

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Bacterial volatile compound-based tools for crop management and quality

This is the submitted version (pre peer-review, preprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Cellini, A., Spinelli, F., Donati, I., Ryu, C., Kloepper, J.W. (2021). Bacterial volatile compound-based tools for crop management and quality. TRENDS IN PLANT SCIENCE, 26(9), 968-983 [10.1016/j.tplants.2021.05.006].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/829792 since: 2021-08-13

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2021.05.006

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Highlights

- Plant-associated bacteria interact with their environment through exchange of chemicals, including volatile compounds. Innovative agricultural technologies may exploit the inherent advantages of bacterial airborne signals, including diffusibility, independence from water availability and physical connection, and absence of pesticide residuals.
- Volatile compounds resulting from plant-pathogens interactions allow non-destructive disease diagnosis on bulk samples of asymptomatic plant material.
- Volatile compounds, expressing a direct biocidal activity, interfering with signalling, or stimulating plant host defences, contribute to biological control of pests and pathogens.
- Bacterial volatile compounds modulate plant hormones enhancing plant growth, stress tolerance, crop quality, aroma and nutraceutical characteristics, and reduce post-harvest losses.

This is the submitted version of the article
Antonio Cellini, Francesco Spinelli, Irene Donati, Choong-Min Ryu, Joseph W. Kloepper
Bacterial volatile compound-based tools for crop management and quality
which has benn published in final form in Trends in Plant Science
Volume 26, Issue 9, September 2021, Pages 968-983
The final published version is available online at
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2021.05.006
© 2021 Elsevier

Applications based on bacterial volatile compounds for crop management and quality

- 3 Antonio Cellini¹, Francesco Spinelli¹, Irene Donati¹, Choong-Min Ryu², Joseph W. Kloepper³,
- ¹Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Italy
- ²Infectious Disease Research Center, KRIBB, Daejeon, 34141, South Korea
- 6 ³Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Auburn University, USA
- 7 Correspondence: francesco.spinelli3@unibo.it (Francesco Spinelli)

9 **Keywords**

1

2

8

12

13

- 10 Plant growth promoting bacteria, Biological control, VOC-based diagnosis, Crop protection, Abiotic
- 11 stress tolerance

Abstract

- 14 Bacteria produce a huge diversity of metabolites, many of which mediate ecological relations.
- 15 Among these, volatile compounds allow broad-range effects at low doses and may therefore be
- exploited for applications in plant defence and agricultural production. Such applications are still in
- 17 their early development. Here we review the latest technologies involving the use of bacterial
- volatile compounds for phytosanitary inspection, biological control, plant growth promotion, and
- crop quality. We highlight a variety of effects with a potential applicative interest, based on either
- 20 live biocontrol and/or biostimulant agents, or the isolated metabolites responsible for the
- 21 interaction with hosts or competitors. Future agricultural technologies may benefit from the
- clarification of bacterial interactions with the environment, and the development of new analytical
- 23 tools.

24

25

26 Bacterial volatile compounds in plant ecological interactions

- 27 Bacterial metabolic products characterised by low vapour pressure, high lipophylicity and a
- 28 molecular weight below 300 Da are likely to be released as Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs, see
- 29 glossary). Considering the enormous metabolic diversity of bacteria, such compounds may derive
- from a large variety of chemical pathways, and are generally emitted as complex mixtures [1]. The
- composition of the bacterial **volatilome** (see glossary) is highly influenced by the growth conditions
- 32 [2-5], including soil chemistry and structure, pH, availability of water and oxygen, presence of plant

exudates or other organic compounds, and light irradiation. Translating such considerations into horticultural crop management, agricultural (tillage, cover cropping, fertilisation, watering, and plant protection) or post-harvest (refrigeration, atmosphere control, and ethylene modulation) practices that modify such parameters can influence BVC emissions as well [6]. Along with the characterisation of a growing number of bacterial volatile compounds (BVCs, see glossary) [3,4,7], their roles in intra- or inter-specific signalling or competition are being discovered (Figure 1). It should also be noted that the most commonly adopted analytical techniques in studies concerning bacterial volatile compounds are unable to detect molecules with a low molecular weight, such as CO₂, ethylene, nitrogen oxides, ethanol and H₂S (Box 1). Thus, part of the biological effects mediated by bacterial airborne signals may still be eluding the researchers' efforts. In comparison to water-soluble compounds, inherent advantages of VOCs in ecological interactions reside in their high diffusibility, enabling both above- and belowground action, the ability to diffuse through lipophilic barriers (such as cell membranes and plant cuticle), and the independence from water and physical connection among the VOC-producing organism and the signal recipient. Technological applications based on the release or the exchange of VOCs are most likely to succeed, when they take advantage of these characteristics. Volatility is possibly one of the key points, as it allows a relative uniformity of the gas phase even in cases of poor accessibility of the target (for instance, in the soil, in stored bulk samples, or in internal plant tissues). In addition, biogenic VOCs do not pose problems with residues and environmental accumulation. By contrast, one should consider possible drawbacks deriving from the generally low concentration, impermanence, low target specificity, and difficult handling of VOCs used for plant treatment. The above reasons may be responsible for the so-far limited enactment of VOC-based technologies. However, some work-arounds may be envisaged to reduce the weight of drawbacks. The use of microbes that form stable populations on plant hosts, exploiting naturally occurring resources and constantly delivering their bio-active function, or that survive harsh conditions (e.g. Bacillus spp. spores), may grant a durable effect of the treatment. In this light, the screening of bacterial species forming endophytic and/or specialised symbiosis offers a source of biological functions expressed in an efficient and highly focused way. Recent technological advancement in genomics, metagenomics and gnotobiotics (see glossary) has enabled breeding programs centered on the plant **holobiont** (see glossary), in which, in addition to plant genetic resources, microbial diversity (overlooked in traditional breeding, and even possibly lost during domestication or selection) is also

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

explored [8-10]. Alternatively, when live bacteria cannot be used, their active principles could still

be considered for field application with encapsulation methods allowing a controlled release [11]. Caution should be taken for such treatments in dosing the active principle's release rates (as plant stress may derive from its excess) and avoiding wastes due to volatilisation.

67 68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

errors.

65

66

VOC profiling for plant disease diagnosis

Along with visual inspection, immunochemical and molecular methods represent the standard techniques for disease diagnosis, due to their reliability, sensitivity, specificity, and reasonable practicality in terms of costs and work effort [12,13]. However, these methods still pose a number of issues. Since all of them are destructive, the assessment of plant or fruit health status causes an economic loss and cannot be applied to unique samples. The development and production of specific antibodies, and the design and validation of PCR primers require a laborious set-up and are conditioned by the availability of sequence or protein data. Moreover, both PCR and immunochemical methods are targeted to single organisms, and the screening for multiple pathogens results consequently in a multiplication of work. Finally, representativity at the sampling stage is a major constraint, particularly when pathogen populations are relatively small, and the chance of false negatives must be minimised by increasing the sample size. As a consequence of the bacterial metabolism and the concurrent activation of plant defences, the VOC emission by pathogen-infected plants is, in principle, discernible from that of healthy ones [14]. Thus, the possibility of a VOC-assisted plant diagnosis has been put forward, and the recognition of bacterial diseases by volatile fingerprinting has been attempted in several species and/or crops (Table 1). VOC screening is non-destructive and can be applied to crops or live plants without compromising their economic value or viability. Unlike molecular and immunochemical methods, VOC-assisted plant diagnosis, in principle, allows the screening for multiple pathogens in the same run [15,17,25,30,31]. Finally, bulk samples can be analysed as a whole with minimal risks of sampling

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

Analytical technologies and methods

GC-MS, PTR-MS, SIFT-MS, E-NOSE and FAIMS (see glossary) are among the technologies available for VOC-based diagnosis (Table 2). A major distinction can be made between techniques allowing the analytical determination of the chemical components of the VOC blend (e.g. GC-MS), and techniques that only allow overall VOC profiling (e.g. most E-NOSE models), with in-between cases of techniques with analytical power restricted to certain conditions. Techniques of the first class

may be used to identify distinctive marker compounds for determinate pathogens [15,17,18,20-22,29]. Alternatively, the recognition of infected samples may be done through multivariate statistical analysis or artificial neural networks. These recognition procedures may be applied to any technique, but they are an obligate choice for non-analytical methods.

Based on the technology and the recognition method, several sampling systems are available. Portable instruments may allow the direct application to ambient air. In these cases, the instrumental sensitivty can be adjusted by regulating the input flow rate (i.e. the air volume screened). Ambient air analysis or headspace sampling in odourless gas bags or canisters are the simplest options, with the smallest chance of artifactual results. However, when such options are not practical (e.g. gas samples are too small, or marker compounds are in trace amounts), the use of VOC-sorbent materials may be envisaged to concentrate the VOC sample [14].

Sample recognition and applicative perspectives

Besides pathogen infection, other irrelevant factors (such as plant genotype, secondary microbial colonisation, tissue age and environmental conditions during sampling) are predicted to influence VOC emissions with additional levels of complexity. Furthermore, diagnostic power is influenced by disease severity [15,32,33], thus latent infection stages or sporadic pathogen presence are harder to detect. Physico-chemical factors influencing volatilisation and relative composition of air samples (temperature, relative humidity, sorbent saturation or chemical affinity) also require to be accounted for.

Thus, the main challenge for VOC-based diagnosis seems to be the development of feature extraction methods, to isolate disease-related information from background noise. For this reason, E-NOSE methods have not progressed beyond the proof-of-principle status so far. In fact, discrimination power is related to the independence among the components of data variability [35], while E-NOSE models tested for plant diagnosis include no more than 32 sensors with partially overlapping chemical sensitivity [14]. Recent developments in E-NOSE construction, such as coupling with chromatographic separation [36] and colorimetry [37] may overcome current discrimination power limits.

learning and bacteria-VOCs association database were studied for human diagnostic purposes [38].

With regard to techniques with high analytical power, methods based on unsupervised machine

The implementation of such methods in plant health monitoring could be integrated with existing

microbial VOC databases [3]. An effort is required to expand such databases, currently limited in the number of bacterial species covered.

The current technology readiness of VOC-based diagnostic systems may support standard phytosanitary inspection by pre-screening plant material, to focus more in-depth, time- and resource-consuming analyses on dubious cases. Significant advances may come in the future with the development of more versatile instruments [36,37]. Whatever technology may become dominant, coordination among researchers, field operators and industry is a requisite for the setting of standards, databases and accepted practices.

136137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

Bacterial volatile compounds in biological control

be possibly used for pest control strategies (Box 3).

Biological control has raised an interest over time, as a tool to achieve a stable level of disease control by environmentally sustainable means. Biocontrol agents (BCAs, see glossary) are organisms that reduce a pathogen's population size, or its chance to cause disease, by directly killing the pathogen (with antibiotics, lytic enzymes and other toxic compounds), by interfering with its signalling or regulatory metabolism, or by direct competition (i.e. better exploitation of resources, determining the pathogen's starvation). These interactions contribute to microbial antagonism (Figure 1). In this scenario, several BVCs have drawn attention as possible mediators of long-range effects. While it may be expected that their gas-phase concentrations never reach biologically active levels as they diffuse in the atmosphere, the competition among microbes in the phyllosphere takes place in matrices (such as biofilms, mucilages, plant waxes) or sites (sub-stomatal chambers, soil pores) where local BVC may attain substantial concentrations [39]. In this light, the identification of BVC-releasing symbiotic endophytes may be desirable, as their beneficial effects would be delivered close to their target and in a concentrated form. Alternatively, BVCs can induce systemic plant defences (Box 2). Notably, such induction occurs at low BVC rates, acts systemically and persists after the removal of the emitter bacterium, whereas BVC-mediated microbial antagonism would require a local and continuous emission at higher rates. Low BVC rates prime, rather than activate plant defences, i.e. responses are more prompt and intense upon pathogen attack, but no phenotypic changes (including in yield and crop quality) are expressed otherwise. In addition, the same plant may release pre-alert signals to neighbouring ones [40]. Thus, even signals in low concentrations may lead to significant large-scale consequences. BVCs may also influence plant-pest interactions, insect behaviour and survival rate, and thus could

In spite of the potential applications, the use of BCAs presents some inherent difficulties, such as an inconstant effectiveness, depending on environmental, agricultural and ecological factors that may vary in different areas, plant species or growing seasons. In addition, the efficacy of BCAs depends on their population size, which usually decreases steeply after the release, and co-formulants are often required to extend the BCA's field life. For these reasons, BVCs have not yet found specific applications in biological control. As a promising perspective, the development of synthetic bacterial communities may overcome some of these drawbacks, by achieving a better stability or resilience of the microbial biocoenosis, along with the integration of multiple mechanisms of action [41,42].

Direct toxicity against pathogens

In exerting direct toxicity against plant pathogens, BVCs are influenced by several factors. Along with the chemical nature of the compound, its release rate, the occurrence of the conditions for its production, and the gas phase dynamics that regulate its volatility and stability all contribute to its ecological role and the technological usefulness [39]. The best-studied bacteria, in relation to characterisation of BVC toxicity, include several actinomycetes, *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, *Serratia*, *Burkholderia*, *Xanthomonas* and *Erwinia* species.

Among the volatile compounds hindering the growth of competitors, ammonia, cyanide and sulfurcontaining metabolites are believed to play a major role [43]. However, bacterial strains not

containing metabolites are believed to play a major role [43]. However, bacterial strains not releasing such compounds can still display antimicrobial properties, indicating that other BVCs substantially contribute to inhibition of microbial growth, and that synergistic effects exist between different compounds. Antimicrobial effects were described for alkanes, alkenes, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, esters, terpenoids, pyrazines, phenolics, amines, quinolones, chlorine and sulfur compounds (Table 3) [44-57]. The most common molecular targets of toxic BVCs include metal cofactors, sulfhydryl groups, and protein folding.

Fungi and oomycetes often show a considerable sensitivity to BVCs, both for the elongation of mycelia and for spore germination [43,46-49]. In contrast, fewer cases are reported regarding BVC-mediated control of bacterial pathogens, namely, *Agrobacterium* species [48,58], *Clavibacter michiganensis* [59,60], *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* [61] and *Ralstonia solanacearum* [62]. Reasons for such difference in susceptibility may reside in differences between bacteria and

eukaryotic organisms, for instance in plasma membrane composition or gene expression.

The importance of BVC toxicity for interspecific competition in real conditions is debated [63], because of its dependence on BVC production rates and chances of accumulation. Thus, BVC-

mediated suppression of pathogens was not considered as a trait for selection of new biocontrol agents until recently [49,64]. Nonetheless, this mechanism has been documented for several commercial biocontrol agents, and some of the BVCs involved, such as benzothiazole and dimethyl sulfides [49,64] have been adopted as active principles in exogenous biocide treatments. Biomimicry, i.e. the simulation of biological processes and interactions for applicative purposes, may be advisable in field conditions for a number of reasons, including the caution in introducing organisms into a new environment with potentially irreversible effects, and the higher control of chemical nature, dosage and timing of the treatments [65]. Conditions for exogenous VOC treatments, however, include the technological feasibility of gas application to the target (soil, canopy, stored crops) and the low toxicity at the treatment dosages for the operator and for non-target organisms.

Disruption of quorum sensing

The complex of regulatory functions connecting the perception of intra- or inter-specific bacterial population density to the expression of 'social' phenotypes is termed Quorum Sensing (QS, see glossary). The typical QS signalling circuit consists of the production of a signal compound, along with the expression of specific receptors for the same signal(s). Among the traits governed by QS, bacterial motility, formation of biofilms, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites and virulence factors have been observed, implying their role in improving bacterial fitness in a crowded, diverse and competitive environment [66]. As a consequence, several species may form stable symbiotic consortia, based on the reciprocal exchange of nutrients and signals [67,68]. In the case of pathogenic bacteria, the full expression of virulence can require a stimulation by other microbial neighbours [69].

N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs) are the best-studied example of QS signals, since they are employed by a wide array of Gram-negative bacteria, including plant pathogens such as *Pseudomonas, Erwinia/Pectobacterium* and *Agrobacterium* species. Other compounds mediating QS include peptide, aminoacid or fatty acid derivatives. While QS activity was only studied in aqueous solutions for most of these compounds, their semi-volatile nature presumably also allows airborne signalling.

The disruption of QS systems (Quorum Quenching, QQ) may be pursued to reduce the population of pathogens and/or to control the incidence and severity of plant diseases [70]. Interference in QS has been demonstrated for some BVCs. Among these, DMDS reduces the production of AHLs in *P*.

chlororaphis [71]. Linear ketones (2-heptanone, 2-nonanone, 2-undecanone) and 2-amino-acetophenone showed an activity on engineered AHL biosensors [72,73].

Indole and its derivatives may act as QS signals, being produced by some bacterial species in a population-dependent manner and eliciting specific responses. Non-producing pathogenic bacteria may also perceive it, possibly by means of AHL receptors [74]. Although auxin (indole-3-acetic acid) and indole are structurally related, in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (specialised in auxin biosynthesis, but not releasing indole) only indole can induce bacterial motility, biofilm formation, antibiotic resistance and expression of virulence genes, while reducing bacterial growth in the 0.2-1 mM concentration range [75].

Biostimulation

After the initial observation of plant growth promotion by 2,3-butanediol-emitting bacteria [76], it has become evident that BVC-mediated biostimulation is a widespread phenomenon involving numerous bacterial species and compounds [2,77], with potential applications still to be tested. The adaptive rationale of some biological effects promoted by plant-associated bacteria is, in many cases, evident. Symbiotic organisms, for instance, take benefit from increasing root growth and plant nutritional status. Conversely, pathogens can release BVCs to modify plant metabolism to their own advantage [78,79]. However, some methodological caveats should be pointed out in the study of influences of VOCs on complex plant traits. Several molecules of great importance for plant metabolism, but not easily detected in the most common experimental settings, for instance, may be neglected (Box 1).

Plant growth is the result of several factors, such as hormonal signalling, nutrition, stress tolerance

(Figure 1). Thus, the observation of a plant growth-promoting effect by a bacterial strain in laboratory conditions, in absence of nutritional or cultural constraints, is possibly not indicative of the applicability of the same strain in field. Secondly, plant growth may not correlate (or even inversely correlate) with crop yield, for which not only carbon fixation, but also reallocation of photosynthates is relevant. Thirdly, the effects of BVCs are generally pleiotropic, i.e. they interact with multiple signalling pathways, and are not specific to a definite target organism [43].

Plant growth promotion and nutrition

254 Growth promotion by BVCs was shown on several cultivated species, including alfalfa, barley, basil, 255 broccoli, lettuce, poplar, soybean, tobacco, tomato [43,77]. These effects have been related to ethylene [81] and strigolactones [82].

While hormonal effects may shape the allocation of resources within the plant and its phenological progression [79], plant growth promotion and biomass increase should come with a corresponding nutritional enhancement. The stimulation of auxin metabolism and/or signalling, for instance, leads to changes in plant root architecture, which contributes to the uptake of water and nutrients [83]. Ethylene participates in the activation of mineral uptake systems [84]. BVCs were implied in counteracting carbohydrate- and ABA-mediated inhibition of photosynthesis, thus enabling higher CO₂ fixation rates [85], and stimulating iron uptake [86,87]. It should be noted that most research was conducted in laboratory or controlled conditions, where nutrients and water are generally not

modulation of plant hormones, such as cytokinins [76], auxins, brassinosteroids [80], gibberellins,

267

268

266

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

Abiotic stress tolerance

limiting.

- 269 Plant growth promotion by microbes can result from increased tolerance to environmental stresses.
- 270 Although mechanisms are often far from being elucidated [88], such effects are generally induced
- by the release of volatile hormones by the microbes (notably ethylene, methyl-jasmonate and
- methyl-salicylate), or involve the signalling cascade of plant hormones [89].
- 273 Current knowledge on BVC-induced tolerance refers mainly to osmotic, salt and/or water stress (Box
- 4). In addition, this research area may provide significant advancement to phytoremediation and to
- the adaptation of crops to stresses related to climate change [90]. The recovery of marginal soils,
- 276 for instance, may be enhanced by the root branching stimulation, exerted by some symbiotic
- 277 bacteria to increase the release of organic carbon into the rhizosphere. Among the BVCs implicated
- in this plant-microbe interaction, 1-butanol and the QS signal butyrolactone may play a role [91].

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

Crop quality

A list of examples of bacterial interactions with crops, influencing crop quality, is shown in Table 4. In all the cases in which crop quality depends on secondary metabolites, such as essential oils and aromas, a close link between the elicitation of plant defences and an increased crop value is easily explained. In fact, essential oils form one of the first lines of plant defence and inter-plant communication, and their contents are raised by BVCs from several defence-inducing bacteria. Thus, an increased essential oil content was obtained by exposing aromatic plants, including peppermint

and basil [92,93], or medicinal plants such as *Atractylodes lancea* [94], to BVCs emitted by several *Pseudomonas, Bacillus* and *Azospirillum* spp.

In the determination of the aromatic profiles of fruit, a remarkable role has been observed for the associated microflora. *Methylobacterium* spp. include endophytic species expressing alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) activity, which converts plant-derived alcohols to the corresponding aldehydes or ketones [95,96]. The substrate-specificity of bacterial ADH is low, but distinct from that of plant ADH, thus explaining the diversity, along with the higher intensity, of VOC emissions from microbe-colonised plants.

During post-harvest storage, crops may incur in spoilage by pathogens, with consequent loss of produce and/or contamination by mycotoxins. Because of the use of controlled atmosphere on a large variety of crops, VOC-based technologies may fit well in post-harvest disease control. In fact, on one hand, relatively high concentrations of bioactive volatiles can be obtained in a closed storage cell; on the other hand, in comparison to synthetic fungicides, the application of biogenic VOCs and BVC-emitting bacteria to products for human consumption poses lower concerns. Several *Bacillus* spp. strains releasing antifungal BVCs were identified and tested on citrus, mango, cherry, litchi and peach [97-102], while *Streptomyces* spp. were tested on strawberry, citrus, tomato and chili [103-107]. Some compounds mediating antifungal effects, such as cedrol, 2-pentylfuran [102] and acetophenone [107], are commonly found among fruit aromas, and their efficacy was proved on a large spectrum of pathogens. Therefore, their technical use may encounter few restrictions by policy-makers, and possibly even higher appreciation by consumers.

The microbial population living on grapevine berries (including *Paenibacillus* spp.) produces volatile compounds possibly improving the quality of wine [108]. Thus, while fruit technology has been so far oriented to the limitation of microbial populations on the crop, future work should address and exploit the contribution of the microflora to aromatic properties of fruit or derivate products.

312 Concluding remarks

Despite the great diversity of bacterial metabolites and of biological relations mediated by them, which form a huge reservoir of resources with a potential applicative interest, BVC applications have been explored so far only marginally, and their practical use is at its dawn especially for improving crop tolerance and quality [95]. The present overview was limited to volatile compounds emitted by bacteria, but other organisms (including fungi, moulds and, to some extent, plants) also release bioactive compounds. In addition, biogenic inorganic volatile compounds were only marginally

- considered. Thus, future agricultural and environmental engineering applications may benefit from the study of a wider range of biological relations, or by the development of new analytical tools and protocols.
- In modern agricultural systems, there is a growing interest in finding environmentally sustainable, effective and inexpensive solutions for the problems encountered at each step of the production chain. The diffusion of biological control methods, and the programs for phytoremediation or recovery of marginal soils are examples of such dynamics. However, the novelty of these solutions also poses some legislation and registration issues [113,114]. In addition, live biostimulant or biocontrol agents may not adapt to all cultural conditions [90], and promising biological functions may come along with potential risks for human health or environmental equilibrium.
- Therefore, the mechanisms of interaction among different bacterial species and with their eukaryotic (plant, insect) hosts deserve in-depth investigation, to develop more efficient and flexible solutions for emerging problems. Volatile compounds may show inherent advantages related to their diffusibility, low dose of action and absence of toxic residues [89]. Extensive field testing is required as a key step to the commercial and industrial application of technologies based on BVCs (see also the outstanding questions).

336 References

335

- Schulz, S. and Dickschat, J.S. (2007) Bacterial volatiles: The smell of small organisms. Nat. Prod.
 Rep. 24, 814–42
- 2. Blom, D. et al. (2011) Production of plant growth modulating volatiles is widespread among rhizosphere bacteria and strongly depends on culture conditions. Environ. Microbiol. 13, 3047–341
- 3. Lemfack, M.C. et al. (2018) mVOC 2.0: a database of microbial volatiles. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, D1261-D1265.
- 344 4. Tyc, O. et al. (2017) The ecological role of volatile and soluble secondary metabolites produced 345 by soil bacteria. Trends Microbiol. 25, 280–92
- 346 5. Rath, M., Mitchell, T.R. and Gold, S.E. (2018) Volatiles produced by *Bacillus mojavensis* RRC101 347 act as plant growth modulators and are strongly culture-dependent. Microbiol. Res. 208, 76– 348 84

- 349 6. van Agtmaal, M. et al. (2015) Legacy effects of anaerobic soil disinfestation on soil bacterial
- community composition and production of pathogen-suppressing volatiles. Front. Microbiol. 6,
- 351 701
- 352 7. Effmert, U. et al. (2012) Volatile mediated interactions between bacteria and fungi in the soil.
- 353 J. Chem. Ecol. 38, 665–703
- 8. Gopal, M. and Gupta, A. (2016) Microbiome selection could spur next-generation plant
- 355 breeding strategies. Front. Microbiol. 7, 1971
- 9. Wei, Z. and Jousset, A. (2017) Plant breeding goes microbial. Trends Plant Sci. 22, 555–8
- 10. Kroll, S., Agler, M.T. and Kemen, E. (2017) Genomic dissection of host-microbe and microbe-
- microbe interactions for advanced plant breeding. Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol. 36, 71–8
- 359 11. Sharifi, R. and Ryu, C.M. (2020) Formulation and Agricultural Application of Bacterial Volatile
- 360 Compounds. In: Bacterial Volatile Compounds as Mediators of Airborne Interactions (Ryu C.M.,
- Weisskopf, L. and Piechulla, B., eds.), pp. 317-336, Springer
- 362 12. Sankaran, S. et al. (2010) A review of advanced techniques for detecting plant diseases.
- 363 Comput. Electron. Agric. 72, 1–13
- 13. Martinelli, F. et al. (2015) Advanced methods of plant disease detection. A review. Agron.
- 365 Sustain. Dev. 35, 1–25
- 366 14. Cellini, A. et al. (2017) Potential applications and limitations of electronic nose devices for plant
- disease diagnosis. Sensors 17, 2596
- 368 15. Cellini, A. et al. (2016) Early detection of bacterial diseases in apple plants by analysis of volatile
- organic compounds profiles and use of electronic nose. Ann. Appl. Biol. 168, 409–20
- 16. Pothakos, V. et al. (2014) Spoilage potential of psychrotrophic lactic acid bacteria (LAB) species:
- 371 Leuconostoc gelidum subsp. gasicomitatum and Lactococcus piscium, on sweet bell pepper
- (SBP) simulation medium under different gas compositions. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 178, 120–9
- 17. Vikram, A. et al. (2006) Metabolic fingerprinting to discriminate diseases of stored carrots. Ann.
- 374 Appl. Biol. 148, 17–26
- 18. Aksenov, A.A. et al. (2014) Detection of huanglongbing disease using differential mobility
- 376 spectrometry. Anal Chem. 86, 2481–8
- 19. Werbrouck, S., Verholle, P. and Van Langenhove, H. (2017) Volatile indicators of contamination
- in tissue cultures. Acta Hortic. 1155, 239–244

- 379 20. Zhang, A. and Hartung, J.S. (2005) Phenylacetaldehyde o-Methyloxime: A Volatile Compound
- Produced by Grapefruit Leaves Infected with the Citrus Canker Pathogen, Xanthomonas
- 381 axonopodis pv. citri. J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 5134–5137
- 382 21. Blasioli, S. et al. (2010) Electronic nose as an innovative tool for the diagnosis of grapevine
- 383 crown gall. Anal. Chim. Acta 672, 20–24
- 384 22. Cellini, A. et al. (2016) Characterization of volatile organic compounds emitted by kiwifruit
- plants infected with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. actinidiae and their effects on host defences.
- 386 Trees 30, 795-806
- 387 23. Ioannidis, A-G. et al. (2018) Characterization of spoilage markers in modified atmosphere
- packaged iceberg lettuce. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 279, 1–13
- 24. Li, C., Schmidt, N.E. and Gitaitis, R. (2011) Detection of onion postharvest diseases by analyses
- of headspace volatiles using a gas sensor array and GC-MS. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 44, 1019–
- 391 25
- 392 25. Prithiviraj, B. et al. (2004) Volatile metabolite profiling for the discrimination of onion bulbs
- infected by Erwinia carotovora ssp. carotovora, Fusarium oxysporum and Botrytis allii. Eur. J.
- 394 Plant Pathol. 110, 371–7
- 395 26. Sinha, R. et al. (2018) FAIMS based volatile fingerprinting for real-time postharvest storage
- infections detection in stored potatoes and onions. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 135, 83–92
- 397 27. Sinha, R., Khot, L.R. and Schroeder, B.K. (2017) FAIMS based sensing of Burkholderia cepacia
- caused sour skin in onions under bulk storage condition. J. Food Meas. Charact. 11, 1578–85
- 399 28. Wilson, A.D., Lester, D.G. and Oberle, C.S. (2004) Development of conductive polymer analysis
- for the rapid detection and identification of phytopathogenic microbes. Phytopathology 94,
- 401 419-431
- 402 29. Blasioli, S. et al. (2014) Identification of volatile markers in potato brown rot and ring rot by
- 403 combined GC-MS and PTR-MS techniques: Study on in vitro and in vivo samples. J. Agric. Food
- 404 Chem. 62, 337–47
- 405 30. de Lacy Costello, D.L. et al. (1999) Identification of volatiles generated by potato tubers
- 406 (Solanum tuberosum CV: Maris Piper) infected by Erwinia carotovora, Bacillus polymyxa and
- 407 *Arthrobacter* sp. Plant Pathol. 48, 345–51
- 408 31. Kushalappa, A.C. et al. (2002) Volatile fingerprinting (SPME-GC FID) to detect and discriminate
- diseases of potato tubers. Plant Dis. 86, 131–137

- 410 32. Biondi, E. et al. (2014) Detection of potato brown rot and ring rot by electronic nose: From
- laboratory to real scale. Talanta 129, 422-430
- 412 33. Rutolo, M.F. et al. (2016) Early identification of potato storage disease using an array of metal-
- oxide based gas sensors. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 116, 50–58
- 414 34. Rutolo, M. et al. (2014) Detection of potato storage disease via gas analysis: a pilot study using
- field asymmetric ion mobility spectrometry. Sensors 14, 15939–52
- 416 35. Sun, R., Du, H. and Zheng, Y. (2020) Discriminative power of independent component analysis
- applied to an electronic nose. Meas. Sci. Technol. 31, 035108
- 418 36. Wilson, A.D. (2018) Applications of Electronic-Nose Technologies for Noninvasive Early
- Detection of Plant, Animal and Human Diseases. Chemosensors 6, 45
- 420 37. Li, Z. et al. (2019) Non-invasive plant disease diagnostics enabled by smartphone-based finger
- 421 printing of leaf volatiles. Nat. Plants 5, 856–866
- 422 38. Palma, S.I.C.J. et al. (2018) Machine learning for the metaanalyses of microbial pathogens'
- volatile signatures. Sci. Rep. 8, 3360
- 424 39. Bailly, A. and Weisskopf, L. (2017) Mining the volatilomes of plant-associated microbiota for
- 425 new biocontrol solutions. Front. Microbiol. 8, 1638
- 426 40. Heil, M. and Karban, R. (2010) Explaining evolution of plant communication by airborne signals.
- 427 Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 137–144
- 428 41. Mazzola, M. and Freilich, S. (2017) Prospects for biological soilborne disease control:
- application of indigenous versus synthetic microbiomes. Phytopathology 107, 256–63
- 430 42. Busby, P.E. et al. 2017. Research priorities for harnessing plant microbiomes in sustainable
- 431 agriculture. PLOS Biol. 15, e2001793
- 432 43. Piechulla, B., Lemfack, M.C. and Kai, M. (2017) Effects of discrete bioactive microbial volatiles
- on plants and fungi. Plant Cell Environ. 40, 2042–67
- 434 44. Kilani-Feki, O. et al. (2012) Correlation between synthesis variation of 2-alkylquinolones and
- the antifungal activity of a *Burkholderia cepacia* strain collection. World J. Microbiol.
- 436 Biotechnol. 28, 275–81
- 437 45. Yuan, J. et al. (2012) Antifungal activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* NJN-6 volatile compounds
- against Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78, 5942–5944
- 439 46. Velázquez-Becerra, C. et al. (2013) The rhizobacterium Arthrobacter agilis produces
- dimethylhexadecylamine, a compound that inhibits growth of phytopathogenic fungi in vitro.
- 441 Protoplasma 250, 1251-1262

- 442 47. Wang, Z. et al. (2013) Fumigant activity of volatiles from *Streptomyces alboflavus* TD-1 against
- 443 Fusarium moniliforme Sheldon. J. Microbiol. 51, 477–83
- 444 48. Popova, A.A. et al. (2014) Inhibitory and toxic effects of volatiles emitted by strains of
- 445 Pseudomonas and Serratia on growth and survival of selected microorganisms, Caenorhabditis
- 446 elegans, and Drosophila melanogaster. Biomed. Res. Int.125704
- 447 49. De Vrieze, M. et al. (2015) Volatile organic compounds from native potato-associated
- 448 *Pseudomonas* as potential anti-oomycete agents. Front. Microbiol. 6, 1–15
- 449 50. Hunziker, L. et al. (2015) Pseudomonas strains naturally associated with potato plants produce
- volatiles with high potential for inhibition of *Phytophthora infestans*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
- 451 81, 821–830
- 452 51. Rybakova, D. et al. (2017) Aerial warfare: a volatile dialogue between the plant pathogen
- Verticillium longisporum and its antagonist Paenibacillus polymyxa. Plant Sci. 8, 1294
- 454 52. Xing, M. et al. (2018) Antifungal activity of natural volatile organic compounds against litchi
- downy blight pathogen *Peronophythora litchii*. Molecules 23, 358
- 456 53. Guevara-Avendaño, E. et al. (2019) Avocado rhizobacteria emit volatile organic compounds
- with antifungal activity against *Fusarium solani, Fusarium* sp. associated with Kuroshio shot
- 458 hole borer, and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Microbiol. Res. 219, 74-83
- 459 54. Rajaofera, M.J.N. et al. (2019) Volatile organic compounds of *Bacillus atrophaeus* HAB-5 inhibit
- the growth of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 156, 170–176
- 461 55. Zhang, Y. et al. (2019) Volatile organic compounds produced by *Pseudomonas chlororaphis*
- subsp. aureofaciens SPS-41 as biological fumigants to control Ceratocystis fimbriata in
- postharvest sweet potatoes. J. Agr. Food Chem. 67, 3702–3710
- 464 56. Lyu, A. et al. (2020) High efficacy of the volatile organic compounds of *Streptomyces*
- yanglinensis 3-10 in suppression of Aspergillus contamination on peanut kernels. Front.
- 466 Microbiol. 11, 1–17
- 467 57. Ye, X. et al. (2020) Biocidal effects of volatile organic compounds produced by the
- myxobacterium Corrallococcus sp. EGB against fungal phytopathogens. Food Microbiol. 91,
- 469 103502
- 470 58. Dandurishvili, N. et al. (2011) Broad-range antagonistic rhizobacteria *Pseudomonas fluorescens*
- and Serratia plymuthica suppress Agrobacterium crown gall tumours on tomato plants. J. Appl.
- 472 Microbiol. 110, 341–52

- 473 59. Lanteigne, C. et al. (2012) Production of DAPG and HCN by *Pseudomonas* sp. LBUM300
- 474 contributes to the biological control of bacterial canker of tomato. Phytopathology 102, 967–
- 475 73
- 476 60. Rajer, F.U. et al (2017) Volatile organic compounds produced by a soil-isolate, *Bacillus subtilis*
- 477 FA26 induce adverse ultra-structural changes to the cells of *Clavibacter michiganensis* ssp.
- 478 sepedonicus, the causal agent of bacterial ring rot of potato. Microbiology 163, 523-530
- 479 61. Xie, S. et al. (2018) Antibacterial effects of volatiles produced by *Bacillus* strain D13 against
- 480 *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*. Mol. Plant Pathol. 19, 49–58
- 481 62. Raza, W. et al. (2016) Volatile organic compounds produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WR-
- 482 1 restrict the growth and virulence traits of *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Microbiol. Res. 192, 103–
- 483 13
- 484 63. Sharifi, R. and Ryu, C.M. (2016) Are bacterial volatile compounds poisonous odors to a fungal
- pathogen Botrytis cinerea, alarm signals to Arabidopsis seedlings for eliciting induced
- resistance, or both? Front. Microbiol. 7, 1–10
- 487 64. Fernando, W.G.D. et al. (2005) Identification and use of potential bacterial organic antifungal
- volatiles in biocontrol. Soil Biol. Biochem. 37, 955–64
- 489 65. Gabriel K.T., Joseph Sexton, D. and Cornelison, C.T. (2018) Biomimicry of volatile-based
- 490 microbial control for managing emerging fungal pathogens. J. Appl. Microbiol. 124, 1024–31
- 491 66. Hassani, M.A, Durán, P. and Hacquard, S. (2018) Microbial interactions within the plant
- 492 holobiont. Microbiome 6, 58
- 493 67. Morris, B.E.L. et al. (2013). Microbial syntrophy: interaction for the common good. FEMS
- 494 Microbiol. Rev. 37, :384–406
- 495 68. Mee, M.T. et al. (2014) Syntrophic exchange in synthetic microbial communities. PNAS 111,
- 496 E2149-56
- 497 69. Venturi, V. et al. (2010) Locality versus globality in bacterial signalling: can local communication
- 498 stabilize bacterial communities? Biol. Direct. 5, 30
- 499 70. Helman, Y. and Chernin, L. (2015) Silencing the mob: disrupting quorum sensing as a means to
- fight plant disease. Mol. Plant. Pathol. 16, 316–29
- 501 71. Chernin, L. et al. (2011) Quorum-sensing quenching by rhizobacterial volatiles. Environ.
- 502 Microbiol. Rep. 3, 698–704
- 72. Plyuta, V.A. et al. (2014) The ability of natural ketones to interact with bacterial quorum sensing
- systems. Mol. Genet. Microbiol. Virol. 29, 167–71

- 505 73. Kviatkovski, I. et al. (2015) Pseudomonas aeruginosa activates the quorum sensing LuxR
- response regulator through secretion of 2-aminoacetophenone. Chem. Commun. 51, 3258–61
- 507 74. Lee, J.-H. and Lee, J. (2010) Indole as an intercellular signal in microbial communities. FEMS
- 508 Microbiol. Rev. 34, 426–44
- 509 75. Lee, J.-H. et al. (2015) The multifaceted roles of the interspecies signalling molecule indole in
- 510 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Environ. Microbiol. 17, 1234–44
- 76. Ryu, C.M. et al. (2003) Bacterial volatiles promote growth in Arabidopsis. PNAS 100:4927–32
- 512 77. Fincheira, P. and Quiroz, A. (2018) Microbial volatiles as plant growth inducers. Microbiol. Res.
- 513 208, 63–75
- 78. Weingart, H. et al. (2001) The role of ethylene production in virulence of *Pseudomonas syringae*
- pvs. *glycinea* and *phaseolicola*. Phytopathology 91, 511–8
- 516 79. Sánchez-López, Á.M. et al. (2016) Volatile compounds emitted by diverse phytopathogenic
- 517 microorganisms promote plant growth and flowering through cytokinin action. Plant Cell
- 518 Environ. 39, 2592-2608
- 519 80. Bhattacharyya, D., Garladinne, M. and Lee, Y.H. (2015) Volatile indole produced by
- rhizobacterium *Proteus vulgaris* jbls202 stimulates growth of *Arabidopsis thaliana* through
- auxin, cytokinin, and brassinosteroid pathways. J. Plant Growth Regul. 34, 158–68
- 522 81. Tahir, H.A.S. et al. (2017) Plant growth promotion by volatile organic compounds produced by
- 523 Bacillus subtilis SYST2. Front. Microbiol. 8, 171
- 82. Jiang, C.H. et al. (2019) Volatile organic compounds emitted by *Bacillus* sp. JC03 promote plant
- growth through the action of auxin and strigolactone. J Plant Growth Regul 87, 317-328
- 526 83. Bailly, A. et al. (2014) The inter-kingdom volatile signal indole promotes root development by
- interfering with auxin signalling. Plant J. 80, 758–71
- 528 84. Iqbal, N. et al. (2013) Current understanding on ethylene signaling in plants: The influence of
- nutrient availability. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 73, 128–38
- 530 85. Zhang, H. et al. (2008) Soil bacteria augment Arabidopsis photosynthesis by decreasing glucose
- sensing and abscisic acid levels in planta. Plant J. 56, 264–73
- 532 86. Wang, J.F. et al. (2017) Enhanced Iron and Selenium Uptake in Plants by Volatile Emissions of
- 533 Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (BF06). Appl. Sci. 7, 85
- 534 87. Hernández-Calderón, E. et al. (2018) Volatile compounds from beneficial or pathogenic bacteria
- differentially regulate root exudation, transcription of iron transporters, and defense signaling
- pathways in *Sorghum bicolor*. Plant Mol. Biol. 96, 291–304

- 88. Garbeva, P. and Weisskopf, L. (2020) Airborne medicine: bacterial volatiles and their influence
- on plant health. New Phytol. 226, 32-43
- 89. Kanchiswamy, C.N., Malnoy, M. and Maffei, M.E. (2015) Bioprospecting bacterial and fungal
- volatiles for sustainable agriculture. Trends Plant Sci. 20, 206–11
- 541 90. Sangiorgio, D. et al. (2020) Facing Climate Change: Application of Microbial Biostimulants to
- 542 Mitigate Stress in Horticultural Crops. Agronomy 10,794
- 543 91. Gutiérrez-Luna, F.M. et al. (2010) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria modulate root-system
- architecture in *Arabidopsis thaliana* through volatile organic compound emission. Symbiosis
- 545 51, 75–83
- 546 92. Banchio, E. et al. (2009) Soil bacteria elevate essential oil accumulation and emissions in sweet
- 547 basil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 653–7
- 548 93. Santoro, M.V. et al. (2011) Volatile organic compounds from rhizobacteria increase
- biosynthesis of essential oils and growth parameters in peppermint (*Mentha piperita*). Plant
- 550 Physiol. Biochem. 49, 1177–82
- 551 94. Zhou, J.Y. et al. (2016) Volatiles released by endophytic *Pseudomonas fluorescens* promoting
- the growth and volatile oil accumulation in *Atractylodes lancea*. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 101,
- 553 132–40
- 554 95. Verginer, M. et al. (2010) Monitoring the plant epiphyte Methylobacterium extorquens DSM
- 555 21961 by real-time PCR and its influence on the strawberry flavor. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 74,
- 556 136–45
- 557 96. Nasopoulou, C. et al. (2014) Localization of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa) and
- Methylobacterium extorquens genes of strawberry flavor biosynthesis in strawberry tissue by
- in situ hybridization. J. Plant Physiol. 171, 1099–105
- 560 97. Arrebola, E., Sivakumar, D. and Korsten, L. (2010) Effect of volatile compounds produced by
- 561 Bacillus strains on postharvest decay in citrus. Biol. Control 53, 122–8
- 562 98. Chen, K. et al. (2018) Antagonistic activity and the mechanism of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* DH-
- 4 against citrus green mold. Phytopathology 108, 1253–62
- 564 99. Zheng, M. et al. (2013) Antimicrobial effects of volatiles produced by two antagonistic *Bacillus*
- strains on the anthracnose pathogen in postharvest mangos. Biol. Control 65, 200–6
- 100. Gotor-Vila, A. et al. (2017) Antifungal effect of volatile organic compounds produced by *Bacillus*
- 567 amyloliquefaciens CPA-8 against fruit pathogen decays of cherry. Food Microbiol. 64, 219–25

- 568 101. Gao, H. et al. (2018) Research on volatile organic compounds from Bacillus subtilis CF-3:
- biocontrol effects on fruit fungal pathogens and dynamic changes during fermentation. Front.
- 570 Microbiol. 9, 456
- 571 102. Liu, C. et al. (2018) Antagonistic activities of volatiles produced by two *Bacillus* strains against
- 572 *Monilinia fructicola* in peach fruit. J. Sci. Food Agric. 98, 5756–63
- 573 103. Wan, M. et al. (2008) Effect of volatile substances of *Streptomyces platensis* F-1 on control of
- plant fungal diseases. Biol. Control 46, 552–9
- 575 104.Li, Q. et al. (2010) Fumigant activity of volatiles of Streptomyces globisporus JK-1 against
- 576 Penicillium italicum on Citrus microcarpa. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 58, 157–65
- 577 105. Wang, C. et al. (2013) Antifungal activity of volatile organic compounds from *Streptomyces*
- 578 *alboflavus* TD-1. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 341, 45–51
- 579 106. Li, Q. et al. (2012) Effects of volatile substances of Streptomyces globisporus JK-1 on control of
- 580 Botrytis cinerea on tomato fruit. Biol. Control 61, 113–20
- 107. Boukaew, S. et al. (2018) Fumigant activity of volatile compounds of Streptomyces philanthi
- RM-1-138 and pure chemicals (acetophenone and phenylethyl alcohol) against anthracnose
- pathogen in postharvest chili fruit. Crop Prot. 103, 1–8
- 108. Verginer, M., Leitner, E. and Berg, G. (2010) Production of volatile metabolites by grape-
- associated microorganisms. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58, 8344–50
- 586 109. Perpetuini, G. et al. (2019) Genetic and functional characterization of the bacterial community
- on fruit of three raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*) cultivars. J. Berry Res. 9, 227-247
- 588 110. Feron, G. et al. (2007) Microbial production of 4-hydroxybenzylidene acetone, the direct
- precursor of raspberry ketone. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 45, 29–35
- 590 111. Abanda-Nkpwatt, D. and Schwab, W. (2004) Microbial transformation of aliphatic aldehydes by
- 591 Bacillus megaterium to 2,3-dialkylacroleins. J Agric. Food Chem. 52, 5939-5942
- 592 112. Deshmukh, Y., Khare, P. and Patra, D. (2016) Rhizobacteria elevate principal basmati aroma
- compound accumulation in rice variety. Rhizosphere 1, 53–7
- 113. Calvo, P., Nelson, L. and Kloepper, J.W. (2014) Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. Plant
- 595 Soil 383, 3–41
- 596 114. Backer, R. et al. (2018) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: context, mechanisms of action,
- and roadmap to commercialization of biostimulants for sustainable agriculture. Front. Plant Sci.
- 598 9, 1473

- 599 115. Jakobson, L. et al. (2016) Natural Variation in Arabidopsis Cvi-O Accession Reveals an Important
- Role of MPK12 in Guard Cell CO₂ Signaling. PLoS Biol. 14, 1–25
- 601 116. Piechulla, B. (2017) Considering Microbial CO₂ during Microbe-Plant Cocultivation. Plant
- 602 Physiol. 173, 1529
- 117. Rho, H., Doty, S.L. and Kim, S.H. (2018) Estimating microbial respiratory CO₂ from endophytic
- bacteria in rice. Plant Signal Behav. 13, e1500067
- 605 118. Nascimento, F.X., Rossi Márcio, J. and Glick, B.R. (2018) Ethylene and 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-
- 606 carboxylate (ACC) in Plant–Bacterial Interactions. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 114
- 607 119. Chen, Y. et al. (2020) Root ethylene mediates rhizosphere microbial community reconstruction
- when chemically detecting cyanide produced by neighbouring plants. Microbiome 8, 4
- 609 120. Ravanbakhsh, M. et al. (2018) Microbial modulation of plant ethylene signaling: ecological and
- evolutionary consequences. Microbiome 6, 52
- 611 121. Arasimowicz-Jelonek, M. and Floryszak-Wieczorek, J. (2014) Nitric oxide: An effective weapon
- of the plant or the pathogen? Mol. Plant Pathol. 15, 406-416
- 613 122. Hermenau, R. et al. (2019) Genomics-Driven Discovery of NO-Donating Diazenium diolate
- 614 Siderophores in Diverse Plant-Associated Bacteria. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58, 13024-13029
- 615 123. Creus, C.M. et al. (2005) Nitric oxide is involved in the Azospirillum brasilense-induced lateral
- root formation in tomato. Planta 221, 297-303
- 617 124. Mukherjee, S. and Corpas, F.J. (2020) Crosstalk among hydrogen sulfide (H2S), nitric oxide (NO)
- and carbon monoxide (CO) in root-system development and its rhizosphere interactions: A
- gaseous interactome. Plant Physiol. and Biochem. 155, 800-814
- 620 125. Yamasaki, H. and Cohen, M.F. (2016) Biological consilience of hydrogen sulfide and nitric oxide
- in plants: Gases of primordial earth linking plant, microbial and animal physiologies. Nitric Oxide
- 622 55–56, 91-100
- 126. Hann, C.T. et al. (2014) Methanol and ethanol modulate responses to danger-and microbe-
- associated molecular patterns. Front. Plant Sci. 5, 550
- 625 127. Ryu, C.M. et al. (2004) Bacterial volatiles induce systemic resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant
- 626 Physiol. 134, 1017–26
- 627 128. Kong, H.G. et al. (2018) Stereoisomers of the bacterial volatile compound 2,3-butanediol
- differently elicit systemic defense responses of pepper against multiple viruses in the field.
- Front. Plant Sci. 9, 90

- 630 129. Han, S.H. et al. (2006) GacS-dependent production of 2R,3R-butanediol by *Pseudomonas*
- 631 chlororaphis o6 is a major determinant for eliciting systemic resistance against Erwinia
- 632 carotovora but not against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tabaci in tobacco. Mol. Plant-Microbe
- 633 Interact. 19, 924–30
- 130. Marquez-Villavicencio, M.P. et al. (2011) The 3-hydroxy-2-butanone pathway is required for
- 635 Pectobacterium carotovorum pathogenesis. PLoS One 6, e22974
- 131. Spinelli, F. et al. (2012) Emission of volatile compounds by *Erwinia amylovora*: biological activity
- in vitro and possible exploitation for bacterial identification. Trees 26, 141–52
- 638 132. Rudrappa, T. et al. (2010) The rhizobacterial elicitor acetoin induces systemic resistance in
- 639 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Commun. Integr. Biol. 3, 130–8
- 133. Cofer, T.M., Seidl-Adams, I. and Tumlinson, J.H. (2018) From acetoin to (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol: the
- diversity of volatile organic compounds that induce plant responses. J. Agric. Food Chem. 66,
- 642 11197–208
- 134. Huang, C.J. et al. (2012) Dimethyl disulfide is an induced systemic resistance elicitor produced
- by *Bacillus cereus* C1L. Pest Manag. Sci. 68, 1306–1310
- 135. Farag, M., Zhang, H. and Ryu, C. (2013) Dynamic Chemical Communication between Plants and
- Bacteria through Airborne Signals: Induced Resistance by Bacterial Volatiles. J. Chem. Ecol. 38,
- 647 1007-1018
- 136. Xu, Y.Y. et al. (2015) Effect of volatile organic compounds from bacteria on nematodes. Chem.
- 649 Biodivers. 12, 1415–21
- 137. Davis, T.S. et al. (2013) Microbial volatile emissions as insect semiochemicals. J. Chem. Ecol. 39,
- 651 840–59
- 138. Venu, I. et al. (2014) Social attraction mediated by fruit flies' microbiome. J. Exp. Biol. 217,
- 653 1346-52
- 654 139. Wallingford, A.K. et al. (2016) Behavioral response of spotted-wing drosophila, Drosophila
- 655 suzukii Matsumura, to aversive odors and a potential oviposition deterrent in the field. Pest.
- 656 Manag. Sci. 72, 701–6
- 657 140. Dillon, R.J., Vennard, C.T. and Charnley, A.K. (2000) Exploitation of gut bacteria in the locust.
- 658 Nature 403, 851–851
- 659 141. Farine, J.-P. et al. (2017) Maternally-transmitted microbiota affects odor emission and
- preference in *Drosophila* larva. Sci. Rep. 7, 6062

- 142. Leroy, P.D. et al. (2011) Microorganisms from aphid honeydew attract and enhance the efficacy
- of natural enemies. Nat. Commun. 2, 348
- 143. D'Alessandro, M. et al. (2014) Volatiles produced by soil-borne endophytic bacteria increase
- plant pathogen resistance and affect tritrophic interactions. Plant Cell Environ. 37, 813–26
- 144. Niu, Q. et al. (2010) A Trojan horse mechanism of bacterial pathogenesis against nematodes.
- 666 PNAS 107, 16631–6
- 145. Hamby, K.A. and Becher, P.G. (2016) Current knowledge of interactions between *Drosophila*
- 668 suzukii and microbes, and their potential utility for pest management. J. Pest. Sci. 89, 621–30
- 146. Colman, D.R., Toolson, E.C. and Takacs-Vesbach, C.D. (2012) Do diet and taxonomy influence
- insect gut bacterial communities? Mol. Ecol. 21, 5124–37
- 147. Hadapad, A.B. et al. (2016) Diversity of bacterial communities in the midgut of *Bactrocera*
- 672 cucurbitae (Diptera: Tephritidae) populations and their potential use as attractants. Pest.
- 673 Manag. Sci. 72, 1222–30
- 674 148.Cho, S.M. et al. (2008) 2R,3R-butanediol, a bacterial volatile produced by *Pseudomonas*
- 675 *chlororaphis* O6, is involved in induction of systemic tolerance to drought in *Arabidopsis*
- *thaliana*. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 21, 1067–75
- 149. Zhang, H. et al. (2010) Choline and osmotic-stress tolerance induced in Arabidopsis by the soil
- 678 microbe Bacillus subtilis (GB03). Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 23, 1097–104
- 679 150. Cappellari, L.d.R. and Banchio, E. (2020) Microbial volatile organic compounds produced by
- 680 Bacillus amyloliquefaciens GB03 ameliorate the effects of salt stress in Mentha piperita
- principally through acetoin emission. J. Plant Growth Regul. 39, 764–775
- 151. Zhang, H. et al. (2008) Soil bacteria confer plant salt tolerance by tissue-specific regulation of
- the sodium transporter HKT1. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 21, 737–44
- 152. Vaishnav, A. et al. (2016) PGPR-mediated expression of salt tolerance gene in soybean through
- volatiles under sodium nitroprusside. J. Basic Microbiol. 56, 1274–88
- 686 153.Ledger, T. et al. (2016) Volatile-mediated effects predominate in *Paraburkholderia*
- 687 phytofirmans growth promotion and salt stress tolerance of Arabidopsis thaliana. Front.
- 688 Microbiol. 7, 1838
- 154. Lantz, A.T. et al. (2019) Isoprene: New insights into the control of emission and mediation of
- stress tolerance by gene expression. Plant Cell Environ. 42, 2808–2826
- 691 155. McGenity, T.J., Crombie, A.T. and Murrell, J.C. (2018) Microbial cycling of isoprene, the most
- abundantly produced biological volatile organic compound on Earth. ISME J 12, 931–941

156. Xue, J. and Ahring, B.K. (2011) Enhancing isoprene production by genetic modification of the 1 deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate pathway in *Bacillus subtilis*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 77, 2399–
 405

Box 1. Low molecular weight volatile compounds

697

726

698 Some volatile compounds, characterised by a small and simple chemical structure, interact directly 699 with plant metabolism or signalling cascades. However, these molecules have received, in 700 explorative studies, only marginal attention, because of technical limits, including the impossibility 701 of a correct identification by GC-MS (due to short retention times and/or scarcely indicative spectral profiles), or the occurrence of artifacts (such as accumulation effects in sealed cuvets, or 702 703 interference with growing media) linked with the experimental setting. Carbon dioxide fuels photosynthesis and it is often the most important limiting factor of this process. 704 705 CO₂ regulates stomata opening and, consequently, photosynthesis and transpiration [115]. CO₂ may 706 contribute to the growth and resistance promoting effects observed in plants treated with bacterial 707 VOCs [116]. CO₂ produced by respiration of bacterial endophytic symbionts can reenter the photosynthetic pathway, not being limited by stomata opening, and has been estimated to be able 708 709 to provide up to 57% of total CO₂ photo-assimilated by the plant [117]. In this view, plants colonised by endosymbionts may have a better water use efficiency and a higher availability of 710 711 photoassimilates for growth and defences. 712 Ethylene is a gaseous plant hormone playing a central role in plant development and resistance 713 response to abiotic and biotic stresses [118], also interacting with salicylic acid- and jasmonic acid-714 dependent signalling pathways. Ethylene and its precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) are subjected to sophisticated co-regulation by plants and associated microbes, thus shaping 715 716 the plant microbiome [119,120]. In fact, bacteria can actively produce ethylene, or reduce its 717 biosynthesis in plants subtracting ACC by ACC-deaminase activity, thus lowering plant ethylene levels and promoting plant growth. 718 Nitric oxide (NO) is a radical gas mediating a large variety of physiological responses in plants. Plant-719 720 associated bacteria can produce NO, as a result of denitrification, by enzymatic conversion of L-721 arginine or by release from siderophores [121,122]. One important effect of bacterial NO, observed 722 e.g. in Azospirillum spp. [123], is the enhancement of root branching, promoting both plant 723 nutritional status and bacterial colonisation. 724 While commonly regarded as a toxic and/or defence compound, hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) also has a regulative role in plants by interacting with NO and thiols [124,125]. Plant-associated bacteria 725

synthesise H₂S through cysteine desulfhydrylation or sulfite reduction.

Ethanol and methanol are common products of fermentation, originated by both plants and bacteria under anoxic conditions, and have been implied in the activation of plant stress responses [126].

729 730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

727

728

Box 2. BVC-elicited induction of plant defences

Since the discovery of induced systemic resistance (ISR, see glossary) by 2,3-butanediol [127], the potential application of BVCs for the elicitation of plant defences has drawn attention. Notably, induction of plant defences is one of the very few measures that can be adopted against viral diseases [128]. ISR is often stimulated as the result of a specific symbiotic interaction between the host plant and bacteria, which also promote plant nutrition and growth. Thus, the two aspects of defence and growth promotion coexist in the same symbiotic relation and are somewhat difficult to tell apart (e.g. activation of stress responses in Figure 1). However, relevant details for plant defence engineering, including mechanisms of signal perception and decoding (i.e., how relatively simple molecules drive specific responses), remain obscure [88]. 2,3-butanediol biosynthesis, for instance, was observed both in defence-eliciting (Bacillus and Serratia spp., Pseudomonas chlororaphis) and pathogenic (Erwinia/Pectobacterium, Dickeya spp.) bacteria [127,129-131]. In different pathosystems, the action of 2,3-butanediol and related compounds (acetoin, 2,3-butanedione) has been connected to different combinations of salicylic acid, jasmonate and/or ethylene signal cascades [127,128,132]. According to the relative stimulation of these pathways, specific subsets of plant defensive responses may be activated. While 2,3-butanediol and related compounds are the best-studied example of defence-inducing BVCs, other molecules [43,133] were identified which could stimulate plant defences. In some cases, such as for DMDS and benzothiazole, direct antimicrobial and plant defence induction effects may coexist [134]. One advantage of these compounds is that, although acting through plant hormone signal cascades, they are less prone than hormones to cause drastic physiological reprogramming. For instance, ISR is expressed only after pathogen challenge, and is not generally associated to changes in plant phenotype or crop yield [40,135]. Synergism of BVCs in complex mixtures may also occur in natural conditions [88]. By modulating the simultaneous activation of several signal cascades (ethylene, jasmonate, salicylate, and other hormones), BVC mixtures could attain protection against a broader range of pathogens [135].

757

758

Box 3. Pest management by BVCs

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

Survival and replication rate of pests (including Drosophila suzukii and several nematodes) were reduced by means of bacterial volatile emissions [48,136]. However, the use of toxic BVCs seems impractical against most motile animal species, due to limitations in exposure time and concentration. Instead, microbial biocontrol agents releasing toxic compounds may act as effective biopesticides for soil-borne or sessile pests, with a significantly reduced environmental impact. Insects and nematodes use a variety of semiochemicals to coordinate their life functions, including feeding, mating, oviposing and alarm behaviour. Pest- or plant-associated bacteria contribute to the production of biologically active BVCs (Figure 1), and many cases of attraction to microbes associated to the host have been observed [137]. Fruit flies (Drosophila spp.), for instance, are attracted by BVCs from symbiotic Lactobacillus spp. acting as aggregation pheromones [138], and are repelled by the common BVCs, 1-octen-3-ol and geosmin [139]. Locusts use guaiacol derivatives, produced by *Pantoea agglomerans* residing in their intestines, as an aggregation pheromone [140]. Finally, the association with certain bacteria may determine the insect's preference for BVCs emitted by those microbes, by effect of conditioning or learning [141]. Parasitoid recruitment can be mediated by BVCs, either by direct attraction to microbes indicating a food source [142], or indirectly by eliciting a more intense release of plant VOCs [143]. Concerning nematodes, experiments on the model organism Caenorhabditis elegans showed that attractive BVCs produced by Bacillus nematocida, such as benzyl benzoate, benzaldehyde, 2-heptanone, and acetophenone, stimulate bacterial swallowing by the host. Thus, the bacterium colonises the worm's intestines, leading to its death [144]. BVCs may, therefore, find an application in pest management. VOC-based technologies, employing attractants, deterrents and pheromone-like compounds have been applied to lure-and-kill, pushpull and sexual confusion control strategies. However, information concerning semiochemicals for such technologies is currently restricted to a relatively small number of species (mostly Lepidoptera, Diptera and Coleoptera). New impulse, in this sense, may derive from metagenomic analyses performed either on the insect or on its hosts [145]. With the exception of specialised symbiotic relations, insect guts have often been demonstrated to host relatively simple microbiota, dominated by Enterobacteriaceae (notably Enterobacter/Pantoea and Klebsiella spp.), to be highly influenced by the diet, and possibly transmitted by parents [141,146,147]. This body of knowledge may provide useful information for the selection of effective and persistent biocontrol agents interacting with insects.

Box 4. Examples of abiotic stress tolerance induced by BVCs

791

792

793 physiological effects. Plant-associated bacteria may indirectly influence plant NO and ethylene 794 emission or produce these bioactive compounds (Box 1). 795 Water deficiency, osmotic stress and salt toxicity are partially interconnected and overlapping both in causes and in the induction of plant responses. 2,3-butanediol, or total BVCs from 2,3-butanediol-796 797 emitting bacteria Bacillus subtilis GB03 and Pseudomonas chlororaphis O6, increased Arabidopsis tolerance to water deficiency and osmotic stress. Abscisic acid, salicylic acid, ethylene, and jasmonic 798 799 acid signaling pathways were implicated in P. chlororaphis O6- and 2,3-butanediol-induced stomata 800 closure, increasing tolerance to drought [148]. B. subtilis GB03 stimulates the biosynthesis of 801 osmoprotectants (choline, glycine-betaine) in the plant, enhancing its growth under water 802 withholding and osmotic treatment [149]. Several cases of improved plant tolerance to salt stress have been observed after interaction with 803 BVC-releasing bacteria. B. subtilis GB03 and its main volatile, acetoin, enhance peppermint 804 805 tolerance to salt stress by stimulating SA biosynthesis and reducing ABA [150]. Another mechanism of induction of Na⁺ stress tolerance in Arabidopsis consists of the tissue-specific modulation of HKT 806 807 ion transporters [151]. Such transporters are downregulated in roots to reduce Na⁺ uptake and 808 upregulated in shoots to promote internal recirculation. NO, produced by salt-stressed plants, was also implicated in the enhanced colonisation of the rhizosphere by Pseudomonas simiae strain AU, 809 which in turn elicits antioxidant defences, osmoprotection and expression of ion transporters in 810 811 soybean [152]. Other salt tolerance-inducing BVCs (namely, 2-undecanone, 1-heptanol and 3methyl-butanol) were identified from Parabulkholderia phytofirmans [153]. 812 813 Drought stress and high temperature promote isoprene emission by plants [154]. Isoprene is the most abundantly produced biogenic VOC on Earth, with an estimated emission of more than 2% of 814 815 all photoassimilates. Isoprene has a likely a role in protection from reactive oxygen and nitrogen species formed under diverse stress conditions [155]. Many Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and 816 817 Firmicutes produce isoprene. Bacillus and related genera are among the terrestrial bacteria accounting for the highest production of isoprene. Interestingly, isoprene emission by Bacillus 818 819 subtilis is enhanced by supra-optimal temperature and salinity [156], suggesting that also plantassociated B. subitlis may mediate the plant reactions to these stresses. Soil and phyllosphere 820 821 bacteria may also directly influence the host isoprene metabolism by using plant-derived isoprene 822 as carbon source [155].

Abiotic stresses elicit NO and ethylene production in plants, which exert several and multifaceted

Table 1. Applications of VOC-based recognition of bacterial pathogens in different plant species and organs, indicating the diagnostic techniques and the VOCs marker/s

Crop species and conditions	Bacterial pathogen/contaminant	Methods	Distinctive features and remarks	Reference
Apple, dormant plants	Erwinia amylovora Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae	GC-MS E-NOSE PTR-MS	Multiple pathogen discrimination Dilution effects Markers: acetoin, 2,3-butanediol, 2-hexenal, phenylethanol (<i>E. amylovora</i>)	[15]
Bell pepper-derived medium	Leuconostoc gelidum ssp. gasicomitatum and Lactococcus piscium	GC-MS SIFT-MS	Control of spoilage off-odours by controlled atmosphere	[16]
Carrot, roots	Pectobacterium carotovorum	GC-MS	Multiple pathogen discrimination Markers: 3-methyl-butan-1-ol, 1-propanol, 2,3- butanedione	[17]
Citrus sinensis, asymptomatic plant	C. liberibacter	GS-MS FAIMS	Correct identification of PCR-false negatives Severity-dependent markers: methyl-salicylate (severe), geranyl acetone, linalool (mild)	[18]
Ficus benjamina and Spathiphyllum wallisii, in vitro cultures	Escherichia coli contamination	SIFT-MS		[19]
Grapefruit, leaves	Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri	GC-MS	Marker: phenylacetaldehyde O-methyloxime	[20]
Grapevine, rootstock cuts	Agrobacterium vitis	GC-MS E-NOSE	Marker: styrene	[21]
Kiwifruit, in vitro explants	Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae	GC-MS E-NOSE PTR-MS	Marker: 1-undecene	[22]
Lettuce	Resident microflora (mainly Pseudomonas spp.)	GC-MS SIFT-MS	Control of spoilage off-odours by packaging	[23]
Onion, bulbs	Burkholderia cepacia	GC-MS E-NOSE	Markers: 2-nonanone, 2-octyl-5-methyl-3(2H)-furanone	[24]
Onion, bulbs	Pectobacterium carotovorum	GC-MS	Multiple pathogen interaction Marker: 3-bromo-furan	[25]
Onion, bulbs	Burkholderia cepacia	FAIMS		[26,27]
Poplar, wood	Bacterial wetwood (non- determined species)	E-NOSE		[28]
Potato, tubers	Clavibacter michiganensis ssp. sepedonicus	GC-MS PTR-MS	Marker: 2-propanol	[29]
Potato, tubers	Pectobacterium carotovorum Bacillus polymyxa Arthrobacter sp.	GC-MS	Markers: 2-propenal, DMDS, 1-alkenes, branched alkanes, octanal, naphtalene, butanoic acid (<i>P. carotovorum</i>); N,N-dimethylformamide, 1-pentadecene (<i>B. polymyxa</i>); 2,3-dihydrofuran (<i>Arthrobacter</i> sp.)	[30]
Potato, tubers	Pectobacterium carotovorum	GC	Multiple pathogen discrimination	[31]
Potato, tubers	Ralstonia solanacearum, Clavibacter michiganensis ssp. sepedonicus	E-NOSE	Lab- to real scale Threshold of disease severity for recognition	[32]
Potato, tubers	Pectobacterium carotovorum	E-NOSE	Pre-symptomatic recognition	[33]
Potato, tubers	Pectobacterium carotovorum	FAIMS	Early detection (1 d post inoculation)	[34]
Potato, tubers	Pectobacterium carotovorum	FAIMS		[26]

Table 2. Overview of analytical techniques employed for VOC-based plant diagnosis, with working principles and potential advantages and drawbacks

Analytical technique	Working principle	Operative features	Diagnostic principle
GC-MS	Differential retention time and	+ High analytical power (identification of	Recognition of markers
	fragmentation profile of VOCs	single compounds)	Multivariate statistical analysis
		+ May use sample concentration on sorbents	
		(e.g. SPME)	
PTR-MS	Fragmentation profile of VOCs	+ Partial analytical power	Partial recognition of markers
SIFT-MS		+ Quick response	Multivariate statistical analysis
Electronic nose	Electric properties of the overall VOC	+ Simple operation, portability	Multivariate statistical analysis
	mixture	+ Quick response	Neural network machine learning
		+ May adjust sensitivity by regulating flow	
		- Interference by water	
		- No analytical power	
		- Instrumental drift	
FAIMS	Differential mobility of ion fragments in	+ Partial analytical power	Partial recognition of markers
	electric field	+ Portability	Multivariate statistical analysis

Table 3. Volatile organic compounds exerting direct toxicity against plant pathogens

Compound(s)	Emitting species	Target organism(s)	Reference
Hydrogen cyanide Ammonia 1-Undecene	Pseudomonas spp. Bacillus spp. others	Phytophthora infestans Rhizoctonia solani Helminthosporium solani Fusarium oxysporum Dickeya dianthicola	[49]
2-(2'-heptyl)-3-methyl-4-quinolone	Burkholderia cepacia	Aspergillus niger and other fungi	[44]
Alkylated benzene derivatives Phenol derivatives Naphthalene derivatives Benzothiazole 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol 2-Undecanol 2-Nonanone 2-Decanone 2-Undecanone Nonanal Decanal	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens NJN-6	Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense	[45]
N,N-dimethyl- hexadecanamine	Arthrobacter agilis UMCV2	Botrytis cinerea Phytophthora cinnammomi	[46]
2-methyl-isoborneol	Streptomyces alboflavus TD-1	Fusarium moniliforme	[47]
1-Undecene 2-Nonanone 2-Undecanone	Pseudomonas chlororaphis	Agrobacterium tumefaciens Synechococcus spp. Rhizoctonia solani	[48]
Dimethyl disulfide 2-Heptanone	Serratia proteamaculans	Agrobacterium tumefaciens Synechococcus spp. Rhizoctonia solani	[48]
3-hexanone 1-dodecene isovaleric acid S-methyl-butanethioate S-methyl-methanethiosulfonate furfuryl alcohol acetophenone phenylpropanedione 2- acetylthiazole nitropentane	Pseudomonas spp.	Phytophthora infestans	[49]

2-(2-Methylpropyl)-3-(1-methylethyl) pyrazine 2- Isopropylpyrazine 2- methyl-1-butanol Hexadecanal Isoamyl acetate	Paenibacillus polymyxa Sb3-1	Verticillium longisporum	[51]
Phenylethyl alcohol Methyl salicylate Ethyl phenylacetate Methyl anthranilate α-Copaene Caryophyllene 4-Ethylphenol Humulene	Streptomyces fimicarius BWL-H1	Peronophythora litchii	[52]
2,3,5-Trimethylpyrazine 2-Nonanone 2-Decanone 2-Dodecanone Dimethyl disulfide Dimethyl trisulfide	Bacillus spp. Pseudomonas spp.	Fusarium spp. Colletotrichum gloeosporioides	[53]
Hexanedioic acid, bis(2-ethylhexyl) ester Octadecane 1-Hexadecanol Docosane Chloroacetic acid, tetradecyl ester	Bacillus atrophaeus HAB-5	Colletotrichum gloeosporioides	[54]
2-methyl-1-butanol ethyl hexanoate 3-methyl-1-butanol ethyl octanoate phenylethyl acetate phenylethyl alcohol	Pseudomonas chlororaphis subsp. aureofaciens SPS-41	Ceratocystis fimbriata	[55]
2-methylbutyrate 2-phenylethanol	Streptomyces yanglinensis 3-10	Aspergillus flavus A. parasiticus	[56]
Isooctanol Linalool 3-Octanone 2-Naphthalene methanol 3-Undecanone 2-Tridecanone	Corrallococcus sp. EGB	Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cucumerinum Penicillium digitatum	[57]
Dimethyl disulfide	Pseudomonas fluorescens B-4117 P. fluorescens Q8r1-96 Serratia plymuthica IC1270	Agrobacterium tumefaciens A. vitis	[58]
2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol Hydrogen cyanide	Pseudomonas sp. LBUM300	Clavibacter michiganensis ssp. michiganensis	[59]
Benzaldehyde Nonanal Benzothiazole Acetophenone	Bacillus subtilis FA26	Clavibacter michiganensis ssp. sepedonicus	[60]
3,5,5-trimethylhexanol Decyl alcohol	Bacillus cereus D13	Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae	[61]
Toluene Ethyl benzene m-xylene Benzothiazole 2-decanol 2-tridecanol 1-undecanol Dimethyl disulfide Benzaldehyde 1-Methyl naphthalene	Pseudomonas fluorescens WR-1	Ralstonia solanacearum	[62]

Table 4. Examples of bacterial interactions with crops influencing quality parameters

Crop plant	Bacterial species	Quality parameter(s)	Mechanism of interaction	Reference
Sweet basil	Bacillus subtilis GB03	Increased production of essential oils		[92]
Peppermint	Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS417r, Bacillus subtilis GB03, Azospirillum brasilense SP7	Increased production of essential oils		[93]
Atractylodes lancea	Pseudomonas fluorescens ALEB7B	Increased production of essential oils	Benzaldehyde mediates the effect	[94]
Strawberry	Methylobacter spp.	Production of aromatic compounds (furanones)	Bacterial alcohol dehydrogenase	[95,96]
Raspberry	Methylobacter spp., Bacillus spp.	Production of aromatic compounds (frambinone)		[109,110]
Strawberry	Bacillus megaterium	Production of aromatic compounds (2,3-dialkylacroleins)	Conversion of linear aldehydes	[111]
Basmati rice	Acinetobacter spp.	Production of aromatic compounds (2-acetyl-1-pyrroline)		[112]
Citrus, mango, cherry, litchi, peach	Bacillus spp.	Protection from spoliage	Antifungal action of cedrol and 2-pentylfuran	[97-102]
Strawberry, citrus, tomato, chili	Streptomyces spp.	Protection from spoliage	Antifungal action of acetophenone	[103-107]
Grapevine	Paenibacillus spp.	Production of aromatic compounds in wine production		[108]

Figure 1. Summary of VOC-mediated biological functions of plant-associated bacteria.

Biological effects of plant-associated bacteria and their mechanisms of interaction with the host plant and the environment can be exploited in the agricultural practice. The resident bacteria may increase the availability of certain mineral nutrients, or stimulate plant growth and stress responses by means of hormones or other signalling compounds. As a result, a better nutritional status and a better ability to cope with stresses is achieved in the host plant. In their interaction with pests and pathogens, plant-associated bacteria may act as direct competitors and/or predators with a biocidal action, or exert a disturbance in long-range signalling, possibly influencing the pest's behaviour, its recognition by natural enemies, and the expression of «social» phenotypes related to virulence in pathogens.

845

846

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

Glossary

- 847 **BCA**: Biological Control Agent, an organism exerting directly (e.g. by killing or predating) or indirectly
- 848 (by competition for resources, or through the action of other organisms) a limiting effect on the
- 849 population of a pest or pathogen.
- 850 **BVCs**: Bacterial Volatile Compounds, including organic (i.e. carbon-containing) and inorganic (e.g.
- H_2S , nitrogen oxides) compounds.
- 852 **E-NOSE**: Electronic nose, a device including an array of electric sensors with differential affinity for
- 853 different chemical classes, and variating their electric conductance upon interaction with the
- components of a gas blend. Used to compare gas samples, has good portability and ease of
- operation, allows real-time analysis, but not chemical identification.
- 856 **FAIMS**: Field Asymmetric Ion Mobility Spectrometry, analytical method based on the separation of
- ions in an oscillating electric field. Allows real-time analysis of gas profiles with good portability, and
- can be coupled to GC-MS for chemical identification.
- 859 **GC-MS**: Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry, analytical technique based on separation of
- molecules in a gas mixture according to affinity to a chromatographic column, followed by their
- 861 fragmentation to yield a typical spectrum. Most used technique for identification of volatile
- 862 compounds.
- 863 Gnotobiotics: study of test organisms, in which the resident microbial community is artificial,
- 864 controlled and/or completely characterised.
- 865 **Holobiont**: the complex formed by a host organism and its associated microflora.

- 866 ISR: Induced Systemic Resistance, condition of increased and generalised plant resistance to
- 867 potential pathogens and pests, activated after interactions with microbes (including beneficial
- 868 symbionts).
- 869 **Metagenomics**: study of the complex of genomes associated in one super-organism, such as a plant
- with its associated microflora.
- 871 **PTR-MS**: Proton Transfer Reaction-Mass Spectrometry, analytical technique based on
- 872 fragmentation of gas compounds in an electric field. Allows highly sensitive real-time detection and
- 873 tentative identification of compounds.
- 874 QS: Quorum Sensing, bacterial communication system allowing the coordination of 'social'
- phenotypes (motility, biofilm formation, etc.) according to population density.
- 876 **SIFT-MS**: Selected Ion Flow Tube-Mass Spectrometry, analytical technique based on fragmentation
- 877 of gas compounds in an air flow. Allows real-time detection and tentative identification of
- 878 compounds.
- 879 **VOCs:** Volatile organic compounds, organic molecules characterised by low vapour pressure, high
- lipophylicity and low molecular weight, normally found in the gas phase in standard conditions.
- 881 Volatilome: the complete set of volatile compounds originating from an organism or biological
- 882 system.

