

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Multidecadal changes in fish growth rates estimated from tagging data: A case study from the Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*, Gadidae)

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Mion, M., Haase, S., Hemmer-Hansen, J., Hilvarsson, A., Hüsey, K., Krüger-Johnsen, M., et al. (2021). Multidecadal changes in fish growth rates estimated from tagging data: A case study from the Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*, Gadidae). FISH AND FISHERIES, 22(2), 413-427 [10.1111/faf.12527].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/809386> since: 2024-05-16

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12527>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Mion M.; Haase S.; Hemmer-Hansen J.; Hilvarsson A.; Hüsey K.; Krüger-Johnsen M.; Krumme U.; McQueen K.; Plikshs M.; Radtke K.; Schade F.M.; Vitale F.; Casini M.: *Multidecadal changes in fish growth rates estimated from tagging data: A case study from the Eastern Baltic cod (Gadus morhua, Gadidae)*

FISH AND FISHERIES. VOL. 22 ISSN 1467-2960

DOI: 10.1111/faf.12527

The final published version is available online at:

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/faf.12527>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Multidecadal changes in fish growth rates estimated from tagging data: a case study from the Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*, *Gadidae*).

Monica Mion¹, Stefanie Haase², Jakob Hemmer-Hansen³, Annelie Hilvarsson¹, Karin Hüsey³, Maria Krüger-Johnsen³, Uwe Krumme², Kate McQueen², Maris Plikshs⁴, Krzysztof Radtke⁵, Franziska Maria Schade², Francesca Vitale¹, Michele Casini^{1,6}

¹Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources, Turistgatan 5, 45330 Lysekil, Sweden

²Thünen Institute of Baltic Sea Fisheries, Alter Hafen Süd 2, 18069 Rostock, Germany

³Technical University of Denmark, National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Kemitovet, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

⁴National Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Ul. Kołłątaja 1, 81-332 Gdynia, Poland

⁵Institute of Food Safety, Animal Health and Environment, Fish Resources Research Department, Lejupe 3, 1076, Riga, Latvia

⁶University of Bologna, Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, Via Selmi 3, 40126 Bologna, Italy

Correspondence: Monica Mion, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources, Turistgatan 5, 45330 Lysekil, Sweden. Email: monica.mion@slu.se

Running title: Changes in fish growth from tagging data.

Abstract

Long time-series of reliable individual growth estimates are crucial for understanding the status of a fish stock and deciding upon appropriate management. Tagging data provide valuable information about fish growth, and are especially useful when age-based growth estimates and stock assessments are compromised by age determination uncertainties. However, in the literature there is a lack of studies assessing possible changes in growth over time using tagging data. Here, data from tagging experiments performed in the Baltic Sea between 1971-2019 were added to those previously analysed for 1955-1970 to build the most extensive tagging dataset available for Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*, *Gadidae*), a threatened stock with severe age-determination problems. Two length-based methods, the GROTAG model (based on the von Bertalanffy growth function) and a Generalized Additive Model, were used to assess for the first time the potential long-term changes in cod growth using age-independent data. Both methods showed strong changes in growth with an increase until the end of the 1980s (8.6-10.6 cm·year⁻¹ for a 40 cm cod depending on the model) followed by a sharp decline. This study also revealed that the current growth of cod is the lowest observed in the past 7 decades (4.3-5.1 cm·year⁻¹ for a 40 cm cod depending on the model), indicating very low productivity. This study provides the first example of the use of tagging data to estimate multidecadal changes in growth rates in wild fish. This methodology can also be applied to other species, especially in those cases where severe age-determination problems exist.

Keywords: Baltic cod, Generalized Additive Model, growth modelling, mark-recapture, time-series, von Bertalanffy growth function.

51	Table of contents
52	1. Introduction
53	2. Material and methods
54	2.1 Data overview
55	<i>Historical data</i>
56	<i>CODYSEY project</i>
57	<i>TABACOD project</i>
58	2.2 Data preparation
59	2.3 Growth analyses
60	<i>GROTAG model</i>
61	<i>Generalized Additive Model</i>
62	3. Results
63	3.1 GROTAG model
64	3.2 Generalized Additive Model
65	4. Discussion
66	<i>Conclusions</i>
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	

1. Introduction

Growth describes how body size changes with time, and variation in growth can have substantial consequences for survival, age at sexual maturity, reproductive success and movements, modulating the response of populations to environmental changes and anthropogenic pressures including fisheries (Peters, 1983; Dortel et al., 2014). Therefore, long time-series of reliable growth estimates are crucial for evaluating the present and past status of a fish stock and deciding upon appropriate fisheries management actions under ecosystem changes (Kell and Bromley, 2004; Dortel et al., 2014; Vincenzi et al., 2014; Aires-da-Silva et al., 2015).

For temperate teleost fish species, growth estimates and stock assessment generally rely on age determination through the interpretation of otolith annual increments. However, numerous examples of severe age-reading uncertainties and inconsistencies causing highly inaccurate estimates of population dynamics exist (Campana, 2001; Kestelle et al., 2017), resulting in extreme cases in the abandonment of age-based analytical stock assessments (e.g. de Pontual et al., 2006; ICES, 2014a and 2015). By contrast, tagging methods provide valid data for length-based growth modelling for many fish families, including gadoids (e.g. cod; Shackell et al., 1997, Tallack, 2009, McQueen et al., 2019a). Those data have also been integrated into stock assessment as in the case of some tuna species (e.g. Hearn and Polacheck, 2003, Restrepo et al., 2010, Ailloud et al., 2014, Aires-da-Silva et al., 2014, Dortel et al., 2014), and hake (de Pontual et al., 2013). However, to the best of our knowledge, tagging data has never previously been used for assessing possible changes in growth over long time periods.

The Baltic Sea is one of the largest brackish water areas in the world, where severe changes in biotic and environmental conditions have occurred in the past hundred years (Reusch et al., 2018). Historically, cod (*Gadus morhua*, *Gadidae*) has been one of the most important commercial species in the Baltic Sea (Bagge et al., 1994; ICES, 2014b) and, as a major

piscivorous fish, has important structuring roles in the ecosystem (Casini et al., 2009). During the past hundred years, the Eastern Baltic cod (EBC) stock size has changed considerably, with a peak in the early 1980s when the stock yielded the third-largest landings of all cod stocks in the North Atlantic (ca. 200.000 t). Since then, the stock has been in decline and is currently one of the most severely threatened fish stocks in Europe (ICES, 2020a). From 2019, the advice for EBC is for a closure of the fisheries (ICES, 2020b).

Concurrent with the decline in stock size, a number of changes have been observed in the EBC stock, which include reduced body condition, maturation at a smaller size, increased parasite infestation and thiamine deficiency (Eero et al., 2015; Horbowy et al. 2016; Engelhardt et al., 2020). Additionally, a decline in relative abundance of larger individuals (i.e. >35–40 cm) and a drop in maximum length has occurred (Eero et al., 2015; Orio et al., 2017, ICES 2019a). However, due to the lack of reliable age determination for this stock (ICES, 2014; Hüsey et al., 2016b), it is unclear whether the change in size structure of the stock is the result of reduced growth or increased mortality of older individuals, or both.

The existence of ageing problems in the EBC stock has been known since the implementation of an analytical stock assessment in the beginning of the 1970s (ICES, 1972; Hüsey et al., 2016b). To overcome this problem, stock assessment models that can handle length-based data are currently used (e.g. Sock Synthesis; ICES, 2019b). However, such approaches still require information on individual growth, especially if growth is changing. Accurate information on temporal patterns in growth is therefore required (ICES, 2018; Eero et al., 2015).

Previous studies based on weight-at-age data from commercial landings suggested changes in growth for EBC with an increase between 1980 and the early 1990s and a subsequent decline (Brander, 2007; ICES, 2013). However, the severe age-determination uncertainties and inconsistencies (Hüsey, 2016b) have put into question the trend observed. In addition, caution is needed when using weight-at-age data (especially those from commercial landings) as proxy

for growth since they are also affected by size-selective fishing mortality and high-grading practices, besides changes in individual body condition. The study by Hüsey et al. (2018), based on otolith daily increment and year classes identification from length frequency data, suggested a decline in growth rates also of young cod from the early 2000s, but the potential magnitude of change in growth of older cod was not assessed since the methodologies used are not suitable for older individuals (Hüsey et al., 2018).

Extensive tagging experiments on Baltic cod have been performed by the countries bordering the Baltic Sea from the late 1950s to the 1980s (Bagge, 1994) and have continued more sporadically thereafter. These historical tagging data were mainly used to analyse cod movements over the Baltic seascape (reviewed in Aro, 1989 and 2002), but they have been underutilized for growth analyses. Although some estimates of growth based on the historical tagging data exist, they are mainly limited to single national surveys and cover limited periods (Draganik and Netzel, 1966; Sjöblom et al., 1980; Mion et al., 2020).

In this study, we extended the historical database used in Mion et al. (2020), which is based on tagging data collected during 1955-1970, by collating and digitising the existing archived data from the countries that have performed tagging experiments in the Baltic Sea between the 1970s and the 1990s. In addition, data from international tagging experiments carried out in the southern Baltic Sea in the 2000s and in recent years (2016-2019) have also been integrated with the collated historical data. With this extensive dataset, which represents the longest available time series of tagging data for the EBC over the whole area of its distribution, we reconstructed for the first time growth pattern in cod over the past 7 decades. This study is an example of the potential of tagging data for assessing time-series of growth, which is especially important for those stocks and species suffering from age estimation problems.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Data overview

For this study, data from (1) historical tagging experiments carried out in the Baltic Sea, and the more recent projects (2) CODYSSEY (Cod spatial dynamics and vertical movements in European waters and implications for fishery management) and (3) TABACOD (Tagging Baltic Cod) were combined in a common database.

Historical data

The historical tagging database of Baltic cod compiled in Mion et al. (2020) covering the period 1955-1970 was extended by digitising and collating additional historical data from tagging experiments between the 1970s and the 1990s by Sweden, Poland, Latvia, Finland, Denmark and Germany within ICES Subdivisions (SDs) 23-32 (Fig. 1). Data for a total of 10143 recaptured cod were available, covering a release period between 1955 and 1993 (Table 1).

The records in the compiled database of all recaptured cod included information on release and recapture location, date and total length, as well as occasional information on total weight, sex and maturity stage that were not considered in this analysis. In total, there were 8622 records with clear information on both release and recapture dates, geographical position at least at the ICES SD resolution and length measurements. The historical tagging activities were performed year-round, except for the warmest months in the 3rd quarter which were less sampled, when the thermocline is more pronounced and less tolerated by cod at release (Otterlind, 1984; Fig. 2a).

Information about the historical tagging procedures was available from literature for all tagging experiments (Swedish experiments: Otterlind, 1969 and 1984; Danish tagging experiments: Bagge, 1969 and 1970; Polish tagging experiments: Netzel, 1976; Latvian tagging experiments: Kondratovich, 1980; German tagging experiments: Berner, 1962 and 1967; Bingel, 1981; Finnish tagging experiments: Sjöblom et al., 1980). In the Swedish, Danish,

Polish, Latvian and German tagging experiments cod were fished with bottom trawl hauls from chartered fishing cutters or research vessels. To enhance survival, fish were placed in a flow-through tank with surface seawater before the tagging procedures and only fish not damaged during capture were tagged. After tagging cod were immediately released at the surface at the same location where they were caught, with the exception of two tagging experiments in 1968 where the fish were released at the bottom using a release cage (Otterlind, 1969; Otterlind, 1984). All the tagged cod, which were unable to swim, were retrieved and removed from the tagging list. In the Finnish experiments, cod were mainly caught with gillnets and longline. In the Gulf of Finland (SD 32) cod were held some days in tanks before tagging and subsequent release, while in the Åland Sea (SD 29) cod were tagged and released immediately after capture (Sjöblom et al., 1980).

Overall, the main release areas for the recaptured fish were within ICES SDs 25-32 (Fig. 2b). The individual measurements (i.e. total length and total weight) and other information (e.g. location) of the recaptured fish were reported mainly by the fishers through letters addressed to the research institutes involved in the tagging project (Fig. 3). The length of recaptured cod ranged from 140 to 1100 mm (median: 440 mm) and the time between release and recapture (days at liberty, DAL) ranged between 0 and 3928 days (median: 128 days; Fig. 2c). The return rate (i.e. the % of tagged cod that were recaptured and reported to the research institutes) for the historical tagging experiments were on average 11.8% (Table 1). Around 75% of the recaptures had information on the tag type used. Most of the recaptured tags were Lea's hydrostatic tags (54%), followed by T-bars (15%), and Carlin tags (5%), while less than 1% of fish were tagged with Peterson discs (for description of the tags used in the historical Baltic cod tagging experiments see Mion et al., 2020). Around 59% of the recaptures contained information about the recapture gear (43% active gears, i.e. trawls; 16% passive gear, i.e. gillnets, longlines, traps and pots).

CODYSSEY project

The aim of the CODYSSEY project was to study the behaviour and environmental experience of larger cod (>45 cm) over periods of 9-12 months using external electronic data storage tags (DSTs). Detailed information about the project and tagging methodology can be found in Neuenfeldt et al. (2007). From 2002 to 2006, 446 fish tagged with DSTs were released in the southern Baltic (SDs 24 and 25), and between 2003 and 2006, 234 cod recaptures were reported (52.5% return rate; Table 1; Fig. 2a, b). The length of recaptured cod ranged from 450 mm to 985 mm (median: 524 mm) and DAL ranged between 1 and 607 days (median: 47 days; Fig. 2c). All cod were stored in a freezer after recapture until they were processed at the Danish Fisheries Research Institute (now DTU Aqua, Denmark).

TABACOD project

The aim of the TABACOD project was to collect data on growth rates and otolith formation of EBC. A total of 25352 cod were tagged by Denmark, Germany, Sweden and Poland in the current main distribution area of the EBC stock (SDs 24-26) between 2016 and 2019. Fish were mainly caught by bottom trawling from commercial and research vessels, using mainly short hauls of 5–30 minutes' duration at 12-74 m depth. 10% of the cod were captured using fish traps, pound nets and angling. In order to enhance survival and to select the fish in good health (i.e. not showing injuries or barotrauma caused by trawling), fish were placed in a tank supplied with flowing seawater prior to tagging procedures.

Fish in good health were tagged externally using numbered T-bar anchor tags inserted across the pterygiophores below the first dorsal fin, and internally through intraperitoneal injection of tetracycline-hydrochloride (following Stötera *et al.*, 2018). In addition, a subset (5%) of cod was tagged with surgically implanted DSTs and marked externally with two T-bar anchor tags.

After individual measurements (total length to the lowest millimetre and total weight to the nearest gram) and tagging procedures, fish were usually retained in tanks supplied with flowing seawater for up to 1 hour, to recover from the tagging procedure before being released at the location of capture. Fish caught with a trawl were mainly released using a cage at approximately the same depth of capture both for acclimatization and for avoiding predation from seagulls. Fish caught by other gear types were released at the surface. The length range of cod tagged for this study was 148 to 652 mm (median: 355 mm).

By November 2019, 375 recaptured cod were reported (Table 1; i.e. 1.5% return rate). Information about the recapture gears were available for 94% of the recaptures; 66% active (i.e. commercial trawls) and 34% passive (i.e. commercial gillnets, longlines, traps and pots). In addition, 8 cod were recaptured by recreational fishers. For 358 recaptures length and weight measurements were recorded. The length of recaptured cod ranged from 253 to 617 mm (median: 422 mm) and the DAL ranged between 0 and 876 days (median: 174 days).

2.2 Data preparation

Before undertaking growth analyses, some data filters were applied in a stepwise approach following Mion et al. (2020; Supplementary Fig. S1). In the Baltic, two cod stocks occur, i.e. EBC and Western Baltic cod (WBC), located in ICES SDs 24–32 and 22–24, respectively (Fig. 1), with a main mixing area in SD 24 (Hüssy et al., 2016a). In order to identify the recaptured individuals which most likely belonged to the EBC stock, different methods were applied: (i) for the historical and CODYSSEY data, no information on the stock of origin was available and thus a regional assignment has been applied. Following Mion et al. (2020), to reduce the inclusion of WBC individuals in the growth analyses, only fish which were both released and recaptured within the SDs 25–32 were included, excluding the main mixing area of the stocks (i.e. SD 24). This procedure is supported by the lower exchange of the WBC towards the

eastern area (Berner, 1967; Hüssy et al., 2016a; Hemmer-Hansen et al., 2019). (ii) For the TABACOD data, for 70% of the recaptures the stock of origin was assigned genetically, using SNP genotyping of tissue samples from jaw, muscle or gill stored in ethanol (95%), following the method described in Hemmer-Hansen et al. (2019). Where genetic analysis was not possible, for 30% of the recaptures, otolith shape analysis was applied using a genetically validated baseline of stock-specific shapes derived from Schade et al. (2019). These analyses revealed that 285 fish recaptured in the TABACOD project belonged to the EBC stock. Another 44 fish were excluded from the analyses due to lack of genetic or otolith samples. In addition, a sensitivity analysis on the growth estimates (see below) was done for the TABACOD data assigning the stock of origin through the same regional assignment method used for the historical and CODYSSEY data.

The measurements of the recaptured cod during the historical period were assumed to be taken from fresh fish since no detailed information was available and most of the recaptures were reported directly by fishers or anglers. For the recaptures of CODYSSEY and TABACOD, when fish were stored in a freezer and the measurements taken after thawing, a shrinkage conversion factor, developed for Baltic cod was applied (McQueen et al., 2019b).

Only fish with $DAL \geq 60$ were included in the analyses to ensure enough time for measurable growth to occur. To exclude fish with unrealistically high growth rates, likely caused by measurement errors, all fish in the database with predicted annual growth $> 25 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$ were excluded from further analyses (Mion et al., 2020). To remove extreme negative growth values (i.e. recapture length $\ll$ release length), the same percentile of fish growing above the cut-off of $25 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$ (i.e. 3%) was used to remove the data from the left-tail of the growth distribution (i.e. negative growth; Supplementary Fig. S2). After filtering using these criteria, 4407 cod qualified for growth estimation for the historical data, 34 for CODYSSEY and 219 for TABACOD.

2.3 Growth analyses

To analyse growth, two length-based models were used: 1) the maximum likelihood GROTAG model (Francis 1988a; applied for EBC in Mion *et al.* 2020), which is based on the von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF), and 2) a generalized additive model (GAM), which does not assume any *a priori* growth trajectory.

GROTAG model

Growth parameters were estimated for four periods which were selected considering the data availability, and which also corresponded to the main changes in the EBC stock size based on Eero *et al.* (2007): 1) 1971-1980 (increase in stock size), 2) 1980-1990 (peak in stock size and subsequent decline), 3) 2003-2006 (stock size at the lowest level observed in the time-series since the 1950s), and 4) 2016-2019 (stock in poorest body condition level detected so far). The earlier periods 1955-1964 and 1965-1970 were analysed by Mion *et al.* (2020) using the same data filters and the same method as in the present study. The length frequency distribution for length at release (L_1) and length at recapture (L_2) for the different periods are presented in Supplementary Fig. S3.

The VBGF is commonly used to describe individual fish growth, modelling fish length as a function of age. The VBGF parameters are L_∞ (i.e. the asymptotic length at which growth rate is theoretically zero), k (i.e. the Brody growth coefficient, which determines how fast the fish approaches L_∞) and t_0 that is the theoretical age at zero length. To model growth as a function of length at release (L_1) and time between release and recapture, we used a re-parametrization of the age-based VBGF, which is commonly applied to tagging data (Fabens, 1965):

$$\Delta L = (L_\infty - L_1)[1 - \exp(-k\Delta T)] \quad (1)$$

Where, ΔL is the change in length between L_1 and L_2 , and ΔT is the time between release (T_1) and recapture (T_2) (Francis, 1988a). To standardize the dates, T_1 and T_2 were measured in fraction of years from the 1st of January of the year of the first tagged cod release.

The growth parameters were estimated applying the maximum likelihood GROTAG technique (Francis, 1988a) using the function “grotag” from the R package “fishmethods” (Nelson, 2016) in R 3.5.0 (R Core Team, 2018). The GROTAG model includes the parameters g_α and g_β , that are the mean annual growth rates at two release sizes (α and β , respectively, where $\alpha < \beta$). Parameters g_α and g_β can be used to estimate the conventional parameters L_∞ and k of the VBGF by the equations (Francis, 1988b):

$$L_\infty = (\beta g_\alpha - \alpha g_\beta) / (g_\alpha - g_\beta) \quad (2)$$

$$k = -Ln [1 + (g_\alpha - g_\beta / \alpha - \beta)] \quad (3)$$

Reference lengths for α and β which are well represented by the length distribution of the tagging data should be chosen (Francis, 1988a). In our study, the 5th percentile value of L_1 measurements was adopted for α and the 95th percentile value of L_1 measurements was adopted for β (as in Tallack, 2009; see also Mion et al., 2020).

Francis’s model allows the inclusion of additional parameters that can improve model fit, including: 1) the standard deviation of the measurement error (s), 2) the standard deviation of the growth increment (nu), 3) the mean of the measurement error (m), 4) the outlier contamination probability (p); when $p > 0.05$ caution is required in interpreting the model fit since it indicates a high level of outliers (Francis, 1988a); 5) the seasonal growth, which includes a proportion that describes when growth is at its maximum in relation to the 1st of

January (w), and the amplitude of seasonal growth (u) that ranges from 0 to 1 (with $u = 0$ and $u = 1$ representing no seasonal growth and maximum seasonal growth effect, respectively). The ratio of maximum and minimum instantaneous growth rate is $(1 + u):(1 - u)$.

The GROTAG model was selected since it incorporates individual variation in growth rate, is suitable for handling large datasets (Tallack, 2009) and has been successfully applied to tagging data to estimate growth rates of cod (Tallack, 2009; McQueen et al., 2019a; Mion et al., 2020). Model selection was done as in Francis (1988a), involving incremental combinations of the parameters (Table 2), with unfitted parameters held at zero. The best model (i.e. final model) was selected through Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1973), with improved model fit indicated by a ΔAIC value ≤ 6 to select the most parsimonious model (where ΔAIC value is defined as the difference between the AIC values of the model with the lowest AIC and the remaining models with less parameters; Richards 2005, 2008). The model fit was also visually assessed by plotting the residuals (observed-expected growth) versus relative age at the time of tagging and time at liberty (Ailloud *et al.*, 2014). Residual deviation was expected to decrease as relative age increases, because the likelihood function assumes an allometric relationship between individual growth variation and mean growth, and the latter declines with length and thus relative age (Francis, 1988a; Bradley *et al.*, 2017). Relative age is calculated by inverting the VBGF (Ailloud *et al.*, 2014).

To estimate the variance of the VBGF parameters, each period's dataset was bootstrapped 1000 times following Mion et al. (2020). For each period, an approximate 95% confidence interval for L_{∞} and k was then constructed using the bootstrap variance.

Recaptures released in 1993 ($n=33$) and during 2003 and 2006 (CODYSSEY project; $n=34$) were excluded from the GROTAG analyses, as for these years only small sample sizes, with only large-sized cod, were available (Supplementary Fig. S3e).

In order to compare the growth estimates between periods, the parameters g_α and g_β were used to estimate the mean annual growth of cod for the same selected length γ for each period using the following equation (Francis, 1988a):

$$g_\gamma = ((\gamma - \alpha) g_\beta + (\beta - \gamma) g_\alpha) / (\beta - \alpha) \quad (4)$$

The corresponding 95% confidence intervals were estimated from the standard errors calculated as in Francis (1988a).

Generalized Additive Model

Cod growth ($\Delta L = L_2 - L_1$) in different periods was modelled using a generalized additive model (GAM) with a restricted maximum likelihood approach (Wood, 2006). The periods considered were the same used in the GROTAG analyses in Mion et al. (2020; i.e. periods 1955-1964 and 1965-1970) and the present study (i.e. periods: 1955-1964, 1965-1970, 1971-1980, 1981-1990, and 2016-2019). The following equation was used:

$$\Delta L = a + te(L_1 * DAL, by(Period)) + \varepsilon \quad (5)$$

where a is the intercept, te is the tensor product smoothing function and ε an error term.

An interaction was used between the continuous variables L_1 and DAL since the shape of the effect of fish size at release (L_1) on ΔL can be affected by how long the fish has been at sea before being recaptured (DAL). This interaction can change between periods, therefore, the factor *Period* was also put in interactions with ($L_1 * DAL$).

In addition, we parametrized a second model replacing the factor *Period* with the continuous variable year at release ($Year_1$) using the following equation:

$$\Delta L = a + te(L_I * DAL * Year_I) + \varepsilon \quad (6)$$

In this model, the periods were not set *a priori* and ΔL was therefore allowed to change annually.

For both GAM formulations, a gamma distribution with a logarithmic link function was used because it best represented the distribution of ΔL frequencies according to the skewness–kurtosis plot for continuous data (i.e. lognormal distribution; Supplementary Fig. S4; Cullen & Frey, 1999). The models (equations 5 and 6) were used to predict the mean annual growth and 95% confidence interval of cod from 25 to 45 cm (by 5 cm steps), for each *Period* and *Year_I* respectively, using the predict.gam function from the package mgcv (Wood, 2006) in R v3.5.2 (R Development Core Team 2018). Due to the low availability of recaptured cod that have been out for a longer time, we excluded all the recaptures with $DAL > 1300$ days from the GAM analyses (remaining data $n = 4558$). In addition, for larger fish the predicted ΔL of the individuals with $DAL > 1300$ declined, contrary to the expectation that an asymptote should be reached.

3. Results

3.1 GROTAG model

For the period 1971-1980, model 4 (i.e. including g_α , g_β , s , nu , m and p) was selected as the final model (Supplementary Table S1). For the periods 1981-1990 and 2016-2019, a simpler model (i.e. including g_α , g_β , s , nu , model 2) was selected according to the AIC values (Supplementary Table S2 and S3). The best fitting models for the periods selected did not include the seasonality parameters. The distribution of the model residuals for the final model for each period is presented in Supplementary Fig. S5.

During the period 1971-1980, the mean growth rates for a 31 cm (α) and 72 cm (β) cod were 9.26 cm·year⁻¹ and 4.31 cm·year⁻¹, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). The growth variability parameter (nu) was estimated as 0.57, indicating that individuals within the population could be expected to grow between 0.43 and 1.57 times the estimated average growth (Supplementary Table S1). The contamination probability (p) was negligible (0.01), indicating that the occurrence of outliers was scarce, and the model did not detect outliers after the data cleaning. The mean measurement error (m) was close to zero (0.08 cm) and the standard deviation in measurement error (s) was 1.13 cm, which is in accordance with the 1 cm precision of the length measurements recorded in the historical tagging data (Supplementary Table S1). The VBGF parameter' estimates derived from the GROTAG function were $L_{\infty} = 107.73$ cm and $k = 0.13$ (Supplementary Table S1).

During the period 1981-1990, the mean growth rates for a 26 cm (α) and 63 cm (β) cod were 11.78 cm·year⁻¹ and 3.43 cm·year⁻¹, respectively (Supplementary Table S2). The growth variability parameter (nu) was estimated as 0.61, indicating that individuals within the population could be expected to grow between 0.39 and 1.61 times the estimated average growth (Supplementary Table S2). The standard deviation of measurement error (s) was higher than the 1 cm precision of the length measurements recorded in the historical tagging data (i.e. 4.07 cm), probably due to the low number of data available for this period and the fact that in this simpler model, p and m are not included, therefore the variability is accounted mainly by s (Supplementary Table S2). The VBGF parameter' estimates derived from the GROTAG function were $L_{\infty} = 78.21$ cm and $k = 0.26$ (Supplementary Table S2).

During the period 2016-2019, the mean growth rates for a 28 cm (α) and 47 cm (β) cod were 6.57 cm·year⁻¹ and 4.18 cm·year⁻¹ respectively (Supplementary Table S3). The growth variability parameter (nu) was estimated as 0.66, indicating that individuals within the population could be expected to grow between 0.34 and 1.66 times the estimated average

growth (Supplementary Table S3). The standard deviation of measurement error (s) was 0.69 cm (Supplementary Table S3). The VBGF parameter estimates derived from the GROTAG function were $L_{\infty} = 80.12$ cm and $k = 0.13$ (Supplementary Table S3).

The median bootstrapped estimates of L_{∞} and k for the different periods (Supplementary Table S4) were in line with the estimates from the original datasets (Supplementary Table S1, S2 and S3). The joint bootstrapped estimates of L_{∞} and k for the different periods are shown in Supplementary Fig. S6.

According to the GROTAG model (Fig. 4), for a smaller cod (25 cm) the average annual growth increased between 1955-1970 and the 1980s by 28%, and then decreased by 42% in the recent period (2016-2019). On the other hand, for a larger cod (45 cm) the average annual growth oscillated during 1955-1990 and then decreased by 41% from the 1980s to 2016-2019 (Fig. 4).

3.2 Generalized Additive Model

The GAMs based on selected periods (equation 5) and year of release (equation 6) explained 47.2 and 47.7% of the deviance, respectively (see Supplementary Table S5 and S6 for the statistics of the models). Visual inspection of the residuals of the models revealed, in some cases, slight departures from the model assumptions, but we considered the overall quality of the residuals to be satisfactory (Supplementary Fig. S7). The predicted average annual growth for the GAM based on selected periods (equation 5) oscillated during 1955-1980 until it reached a peak in the 1980s. In particular, for smaller cod (i.e. 25 cm) the growth in the 1980s increased by 28% above the growth rates estimated from 1955-1970. For larger cod (i.e. 45 cm) higher growth rates were already apparent in the 1970s (Fig. 4) with a 42% increase above 1955-1970. In the latest period, after this peak, growth declined, especially for cod larger than 25 cm (e.g. 54% decline below the peak for a 45 cm cod). For smaller cod (i.e. 25 cm) the

decline from the peak in growth was less pronounced (i.e. 10% decline), with wider confidence intervals and recent growth rates similar to 1955-1970.

The predicted average annual growth using GAM based on year of release (model 6) also showed an increase during the 1980s with a subsequent decline, reaching a minimum in the most recent decade for the size range considered in the study (Fig. 5). For larger cod (i.e. 45 cm) the decline from the peak was around 53%. For smaller fish (i.e. 25 cm) the declining trend after the 1980s was less pronounced (19%), with wider confidence interval and with recent growth similar to 1955-1970.

The sensitivity analyses using GAMs based on selected periods (equation 5) and years of release (equation 6), revealed that the growth estimates for the 2016-2019 period based on the regional assignment of the stock (n=97) did not differ from the estimates based on genetics and otolith shape analysis (n=219; Supplementary Fig. S8 and S9). Except for smaller fish (i.e. 25 cm), growth estimates based on regional assignment were smaller than for the ones based on genetics and otolith shape for both the models.

4. Discussion

In this study, the longest time series of age-independent growth estimates based on tagging data has been provided for the first time for the EBC stock. Our analyses show that growth of the EBC has changed over the past 7 decades, with an increase in the 1980s followed by a prolonged decline. This study also demonstrates that EBC growth is currently the lowest ever observed in the last 7 decades, indicating a very low current productivity. According to both the methods used, a cod of 45 cm presently increases on average 4.2 cm in length per year, which is 35% and 45% lower than the growth rate of the same size in the 1960s and 1980s, respectively. Assuming constant condition, the average increase in weight per year for a cod of 45 cm with an average weight of 903 grams is presently 266 grams, which is 42% and 53%

lower than the growth rate in weight of the same size fish in the 1960s and 1980s, respectively. This information is an additional indicator of the current distressed status of this stock, along with the declined body condition, reduced size at maturity, contracted spatial distribution and increased parasite infestation (Eero et al., 2015). Our results demonstrate that the shifted size structure towards smaller fish during the past two decades has been at least partially due to a strong decline in growth, although an increased mortality of larger individuals (e.g. Casini et al., 2016a, Horbowy et al., 2016) can also have contributed.

Explaining the causes of the estimated changes in growth is beyond the scope of this paper. However, the temporal growth patterns revealed by our analyses coincide with the decrease in the 1980s and subsequent increase of hypoxic areas in the central Baltic Sea (Casini et al., 2016b). Hypoxia may affect cod growth directly via physiological stress and loss of appetite (Chabot and Dutil, 1999; Brander, 2020) and indirectly by reducing the availability of important benthic prey (Neuenfeldt et al., 2020), or by contraction of suitable habitat which induced density-dependent responses (Casini et al., 2016b). These factors have been advocated in the literature to have also affected the body condition of EBC (Limburg et al., 2019; Casini et al., 2016b), and indeed the long-term temporal trends in cod growth found in this study are remarkably similar to concurrent changes in body condition (Casini et al., 2016b). Besides the oxygen conditions, other factors could have contributed to the changes in cod growth. For example, the sharp increase in growth in the 1980s could have been facilitated by the corresponding stock collapse, potentially due to density-dependent mechanisms (Casini et al., 2016a). Moreover, after the early 1990s the declined abundance of pelagic prey in the area of cod distribution (Casini et al., 2016a; Neuenfeldt et al., 2020), and the increased parasite infestation (Horbowy et al., 2016; Sokolova et al., 2018) could have contributed to the growth decline, but focused studies should be performed to discern the relative role of the different drivers likely involved in changes in EBC growth.

The temporal changes in growth revealed by this study are generally in line with the patterns of weight-at-age presented in the literature (Brander, 2007; ICES, 2013). In particular, the average decline in weight-at-age for the combined ages 2-4 (corresponding to lengths ~30-45 cm) from the peak in late 1980s-early 1990s to 2013 (i.e. last year where weight-at-age data are available from stock assessments, ICES, 2013) was ~51%, while the average decreased in weight, calculated from the decrease in length found in our study for the lengths 30-45 cm and considering the changes in body condition, was ~46%. However, the decline in weight-at-age since the mid-1990s, besides being an effect of a decline in growth, could also have been facilitated by size-selective removals by the high fishing pressure occurring at that time (ICES, 2020a) and therefore, a direct quantitative comparison is not possible. Our results for the small fish during the most recent period are qualitatively consistent with the decline in growth of young cod from the early 2000s found by Hüseyin et al. (2018) based on otolith daily increment and length frequency analysis.

In the literature, there is a lack of studies investigating possible changes in growth of cod stocks over long time periods. Denechaud et al. (2020), using otolith increments data, revealed significant variations in Northeast Arctic cod growth over the last century, but no declining trend has been detected in the recent period. Long time series of weight-at-age data are available and routinely used for stock assessment also for the other North Atlantic cod stocks. In several of these cod stocks a decline in average weight-at-age has occurred in recent periods (Northern cod: Morgan, 2019; Southern Newfoundland cod: Ings et al., 2019; North Sea cod: ICES, 2020c; West of Scotland cod: ICES, 2020d) and in particular for the Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence stock a strong decline in weight at-age has occurred since the 1980s (Swain et al., 2019), potentially suggesting that growth could have declined also in these cod stocks.

In this study, growth has been analysed using two length-based models: the GROTAG model is based on the VBGF, which is the most commonly used growth function in fisheries biology,

and has been previously used in other tagging studies, while the GAM has no *a priori* assumption on growth trajectory. The VBGF was developed from bioenergetics principles (von Bertalanffy, 1938) with the underlying assumption that growth slows down with fish size because the rate at which resources are acquired cannot balance with the rate at which resources are required. However, several authors have questioned its universal applicability and stressed that it should not be considered as a growth ‘law’, since it does not take into consideration reproduction (e.g. Roff 1983; Schnute, 1981; Day and Taylor, 1997; Marshall and White, 2018). In addition, k and L_{∞} are negatively correlated, therefore, uncertainties in the estimation of one parameter will bias the other (Andersen, 2019; Supplementary Fig. S6). The GAM, on the other hand, can be more sensitive to the number of observations available since it is not based on any *a priori* assumption on growth. According to the GROTAG model, growth increased between 1955-1970 and the 1980s and then decreased until the most recent period (2016-2019). A similar declining trend towards the recent period was also predicted with the GAMs, although for smaller cod (25 cm) this decline was less pronounced and had wider confidence intervals. The wider confidence intervals estimated by GAMs for smaller fish may be related to the lack of smaller size cod (≤ 25 cm) for the period 2016-2019 (see also Supplementary Fig. S3f). Alternatively, the decline in length at first maturity (i.e. length at first maturity has declined in the last 20 years down to 20 cm in the recent period; Reusch et al., 2018) likely means that in the recent period fish of 25 cm may consist of both adults and juveniles, while this size class in 1955-1990 likely consisted mainly of juvenile fish. Therefore, the mixture of adults and juveniles in the smaller size classes may have increased the variability in growth estimates in the GAM analyses for the recent period.

In our study, a seasonal growth signal was not analytically detected, contrary to the seasonality found for the period 1955-1970, with a peak in growth in the beginning of autumn and a minimum in spring during reproduction (Mion et al., 2020). The absence of seasonality in

growth in the more recent periods may be related to the lower number of recaptures compared to the period 1955-1970, or be a real biological change resulting from the overall contemporary low growth rates. Determinate spawners such as cod, are often classified as capital breeders since they reduce feeding during the spawning season (Boulcott and Wright, 2008). After spawning, when they start feeding again, compensatory growth occurs (Pedersen and Jobling, 1989). For the EBC however, due to a decline in food availability and the overall decrease of feeding level and energy intake after the early 1990s (Eero et al., 2011; Casini et al., 2016a; Neuenfeldt et al., 2020), this compensatory growth may be too weak to be detected within the overall reduced growth context, explaining the absence of seasonality in growth in our analyses in the more recent periods.

To reconstruct this long time-series of growth estimates, data from different tagging projects, originally planned with different aims and tagging techniques have been compiled in our study, potentially affecting the growth estimates. The tagging experiments used in our study for example employed different tags, but previous experiments have shown that tagging type (internal vs. external tagging) did not influence the fish growth rate (Righton et al., 2007). In addition, Stötera et al. (2018) found no significant effect of injection with tetracycline (that was used in the two most recent tagging periods, 2003-2006 and 2016-2019) on short-term growth of Baltic cod. Another factor that could affect growth estimates is the selectivity of the recapture gears, with passive gears and recreational angling with rod and line being selective for boldness (Arlinghaus et al., 2017). However, in our study the majority of recaptures from both the historical and current tagging experiments came from trawlers suggesting that the growth estimates were not affected by possible differences in individual behaviour of the fish. Finally, the length measurements used for growth analysis in the historical period, which were reported by fishers or anglers, likely had higher measurement error than the measurements taken by trained scientific staff in the more recent period (Eveson and Million, 2008; McQueen

et al., 2019a), but this potential source of bias has been partially accounted for in our analysis by using a restrictive data filter procedure.

Conclusions

The digitisation, collation, and combination of historical and recent data from several tagging experiments performed in the Baltic Sea over 7 decades allowed to reconstruct for the first time a long time series of age-independent growth rates in a stock with severe ageing problems, and therefore are now available to be integrated in assessment models (i.e. stock synthesis; ICES, 2019b). These data are fundamental for gaining a more complete understanding of the growth dynamics of the Eastern Baltic cod. In particular, they revealed an increase in growth at the end of 1980s corresponding to the stock collapse, and a constant decline afterwards with an exceptionally slow contemporary growth rate. Our study provides an example of the use of tagging data to estimate changes in growth rates in wild fish that can be also used for other cod stocks and species, especially in those cases where severe age determination problems exist. In addition, our study shows the importance of historical data mining and the great relevance of tagging experiments, not only to analyse wild fish movements, but also to reconstruct potential changes in their growth rates. Tag-recapture programs performed at regular time intervals (e.g. every decade) or when surveys indicate substantial changes in the stock structure or in the environment would ensure an age-independent time series of growth estimates to calibrate stock assessment models.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all the fishers, anglers and technical staff involved in data entering, tagging, collection and processing of the samples used in this study, and to Staffan Bertner, Nuno Prista and Valerio Bartolino (SLU) for statistical advice. We thank Keith Brander and another

anonymous reviewer for their constructive comments that greatly improved the manuscript.
This study was funded by BalticSea2020 (<http://balticsea2020.org>) through the project
“Tagging Baltic Cod” (TABACOD). We also thank the Swedish Agency for Marine and Water
Management for financing the compilation of the Swedish data.

Data Availability Statement

The historical data may be shared on reasonable request with permission of the respective
institutes. The TABACOD data are subject to an embargo of 5 years (i.e. until the end of 2025).
Once the embargo expires, the data will be available upon reasonable request.

References

- Aro, E. (1989). A review of fish migration patterns in the Baltic. *ICES Marine Science Symposia*, 190, 72-96.
- Aro, E. (2002). Fish migration studies in the Baltic Sea: a historical review. *ICES Marine Science Symposia*, 215, 361-370.
- Ailloud, L. E., Lauretta, M. V., Hoenig, J. M., Walter, J. F. & Fonteneau, A. (2014). Growth of Atlantic Bluefin tuna determined from the ICCAT tagging database: a reconsideration of methods. *Collective Volume of Scientific Papers, ICCAT*, 79(2), 380-393.
- Aires-da-Silva, A. M., Maunder, M. N., Schaefer, K. M. & Fuller, D. W. (2015). Improved growth estimates from integrated analysis of direct aging and tag–recapture data: An illustration with bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) of the Eastern Pacific Ocean with implications for management. *Fisheries Research*, 163, 119-126.

624

625 Akaike, H. (1973). Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle.
626 International Symposium on Information Theory. In B. N. Petrov & F. Csaki (Eds.),
627 *Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Information Theory* (pp. 267-281).
628 Budapest: Akademiai Kiado.

629

630 Andersen, K. H. (2019). *Fish Ecology, Evolution, and Exploitation: A New Theoretical*
631 *Synthesis*. Princeton University Press. Monographs in Population Biology, 62.

632

633 Arlinghaus, R., Laskowski, K., Alos J., Klefoth, T., Monk, C. T., Nakayama, S. & Schröder,
634 A. (2017). Passive gear-induced timidity syndrome in wild fish populations and its potential
635 ecological and managerial implications. *Fish and Fisheries*, 18(2), 360-373.

636

637 Bagge, O. (1969). Preliminary results of cod tagging experiments in the Baltic proper 1968 and
638 1969. ICES CM 1969/F:30. 11 pp.

639

640 Bagge, O. (1970). Danish cod tagging experiments in the Baltic 1968 and 1969. *ICES CM*
641 1970/F:27. 44 pp.

642

643 Bagge, O., Thurow, F., Steffensen, E. & Bay, J. (1994). The Baltic cod. *Dana*, 10, 1–28.

644

645 Berner, M. (1962). Ergebnisse der Markierungsexperimente am Ostseedorsch (*Gadus morhua*
646 L.) in der Bornholm.- und Arkonasee 1959. *Z. Für Fishcerei*, 453–462.

647

Berner, M. (1967). Results of cod tagging in the western and central Baltic in the period 1962–1965. *ICES CM* 1967/F:5.10 pp.

Bingel, F. (1981). An interpretation of the otolith structures of some cod from the central Baltic Sea. *Meeresforsch*, 29, 43–46.

Boulcott, P. & Wright, P. J. (2008). Critical timing for reproductive allocation in a capital breeder: evidence from sandeels. *Aquatic Biology*, 3, 31–40.

Bradley, D., Conklin, E., Papastamatiou, Y. P., McCauley, D. J., Pollock, K., Kendall, B. E., et al. (2017). Growth and life history variability of the grey reef shark (*Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos*) across its range. *PLoS ONE*, 12(2), e0172370. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172370

Brander, K. M. (2007). The role of growth changes in the decline and recovery of North Atlantic cod stocks since 1970. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 64, 211–217.

Brander, K. (2020). Reduced growth in Baltic Sea cod may be due to mild hypoxia. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsaa041

Campana, S. E. (2001). Accuracy, precision and quality control in age determination, including a review of the use and abuse of age validation methods. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 59, 197–242.

Casini, M., Hjelm, J., Molinero, J-C., Lövgren, J., Cardinale, M., Bartolino, V., Belgrano, A.,
et al. (2009). Trophic cascades promote threshold-like shifts in pelagic marine ecosystems.
Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences, USA, 106, 197–202.

Casini, M., Eero, M., Carlshamre, S. & Lövgren, J. (2016a). Using alternative biological
information in stock assessment: condition-corrected natural mortality of Eastern Baltic
cod. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73, 2625–2631. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsw117

Casini, M., Käll, F., Hansson, M., Plikshs, M., Baranova, T., Karlsson, O., et al. (2016b).
Hypoxic areas, density-dependence and food limitation drive the body condition of a heavily
exploited marine fish predator. *Royal Society Open Science*. 3:160416.

Casini, M., Hansson, M., Orio, A. & Limburg, K. (2020). Changes in population depth
distribution and oxygen stratification explain the current low condition of the Eastern Baltic
Sea cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Biogeosciences Discussions*. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2020-74>, in
review.

Chabot, D. & Dutil, J.-D. (1999). Reduced growth of Atlantic cod in non-lethal hypoxic
conditions. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 55(3), 472-491. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1999.tb00693.x)
[8649.1999.tb00693.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1999.tb00693.x)

Cullen, A. C. & Frey, H. C. (1999). Probabilistic Techniques in Exposure Assessment. A
Handbook for Dealing with Variability and Uncertainty in Models and Inputs.

Denechaud, C., Smoliński, S., Geffen, A.J., Godiksen, J.A. & Campana, S.E. (2020). A century of fish growth in relation to climate change, population dynamics and exploitation. *Global Change Biology*. doi:10.1111/gcb.15298

Day, T. & Taylor, P. D. (1997). Von Bertalanffy's growth equation should not be used to model age and size at maturity. *American Naturalist*, 149, 381-393

de Pontual, H., Groison, A. L., Piñeiro, C. & Bertignac, M. (2006). Evidence of underestimation of European hake growth in the Bay of Biscay, and its relationship with bias in the agreed method of age estimation. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 63(9), 1674–1681. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2006.07.007>

de Pontual, H., Jolivet, A., Garren, F. & Bertignac, M. (2013). New insights on European hake biology and population dynamics from a sustained tagging effort in the Bay of Biscay. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 70, 1416–1428

Dortel, E., Sardenne, F., Bousquet, N., Rivot, E., Million, J., Le Croizierc, G. & Chassot, E., (2014). An integrated Bayesian modeling approach for the growth of Indian Ocean yellowfin tuna. *Fisheries Research*, 163, 69-84. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2014.07.006>

Draganik, B. & Netzel, J. (1966). An attempt to estimate the growth of cod in the Southern Baltic on the Basis of Tagging Experiments. *ICES CM 1966/D:12*.

Eero, M., Koster, F. W., Plikshs, M. & Thurow, F. (2007). Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua callarias*) stock dynamics: Extending the analytical assessment back to the mid-1940s. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 65(1). DOI: 10.1093/icesjms/fsm114

Eero, M., MacKenzie, B. R., Köster, F. W. & Gislason, H. (2011). Multi-decadal responses of a cod (*Gadus morhua*) population to human-induced trophic changes, fishing, and climate. *Ecological Applications*, 21, 214-226. doi:10.1890/09-1879.1

Eero, M., Hjelm, J., Behrens, J., Buckmann, K., Cardinale, M., Casini, M., Gasyukov, P., Holmgren, N., Horbowy, J., Hüsey, K., Kirkegaard, E., Kornilovs, G., Krumme, U., Köster, F., Oeberst, R., Plikss, M., Radtke, K., Raid, T., Schmidt, J. O., Tomczak, M., Vinther, M., Zimmermann, C. & Storr-Paulsen, M. (2015). Eastern Baltic cod in distress: biological changes and challenges for stock assessment. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 72, 2180–2186. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv109>.

Engelhardt, J., Frisell, O., Gustavsson, H., Hansson, T., Sjöberg, R., Collier, T.K. & Balk, L., (2020). Severe thiamine deficiency in eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *PLOS ONE*, 15(1), e0227201. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227201>

Eveson, J. P. & Million, J. (2008). Estimation of growth parameters for yellowfin, bigeye and skipjack tuna using tag-recapture data. IOTC-2008-WPTDA-08.

Fabens, A. J. (1965). Properties and fitting of the von Bertalanffy growth curve. *Growth*, 29(3), 265–289. PMID:5865688.

Francis, R. I. C. C. (1988a). Maximum likelihood estimation of growth and growth variability from tagging data. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, 22, 43–51. doi:10.1080/00288330.1988.9516276.

Francis, R.I.C.C. (1988b). Are growth parameters estimated from tagging and age–length data comparable? *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 45(6), 936–942. doi:10.1139/f88-115.

Hearn, W. S. & Polacheck, T. (2003). Estimating long-term growth-rate changes of southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) from two periods of tag-return data. *Fishery Bulletin*, 101, 58–74.

Hemmer-Hansen, J., Hüsey, K., Baktoft, H., Huwer, B., Bekkevold, D., Haslob, H., Herrmann, J.-P., Hinrichsen, H.-H., Krumme, U., Mosegaard, H., Nielsen, E. E., Reusch, T. B. H., Storr-Paulsen, M., Velasco, A., von Dewitz, B., Dierking, J. & Eero, M. (2019). Genetic analyses reveal complex dynamics within a marine fish management area. *Evolutionary Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12760>

Horbowy, J., Podolska, M. & Nadolna-Altyn, K. (2016). Increasing occurrence of anisakid nematodes in the liver of cod (*Gadus morhua*) from the Baltic Sea: Does infection affect the condition and mortality of fish? *Fisheries Research*, 179, 98-103.

Hüsey, K., Eero, M. & Radtke, K. (2018). Faster or slower: Has growth of Baltic cod changed? *Marine Biology Research*, 14, 598-609. doi:10.1080/17451000.2018.1502446

Hüssy, K., Hinrichsen, H.-H., Eero, M., Mosegaard, H., Hemmer-Hansen, J., Lehmann, A. & Lundgaard, L. S. (2016a). Spatio-temporal trends in stock mixing of eastern and western Baltic cod in the Arkona Basin and the implications for recruitment. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73(2), 293–303. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv227>

Hüssy, K., Radtke, K., Plikshs, M., Oeberst, R., Baranova, T., Krumme, U., Sjöberg, R., Walther, Y. & Mosegaard, H. (2016b). Challenging ICES age estimation protocols: lessons learned from the eastern Baltic cod stock. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73, 2138–2149. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw107.

ICES (1972). Report from the Meeting of the Working Group on Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the Baltic. Gdynia, 21–26 February 1972. ICES CM 1972/F:5. 35 pp.

ICES (2013). Report of the Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS), 10 - 17 April 2013, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen. ICES CM 2013/ACOM:10. 747 pp

ICES (2014a). Report of the Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS), 3–10 April 2014, ICES HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES Document CM 2014/ACOM: 10.

ICES (2014b). Report of the ICES Advisory Committee 2014. ICES Advice, 2014. Book 8, 192 pp.

ICES (2015). Report of the Benchmark Workshop on Baltic Cod Stocks (WKBALTCOD), 2–6 March 2015, Rostock, Germany. ICES CM 2015/ACOM:35. 172 pp.

ICES (2018). Report of the Workshop on Evaluation of Input data to Eastern Baltic Cod Assessment, 23–25 January 2018, ICES HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM/ACOM: 36.68 pp.

ICES (2019a). Cod (*Gadus morhua*) in subdivisions 24-32, eastern Baltic stock (eastern Baltic Sea). In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, cod.27.24-32.<https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.4747>

ICES (2019b). Benchmark workshop on Baltic cod stocks (WKBALTCOD2). ICES Scientific Reports, 1:9. <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.4984>.

ICES (2020a). Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:45. 632 pp. <http://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.6024>.

ICES (2020b). Cod (*Gadus morhua*) in subdivisions 24-32, eastern Baltic stock (eastern Baltic Sea). In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, cod.27.24-32. – <https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.5943>.

ICES (2020c). Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak (WGNSSK). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:61. 1140 pp. <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.6092>

ICES (2020d). Working Group for the Celtic Seas Ecoregion (WGCSE). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:40. <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.5978>.

Kastelle, C. R., Hesler, T. E., McKay, J. L., et al. (2017). Age validation of Pacific cod (*Gadus macrocephalus*) using high-resolution stable oxygen isotope ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$) chronologies in otoliths. *Fisheries Research*, 185, 43–53.

Kell, L. T. & Bromley, P. J. (2004). Implications for current management advice for North Sea plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.). Increased biological realism in recruitment, growth, density dependent sexual maturation and the impact of sexual dimorphism and fishery discards. *Journal of Sea Research*, 51, 301–312.

Kohler, N. E., & Turner, P. A. (2001). Shark tagging: a review of conventional methods and studies. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 60, 191–223.

Kondratovich, E. (1980). Results of cod (*Gadus morhus callarias* L) tagging in the Eastern Baltic, 1972-1977. *Fischerei-Forschung*, 18(2):59-65 [in Russian].

Limburg, K. E., & Casini, M. (2018). Effect of marine hypoxia on Baltic Sea cod *Gadus morhua*: evidence from otolith chemical proxies. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5, 482. doi:10.3389/fmars.2018.00482

Limburg, K., & Casini, M. (2019). Otolith chemistry indicates recent worsened Baltic cod condition is linked to hypoxia exposure. *Biology Letters*, 15, 20190352.

Marshall, D. J., White, C. R. (2019). Have we outgrown the existing models of growth? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 34, 102–111.

843 McClenachan, L., Ferretti, F. & Baum, J. K. (2012). From archives to conservation: why
844 historical data are needed to set baselines for marine animals and ecosystems. *Conservation*
845 *Letters*, 5, 349–359. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-263X.2012.00253.x

846

847 McQueen, K., Eveson, J. P., Dolk, B., Lorenz, T., Mohr, T., Schade, F. M. & Krumme, U.
848 (2019a). Growth of cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the western Baltic Sea: estimating improved growth
849 parameters from tag recapture data. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 76,
850 1326-1337. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2018-0081>

851

852 McQueen, K., Mion, M., Hilvarsson, A., Casini, M., Olesen, H.J., Hüsey, K., Radtke, K. &
853 Krumme, U. (2019b). Effects of freezing on length and mass measurements of Atlantic cod
854 *Gadus morhua* in the Baltic Sea. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 95, 1486–1495.
855 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14171>

856

857 Mion, M., Hilvarsson, A., Hüsey, K., Krumme, U., Krüger-Johnsen, M., McQueen, K.,
858 Mohamed, E., Motyka, R., Orio, A., Plikshs, M., Radtke, K. & Casini, M. (2020). Historical
859 growth of Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*): Setting a baseline with international tagging
860 data. *Fisheries Research*, 223, 105442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2019.105442>

861

862 Nelson, G. A. (2016). Fishmethods: fishery science methods and models in R. R package
863 version 1.10-626 0. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=fishmethods>.

864

865 Netzel, J. (1976). Migrations of the Baltic cod and an attempt to evaluate the dynamics of the
866 population based on tagging experiments in 1954-1963. (in Polish) *Studia i Materiały. Seria B*
867 Nr 37. Gdynia 1976. 100 pp.

868

869 Neuenfeldt, S., Hinrichsen, H-H., Nielsen, A. & Andersen, K. H. (2007). Reconstructing
870 migrations of individual cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) in the Baltic Sea by using electronic data
871 storage tags. *Fisheries Oceanography*, 16(6), 526 – 535. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2419.2007.00458.x

872

873 Neuenfeldt, S., Bartolino, V., Orio, A., Andersen, K. H., Andersen, N. G., Niiranen, S.,
874 Bergström, U., Ustups, D., Kulatska, N. & Casini, M. (2020). Feeding and growth of Atlantic
875 cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) in the eastern Baltic Sea under environmental change. *ICES Journal*
876 *of Marine Science*, 77: 624–632. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsz224.

877

878 Orio, A., Florin, A.-B., Bergström, U., Šics, I., Baranova, T. & Casini, M. (2017). Modelling
879 indices of abundance and size-based indicators of cod and flounder stocks in the Baltic Sea
880 using newly standardized trawl survey data. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*.
881 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsx005.

882

883 Otterlind, G. (1969). Preliminary report of Swedish cod-tagging experiments in the Baltic.
884 ICES CM 1969/F:26. 5 pp.

885

886 Otterlind, G. (1984). Cod migration and transplantation experiments. ICES CM 1984/J: 13. 6
887 pp.

888

889 Pedersen, T. & Jobling, M. (1989). Growth rates of large, sexually mature cod, *Gadus*
890 *morhua*, in relation to condition and temperature during an annual cycle. *Aquaculture*, 81, 161-
891 168.

892

893 Peters, D. P. (2010). Accessible ecology: Synthesis of the long, deep, and broad. *Trends in*
894 *Ecology and Evolution*, 25, 592–601.

895

896 Peters, R. H. (1983). The ecological implications of body size. Cambridge University Press.
897 <http://books.google.com/books?id=OYVxiZgTXWsC>.

898

899 Restrepo, V. R., Diaz, G.A., Walter, J.F., Neilson, J.D., Campana, S.E., Secor, D. & Wingate,
900 R.L. (2010). Updated estimate of the growth curve of Western Atlantic bluefin tuna. *Aquatic*
901 *Living Resources*, 23, 335–342. doi:10.1051/alr/2011004

902

903 R Core Team. (2018). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
904 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from <https://www.R-project.org/>.

905

906 Reusch, T. B. H., Dierking, J., Andersson, H. C., Bonsdorff, E., Carstensen, J., Casini, M.,
907 Czajkowski, M., Hasler, B., Hinsby, K., Hyytiainen, K., Johannesson, K., Jomaa, S.,
908 Jormalainen, V., Kuosa, H., Kurland, S., Laikre, L., MacKenzie, B. R., Margonski, P., Melzner,
909 F., Oesterwind, D. Ojaveer, H., Refsgaard, J. C., Sandstrom, A., Schwarz, G., Tonderski, K.,
910 Winder, M. & Zandersen, M. (2018). The Baltic Sea as a time machine for the future coastal
911 ocean. *Science Advances*, 4(5), eaar8195. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aar8195.

912

913 Richards, S. A. (2005). Testing ecological theory using the information- theoretic approach:
914 Examples and cautionary results. *Ecology*, 86, 2805-2814.

915

916 Richards, S. A. (2008). Dealing with over dispersed count data in applied ecology. *Journal of*
917 *Applied Ecology*, 45, 218.

918

919 Righton, D., Quayle, V., Hetherington, S. & Burt, G. (2007). Movements and distribution of
920 cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) in the southern North Sea and English Channel, results from
921 conventional and electronic tagging experiments. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association*
922 UK, 87, 599–613.

923

924 Roff, D. A. (1983). An allocation model of growth and reproduction in fish. *Canadian Journal*
925 *of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 40(9), 1395-1404. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f83-161>

926

927 Rose, G. A. (2004). Reconciling overfishing and climate change with stock dynamics of
928 Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) over 500 years. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*
929 *Sciences*, 61, 1553–1557.

930

931 Shackell, N. L., Stobo, W. T., Frank, K. T. & Brickman, D. (1997). Growth of cod (*Gadus*
932 *morhua*) estimated from mark-recapture programs on the Scotian Shelf and adjacent areas.
933 *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 54, 383–398. doi:10.1006/jmsc.1996.0173.

934

935 Schade, F. M., Weist, P. & Krumme, U. (2019). Evaluation of four stock discrimination
936 methods to assign individuals from mixed-stock fisheries using genetically validated baseline
937 samples. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 627, 125-139. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13061>

938

939 Schnute, J. (1981). A versatile growth model with statistically stable parameters. *Canadian*
940 *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 38, 1128-1140.

941

Sjöblom, V., Aro, E. & Suuronen, P. (1980). Migrations, mortality and growth of cod in the northern Baltic Sea. ICES CM 1980/J:8. 15 pp.

Sokolova, M., Buchmann, K., Huwer, B., Kania, P., Krumme, U., Galatius, A., Hemmer-Hansen, J. & Behrens, J. (2018). Spatial patterns in infection of cod *Gadus morhua* with the seal-associated liver worm *Contracaecum osculatum* from the Skagerrak to the central Baltic Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 606, 105–118. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12773>

Stötera, S., Degen-Smyrek, A. K., Krumme, U., Stepputtis, D., Bauer, R., Limmer, B. & Hammer, C. (2018). Marking otoliths of Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua* Linnaeus, 1758) with tetracycline and strontium chloride. *Journal of Applied Biology*, 35(2), 427-435. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13829>

Tallack, S. M. L. (2009). Regional growth estimates of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*: Applications of the maximum likelihood GROTAG model to tagging data in the Gulf of Maine (USA/Canada) region. *Fisheries Research*, 99, 137-150.

Vincenzi, S., Mangel, M., Crivelli, A. J., Munch, S. & Skaug, H. J. (2014). Determining individual variation in growth and its implication for life-history and population processes using the empirical Bayes method. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 10, e1003828.

Von Bertalanffy, L. (1938). A quantitative theory of organic growth (inquiries on growth laws II). *Human Biology*, 10, 181- 213.

Wood, S. N. (2006). Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 16. CRC/Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton, Florida.<http://www.jstatsoft.org/>

Tables

Table 1. Overview of the available tagging data (number of released and recaptured cod) from the historical experiments and the projects CODYSSEY and TABACOD, by release country and release period. † Information about the total number of cod released by Finland was not available for the period 1979-1984. ‡ The return rate includes Finnish release only for the period 1974-1978 because the number of releases for the period 1979-1984 was not available.

Project	Release country	Release period	Number of released cod	Number of recaptured cod	Return rate (%)
Historical data	Sweden	1955-1993	43343	4981	11.5
	Poland	1957-1970	15183	2299	15.1
	Denmark	1957-1984	9824	1348	13.7
	Latvia	1958-1977	10552	762	7.2
	Germany	1959-1974	869	132	15.2
	Finland	1974-1984	6425†	621	9.7‡
All		1955-1993	86196†	10143	11.8‡
CODYSSEY	Denmark	2003-2006	446	234	52.5
TABACOD	Sweden	2016-2018	6386	62	1.0
	Poland	2016-2018	5409	60	1.1
	Denmark	2016-2018	5184	87	1.7
	Germany	2016-2019	8373	166	2.0
All		2016-2019	25352	375	1.5

Table 2. Parameter combinations estimated by the GROTAG model: five models were applied to the dataset to evaluate optimal model parameterization.

GROTAG model	Estimated parameters
Model 1	g_{α}, g_{β}, s

Model 2	g_α, g_β, s, nu	980
Model 3	$g_\alpha, g_\beta, s, nu, m$	981
Model 4	$g_\alpha, g_\beta, s, nu, m, p$	
Model 5	$g_\alpha, g_\beta, s, nu, m, p, u, w$	982

983

984

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Map of the Baltic Sea, divided into ICES subdivisions. On top-right, a picture of a Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*, *Gadidae*; © Christina Waitkus).

Fig. 2. Overview of recaptured Baltic cod (fish releases for which there was a corresponding recapture) by year of release and (a) quarter of release, (b) release subdivision (SD) in percentage and (c) days at liberty (DAL) in percentage (n= 8622 for the historical data; n= 234 for CODYSSEY; n= 358 for TABACOD). Figure appears in colour in the online version only.

Fig. 3. (a) Example of an historical Swedish recapture letter sent by a fisherman in 1971 (sensitive data about the fisherman has been redacted). The recapture letter reported the species (Fiskart), tag number (Nr), location of the recapture (Fångstplats), date of recapture (Datum), depth (djup; it was not reported in this example), recapture gear (Redskap), total length (Fiskens totala längd) and total/gutted weight (Vikt orensad/rensad). (b) Example of an historical German recapture letter sent by the German research institute in Rostock to the Swedish research institute in Lysekil in 1964 (sensitive data about the fisherman has been redacted). The recapture letter reported the tag number (i.e. C 176), location of the recapture (i.e. Southeast Utklippan), date of recapture (i.e. 15/01/64), length (Fiskens totala längd) and gutted weight (Gewicht geöffnet).

Fig. 4. Predicted average annual growth rates ($\text{cm} \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$; dots) and 95% confidence intervals (vertical lines) for 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45 cm cod for different periods calculated from the GROTAG final models (red) and GAM (equation 5; blue). The predicted average growth rates ($\text{cm} \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$) for the periods 1955-1964 and 1965-1970 analysed with GROTAG are based on Mion et al. (2020). Figure appears in colour in the online version only.

1010

1011 Fig. 5. Predicted average growth rates ($\text{cm} \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$) for a 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45 cm cod for
1012 different years at release ($Year_l$) analysed with GAM (equation 6; blue line). The shaded blue
1013 area represents the 95% confidence interval. Figure appears in colour in the online version
1014 only.

1015