant root architecture, which contributes to the uptake of water and nutrients [83].  
262 Ethylene participates in the activation of mineral uptake systems [84]. BVCs were implied in  
263 counteracting carbohydrate- and ABA-mediated inhibition of photosynthesis, thus enabling higher  
264 CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rates [85], and stimulating iron uptake [86,87]. It should be noted that most research  
265 was conducted in laboratory or controlled conditions, where nutrients and water are generally not  
266 limiting.

267

#### 268 *Abiotic stress tolerance*

269 Plant growth promotion by microbes can result from increased tolerance to environmental stresses.  
270 Although mechanisms are often far from being elucidated [88], such effects are generally induced  
271 by the release of volatile hormones by the microbes (notably ethylene, methyl-jasmonate and  
272 methyl-salicylate), or involve the signalling cascade of plant hormones [89].

273 Current knowledge on BVC-induced tolerance refers mainly to osmotic, salt and/or water stress (Box  
274 4). In addition, this research area may provide significant advancement to phytoremediation and to  
275 the adaptation of crops to stresses related to climate change [90]. The recovery of marginal soils,  
276 for instance, may be enhanced by the root branching stimulation, exerted by some symbiotic  
277 bacteria to increase the release of organic carbon into the rhizosphere. Among the BVCs implicated  
278 in this plant-microbe interaction, 1-butanol and the QS signal butyrolactone may play a role [91].

279

#### 280 **Crop quality**

281 A list of examples of bacterial interactions with crops, influencing crop quality, is shown in Table 4.  
282 In all the cases in which crop quality depends on secondary metabolites, such as essential oils and  
283 aromas, a close link between the elicitation of plant defences and an increased crop value is easily  
284 explained. In fact, essential oils form one of the first lines of plant defence and inter-plant  
285 communication, and their contents are raised by BVCs from several defence-inducing bacteria. Thus,  
286 an increased essential oil content was obtained by exposing aromatic plants, including peppermint

287 and basil [92,93], or medicinal plants such as *Atractylodes lancea* [94], to BVCs emitted by several  
288 *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus* and *Azospirillum* spp.

289 In the determination of the aromatic profiles of fruit, a remarkable role has been observed for the  
290 associated microflora. *Methylobacterium* spp. include endophytic species expressing alcohol  
291 dehydrogenase (ADH) activity, which converts plant-derived alcohols to the corresponding  
292 aldehydes or ketones [95,96]. The substrate-specificity of bacterial ADH is low, but distinct from that  
293 of plant ADH, thus explaining the diversity, along with the higher intensity, of VOC emissions from  
294 microbe-colonised plants.

295 During post-harvest storage, crops may incur in spoilage by pathogens, with consequent loss of  
296 produce and/or contamination by mycotoxins. Because of the use of controlled atmosphere on a  
297 large variety of crops, VOC-based technologies may fit well in post-harvest disease control. In fact,  
298 on one hand, relatively high concentrations of bioactive volatiles can be obtained in a closed storage  
299 cell; on the other hand, in comparison to synthetic fungicides, the application of biogenic VOCs and  
300 BVC-emitting bacteria to products for human consumption poses lower concerns. Several *Bacillus*  
301 spp. strains releasing antifungal BVCs were identified and tested on citrus, mango, cherry, litchi and  
302 peach [97-102], while *Streptomyces* spp. were tested on strawberry, citrus, tomato and chili [103-  
303 107]. Some compounds mediating antifungal effects, such as cedrol, 2-pentylfuran [102] and  
304 acetophenone [107], are commonly found among fruit aromas, and their efficacy was proved on a  
305 large spectrum of pathogens. Therefore, their technical use may encounter few restrictions by  
306 policy-makers, and possibly even higher appreciation by consumers.

307 The microbial population living on grapevine berries (including *Paenibacillus* spp.) produces volatile  
308 compounds possibly improving the quality of wine [108]. Thus, while fruit technology has been so  
309 far oriented to the limitation of microbial populations on the crop, future work should address and  
310 exploit the contribution of the microflora to aromatic properties of fruit or derivate products.

311

### 312 **Concluding remarks**

313 Despite the great diversity of bacterial metabolites and of biological relations mediated by them,  
314 which form a huge reservoir of resources with a potential applicative interest, BVC applications have  
315 been explored so far only marginally, and their practical use is at its dawn especially for improving  
316 crop tolerance and quality [95]. The present overview was limited to volatile compounds emitted  
317 by bacteria, but other organisms (including fungi, moulds and, to some extent, plants) also release  
318 bioactive compounds. In addition, biogenic inorganic volatile compounds were only marginally

319 considered. Thus, future agricultural and environmental engineering applications may benefit from  
320 the study of a wider range of biological relations, or by the development of new analytical tools and  
321 protocols.

322 In modern agricultural systems, there is a growing interest in finding environmentally sustainable,  
323 effective and inexpensive solutions for the problems encountered at each step of the production  
324 chain. The diffusion of biological control methods, and the programs for phytoremediation or  
325 recovery of marginal soils are examples of such dynamics. However, the novelty of these solutions  
326 also poses some legislation and registration issues [113,114]. In addition, live biostimulant or  
327 biocontrol agents may not adapt to all cultural conditions [90], and promising biological functions  
328 may come along with potential risks for human health or environmental equilibrium.

329 Therefore, the mechanisms of interaction among different bacterial species and with their  
330 eukaryotic (plant, insect) hosts deserve in-depth investigation, to develop more efficient and flexible  
331 solutions for emerging problems. Volatile compounds may show inherent advantages related to  
332 their diffusibility, low dose of action and absence of toxic residues [89]. Extensive field testing is  
333 required as a key step to the commercial and industrial application of technologies based on BVCs  
334 (see also the outstanding questions).

335

## 336 **References**

- 337 1. Schulz, S. and Dickschat, J.S. (2007) Bacterial volatiles: The smell of small organisms. *Nat. Prod.*  
338 *Rep.* 24, 814–42
- 339 2. Blom, D. et al. (2011) Production of plant growth modulating volatiles is widespread among  
340 rhizosphere bacteria and strongly depends on culture conditions. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 3047–  
341 58
- 342 3. Lemfack, M.C. et al. (2018) mVOC 2.0: a database of microbial volatiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46,  
343 D1261-D1265.
- 344 4. Tyc, O. et al. (2017) The ecological role of volatile and soluble secondary metabolites produced  
345 by soil bacteria. *Trends Microbiol.* 25, 280–92
- 346 5. Rath, M., Mitchell, T.R. and Gold, S.E. (2018) Volatiles produced by *Bacillus mojavensis* RRC101  
347 act as plant growth modulators and are strongly culture-dependent. *Microbiol. Res.* 208, 76–  
348 84

- 349 6. van Agtmaal, M. et al. (2015) Legacy effects of anaerobic soil disinfestation on soil bacterial  
350 community composition and production of pathogen-suppressing volatiles. *Front. Microbiol.* 6,  
351 701
- 352 7. Effmert, U. et al. (2012) Volatile mediated interactions between bacteria and fungi in the soil.  
353 *J. Chem. Ecol.* 38, 665–703
- 354 8. Gopal, M. and Gupta, A. (2016) Microbiome selection could spur next-generation plant  
355 breeding strategies. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1971
- 356 9. Wei, Z. and Jousset, A. (2017) Plant breeding goes microbial. *Trends Plant Sci.* 22, 555–8
- 357 10. Kroll, S., Agler, M.T. and Kemen, E. (2017) Genomic dissection of host–microbe and microbe–  
358 microbe interactions for advanced plant breeding. *Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol.* 36, 71–8
- 359 11. Sharifi, R. and Ryu, C.M. (2020) Formulation and Agricultural Application of Bacterial Volatile  
360 Compounds. In: *Bacterial Volatile Compounds as Mediators of Airborne Interactions* (Ryu C.M.,  
361 Weisskopf, L. and Piechulla, B., eds.), pp. 317–336, Springer
- 362 12. Sankaran, S. et al. (2010) A review of advanced techniques for detecting plant diseases.  
363 *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 72, 1–13
- 364 13. Martinelli, F. et al. (2015) Advanced methods of plant disease detection. A review. *Agron.*  
365 *Sustain. Dev.* 35, 1–25
- 366 14. Cellini, A. et al. (2017) Potential applications and limitations of electronic nose devices for plant  
367 disease diagnosis. *Sensors* 17, 2596
- 368 15. Cellini, A. et al. (2016) Early detection of bacterial diseases in apple plants by analysis of volatile  
369 organic compounds profiles and use of electronic nose. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 168, 409–20
- 370 16. Pothakos, V. et al. (2014) Spoilage potential of psychrotrophic lactic acid bacteria (LAB) species:  
371 *Leuconostoc gelidum* subsp. *gasicomitatum* and *Lactococcus piscium*, on sweet bell pepper  
372 (SBP) simulation medium under different gas compositions. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 178, 120–9
- 373 17. Vikram, A. et al. (2006) Metabolic fingerprinting to discriminate diseases of stored carrots. *Ann.*  
374 *Appl. Biol.* 148, 17–26
- 375 18. Aksenov, A.A. et al. (2014) Detection of huanglongbing disease using differential mobility  
376 spectrometry. *Anal Chem.* 86, 2481–8
- 377 19. Werbrouck, S., Verholle, P. and Van Langenhove, H. (2017) Volatile indicators of contamination  
378 in tissue cultures. *Acta Hortic.* 1155, 239–244

- 379 20. Zhang, A. and Hartung, J.S. (2005) Phenylacetaldehyde o-Methyloxime: A Volatile Compound  
380 Produced by Grapefruit Leaves Infected with the Citrus Canker Pathogen, *Xanthomonas*  
381 *axonopodis* pv. *citri*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 5134–5137
- 382 21. Blasioli, S. et al. (2010) Electronic nose as an innovative tool for the diagnosis of grapevine  
383 crown gall. Anal. Chim. Acta 672, 20–24
- 384 22. Cellini, A. et al. (2016) Characterization of volatile organic compounds emitted by kiwifruit  
385 plants infected with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* and their effects on host defences.  
386 Trees 30, 795-806
- 387 23. Ioannidis, A-G. et al. (2018) Characterization of spoilage markers in modified atmosphere  
388 packaged iceberg lettuce. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 279, 1–13
- 389 24. Li, C., Schmidt, N.E. and Gitaitis, R. (2011) Detection of onion postharvest diseases by analyses  
390 of headspace volatiles using a gas sensor array and GC-MS. LWT - Food Sci. Technol. 44, 1019–  
391 25
- 392 25. Prithiviraj, B. et al. (2004) Volatile metabolite profiling for the discrimination of onion bulbs  
393 infected by *Erwinia carotovora* ssp. *carotovora*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Botrytis allii*. Eur. J.  
394 Plant Pathol. 110, 371–7
- 395 26. Sinha, R. et al. (2018) FAIMS based volatile fingerprinting for real-time postharvest storage  
396 infections detection in stored potatoes and onions. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 135, 83–92
- 397 27. Sinha, R., Khot, L.R. and Schroeder, B.K. (2017) FAIMS based sensing of *Burkholderia cepacia*  
398 caused sour skin in onions under bulk storage condition. J. Food Meas. Charact. 11, 1578–85
- 399 28. Wilson, A.D., Lester, D.G. and Oberle, C.S. (2004) Development of conductive polymer analysis  
400 for the rapid detection and identification of phytopathogenic microbes. Phytopathology 94,  
401 419–431
- 402 29. Blasioli, S. et al. (2014) Identification of volatile markers in potato brown rot and ring rot by  
403 combined GC-MS and PTR-MS techniques: Study on in vitro and in vivo samples. J. Agric. Food  
404 Chem. 62, 337–47
- 405 30. de Lacy Costello, D.L. et al. (1999) Identification of volatiles generated by potato tubers  
406 (*Solanum tuberosum* CV: Maris Piper) infected by *Erwinia carotovora*, *Bacillus polymyxa* and  
407 *Arthrobacter* sp. Plant Pathol. 48, 345–51
- 408 31. Kushalappa, A.C. et al. (2002) Volatile fingerprinting (SPME-GC FID) to detect and discriminate  
409 diseases of potato tubers. Plant Dis. 86, 131–137

- 410 32. Biondi, E. et al. (2014) Detection of potato brown rot and ring rot by electronic nose: From  
411 laboratory to real scale. *Talanta* 129, 422-430
- 412 33. Rutolo, M.F. et al. (2016) Early identification of potato storage disease using an array of metal-  
413 oxide based gas sensors. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 116, 50–58
- 414 34. Rutolo, M. et al. (2014) Detection of potato storage disease via gas analysis: a pilot study using  
415 field asymmetric ion mobility spectrometry. *Sensors* 14, 15939–52
- 416 35. Sun, R., Du, H. and Zheng, Y. (2020) Discriminative power of independent component analysis  
417 applied to an electronic nose. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 31, 035108
- 418 36. Wilson, A.D. (2018) Applications of Electronic-Nose Technologies for Noninvasive Early  
419 Detection of Plant, Animal and Human Diseases. *Chemosensors* 6, 45
- 420 37. Li, Z. et al. (2019) Non-invasive plant disease diagnostics enabled by smartphone-based finger  
421 printing of leaf volatiles. *Nat. Plants* 5, 856–866
- 422 38. Palma, S.I.C.J. et al. (2018) Machine learning for the metaanalyses of microbial pathogens'  
423 volatile signatures. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 3360
- 424 39. Bailly, A. and Weissskopf, L. (2017) Mining the volatilomes of plant-associated microbiota for  
425 new biocontrol solutions. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1638
- 426 40. Heil, M. and Karban, R. (2010) Explaining evolution of plant communication by airborne signals.  
427 *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 25, 137–144
- 428 41. Mazzola, M. and Freilich, S. (2017) Prospects for biological soilborne disease control:  
429 application of indigenous versus synthetic microbiomes. *Phytopathology* 107, 256–63
- 430 42. Busby, P.E. et al. 2017. Research priorities for harnessing plant microbiomes in sustainable  
431 agriculture. *PLOS Biol.* 15, e2001793
- 432 43. Piechulla, B., Lemfack, M.C. and Kai, M. (2017) Effects of discrete bioactive microbial volatiles  
433 on plants and fungi. *Plant Cell Environ.* 40, 2042–67
- 434 44. Kilani-Feki, O. et al. (2012) Correlation between synthesis variation of 2-alkylquinolones and  
435 the antifungal activity of a *Burkholderia cepacia* strain collection. *World J. Microbiol.*  
436 *Biotechnol.* 28, 275–81
- 437 45. Yuan, J. et al. (2012) Antifungal activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* NJN-6 volatile compounds  
438 against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 5942–5944
- 439 46. Velázquez-Becerra, C. et al. (2013) The rhizobacterium *Arthrobacter agilis* produces  
440 dimethylhexadecylamine, a compound that inhibits growth of phytopathogenic fungi in vitro.  
441 *Protoplasma* 250, 1251-1262



- 442 47. Wang, Z. et al. (2013) Fumigant activity of volatiles from *Streptomyces alboflavus* TD-1 against  
443 *Fusarium moniliforme* Sheldon. J. Microbiol. 51, 477–83
- 444 48. Popova, A.A. et al. (2014) Inhibitory and toxic effects of volatiles emitted by strains of  
445 *Pseudomonas* and *Serratia* on growth and survival of selected microorganisms, *Caenorhabditis*  
446 *elegans*, and *Drosophila melanogaster*. Biomed. Res. Int.125704
- 447 49. De Vrieze, M. et al. (2015) Volatile organic compounds from native potato-associated  
448 *Pseudomonas* as potential anti-oomycete agents. Front. Microbiol. 6, 1–15
- 449 50. Hunziker, L. et al. (2015) *Pseudomonas* strains naturally associated with potato plants produce  
450 volatiles with high potential for inhibition of *Phytophthora infestans*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.  
451 81, 821–830
- 452 51. Rybakova, D. et al. (2017) Aerial warfare: a volatile dialogue between the plant pathogen  
453 *Verticillium longisporum* and its antagonist *Paenibacillus polymyxa*. Plant Sci. 8, 1294
- 454 52. Xing, M. et al. (2018) Antifungal activity of natural volatile organic compounds against litchi  
455 downy blight pathogen *Peronophythora litchii*. Molecules 23, 358
- 456 53. Guevara-Avendaño, E. et al. (2019) Avocado rhizobacteria emit volatile organic compounds  
457 with antifungal activity against *Fusarium solani*, *Fusarium* sp. associated with Kuroshio shot  
458 hole borer, and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Microbiol. Res. 219, 74-83
- 459 54. Rajaofera, M.J.N. et al. (2019) Volatile organic compounds of *Bacillus atrophaeus* HAB-5 inhibit  
460 the growth of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 156, 170–176
- 461 55. Zhang, Y. et al. (2019) Volatile organic compounds produced by *Pseudomonas chlororaphis*  
462 subsp. *aureofaciens* SPS-41 as biological fumigants to control *Ceratocystis fimbriata* in  
463 postharvest sweet potatoes. J. Agr. Food Chem. 67, 3702–3710
- 464 56. Lyu, A. et al. (2020) High efficacy of the volatile organic compounds of *Streptomyces*  
465 *yanglinensis* 3-10 in suppression of *Aspergillus* contamination on peanut kernels. Front.  
466 Microbiol. 11, 1–17
- 467 57. Ye, X. et al. (2020) Biocidal effects of volatile organic compounds produced by the  
468 myxobacterium *Corrallococcus* sp. EGB against fungal phytopathogens. Food Microbiol. 91,  
469 103502
- 470 58. Dandurishvili, N. et al. (2011) Broad-range antagonistic rhizobacteria *Pseudomonas fluorescens*  
471 and *Serratia plymuthica* suppress *Agrobacterium* crown gall tumours on tomato plants. J. Appl.  
472 Microbiol. 110, 341–52

- 473 59. Lanteigne, C. et al. (2012) Production of DAPG and HCN by *Pseudomonas* sp. LBUM300  
474 contributes to the biological control of bacterial canker of tomato. *Phytopathology* 102, 967–  
475 73
- 476 60. Rajer, F.U. et al (2017) Volatile organic compounds produced by a soil-isolate, *Bacillus subtilis*  
477 FA26 induce adverse ultra-structural changes to the cells of *Clavibacter michiganensis* ssp.  
478 *sepedonicus*, the causal agent of bacterial ring rot of potato. *Microbiology* 163, 523-530
- 479 61. Xie, S. et al. (2018) Antibacterial effects of volatiles produced by *Bacillus* strain D13 against  
480 *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 19, 49–58
- 481 62. Raza, W. et al. (2016) Volatile organic compounds produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WR-  
482 1 restrict the growth and virulence traits of *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Microbiol. Res.* 192, 103–  
483 13
- 484 63. Sharifi, R. and Ryu, C.M. (2016) Are bacterial volatile compounds poisonous odors to a fungal  
485 pathogen *Botrytis cinerea*, alarm signals to *Arabidopsis* seedlings for eliciting induced  
486 resistance, or both? *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1–10
- 487 64. Fernando, W.G.D. et al. (2005) Identification and use of potential bacterial organic antifungal  
488 volatiles in biocontrol. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 37, 955–64
- 489 65. Gabriel K.T., Joseph Sexton, D. and Cornelison, C.T. (2018) Biomimicry of volatile-based  
490 microbial control for managing emerging fungal pathogens. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 124, 1024–31
- 491 66. Hassani, M.A, Durán, P. and Hacquard, S. (2018) Microbial interactions within the plant  
492 holobiont. *Microbiome* 6, 58
- 493 67. Morris, B.E.L. et al. (2013). Microbial syntrophy: interaction for the common good. *FEMS*  
494 *Microbiol. Rev.* 37, :384–406
- 495 68. Mee, M.T. et al. (2014) Syntrophic exchange in synthetic microbial communities. *PNAS* 111,  
496 E2149-56
- 497 69. Venturi, V. et al. (2010) Locality versus globality in bacterial signalling: can local communication  
498 stabilize bacterial communities? *Biol. Direct.* 5, 30
- 499 70. Helman, Y. and Chernin, L. (2015) Silencing the mob: disrupting quorum sensing as a means to  
500 fight plant disease. *Mol. Plant. Pathol.* 16, 316–29
- 501 71. Chernin, L. et al. (2011) Quorum-sensing quenching by rhizobacterial volatiles. *Environ.*  
502 *Microbiol. Rep.* 3, 698–704
- 503 72. Plyuta, V.A. et al. (2014) The ability of natural ketones to interact with bacterial quorum sensing  
504 systems. *Mol. Genet. Microbiol. Virol.* 29, 167–71

- 505 73. Kviatkovski, I. et al. (2015) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* activates the quorum sensing LuxR  
506 response regulator through secretion of 2-aminoacetophenone. Chem. Commun. 51, 3258–61
- 507 74. Lee, J.-H. and Lee, J. (2010) Indole as an intercellular signal in microbial communities. FEMS  
508 Microbiol. Rev. 34, 426–44
- 509 75. Lee, J.-H. et al. (2015) The multifaceted roles of the interspecies signalling molecule indole in  
510 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Environ. Microbiol. 17, 1234–44
- 511 76. Ryu, C.M. et al. (2003) Bacterial volatiles promote growth in Arabidopsis. PNAS 100:4927–32
- 512 77. Fincheira, P. and Quiroz, A. (2018) Microbial volatiles as plant growth inducers. Microbiol. Res.  
513 208, 63–75
- 514 78. Weingart, H. et al. (2001) The role of ethylene production in virulence of *Pseudomonas syringae*  
515 pvs. *glycinea* and *phaseolicola*. Phytopathology 91, 511–8
- 516 79. Sánchez-López, Á.M. et al. (2016) Volatile compounds emitted by diverse phytopathogenic  
517 microorganisms promote plant growth and flowering through cytokinin action. Plant Cell  
518 Environ. 39, 2592–2608
- 519 80. Bhattacharyya, D., Garladinne, M. and Lee, Y.H. (2015) Volatile indole produced by  
520 rhizobacterium *Proteus vulgaris* jbls202 stimulates growth of *Arabidopsis thaliana* through  
521 auxin, cytokinin, and brassinosteroid pathways. J. Plant Growth Regul. 34, 158–68
- 522 81. Tahir, H.A.S. et al. (2017) Plant growth promotion by volatile organic compounds produced by  
523 *Bacillus subtilis* SYST2. Front. Microbiol. 8, 171
- 524 82. Jiang, C.H. et al. (2019) Volatile organic compounds emitted by *Bacillus* sp. JC03 promote plant  
525 growth through the action of auxin and strigolactone. J Plant Growth Regul 87, 317–328
- 526 83. Bailly, A. et al. (2014) The inter-kingdom volatile signal indole promotes root development by  
527 interfering with auxin signalling. Plant J. 80, 758–71
- 528 84. Iqbal, N. et al. (2013) Current understanding on ethylene signaling in plants: The influence of  
529 nutrient availability. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 73, 128–38
- 530 85. Zhang, H. et al. (2008) Soil bacteria augment Arabidopsis photosynthesis by decreasing glucose  
531 sensing and abscisic acid levels in planta. Plant J. 56, 264–73
- 532 86. Wang, J.F. et al. (2017) Enhanced Iron and Selenium Uptake in Plants by Volatile Emissions of  
533 *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (BF06). Appl. Sci. 7, 85
- 534 87. Hernández-Calderón, E. et al. (2018) Volatile compounds from beneficial or pathogenic bacteria  
535 differentially regulate root exudation, transcription of iron transporters, and defense signaling  
536 pathways in *Sorghum bicolor*. Plant Mol. Biol. 96, 291–304

- 537 88. Garbeva, P. and Weiskopf, L. (2020) Airborne medicine: bacterial volatiles and their influence  
538 on plant health. *New Phytol.* 226, 32-43
- 539 89. Kanchiswamy, C.N., Malnoy, M. and Maffei, M.E. (2015) Bioprospecting bacterial and fungal  
540 volatiles for sustainable agriculture. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 206–11
- 541 90. Sangiorgio, D. et al. (2020) Facing Climate Change: Application of Microbial Biostimulants to  
542 Mitigate Stress in Horticultural Crops. *Agronomy* 10,794
- 543 91. Gutiérrez-Luna, F.M. et al. (2010) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria modulate root-system  
544 architecture in *Arabidopsis thaliana* through volatile organic compound emission. *Symbiosis*  
545 51, 75–83
- 546 92. Banchio, E. et al. (2009) Soil bacteria elevate essential oil accumulation and emissions in sweet  
547 basil. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 57, 653–7
- 548 93. Santoro, M.V. et al. (2011) Volatile organic compounds from rhizobacteria increase  
549 biosynthesis of essential oils and growth parameters in peppermint (*Mentha piperita*). *Plant*  
550 *Physiol. Biochem.* 49, 1177–82
- 551 94. Zhou, J.Y. et al. (2016) Volatiles released by endophytic *Pseudomonas fluorescens* promoting  
552 the growth and volatile oil accumulation in *Atractylodes lancea*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 101,  
553 132–40
- 554 95. Verginer, M. et al. (2010) Monitoring the plant epiphyte *Methylobacterium extorquens* DSM  
555 21961 by real-time PCR and its influence on the strawberry flavor. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 74,  
556 136–45
- 557 96. Nasopoulou, C. et al. (2014) Localization of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) and  
558 *Methylobacterium extorquens* genes of strawberry flavor biosynthesis in strawberry tissue by  
559 in situ hybridization. *J. Plant Physiol.* 171, 1099–105
- 560 97. Arrebola, E., Sivakumar, D. and Korsten, L. (2010) Effect of volatile compounds produced by  
561 *Bacillus* strains on postharvest decay in citrus. *Biol. Control* 53, 122–8
- 562 98. Chen, K. et al. (2018) Antagonistic activity and the mechanism of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* DH-  
563 4 against citrus green mold. *Phytopathology* 108, 1253–62
- 564 99. Zheng, M. et al. (2013) Antimicrobial effects of volatiles produced by two antagonistic *Bacillus*  
565 strains on the anthracnose pathogen in postharvest mangos. *Biol. Control* 65, 200–6
- 566 100. Gotor-Vila, A. et al. (2017) Antifungal effect of volatile organic compounds produced by *Bacillus*  
567 *amyloliquefaciens* CPA-8 against fruit pathogen decays of cherry. *Food Microbiol.* 64, 219–25

- 568 101. Gao, H. et al. (2018) Research on volatile organic compounds from *Bacillus subtilis* CF-3:  
569 biocontrol effects on fruit fungal pathogens and dynamic changes during fermentation. *Front.*  
570 *Microbiol.* 9, 456
- 571 102. Liu, C. et al. (2018) Antagonistic activities of volatiles produced by two *Bacillus* strains against  
572 *Monilinia fructicola* in peach fruit. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 98, 5756–63
- 573 103. Wan, M. et al. (2008) Effect of volatile substances of *Streptomyces platensis* F-1 on control of  
574 plant fungal diseases. *Biol. Control* 46, 552–9
- 575 104. Li, Q. et al. (2010) Fumigant activity of volatiles of *Streptomyces globisporus* JK-1 against  
576 *Penicillium italicum* on *Citrus microcarpa*. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 58, 157–65
- 577 105. Wang, C. et al. (2013) Antifungal activity of volatile organic compounds from *Streptomyces*  
578 *alboflavus* TD-1. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 341, 45–51
- 579 106. Li, Q. et al. (2012) Effects of volatile substances of *Streptomyces globisporus* JK-1 on control of  
580 *Botrytis cinerea* on tomato fruit. *Biol. Control* 61, 113–20
- 581 107. Boukaew, S. et al. (2018) Fumigant activity of volatile compounds of *Streptomyces philanthi*  
582 RM-1-138 and pure chemicals (acetophenone and phenylethyl alcohol) against anthracnose  
583 pathogen in postharvest chili fruit. *Crop Prot.* 103, 1–8
- 584 108. Verginer, M., Leitner, E. and Berg, G. (2010) Production of volatile metabolites by grape-  
585 associated microorganisms. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 58, 8344–50
- 586 109. Perpetuini, G. et al. (2019) Genetic and functional characterization of the bacterial community  
587 on fruit of three raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*) cultivars. *J. Berry Res.* 9, 227–247
- 588 110. Feron, G. et al. (2007) Microbial production of 4-hydroxybenzylidene acetone, the direct  
589 precursor of raspberry ketone. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 45, 29–35
- 590 111. Abanda-Nkpwatt, D. and Schwab, W. (2004) Microbial transformation of aliphatic aldehydes by  
591 *Bacillus megaterium* to 2,3-dialkylacroleins. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 52, 5939–5942
- 592 112. Deshmukh, Y., Khare, P. and Patra, D. (2016) Rhizobacteria elevate principal basmati aroma  
593 compound accumulation in rice variety. *Rhizosphere* 1, 53–7
- 594 113. Calvo, P., Nelson, L. and Kloepper, J.W. (2014) Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. *Plant*  
595 *Soil* 383, 3–41
- 596 114. Backer, R. et al. (2018) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: context, mechanisms of action,  
597 and roadmap to commercialization of biostimulants for sustainable agriculture. *Front. Plant Sci.*  
598 9, 1473

- 599 115. Jakobson, L. et al. (2016) Natural Variation in Arabidopsis Cvi-0 Accession Reveals an Important  
600 Role of MPK12 in Guard Cell CO<sub>2</sub> Signaling. PLoS Biol. 14, 1–25
- 601 116. Piechulla, B. (2017) Considering Microbial CO<sub>2</sub> during Microbe-Plant Cocultivation. Plant  
602 Physiol. 173, 1529
- 603 117. Rho, H., Doty, S.L. and Kim, S.H. (2018) Estimating microbial respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> from endophytic  
604 bacteria in rice. Plant Signal Behav. 13, e1500067
- 605 118. Nascimento, F.X., Rossi Márcio, J. and Glick, B.R. (2018) Ethylene and 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-  
606 carboxylate (ACC) in Plant–Bacterial Interactions. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 114
- 607 119. Chen, Y. et al. (2020) Root ethylene mediates rhizosphere microbial community reconstruction  
608 when chemically detecting cyanide produced by neighbouring plants. Microbiome 8, 4
- 609 120. Ravanbakhsh, M. et al. (2018) Microbial modulation of plant ethylene signaling: ecological and  
610 evolutionary consequences. Microbiome 6, 52
- 611 121. Arasimowicz-Jelonek, M. and Floryszak-Wieczorek, J. (2014) Nitric oxide: An effective weapon  
612 of the plant or the pathogen? Mol. Plant Pathol. 15, 406-416
- 613 122. Hermenau, R. et al. (2019) Genomics-Driven Discovery of NO-Donating Diazeniumdiolate  
614 Siderophores in Diverse Plant-Associated Bacteria. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58, 13024-13029
- 615 123. Creus, C.M. et al. (2005) Nitric oxide is involved in the *Azospirillum brasilense*-induced lateral  
616 root formation in tomato. Planta 221, 297-303
- 617 124. Mukherjee, S. and Corpas, F.J. (2020) Crosstalk among hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), nitric oxide (NO)  
618 and carbon monoxide (CO) in root-system development and its rhizosphere interactions: A  
619 gaseous interactome. Plant Physiol. and Biochem. 155, 800-814
- 620 125. Yamasaki, H. and Cohen, M.F. (2016) Biological consilience of hydrogen sulfide and nitric oxide  
621 in plants: Gases of primordial earth linking plant, microbial and animal physiologies. Nitric Oxide  
622 55–56, 91-100
- 623 126. Hann, C.T. et al. (2014) Methanol and ethanol modulate responses to danger-and microbe-  
624 associated molecular patterns. Front. Plant Sci. 5, 550
- 625 127. Ryu, C.M. et al. (2004) Bacterial volatiles induce systemic resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant  
626 Physiol. 134, 1017–26
- 627 128. Kong, H.G. et al. (2018) Stereoisomers of the bacterial volatile compound 2,3-butanediol  
628 differently elicit systemic defense responses of pepper against multiple viruses in the field.  
629 Front. Plant Sci. 9, 90

- 630 129. Han, S.H. et al. (2006) GacS-dependent production of 2R,3R-butanediol by *Pseudomonas*  
631 *chlororaphis* o6 is a major determinant for eliciting systemic resistance against *Erwinia*  
632 *carotovora* but not against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tabaci* in tobacco. Mol. Plant-Microbe  
633 Interact. 19, 924–30
- 634 130. Marquez-Villavicencio, M.P. et al. (2011) The 3-hydroxy-2-butanone pathway is required for  
635 *Pectobacterium carotovorum* pathogenesis. PLoS One 6, e22974
- 636 131. Spinelli, F. et al. (2012) Emission of volatile compounds by *Erwinia amylovora*: biological activity  
637 in vitro and possible exploitation for bacterial identification. Trees 26, 141–52
- 638 132. Rudrappa, T. et al. (2010) The rhizobacterial elicitor acetoin induces systemic resistance in  
639 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Commun. Integr. Biol. 3, 130–8
- 640 133. Cofer, T.M., Seidl-Adams, I. and Tumlinson, J.H. (2018) From acetoin to (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol: the  
641 diversity of volatile organic compounds that induce plant responses. J. Agric. Food Chem. 66,  
642 11197–208
- 643 134. Huang, C.J. et al. (2012) Dimethyl disulfide is an induced systemic resistance elicitor produced  
644 by *Bacillus cereus* C1L. Pest Manag. Sci. 68, 1306–1310
- 645 135. Farag, M., Zhang, H. and Ryu, C. (2013) Dynamic Chemical Communication between Plants and  
646 Bacteria through Airborne Signals: Induced Resistance by Bacterial Volatiles. J. Chem. Ecol. 38,  
647 1007-1018
- 648 136. Xu, Y.Y. et al. (2015) Effect of volatile organic compounds from bacteria on nematodes. Chem.  
649 Biodivers. 12, 1415–21
- 650 137. Davis, T.S. et al. (2013) Microbial volatile emissions as insect semiochemicals. J. Chem. Ecol. 39,  
651 840–59
- 652 138. Venu, I. et al. (2014) Social attraction mediated by fruit flies' microbiome. J. Exp. Biol. 217,  
653 1346–52
- 654 139. Wallingford, A.K. et al. (2016) Behavioral response of spotted-wing drosophila, *Drosophila*  
655 *suzukii* Matsumura, to aversive odors and a potential oviposition deterrent in the field. Pest.  
656 Manag. Sci. 72, 701–6
- 657 140. Dillon, R.J., Vennard, C.T. and Charnley, A.K. (2000) Exploitation of gut bacteria in the locust.  
658 Nature 403, 851–851
- 659 141. Farine, J.-P. et al. (2017) Maternally-transmitted microbiota affects odor emission and  
660 preference in *Drosophila* larva. Sci. Rep. 7, 6062

- 661 142. Leroy, P.D. et al. (2011) Microorganisms from aphid honeydew attract and enhance the efficacy  
662 of natural enemies. *Nat. Commun.* 2, 348
- 663 143. D'Alessandro, M. et al. (2014) Volatiles produced by soil-borne endophytic bacteria increase  
664 plant pathogen resistance and affect tritrophic interactions. *Plant Cell Environ.* 37, 813–26
- 665 144. Niu, Q. et al. (2010) A Trojan horse mechanism of bacterial pathogenesis against nematodes.  
666 *PNAS* 107, 16631–6
- 667 145. Hamby, K.A. and Becher, P.G. (2016) Current knowledge of interactions between *Drosophila*  
668 *suzukii* and microbes, and their potential utility for pest management. *J. Pest. Sci.* 89, 621–30
- 669 146. Colman, D.R., Toolson, E.C. and Takacs-Vesbach, C.D. (2012) Do diet and taxonomy influence  
670 insect gut bacterial communities? *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 5124–37
- 671 147. Hadapad, A.B. et al. (2016) Diversity of bacterial communities in the midgut of *Bactrocera*  
672 *cucurbitae* (Diptera: Tephritidae) populations and their potential use as attractants. *Pest.*  
673 *Manag. Sci.* 72, 1222–30
- 674 148. Cho, S.M. et al. (2008) 2R,3R-butenediol, a bacterial volatile produced by *Pseudomonas*  
675 *chlororaphis* O6, is involved in induction of systemic tolerance to drought in *Arabidopsis*  
676 *thaliana*. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 21, 1067–75
- 677 149. Zhang, H. et al. (2010) Choline and osmotic-stress tolerance induced in *Arabidopsis* by the soil  
678 microbe *Bacillus subtilis* (GB03). *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 23, 1097–104
- 679 150. Cappellari, L.d.R. and Banchio, E. (2020) Microbial volatile organic compounds produced by  
680 *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* GB03 ameliorate the effects of salt stress in *Mentha piperita*  
681 principally through acetoin emission. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 39, 764–775
- 682 151. Zhang, H. et al. (2008) Soil bacteria confer plant salt tolerance by tissue-specific regulation of  
683 the sodium transporter HKT1. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 21, 737–44
- 684 152. Vaishnav, A. et al. (2016) PGPR-mediated expression of salt tolerance gene in soybean through  
685 volatiles under sodium nitroprusside. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 56, 1274–88
- 686 153. Ledger, T. et al. (2016) Volatile-mediated effects predominate in *Paraburkholderia*  
687 *phytofirmans* growth promotion and salt stress tolerance of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Front.*  
688 *Microbiol.* 7, 1838
- 689 154. Lantz, A.T. et al. (2019) Isoprene: New insights into the control of emission and mediation of  
690 stress tolerance by gene expression. *Plant Cell Environ.* 42, 2808–2826
- 691 155. McGenity, T.J., Crombie, A.T. and Murrell, J.C. (2018) Microbial cycling of isoprene, the most  
692 abundantly produced biological volatile organic compound on Earth. *ISME J* 12, 931–941



693 156. Xue, J. and Ahring, B.K. (2011) Enhancing isoprene production by genetic modification of the 1-  
694 deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate pathway in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 77, 2399–  
695 405

696

697 **Box 1. Low molecular weight volatile compounds**

698 Some volatile compounds, characterised by a small and simple chemical structure, interact directly  
699 with plant metabolism or signalling cascades. However, these molecules have received, in  
700 explorative studies, only marginal attention, because of technical limits, including the impossibility  
701 of a correct identification by GC-MS (due to short retention times and/or scarcely indicative spectral  
702 profiles), or the occurrence of artifacts (such as accumulation effects in sealed cuvetts, or  
703 interference with growing media) linked with the experimental setting.

704 Carbon dioxide fuels photosynthesis and it is often the most important limiting factor of this process.  
705 CO<sub>2</sub> regulates stomata opening and, consequently, photosynthesis and transpiration [115]. CO<sub>2</sub> may  
706 contribute to the growth and resistance promoting effects observed in plants treated with bacterial  
707 VOCs [116]. CO<sub>2</sub> produced by respiration of bacterial endophytic symbionts can reenter the  
708 photosynthetic pathway, not being limited by stomata opening, and has been estimated to be able  
709 to provide up to 57% of total CO<sub>2</sub> photo-assimilated by the plant [117]. In this view, plants colonised  
710 by endosymbionts may have a better water use efficiency and a higher availability of  
711 photoassimilates for growth and defences.

712 Ethylene is a gaseous plant hormone playing a central role in plant development and resistance  
713 response to abiotic and biotic stresses [118], also interacting with salicylic acid- and jasmonic acid-  
714 dependent signalling pathways. Ethylene and its precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate  
715 (ACC) are subjected to sophisticated co-regulation by plants and associated microbes, thus shaping  
716 the plant microbiome [119,120]. In fact, bacteria can actively produce ethylene, or reduce its  
717 biosynthesis in plants subtracting ACC by ACC-deaminase activity, thus lowering plant ethylene  
718 levels and promoting plant growth.

719 Nitric oxide (NO) is a radical gas mediating a large variety of physiological responses in plants. Plant-  
720 associated bacteria can produce NO, as a result of denitrification, by enzymatic conversion of L-  
721 arginine or by release from siderophores [121,122]. One important effect of bacterial NO, observed  
722 e.g. in *Azospirillum* spp. [123], is the enhancement of root branching, promoting both plant  
723 nutritional status and bacterial colonisation.

724 While commonly regarded as a toxic and/or defence compound, hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) also has a  
725 regulative role in plants by interacting with NO and thiols [124,125]. Plant-associated bacteria  
726 synthesise H<sub>2</sub>S through cysteine desulfhydrylation or sulfite reduction.

727 Ethanol and methanol are common products of fermentation, originated by both plants and  
728 bacteria under anoxic conditions, and have been implied in the activation of plant stress responses  
729 [126].

730

### 731 **Box 2. BVC-elicited induction of plant defences**

732 Since the discovery of induced systemic resistance (**ISR**, see glossary) by 2,3-butanediol [127], the  
733 potential application of BVCs for the elicitation of plant defences has drawn attention. Notably,  
734 induction of plant defences is one of the very few measures that can be adopted against viral  
735 diseases [128]. ISR is often stimulated as the result of a specific symbiotic interaction between the  
736 host plant and bacteria, which also promote plant nutrition and growth. Thus, the two aspects of  
737 defence and growth promotion coexist in the same symbiotic relation and are somewhat difficult to  
738 tell apart (e.g. activation of stress responses in Figure 1). However, relevant details for plant defence  
739 engineering, including mechanisms of signal perception and decoding (i.e., how relatively simple  
740 molecules drive specific responses), remain obscure [88].

741 2,3-butanediol biosynthesis, for instance, was observed both in defence-eliciting (*Bacillus* and  
742 *Serratia* spp., *Pseudomonas chlororaphis*) and pathogenic (*Erwinia/Pectobacterium*, *Dickeya* spp.)  
743 bacteria [127,129-131]. In different pathosystems, the action of 2,3-butanediol and related  
744 compounds (acetoin, 2,3-butanedione) has been connected to different combinations of salicylic  
745 acid, jasmonate and/or ethylene signal cascades [127,128,132]. According to the relative  
746 stimulation of these pathways, specific subsets of plant defensive responses may be activated.

747 While 2,3-butanediol and related compounds are the best-studied example of defence-inducing  
748 BVCs, other molecules [43,133] were identified which could stimulate plant defences. In some cases,  
749 such as for DMDS and benzothiazole, direct antimicrobial and plant defence induction effects may  
750 coexist [134]. One advantage of these compounds is that, although acting through plant hormone  
751 signal cascades, they are less prone than hormones to cause drastic physiological reprogramming.  
752 For instance, ISR is expressed only after pathogen challenge, and is not generally associated to  
753 changes in plant phenotype or crop yield [40,135].

754 Synergism of BVCs in complex mixtures may also occur in natural conditions [88]. By modulating the  
755 simultaneous activation of several signal cascades (ethylene, jasmonate, salicylate, and other  
756 hormones), BVC mixtures could attain protection against a broader range of pathogens [135].

757

758

### 759 **Box 3. Pest management by BVCs**

760 Survival and replication rate of pests (including *Drosophila suzukii* and several nematodes) were  
761 reduced by means of bacterial volatile emissions [48,136]. However, the use of toxic BVCs seems  
762 impractical against most motile animal species, due to limitations in exposure time and  
763 concentration. Instead, microbial biocontrol agents releasing toxic compounds may act as effective  
764 biopesticides for soil-borne or sessile pests, with a significantly reduced environmental impact.

765 Insects and nematodes use a variety of semiochemicals to coordinate their life functions, including  
766 feeding, mating, ovipositing and alarm behaviour. Pest- or plant-associated bacteria contribute to the  
767 production of biologically active BVCs (Figure 1), and many cases of attraction to microbes  
768 associated to the host have been observed [137]. Fruit flies (*Drosophila* spp.), for instance, are  
769 attracted by BVCs from symbiotic *Lactobacillus* spp. acting as aggregation pheromones [138], and  
770 are repelled by the common BVCs, 1-octen-3-ol and geosmin [139]. Locusts use guaiacol derivatives,  
771 produced by *Pantoea agglomerans* residing in their intestines, as an aggregation pheromone [140].  
772 Finally, the association with certain bacteria may determine the insect's preference for BVCs  
773 emitted by those microbes, by effect of conditioning or learning [141]. Parasitoid recruitment can  
774 be mediated by BVCs, either by direct attraction to microbes indicating a food source [142], or  
775 indirectly by eliciting a more intense release of plant VOCs [143]. Concerning nematodes,  
776 experiments on the model organism *Caenorhabditis elegans* showed that attractive BVCs produced  
777 by *Bacillus nematocida*, such as benzyl benzoate, benzaldehyde, 2-heptanone, and acetophenone,  
778 stimulate bacterial swallowing by the host. Thus, the bacterium colonises the worm's intestines,  
779 leading to its death [144].

780 BVCs may, therefore, find an application in pest management. VOC-based technologies, employing  
781 attractants, deterrents and pheromone-like compounds have been applied to lure-and-kill, push-  
782 pull and sexual confusion control strategies. However, information concerning semiochemicals for  
783 such technologies is currently restricted to a relatively small number of species (mostly Lepidoptera,  
784 Diptera and Coleoptera). New impulse, in this sense, may derive from metagenomic analyses  
785 performed either on the insect or on its hosts [145]. With the exception of specialised symbiotic  
786 relations, insect guts have often been demonstrated to host relatively simple microbiota, dominated  
787 by Enterobacteriaceae (notably *Enterobacter/Pantoea* and *Klebsiella* spp.), to be highly influenced  
788 by the diet, and possibly transmitted by parents [141,146,147]. This body of knowledge may provide  
789 useful information for the selection of effective and persistent biocontrol agents interacting with  
790 insects.

791 **Box 4. Examples of abiotic stress tolerance induced by BVCs**

792 Abiotic stresses elicit NO and ethylene production in plants, which exert several and multifaceted  
793 physiological effects. Plant-associated bacteria may indirectly influence plant NO and ethylene  
794 emission or produce these bioactive compounds (Box 1).

795 Water deficiency, osmotic stress and salt toxicity are partially interconnected and overlapping both  
796 in causes and in the induction of plant responses. 2,3-butanediol, or total BVCs from 2,3-butanediol-  
797 emitting bacteria *Bacillus subtilis* GB03 and *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* O6, increased Arabidopsis  
798 tolerance to water deficiency and osmotic stress. Abscisic acid, salicylic acid, ethylene, and jasmonic  
799 acid signaling pathways were implicated in *P. chlororaphis* O6- and 2,3-butanediol-induced stomata  
800 closure, increasing tolerance to drought [148]. *B. subtilis* GB03 stimulates the biosynthesis of  
801 osmoprotectants (choline, glycine-betaine) in the plant, enhancing its growth under water  
802 withholding and osmotic treatment [149].

803 Several cases of improved plant tolerance to salt stress have been observed after interaction with  
804 BVC-releasing bacteria. *B. subtilis* GB03 and its main volatile, acetoin, enhance peppermint  
805 tolerance to salt stress by stimulating SA biosynthesis and reducing ABA [150]. Another mechanism  
806 of induction of Na<sup>+</sup> stress tolerance in Arabidopsis consists of the tissue-specific modulation of HKT  
807 ion transporters [151]. Such transporters are downregulated in roots to reduce Na<sup>+</sup> uptake and  
808 upregulated in shoots to promote internal recirculation. NO, produced by salt-stressed plants, was  
809 also implicated in the enhanced colonisation of the rhizosphere by *Pseudomonas simiae* strain AU,  
810 which in turn elicits antioxidant defences, osmoprotection and expression of ion transporters in  
811 soybean [152]. Other salt tolerance-inducing BVCs (namely, 2-undecanone, 1-heptanol and 3-  
812 methyl-butanol) were identified from *Parabulkoheria phytofirmans* [153].

813 Drought stress and high temperature promote isoprene emission by plants [154]. Isoprene is the  
814 most abundantly produced biogenic VOC on Earth, with an estimated emission of more than 2% of  
815 all photoassimilates. Isoprene has a likely a role in protection from reactive oxygen and nitrogen  
816 species formed under diverse stress conditions [155]. Many Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and  
817 Firmicutes produce isoprene. *Bacillus* and related genera are among the terrestrial bacteria  
818 accounting for the highest production of isoprene. Interestingly, isoprene emission by *Bacillus*  
819 *subtilis* is enhanced by supra-optimal temperature and salinity [156], suggesting that also plant-  
820 associated *B. subtilis* may mediate the plant reactions to these stresses. Soil and phyllosphere  
821 bacteria may also directly influence the host isoprene metabolism by using plant-derived isoprene  
822 as carbon source [155].

823

824

**Table 1. Applications of VOC-based recognition of bacterial pathogens in different plant species and organs, indicating the diagnostic techniques and the VOCs marker/s**

Crop species and conditions	Bacterial pathogen/contaminant	Methods	Distinctive features and remarks	Reference
Apple, dormant plants	<i>Erwinia amylovora</i> <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>syringae</i>	GC-MS E-NOSE PTR-MS	Multiple pathogen discrimination Dilution effects Markers: acetoin, 2,3-butanediol, 2-hexenal, phenylethanol ( <i>E. amylovora</i> )	[15]
Bell pepper-derived medium	<i>Leuconostoc gelidum</i> ssp. <i>gasicomitatum</i> and <i>Lactococcus piscium</i>	GC-MS SIFT-MS	Control of spoilage off-odours by controlled atmosphere	[16]
Carrot, roots	<i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i>	GC-MS	Multiple pathogen discrimination Markers: 3-methyl-butan-1-ol, 1-propanol, 2,3-butanedione	[17]
<i>Citrus sinensis</i> , asymptomatic plant	<i>C. liberibacter</i>	GS-MS FAIMS	Correct identification of PCR-false negatives Severity-dependent markers: methyl-salicylate (severe), geranyl acetone, linalool (mild)	[18]
<i>Ficus benjamina</i> and <i>Spathiphyllum wallisii</i> , <i>in vitro</i> cultures	<i>Escherichia coli</i> contamination	SIFT-MS		[19]
Grapefruit, leaves	<i>Xanthomonas axonopodis</i> pv. <i>citri</i>	GC-MS	Marker: phenylacetaldehyde O-methylxime	[20]
Grapevine, rootstock cuts	<i>Agrobacterium vitis</i>	GC-MS E-NOSE	Marker: styrene	[21]
Kiwifruit, <i>in vitro</i> explants	<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>actinidiae</i>	GC-MS E-NOSE PTR-MS	Marker: 1-undecene	[22]
Lettuce	Resident microflora (mainly <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.)	GC-MS SIFT-MS	Control of spoilage off-odours by packaging	[23]
Onion, bulbs	<i>Burkholderia cepacia</i>	GC-MS E-NOSE	Markers: 2-nonanone, 2-octyl-5-methyl-3(2H)-furanone	[24]
Onion, bulbs	<i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i>	GC-MS	Multiple pathogen interaction Marker: 3-bromo-furan	[25]
Onion, bulbs	<i>Burkholderia cepacia</i>	FAIMS		[26,27]
Poplar, wood	Bacterial wetwood (non-determined species)	E-NOSE		[28]
Potato, tubers	<i>Clavibacter michiganensis</i> ssp. <i>sepedonicus</i>	GC-MS PTR-MS	Marker: 2-propanol	[29]
Potato, tubers	<i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i> <i>Bacillus polymyxa</i> <i>Arthrobacter</i> sp.	GC-MS	Markers: 2-propenal, DMDS, 1-alkenes, branched alkanes, octanal, naphtalene, butanoic acid ( <i>P. carotovorum</i> ); N,N-dimethylformamide, 1-pentadecene ( <i>B. polymyxa</i> ); 2,3-dihydrofuran ( <i>Arthrobacter</i> sp.)	[30]
Potato, tubers	<i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i>	GC	Multiple pathogen discrimination	[31]
Potato, tubers	<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> , <i>Clavibacter michiganensis</i> ssp. <i>sepedonicus</i>	E-NOSE	Lab- to real scale Threshold of disease severity for recognition	[32]
Potato, tubers	<i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i>	E-NOSE	Pre-symptomatic recognition	[33]
Potato, tubers	<i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i>	FAIMS	Early detection (1 d post inoculation)	[34]
Potato, tubers	<i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i>	FAIMS		[26]

825

826

827 **Table 2. Overview of analytical techniques employed for VOC-based plant diagnosis, with working**  
 828 **principles and potential advantages and drawbacks**

Analytical technique	Working principle	Operative features	Diagnostic principle
GC-MS	Differential retention time and fragmentation profile of VOCs	+ High analytical power (identification of single compounds) + May use sample concentration on sorbents (e.g. SPME)	Recognition of markers Multivariate statistical analysis
PTR-MS SIFT-MS	Fragmentation profile of VOCs	+ Partial analytical power + Quick response	Partial recognition of markers Multivariate statistical analysis
Electronic nose	Electric properties of the overall VOC mixture	+ Simple operation, portability + Quick response + May adjust sensitivity by regulating flow - Interference by water - No analytical power - Instrumental drift	Multivariate statistical analysis Neural network machine learning
FAIMS	Differential mobility of ion fragments in electric field	+ Partial analytical power + Portability	Partial recognition of markers Multivariate statistical analysis

829

830 **Table 3. Volatile organic compounds exerting direct toxicity against plant pathogens**

Compound(s)	Emitting species	Target organism(s)	Reference
Hydrogen cyanide Ammonia 1-Undecene	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. <i>Bacillus</i> spp. others	<i>Phytophthora infestans</i> <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> <i>Helminthosporium solani</i> <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> <i>Dickeya dianthicola</i>	[49]
2-(2'-heptyl)-3-methyl-4-quinolone	<i>Burkholderia cepacia</i>	<i>Aspergillus niger</i> and other fungi	[44]
Alkylated benzene derivatives Phenol derivatives Naphthalene derivatives Benzothiazole 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol 2-Undecanol 2-Nonanone 2-Decanone 2-Undecanone Nonanal Decanal	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> NJN-6	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>cubense</i>	[45]
N,N-dimethyl- hexadecanamine	<i>Arthrobacter agilis</i> UMCV2	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i>	[46]
2-methyl-isoborneol	<i>Streptomyces alboflavus</i> TD-1	<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	[47]
1-Undecene 2-Nonanone 2-Undecanone	<i>Pseudomonas chlororaphis</i>	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> <i>Synechococcus</i> spp. <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	[48]
Dimethyl disulfide 2-Heptanone	<i>Serratia proteamaculans</i>	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> <i>Synechococcus</i> spp. <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	[48]
3-hexanone 1-dodecene isovaleric acid S-methyl-butanethioate S-methyl-methanethiosulfonate furfuryl alcohol acetophenone phenylpropanedione 2- acetylthiazole nitropentane	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>	[49]

2-(2-Methylpropyl)-3-(1-methylethyl) pyrazine 2- Isopropylpyrazine 2- methyl-1-butanol Hexadecanal Isoamyl acetate	<i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i> Sb3-1	<i>Verticillium longisporum</i>	[51]
Phenylethyl alcohol Methyl salicylate Ethyl phenylacetate Methyl anthranilate $\alpha$ -Copaene Caryophyllene 4-Ethylphenol Humulene	<i>Streptomyces fomicarius</i> BWL-H1	<i>Peronophythora litchii</i>	[52]
2,3,5-Trimethylpyrazine 2-Nonanone 2-Decanone 2-Dodecanone Dimethyl disulfide Dimethyl trisulfide	<i>Bacillus</i> spp. <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	<i>Fusarium</i> spp. <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i>	[53]
Hexanedioic acid, bis(2-ethylhexyl) ester Octadecane 1-Hexadecanol Docosane Chloroacetic acid, tetradecyl ester	<i>Bacillus atrophaeus</i> HAB-5	<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i>	[54]
2-methyl-1-butanol ethyl hexanoate 3-methyl-1-butanol ethyl octanoate phenylethyl acetate phenylethyl alcohol	<i>Pseudomonas chlororaphis</i> subsp. <i>aureofaciens</i> SPS-41	<i>Ceratocystis fimbriata</i>	[55]
2-methylbutyrate 2-phenylethanol	<i>Streptomyces yanglinensis</i> 3-10	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> <i>A. parasiticus</i>	[56]
Isooctanol Linalool 3-Octanone 2-Naphthalene methanol 3-Undecanone 2-Tridecanone	<i>Corrallococcus</i> sp. EGB	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>cucumerinum</i> <i>Penicillium digitatum</i>	[57]
Dimethyl disulfide	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> B-4117 <i>P. fluorescens</i> Q8r1-96 <i>Serratia plymuthica</i> IC1270	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> <i>A. vitis</i>	[58]
2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol Hydrogen cyanide	<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. LBUM300	<i>Clavibacter michiganensis</i> ssp. <i>michiganensis</i>	[59]
Benzaldehyde Nonanal Benzothiazole Acetophenone	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> FA26	<i>Clavibacter michiganensis</i> ssp. <i>sepedonicus</i>	[60]
3,5,5-trimethylhexanol Decyl alcohol	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> D13	<i>Xanthomonas oryzae</i> pv. <i>oryzae</i>	[61]
Toluene Ethyl benzene m-xylene Benzothiazole 2-decanol 2-tridecanol 1-undecanol Dimethyl disulfide Benzaldehyde 1-Methyl naphthalene	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> WR-1	<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>	[62]

831

832



833 **Table 4. Examples of bacterial interactions with crops influencing quality parameters**

Crop plant	Bacterial species	Quality parameter(s)	Mechanism of interaction	Reference
Sweet basil	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> GB03	Increased production of essential oils		[92]
Peppermint	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> WCS417r, <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> GB03, <i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> SP7	Increased production of essential oils		[93]
<i>Atractylodes lancea</i>	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> ALEB7B	Increased production of essential oils	Benzaldehyde mediates the effect	[94]
Strawberry	<i>Methylobacter</i> spp.	Production of aromatic compounds (furanones)	Bacterial alcohol dehydrogenase	[95,96]
Raspberry	<i>Methylobacter</i> spp., <i>Bacillus</i> spp.	Production of aromatic compounds (frambinone)		[109,110]
Strawberry	<i>Bacillus megaterium</i>	Production of aromatic compounds (2,3-dialkylacroleins)	Conversion of linear aldehydes	[111]
Basmati rice	<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.	Production of aromatic compounds (2-acetyl-1-pyrroline)		[112]
Citrus, mango, cherry, litchi, peach	<i>Bacillus</i> spp.	Protection from spoilage	Antifungal action of cedrol and 2-pentylfuran	[97-102]
Strawberry, citrus, tomato, chili	<i>Streptomyces</i> spp.	Protection from spoilage	Antifungal action of acetophenone	[103-107]
Grapevine	<i>Paenibacillus</i> spp.	Production of aromatic compounds in wine production		[108]

834

835 **Figure 1. Summary of VOC-mediated biological functions of plant-associated bacteria.**

836 Biological effects of plant-associated bacteria and their mechanisms of interaction with the host  
837 plant and the environment can be exploited in the agricultural practice. The resident bacteria may  
838 increase the availability of certain mineral nutrients, or stimulate plant growth and stress responses  
839 by means of hormones or other signalling compounds. As a result, a better nutritional status and a  
840 better ability to cope with stresses is achieved in the host plant. In their interaction with pests and  
841 pathogens, plant-associated bacteria may act as direct competitors and/or predators with a biocidal  
842 action, or exert a disturbance in long-range signalling, possibly influencing the pest's behaviour, its  
843 recognition by natural enemies, and the expression of «social» phenotypes related to virulence in  
844 pathogens.

845

846 **Glossary**

847 **BCA:** Biological Control Agent, an organism exerting directly (e.g. by killing or predating) or indirectly  
848 (by competition for resources, or through the action of other organisms) a limiting effect on the  
849 population of a pest or pathogen.

850 **BVCs:** Bacterial Volatile Compounds, including organic (i.e. carbon-containing) and inorganic (e.g.  
851 H<sub>2</sub>S, nitrogen oxides) compounds.

852 **E-NOSE:** Electronic nose, a device including an array of electric sensors with differential affinity for  
853 different chemical classes, and varying their electric conductance upon interaction with the  
854 components of a gas blend. Used to compare gas samples, has good portability and ease of  
855 operation, allows real-time analysis, but not chemical identification.

856 **FAIMS:** Field Asymmetric Ion Mobility Spectrometry, analytical method based on the separation of  
857 ions in an oscillating electric field. Allows real-time analysis of gas profiles with good portability, and  
858 can be coupled to GC-MS for chemical identification.

859 **GC-MS:** Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry, analytical technique based on separation of  
860 molecules in a gas mixture according to affinity to a chromatographic column, followed by their  
861 fragmentation to yield a typical spectrum. Most used technique for identification of volatile  
862 compounds.

863 **Gnotobiotics:** study of test organisms, in which the resident microbial community is artificial,  
864 controlled and/or completely characterised.

865 **Holobiont:** the complex formed by a host organism and its associated microflora.

866 **ISR:** Induced Systemic Resistance, condition of increased and generalised plant resistance to  
867 potential pathogens and pests, activated after interactions with microbes (including beneficial  
868 symbionts).

869 **Metagenomics:** study of the complex of genomes associated in one super-organism, such as a plant  
870 with its associated microflora.

871 **PTR-MS:** Proton Transfer Reaction-Mass Spectrometry, analytical technique based on  
872 fragmentation of gas compounds in an electric field. Allows highly sensitive real-time detection and  
873 tentative identification of compounds.

874 **QS:** Quorum Sensing, bacterial communication system allowing the coordination of 'social'  
875 phenotypes (motility, biofilm formation, etc.) according to population density.

876 **SIFT-MS:** Selected Ion Flow Tube-Mass Spectrometry, analytical technique based on fragmentation  
877 of gas compounds in an air flow. Allows real-time detection and tentative identification of  
878 compounds.

879 **VOCs:** Volatile organic compounds, organic molecules characterised by low vapour pressure, high  
880 lipophilicity and low molecular weight, normally found in the gas phase in standard conditions.

881 **Volatilome:** the complete set of volatile compounds originating from an organism or biological  
882 system.

