

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO in Canine Melanocytic Tumors

This is the submitted version (pre peer-review, preprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Porcellato, I., Brachelente, C., Cappelli, K., Menchetti, L., Silvestri, S., Sforna, M., et al. (2021). FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO in Canine Melanocytic Tumors. VETERINARY PATHOLOGY, 58(1), 42-52 [10.1177/0300985820960131].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/801198> since: 2021-02-18

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1177/0300985820960131>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 Foxp3, CTLA-4, and IDO in canine melanocytic tumors

2
3 AUTHORS: Ilaria Porcellato*, Chiara Brachelente*, Katia Cappelli*, Laura
4 Menchetti*, Serenella Silvestri*, Monica Sforna*, Samanta Mecocci*, Selina Iussich°,
5 Leonardo Leonardi*, Luca Mechelli*

6
7 *Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Perugia, Italy

8 °Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Turin, Grugliasco (TO), Italy

9
10
11
12 :

13 This is the preprint version of:

14 Porcellato I, Brachelente C, Cappelli K, et al.

15 *FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO in Canine Melanocytic Tumors.*

16 **Veterinary Pathology.** 2021;58(1):42-52.

17 The final published version is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/03009858209601>

18
19 Terms of use:

20 Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the
21 manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information
see the publisher's website

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

ABSTRACT

Despite promising immunotherapy strategies in human melanoma, there are few studies on the immune environment of canine melanocytic tumors . In humans, the activation of immunosuppressive cell subpopulations, such as regulatory T cells (Tregs) that express forkhead box protein P3 (FoxP3), the engagement of immunosuppressive surface receptors like cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen (CTLA-4), and the secretion of molecules inhibiting lymphocyte activation, such as indoleamine-pyrrole 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), are recognized as immunoescape mechanisms that allow tumor growth and progression.

The aim of our study was to investigate the expression of these immunosuppression markers in canine melanocytic tumors, and to postulate their possible role in melanoma biology and progression. Fifty-five formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded canine melanocytic tumors (25 oral melanomas; 20 cutaneous melanomas; 10 cutaneous melanocytomas) were selected to investigate the expression of FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO by immunohistochemistry and RT-qPCR. All of the tested markers showed high gene and protein expression in oral melanomas and were differently expressed in cutaneous melanomas when compared to their benign counterpart.

IDO expression was associated with an increased hazard of death both in univariable and multivariable analyses ($P < 0.001$). FoxP3 protein expression > 6.9 cells/HPF was an independent predictor of death ($P < 0.05$). CTLA-4 gene and protein expression were associated with a worse prognosis, but only in the univariable analysis ($P < 0.05$).

FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO likely play a role in canine melanoma immunoescape. Their expression, if supported by future studies, could represent a prognostic tool in

canine melanoma and pave the way to future immunotherapeutic approaches in dogs.

KEYWORDS

Melanoma, immunosuppression, FoxP3, CTLA-4, Indoleamine-pyrrole 2,3-Dioxygenase, dogs, prognosis.

Human melanoma is recognized as one of the most immunogenic tumors. Melanoma cells bear a high mutational burden compared to other malignancies, and can acquire hundreds of mutations per megabase.^{1,33,53} Recently, tumor heterogeneity has been demonstrated to further contribute to the host immune response, and is a better predictor of immunotherapy outcome compared to mutational burden.^{21,83} Despite melanoma's immunogenicity, the host immune response is not effective in controlling tumor progression because the tumor itself is able to mold the immune response to its own benefit through the process of immunoediting.¹⁷ It is currently believed that the interplay between the tumor and the immune system can be divided into three phases. The elimination phase is characterized by the development of a tumor-specific immunity, in which tumor-specific CD4+ and CD8+ cells are able to eliminate the tumor. The equilibrium phase is characterized by a dynamic balance between tumor cell variants that survived the elimination phase and the host immune system. Last, during the escape phase, selected tumor cells avoid immune detection and elimination.^{18,43} The role of different immune cell populations in the process of immunoediting has been widely investigated in humans. This led to the development of new immunotherapy strategies, including immune checkpoint blockade, that target the host's immune

system to improve or restore protective immune functions, as well as inhibit immunosuppressive pathways activated during the escape phase. This type of treatment has been applied to different types of cancer, especially melanoma, resulting in durable responses, even in patients with metastatic disease.^{10,39} The transcription factor forkhead box protein P3 (FoxP3) is involved in ensuring immune homeostasis,³⁶ but is also a key molecule suppressing cytotoxic T cell activity in anti-tumor immune response.^{31,36} FoxP3 is expressed in Tregs, which have been associated with a negative prognosis both in human melanoma and other solid cancers.^{23,41,61} A recent study also showed that Tregs were less numerous in areas of melanoma regression, confirming their potential role in the establishment of an immunosuppressive environment.²⁴ Moreover, in a murine melanoma model, it was shown that selective FoxP3 depletion achieved through vaccination led to the depletion of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), the reduction of tumor growth, and the improvement in survival rates,⁵⁰ supporting the role of Tregs in tumor progression and growth. The presence of FoxP3⁺Tregs has been also reported in canine tumors, included melanoma.^{11,57,65} Cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen (CTLA-4), also known as CD152, is a member of the family of immunoglobulin-related receptors expressed on both activated lymphocytes and Tregs and is responsible for T cell regulation and preservation of a normal immune environment. CTLA-4 binds to high-affinity B7 ligands (CD80 and CD86) on antigen-presenting cells (APCs). This leads to the inhibition of T cell responses and proliferation (T cell exhaustion), and antagonizes the binding of the T cell-stimulating receptor, CD28.^{15,16,63,70,80} The importance of CTLA-4 in immune responses was shown when fatal autoimmunity was observed in CTLA-4-deficient mice due to the release of self-reactive T cells, suggesting that CTLA-4 is a negative regulator of T

96 cell response.⁷⁹ Anti-tumor immunity is predominantly mediated by T cells and
97 CTLA-4 has been shown to play a pivotal role in cancer-associated immunoediting,
98 particularly in the escape phase.^{70,80} Persistent antigen exposition by melanoma
99 cells and chronic stimulation of the immune system seems to be critical in the
100 hyperactivation of inhibitory checkpoints on immune cells such as CTLA-4, resulting
101 in the suppression of cytotoxic T cells.²⁵ CTLA-4 is also the target of Ipilimumab, an
102 immune checkpoint inhibitor that is used to treat melanoma.^{9,34}

103 Another pathway that could contribute to peripheral tolerance and therefore to
104 cancer immunoescape, is mediated by indoleamine-pyrrole 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO),
105 an enzyme with immunosuppressive properties postulated to impair the antitumor
106 immune response in melanoma.⁵⁹ IDO can be produced by MDSCs, dendritic cells
107 (DCs), macrophages, and tumor cells, and it is believed to inhibit effector T-cells by
108 depleting tryptophan in the tumor microenvironment.^{28,47,49} Tryptophan catabolites
109 (such as L-kynurenine) can further suppress the proliferation of activated T cells and
110 promote the differentiation and activation of Tregs and CTLA-4 expression.^{14,46}

111 Inhibition of IDO, in combination with other immunotherapeutic drugs, can lead to
112 improved response rates during melanoma therapy.⁸ IDO blockade can reduce
113 tumor growth, intratumoral immunosuppression, and stimulate robust systemic
114 antitumor effects.^{29,32,45} Nevertheless, a recent phase III study did not observe any
115 difference in the group of patients with metastatic melanoma treated with IDO
116 inhibitors when compared to the placebo-treated group.³⁵ Therefore, further studies
117 are required to better understand the role of this enzyme as a potential therapeutic
118 target.

119 During the last few years, growing evidence suggests canine melanomas,
120 particularly mucosal melanomas, might be a predictive a preclinical model for human

melanoma.²⁶ Still, further studies are recommended to better characterize the canine disease, including on the immunological front. The aim of this study was to retrospectively investigate the presence of immunoescape mechanisms in canine melanocytic tumors, through the analysis of FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO gene and protein expression and to gain more information on the possible similarities between canine and human melanoma immunology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case selection

Cases were retrospectively selected on the following inclusion criteria: histological diagnosis of melanoma or melanocytoma,⁷³ with immunohistochemical positivity for Melan-A and/or PNL2; availability of follow-up information; and minimum follow-up of time 365 days.

Mitotic count was assessed following a proposed standardized method.⁴² A telephone survey was conducted with the referring veterinarians, to collect data on the clinical tumor staging, the follow-up, local recurrence, and the cause of death. Disease-free and overall survival times were calculated from the day of sample registration in our departments.

Immunohistochemical labeling and evaluation

Samples were cut into 5 µm sections, mounted on poly-L-lysine coated slides, dewaxed and rehydrated. Heavily pigmented tumors were bleached overnight at room temperature with 30% H₂O₂ following a standardized protocol.⁵⁷ Immunohistochemistry was performed on serial sections with antibodies against Melan A (pH 9.0 antigen retrieval; 1:150; mouse monoclonal, clones A103-M27C10-

146 M29E3; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), PNL2 (pH 6.0 antigen retrieval; 1:150; mouse
147 monoclonal, clone PNL2; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, Texas, US), FoxP3 (pH
148 9.0 antigen retrieval; 1:100 dilution; rat monoclonal, clone FJK-16s; Thermo Fisher,
149 Waltham, Massachusetts, US), CTLA-4 (pH 9.0 antigen retrieval; dilution 1:100;
150 mouse monoclonal, clone F-8; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, Texas, US), and
151 IDO (pH 9.0 antigen retrieval; 1:50 dilution; rabbit polyclonal; Biorbyt, Cambridge,
152 UK) with standardized protocols previously reported.⁵⁷ FoxP3 FJK-16s clone is
153 reported to cross-react with canine antigen,⁴⁴ whereas anti-IDO and anti-CTLA4
154 antibodies were stated to cross-react with canine tissue and to be suitable for FFPE
155 material in the datasheet provided by the manufacturer. The labeling pattern
156 observed in the lymph node supported the specificity of both the antibodies
157 (Supplemental Figures S1-3). Tris-EDTA (pH 9.0) was used to perform heat-induced
158 epitope retrieval for CTLA-4. Immunolabeling was revealed with 3-amino-9-
159 ethylcarbazole (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark); Mayer's hematoxylin was applied as a
160 counterstain. Reactive canine lymph node was used as a positive control for all the
161 antibodies of this study (Supplemental Figures S1-3). Negative controls were run by
162 incubating sections with TBS and omitting the primary antibody and by incubating
163 control tissue with isotype-matched antibody (only for monoclonal antibodies) to
164 assess the absence of non-specific labeling. Positive cells were counted by two
165 operators, in 5 HPF (FN 20), selecting "hot spots" and avoiding areas of necrosis
166 and/or near ulceration; a mean value was then obtained for each case and
167 expressed as the number of positive cells/HPF. The same method was applied for
168 the evaluation of FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO positive cells. The expected labeling was
169 nuclear for FoxP3, both membrane and cytoplasmic for CTLA-4, and granular and
170 cytoplasmic for IDO.

Thirty out of 55 cases were part of the selected cases for our previous study,⁵² whereas 25/55 cases were investigated *ex novo*.

RNA extraction and Real Time PCR (RT-qPCR)

Three-to-5 (depending on sample size), 8 µm-thick, sections were cut from paraffin blocks. Normal tissue around the tumor was resected and discarded with the help of a sterile scalpel blade or a sterile needle. RNA extraction was performed with a commercial kit (Invitrogen™ PureLink™, FFPE RNA Isolation Kit) following the manufacturer's instructions. Residual genomic DNA was removed from the total RNA by DNase I, amplification grade, (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's specifications. RNA quantity was evaluated by both NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, MA, USA). Total RNA (500 ng) was reverse transcribed using the SuperScript® VILO™ Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer's specifications. Successful reverse transcription was confirmed by PCR amplification of the *Canis familiaris* GUSβ gene (NM_001003191). Primers on reference genes (GUSβ, HMBS) and on genes of interest were designed on available sequences using the Primer-BLAST suite (Table 1). Whenever possible, primers were located in different exons or at exon–exon junctions to minimize inaccuracies due to residual genomic DNA contamination. For each primer pair, a preliminary RT-qPCR assay was performed on a bulk of samples generating a standard curve by using 4-fold serial dilutions. Efficiency (E) with related linear correlation coefficients (R²) and the amplification of non-specific products or primer-dimer artifacts were assessed. The RT-qPCR reactions were carried out on CFX96 Touch instrument (BioRad, Hercules, CA) as

previously described.⁷ Data analysis was carried out with Bio-Rad CFX Manager software (ver. 3.2.2). To analyze gene expression stability of HKGs, geNorm algorithm, included on CFX Manager software (vers. 3.2.2), was applied.⁸¹ geNorm provides a ranking of the tested genes, considering their expression stability, selecting reference genes according to the stability measure M (average pairwise variation of each gene against all others). The expression ratio of the genes of interest was normalized to the relative abundance of the two reference genes using the $\Delta\Delta Cq$ method. Non-detects were imputed with GenexPro software (ver.6) to avoid introducing bias as previously reported.⁴⁰

Statistical Analysis

Diagnostic graphics were used to test assumptions and outliers. We analyzed distributions within the categorical variable “breed” using Chi-square goodness of fit tests. Differences in number of positive cells and mRNA expression of IDO, FoxP3, and CTLA-4 between diagnoses were analyzed using Kruskal Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests. Values were expressed as medians with interquartile range (IQR). Correlations were evaluated by using Spearman rank correlation coefficient (ρ). Correlation was defined as high when absolute value of $\rho > 0.5$, medium when ρ ranged from 0.3 to 0.5, and low when $\rho < 0.3$.²⁰ We used the Life Table method to determine survival probabilities. The differences of survival rate according to diagnosis were evaluated by Kaplan–Meier curve and log-rank test. Dogs that died of other causes or were lost to follow-up were considered censored. We used the Cox proportional hazards model to evaluate the influence of parameters on survival. In addition to the univariable analysis, each parameter (IDO (cells/HPF), FoxP3 (cells/HPF), CTLA-4 (cells/HPF), IDO mRNA, FOXP3 mRNA, CTLA4 mRNA) was

assessed through a multivariable model that also included the diagnosis. We used variance inflation factors (VIF) to identify multicollinearity.²⁰ The prognostic significance of each variable was expressed as hazard ratio (HR) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and P values. Finally, we used the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis to assess the diagnostic accuracy of the parameters and their cut-offs for predicting survival. The state variable (positive category) was the tumor-related death. Optimal cut-off values were determined as points on the curve closest to (0, 1) and by the Youden index. Then, dichotomous variables for each parameter were created based on their cut-off and submitted to Cox regression,⁵⁶ also adjusting for diagnosis. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 25.0 software (IBM, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and statistical significance was set at $P \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS

Sample population and mitotic count

The final caseload was represented by 25 oral melanomas, 20 cutaneous melanomas, and 10 cutaneous melanocytomas. Thirty-five dogs were male (6/35, 17% were neutered) and 19 were female (6, 32% were spayed). For one animal the age was unknown. Most of the dogs were mixed breed (19/55, 34%; $P < 0.001$), followed by German Shepherd and Dachshund (5/55, 9% each), Labrador Retriever (4/55, 7%), and Boxer (3/55, 5%). The median age was 11 years (range, 1–16 years). Follow-up at the end of the study ranged from 365 to 1615 days, with a median follow-up of 653 days. More than 60% of the study population had a follow-up time longer than 2 years at the end of the study.

The 6-month and 1-year estimated survival probabilities are shown in Supplemental Table S1. Median survival time for mucosal melanoma was 240 days (IQR=77-433 days), while it was not reached for cutaneous melanoma or melanocytoma. Log-rank test showed lower survival time for dogs with mucosal melanoma compared to dogs with cutaneous melanoma ($P=0.005$). No deaths or recurrences were recorded for the cases of melanocytoma.

Mitotic counts were higher in oral melanomas (Median=42, IQR=32-61) than in cutaneous melanomas (Median=14, IQR=7-50, $P<0.05$) and melanocytomas (Median= 1, IQR=0-2, $P<0.001$). The majority of the cases were treated only with surgical excision (45/55; 81.8%), whereas 6 cases did not receive any treatment (10.1%) and four cases (7.2%) were treated with surgical excision and different chemotherapy protocols (Supplemental Table S2).

Immunohistochemistry

FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO positive cells were identified in all oral and cutaneous melanomas, while some cutaneous melanocytoma did not contain any cells expressing these proteins. FoxP3 and CTLA-4 immunolabeling was localized in the nucleus and the cytoplasmic membrane, respectively, in cells with scant cytoplasm, interpreted as lymphocytes. IDO was mostly expressed in the cytoplasm of cells with moderate to abundant cytoplasm (interpreted as histiocytes/macrophages), and rarely in neoplastic cells.

Immunohistochemical labeling revealed that the number of FoxP3⁺Tregs (Figs. 1, 2, and 3) was higher in oral melanomas, than cutaneous melanomas and cutaneous melanocytomas. Similarly, the number of CTLA-4 positive cells/HPF (Figs. 4, 5, and 6) was higher in oral melanomas than in cutaneous melanomas and cutaneous

melanocytomas. The number of IDO positive cells (Figs. 7, 8 and 9) was higher in oral melanoma than in cutaneous melanomas and cutaneous melanocytoma. Results are summarized in Figures 10-12.

RT-qPCR

After RNA extraction, samples showed A260/280 ratio ranging from 1.64 to 2.02. Both *GUSβ* and *HMBS* genes displayed relatively high stability with M values of 0.4, far below the accepted limit of 1.5.⁸¹ Linear correlation coefficients (R²) of primer pairs varied from 0.94 to 0.99 and PCR efficiencies (E) ranged between 77.2 and 97.7. The expression level of the three genes was also associated with the histological diagnosis (P<0.001). *IDO* and *CTLA4* gene expression was significantly upregulated in the group of oral melanomas compared to cutaneous melanomas (P<0.05) and cutaneous melanocytomas (P<0.001). The expression of *FOXP3* was instead upregulated in both oral and cutaneous melanomas, when compared to cutaneous melanocytomas (P<0.001). Gene expression results are summarized in Figures 13-15.

Correlations between FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO protein, transcripts and mitotic count

All of the examined parameters evaluated with both immunohistochemistry and RT-qPCR showed positive correlations between them and with mitotic counts (Supplemental Table S3). A strong correlation was observed between FoxP3⁺ cells/HPF and CTLA-4⁺ cells/HPF (ρ=0.709, P<0.01). Also, mitotic count strongly correlated with the protein expression of FoxP3 (ρ =0.706, P<0.01) and CTLA-4 (ρ =0.650, P<0.01), and with gene expression of *IDO* (ρ =0.563, P<0.01).

The correlation between gene expression and immunohistochemical protein expression was moderate for *FOXP3* ($\rho = 0.493$, $P < 0.01$) and *CTLA-4* ($\rho = 0.477$, $P < 0.01$), and moderate-to-low for *IDO* ($\rho = 0.327$, $P < 0.05$).

Prognostic significance of IDO, FoxP3, and CTLA-4

The univariable Cox analysis (Table 2) showed an increased hazard of death in association with an increased expression of IDO and CTLA-4, both at the protein and mRNA levels ($P < 0.05$). FoxP3 expression was associated to the hazard of death only when evaluated by immunohistochemistry ($P < 0.01$). Death due to melanocytic tumor was also related to mitotic count ($HR = 1.011$, $95\%CI = 1.003-1.019$; $P < 0.01$) and the animal's age ($HR = 1.222$, $95\%CI = 1.049-1.423$; $P < 0.05$). The models adjusted for diagnosis showed that only IDO protein expression ($P < 0.05$) was an independent factor in the multivariable analysis.

We investigated the sensitivity and specificity associated with a previously defined IDO cut-off value of 14.7 cells/HPF.⁵⁷ This cut-off value showed a 57% sensitivity and 79% specificity. In contrast, a cut-off value of 8.4 cells/HPF was identified in this study with an 82% sensitivity and 68% specificity.

Table 3 shows the other results of ROC analysis and the optimal cut-offs for predicting melanoma-related mortality. The highest area under the curve (AUC) was found for FoxP3⁺ cells/HPF ($AUC = 0.849$; $P < 0.001$), followed by *CTLA4* mRNA ($AUC = 0.802$; $P < 0.001$) and *IDO* mRNA ($AUC = 0.798$; $P < 0.001$).

Dichotomous variables were created for each parameter based on their optimal cut-offs ($<$ or \geq of the cut-off) and analyzed by Kaplan-Meier survival curves (Figures 16-21) and Cox models adjusted for diagnosis (Table 4). Categorizing according to their optimal cut off, FoxP3 ($P < 0.05$) positive cells/HPF had a prognostic value for tumor-

related death, independent of the diagnosis of melanocytoma, oral melanoma or cutaneous melanoma.

DISCUSSION

In humans, a growing number of studies characterizing the melanoma immune environment has led to the successful use of immunotherapy, particularly by targeting PD-1 and CTLA-4.⁵² In veterinary medicine, there are fewer studies on cancer immunity and on the application of immunotherapy.^{2,37,57,58}

Canine cutaneous melanomas are usually benign and surgical resection is typically curative; still, their behavior can be quite unpredictable.^{19,67,74} On the other hand, mucosal melanomas, particularly oral melanomas, show a malignant behavior with a predisposition to the development of lymph node and lung metastasis, similar to human melanomas.⁷³ Several studies suggest the dog is a valuable spontaneous preclinical model in melanoma research since canine oral melanoma shares numerous similarities with the more rare human disease.^{60,72,82}

While different aspects of canine melanoma biology have been investigated,^{6,7,26,27,30,66,71} the immune environment of canine melanoma is still largely unknown.

Our study aims to investigate the immune environment of canine melanocytic tumors to acquire further information on the possible mechanisms of immunosuppression and evasion involved in tumor progression; targets of our investigation are in particular FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO.

The mean survival time of dogs with oral melanomas in this study was 240 days.

This is higher than is the previously mean survival time of 147 days.⁷⁵ This discrepancy could be because of earlier diagnosis, and because of the inclusion of labial melanomas in this study, which are reported to have a longer survival time.

345 This result endorses the necessity for further studies to better characterize oral and
346 mucocutaneous canine melanocyte biology, together with melanoma behavior in
347 association with different sites of origin. A detailed description of the tumor's
348 anatomical site of origin of the should be provided by the clinician/surgeon at the
349 time of tissue submission for histopathological analysis; this would enable further
350 evaluationson the prognostic significance of the primary tumor location.

351 FoxP3 is an transcription factor involved in Tregs development and function, and is
352 currently considered their most specific marker and the main regulator of Treg
353 lineage committment.^{3,68} Still, Tregs are a heterogeneous population and better
354 characterization of these cells could include other markers such as CD4, CD25, and
355 CD45RO.⁵⁵ In our study, increased FoxP3 immunohistochemical expression and
356 *FOXP3* gene expression was associated with a higher hazard of death due to
357 melanocytic tumor, but they lost significance when the models were adjusted for
358 diagnosis. However, when FoxP3 expression was categorized according to its
359 optimal cut-off, the survival analysis indicated that the hazard of death was 6 times
360 higher in dogs with FoxP3 \geq 6.9⁺ cells/HPF. These results seem to imply that a higher
361 infiltration of FoxP3⁺ cells could be associated with a worse prognosis in dogs,
362 similar to human melanomas.^{22,51} The aforementioned cut-off value was similar to
363 the 6.1 cells/HPF value previously reported by our group,⁵⁷ but the new cut off
364 showed both higher sensitivity and specificity in this study. It could be postulated that
365 FoxP3 may be a major player in immunoescape mechanisms favoring tumor growth
366 and progression, particularly in oral and cutaneous melanomas. Furthermore, the
367 strong correlation between FoxP3⁺Tregs and CTLA-4, together with the moderate
368 correlation of these two proteins with IDO protein expression, may point at a synergic
369 role of these molecules in the establishment of an immunosuppressed tumor

370 microenvironment. A strong correlation was also evidenced between FoxP3 protein
371 expression and mitotic count, supporting FoxP3⁺Tregs role in favoring tumor growth.
372 The correlation between gene and immunohistochemical protein expression was
373 moderate or moderate-to-low for all tested molecules. This could be due to the
374 higher sensitivity of RT-qPCR when compared to the immunohistochemical
375 quantification, but also to poor mRNA quality in FFPE samples, which directly affects
376 the efficiency of some primer combinations (as low as 77.2%). The authors are
377 aware that these are sub-optimal values however, as reported in a previous study,⁷
378 accuracy in gene expression profile should not be compromised.

379 Markers to identify Tregs are limited and often non-specific,⁶² making the
380 characterization of this T cell subpopulation, within tumor immune environment,
381 difficult and still not completely understood. To overcome this problem, a
382 colocalization of CD4, CD25 and FoxP3 would be useful to correctly identify Tregs
383 subpopulation also in canine melanomas. Moreover, the presence of FoxP3⁺Tregs
384 could be influenced by tumor site, molecular subtype of the tumor, and tumor stage,
385 adding further bias to their evaluation.⁶⁸

386 During the last few years, immunotherapies with monoclonal antibodies directed
387 against CTLA-4 and PD1 revolutionized the treatment of patients with advanced
388 melanoma in human medicine. Still, the role of CTLA-4-expressing cells have been
389 explored in veterinary oncology in a limited manner. In the present study, we
390 described the expression of this molecule in canine melanocytic tumors, suggesting
391 that both CTLA-4 immunohistochemical and gene expression may associated with
392 the histological diagnosis and with an increased hazard of death (univariable
393 analysis), similarly to what reported in human melanomas.¹² However, in the
394 multivariable analysis, CTLA-4 lost its statistical significance, suggesting that CTLA-4

may be not an independent predictor. Still, the association between the protein and gene expression of this marker and the tumor mitotic count, which is considered one of the most affordable prognostic factors in canine melanomas,^{4,73} may corroborate the hypothesis of an immunosuppressive role of CTLA-4 in melanoma growth. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study focusing on CTLA-4 within canine melanoma microenvironment; previous studies aimed at characterizing the expression of this costimulatory molecule in circulating cells during neoplastic disease and in a healthy subject.^{76–78} Our results, although preliminary, highlight the presence of this molecule within canine melanoma and open the the path for new investigations on the role of CTLA-4-associated pathways in canine oncology.

IDO can be expressed by different cell types, in particular MDSCs, DCs, macrophages, and tumor cells. It acts both on APCs and T cells, causing immune suppression and facilitating cancer progression.⁵ Our results show that IDO immunohistochemical expression was an independent predictor of mortality, even when the model was adjusted for diagnosis (melanocytoma, oral melanoma, cutaneous melanoma). The optimal cut-off value for IDO immunohistochemical expression in this study was set at 8.4 cells/HPF, compared to the 14.7 cells/HPF value that was used in our previous study. In addition to the different characteristics of the sample population, this incongruity can also be explained by the different percentages of sensitivity and specificity associated with this new cut-off. In the present study, the lowest cut-off was associated with a higher sensitivity (82%) in the prediction of death due to melanoma. By setting the IDO cut-off at 14.7 cells/HPF in this case series, the specificity improves, reaching the value indicated in our previous study (79%), but it is not balanced by an adequate sensitivity (57%). The greater accuracy is indicated by the higher AUC in the present study, together with

420 the higher number of cases with a complete follow-up (55 vs 52) in this study,
421 suggests that the lower cut-off is preferred.

422 One of the possible limits of our study is related to the fact that we did not stratify our
423 cases by diagnosis. On one hand, the stratification would have led to a drastic
424 reduction in both the sample size and the number of “events” (i.e. deaths) to be
425 included for survival analysis. On the other hand, the diagnosis of
426 melanocytoma/melanoma poses often some doubts in the diagnostic routine of the
427 pathologist, particularly in borderline lesions. By avoiding stratification, the cut-offs
428 set for our markers were defined independent of the histologic diagnosis and
429 pathologist’s the judgment of the. Investigations based on a larger study are required
430 to confirm these results and to better assess these markers’ prognostic value.

431 The role of IDO in canine melanoma may be similar its role in human melanoma,
432 where IDO protein expression has been shown to have a prognostic role in both
433 cutaneous melanoma and nodal metastases.^{13,54,64} Gene expression, on the other
434 hand, was significant only in the univariate Cox analysis. The loss of significance
435 could be because of the high mRNA expression variability detected by RT-qPCR due
436 to the use of FFPE material to retrieve mRNA. In fact, even though numerous
437 studies have used this protocol, fresh-frozen tissue are preferred to avoid partial
438 mRNA degradation.³⁸ Our results suggest that IDO is involved in canine tumor
439 immunoescape and progression, but mechanistic studies are needed to confirm this
440 finding. Also, IDO could be implicated in the activation of Treg cells within the canine
441 melanoma microenvironment, as indicated by the moderate correlation between the
442 variables in this study and in other models.^{48,69}

443 Interestingly, all of the markers tested in our study showed a significant difference
444 between the cutaneous melanomas and cutaneous melanocytomas, suggesting

increased activation of immunosuppressive pathways in malignant cutaneous tumors compared to their benign counterpart. This finding, if further confirmed by ongoing investigations, could confirm similar mechanisms of immune evasion in the dog as compared to human species. Furthermore, these markers could be useful in prognosticating canine cutaneous melanocytic tumors. If confirmed by prospective studies, IDO⁺ cells/HPF and the threshold of >6.9 FoxP3⁺ cells/HPF might be useful in the evaluation of canine melanocytic tumors, as evidenced by the multivariable analysis.

Taken together, the results from our study seem to confirm the presence of immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment mechanisms controlled by FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO in canine melanoma, particularly in the most aggressive oral form. After this retrospective investigation, prospective studies on fresh/frozen tissue aiming at the confirmation of these results, including and extending our investigation to other immune populations and to metastatic lesions, have been planned. Further investigations on the immune environment of canine melanocytic tumors should be initiated, aiming to both better characterize canine melanoma biology and immune environment and to possibly employ immunotherapeutic strategies in the canine species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

The authors would like to thank Gianluca Alunni, Valeria Migni and Luca Stefanelli for their precious technical assistance.

1. Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, et al. Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. *Nature*. 2013;500:415–421.

- 470 2. Almela RM, Ansón A. A Review of Immunotherapeutic Strategies in Canine
471 Malignant Melanoma. *Vet Sci.* 2019;6:15.
- 472 3. Attias M, Al-Aubodah T, Piccirillo CA. Mechanisms of human FoxP3 + T reg
473 cell development and function in health and disease. *Clin Exp Immunol.*
474 2019;197:36–51.
- 475 4. Bergin IL, Smedley RC, Esplin DG, Spangler WL, Kiupel M. Prognostic
476 Evaluation of Ki67 Threshold Value in Canine Oral Melanoma. *Vet Pathol.*
477 2011;48:41–53.
- 478 5. Bilir C, Sarisozen C. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO): Only an enzyme or a
479 checkpoint controller? *J Oncol Sci.* 2017;3:52–56.
- 480 6. Bowlt Blacklock KL, Birand Z, Selmic LE, et al. Genome-wide analysis of
481 canine oral malignant melanoma metastasis-associated gene expression. *Sci*
482 *Rep.* 2019;9:6511.
- 483 7. Brachelente C, Cappelli K, Capomaccio S, et al. Transcriptome Analysis of
484 Canine Cutaneous Melanoma and Melanocytoma Reveals a Modulation of
485 Genes Regulating Extracellular Matrix Metabolism and Cell Cycle. *Sci Rep.*
486 2017;7:6386.
- 487 8. Brochez L, Chevolet I, Kruse V. The rationale of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase
488 inhibition for cancer therapy. *Eur J Cancer.* 2017;76:167–182.
- 489 9. Camacho LH. CTLA-4 blockade with ipilimumab: biology, safety, efficacy, and
490 future considerations. *Cancer Med.* 2015;4:661–672.
- 491 10. Carreau N, Pavlick A. Revolutionizing treatment of advanced melanoma with
492 immunotherapy. *Surg Oncol.* 2019.
- 493 11. Carvalho MI, Pires I, Prada J, Gregório H, Lobo L, Queiroga FL. Intratumoral
494 FoxP3 expression is associated with angiogenesis and prognosis in malignant

- 495 canine mammary tumors. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol.* 2016;178:1–9.
- 496 12. Chakravarti N, Ivan D, Trinh VA, et al. High cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated
497 antigen 4 and phospho-Akt expression in tumor samples predicts poor clinical
498 outcomes in ipilimumab-treated melanoma patients. *Melanoma Res.*
499 2017;27:24–31.
- 500 13. Chevolet I, Speeckaert R, Haspeslagh M, et al. Peritumoral indoleamine 2,3-
501 dioxygenase expression in melanoma: an early marker of resistance to
502 immune control? *Br J Dermatol.* 2014;171:987–995.
- 503 14. Chevolet I, Speeckaert R, Schreuer M, et al. Characterization of the *in vivo*
504 immune network of IDO, tryptophan metabolism, PD-L1, and *CTLA-4* in
505 circulating immune cells in melanoma. *Oncoimmunology.* 2015;4:e982382.
- 506 15. Chikuma S. *CTLA-4, an Essential Immune-Checkpoint for T-Cell Activation.* In
507 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg; 2017:1–28.
- 508 16. Collins A V., Brodie DW, Gilbert RJC, et al. The Interaction Properties of
509 Costimulatory Molecules Revisited. *Immunity.* 2002;17:201–210.
- 510 17. Dunn GP, Bruce AT, Ikeda H, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. Cancer immunoediting:
511 from immunosurveillance to tumor escape. *Nat Immunol.* 2002;3:991–998.
- 512 18. Dunn GP, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. The three Es of cancer immunoediting. *Annu*
513 *Rev Immunol.* 2004;22:329–360.
- 514 19. Esplin DG. Survival of dogs following surgical excision of histologically well-
515 differentiated melanocytic neoplasms of the mucous membranes of the lips
516 and oral cavity. *Vet Pathol.* 2008;45:889–896.
- 517 20. Field A. *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS.* Sage. 3rd ed. London, UK; 2009.
- 518 21. Flemming A. Tumour heterogeneity determines immune response. *Nat Rev*
519 *Immunol.* 2019.

- 520 22. Fu Q, Chen N, Ge C, et al. Prognostic value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in
521 melanoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncoimmunology*.
522 2019;8:1593806.
- 523 23. Gerber AL, Müntz A, Schlapbach C, et al. High expression of FOXP3 in
524 primary melanoma is associated with tumour progression. *Br J Dermatol*.
525 2014;170:103–109.
- 526 24. Gray A, Grushchak S, Mudaliar K, Kliethermes S, Carey K, Hutchens KA. The
527 microenvironment in primary cutaneous melanoma with associated
528 spontaneous tumor regression: evaluation for T-regulatory cells and the
529 presence of an immunosuppressive microenvironment. *Melanoma Res*.
530 2017;27:104–109.
- 531 25. Grosso JF, Jure-Kunkel MN. CTLA-4 blockade in tumor models: an overview
532 of preclinical and translational research. *Cancer Immun*. 2013;13:5.
- 533 26. Hernandez B, Adissu HA, Wei B-R, Michael HT, Merlino G, Simpson RM.
534 Naturally Occurring Canine Melanoma as a Predictive Comparative Oncology
535 Model for Human Mucosal and Other Triple Wild-Type Melanomas. *Int J Mol*
536 *Sci*. 2018;19.
- 537 27. Hitte C, Le Béguec C, Cadieu E, et al. Genome-Wide Analysis of Long Non-
538 Coding RNA Profiles in Canine Oral Melanomas. *Genes (Basel)*. 2019;10:477.
- 539 28. Holmgaard RB, Zamarin D, Li Y, et al. Tumor-Expressed IDO Recruits and
540 Activates MDSCs in a Treg-Dependent Manner. *Cell Rep*. 2015;13:412–424.
- 541 29. Iversen TZ, Andersen MH, Svane IM. The targeting of indoleamine 2,3
542 dioxygenase -mediated immune escape in cancer. *Basic Clin Pharmacol*
543 *Toxicol*. 2015;116:19–24.
- 544 30. Jacquelot N, Roberti MP, Enot DP, et al. Predictors of responses to immune

checkpoint blockade in advanced melanoma. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:592.

31. Jia H, Qi H, Gong Z, et al. The expression of FOXP3 and its role in human cancers. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Rev Cancer.* 2019;1871:170–178.

32. Jia H, Ren W, Feng Y, et al. The enhanced antitumour response of pimozide combined with the IDO inhibitor L-MT in melanoma. *Int J Oncol.* 2018;53:949–960.

33. Ko JS. The Immunology of Melanoma. *Clin Lab Med.* 2017;37:449–471.

34. Koppolu V, Rekha Vasigala V. Checkpoint immunotherapy by nivolumab for treatment of metastatic melanoma. *J Cancer Res Ther.* 2018;14:1167–1175.

35. Long G V., Dummer R, Hamid O, et al. Epcadostat plus pembrolizumab versus placebo plus pembrolizumab in patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma (ECHO-301/KEYNOTE-252): a phase 3, randomised, double-blind study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2019;20:1083–1097.

36. Lu L, Barbi J, Pan F. The regulation of immune tolerance by FOXP3. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2017;17:703–717.

37. Maekawa N, Konnai S, Takagi S, et al. A canine chimeric monoclonal antibody targeting PD-L1 and its clinical efficacy in canine oral malignant melanoma or undifferentiated sarcoma. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:8951.

38. Maes RK, Langohr IM, Wise AG, Smedley RC, Thaiwong T, Kiupel M. Beyond H&E. *Vet Pathol.* 2014;51:238–256.

39. Mahmoud F, Shields B, Makhoul I, et al. Immune surveillance in melanoma: From immune attack to melanoma escape and even counterattack. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2017;18:451–469.

40. McCall MN, McMurray HR, Land H, Almudevar A. On non-detects in qPCR data. 2014;30:2310–2316.

- 570 41. Melsted WN, Johansen LL, Lock-Andersen J, et al. HLA class Ia and Ib
571 molecules and FOXP3+ TILs in relation to the prognosis of malignant
572 melanoma patients. *Clin Immunol.* 2017;183:191–197.
- 573 42. Meuten DJ, Moore FM, George JW. Mitotic Count and the Field of View Area:
574 Time to Standardize. *Vet Pathol.* 2016;53:7–9.
- 575 43. Mittal D, Gubin MM, Schreiber RD, Smyth MJ. New insights into cancer
576 immunoediting and its three component phases—elimination, equilibrium and
577 escape. *Curr Opin Immunol.* 2014;27:16–25.
- 578 44. Mizuno T, Suzuki R, Umeki S, Okuda M. Crossreactivity of Antibodies to
579 Canine CD25 and Foxp3 and Identification of Canine CD4+CD25 +Foxp3+
580 Cells in Canine Peripheral Blood. *J Vet Med Sci.* 2009;71:1561–1568.
- 581 45. Monjazebe AM, Kent MS, Grossenbacher SK, et al. Blocking Indoleamine-2,3-
582 Dioxygenase Rebound Immune Suppression Boosts Antitumor Effects of
583 Radio-Immunotherapy in Murine Models and Spontaneous Canine
584 Malignancies. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2016;22:4328–4340.
- 585 46. Munn DH. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, Tregs and cancer. *Curr Med Chem.*
586 2011;18:2240–2246.
- 587 47. Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase and metabolic control of
588 immune responses. *Trends Immunol.* 2013;34:137–143.
- 589 48. Munn DH, Sharma MD, Johnson TS, Rodriguez P. IDO, PTEN-expressing
590 Tregs and control of antigen-presentation in the murine tumor
591 microenvironment. *Cancer Immunol Immunother.* 2017;66:1049–1058.
- 592 49. Munn DH, Mellor AL. IDO in the Tumor Microenvironment: Inflammation,
593 Counter-Regulation, and Tolerance. *Trends Immunol.* 2016;37:193–207.
- 594 50. Namdar A, Mirzaei R, Memarnejadian A, et al. Prophylactic DNA vaccine

- 595 targeting Foxp3+ regulatory T cells depletes myeloid-derived suppressor cells
596 and improves anti-melanoma immune responses in a murine model. *Cancer*
597 *Immunol Immunother.* 2018;67:367–379.
- 598 51. Osella-Abate S, Conti L, Annaratone L, et al. Phenotypic characterisation of
599 immune cells associated with histological regression in cutaneous melanoma.
600 *Pathology.* 2019;51:487–493.
- 601 52. Pasquali S, Chiarion-Sileni V, Rossi CR, Mocellin S. Immune checkpoint
602 inhibitors and targeted therapies for metastatic melanoma: A network meta-
603 analysis. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2017;54:34–42.
- 604 53. Passarelli A, Mannavola F, Stucci LS, Tucci M, Silvestris F. Immune system
605 and melanoma biology: a balance between immunosurveillance and immune
606 escape. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8:106132–106142.
- 607 54. Pelak MJ, Śnietura M, Lange D, Nikiel B, Pecka KM. The prognostic
608 significance of indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase and the receptors for
609 transforming growth factor β and interferon γ in metastatic lymph nodes in
610 malignant melanoma. *Pol J Pathol.* 2015;66:376–382.
- 611 55. Petsiou A, Paschou SA, Vartholomatos G, et al. A modified flow cytometry
612 method for objective estimation of human CD4⁺ regulatory T cells (CD4⁺
613 Tregs) in peripheral blood, via CD4/CD25/CD45RO/FoxP3 labeling. *Cytom*
614 *Part B Clin Cytom.* 2020;98:259–269.
- 615 56. Porcellato I, Menchetti L, Brachelente C, et al. Feline Injection-Site Sarcoma:
616 Matrix Remodeling and Prognosis. *Vet Pathol.* 2017;54:204–211.
- 617 57. Porcellato I, Brachelente C, De Paolis L, et al. FoxP3 and IDO in Canine
618 Melanocytic Tumors. *Vet Pathol.* 2019;56:189–199.
- 619 58. Porcellato I, Silvestri S, Menchetti L, et al. Tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes in

- 620 canine melanocytic tumours: An investigation on the prognostic role of CD3+
621 and CD20+ lymphocytic populations. *Vet Comp Oncol*.
622 2019;10.1111/vco.12556.
- 623 59. Prendergast GC, Malachowski WJ, Mondal A, Scherle P, Muller AJ.
624 Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase and Its Therapeutic Inhibition in Cancer. *Int Rev*
625 *Cell Mol Biol*. 2018;336:175–203.
- 626 60. Prouteau A, André C, Prouteau A, André C. Canine Melanomas as Models for
627 Human Melanomas: Clinical, Histological, and Genetic Comparison. *Genes*
628 *(Basel)*. 2019;10:501.
- 629 61. Que Y, Xiao W, Guan Y, et al. PD-L1 Expression Is Associated with FOXP3+
630 Regulatory T-Cell Infiltration of Soft Tissue Sarcoma and Poor Patient
631 Prognosis. *J Cancer*. 2017;8:2018–2025.
- 632 62. Rodríguez-Perea AL, Arcia ED, Rueda CM, Velilla PA. Phenotypical
633 characterization of regulatory T cells in humans and rodents. *Clin Exp*
634 *Immunol*. 2016;185:281–291.
- 635 63. Rowshanravan B, Halliday N, Sansom DM. CTLA-4: a moving target in
636 immunotherapy. *Blood*. 2017;131:58–67.
- 637 64. Rubel F, Kern JS, Technau-Hafsi K, et al. Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase
638 Expression in Primary Cutaneous Melanoma Correlates with Breslow
639 Thickness and Is of Significant Prognostic Value for Progression-Free Survival.
640 *J Invest Dermatol*. 2018;138:679–687.
- 641 65. Sakai K, Maeda S, Yamada Y, et al. Association of tumour-infiltrating
642 regulatory T cells with adverse outcomes in dogs with malignant tumours. *Vet*
643 *Comp Oncol*. 2018;16:330–336.
- 644 66. Schmid F, Brodesser D, Reifinger M, et al. Canine oral primary melanoma

645 cells exhibit shift to mesenchymal phenotype and phagocytic behaviour. *Vet*
646 *Comp Oncol.* 2019;17:211–220.

647 67. Schultheiss PC. Histologic features and clinical outcomes of melanomas of lip,
648 haired skin, and nail bed locations of dogs. *J Vet Diagn Invest.* 2006;18:422–
649 425.

650 68. Shang B, Liu Y, Jiang S, Liu Y. Prognostic value of tumor-infiltrating FoxP3+
651 regulatory T cells in cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.*
652 2015;5:15179.

653 69. Sharma MD, Baban B, Chandler P, et al. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells from
654 mouse tumor-draining lymph nodes directly activate mature Tregs via
655 indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. *J Clin Invest.* 2007;117:2570–2582.

656 70. Shi L, Meng T, Zhao Z, et al. CRISPR knock out CTLA-4 enhances the anti-
657 tumor activity of cytotoxic T lymphocytes. *Gene.* 2017;636:36–41.

658 71. Silvestri S, Porcellato I, Mechelli L, Menchetti L, Rapastella S, Brachelente C.
659 Tumor Thickness and Modified Clark Level in Canine Cutaneous Melanocytic
660 Tumors. *Vet Pathol.* 2018;56:180–188.

661 72. Simpson RM, Bastian BC, Michael HT, et al. Sporadic naturally occurring
662 melanoma in dogs as a preclinical model for human melanoma. *Pigment Cell*
663 *Melanoma Res.* 2014;27:37–47.

664 73. Smedley RC, Spangler WL, Esplin DG, et al. Prognostic markers for canine
665 melanocytic neoplasms: a comparative review of the literature and goals for
666 future investigation. *Vet Pathol.* 2011;48:54–72.

667 74. Spangler WL, Kass PH. The Histologic and Epidemiologic Bases for
668 Prognostic Considerations in Canine Melanocytic Neoplasia. *Vet Pathol.*
669 2006;43:136–149.

- 670 75. Spangler WL, Kass PH. The histologic and epidemiologic bases for prognostic
671 considerations in canine melanocytic neoplasia. *Vet Pathol.* 2006;43:136–149.
- 672 76. Tagawa M, Kurashima C, Takagi S, et al. Evaluation of costimulatory
673 molecules in dogs with B cell high grade lymphoma. *PLoS One.*
674 2018;13:e0201222.
- 675 77. Tagawa M, Maekawa N, Konnai S, Takagi S. Evaluation of Costimulatory
676 Molecules in Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes of Canine Patients with Histiocytic
677 Sarcoma. Bayry J, ed. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:e0150030.
- 678 78. Tagawa M, Yamamoto Y, Shimbo G, et al. Gene and protein expression of a
679 soluble form of CTLA-4 in a healthy dog. *J Vet Med Sci.* 2017;79:871–875.
- 680 79. Tivol EA, Borriello F, Schweitzer AN, Lynch WP, Bluestone JA, Sharpe AH.
681 Loss of CTLA-4 leads to massive lymphoproliferation and fatal multiorgan
682 tissue destruction, revealing a critical negative regulatory role of CTLA-4.
683 *Immunity.* 1995;3:541–547.
- 684 80. Vajaitu C, Draghici CC, Solomon I, et al. The Central Role of Inflammation
685 Associated with Checkpoint Inhibitor Treatments. *J Immunol Res.*
686 2018;4625472.
- 687 81. Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, et al. Accurate normalization of real-
688 time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal
689 control genes. *Genome Biol.* 2002;3:RESEARCH0034.
- 690 82. Wei B-R, Michael HT, Halsey CHC, et al. Synergistic targeted inhibition of
691 MEK and dual PI3K/mTOR diminishes viability and inhibits tumor growth of
692 canine melanoma underscoring its utility as a preclinical model for human
693 mucosal melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2016;29:643–655.
- 694 83. Wolf Y, Bartok O, Patkar S, et al. UVB-Induced Tumor Heterogeneity

Diminishes Immune Response in Melanoma. *Cell*. 2019;179:219-235.e21.

Figure 1-3. Melanocytic neoplasms, dog. The immunolabeling is nuclear in small cells with scant cytoplasm (lymphocytes). Immunohistochemistry for FoxP3 (AEC chromagen and hematoxylin counterstain). **Figure 1.** Oral melanoma, oral mucosa, dog, case 10. Numerous FoxP3⁺ cells are scattered among neoplastic cells. **Figure 2.** Cutaneous melanoma, haired skin, dog, case 33. FoxP3⁺ cells are present within the neoplasm. **Figure 3.** Cutaneous melanocytoma, haired skin, dog, case 50. No FoxP3⁺ cells are observed at the center or periphery of the tumor. **Figure 4-6.** Melanocytic neoplasms, dog. Immunolabeling is observed on the cell membrane of small cells with scant cytoplasm (lymphocytes). Immunohistochemistry for CTLA-4. **Figure 4.** Oral melanoma, oral mucosa, dog, case 23. Occasional CTLA-4⁺ cells are present among neoplastic cells and also in lymphocytic aggregates at the periphery of the tumor. **Figure 5.** Cutaneous melanoma, haired skin, dog, case 44. Scattered small aggregates of CTLA-4⁺ cells are present within the neoplasm. **Figure 6.** Cutaneous melanocytoma, haired skin, dog, case 51. No CTLA-4⁺ cells are observed at the center or the periphery of the tumor. **Figure 7-9.** Melanocytic neoplasms, dog. IDO is expressed in the cytoplasm of cells with moderate to abundant cytoplasm (interpreted as histiocytes/macrophages), and rarely in neoplastic cells. Immunohistochemistry for IDO. **Figure 7:** Oral melanoma, oral mucosa, dog, case 8. IDO⁺ cells are numerous and often in multifocal aggregates. **Figure 8.** Cutaneous melanoma, haired skin, dog, case 28. Occasional IDO⁺ cells are scattered among neoplastic cells. **Figure 9.** Cutaneous melanocytoma, haired skin, dog, case 49. Single IDO⁺ cells are occasionally observed in the neoplasm, as highlighted in the inset.

720

721 **Figure 10-12.** Box plots of the number of FoxP3, CTLA-4, and IDO-positive
722 cells/HPF. The horizontal line in the box is the median, the whiskers are 1.5 times
723 the inter-quartile range, and the stars are outliers. ns=not significant, *P<0.05,
724 **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 (multiple comparisons by Mann-Whitney tests).

725 **Figures 13-15.** *FoxP3*, *CTLA-4*, and *IDO* mRNA levels according to diagnosis. The
726 horizontal line in the box is the median, the whiskers are 1.5 times the inter-quartile
727 range, and the stars are outliers. ns=not significant, *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001
728 (multiple comparisons by Mann-Whitney tests).

729

730 **Figure 16-21.** Kaplan-Meier survival curves by optimal cut-off values of FoxP3
731 (Figure 16; 6.9 cells/HPF), CTLA-4 (Figure 17; 2.2 cells/HPF), and IDO (Figure 18;
732 8.4 cells/HPF), and *FOXP3* (Figure 19; 35.9 mRNA expression level), *CTLA4* (Figure
733 20; 10.1 mRNA expression level), and *IDO* (Figure 21; 22.7 mRNA expression level).
734 Vertical hash marks represent censored cases.

735