

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna  
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Speleology as an analogue to space exploration: The ESA CAVES training programme

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Sauro, F., De Waele, J.o., Payler, S.J., Vattano, M., Sauro, F.M., Turchi, L., et al. (2021). Speleology as an analogue to space exploration: The ESA CAVES training programme. ACTA ASTRONAUTICA, 184, 150-166 [10.1016/j.actaastro.2021.04.003].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/819077> since: 2021-04-21

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2021.04.003>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Sauro, Francesco; De Waele, Jo; Payler, Samuel J.; Vattano, Marco; Sauro, Francesco Maria; Turchi, Leonardo; Bessone, Loredana: *Speleology as an analogue to space exploration: The ESA CAVES training programme*

ACTA ASTRONAUTICA

VOL. 184

ISSN 0094-5765

DOI: 10.1016/j.actaastro.2021.04.003

The final published version is available online at:

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2021.04.003>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

**When citing, please refer to the published version.**

# Speleology as an analogue to space exploration: the ESA CAVES training programme

Francesco Sauro<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jo De Waele<sup>2</sup>, Samuel J. Payler<sup>1,4</sup>, Marco Vattano<sup>1,3,5</sup>, Francesco Maria Sauro<sup>3</sup>, Leonardo Turchi<sup>1</sup>, Loredana Bessone<sup>1</sup>

1) Directorate of Human and Robotics Exploration, European Space Agency, Linder Höhe, 51147 Köln, Germany

2) Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, Italian Institute of Speleology, Bologna University, Via Zamboni 67, 40126, Bologna, Italy,

3) Miles Beyond srl, Via Danieletti 11, 35134 Padova

4) Agenzia Spaziale Italiana, Rome, Italy

5) Department of Earth and Marine Sciences, Palermo University

## Abstract

Caves remain among the most challenging exploration frontiers on planet Earth. They are difficult to access, present a range of unique and unusual environmental characteristics, and can only be mapped through direct human exploration. These challenges and several environmental factors specific to caves mean that speleology shares several analogies with space missions. For humans, cave exploration imposes isolation, confinement, minimal privacy, technical challenges, limited equipment and supplies, a sense of disconnect from the surface and regular life, a lack of diurnal cycles, and the constant presence of risk. As many of the same challenges are imposed on humans during space exploration, in 2005 the European Space Agency (ESA) began examining the possibility of using natural cave systems as a platform for astronaut training. These efforts resulted in a new ESA training programme named CAVES (Cooperative Advventure for Valuing and Exercising human behaviour and performance Skills) being launched in 2011, involving astronauts from partner space agencies. The primary objective of this training is to enhance astronaut individual and team performance and behavioural competencies by exposing them to the challenges of a real mission into an unknown and dangerous environment. To achieve this, the course's training activities are based around a real scientific and technological programme focused on cave science. Many aspects of the location and course content have been designed by a team of behavioural experts, scientists, trainers, operations engineers and speleologists with the support of caving organizations and schools. CAVES training events leverage cave exploration to create situations that are analogues to spaceflight in terms of safety protocols, perception and management of risk, crew composition and role assignments, group living, isolation, and confinement. In addition, these

35 courses provide an opportunity for astronauts to experience spaceflight-like or relevant  
36 operations, science, equipment testing, and exploration, in preparation for future planetary  
37 endeavours. The scientific, exploration and equipment testing aspects of the course are real  
38 (not simulated). This ensures that these activities provide benefits to the speleological and  
39 scientific communities, whilst guaranteeing the realism of these activities for training purposes.  
40 During six editions of CAVES, from 2011 to 2019, 34 astronauts from 6 different space agencies  
41 (ESA, NASA, JAXA, ROSCOSMOS, CSA, and CNSA) have taken part in the training. The CAVES  
42 training programme has been recognized by all participant astronauts and, in particular, by  
43 those who have travelled to space, as one of the best space analogue training opportunities  
44 available on Earth. The learning outcomes are applicable to both current and future orbital  
45 missions, as well as surface and subsurface missions to other planetary bodies.

46  
47 **Key words:** astronaut training, cave science, human spaceflight, space analogue, planetary  
48 exploration, human behaviour and performance, isolated confined environments, technological  
49 testing

## 51 **1. Introduction**

52 Training astronauts for long duration missions is highly important to space agencies, not only  
53 for current and future orbital activities (e.g., International Space Station – ISS), but also for  
54 future human and robotic planetary surface exploration (i.e. Moon and Mars). Preparing for  
55 expeditions to other planets requires the extreme environmental and situational  
56 characteristics of space to be replicated on Earth in analogue environments where stressors  
57 similar to those experienced in long duration spaceflight can be safely and repeatedly  
58 encountered [1]. Using terrestrial extreme and/or unusual environments as space analogues  
59 [2] can provide predictive insight into the multitude of factors that impact group performance,  
60 health, and well-being in challenging environments [3]. In order for training in analogue  
61 environments on Earth to be valuable for improving human performance and team processes  
62 on long duration space missions, they must combine realistic perceived risks, whilst enabling  
63 the execution of complex technical tasks, group work, and prolonged cohabitation in isolated  
64 and/or confined settings. Achieving this requires the identification of suitable terrestrial  
65 analogue environments and the design of high-fidelity training courses/mission scenarios. This  
66 should enable valuable and transferable experiences to be gained from working within an  
67 environment, as opposed to simply experiencing an environment's characteristics, as proposed  
68 by Suefeld [4]. Presently, only a small number of high fidelity space analogue training platforms

69 are available and suitable for astronaut training. These programmes provide combinations of  
70 behavioural stress, technology use, safety protocols, scientific objectives, and operationally  
71 realistic mission concepts within alien environments, to replicate the conditions of long-term  
72 space exploration. The most established of these organised by NASA is NEEMO (NASA Extreme  
73 Environment Mission Operations), taking place in the underwater base “Aquarius” in Florida  
74 [5]. NEEMO incorporates technological, scientific as well as operational analogies, within an  
75 environment and habitat very relevant to orbital space missions, to provide astronaut crews  
76 with a highly valuable training experience. It also provides a convincing and realistic testbed  
77 for testing technologies and operations being developed for future space missions.

78 In 2005, ESA began to study cave environments as a potential platform for creating space  
79 analogue missions [6]. Early on, it was clear that speleological exploration and cave research  
80 had a lot in common with current and future space activities, including not only technical  
81 progression protocols and science operations, but also individual and team behavioural  
82 dynamics. Speleology, along with ocean exploration, is one of the last frontiers of exploration  
83 on Earth [7]. Extended cave expeditions require complex logistics, detailed planning,  
84 multidisciplinary expertise, detailed safety protocols and teamwork [8]. The challenges and  
85 dangers are real, resources are limited, and travel time through a cave system is comparable to  
86 human space missions (about eight hours of daily activity, multiple days from “launch” to reach  
87 base camp, week-long expeditions). Rescue operations in case of emergencies are also very  
88 complex and slow, requiring coordination amongst trained personnel with complementary  
89 roles using highly specialised equipment and communicating via audio channels.

90 These analogies between space and cave missions were leveraged to create an astronaut  
91 training concept called CAVES (Cooperative Adventure for Valuing and Exercising human  
92 behaviour and performance Skills), which was first implemented in 2011. Nine years and six  
93 editions after its first implementation, CAVES has become an established analogue training  
94 course for astronauts from many international space agencies. In this article, we describe the  
95 main analogies developed in this course, its philosophy and structure, as well as the general  
96 feedback from the astronauts who have participated, and its evolution over time.

97

## 98 **2. Speleological expeditions vs spaceflight**

99

### 100 ***2.1 Characteristics of the cave environment***

101 More than 50,000 km of caves have been explored on Earth in the last forty years, with  
102 geologists estimating that over a million more are still waiting to be discovered and explored

103 [7]. Caves develop mainly in karstic areas, where infiltrating water dissolves soluble rocks such  
104 as limestone, dolostone, gypsum, to create extensive networks of voids underground [9].  
105 However, caves can also be found in volcanic areas (lava tubes and evacuated magma  
106 chambers)[10], in extremely resistant lithologies such as quartzites [11], in salt deserts [12]  
107 and inside glaciers (ice caves due to melt [13]). Although caves can be found in a wide range of  
108 environments, they share some general characteristics, such as complete darkness. Caves can  
109 be complex labyrinths of horizontal, vertical, and inclined passages spread across different  
110 levels, requiring the use of climbing skills, rope techniques, bolting and safety lines to traverse  
111 [14, 15]. Despite the rapid advance of technology in the past decades, there are still no  
112 technological methods available to easily map the extent of deep cave systems from the surface.  
113 This means the best way of extending our knowledge of these subterranean worlds is still direct  
114 human exploration.

115 Cave landscapes are varied and often challenging to navigate. Sequences of passages such as  
116 tubular galleries, high canyons, deep shafts, giant chambers, lakes, waterfalls and extremely  
117 tight spots can all be found in a single cave system. Speleologists have to find their path through  
118 rock piles, or climb along walls and ledges to avoid obstacles and dangerous areas. The floor of  
119 the cave can be rocky, muddy and wet. Typically caves lack vegetation and animal life, aside  
120 from a few vertebrates (i.e., bats and swiftlets), small often specialized invertebrates, and  
121 microbial communities. Atmospheric conditions in caves can be characterized by stable  
122 temperatures, high relative air humidity, CO<sub>2</sub> levels frequently ten times higher than at the  
123 surface, and sometimes significant radon concentrations.

124 The complexity of the cave environment, combined with the darkness and lack of reference  
125 points requires caves to be carefully mapped and documented [16]. Specific equipment is of  
126 vital importance to all cave explorers, and artificial lights with long-lasting power supplies,  
127 ropes and progression tools for anchoring, obstacle negotiation and safety are all crucial to  
128 successfully navigating a cave [17]. Communication with the surface is often not possible given  
129 the often hundreds or thousands of meters of depth reached when exploring cave systems. Gas  
130 monitoring equipment is also important, with gasses like radon and CO<sub>2</sub> sometimes being at  
131 increased levels [18].

132 In general, caves are environments very different from the surface of our planet, and exploring  
133 them requires constant awareness, capacity for adaptation, training and teamwork. Humans  
134 can find cave environments uncomfortable, with (often) low temperatures and high humidity.  
135 The main forces that shape caves (solutional weathering and erosion by flowing water) can also  
136 create peculiar underground landscapes. All of this combines to give exploring natural caves a

137 sense of exploring an extra-terrestrial landscape (see the Giant Crystal Cave of Naica as an  
138 example [19]).

139

## 140 ***2.2 Speleological expeditions***

141 Speleological expeditions aim to explore caves that are completely unknown, or extend our  
142 knowledge of those that are partially known through discovering and mapping new branches  
143 [20]. These expeditions must cope with complex technical, logistical and safety issues, as well  
144 as incorporating documentation, and scientific research activities. Inherent in exploring new  
145 environments is the difficulty in predicting the challenges ahead. Therefore pre-planning aimed  
146 at risk mitigation, such as correctly organising the required equipment, is fundamental to  
147 successful speleological expeditions [21]. Although national caving schools normally  
148 coordinate basic and advanced training for speleologists, most of the behavioural and  
149 operational skills needed to face real speleological explorations are gained through personal  
150 experience and exchanges with fellow cavers.

151 Although speleological expeditions require significant organization and planning, they lack the  
152 control and procedural thoroughness that characterizes space operations. In addition,  
153 speleological personnel are usually selected based on specific technical abilities, meaning  
154 training in multidisciplinary skills and team dynamics is often limited. Field specialists carrying  
155 out scientific activities during speleological expeditions, like geologists or biologists, sometimes  
156 do not have formal technical training, and rely simply on direct experience.

157 To be efficient in progression, maintain a high level of safety and manage the workload  
158 effectively, speleological expedition teams are typically composed of a minimum of 3 to a  
159 maximum of 6-8 speleologists. Expeditions are carried out over hours or days, depending on  
160 the size of the cave system and the level of prior knowledge available [22]. Typically, the further  
161 the exploration teams travel from the entrance, the more complex the logistics become. This  
162 can include the need to install fully equipped base camps for longer stays or rough bivouacs to  
163 allow the team to rest for one night or just a few hours.

164 During long speleological expeditions, activities are usually divided into four main phases: 1)  
165 campsite/bivouac phase (rest, organisation of samples and data, equipment preparation and  
166 maintenance review and planning of exploration progress); 2) transfer and transportation of  
167 equipment to the exploration area; 3) exploration, documentation and science in the new  
168 sector; 4) return and transportation of equipment to the campsite bivouac. If the exploration  
169 area becomes too distant from the campsite (more than 8-12 hours round trip), a new advanced  
170 campsite will be set up, and the activity phases restart from the new camp. These extended

171 explorations can last for weeks, and may eventually require multiple campsites in order to  
172 extend the exploration to extremely remote areas. Examples of these extremely complex  
173 speleological expeditions are those organized by American cavers in Huautla System in Mexico  
174 (PESH project [23]) by Russian speleologists in the deepest caves in the world in the Caucasus  
175 region [22], or by Italians in complex Alpine karst systems [15]. With this approach, it has been  
176 possible to reach depths of over 2200 meters (Veryovkina Cave in Georgia) and cave lengths of  
177 hundreds of kilometres (e.g. Mammoth Cave mapped for 663 km, or Lechuguilla 242 km in the  
178 USA) [24, 25].

179 Although length/depth are important when evaluating the difficulty of a specific expedition,  
180 many other factors have to be considered, such as the cave environmental conditions  
181 (temperature and humidity), morphological complexity, the presence of extremely long narrow  
182 passages, deep shafts, and dangerous or flooded areas. These elements all require different  
183 approaches, the use of specific equipment, and combine to greatly influence the time needed to  
184 traverse or explore a cave.

185

### 186 ***2.3 Spaceflight analogies in speleological exploration relevant to the improvement of*** 187 ***human performance***

188 Future long term human spaceflight to distant planetary objects presents many complex  
189 challenges that are currently not well understood and difficult to address [26-29]. Isolation,  
190 communication limitations including significant delays, unusual physical and social  
191 environments, and reduced privacy and personal space have always affected the wellbeing of  
192 crews during long-term space missions [30]. The extension of these conditions to increasingly  
193 complex and dangerous long-duration missions will push human crews to their limits, and  
194 could potentially result in catastrophic failures related to human error (for an overview of  
195 incidents related to human error in space missions, see [29]).

196 In order to prevent such incidents from happening, it is important to expose astronauts to  
197 similar stressors and challenges on Earth to improve their team work, individual performance  
198 and behavioural skills. As mentioned in the introduction, cave expeditions are safety-critical  
199 activities with limited rescue options, in which participants rely on equipment and teammates  
200 to succeed [31]. Caves are highly complex environments that present major logistical,  
201 technological, physical, and psychological challenges for those who explore them. Effectively  
202 coping with these challenges is integral to the success and safety of caving expeditions [32].  
203 These environments provide spaceflight-like stressors (Table 1) that influence human  
204 performance and therefore operations, and have been previously identified as having uses for



205 space analogue training [33-37] and human research [38, 39]. In the dark environment of a  
206 cave, there is a lack of sunlight exposure, meaning adaptation to artificial light is required.  
207 Similarly, disruptive conditions occur on the ISS, with its sixteen day/night cycles occurring  
208 every 24 hours, and comparable issues will also be encountered during future interplanetary  
209 travel. From a physiological point of view, the absence of natural time parameters can cause  
210 alteration of the circadian rhythms [40] and the related physiological stress this causes can be  
211 avoided only through Earth-like work/rest schedules, as implemented on the ISS.

212 The isolation experienced by cavers also draws parallels to that experienced in space. As  
213 discussed, it can take days of progression to reach certain parts of a cave system. This, combined  
214 with the lack of communication with the surface, instils a deep sense of isolation in participants,  
215 who are constantly aware that further progression into the cave results in increased challenges  
216 and time for rescue should it be required. Depending on the emergency, rescue progression can  
217 also be substantially longer than regular progression, particularly if an injured person has to  
218 be transported on a stretcher.

219 The three-dimensionality of cave passages, combined with the lack of common reference points  
220 in the shadows and darkness, makes orientation very difficult. This shares some parallels to the  
221 orientation challenges experienced during orbital Extravehicular Activities (EVA). Also, typical  
222 daily exploration activities in cave systems last around 8-10 hours, which is similar to current  
223 ISS EVAs.

224 Some stressors are directly related to the nature of the cave environment. Like in space, it is  
225 difficult to create comfortable conditions at the campsite. Sleeping can be uncomfortable, there  
226 is a lack of privacy, hygiene is limited, often the air is cold and the humidity is high, meaning  
227 things do not dry, and clothing rapidly get dusty or muddy. All these stressors, if not managed,  
228 can, in the long term, easily induce personal irritation, social and decision-making conflicts  
229 within the team, or even physical health issues. Other stress elements during speleological  
230 exploration activities are related to human factors and logistics. Some of these stressors can be  
231 amplified by planning a speleological expedition in a specific way. For example, communication  
232 between the cave team and the surface can be limited, imposing a high level of autonomy in the  
233 decision-making process to the exploration team. This helps force the team to develop a clear  
234 definition of tasks, roles, leadership and responsibilities. Alternating between high and low  
235 workload levels makes the group consider the distribution of work within the team, both for  
236 efficiency and safety reasons. Equipment, food, and other supplies can be limited, meaning they  
237 must be carefully managed. To keep all these factors under control, a high level of situational

238 awareness is required from all team members to ensure that individual mistakes do not  
239 propagate into serious issues that could compromise safety or mission objectives.  
240 The ability to derive salutogenic effects from the stresses encountered in extreme and unusual  
241 environments is likely to be associated with, or even contingent upon, the employment of  
242 coping strategies that allow the stressor to be either successfully resolved or endured. MacNeil  
243 and Brcic [32] suggest that positive reappraisal could be part of a mechanism by which stressful  
244 encounters (or their associated memories) catalyze salutogenic effects. The CAVES training is  
245 therefore carefully designed to balance imposing stressors on participants, with providing  
246 ample opportunities for them to be managed and addressed as a team.

247

### 248 **3. ESA CAVES training: history and location**

249 The idea of using caves for training of astronauts was conceived at ESA in 2005, after an early  
250 test implementation of astronaut operational team training courses in the carbonate mountains  
251 of Supramonte in Sardinia, one of the most important cave areas of Italy. The exploration of a  
252 local cave system was introduced during a dry run in 2008, responding to the requirement of  
253 the course to increase the modulation of stress, the dependency on safety equipment, to have  
254 meaningful role assignments, and higher levels of decision making autonomy and team  
255 interaction during all operational activities. The goal was to create a framework within an  
256 existing technical and scientific activity in an extreme environment, which requires complex  
257 problem solving in unfamiliar situations, where a high level of autonomy is required, and the  
258 consequences of human error are perceived as real risk [6, 32]. During this early phase, the  
259 main structure and foundations of the CAVES course was formulated. Here, it became clear that  
260 the environmental challenges and the complex technical operations and safety rules required  
261 to progress in caves were promising for a new spaceflight analogue. However, it was also clear  
262 that the cave was just a “container”, in which team processes, speleological exploration and  
263 scientific activities needed to be introduced and integrated into space-like mission operations.  
264 In order to address this, ESA training experts involved experienced speleologists and cave  
265 scientists to help create a speleological expedition that offered realistic scientific and  
266 exploration objectives. Having real science and exploration objectives was considered key to  
267 the expedition being experienced by trainees as a spaceflight analogue, since in space  
268 astronauts have to perform real exploration, documentation, and scientific experiments (Fig.  
269 1). These objectives also ensured that high levels of motivation and engagement were created  
270 and maintained not only for the astronauts participating, but also for the scientists and  
271 speleologists involved. The caving progression techniques and tools were also adapted by the

speleologists, in consultation with EVA specialists, to make them more EVA-like (Fig. 2), always ensuring and often improving the level of safety.

This speleological framework was then used to construct a space-mission analogue expedition based on structured team processes. An operationally relevant mission concept was created and typical spaceflight operational elements added, such as procedures, activity timelines, safety rules and emergency protocols, stowage notes and other space-like configurations.

After this development and preparation period, the first edition of the CAVES course took place in 2011, with a crew of five astronauts from ESA, NASA, ROSCOSMOS and JAXA. The following five editions also involved CSA astronauts, and during the 2016 course, a Chinese astronaut from CNSA participated. In total, 34 astronauts have been trained in six CAVES training courses over the past nine years (Table 2). While the general structure of the course has remained the same since the first edition, the scientific objectives and technology testing have evolved significantly over the years. Additionally, the application of space-like procedures and timelines within the expeditionary framework of CAVES has been improved and more rigorously implemented in accordance with feedback from the astronaut crews.

The first five editions of CAVES took place in the Valley of Lanaittu in the Supramonte limestone massif of Sardinia (municipalities of Oliena and Dorgali, Italy) [41]. The cave used for the course's main speleological expedition (see Section 4.2) was Sa Grutta, a huge underground system still being explored. The smaller Tiscali, Sos Jocos and Sa Oche caves were also used for the preliminary training phase of the course, between them offering increasing complex representative cave environments for the astronaut participants to learn skills and develop a high level of autonomy for the mission execution. All classroom lessons and preparatory activities were carried out in local mountain huts, re-arranged as training facilities (Budorraì, PICAVE).

In 2019, the course changed locations to the "classical karst" region between Italy and Slovenia [42]. Here, the course makes use of facilities provided by Skočjanske Caves Regional Park, with field activities happening in several caves along the underground course of the river Reka (also known as Timavo), both in Italy and Slovenia.

300

#### 301 **4. CAVES concept and training structure**

Analogue team training for human spaceflight needs to be based on a well-defined concept of operations [43], and provide real challenges, stressors, and realistic science and exploration programmes. The CAVES training is structured as a full exploration mission divided in three main phases. These three phases are similar to those used in a typical human space mission,

306 and all occur in a single event lasting sixteen days. The phases are: 1) a pre-mission training  
307 and preparation phase, 2) the “extended cave exploration” mission, 3) a post-mission phase,  
308 where the data and results are collected in reports and discussed with the science and the  
309 mission support teams, and debriefings are conducted with all involved support personnel.  
310

#### 311 ***4.1 Pre-mission: training phase***

312 The pre-expedition phase (9 days) is fundamental to the success of the course, and enables the  
313 crew to conduct a safe and efficient mission in the cave system. Training activities include  
314 classroom lessons covering mission objectives and operations, safety, science and  
315 documentation, as well as an overview of the results obtained by previous CAVES crews in  
316 order to provide context on the current state of exploration. In this phase, the development of  
317 team processes is embedded into the training, which is constructed to promote team cohesion  
318 and cooperation, and is justified to the trainees by the technical and scientific requirements of  
319 the upcoming expedition, and reinforced by role modelling by the training team. The crew, with  
320 the support of scientists and mission support engineers, are trained in how to conduct science  
321 experiments and technological tests using exactly the same kits and procedures that will be  
322 used during the extended exploration in the cave. Documentation tasks, such as photography  
323 and mapping, are explained and demonstrated in the classroom, and later performed in a real  
324 cave to ensure that the complexities of working in a dark underground environment are  
325 encountered and understood during the preparatory phase. The pre-expedition phase has been  
326 designed, developed and implemented following the same structured approach (Instructional  
327 System Design) applied by ESA to all its spaceflight training curricula.

328 Aside from the training on specific activities, the pre-mission phase is also dedicated to  
329 familiarising trainees with the subterranean environment through visiting various caves with  
330 increasing complexity and difficulties. Astronauts are trained in rope progression techniques  
331 and safety rules. This technical training resembles skills and protocols that are required to  
332 move and operate during EVAs, with reduced field of view due to darkness, strong shadowing,  
333 three-dimensional progression paths, difficulties in the perception of obstacles and distances,  
334 and keep-out and no-touch zones. During the training, technical instructors evaluate the  
335 astronauts’ performance in progression techniques to check if their acquired skills fully satisfy  
336 safety protocols.

337 During the pre-mission phase, responsibilities and roles are assigned to different crew  
338 members. The main roles are: Crew Commander, Campsite Manager, one or two Science  
339 Engineers with different operational tasks, Survey Engineer, and Photography Engineer.

340 Additional supporting roles are also required, depending on the mission phase and activities,  
341 such as flashlight support for photography, IT & communication management, video operation,  
342 and scouting. This role assignment is an important element of the behavioural training, but very  
343 different to that experienced in a space mission, as in CAVES roles are self-assigned by the crew,  
344 an activity that requires a high level of cooperation and understanding of individual  
345 preferences and ambitions, as well as an organised team decision, the consequences of which  
346 will resonate throughout the expedition. Specific needs on role exchanges and combinations of  
347 supporting roles are imposed either by specific pre-agreed rules, or by the construction of the  
348 activity timeline, which organises the crew's daily tasks. Although each role carries the overall  
349 responsibility for certain objectives, such as specific types of data collection, tasks can be  
350 delegated within the team.

351 The first opportunity for trainees to exercise their new crew roles comes when they are  
352 involved in the logistical preparation of the mission. The astronauts must choose food types  
353 and quantities, organize the resupply of equipment, and prepare their personal and team kits  
354 for transportation inside the cave. The latter activities require a high level of team coordination  
355 and decision-making, and provides an excellent opportunity for the crew to work on  
356 communication and leadership/followership in their new roles, whilst the instructors observe.  
357 Training throughout this phase is performed equally for all trainees until they have selected a  
358 prime role for the expedition. This means the last training conducted is role and equipment  
359 specific. Supporting roles and delegation within the team also requires on-the-job coaching by  
360 peers during the mission. This enhances the building of team processes, reinforced by  
361 behavioural exercises such as feedback and debriefings interspersed and embedded within the  
362 science, operations and technical training.

363 In this pre-mission training phase, the astronauts also familiarise with the support team that  
364 will accompany them throughout the real mission. This includes a Medical Doctor, three  
365 Speleological Instructors of which two are also Safety Supervisors and one the Course Technical  
366 Director, and the Behavioural Facilitator. The Course Technical Director has the role of  
367 supervising all technical and safety issues related to exploration and science. The Behavioural  
368 Facilitator supervises the execution of the mission and the team dynamics, interacting with the  
369 technical team and the remotely located mission support team and modulating the level of  
370 stress to ensure the achievement of mission goals and behavioural objectives as well as the  
371 team well-being.

372

#### 373 ***4.2 Mission phase: the extended cave exploration***

374 Once the pre-mission training is finalized, the crew is ready to enter the main cave system for  
375 the mission phase. This consists of an uninterrupted six-day long underground expedition  
376 divided in three main stages: 1) transfer from the cave entrance to the campsite, 2) daily  
377 exploration of the cave system from the main campsite to address exploration, documentation  
378 and scientific objectives, 3) transfer from the campsite back to the surface.

379 Phase 1 and 3 are the most delicate in terms of crew safety. In terms of emotional impact, these  
380 phases are analogous to the launch and entry phases of ISS missions and EVA activities. The  
381 transfer from the cave entrance to the campsite is also the most demanding in terms of technical  
382 requirements. In the Sardinian cave used for the first editions of the course, the path included  
383 a 700-meter long *via ferrata* over a fifty-meter deep canyon. In the Slovenian classical karst  
384 cave used in 2019, the astronauts had to descend a 200-meter deep vertical shaft with several  
385 technical passages (belays, deviations and traverses). As well as providing physical and  
386 technical challenges, these major obstacles help to provide a perception of remoteness and  
387 isolation from the outside world to the trainees. During the descent, the crew moves  
388 autonomously using the skills acquired during the training, but for safety reasons they are  
389 closely supervised at a one-to-one ratio by technical instructors.

390 Once the crew arrives at the main campsite, their mission begins. To kick this off, a formal  
391 leadership and campsite management handover between the support team and the crew is  
392 conducted. From that point on, for the rest of the expedition, it is the crew's responsibility to:  
393 1) ensure completion of mission goals, which requires them to complete activities in a partially  
394 timed list, including daily reporting back to a remotely located "ground" team through  
395 established communication lines, 2) maximise crew safety, efficiency and wellbeing, 3)  
396 establish and revise team processes and rules, conduct critical analysis of individual and team  
397 actions through debriefings, and establish team awareness for informed decision making.

398 Throughout the expedition, the support team keeps situational awareness to ensure safety, and  
399 is prepared to manage real emergencies. However, nominally they are required not to interfere  
400 with the crew, unless so instructed by the Behavioural Facilitator.

401 During the daily reporting, the crew commander must inform the mission support team about  
402 the status of the crew and the planned activities for each day. This is conducted at fixed times  
403 in the morning and evening, partially replicating a typical ISS Daily Planning Conference (DPC).  
404 Unlike on ISS, this DPC is the sole responsibility of the crew commander, monitored (directly  
405 or through review of the communication) by the Behavioural Facilitator. This helps highlighting  
406 and correcting potential weaknesses in team processes. It serves the purpose of requiring the  
407 commander to ensure clarity in their briefings to the crew, and to request, collect and

408 thoroughly understand and then transmit reports on daily progress by team members to  
409 mission control. This process is also intended to enforce leadership within the team, which is  
410 somewhat limited by the short duration of the expedition and the unfamiliarity of the  
411 commander with the environment.

412 The mission support (ground) team is composed of several positions. The CAVECOM is  
413 responsible for the communication with the crew during the expedition, while the Mission  
414 Director is responsible for the overall management of the surface team. Both are supported by  
415 a system engineer, who manages the technical side of the communications infrastructure and  
416 data transmission, and a backroom scientist, who examines the science and survey data  
417 collected by the crew, and provides feedback as required. A surface medical doctor is also  
418 available (in addition to a doctor in the cave who handles any emergencies on site), to advise  
419 on any minor medical issues as required. In addition, several personnel from the cave and  
420 logistics team are available around the clock to assist in logistical matters, and provide  
421 information to the Mission Director on various aspects, such as how surface weather conditions  
422 will affect the conditions in the cave.

423 To keep the mission support team up to date on the cave team's progress, the topographic maps  
424 and scientific data gathered during the day are transferred to them every evening. The ground  
425 team then checks the data and if necessary plans corrective actions for the following days (in  
426 2019 data were transferred through the Electronic Field Book (EFB) system). Whilst exploring  
427 during the day, communication with the ground is limited to tests of wireless communication  
428 devices (TEDRA, XFerra [44]). Only a small subset of the data collected during a mission is  
429 recorded on paper for simplicity. Data loggers, topographic surveys and photos are mainly  
430 downloaded to electronic storage devices (laptops, EFB) for later transmission to the surface  
431 from the base camp.

432 A typical exploration day (Fig. 3) starts with a crew briefing by the commander, providing a  
433 confirmation of the activities planned in the timeline and informing the team about updates or  
434 modifications requested by ground during the morning DPC. At this point, the crew will have  
435 already have prepared all the progression, science and documentation equipment for the day:  
436 an activity timed for the early morning. Following this early preparation, each member dons  
437 his/her individual technical gear. The progression from the campsite to the exploration area  
438 can take hours. In two editions of CAVES, it has been necessary to install an advanced bivouac  
439 camp where the crew could rest one night before advancing further to cut down travel times.  
440 However, in order to use the advanced bivouac, the crew is required to check some safety  
441 constraints, such as the ability to establish a successful communication by wireless cave radio

442 (XFerra, TEDRA) with the ground team. During their transfers between sites, the crew is asked  
443 to carry out scientific experiments or sampling in specific spots as indicated in their activity list  
444 and on the map, or by identifying potentially interesting new sampling sites.

445 When the crew reaches an unexplored area of the cave that has been not reported on the map  
446 of the previous crew, it is mandatory that they begin surveying and documenting the new area.  
447 It is worth noting that the organization of activities during the exploration is subject to flexible  
448 execution, and has to be planned around unexpected terrain difficulties, the physical state of  
449 the crew, safety issues, and other obstacles or challenges the crew must deal with. It is the  
450 responsibility of the crew commander to balance achieving established daily goals with crew  
451 safety and wellbeing. The commander and team's lack of experience with caving means  
452 avoiding group pressure and risk-taking behaviours requires high levels of coordination and  
453 open communication amongst all members of the crew. Additionally, high levels of situational  
454 awareness from the Behavioural Facilitator and safety teams is required during critical  
455 passages and when the crew starts to become fatigued.

456 During exploration, the crew works under a structured operational method to ensure they  
457 perform science and document the cave system efficiently and accurately. Typically, the team  
458 is split into a scouting and science team, and a documentation and survey team, each with  
459 different roles and associated equipment. The science team goes ahead led by the commander  
460 and scouts the cave, selects science sites and performs experiments. The survey and photo team  
461 follows, and records and documents the dimensions of the cave system and takes photographs.  
462 A set of reflective markers are distributed between the two teams to leave indications of where  
463 scientific and survey information has been collected. These markers are removed at the end of  
464 the expedition by the support team to ensure the cave system remains pristine.

465 A typical daily exploration activity lasts around 8 hours. At all times, safety remains a priority  
466 over other activities, and the crew must return to the campsite in time for the evening DPC, for  
467 daily behavioural debriefings and to organize the data collected during the day, as well as for a  
468 daily routine of campsite science and equipment management. It is responsibility of the crew  
469 commander to ensure that these rules are respected for crew safety and comfort, regardless of  
470 the team's desire to continue exploration or other conflicting objectives.

471 After four days of exploration and activities, preparation for return to the surface begins, and  
472 the campsite manager again takes an important role in coordinating the logistics of equipment  
473 preparation for an organised resurfacing. The leadership is handed back to the support team  
474 on the exit day, and the crew transfers back from the campsite to the entrance (exit) of the cave.  
475 This is another critical phase, as the crew is often very tired. Finally, the mission ends with the



476 exit of the entire crew and support staff from the cave. It is interesting to note that the  
477 overwhelming visual and olfactory sensations associated with the re-surfacing from darkness  
478 and lack of vegetation has been compared by experienced spacefarers as being similar to the  
479 exit from a spacecraft after its return to Earth.

480

#### 481 ***4.3 Post-mission phase: reporting phase***

482 Following the conclusion of the mission, each member of the crew reviews the data collected  
483 ready to provide the scientists and support engineers with an exhaustive report on each  
484 experiment and test. They must also review the survey and photography results, and detail the  
485 characteristics of the newly explored areas of the cave. These data are organized in a final  
486 document (and accessed through an EFB report since 2019) which forms the starting  
487 documentation for the mission of the following crews (e.g. the last point mapped by the crew  
488 will become the starting point for the crew of the next expedition). The commander and  
489 campsite manager are also responsible for preparing a handover report to the next crew, with  
490 their suggestions and lessons learned. This is very similar to the handover b/w ISS crews, but  
491 in written form, since the next crew will not be nominated for another few months. It is during  
492 this phase that peer feedback is also organised, and final behavioural debriefings are conducted,  
493 with the goal to ensure the transfer of learning from the expedition to future space activities.  
494 In this phase, the crew also provides feedback to ESA about the course, with specific focus on  
495 the main analogies being identified, but also on how to improve the overall relevance of the  
496 course, based on their collective experience, to best help prepare astronauts for current and  
497 future spaceflight.

498

#### 499 **5. Human Behaviour and Performance approach in CAVES training**

500 Behavioural and performance issues for isolated, confined teams in future planetary missions  
501 are not well understood, and can have significant negative impacts on mission success [45].  
502 Training together as a team in analogue environments can help trainees understand, identify  
503 and mitigate these issues, allowing them to create their own toolbox of flexible coping strategies  
504 to be effectively used during future spaceflights [4, 46, 47].

505 During spaceflight, different cultural approaches to leadership, information-sharing, decision-  
506 making and teamwork are employed. These can change between different mission phases and  
507 with different vehicles, but must always respect the established codes of conduct, hierarchies,  
508 mission rules and procedures. Whilst not all speleological expeditions have such a structured  
509 approach to team processes [32], the CAVES programme builds upon the ISS HBP (Human

Behaviour and Performance) competency model team training objectives [48, 49] for improving behavioural skills (see tables in Supplementary Materials). Throughout the training, the main focus is given to maximising crew safety, team efficiency and individual wellbeing. This is obtained by providing mission goals to follow and behavioural facilitation, which are then continuously reinforced during technical training and instructor model behaviour through several processes: 1) establishment and revision of team processes and rules; 2) critical analysis of own and team actions through debriefings; 3) establishment of team awareness for informed decision making. The overall training structure imposes a dynamic and flexible, yet structured approach to the development of the team, strongly emphasising the team's growth through the analysis of its own activities, and transfer of the learning to space mission scenarios. This strategy is woven into the structure of the training and promoted by the Behavioural Facilitator, supported by the mission team, the Course Technical Director and speleological instructors during different phases of the training and relies on six key components: 1) establishment of behavioural-centered mission goals; 2) acceptance of individual and team roles and responsibilities by the crew; 2) seamless translation of behavioural competencies into technical behaviours and highlighting of the real consequences of those behaviours; 3) role modelling and technical reinforcement of those behaviours by instructors; 4) experience sharing by flown astronauts; 5) daily self-reflection and analysis of team behaviours; 6) transfer of the learning to future spaceflight.

During the pre-mission training phase, the development of team processes is embedded into practical and technical lessons, which are constructed to promote team cohesion and cooperation (Fig. 4A). Teamwork and roles definition is justified to the trainees by the technical and scientific requirements of the upcoming expedition, and reinforced by role modelling by the training team. By forcing this important communication and decision-making process in the pre-mission phase, the self-assignment of roles fulfils the important objective of forming a team. It is worth noting that all roles (Crew Commander, Campsite Manager, two Science Engineers with different operational tasks, Survey Engineer, and Photography Engineer) carry a component of leadership and of followership, each having to be exercised at various moments during the expedition. When the crew is autonomous during the main exploration mission, a continuous switching between leadership and followership behaviours for each member of the crew is required. This approach is similar to what happens on ISS where, even if a formal commander has been assigned, the practical leadership role can change depending on the activity [50, 51].

In addition, during the pre-mission training phase, opportunities are created for the trainees to

544 exchange experience with the support team, which is selected and evaluated on the basis of  
545 speleological certifications and specific experience in extreme scientific cave expeditions. This  
546 team is also evaluated and trained on the modelling of behavioural skills, and is continuously  
547 monitored and directed by the Behavioural Facilitator and course technical director during the  
548 course. As the trainees rely on this technical team for instruction on the technical aspects of  
549 speleology, throughout the training they build trust in them. This trust, combined with the link  
550 built throughout the course between behavioural tools and technical outcomes, facilitates the  
551 transfer of successful behaviours fulfilling the requirement to conduct a safe and efficient  
552 speleological activity, which creates a strong perceived analogy to a safe and efficient space  
553 expedition.

554 When the extended exploration mission starts, team positions and responsibilities are already  
555 defined and each individual will have to improve their proficiency and efficiency in a particular  
556 role during the mission. In the evening, at the end of daily activities, the crew debriefs the  
557 activities of the day, analysing all behavioural factors impacting the outcome [52], thereby  
558 continuously improving team processes (Fig. 4B). Corrective actions for the following day are  
559 discussed and new activities and the following day schedule are planned focusing not only on  
560 the technical and scientific tasks, but also on ensuring crew safety and wellbeing. If any task is  
561 too demanding for one member of the crew, the others are required to provide support and  
562 possibly reorganise task sharing if necessary. In parallel, as mentioned, the constant awareness  
563 of the team fatigue and cognitive load by the Behavioural Facilitator in coordination with the  
564 mission support team ensures a modulation of the level of stress throughout the expedition.  
565 Another important moment to develop behavioural skills is the preparation of the equipment  
566 and supplies for the expedition and for daily excursions (Fig. 4C). This requires individual  
567 attention to readiness and completeness of the daily kits (i.e. battery charge, science kits, etc.),  
568 but also involves optimising communication within the crew and with the mission support  
569 team. It also ensures an understanding of objectives and preparedness for the activities across  
570 all the teams, especially when plans are altered as unpredictable events occur. Any  
571 misunderstanding, inaccurate preparation or missing items could have a significant impact in  
572 the outcome of the activities, or even the safety of the mission.

573 Since the crew is inexperienced in cave environments and exploration the debriefing process  
574 and the planning of the following day is monitored by the Behavioural Facilitator. The  
575 Behavioural Facilitator seamlessly follows and observes the crew, supervising key decision  
576 making and briefing/debriefing activities on an unobtrusive basis [51]. Team dynamics is never  
577 forced on the crew, unless safety is in question. In this case, the Course Technical Director has

the authority to intervene. Any behavioural considerations or technical methods that led to the intervention are analysed during the daily debriefing, or in a specific debriefing if required. In addition, the Behavioural Facilitator, in agreement with the Course Technical Director, can inject challenges or remove them to modulate stress by directing the technical and safety support team in the cave and the mission control on the surface. This includes the possibility of providing relevant mission operations, technical or scientific suggestions to the commander, or if possible and not urgent, via mission control during the nominal daily communications between the crew and surface team.

In the middle of the extended exploration mission (after two days of exploration), roles are exchanged among the crew (Fig. 4D). In this way, behavioural competencies (leadership/followership, workload management, communication, teamwork, etc.) required by each role can be experienced by different team members. This role exchange also allows the crew to experience and compare alternative leadership styles and followers behaviours.

Another important factor in improving behavioural skills and transfer to spaceflight is the experience exchange between astronauts with prior spaceflight experience and unflown crewmates [53]. In order to achieve this, the experienced astronauts are asked to help underline similarities and differences between the overall training and mission activities and their spaceflight experience. This process allows the focus to be kept on the transfer of the learning to space activities, and helps the support team identify key situations and behaviours to emphasise. This also ensures that analogies are based on similarities of experience, not necessarily of the environment or the activity, as suggested by Bishop [3].

At the end the course, during the reporting phase, a debriefing is fully dedicated to human behaviour lessons learned, peer feedback and discussions among the crew. These discussions are introduced by the Behavioural Facilitator, but with the specific goal to develop the habit within the crew to conduct such discussions proactively as an effective way to address issues and continuously improve team processes. The crew is also free to conduct peer feedback and team debriefings with or without the support of the Behavioural Facilitator. This ensures that full confidentiality is provided when needed, and trust in the overall course and support team is built.

607

## 608 **6. CAVES scientific and technological programme**

609

### 610 ***6.1 Scientific activities***

611 As is the case for space missions, during the CAVES training course, astronauts are trained not

612 only to explore the cave, but also to carry out a scientific programme. This programme is not  
613 the primary goal of the training, but remains highly functional to the purpose of improving team  
614 performance outlined in the previous chapter. Scientific tasks are integrated into the CAVES  
615 mission timeline, and space-like procedures have been developed to strengthen the similarity  
616 of the course to space missions. As discussed, during the pre-expedition training, crew  
617 members are trained by experts on methodologies and procedures inherent to every planned  
618 experiment, to ensure they are able to competently execute the scientific programme. It is  
619 important to note that these science objectives are real, and aim to enhance our understanding  
620 of cave environments, meaning the astronaut's successful completion of them has a direct  
621 impact on the research output of the science teams involved. Science training across all the  
622 experiments is provided to every trainee, even if during the extended exploration only a few  
623 team members will be in charge of the scientific activities.

624 The scientific tasks the astronauts carry out belong to three main domains (Table 3): 1)  
625 environmental parameters and air composition 2) hydrology, geochemistry and geological  
626 sampling 3) biological and microbiological observations and sampling.

627 The environmental research activities share several analogies with environmental monitoring  
628 on the ISS, and have both scientific and safety objectives [54-56]. These activities include  
629 monitoring of air temperature, relative humidity, air flow direction and speed, atmospheric  
630 pressure, air particulate matter, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and Radon concentration (Fig. 5). The  
631 Radon monitoring with Radim instruments [57] is performed continuously in several different  
632 locations, and provides an estimate of the radiation exposure experienced by the astronauts  
633 and support team in the cave. Limits on this exposure are defined by laws and space agency  
634 regulations (Fig. 5A), and the radon monitoring ensures the personnel involved stay under  
635 these limits during their permanence in the cave. CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are also measured  
636 frequently. Typically, this is every fifty meters with handheld devices, or monitored  
637 continuously with loggers (CO<sub>2</sub>meters) in specific locations (Fig. 5B). If the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration  
638 rises over specific values, the astronauts are instructed to leave the area for safety reasons.  
639 These studies are not only useful for monitoring safety, but also to better understand the cave  
640 microclimate and the habitability of the subsurface environments on Earth [18, 58, 59] as an  
641 analogue for future exploration of Mars and the Moon [60].

642 Hydrology and geochemistry are investigated in the caves by sampling various water bodies  
643 and dip systems. Samples of these water bodies are analysed directly at the base camp with  
644 portable analytical kits (like titration or colorimeters kits) or transferred to the surface for later  
645 laboratory analyses. These analyses are important, not only to understand the quality of the

646 water for human utilisation, but also to trace infiltration patterns, chemical contents and to  
647 identify water bodies of different origin within the cave.

648 The 2011, 2012, and 2013 editions of CAVES also included the sampling of minerals and  
649 sediments for further analysis in laboratories using XRF and XRD. This activity has analogies  
650 with geological sampling on planetary surfaces. However, since this task requires specific field  
651 geological training (for example, the ESA PANGAEA programme [61]) which not all of the  
652 astronauts participating had taken. These activities were limited in the following editions of the  
653 course.

654 The microbiological experiments conducted at CAVES have analogies with several activities  
655 performed on the ISS [62, 63] and with the astrobiology studies that will eventually be  
656 performed during future missions to Mars [64]. As a discipline, cave microbiology is relatively  
657 new [65]. Recent technological advances have allowed for increasingly sophisticated DNA  
658 analysis, enabling scientists to find several new microbial taxa in cave environments previously  
659 unknown to science. Several of the microorganisms discovered are adapted to nutrient-poor  
660 environments, and use biological cycles based not only on oxygen, but also on nitrogen or  
661 sulphur. Some can even live exclusively on rocks (chemolithoautotrophs), making them  
662 extremely interesting from a space exploration perspective. CAVES trainees typically sample  
663 microbial mats at several location in the cave system, following specific clean sampling  
664 protocols. The samples returned from the cave by trainees undergo a set of analyses, including  
665 metagenomics and transcriptomics, to determine the types of organisms present and their  
666 survival methods (metabolics) in the cave system. During CAVES 2019, the scope of  
667 microbiological sampling was extended [66]. It included two main experiments, one examining  
668 microbial mats that typically form on cave walls, pools, floors, and the other focusing on  
669 microbes attached to cave air particles. Microbial samples were identified and sampled  
670 opportunistically by the trainees as they explored the cave system, with the help of a library of  
671 images provided as examples. To collect samples of the mats without contaminating them, they  
672 used a set of sterile tools, such as scalpels.

673 CAVES trainees also contributed to surveying and characterizing cave invertebrate fauna since  
674 the project's inception. They achieved this by collecting organisms from several habitats within  
675 the different cave systems. These organisms then had their DNA analysed and morphology  
676 characterized at specialist laboratories. These biological surveys help researchers better  
677 understand the ecology of the cave systems, and can be enhanced by linking to the other  
678 scientific data collected by the astronauts, such as hydrology, meteorology and geochemistry.

679 The multidisciplinary approach taken by the CAVES science programme provides a broad set

680 of continues interlinking studies that have produced both quality training opportunities and  
681 scientific publications (see Section 7.1).

682

## 683 **6.2 Technology testing and EFB applications**

684 Alongside scientific experiments and research, the CAVES mission also acts as a playground for  
685 technological testing, focusing on new innovative equipment that can improve operations in  
686 cave environments, and has potential to be applied to space exploration. Much of the efforts  
687 have been dedicated to the evaluation of two wireless cave radio systems, called TEDRA  
688 (Through Earth Digital Radio Appliance) and Xferra [67, 68]. Both have provided compelling  
689 results, allowing the crew to communicate with the ground team through voice from an  
690 advanced bivouac, crossing up to more than 1 km of rock thickness. These tests are used to  
691 improve the instruments for the next training editions, but also in other cave systems. Similar  
692 wireless radios might also provide reliable communication systems for lunar lava tube  
693 missions in the future. Other technologies related to survey and documentation are also tested  
694 at CAVES, such as a new laser measurement tool (Cavesniper, Megaplot SJ [17]) for recording  
695 the cave system dimensions, and various novel equipment and clothing specially designed for  
696 caving (e.g. new concept of cave shoes with special soles for slippery surfaces, new CUPRON  
697 BEE1 fibres socks and undergarments).

698 During the 2019 edition of CAVES, the Electronic FieldBook (EFB) was used for the first time to  
699 integrate science and operations for the entire duration of the underground campaign/mission  
700 (Fig. 6). The EFB is an information system designed within ESA to support scientific  
701 documentation in extreme environments and automatic data exchange with extended mission  
702 teams supporting the expeditions [69]. During CAVES, the EFB allowed the crew to aggregate,  
703 save and share contextual information about their surrounding environment. This information  
704 includes the cave survey, geo-referenced environmental parameters and scientific data,  
705 experiments, and field notes.

706 As the EFB hardware and software can wirelessly interface with external instruments, it was  
707 used to support site assessments and experiment data collection through devices such as  
708 microscopes, sensor boxes for measuring environmental values and surveying instruments.  
709 The system is operated through portable devices (e.g. tablets) to allow users to store and synch  
710 exploration sessions directly in the field. Synchronization with the other users and the remotely  
711 located ground team was possible through wired and wireless relays. This included the test of  
712 tailored wireless mesh repeaters distributed within the cave from the entrance to the base  
713 camp, which provided internet connectivity and real-time communication. The connectivity

714 and support for real time exploration and scientific information exchange provided by the EFB  
715 architecture and its wireless repeaters were shown to be very promising for the support of  
716 expeditions in extreme environments.

717

## 718 **7. Results and discussion**

719

### 720 ***7.1 A real exploration mission analogue***

721 The CAVES course combination of real (not simulated) environments, activities and objectives  
722 makes the overall training highly credible. The realism of the environment and operations  
723 provide valuable outcomes for enhancing crew dynamics as well as individual and team  
724 performance. Experienced astronaut and cosmonaut participants have consistently highlighted  
725 the strong analogy between long-duration spaceflight and CAVES expeditions. Feedback from  
726 the astronauts at the end of each training edition has been very positive, with supportive joint  
727 statements from the crew such as “our group consensus is that this is one of the best, arguably  
728 the best, spaceflight analogue training we have received”. CAVES trainees have recommended  
729 their fellow astronauts and cosmonauts participate to the course prior to ISS assignment.  
730 Astronaut and cosmonaut participants and their agencies have requested to take the role of  
731 CAVES commander in preparation for their future assignments as ISS commanders. There have  
732 been cases of individuals acquiring confidence in their ability to perform EVAs based on  
733 feedback from their experienced fellow crewmates on the equivalent technical challenges and  
734 safety protocols they successfully exercised at CAVES. Fears have also been overcome by  
735 controlled, safe and incremental exposure during CAVES.

736 It is important to note that CAVES is a free exploration laboratory of behavioural competencies  
737 and human performance for participants. The CAVES course offers opportunities for  
738 confronting fears, analysing one’s response to stress and exercising one’s abilities to identify  
739 limits and overcome obstacles. It also offers a real expedition which provides opportunities to  
740 learn from mistakes in team processes. Through this, participants are able to experience a range  
741 of situations and devise solutions. The relevance of these lessons to spaceflight is reinforced  
742 naturally by crew members with spaceflight experience discussing similar circumstances they  
743 encountered during spaceflight.

744 Feedback on all lessons and activities has been collected throughout every edition of CAVES.  
745 The average evaluation by participants at CAVES, based on the standard student feedback  
746 forms used throughout the ESA space training, on a 1 to 5 scale, is 4.6 for lesson content (clearly  
747 understood, beneficial), 4.5 for lesson material (enhanced understanding), 4.7 for instructors



(promoted and maintained the desire to learn) and 4.1 for facilities (greatly enhanced and aided the training). The final feedback from all course editions always identified CAVES as a unique and relevant analogue, and one of the best training experiences preparing participants for spaceflight, comparable in quality and relevance to NASA's NEEMO project.

The positive outcomes of CAVES have not only extended to the preparation of astronauts. During the first five editions of CAVES in Sardinia, trainees were able to explore a significant part of the Sa Grutta cave system, covering a section from 1.2 to 4.7 km into the cave. The scientific research conducted during these missions has significantly enhanced our knowledge of this natural underground geo-ecosystem (details below). At the newer location in the Slovenian karst region in 2019, the first astronaut team mapped from 0.6 km to 2.5 km into the cave. The scientific data collected here is currently being analysed by the various partner institutions.

The most important new findings to come out of CAVES have been the discovery of new species of cave dwelling organisms sampled by the astronauts in 2012 and in following years. Among the specimens discovered in Sardinia, the most noteworthy is a new species of crustacean, *Alpioniscus sideralis* [70], found in the cave waters. It was discovered living together with the well-known terrestrial crustacean *Alpioniscus fragilis*, and another aquatic crustacean *Alpioniscus* sp. (former *Utopioniscus* sp.). The latter organism is known from marine caves along the Gulf of Orosei coast, and was found here for the first time in the internal Supramonte, far from the influence of the sea. Other interesting species were collected both in Sardinian caves (like specimens of *Stenasellus* sp., another more archaic aquatic crustacean) and in the Classical Karst. These new findings will allow scientists to describe other new species in the future, and better understand the ecology of each cave system.

Microbiology has been another scientific activity carried out by the astronauts that has yielded useful information. Black wall coatings, sediments, moonmilk deposits, soils, and calcite rafts were sampled and analysed for microbial diversity with Illumina MiSeq sequencing analysis. These different samples showed there is significant bacterial diversity in the cave. They also showed that human-related micro-organisms were very localized, despite occasional visits to these caves by speleologists [71]. This indicated that the impact of humans on the bacterial levels in this relatively isolated environment has been less significant than previously thought. As avoiding the contamination of extraterrestrial world's is of primary concern to space agencies, these microbiological studies aimed to giving some information on how efficiently and fast human-introduced micro-organisms thrive in oligotrophic cave environments [72].

In Sardinia, mean Radon levels in the cave during all the training courses were around 2,800

782 Bq m<sup>-3</sup>, leading to a maximum dose rate received by the personnel involved of less than 1.5 mSv  
783 (well below legal limits). Lower values were measured in the main Slovenian Classical Karst  
784 cave system, at around 500 Bq m<sup>-3</sup>, with few peaks over 1000. CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring has also provided  
785 interesting information, showing how the distribution of this gas in the cave systems is linked  
786 to the presence of air flows or water bodies [18].

787 These scientific results were achieved thanks to the astronauts' strict adherence to scientific  
788 protocols and procedures, showing how space operational protocols can be applied with  
789 success to exploration expeditions on Earth.

790 CAVES also holds promise for future studies related to physiology and neurology. However, few  
791 studies have been performed during the course because of time, logistics, potential conflict with  
792 the primary course objectives and safety restrictions [38, 39]. The integration of a small set of  
793 selected human science experiments at the campsite in future editions is being investigated in  
794 collaboration with ESA life science. However, research and science activities remain functional  
795 to the expedition analogies, meaning they cannot create a negative impact on the primary goal  
796 of the course, which remains astronaut behavioural training.

797

## 798 ***7.2 From ISS to planetary exploration***

799 Despite obvious and important differences between space stations and caves, both are complex  
800 alien environments, offering analogous situations, science opportunities, team processes and  
801 varied levels of stress. Unlike what happens in other analogue environments, communication  
802 inside a cave is unreliable, forcing the astronauts to accomplish team goals autonomously, with  
803 reduced reliance on mission support teams. This offers an interesting testbed for future  
804 planetary exploration scenarios, which will include delayed communication or complete  
805 autonomy. This situation has been tested during CAVES 2019, through the use of the EFB. All  
806 data and activities performed in areas of the cave without connectivity were recorded and  
807 archived in the EFB, and transferred later to mission control when connectivity was restored.  
808 During this edition, communication during daily DPCs was organized through video-logs  
809 between the crew and mission support to simulate an expedition to a distant planetary body  
810 with significant communication delays. Since the challenges of communication in caves are real,  
811 they provide a useful test of human behaviour, performance, and crew decision-making  
812 processes during exploration.

813 The progression tools, safety and emergency procedures used in the CAVES training could be  
814 used partly to help develop concepts for moonwalks and surface traverse activities on low  
815 gravity planetary bodies, or even for lava tube exploration on the Moon or Mars. The astronauts

816 participating to CAVES have suggested that the training could be possibly used as analogue not  
817 just for current space missions to ISS, but also for future flights toward the Moon (Artemis) or  
818 Mars.

819

### 820 ***7.3 Planetary speleology***

821 In addition to the human behaviour and performance benefits that the CAVES training provides,  
822 caves on other planetary bodies (especially the Moon and Mars) could be among the main  
823 objectives for exploration and astrobiology research in the future [73, 74]. Recent studies have  
824 shown that the volume of these tubes on Mars and the Moon could be up to two or three orders  
825 of magnitude larger than terrestrial analogues, respectively [10]. Intact, open segments of lava  
826 tubes could provide stable shelters for human habitats shielded by cosmic radiation and  
827 micrometeorite impacts on the Moon [75]. These voids may have dimensions suitable for  
828 housing permanent Moon bases, providing potential access to several resources, including  
829 volatiles and possibly water ice trapped in cave sediments [60, 76]. In addition, skylights could  
830 provide direct access to the subsurface of Mars, which is considered one of the main targets for  
831 the search of past and present life [64, 73, 77].

832 Lava tubes are probably not the only type of cave on Mars. In recent years planetary geologists  
833 discovered that extensive areas of the Red Planet are characterized by soluble lithologies, such  
834 as sulphates [78]. The surface of modern Mars is arid and inhospitable, with no liquid water.  
835 However, in the past (mostly in the “Noachian” period, more than 3.7 billion years ago) the  
836 planet probably had extensive active fluvial systems, oceans and aquifers. In that time, soluble  
837 lithologies might have been eroded by deep solutional weathering, forming large cave systems  
838 similar to the terrestrial karstic systems explored by astronauts during the CAVES course. A  
839 recent joint white paper signed by several researchers from different space agencies [79] shows  
840 how, after robotic precursor missions, human exploration could provide the greatest benefits  
841 in the search for microbial life in these subsurface environments. The CAVES training course  
842 can provide useful insights on how future human missions should face these complex  
843 environments, including the development of technologies that allow safe access, progression  
844 and mapping, and communication systems to the surface.

845

### 846 **8. Conclusions**

847 The CAVES programme has trained astronauts from all major space agencies involved in human  
848 spaceflight, providing a powerful analogue platform for Human Behaviour and Performance  
849 training during real exploration in complex, unusual and dangerous natural environments.

850 Speleological exploration can provide stressors and situations with several analogies to human  
851 spaceflight, including to the ISS programme, and to future missions to the Moon and Mars. The  
852 training's success is directly related to the realism of the situations and experiences it creates,  
853 which was achieved through the involvement of a multidisciplinary team of experts in  
854 spaceflight operations, human behaviour, training and speleology. The result is a course with a  
855 continuum of exploration, science, operations and team processes, all occurring within an  
856 extreme, yet controlled, safe and logistically manageable environment. Astronauts get to  
857 experience personally why caves remain one of the last frontiers of human exploration on  
858 Earth. The CAVES course's use as a testbed for procedural approaches, communication,  
859 mapping and navigation technologies brings benefits to the development of these  
860 methodologies, but also helps to enhance the training's human spaceflight analogy. The course  
861 also provides an opportunity for training astronauts in science operations, where their  
862 performance directly impacts advancing human knowledge of these subsurface environments.  
863 Since caves are expected to exist on the Moon and Mars, the scope of this training in the future  
864 could be expanded to include the development of new EVA protocols and exploration  
865 technologies targeting these destinations.

866

## 867 **Acknowledgements**

868 We would like to thank all the institutions that have allowed the implementation of the training  
869 course in their territories and caves, including the Municipality of Oliena and Dorgali in  
870 Sardinia, the municipality of Divača, the Skočjan Park and the Ministry of Environment of  
871 Slovenia. Similarly, we would like to thank the caving clubs that have supported the  
872 expeditions: the Gruppo Grotte Nuorese in Sardinia, the Commissione Grotte Eugenio Boegan of  
873 Trieste and the Divača Cave Society "Gregor Žiberna" in Slovenia.

874 We would also like to thank Natalie Klein and Advanced Training Solutions and their logistics  
875 team for their support in the implementation of the early editions of the course, Petra Rettberg,  
876 Stefan Leuko (DLR Köln, Germany) and Ana Z. Miller (CSIC Sevilla, Spain, and Hercules  
877 Laboratory, Évora University, Portugal) for the setup of the microbiological experiments and  
878 sample analysis, Paolo Marcia (University of Sassari, Italy) and Teo Delić (University of  
879 Ljubljana, Slovenia) for the development of the biospeleological programme, Laura Sanna  
880 (Institute for Biometeorology, CNR Sassari, Italy) for her involvement in the monitoring  
881 campaigns in Sardinia, Ilenia Maria D'Angeli for her assistance in the scientific programme in  
882 the last course, Massimo Esposito (U-Series, Bologna, Italy) for the radon measurements in the  
883 caves, Angel Fernández Cortès and Sergio Sanchez Moral (University of Almeria, Spain) for the

884 gas analyses, Tanja Pipan and Lara Valentić (Karst Research Institute Postojna, Slovenia) for  
885 the experiment for the search of microplastics and microcrustaceans, Franci Gabrovšek (Karst  
886 Research Institute Postojna, Slovenia) for logistic and scientific support during the course in  
887 Slovenia (especially in monitoring of air flow in the cave), Janez Mulec (Karst Research Institute  
888 Postojna, Slovenia) for microbiological experiments on cave aerosol, and Laura Tositti and  
889 Pietro Morozzi (University of Bologna, Italy) for the cave aerosol experiments and analyses.  
890 Special thanks to the entire CAVES logistics and technical team, and Miles Beyond Srl for the  
891 organization of the course in its current form, and all the various people at ESA and EAC who  
892 have made it possible through their managerial, legal and administrative support: a special  
893 mention goes to Imma Jubany and Josep Auferil from Fra Mauro and to Alessandro Boesso. We  
894 would also like to thank “Gaibana” for his relentless effort in creating and improving custom  
895 made shoes for the course, tailored to the individual trainees, highly improving the safety and  
896 comfort of the expeditions. Our gratitude goes also to the Italian Alpine Club (CAI) National  
897 School of Speleology (SNS) and the cave rescue organizations CNSAS and JRS for their readiness  
898 to support potential emergencies. This course could not have been carried out without all the  
899 speleologists that have worked with us in the years since its conception, sharing their  
900 knowledge and expertise, as well as their time, and have been the backbone of the training  
901 events, inspiring astronauts with their tales. This paper is dedicated to Luigi Mereu, a caver  
902 from the Gruppo Grotte Nuorese who helped provide logistical support to CAVES, and perished  
903 in a cave accident in 2014 and to Gianni “Giannetti” Cergol, a cave explorer from Commissione  
904 Grotte Eugenio Boegan who guided the CAVES team in the identification of suitable locations  
905 for the new edition of the course in 2019, and perished in a diving accident in 2020.

906

## 907     **References**

908

- 909     [1] M.E. Morphey, Psychological and human factors in long duration spaceflight, *McGill Journal*  
910     *of Medicine*, 6 (2001) 74-80.
- 911     [2] P. Suedfeld, Extreme and unusual environments: challenges and Responses, *The Oxford*  
912     *handbook of environmental and conservation psychology*, (2012) 348-371.
- 913     [3] S.L. Bishop, From Earth analogs to space: Getting there from here, *Psychology of space*  
914     *exploration: Contemporary research in historical perspective*, (2011) 47-78.
- 915     [4] P. Suedfeld, Historical space psychology: Early terrestrial explorations as Mars analogues,  
916     *Planetary and Space Science*, 58 (2010) 639-645.
- 917     [5] B. Todd, M. Reagan, The NEEMO Project: A Report on How NASA Utilizes  
918     the "Aquarius" Undersea Habitat as an Analog for Long-Duration Space Flight, in: *Engineering,*  
919     *Construction, and Operations in Challenging Environments: Earth and Space 2004*, 2004, pp.  
920     751-758.
- 921     [6] N.G. Klein, L. Bessone, Training for space endeavors: designing behavioural training for  
922     individuals and teams in high risk environments in: *Proc. 3rd IAASS Conference: Building a*  
923     *Safer Space Together*, 2008.
- 924     [7] A.N. Palmer, *Cave geology*, (2009).
- 925     [8] W.B. White, Exploration of caves—General, in: *Encyclopedia of Caves*, Elsevier, 2019, pp.  
926     407-413.
- 927     [9] D. Ford, P.D. Williams, *Karst hydrogeology and geomorphology*, John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- 928     [10] F. Sauro, R. Pozzobon, M. Massironi, P. De Berardinis, T. Santagata, J. De Waele, Lava tubes  
929     on Earth, Moon and Mars: A review on their size and morphology revealed by comparative  
930     planetology, *Earth-Science Reviews*, (2020) 103288.
- 931     [11] R.A. Wray, F. Sauro, An updated global review of solutional weathering processes and  
932     forms in quartz sandstones and quartzites, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 171 (2017) 520-557.
- 933     [12] J. De Waele, V. Picotti, M.L. Martina, G. Brook, L. Yang, P. Forti, Holocene evolution of halite  
934     caves in the Cordillera de la Sal (Central Atacama, Chile) in different climate conditions,  
935     *Geomorphology*, (2020) 107398.
- 936     [13] J. Gulley, D. Benn, E. Screaton, J. Martin, Mechanisms of englacial conduit formation and  
937     their implications for subglacial recharge, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28 (2009) 1984-1999.
- 938     [14] G. Marbach, B. Tourte, *Alpine caving techniques: a complete guide to safe and efficient*  
939     *caving*, Speleo Projects, 2002.
- 940     [15] F. Sauro, D. Zampieri, M. Filipponi, Development of a deep karst system within a  
941     transpressional structure of the Dolomites in north-east Italy, *Geomorphology*, 184 (2013) 51-  
942     63.
- 943     [16] R. Zlot, M. Bosse, Three-dimensional mobile mapping of caves, *Journal of Cave & Karst*  
944     *Studies*, 76 (2014).
- 945     [17] M. Minton, Y. Droms, Exploration of caves—Vertical caving techniques, in: *Encyclopedia*  
946     *of Caves*, Elsevier, 2019, pp. 420-425.
- 947     [18] A.J. Kowalczyk, P.N. Froelich, Cave air ventilation and CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing by radon-222  
948     modeling: how fast do caves breathe?, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 289 (2010) 209-  
949     219.
- 950     [19] G. Badino, P. Forti, The exploration of the caves of the giant crystals (Naica, Mexico), *NSS*  
951     *News*, 65 (2007) 12-18.
- 952     [20] P. Kambesis, The importance of cave exploration to scientific research, *Journal of cave and*  
953     *karst studies*, 69 (2007) 46-58.
- 954     [21] G. Badino, M. De Matteis, L. Massa, *Tecniche di grotta*, Società speleologica italiana, 1992.

955 [22] A. Klimchouk, Krubera (Voronja) Cave, in: Encyclopedia of Caves, Elsevier, 2019, pp. 627-  
956 634.

957 [23] W. Stone, B. Am Ende, M. Paulsen, Beyond the Deep: The Deadly Descent into the World's  
958 Most Treacherous Cave, Hachette UK, 2010.

959 [24] A. Palmer, Mammoth Cave Region, United States, Encyclopaedia of Caves and Karst Science,  
960 (2004) 495-499.

961 [25] D.G. Davis, Extraordinary features of Lechuguilla Cave, Guadalupe Mountains, New Mexico,  
962 Journal of Cave and Karst Studies, 62 (2000) 147-157.

963 [26] S.L. Bishop, From earth analogues to space: learning how to boldly go, in: On orbit and  
964 beyond, Springer, 2013, pp. 25-50.

965 [27] N. Kanas, D. Manzey, Space psychology and psychiatry, Springer Science & Business Media,  
966 2008.

967 [28] G. Gabriel, B. van Baarsen, F. Ferlazzo, N. Kanas, K. Weiss, S. Schneider, I. Whiteley, Future  
968 perspectives on space psychology: recommendations on psychosocial and neurobehavioural  
969 aspects of human spaceflight, Acta Astronautica, 81 (2012) 587-599.

970 [29] T. Sgobba, Space Safety and Human Performance, Butterworth-Heinemann, 2017.

971 [30] G.M. Sandal, G. Leon, L. Palinkas, Human challenges in polar and space environments,  
972 Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology, 5 (2006) 281-296.

973 [31] A.C. Stella-Watts, C.P. Holstege, J.K. Lee, N.P. Charlton, The epidemiology of caving injuries  
974 in the United States, Wilderness & environmental medicine, 23 (2012) 215-222.

975 [32] R.R. MacNeil, J. Brcic, Coping with the subterranean environment: a thematic content  
976 analysis of the narratives of cave explorers, Journal of Human Performance in Extreme  
977 Environments, 13 (2017) 6.

978 [33] L. Bessone, N. Klein, Training for space endeavors: designing behavioural training for  
979 individuals and teams in high risk environments, in: 3rd IAASS Conference, Rome Conference  
980 Proceedings, 2008.

981 [34] L. Bessone, K. Beblo-Vranesevic, Q.A. Cossu, J. De Waele, S. Leuko, P. Marcia, P. Rettberg, L.  
982 Sanna, F. Sauro, S. Taiti, ESA CAVES: Training astronauts for space exploration, in: Proceedings  
983 of the 16th International Congress of Speleology. Brno, 2013, pp. 321-327.

984 [35] G. Strapazzon, L. Pilo, L. Bessone, M.R. Barratt, CAVES as an environment for astronaut  
985 training, Wilderness & Environmental Medicine, 25 (2014) 244-245.

986 [36] L. Bessone, F. Sauro, H. Stevenin, Training Safe and Effective Spaceflight Operations Using  
987 Terrestrial Analogues, in: Space Safety is No Accident, Springer, 2015, pp. 313-318.

988 [37] J.I. Pagel, A. Choukèr, Effects of isolation and confinement on humans-implications for  
989 manned space explorations, Journal of Applied Physiology, (2016).

990 [38] L. Zuccarelli, L. Galasso, R. Turner, E.J. Coffey, L. Bessone, G. Strapazzon, Human physiology  
991 during exposure to the cave environment: a systematic review with implications for aerospace  
992 medicine, Frontiers in physiology, 10 (2019) 442.

993 [39] N.B. Mogilever, L. Zuccarelli, F. Burles, G. Iaria, G. Strapazzon, L. Bessone, E.B. Coffey,  
994 Expedition cognition: a review and prospective of subterranean neuroscience with spaceflight  
995 applications, Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 12 (2018) 407.

996 [40] P. Schulz, T. Steimer, Neurobiology of circadian systems, CNS drugs, 23 (2009) 3-13.

997 [41] S. Cabras, J. De Waele, L. Sanna, Caves and Karst Aquifer Drainage of Supramonte (Sardinia,  
998 Italy): A Review, Acta Carsologica, 37 (2008).

999 [42] B. Jurkovšek, S. Biolchi, S. Furlani, T. Kolar-Jurkovšek, L. Zini, J. Jež, G. Tunis, M. Bavec, F.  
1000 Cucchi, Geology of the classical karst region (SW Slovenia-NE Italy), Journal of Maps, 12 (2016)  
1001 352-362.

1002 [43] A. Raymond, Team Training for long duration Missions in Isolated and Confined  
1003 Environment: A Literature Review, an Operational Assessment, and Recommendations for  
1004 Practice and Research, in, NASA/TM-2011-216162, 2011.

1005 [44] A. Muñoz, A. Mediano, Output design considerations in wireless portable Through-The-  
1006 Earth communications system using current injection, in: 2012 7th European Microwave  
1007 Integrated Circuit Conference, IEEE, 2012, pp. 857-860.

1008 [45] N. Kanas, G. Sandal, J. Boyd, V. Gushin, D. Manzey, R. North, G. Leon, P. Suedfeld, S. Bishop,  
1009 E. Fiedler, Psychology and culture during long-duration space missions, *Acta Astronautica*, 64  
1010 (2009) 659-677.

1011 [46] D.A. Vakoch, Psychology of space exploration: Contemporary research in historical  
1012 perspective, Government Printing Office, 2011.

1013 [47] P. Suedfeld, J. Brcic, P.J. Johnson, V. Gushin, Coping strategies during and after spaceflight:  
1014 Data from retired cosmonauts, *Acta Astronautica*, 110 (2015) 43-49.

1015 [48] S. Buckle, R. Peldszus, L. Bessone, Adaptation of the ISS human behaviour & performance  
1016 competency model as observation & debriefing tool for mission control teams during  
1017 simulations, in: *Space Safety is No Accident*, Springer, 2015, pp. 303-312.

1018 [49] L. Bessone, E.B. Coffey, N. Filippova, E. Greenberg, N. Inoue, M. Gittens, C. Mukai, Y.  
1019 Onufrienko, L. Tomi, L. Shmidt, C. Shea, O. Shevchenko, W. Sipes, S. Vander Ark, A. Vassin,  
1020 International Space Station Human Behavior & Performance Competency Model - Volume 2,  
1021 in, Mission Operations Directorate, 2008.

1022 [50] W.B. Vessey, L.B. Landon, Team performance in extreme environments, *The Wiley*  
1023 *Blackwell handbook of the psychology of team working and collaborative processes*, (2017)  
1024 531-553.

1025 [51] L.B. Landon, K.J. Slack, J.D. Barrett, Teamwork and collaboration in long-duration space  
1026 missions: Going to extremes, *American Psychologist*, 73 (2018) 563.

1027 [52] S.I. Tannenbaum, C.P. Cerasoli, Do team and individual debriefs enhance performance? A  
1028 meta-analysis, *Human factors*, 55 (2013) 231-245.

1029 [53] S.T. Bell, S.G. Brown, D.R. Abben, N.B. Outland, Team composition issues for future space  
1030 exploration: a review and directions for future research, *Aerospace medicine and human*  
1031 *performance*, 86 (2015) 548-556.

1032 [54] W. Wallace, T. Limero, R. Gillispie, D. Gazda, Effects of Ambient CO2 on Monitoring of the  
1033 International Space Station Atmosphere with the Air Quality Monitor, in, 48th International  
1034 Conference on Environmental Systems, 2018.

1035 [55] O. Korablev, Y.K. Kalinnikov, A.Y. Titov, A. Rodin, Y.V. Smirnov, M. Poluarshinov, E.  
1036 Kostrova, A. Kalyuzhnyi, A.Y. Trokhimovskii, I. Vinogradov, The RUSALKA device for measuring  
1037 the carbon dioxide and methane concentration in the atmosphere from on board the  
1038 International Space Station, *Journal of Optical Technology*, 78 (2011) 317-327.

1039 [56] T. Berger, B. Przybyla, D. Matthiä, G. Reitz, S. Burmeister, J. Labrenz, P. Bilski, T. Horwacik,  
1040 A. Twardak, M. Hajek, DOSIS & DOSIS 3D: long-term dose monitoring onboard the Columbus  
1041 Laboratory of the International Space Station (ISS), *Journal of Space Weather and Space*  
1042 *Climate*, 6 (2016) A39.

1043 [57] C. Cosma, O. Cozar, T. Jurcut, C. Baci, I. Pop, Simultaneous measurement of radon and  
1044 thoron exhalation rate from soil and building materials, in: *Radioactivity in the Environment*,  
1045 Elsevier, 2005, pp. 699-705.

1046 [58] A.A. Cigna, Radon in caves, *International Journal of Speleology*, 34 (2005) 1.

1047 [59] G. Badino, Models of temperature, entropy production and convective airflow in caves,  
1048 Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 466 (2018) 359-379.

1049 [60] K. Williams, C.P. McKay, O. Toon, J.W. Head, Do ice caves exist on Mars?, *Icarus*, 209 (2010)  
1050 358-368.

1051 [61] F. Sauro, M. Massironi, R. Pozzobon, H. Hiesinger, N. Mangold, J. Martínez Frías, C. Cockell,  
1052 L. Bessone, The ESA PANGAEA field geology training prepares astronauts for future missions  
1053 to the Moon and beyond, *EGUGA*, (2018) 4017.



1054 [62] M. Ott, D. Pierson, M. Shirakawa, F. Tanigaki, M. Hida, T. Yamazaki, T. Shimazu, N. Ishioka,  
1055 Space habitation and microbiology: status and roadmap of space agencies, *Microbes and*  
1056 *environments*, (2014) ME2903rh.

1057 [63] K. Olsson-Francis, C.S. Cockell, Experimental methods for studying microbial survival in  
1058 extraterrestrial environments, *Journal of microbiological methods*, 80 (2010) 1-13.

1059 [64] R.J. L  veill  , S. Datta, Lava tubes and basaltic caves as astrobiological targets on Earth and  
1060 Mars: a review, *Planetary and Space Science*, 58 (2010) 592-598.

1061 [65] H.A. Barton, Introduction to cave microbiology: a review for the non-specialist, *Journal of*  
1062 *cave and karst studies*, 68 (2006) 43-54.

1063 [66] A.C. Miller, Ana T.; De Waele, Jo; D'angeli, Ilenia; Payler, Samuel; Gabrovsek, Franci;  
1064 Bessone, Loredana; Sauro, Francesco Searching for subterranean-adapted microorganisms as  
1065 part of the ESA CAVES and PANGAEA  
1066 Astronaut training programs for planetary exploration, in: EANA 2020, Virtual, 2020, pp.  
1067 282966.

1068 [67] D.V. Fedosov, V.N. Khorvat, D.A. Korneev, Reconfigurable resonant aerial with an  
1069 impedance corrector, in, Google Patents, 2015.

1070 [68] J. Villarroel, J. Cuch  , A. Mediano, V. Vi  nals, V. Bataller, D. Sal  s, A. Mu  oz, F. Rosas, TEDRA,  
1071 the development of a software defined cave radio, *CREG Journal*, 6 (2007).

1072 [69] L. Turchi, S.J. Payler, F. Sauro, R. Pozzobon, M. Massironi, L. Bessone, The Electronic  
1073 FieldBook: a system for supporting distributed field science operations during astronaut  
1074 training and planetary exploration, *Planetary and Space Science*, (2021).

1075 [70] S. Taiti, R. Argano, P. Marcia, F. Scarpa, D. Sanna, M. Casu, The genus *Alpioniscus* Racovitza,  
1076 1908 in Sardinia: taxonomy and natural history (Isopoda, Oniscidea, Trichoniscidae), *ZooKeys*,  
1077 (2018) 229.

1078 [71] S. Leuko, K. Koskinen, L. Sanna, I.M. D'Angeli, J. De Waele, P. Marcia, C. Moissl-Eichinger, P.  
1079 Rettberg, The influence of human exploration on the microbial community structure and  
1080 ammonia oxidizing potential of the Su Bentu limestone cave in Sardinia, Italy, *PloS one*, 12  
1081 (2017) e0180700.

1082 [72] C.S. Cockell, The ethical status of microbial life on earth and elsewhere: in defence of  
1083 intrinsic value, in: *The ethics of space exploration*, Springer, 2016, pp. 167-179.

1084 [73] P. Boston, M. Spilde, D. Northup, L. Melim, D. Soroka, L. Kleina, K. Lavoie, L. Hose, L. Mallory,  
1085 C. Dahm, Cave biosignature suites: microbes, minerals, and Mars, *Astrobiology*, 1 (2001) 25-55.

1086 [74] M. Robinson, J. Ashley, A. Boyd, R. Wagner, E. Speyerer, B.R. Hawke, H. Hiesinger, C. Van  
1087 Der Bogert, Confirmation of sublunarean voids and thin layering in mare deposits, *Planetary*  
1088 *and Space Science*, 69 (2012) 18-27.

1089 [75] J. Haruyama, T. Morota, S. Kobayashi, S. Sawai, P.G. Lucey, M. Shirao, M.N. Nishino, Lunar  
1090 holes and lava tubes as resources for lunar science and exploration, in: *Moon*, Springer, 2012,  
1091 pp. 139-163.

1092 [76] J. Blamont, A roadmap to cave dwelling on the Moon and Mars, *Advances in Space*  
1093 *Research*, 54 (2014) 2140-2149.

1094 [77] J. De Waele, C. Carbone, L. Sanna, M. Vattano, E. Galli, F. Sauro, P. Forti, Secondary minerals  
1095 from salt caves in the Atacama Desert (Chile): a hyperarid and hypersaline environment with  
1096 potential analogies to the Martian subsurface, *International Journal of Speleology*, 46 (2017) 7.


1097 [78] D. Baioni, M. Tramontana, Evaporite karst in three interior layered deposits in Iani Chaos,  
1098 Mars, *Geomorphology*, 245 (2015) 15-22.

1099 [79] T. Titus, J.J. Wynne, P.J. Boston, P. de Le  n, C. Demirel-Floyd, H. Jones, F. Sauro, K. Uckert,  
1100 a.o. authors, Science and technology requirements to explore caves in our Solar System, White  
1101 Paper for the 2020 Planetary Science Decadal Survey of the National Academy of Science  
1102 (2020).

1103

1104 **Figures**

1105



1106

1107 Fig. 1. Speleological environments and activities with analogies to space and related stressors:

1108 A) Shafts and traverses over canyon ledges requiring technical progression and safety  
1109 protocols as an analogue to EVA (photo V. Crobu/ESA); B) Narrow and maze-like  
1110 environments requiring orientation skills and control of movements in confined spaces  
1111 (photo V. Crobu/ESA); C) The Base Camp, where the crew can perform science duties and  
1112 communication with ground during DPCs, as an analogue to a space habitat (photo A.  
1113 Romeo/ESA). D) Exploration and navigation with topographical surveys (photo V.  
1114 Crobu/ESA). E) Team organization to perform shared technical or scientific tasks (photo S.  
1115 Sechi/ESA); F) Science activities following space-like procedures (photo S. Sechi/ESA).

1116

1117





Fig. 2. Progression along a traverse with two safety tethers attached to a “*via ferrata*” handrail (left; photo Vittorio Crobu/ESA). This system shares several analogies with the tethers and protocols used during the Russian EVA techniques with the Russian Orlan spacesuit (right; photo credit NASA).




Fig. 3. Daily activity structure during the exploration mission. The daily plan can be considered as an analogue of an Extra Vehicular Activity lasting about 8 hours. The day starts with the morning DPC (Daily Planning Conference), equipment and scientific instrument preparation and technical equipment donning. When ready, the astronauts progress to the mission area, perform exploration, mapping and science, and then return to the Base Camp through the same route. The evening is dedicated to reporting (evening DPC), data transfer to the ground, and camp management. All photos are from ESA and the CAVES team photographers.



Fig. 4. Examples of activities where behavioural skills are exercised during CAVES: A) Activities during the pre-mission training where teamwork organisation is critical for success, like searching the right way through a labyrinth cave as a team (photo V. Crobu/ESA); B) Evening briefings among the crew during the extended cave mission to discuss the outcome and problems of the day, and plan corrective actions for the following one (photo S. Sechi/ESA); C) The crew during a food tasting session where they are required to prepare and agree on a list of food for the supply of the extended cave mission (photo E. Procopio/ESA); D) Command handover ceremony at the halfway point of the extended cave mission (photo A. Romeo/ESA).






Fig. 5 Monitoring of the cave environment: A) Joe Acaba and Jeanette Epps (NASA) downloading data from a Radim 5B data logger (photo A. Romeo/ESA); B) Jeanette Epps (NASA) and Nikolay Chub (Roscosmos) measuring CO<sub>2</sub> levels during caves exploration (photo A. Romeo/ESA); C) Cave map with interpolated temperature measures (in ° Celsius) collected during the mission; D) Cave map with interpolated measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> collected during the mission.




Fig. 6. A) The Electronic Field Book (EFB) tablet during experiment execution and microscope image collection, B) the experiment localisation in the interactive cave viewer, C) the EFB Sensors Box for environmental monitoring and its connection to D) the site documentation interface. The EFB and the Cavesniper mapping tool were used to perform geo-localized science throughout the cave during CAVES 2019. All data was gathered and transferred to the surface teams using a wireless system available at the base camp, at the end of every day. Photos A. Romeo/ESA.