

# Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Contaminants of emerging concern in drinking water: Quality assessment by combining chemical and biological analysis

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version: Contaminants of emerging concern in drinking water: Quality assessment by combining chemical and biological analysis / Valbonesi P.; Profita M.; Vasumini I.; Fabbri E.. - In: SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT. - ISSN 0048-9697. - STAMPA. - 758:(2021), pp. 143624.1-143624.10. [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143624]

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/787211 since: 2024-05-20

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143624

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Valbonesi P.; Profita M.; Vasumini I.; Fabbri E.: Contaminants of emerging concern in drinking water: Quality assessment by combining chemical and biological analysis

SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT. Vol. 758 ISSN 0048-9697

DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143624

The final published version is available online at:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143624

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1	<b>Contaminants of emerging concern in drinking water: quality</b>
2	assessment by combining chemical and biological analysis
3	
4	Paola Valbonesi <sup>1</sup> , Marilin Profita <sup>1</sup> , Ivo Vasumini <sup>2</sup> , Elena Fabbri <sup>1,3,§</sup>
5	
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences (BIGEA) University of Bologna, Italy
8	<sup>2</sup> Romagna Acque Società delle Fonti SpA, Forli (Italy)
9 10	<sup>3</sup> Interdepartment Centre for Environmental Science Research, University of Bologna, Campus of Ravenna, Italy
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	<sup>§</sup> Corresponding Author: Via S. Alberto 163, 48123 Ravenna, Italy. Tel.: +39 0544937311. E-mail: elena.fabbri@unibo.it (E. Fabbri)
17	
18	
19	
20 21	Keywords: Contaminants of emerging concern; Drinking water; LC-MS/MS; E-screen assay; Micronuclei test
22	
23	

#### 24 ABSTRACT

Drinking water quality is a priority issue of the environmental policy agenda, however regulation on 25 Contaminants of Emerging Concern (CECs) is limited. A proposal to revise the Drinking Water 26 27 Directive has recently been approved (EU Council 2020), which updates the quality standards and introduces the watch list mechanism, including for the first time endocrine disruptors and 28 pharmaceuticals. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the occurrence of selected CECs in surface 29 30 water at the entrance of drinking water treatment plants (DWTPs) and in treated water, ready for distribution in the network. Samples were collected at three different DWTPs (Italy) and CECs 31 assessed by LC-MS/MS were the following: bisphenol A (BPA), nonylphenol (NP), octylphenol, 32 33 perfluorooctanesulfonic and perfluorooctanoic acids (PFOS and PFOA), atenolol, caffeine (CFF), carbamazepine (CBZ), estrone, 17- $\beta$ -estradiol, 17- $\alpha$ -ethinyl estradiol, diclofenac, and ibuprofen. In 34 addition, biological analyses were performed to ascertain cumulative estrogenic and/or genotoxic 35 potential of the samples. CFF, NP, PFOA, BPA, and CBZ were the most frequently detected 36 contaminants, found in treated water in the following ranges: CFF 12.47-66.33 ng/L, NP 7.90-53.62 37 38 ng/L, PFOA <LOQ-12.66, ng/L, BPA <LOQ-6.27 ng/L, and CBZ <LOQ-1.20 ng/L. While treatments were generally efficacious in reducing BPA, CFF and CBZ, they were sometimes 39 ineffective for NP and PFOA. According to the low concentrations and/or regulation limit for each 40 41 single contaminant, the water analyzed met the criteria of good quality. No estrogenic or genotoxic activities were induced by the water assessed, with the exception of one sample. Although drinking 42 water may not represent a significant source of human exposure to CECs, their incomplete removal 43 and potential cumulative effects in the mixture deserve implementation of strategies for detection and 44 removal. 45

46

## 48 **1. Introduction**

49 Contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) comprise a vast array of contaminants, that have only recently been discovered in water supply, or that are of recent concern because they have been 50 detected at concentrations significantly higher than expected. The risk they pose to human health and 51 the environment is not yet fully understood. Examples include pharmaceuticals and personal care 52 products, industrial and household chemicals, pesticides, manufactured nanomaterials, and their 53 54 transformation products (Glassmeyer et al., 2017), (Krzeminski et al., 2019). CECs are ubiquitous in the aquatic environment, and because water eligible for human consumption is drawn from surface 55 water, the removal of known or suspected CECs during the purification process is needed. 56 57 Conventional drinking water treatments may be not sufficient to completely eliminate CECs from source waters, because they are not specifically designed to this purpose (Padhye et al., 2014). Among 58 CECs, caffeine and ibuprofen are removed effectively in water treatment plants, whereas other 59 60 pharmaceuticals such as carbamazepine and diclofenac (DCF) are removed at a much lower efficiency, and are detected even in tap water (Kwon et al., 2017). 61

Many CECs have been reported to act as endocrine disruptors, including as expected natural and
synthetic hormones, but also a variety of other compounds widely used (Kiyama and Wada-Kiyama,
2015).

65 In 2000, the European Union launched the Directive 2000/60/EC to establish a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (EU, 2000). The subsequent Directive 2008/105/EC 66 established a list of Priority Substances and Environmental Quality Standards with the aim of 67 reaching a good ecological and chemical status for EU surface water (EU, 2008). A further Directive 68 proposed a revised list of priority substances (45 compounds) and launched a Watch List of potential 69 water pollutants to be carefully monitored by the EU Member States to support future prioritization 70 exercises (EU, 2013), which was published in the Decision 2015/495/EU. This panel, which is 71 updated every two years, comprised about 15 substances among which for the first time, some 72

hormones and pharmaceuticals (17-β-estradiol, E2; 17-α-ethinylestradiol, EE2; and DCF) were included (EU Commission, 2015).

Although water quality is one of the priority issues of the environmental policy agenda due to the increasing demand for safe and clean water, regulation of CECs in drinking water is limited. Only recently, the EU Council approved a proposal to revise the Drinking Water Directive, which updates quality standards and introduces the watch list mechanism, including for the first time endocrine disruptors and pharmaceuticals (EU Council, 2020). In view of their endocrine disrupting properties E2 and nonylphenol (NP) are included in the watch list under definition, while bisphenol A (BPA) has been directly added to the Directive (EU Council, 2020).

82 The updates of regulatory limits cover only part of the issue. In fact, chemical analysis based often on liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) able to detect concentrations as 83 low as parts per trillion (Ibáñez et al., 2012), do not account for synergetic effects of contaminant 84 85 mixtures on ecosystems and human health, which may take place even at low concentrations, from ng/L to low µg/L (Arnold et al., 2014). For a more comprehensive assessment of water quality, 86 87 chemical analysis may be complemented by cell-based bioassays that target health-relevant biological endpoints. In a real environmental scenario, a multiplicity of interactions and synergies among 88 89 different compounds take place, which chemical investigations are unable to account for. Escher and 90 coworkers recommended to use a purpose-tailored panel of bioassays for routine monitoring of water quality and to assess efficacy of water treatment processes, suggesting as the most health relevant 91 endpoints xenobiotic metabolism, hormone-mediated modes of action, genotoxicity, and adaptive 92 93 stress response pathway (Escher et al., 2014).

In response to the increasing concern on drinking water quality, the aim of this study was to evaluate the occurrence of selected CECs in surface water at the entrance of drinking water treatment plants (DWTPs) and in treated water, ready for distribution in the network, and assess the efficacy of treatments. In addition, biological analyses were performed to ascertain treated water cumulative estrogenic and/or genotoxic potential. Water samples were collected at three different DWTPs serving

99 the Romagna region (Italy). Chemical analyses were carried out by LC-MS/MS, addressed to a panel 100 of CECs, most of which showing endocrine disruptor properties. Assessment of estrogenic and 101 genotoxic activity were carried out by E-screen assay and Micronuclei test, respectively.

102

#### **2.** Materials and methods

104 2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Table 1 shows the panel of CECs evaluated in this study. All non-labelled standards were purchased
from Merck Life Science (Milan, Italy). Isotope-labeled compounds used as internal standards were
purchased by Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories Inc. (Lab ServiceAnalytica Srl, Anzola dell'Emilia,
Bologna, Italy) (<sup>13</sup>C<sub>3</sub>-Caffeine), CDN Isotopes (Quebec, Canada) (E2-d<sub>2</sub> and BPA-d<sub>6</sub>), Wellington
Laboratories Inc. (Guelph, ON, Canada) (<sup>13</sup>C<sub>4</sub>-PFOA), and Merck Life Science (Ibuprofen-d<sub>3</sub>).
Solvent reagents from Merck Life Science were of LC-MS analytical grade.

111 2.2. Sampling sites and sample storage

Two sampling campaigns per year were carried out during 2018 and 2019, in July and 112 113 September/October, corresponding to the dry season with the purpose of analyzing the worst scenario regarding CECs in the study area, when rivers are drier and the expected concentration of pollutants 114 is greater. Pre- and post- treatment water samples were collected from the three main Romagna's 115 waterworks operated by the company Romagna Acque-Società delle Fonti (Figure 1). Capaccio 116 (Forlì-Cesena) is fed by the large reservoir of Ridracoli, in the National Park of the Casentinesi 117 Forests (high Tuscan-Romagna Apennines). Differently, NIP and Standiana receive water from areas 118 with many anthropic activities, NIP (Bassette, Ravenna), receiving water mainly from the Lamone 119 river (integrated, in particularly dry periods, from the Reno River) and from the CER (the Emilia-120 121 Romagna channel that branches off the Po river and brings its water in the Romagna area); Standiana (Standiana, Ravenna), active since 2015, using more advanced water treatment techniques, such as 122 ultrafiltration through 0.04 µm membranes, to obtain high quality water starting from the CER. 123

Differently from Capaccio, both NIP and Standiana plants are equipped with activated carbon filters 124 125 for the elimination of organic and inorganic micro-pollutants. In particular, NIP is equipped with granular activated carbon (GAC), and Standiana with the biological activated carbon (BAC). GAC is 126 used as a filter through which the water is pumped, regularly backwashed, and does not need to be 127 replaced until it is exhausted, which may take several years. It is mainly used in drinking water 128 treatment to remove dissolved organic contaminants. Microbial activity occurs naturally on GAC 129 130 during the treatment of waters containing biodegradable materials. Adsorption of biodegradable organics to GAC provides extended contact times for degradation of certain dissolved organic 131 contaminants by microorganism, thereby extending the service life of GAC beds as well as treatment 132 133 efficiency. GAC converts to BAC due to natural biological growth on GAC media.

134 For each sampling point, 3 L of water were collected in 1L-PE bottles and stored at 4 °C until analysis.

# 135 2.3 Sample processing

All samples were processed essentially as previously reported (Pignotti et al., 2017). Briefly, for 136 chemical analysis 1 L of water was spiked with a mixture of labeled internal standards (E2-d<sub>2</sub>, BPA-137 d<sub>6</sub>, <sup>13</sup>C<sub>4</sub>-PFOA, Ibuprofen-d<sub>3</sub> at a concentration of 30 ng/L, and <sup>13</sup>C<sub>3</sub>-Caffeine at 15 ng/L), filtered 138 with glass microfiber filters (1.60 µm) and then with cellulose acetate filters (0.45 µm). Solid-phase 139 extraction was subsequently performed through Oasis HLB cartridges (6 cm<sup>3</sup>, 200 mg; Waters S.p.A., 140 Sesto San Giovanni, Milan, Italy). Cartridges were eluted with 6 mL of methanol, evaporated under 141 a N<sub>2</sub> gentle stream up to a volume of 250 µL, and split in two vials of 125 µL each. The first set of 142 vials were additioned with 125 µL of water (finally 50:50 water/methanol) for the first set of LC-MS-143 MS analysis (group 1, Table 2). The remaining vials were further evaporated to 25 µl and 144 reconstituted in 250 µl of a mixture of water/methanol (90:10) for further two sets of LC-MS-MS 145 146 analysis (group 2 and group 3, Table 2). Samples were then centrifuged (17,000  $\times$  g, 5 min), filtered and transferred into glass vials. For the biological analysis the same protocol was applied to water 147 samples, except for spiking with the labeled internal standards. Eluted samples reached 50 µL and 148

were additioned first with 200  $\mu$ L of pure water, then with steroid-free experimental medium to obtain a final concentration factor of 20, containing 0.1% methanol, and finally sterilized with 0.20  $\mu$ m syringe cellulose acetate filters. These experimental conditions did not cause any toxicity on cell culture, as assessed by a viability test (data not shown).

153 2.4. Chromatographic conditions and mass spectrometry detection

Chemical analysis were carried out with an HPLC system (Agilent 1.200 series, Agilent Technologies 154 Italia S.p.A, Cernusco sul Naviglio, Milan, Italy) coupled with a MS/MS spectrometer, equipped with 155 an electrospray ionization source (Quattro Premier XE Micromass, Waters S.p.A.). Separation of 156 compounds was achieved through an XBridge  $C_{18}$  3.5µm 2.1 × 150 mm column (Waters S.p.A.) and 157 the volume injection was 20 µL. Mass analyses were performed in multiple reaction monitoring 158 (MRM) mode. Table 2 summarizes the mass transitions selected for each compound and further MS 159 parameter details. For group 1 compounds, analyses were carried out in negative ion mode using 160 0.1% ammonium hydroxide in Water (A) and 0.1% ammonium hydroxide in Acetonitrile (B) as 161 mobile phases, with a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min. The elution gradient started at 5% B and rapidly 162 163 increased to 80% B (2 min), kept at isocratic conditions for 6 min, then to 99% B in 1 min and kept at isocratic conditions for 6 min, followed by 2 min linear gradient back to initial conditions, and then 164 kept for 12 min to equilibrate the column before a new injection. The optimized mass spectrometry 165 parameters were as follows: capillary 2.90 V; desolvation temperature 400 °C; desolvation gas flow 166 800 L/h; cone gas 80 L/h. For group 2 compounds, analyses were conducted in negative ion mode 167 using 10 mM ammonium acetate in Water (A) and Acetonitrile (B) as mobile phases, with a flow rate 168 of 0.2 ml/min. Elution gradient started with 5% B and gradually increased to 99% in 7 min and to 169 99% in 5 min, followed by 5 min isocratic elution and a 2 min linear gradient back to initial 170 171 conditions, and then kept for 7 min to equilibrate the column before a new injection. The optimized mass spectrometry parameters were as follows: capillary 2.70 V; desolvation temperature 350 °C; 172 desolvation gas flow 850 L/h; cone gas 85 L/h. For group 3 compounds, analyses were done in 173

positive ion mode using 0.1% formic acid in Water (A) and 0.1% formic acid in Acetonitrile (B) as mobile phases, with a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. Elution gradient started with 10% B and rapidly increased to 48% (0.5 min), kept at isocratic conditions for 6 min, then to 85% B in 0.5 min and to 100% in 4 min. After 2 min at isocratic conditions and 0.5 min linear gradient back to initial conditions, flow was kept for 11.5 min to equilibrate the column before a new injection. The optimized mass spectrometry parameters were as follows: capillary 2.80 V; desolvation temperature 350 °C; desolvation gas flow 750 L/h; cone gas 70 L/h.

# 181 2.5. Quantification and method validation

Data related to quantification and method validation are reported in Table 3. Each water sample was 182 analyzed in triplicate. Recovery and repeatability were tested in DWTP waters by mixing 3 L of 183 entering and 3 L of exiting water (1 L of each DWTP in 2018 July campaign). From this amount, 3 L 184 were spiked before the extraction procedure with 30 ng/L of the targeted analytes, with the exception 185 of CBZ (5 ng/L). The remaining 3 L of unspiked samples were analyzed in the same batch to correct 186 the final concentrations for the amount of analytes already present in DWTP waters. Recoveries and 187 accuracy were calculated subtracting the concentration of each analyte in unspiked water to the 188 measured concentration after spiking. Procedural blanks were prepared in parallel to samples in order 189 to exclude any contamination during sample treatments. Three standard mixtures, containing all the 190 CECs to be analysed, were prepared before each analytical run by diluting stock solutions to obtain 191 six-point calibration curves (0–100/300 ng/mL), prepared in a mixture of water/methanol at the same 192 initial conditions of samples. An instrumental blank containing only the labeled internal standards 193 194 was used as control for analytical interference. To rule out any system contamination and check sensibility drifts, one point of the calibration curve (10 ng/mL) was run every six sample injections. 195 196 Detection limits (LODs) of the methods were calculated as the amount of native standard (pg) loaded that yielded a signal to noise ratio of 3 and quantification limits (LOQs) of the methods corresponded 197 to the concentration that yielded a signal to noise ratio of 10, using real water samples, to take into 198

account the matrix effect. LOQ values were used as cut- off values for quantification of the analytes. Intra- and inter-day precision were calculated by injection of one point of the calibration curve (10 ng/mL) and calculating the relative standard deviation (RSD, %) (n = 3). Concentrations below the LOQ were considered as half the LOQ.

203 2.6 Cell culture conditions

Human breast cancer cells MCF-7 were kindly provided by Prof. M. Marino (University Roma Tre, 204 Rome, Italy). Cells were grown in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air at 37 °C, in Dulbecco's 205 modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum, 2 206 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 0.1 mg/mL 207 gentamicin and 1% of non- essential amino acids. Phenol red-free DMEM supplemented with 5% 208 charcoal-dextran treated fetal calf serum was used as experimental medium, containing DWTP water 209 210 extracts or mineral water extracts as laboratory blank samples. Cell culture reagents were from Merck Life Science. 211

212 2.7 E-screen assay

Estrogenic activity assessment was performed by E-screen assay, as described by Korner (Korner, 213 1999), with some modifications. Cells were plated into 24-well plates at initial concentration of 214 215 10,000 cells/well. After 24 h, the seeding medium was replaced by the experimental medium containing DWTP extracts or different concentrations of E2. After a 5-day exposure, cell proliferation 216 was assessed by MTT (3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay, as 217 218 described by Mosmann (Mosmann, 1983). Results are expressed as Proliferative Effect (PE), i.e. the ratio of the cell number achieved in the treated wells, and the cell number of the negative controls. 219 E2 dose-dependent cell proliferation curve fitting was performed in order to express estrogenic 220 activity in terms of equivalent estradiol (EEQ, ng/L). The negative control with pure water extract 221 and the internal positive control with  $10^{-10}$  M E2 were added to each assay. 222

223 2.8 Micronuclei test

Genotoxic activity assessment was performed by the micronuclei test, as described by Fenech 224 225 (Fenech, 2000), with some modifications (Espinoza et al., 2019). Cells were plated into 12-well plates at initial concentration of 80,000 cells/well. After 24 h, the medium was replaced by the experimental 226 medium containing DWTP extracts or 0.1 µM BPA as a positive control. After 48 h of exposure, the 227 medium was replaced with experimental medium containing 2 µg/mL cytochalasin B. Following a 228 further 24-h incubation, the medium was removed, and cells were trypsinized, spread on slides and 229 fixed in Carnoy solution (methanol/acetic acid 3:1). Slides were air dried and stained with DAPI (4',6-230 diamidino-2-phenylindole), at a concentration of 100 ng/mL. About thousand binucleated cells were 231 scored for each slide with a microscope (Eclipse 80i, Nikon Instruments Europe B.V. Amsterdam, 232 233 Netherlands) equipped for fluorescence microscopy at 1000 × magnification. Data are expressed as 234 the number of micronuclei/1000 binucleated cells scored in each slide.

# 235 2.9 Statistical analysis

For biological assays the experimental data were obtained from the replication of at least four 236 independent experiments (N = 4). In fact, for each sampling point, 2 L of water were processed 237 independently and each eluate was tested at least twice in independent experiments. For the E-Screen 238 assay, results are expressed in terms of PE as the mean  $\pm$  standard error (SE). Results of MN test are 239 reported as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), obtained from 4 independent experiments (for each 240 replicate 1000 binucleated cells were scored). E2 dose-dependent cell proliferation curve fitting was 241 performed using a commercial graphical package (SigmaPlot software, ver 13, Systat Software Inc.). 242 Data groups were compared using one-way ANOVA and followed by Dunnett post-hoc test; a 243 statistical difference was accepted when p < 0.05 (Sigma Stat, SPSS Science, Chicago IL, USA). 244

245

## 246 **3. Results and Discussion**

247 3.1. Chemical analysis

Tables 4 and 5 summarize the concentrations of compounds for industrial use recognized as endocrine
disruptors and pharmaceuticals, respectively, in the water entering and exiting the DWTPs.

# 250 *3.1.1. Surfactants and Plasticizers*

251 Alkylphenols, widely employed as surfactants in chemical industry, are frequently detected in the environment at concentrations in the order of µg/L; further they are toxic, persistent and able to 252 bioaccumulate (Sousa et al., 2018). Among this group, nonylphenol (NP) and octylphenol (OP) 253 belong to category 1 of the Endocrine Disruptor Priority List (EU Commission, 2007). Both 254 compounds are among the 33 priority substances in the European water framework directive (EU, 255 2013) and are classified as priority hazardous substances. The document identifies the environmental 256 257 quality standards (EOS) in the water column, corresponding to average values of 0.1 mg/L and 0.3 mg/L, for OP and NP, respectively. The same 0.3 mg/L concentration was initially proposed by the 258 WHO as the upper limit for NP in drinking water (EU Commission, 2018); then, according with a 259 following decision, the approved document includes NP in the Watch list (EU Council, 2020). 260

In the present study, OP and NP showed concentrations below the above mentioned limits. In fact, OP has never been detected above the quantification limit (0.66 ng/L), neither in water entering or in water exiting the DWTPs. NP has been found in all plants and sampling campaigns, and ranged from 7.90 ng/L to 53.62 ng/L in the post-treatment water.

NP measured in the water leaving the 6 Italian plants was reported at concentrations up to 100 ng/L, and similar values have been published by Maggioni and coworkers in drinking water from public fountains in 35 Italian cities, with NP highest concentrations of 84 ng/L (Maggioni et al., 2013). In European countries maximum value of 505 ng/L was reported in France (Colin et al., 2014), 16 ng/L in Germany (Kuch and Ballschmiter, 2001) and 126 ng/L in Spain (Valcárcel et al., 2018).

Interestingly, a higher amount of NP after DWTP treatment has been occasionally found. Similar data
were reported by the Italian Institute of Health concerning 6 Italian waterworks monitored between
2008 and 2009. The higher occurrence of NP in post treatment water was possibly related to the use

of plastic materials for the pipelines, which could release substances such as alkylphenols, bisphenol
A (BPA), phthalates and PAH into drinking water (Achene et al., 2011).

BPA, one of the highest-volume chemicals produced worldwide, is used as a plastic monomer and 275 plasticizer in the production of polycarbonate and epoxy resins. In turn, these materials are currently 276 used as components of many consumer products, including reusable plastic bottles, household 277 kitchenware, canned food items, and medical equipment (Prins et al., 2019). BPA exposure has been 278 279 associated with serious endocrine-disrupting effects in humans and wildlife, thus it belongs to category 1 of the Endocrine Disruptor Priority List (EU Commission, 2007). The recent revision of 280 the Drinking Water Directive (EU Council, 2020) represents the first regulation concerning BPA 281 282 occurrence in water for human consumption, with the definition of the upper limit of 2.5  $\mu$ g/L.

In the present study, the post-treatment water contained a range of BPA concentration from <LOQ to 0.006  $\mu$ g/L, well below the limit of EU regulation (EU Council, 2020). BPA was detected in almost all water samples entering the plants (Table 4), the highest concentration being 0.018  $\mu$ g/L in Standiana in October 2018. It is noteworthy that all DWTPs were able to completely or at least partially remove BPA.

BPA concentrations in Italian drinking water ranged from < LOQ to 0.003  $\mu$ g/L, except for a sample where value was higher (0.102  $\mu$ g/L) (Maggioni et al., 2013). The maximum BPA concentration reported in drinking water varies among European Countries: 0.05  $\mu$ g/L in Spain and in France (Colin et al., 2014), (Valcárcel et al., 2018), and 0.002  $\mu$ g/L in Germany (Kuch and Ballschmiter, 2001).

#### *3.1.2. Perfluorinated substances*

Per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS) are used in a wide range of industrial applications and commercial products (e.g. paper coatings, insecticides, paints). Effects on human health associated to PFAS exposure are related to dysfunction in lipid metabolism, thyroid metabolism, developmental effects in fetuses during pregnancy or in breastfed infants, and cancer in occupationally exposed individuals (Ingelido et al., 2018). European legislation regarding PFAS in

surface water has been updated at the end of 2015. The European Commission included 298 299 perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) in the list of priority hazardous substances, to be monitored in the EU water bodies, setting an EQS of 0.65 ng/L (EU Commission, 2015). Moreover, a list of not 300 yet priority substances was included in the European Directive 2013/39/EC, for which EQSs are 301 suggested to be monitored in order to achieve of a good ecological status by December 2027. Among 302 these, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) is included, with average EQS value of 0.1 µg/L for inland 303 304 surface waters. The recently approved revision of the Drinking Water Directive included PFAS in the list of chemicals to be monitored (EU Council, 2020): member States shall take the measures 305 necessary to ensure that water intended for human consumption complies with the parametric values 306 307 set to 0.1  $\mu$ g/L for individual PFAS and 0.5  $\mu$ g/L for PFAS in total.

In the present study, both PFOS and PFOA have occasionally been detected in the water leaving the 308 DWTPs, at maximum concentrations of 0.81 ng/L and 12.66 ng/L respectively, well below the limits 309 suggested by the revision of the Drinking Water Directive. Both maximum values were found in the 310 sampling campaign of July 2018 in Standiana. Comparing PFOS occurrence in the three DWTP, we 311 observed that it has never been detected in Capaccio. In NIP, PFOS has only been found in entering 312 water, while in Standiana traces of PFOS have always been detected also in the water leaving the 313 plant. Conversely, PFOA has been detected in all water samples analysed with the only exception of 314 Capaccio in July 2018. 315

As a comparison with other Italian data, occurrence of PFOA and PFOS in drinking water in the Veneto region dropped to maximum concentrations of 386 ng/L and 36 ng/L, respectively, after the abatement of an important water contamination detected in 2014, due to the draining of PFAS from a manufacturing company (WHO, 2016). PFOA and PFOS mean concentrations in tap water near the Maggiore lake were 2.4 ng/L and 8.1 ng/L, respectively (Loos et al., 2007), while PFOA in drinking water from an industrialized area in North of Milan reached 47 ng/L (Castiglioni et al., 2015). In France the highest concentrations reported for PFOA and PFOS were 12 and 22 ng/L, respectively (Boiteux et al., 2012); in Germany, drinking water showed a maximum concentration of PFOA and
PFOS of 519 and 22 ng/L, respectively (Skutlarek et al., 2006); in Spain the highest concentrations
in drinking water corresponded to 2.40 and 1.81 ng/L, for PFOA and PFOS, respectively (Domingo
et al., 2012).

As from Table 4, while PFOS concentrations were always reduced by the treatment, PFOA levels were occasionally higher in post- with respect to pre- treatment waters. Rahman and coworkers reviewed PFAS fate in drinking water and noted the same PFOA behaviour, providing some explanations, such as the possible breakdown of certain precursor compounds to PFOS and PFOA during treatments, or the leaching from Teflon-coated components and desorption from GAC filters that had been in service for long periods of time without reactivation (Rahman et al., 2014).

#### 333 *3.1.3. Pharmaceuticals*

This class of contaminants are synthetic or natural chemicals found in prescription medicines, over-334 the-counter therapeutics and veterinary drugs. Because drinking water limits for pharmaceuticals 335 have not been established yet, and little has been published on safe long-term exposure levels, the 336 337 evaluation of drinking water quality is challenging. The need to collect monitoring data relative to pharmaceutical occurrence in water for human consumption was confirmed in 2015, when diclofenac 338 (DCF), 17- $\beta$ -estradiol (E2), and 17- $\alpha$ -ethinyl estradiol (EE2) were included in the Watch List of 339 Decision for the compounds posing a significant risk to the aquatic environment, with insufficient 340 monitoring data at European Union level (EU Commission, 2015). The first monitoring results, 341 reported by Higher Institute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA, 2017), showed that 342 DCF was one of the most frequently detected pharmaceutical, found in 22 of the 35 Italian stations, 343 at concentrations ranging from 5 to 683 ng/L. Due to its documented occurrence in the environment, 344 345 bioaccumulation and adverse effects on the health of aquatic fauna, the EU Joint Research Centre removed DCF from the Watch list in the most recent update (Loos et al., 2018), and the definition of 346 specific legislation is expected shortly. 347

On the basis of the precautionary principle, E2 is included in the first Watch list of the Drinking Water
Directive revision (EU Council, 2020).

Present results (Table 5) indicate that water samples did not contain the natural hormone E1 or the 350 synthetic hormone (EE2) over their LOQ values. Differently, E2 was detected in July 2018 in two 351 samples of pre-treatment water, and subsequently removed. Atenolol, ibuprofen and DCF have been 352 occasionally found only in water entering the plants, demonstrating the removal efficacy of the 353 354 DWTPs. Conversely, caffeine (CFF) and carbamazepine (CBZ) have been the two most frequently detected pharmaceuticals. CFF is ubiquitous in the environment, and it has been detected in surface 355 water almost all over the world (Glassmeyer et al., 2017). CFF occurrence is linked to the high 356 357 consumption of drugs as well as of drinks that contain it, thus it is considered an indicator of anthropogenic impacts. 358

359 CFF has been found in each sample analyzed (Table 5). The highest concentration of CFF was 360 detected in water entering NIP in both 2018 campaigns, when a value as high as 2.58  $\mu$ g/L was 361 reached. Nevertheless, after treatment the concentration of CFF was reduced in the range of 12.89 to 362 66.33 ng/L, showing a good DWTP effectiveness in retaining the contaminant.

The range of CFF concentration in water samples leaving the DWTPs is similar to those previously assessed in drinking water in Italy, between 10 and 53 ng/L (Loos et al., 2007), in France, from 5 to 82 ng/L (Mompelat et al., 2011), and Spain, from 15 to 75 ng/L (Valcárcel et al., 2011).

CBZ occurred in all the pre-treatment samples from NIP and Standiana, and often also in the water
leaving the plants, although reduced by at least 10 times. The drug has never been found in Capaccio.

368 The maximum concentration of CBZ found in post-treatment water was 1.20 ng/L.

Previous studies reported CBZ water levels of 10.3 ng/L in Italy (Riva et al., 2018), 59 ng/L in Spain

370 (Leusch et al., 2018), 14 ng/L in Portugal (de Jesus Gaffney et al., 2015), 6.0 ng/L in Poland (Kot-

Wasik et al., 2016), and in France CBZ was detected in tap water at a concentration of 43.2 ng/L

372 (Togola and Budzinski, 2008). The wide occurrence of CBZ is related to its high resistance to

environmental degradation independent of seasonality (Kot-Wasik et al., 2016). In agreement, a

monitoring study of 31 pharmaceuticals along Lisbon's drinking water documented that CBZ, together with CFF, was the most ubiquitous compounds with a detection frequency of 96% in drinking water (de Jesus Gaffney et al., 2015).

Overall, the comparison of contaminants occurrence in the different DWTPs indicates that water entering Capaccio contained the lowest levels of pharmaceuticals, showing only the anthropic tracer CFF. Conversely, all pharmaceuticals have been detected in water entering NIP; nevertheless, their concentration in post-treatment waters was always significantly reduced.

381 3.2. Biological analysis

#### 382 *3.2.1. Evaluation of estrogenic activity by E-screen assay*

As previously mentioned, all the environmental contaminants evaluated in this study are reported to 383 affect human health. Thus, chemical assessments have been integrated with biological analysis 384 aiming to evaluate the potential effects of water as a mixture containing non-measured compounds 385 and/or transformation products (Lv et al., 2016), (Leusch et al., 2018). Estrogen-like compounds are 386 387 known as the major contributors to endocrine disrupting activity of water samples, acting at concentrations ranging from pg to ng/L (Farré et al., 2007), (Vulliet et al., 2007), (Chen and Chou, 388 2016). The E-screen assay has been employed as a complementary tool to ascertain the overall 389 estrogenic activity of the water, due to a mix of known and unknown chemicals potentially leading 390 to additive or synergistic effects (Cocci et al., 2015). 391

MCF-7 cells were exposed for 5 days to increasing amounts of E2, ranging from  $10^{-15}$  to  $10^{-8}$  M, then the proliferative effect (PE) was evaluated (Figure 2A). E2 induced a dose-dependent cell proliferation, with a maximum PE at  $10^{-10}$  M, which was inhibited by the presence of the estrogen receptor blocker tamoxifen (TAM), confirming the involvement of estrogen receptors in this response. The minimum E2 concentration showing a significant response was  $10^{-13}$  M, corresponding to about 0.03 ng/L. The dose-response curve of E2, analyzed by non-linear regression ( $r^2 = 0.987$ , dotted curve), allows to quantify the PE in terms of equivalent estradiol (EEQ) concentration. Figure

2B indicates that the water samples analyzed did not show a PE different from control cells, with the 399 400 exception of the post-treatment water sampled in Capaccio in July 2019. This result was corroborated by further analysis, which found the estrogenic activity also in pre-treatment water of the same 401 sampling campaign, and confirmed by TAM exposure test, which abolished the E-screen positive 402 response (data not shown). The estrogenic activity, quantified by the dose-response curve and 403 corrected for the concentration factor, corresponded to 24.6 and 9.06 pg/L EEQ in pre- and post-404 405 treatment water, respectively. Similar results were found in drinking waters in 16 out of 35 Italian cities, with a maximum of 13.6 pg/L EEQ, judged by the Authors as a low estrogenic activity 406 (Maggioni et al., 2013). Estrogenic activity was also observed in bottled water commercialized in 407 408 Europe, ranging from 1.9 to 12.2 pg/L EEQ (Wagner and Oehlmann, 2011).

The weak but significant estrogenic response was recorded in the Capaccio samples, although estrogens (E1, E2, or EE2) and simil-estrogens (BPA or NP) were at concentrations similar to other samples analyzed. Thus, a biological effect caused by either synergistic effects, or unidentified chemicals present in the mixture was hypothesized.

413 Hu and coworkers demonstrated that when BPA reacted with high concentrations of chlorine, derivatives were still present after 60 min and are more difficult to biodegrade than BPA; furthermore, 414 415 by-products were detected at the exit of the DWTPs showing an estrogenic activity greater than the 416 parent compounds at lower concentrations (Hu et al., 2002). The effects of by-products from chlorination cannot be ruled out, because not analysed in our samples. However, due to the higher 417 estrogenicity found in the corresponding pre-treatment water, we suggest that an occasional peak of 418 419 contaminants in the water feeding the plant determined the estrogenic effects observed in the specific samples. 420

## 421 *3.2.2. Evaluation of genotoxic activity by Micronuclei test*

422 A further issue relates to the occurrence of genotoxic chemicals, due not only to direct or indirect 423 discharges after industrial, domestic, and agricultural usages but also to disinfection treatments,

particularly when water is obtained from surface sources and then chlorinated. Thus, short-term 424 425 genotoxicity tests predictive of carcinogenic activity have been suggested to assess the potential genotoxic activity of such complex mixtures in drinking water (Buschini et al., 2004), (WHO, 2011), 426 (Ceretti et al., 2016). Many estrogen-like chemicals induce multiple effects in vivo that cannot be 427 related only to estrogenic activity. For example, BPA is also a genotoxic compound, that leads to 428 DNA damage, detectable by an increase of micronuclei (MN) number in exposed cells (Ramos et al., 429 430 2019). For carcinogenic compounds, the United States Environmental Protection Agency recommends zero level in drinking water (US EPA, 2017). Despite the risks associated with the 431 presence of mutagenic/carcinogenic substances in water intended for human consumption, the current 432 433 legislation does not provide for the application of mutagenesis tests.

We presently used MN test for its sensitivity and reliability. MN test has already been applied for the assessment of the quality of drinking water (Maffei et al., 2009), (Zeng et al., 2015) (Buchner et al., 2019). Table 6 shows the frequency of MN evaluated in MCF-7 cells after 48 h treatment with the different sampled water extracts. None of the water extracts induced any statistically significant increase in the MN frequency compared to negative controls. The positive control BPA 0.1  $\mu$ M showed a significant variation (p < 0.05), thus indicating the sensitivity of the test.

440

# 441 **4.** Conclusions

The quality of drinking water and the efficacy of treatments in relation to CECs are a matter of 442 concern, because the risk they pose to human health and the environment is not yet fully understood. 443 444 A chemical and biological integrated approach is here proposed to evaluate the occurrence of selected CECs and the overall estrogenic and genotoxic potential of waters eligible for human consumption. 445 The water analysed in the present investigation met the criteria of good quality, according to the low 446 concentration and/or regulation limit for each single contaminant. Chemical analysis indicated that 447 NP, PFOA, BPA, CFF and CBZ were the most frequent contaminants in water samples, thus 448 confirming that these substances are ubiquitous contaminants in the water cycle. While the 449

waterworks treatment was generally effective in reducing BPA, CFF and CBZ, it was sometimes 450 451 ineffective for NP and PFOA. For some of the studied CECs, occurrence in the incoming water was different among waterworks, which are fed by water coming from areas with lower (Capaccio) and 452 higher (NIP and Standiana) anthropogenic impact. Water feeding Capaccio in fact was neither 453 contaminated by pharmaceuticals nor by PFOS, while PFOA concentration was at least 5 times lower 454 than in other plants. All CECs were instead detected in water entering NIP and Standiana. 455 456 Interestingly, BPA and NP occurred in all plants at very similar concentrations, regardless the area of origin of the incoming water. Some of the chemicals investigated are included in the Watch list of 457 substances for which EU-wide monitoring data need to be gathered to support future prioritization. 458 459 Present data therefore provide information on the fulfilling of the purposes of EU Water Framework Directive (EU, 2013) and of the recently revised Drinking Water Directive (EU Council, 2020). 460

Biological analyses were performed to ascertain the absence of cumulative estrogenic and genotoxic activities in the waters from the DWTPs. Although previous reports are available on this possibility (Maggioni et al., 2013), no estrogenic or genotoxic activities were shown by the waters analyzed, with the exception of one sample. The recorded estrogenic activity remained an isolated phenomenon, of low entity and in line with estrogen concentrations previously reported in drinking waters. However, this may not always be the case, and high frequency monitoring are suggested for a comprehensive assessment of the risks associated with exposure to CEC mixtures.

It is a recurrent suggestion that drinking waters do not represent a relevant source for human exposure to CEC as asserted for NP (Soares et al., 2008), (Colin et al., 2014), BPA (Arnold et al., 2013), PFAS (Domingo and Nadal, 2019) and pharmaceuticals (WHO, 2017). The above considerations, however, cannot bridge the knowledge gaps in terms of assessing the risks associated with long-term, low-level exposures, and possible combined effects of chemicals in the mixture. Overall, the present study points out the usefulness of an integrated chemical and biological approach as a screening tool for drinking water quality. In conclusion, health effects related to the consumption of drinking water containing a cocktail of
CECs are still unknown and difficult to predict. Thus, more information and proactive measures to
treat and remove these compounds are advisable, despite the costs and uncertain benefits.

478

## 479 **5.** Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Dr. Andrea Gambi, General Director of Romagna Acque,
Società delle Fonti (Italy), recently passed away for COVID-19. With immense foresight and
visionary practicality, he always supported the scientific training as the basis of industrial progress.
The Authors are grateful to Romagna Acque, Società delle Fonti (Italy) for allowing the water
samplings. This work was funded by MIUR Italy (RFO 2018 and 2019 to EF).

485 Declaration of interests: The Authors declare that there are no conflict of interests regarding the
486 publication of this work.

487

#### 488 **6. References**

Achene, L., Bogialli, S., Lucentini, L., 2011. Interferenti endocrini nelle acque da destinare al
consumo umano in Italia: strumenti metodologici per un'indagine conoscitiva estesa a diversi

491 sistemi idrici. Rome: Italian Institute of Health (ISTISAN Reports 11/18)

492 https://www.iss.it/rapporti-istisan.

493 Arnold, K.E., Brown, A.R., Ankley, G.T., Sumpter, J.P., Arnold, K.E., 2014. Medicating the

494 environment : assessing risks of pharmaceuticals to wildlife and ecosystems. Philos Trans R

- 495 Soc L. B Biol Sci 369, 20130569. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0569
- 496 Arnold, S.M., Clark, K.E., Staples, C.A., Klecka, G.M., Dimond, S.S., Caspers, N., Hentges, S.G.,

497 2013. Relevance of drinking water as a source of human exposure to bisphenol A. J. Expo. Sci.

- 498 Environ. Epidemiol. 23, 137–144. https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2012.66
- Boiteux, V., Dauchy, X., Rosin, C., Boiteux, J.F.V., 2012. National screening study on 10

- 500 perfluorinated compounds in raw and treated tap water in france. Arch. Environ. Contam.
- 501 Toxicol. 63, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-012-9754-7
- 502 Buchner, E., Happel, O., Schmidt, C.K., Scheurer, M., Schmutz, B., Kramer, M., et al., 2019.
- 503 Approach for analytical characterization and toxicological assessment of ozonation products in
- drinking water on the example of acesulfame. Water Res. 153, 357–368.
- 505 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.01.018
- 506 Castiglioni, S., Valsecchi, S., Polesello, S., Rusconi, M., Melis, M., Palmiotto, M., et al., 2015.
- 507 Sources and fate of perfluorinated compounds in the aqueous environment and in drinking
- 508 water of a highly urbanized and industrialized industrialized area in Italy. J. Hazard. Mater.
- 509 282, 51–60. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.06.007
- 510 Chen, K., Chou, P., 2016. Detection of endocrine active substances in the aquatic environment in
- southern Taiwan using bioassays and LC e MS / MS. Chemosphere 152, 214–220.
- 512 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.02.115
- 513 Cocci, P., Palermo, F.A., Quassinti, L., Bramucci, M., Miano, A., Mosconi, G., 2015. ScienceDirect
  514 Determination of estrogenic activity in the river Chienti (Marche Region, Italy) by using in
- 515 vivo and in vitro bioassays. JES 43, 48–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2015.07.018
- 516 Colin, A., Bach, C., Rosin, C., Munoz, J.F., Dauchy, X., 2014. Is drinking water a major route of
- 517 human exposure to alkylphenol and bisphenol contaminants in France? Arch. Environ.
- 518 Contam. Toxicol. 66, 86–99. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-013-9942-0
- de Jesus Gaffney, V., Almeida, C.M.M., Rodrigues, A., Ferreira, E., Benoliel, M.J., Cardoso, V.V.,
- 520 2015. Occurrence of pharmaceuticals in a water supply system and related human health risk
- 521 assessment. Water Res. 72, 199–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.10.027
- 522 Domingo, J.L., Ericson-Jogsten, I., Perelló, G., Nadal, M., Van Bavel, B., Kärrman, A., 2012.
- 523 Human exposure to perfluorinated compounds in Catalonia, Spain: Contribution of drinking
- water and fish and shellfish. J. Agric. Food Chem. 60, 4408–4415.
- 525 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf300355c

- 526 Domingo, J.L., Nadal, M., 2019. Human exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)
- through drinking water: A review of the recent scientific literature. Environ. Res. 177, 108648.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108648
- 529 Escher, B.I., Allinson, M., Altenburger, R., Bain, P.A., Balaguer, P., Busch, W., et al., 2014.
- 530 Benchmarking Organic Micropollutants in Wastewater, Recycled Water and Drinking Water
- with In Vitro Bioassays. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 1940–1956.
- 532 https://doi.org/10.1021/es403899t
- 533 Espinoza, F., Cecchini, L., Morote, J., Marcos, R., Pastor, S., 2019. Micronuclei frequency in
- urothelial cells of bladder cancer patients, as a biomarker of prognosis. Environ. Mol.
- 535 Mutagen. 60, 168–173. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.22252
- EU, 2013. Directive 2013/39/EU of the European parliament and of the Council of 12 August 2013
- amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field

of water policy. Off. J. Eur. Union. https//eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-

content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX32013L0039&from=EN 1–17.

540 EU, 2008. Water environmental quality standards. Off. J. Eur. Union 348, 84-97. https://eur-

541 lex.europa.eu/eli/dir/2008/105/.

EU, 2000. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000

establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy. Off. J. Eur.

544 Communities. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX32000L0060 327,

- 545 1–73.
- 546 EU Commission, 2018. Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on
- 547 the quality of water intended for human consumption (recast). Off. J. Eur. Union. https://eur-
- 548 lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX52017PC0753.
- EU Commission, 2015. Commission implementing decision (EU) 2015/495 of 20 March 2015
- establishing a watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring in the field of water policy
- 551 pursuant to Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Off. J. Eur.

- 552 Union. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-
- 553 content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv%3AOJ.L .2015.078.01.0040.01.ENG L260, 6–17.
- 554 EU Commission, 2007. Commission Staff Working Document on the implementation for the
- 555 "Community Strategy for Endocrine Disrupters"- a range of substances suspected of
- interfering with the hormone systems of humans and wildlife. SEC (2007) 1635,
- 557 https://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/endocrine/pdf/sec\_2007\_1635.pdf.
- EU Council, 2020. Safe and clean drinking water: Council approves provisional deal which updates
  quality standards. Press Off. Gen. Secr. Counc.
- 560 https//www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2020/02/05/safe-and-clean-drinking-
- 561 water-council-approves-provisional-deal-which-updates-quality-standards/.
- 562 Farré, M., Kuster, M., Brix, R., Rubio, F., Alda, M.J.L. de, Barceló, D., 2007. Comparative study of
- an estradiol enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit, liquid chromatography-tandem mass
- spectrometry, and ultra performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole time of flight mass
- spectrometry for part-per-trillion analysis of estrogens in. J. Chromatogr. A 1160, 166–175.
- 566 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.05.032
- 567 Fenech, M., 2000. The in vitro micronucleus technique. Mutat. Res. 455, 81–95.
- 568 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0027-5107(00)00065-8
- Glassmeyer, S.T., Furlong, E.T., Kolpin, D.W., Batt, A.L., Benson, R., Boone, J.S., et al., 2017.
- 570 Nationwide reconnaissance of contaminants of emerging concern in source and treated
- drinking waters of the United States. Sci. Total Environ. 581–582, 909–922.
- 572 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.004
- 573 Hu, J.Y., Aizawa, T., Ookubo, S., 2002. Products of aqueous chlorination of bisphenol A and their
- estrogenic activity. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 1980–1987. https://doi.org/10.1021/es011177b
- 575 Ibáñez, M., Gracia-Lor, E., Sancho, J. V., Hernández, F., 2012. Importance of MS selectivity and
- 576 chromatographic separation in LC-MS/MS-based methods when investigating pharmaceutical
- 577 metabolites in water. Dipyrone as a case of study. J. Mass Spectrom. 47, 1040–1046.

- 578 https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.3050
- 579 Ingelido, A.M., Abballe, A., Gemma, S., Dellatte, E., Iacovella, N., De Angelis, G., et al., 2018.
- 580 Biomonitoring of perfluorinated compounds in adults exposed to contaminated drinking water
- in the Veneto Region, Italy. Environ. Int. 110, 149–159.
- 582 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.10.026
- ISPRA, 2017. Primo monitoraggio delle sostanze dell'Elenco di controllo (Watch List). Higher
  Institute for Environmental Protection and Research.
- https://www.isprambiente.gov.it/files2017/pubblicazioni/rapporto/R\_260\_17\_watch\_list\_rev.p
  df.
- Kiyama, R., Wada-Kiyama, Y., 2015. Estrogenic endocrine disruptors: Molecular mechanisms of
  action. Environ. Int. 83, 11–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.05.012
- Korner, W., 1999. Development of a sensitive E-screen assay for quantitative analysis of estrogenic
  activity in municipal sewage plant effluents. Sci. Total Environ. 225, 33–48.
- 591 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(99)80015-1
- 592 Kot-Wasik, A., Jakimska, A., Śliwka-Kaszyńska, M., 2016. Occurrence and seasonal variations of
- 593 25 pharmaceutical residues in wastewater and drinking water treatment plants. Environ. Monit.
  594 Assess. 188. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5637-0
- 595 Krzeminski, P., Tomei, M.C., Karaolia, P., Langenhoff, A., Almeida, C.M.R., Felis, E., Gritten, F.,
- Andersen, H.R., Fernandes, T., Manaia, C.M., Rizzo, L., Fatta-Kassinos, D., 2019.
- 597 Performance of secondary wastewater treatment methods for the removal of contaminants of
- 598 emerging concern implicated in crop uptake and antibiotic resistance spread: A review. Sci.
- 599 Total Environ. 648, 1052–1081. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.130
- 600 Kuch, H.M., Ballschmiter, K., 2001. Determination of endocrine-disrupting phenolic compounds
- and estrogens in surface and drinking water by HRGC-(NCI)-MS in the picogram per liter
- 602 range. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 3201–3206. https://doi.org/10.1021/es010034m
- Kwon, D., Tak, S., Lee, J., Kim, M., Lee, Y.H., Han, D.W., et al., 2017. Desorption of

- micropollutant from spent carbon filters used for water purifier. Env. Sci Pollut Res 17606–
  17615. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9311-z
- Leusch, F.D.L., Neale, P.A., Arnal, C., Aneck-hahn, N.H., Balaguer, P., Bruchet, A., et al., 2018.
  Analysis of endocrine activity in drinking water , surface water and treated wastewater from
  six countries. Water Res. 139, 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.056
- Loos, R., Marinov, D., Sanseverino, I., Napierska, D., Lettieri, T., 2018. Review of the 1st Watch
- List under the Water Framework Directive and recommendations for the 2nd Watch List. Publ.
  Off. Eur. Union. https://doi.org/10.2760/614367
- Loos, R., Wollgast, J., Huber, T., Hanke, G., 2007. Polar herbicides, pharmaceutical products,
- 613 perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS), perfluorooctanoate (PFOA), and nonylphenol and its
- 614 carboxylates and ethoxylates in surface and tap waters around Lake Maggiore in Northern
- 615 Italy. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 387, 1469–1478. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-006-1036-7
- Lv, X., Xiao, S., Zhang, G., Jiang, P., Tang, F., 2016. Occurrence and removal of phenolic
- endocrine disrupting chemicals in the water treatment processes. Sci. Rep. 6, 1–10.
- 618 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22860
- 619 Maffei, F., Carbone, F., Forti, G.C., Buschini, A., Poli, P., Rossi, C., et al., 2009. Drinking water
- 620 quality: An in vitro approach for the assessment of cytotoxic and genotoxic load in water

621 sampled along distribution system. Environ. Int. 35, 1053–1061.

- 622 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2009.05.007
- Maggioni, S., Balaguer, P., Chiozzotto, C., Benfenati, E., 2013. Screening of endocrine-disrupting
- 624 phenols, herbicides, steroid estrogens, and estrogenicity in drinking water from the
- 625 waterworks of 35 Italian cities and from PET-bottled mineral water. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.
- 626 20, 1649–1660. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-1075-x
- 627 Mompelat, S., Thomas, O., Le Bot, B., 2011. Contamination levels of human pharmaceutical
- 628 compounds in French surface and drinking water. J. Environ. Monit. 13, 2929–2939.
- 629 https://doi.org/10.1039/c1em10335k

- Mosmann, T., 1983. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: Application to
   proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J. Immunol. Methods 65, 55–63.
- Padhye, L.P., Yao, H., Kung'u, F.T., Huang, C.H., 2014. Year-long evaluation on the occurrence
- and fate of pharmaceuticals, personal care products, and endocrine disrupting chemicals in an
- urban drinking water treatment plant. Water Res. 51, 266–276.
- 635 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.10.070
- Pignotti, E., Farré, M., Barceló, D., Dinelli, E., 2017. Occurrence and distribution of six selected
  endocrine disrupting compounds in surface- and groundwaters of the Romagna area. Env. Sci
- 638 Pollut Res 24, 21153–21167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9756-0
- 639 Prins, G.S., Patisaul, H.B., Belcher, S.M., Vandenberg, L.N., 2019. CLARITY-BPA academic
- 640 laboratory studies identify consistent low-dose Bisphenol A effects on multiple organ systems.
- Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 125, 14–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcpt.13125
- Ramos, C., Ladeira, C., Zeferino, S., Dias, A., Faria, I., Cristovam, E., et al., 2019. Cytotoxic and
- 643 genotoxic effects of environmental relevant concentrations of bisphenol A and interactions
- 644 with doxorubicin. Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen. 838, 28–36.
- 645 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2018.11.009
- Riva, F., Castiglioni, S., Fattore, E., Manenti, A., Davoli, E., Zuccato, E., 2018. Monitoring
- 647 emerging contaminants in the drinking water of Milan and assessment of the human risk. Int. J.
- 648 Hyg. Environ. Health 221, 451–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.01.008
- 649 Skutlarek, D., Exner, M., Färber, H., 2006. Perfluorinated surfactants in surface and drinking
- 650 waters. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 13, 299–307. https://doi.org/10.1065/espr2006.07.326
- 651 Soares, A., Guieysse, B., Jefferson, B., Cartmell, E., Lester, J.N., 2008. Nonylphenol in the
- 652 environment: A critical review on occurrence, fate, toxicity and treatment in wastewaters.
- 653 Environ. Int. 34, 1033–1049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.01.004
- 654 Sousa, J.C.G., Ribeiro, A.R., Barbosa, M.O., Pereira, M.F.R., Silva, A.M.T., 2018. A review on
- environmental monitoring of water organic pollutants identified by EU guidelines. J. Hazard.

- 656 Mater. 344, 146–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.09.058
- Togola, A., Budzinski, H., 2008. Multi-residue analysis of pharmaceutical compounds in aqueous
- 658 samples. J. Chromatogr. A 1177, 150–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.10.105
- 659 US EPA, 2017. How EPA Regulates Drinking Water Contaminants,
- 660 https://www.epa.gov/sdwa/how-epa-regulates-drinking-water-contaminants.
- 661 Valcárcel, Y., González Alonso, S., Rodríguez-Gil, J.L., Gil, A., Catalá, M., 2011. Detection of
- be pharmaceutically active compounds in the rivers and tap water of the Madrid Region (Spain)

and potential ecotoxicological risk. Chemosphere 84, 1336–1348.

- 664 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.05.014
- Valcárcel, Y., Valdehíta, A., Becerra, E., López de Alda, M., Gil, A., Gorga, M., et al., 2018.

666 Determining the presence of chemicals with suspected endocrine activity in drinking water

from the Madrid region (Spain) and assessment of their estrogenic, androgenic and thyroidal

- activities. Chemosphere 201, 388–398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.02.099
- 669 Vulliet, E., Baugros, J.B., Flament-Waton, M.M., Grenier-Loustalot, M.F., 2007. Analytical
- 670 methods for the determination of selected steroid sex hormones and corticosteriods in
- 671 wastewater. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 387, 2143–2151. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-006-1084-
- 672

Z

Wagner, M., Oehlmann, J., 2011. Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

674 Endocrine disruptors in bottled mineral water : Estrogenic activity in. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol.

- 675 Biol. 127, 128–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2010.10.007
- 676 WHO, 2017. Guidelines for drinking-water quality: fourth edition incorporating the first addendum.
- 677 WHO Libr. Cat. Data. https://www.who.int/water\_sanitation\_health/publications/drinking-
- water-quality-guidelines-4-including-1st-addendum/en/ 1–541.
- 679 WHO, 2016. Keeping our water clean: the case of water contamination in the Veneto Region, Italy.
- 680 https://www.euro.who.int/en/publications/abstracts/keeping-our-water-clean-the-case-of-
- 681 water-contamination-in-the-veneto-region,-italy-2017 72.

- Zeng, Q., Zhang, S., Liao, J., Miao, D., Wang, X., 2015. Evaluation of genotoxic effects caused by
- extracts of chlorinated drinking water using a combination of three different bioassays. J.
- 684 Hazard. Mater. 296, 23–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.04.047

685





**Figure 2:** Evaluation of estrogenic activity. Data are expressed as the mean of proliferative effect (PE)  $\pm$  SE of different experiments, each conducted in quadruplicate; (A) E-screen test sensitivity: dose-response curve to E2 of MCF-7 cells, in the presence (triangle) or not (square) of 10<sup>-7</sup> M tamoxifen, an estrogen receptor-antagonist (N=10), \* first dose of E2 with P <0.05 vs control (PE = 1). (B) Evaluation of estrogenic activity in water samples from three DWTPs (Capaccio, NIP and Standiana) collected during 4 campaigns in 2018 and 2019 (N=4), \* P <0.05 vs control, cells exposed to ultrapure water (PE = 1).

- 713
  714
  715 Table 1: Contaminants of emerging concern investigated
- 716
- 717

# Pharmaceuticals

\_

atenolol (ATE)	anti-hypertensive
caffeine (CFF)	psychoactive
carbamazepine (CBZ)	anti-epileptic
diclofenac (DCF)	anti-inflammatory
ibuprofen (IBU)	anti-inflammatory
17-beta-estradiol (E2)	natural estrogen
estrone (E1)	natural estrogen
17-alfa-ethinylestradiol (EE2)	synthetic estrogen

# Surfactants and Plasticizers

4-nonylphenol (NP)
4-octylphenol (OP)
bisphenol A (BPA)
Perfluorinated substances
Perfluorinated substances perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS)

**Table 2** MS-MS detection parameters for the 3 groups of compounds analyzed: cone voltage,

721 precursor and product ions with the respective collision energy

Compound	Cone voltage (V)	Precursor ion (m/z)	Product ion I (m/z) and collision	Product ion II (m/z) and collision
			energy (V)	energy (V)
Group 1 (ESI negative)				
E1	54	269.2	145.0 (39)	159.0 (37)
E2	58	271.1	145.0 (44)	183.0 (38)
EE2	50	295.1	145.0 (38)	159.0 (42)
BPA	36	227.1	212.0 (18)	133.0 (24)
NP	34	219.1	132.9 (30)	147.0 (26)
OP	36	205.2	106.0 (20)	
E2-d <sub>3</sub>	52	273.1	185.0 (40)	
BPA-d <sub>6</sub>	36	233.0	215.0 (19)	
Group 2 (ESI negative)				
DCF	15	294.1	249.9 (13)	214.0 (20)
IBU	17	205.0	161 (7)	
PFOA	14	412.9	168.8 (20)	368.8 (10)
PFOS	59	498.8	79.9 (47)	98.9 (45)
Ibuprofen –d₃	20	208.0	164 (7)	
PFOA-C <sub>13</sub>	14	417.1	372.2 (12)	
Group 3 (ESI positive)				
ATE	30	267.5	145.0 (28)	190.0 (18)
CFF	38	195.1	138.1 (19)	110.0 (24)
CBZ	29	237.1	194.0 (20)	192.0 (20)
Caffeine-C <sub>13</sub>	37	197.9	139.9 (19)	

725	Table 3 Quantification and method validation: detection limits (LOD), quantification limits (LOQ),
726	recovery and reproducibility (RSD %), correlation factors of the calibration curves $(r^2)$ , precision

727 (inter- and intra-day RSD %).

Compound	LOD	LOQ	Recovery ± RSD	Correlation	Precisior	ו (RSD %)
	(pg injected)	(ng/L)	(%)	factor $(r^2)$	Intra-day	Inter-day
Group 1						
E1	9	0.92	90 ± 14	0.9994	15	10
E2	15	0.81	80 ± 5	0.9999	9	13
EE2	41	2.66	95 ± 10	0.9994	22	20
BPA	9	0.99	97 ± 15	0.9999	4	1
NP	5	2.05	104 ± 20	0.9997	3	7
OP	13	0.66	87 ± 21	0.9979	13	11
Group 2						
DCF	6	0.51	86 ± 18	0.9987	9	20
IBU	24	1.96	104 ± 3	0.9990	14	4
PFOA	1	0.07	103 ± 13	0.9984	3	3
PFOS	2	0.08	75 ± 7	0.9996	5	9
Group 3						
ATE	2	3.56	111 ± 9	0.9974	3	11
CFF	1	0.12	96 ± 17	0.9997	1	9
CBZ	0.03	0.04	105 ± 7	0.9991	2	8

**Table 4.** Levels of compounds for industrial use recognized as endocrine disruptors (ng/l) measured
in water samples from three DWTPs (Capaccio, NIP and Standiana) collected during 4 campaigns in
2018 and 2019. IN: pre-treatment water, OUT: post-treatment water; LOQ: limit of quantification
(ng/l). Bold numbers: CECs detected in OUT water samples.

			BPA	OP	NP	PFOA	PFOS
	July 2018	IN	8.57	< LOQ	32.30	1.04	< LOQ
Canaccio	5017 2020	OUT	3.56	< LOQ	33.97	1.03	< LOQ
capacere	October 2018	IN	9.25	< LOQ	60.83	0.24	< LOQ
	000000 2010	OUT	4.18	< LOQ	53.62	0.33	< LOQ
	July 2018	IN	9.77	< LOQ	42.94	5.52	0.33
NIP	5017 2020	OUT	6.27	< LOQ	22.83	2.47	< LOQ
	October 2018	IN	7.84	< LOQ	42.71	9.74	0.95
	000000 2010	OUT	5.84	< LOQ	21.45	1.83	< LOQ
	luly 2018	IN	11.18	< LOQ	49.49	7.82	0.85
Standiana	5017 2020	OUT	< LOQ	< LOQ	21.26	12.66	0.81
otananana	October 2018	IN	17.98	< LOQ	31.52	7.73	0.65
	000000 2010	OUT	2.34	< LOQ	14.89	5.50	0.08
	LOQ		0.99	0.66	2.05	0.08	0.07
	July 2010	IN	3.81	< LOQ	14.70	< LOQ	< LOQ
Canaccio	July 2019	OUT	< LOQ	< LOQ	7.90	< LOQ	< LOQ
Capacelo	Sentember 2019	IN	1.81	< LOQ	18.68	0.14	< LOQ
		OUT	< LOQ	< LOQ	18.31	0.16	< LOQ
	luly 2019	IN	5.85	< LOQ	9.74	4.79	0.46
NIP	July 2013	OUT	1.93	< LOQ	18.51	0.75	< LOQ
	September 2019	IN	4.03	< LOQ	23.52	5.99	0.97
		OUT	< LOQ	< LOQ	16.89	0.84	< LOQ
	July 2019	IN	2.56	< LOQ	13.78	5.50	1.06
Standiana	, 2020	OUT	< LOQ	< LOQ	16.46	5.05	0.18
	September 2019	IN	< LOQ	< LOQ	15.89	7.09	1.43

OUT <	loq	< LOQ	23.36	6.57	0.42
-------	-----	-------	-------	------	------

Table 5. Levels of pharmaceuticals (ng/l) measured in water samples from three DWTPs (Capaccio,
NIP and Standiana) collected during 4 campaigns in 2018 and 2019. IN: pre-treatment water, OUT:
post-treatment water; LOQ: limit of quantification (ng/l). Bold numbers: pharmaceuticals detected in
OUT water samples.

		-	E2	E1	EE2	CFF	IBU	ATE	CBZ	DCF
	July 2018	IN	4.04	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>20.72</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>20.72</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	20.72	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Capaccio	501y 2010	OUT	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>12.89</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>12.89</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>12.89</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	12.89	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
	October 2018	IN	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>56.56</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>56.56</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>56.56</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	56.56	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
	00000012010	OUT	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>25.92</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>25.92</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>25.92</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	25.92	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
	July 2018	IN	2.61	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1390.15</td><td><loq< td=""><td>2.39</td><td>26.76</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1390.15</td><td><loq< td=""><td>2.39</td><td>26.76</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1390.15	<loq< td=""><td>2.39</td><td>26.76</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	2.39	26.76	<loq< td=""></loq<>
NIP	501y 2010	OUT	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>66.33</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.17</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>66.33</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.17</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>66.33</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.17</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	66.33	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.17</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.17</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	0.17	<loq< td=""></loq<>
	October 2018	IN	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>2579.60</td><td>15.57</td><td>8.55</td><td>34.57</td><td>15.91</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>2579.60</td><td>15.57</td><td>8.55</td><td>34.57</td><td>15.91</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>2579.60</td><td>15.57</td><td>8.55</td><td>34.57</td><td>15.91</td></loq<>	2579.60	15.57	8.55	34.57	15.91
		OUT	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>54.82</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>54.82</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>54.82</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	54.82	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
	July 2018	IN	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>78.63</td><td><loq< td=""><td>4.21</td><td>13.11</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>78.63</td><td><loq< td=""><td>4.21</td><td>13.11</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>78.63</td><td><loq< td=""><td>4.21</td><td>13.11</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	78.63	<loq< td=""><td>4.21</td><td>13.11</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<>	4.21	13.11	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Standiana	July 2018	OUT	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>62.64</td><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.58</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>62.64</td><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.58</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>62.64</td><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.58</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	62.64	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.58</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.58</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	0.58	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Standiana	October 2018	IN	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>59.37</td><td>4.31</td><td><loq< td=""><td>17.40</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>59.37</td><td>4.31</td><td><loq< td=""><td>17.40</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>59.37</td><td>4.31</td><td><loq< td=""><td>17.40</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	59.37	4.31	<loq< td=""><td>17.40</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	17.40	<loq< td=""></loq<>
		OUT	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>38.06</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.20</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>38.06</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.20</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td>38.06</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.20</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	38.06	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.20</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.20</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	0.20	<loq< td=""></loq<>
	LOQ		2.35	0.92	2.66	0.12	1.96	3.56	0.04	0.51
	July 2019	IN	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>57.96</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>57.96</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>57.96</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	57.96	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Canaccio	501y 2015	OUT	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>16.59</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>16.59</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>16.59</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	16.59	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
capacero	September 2019	IN	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>8.93</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>8.93</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>8.93</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	8.93	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
	September 2015	OUT	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>15.72</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>15.72</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>15.72</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	15.72	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
	July 2019	IN	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>60.49</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>18.70</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>60.49</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>18.70</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>60.49</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>18.70</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	60.49	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>18.70</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>18.70</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	18.70	<loq< td=""></loq<>
NIP	501y 2015	OUT	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>16.81</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>16.81</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>16.81</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	16.81	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
	September 2019	IN	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>178.79</td><td>5.22</td><td>4.20</td><td>26.46</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>178.79</td><td>5.22</td><td>4.20</td><td>26.46</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>178.79</td><td>5.22</td><td>4.20</td><td>26.46</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	178.79	5.22	4.20	26.46	<loq< td=""></loq<>
		OUT	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><l0q< td=""><td>12.47</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></l0q<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><l0q< td=""><td>12.47</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></l0q<></td></loq<>	<l0q< td=""><td>12.47</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></l0q<>	12.47	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Standiana	July 2019	IN	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><l0q< td=""><td>40.71</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>10.87</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></l0q<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><l0q< td=""><td>40.71</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>10.87</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></l0q<></td></loq<>	<l0q< td=""><td>40.71</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>10.87</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></l0q<>	40.71	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>10.87</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>10.87</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	10.87	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Stanularid	JUIA 5012	OUT	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>18.61</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.20</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>18.61</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.20</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>18.61</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.20</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	18.61	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.20</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.20</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<>	1.20	<lod< td=""></lod<>

	September 2019	IN	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>67.80</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>17.84</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>67.80</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>17.84</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>67.80</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>17.84</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	67.80	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>17.84</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>17.84</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	17.84	<loq< td=""></loq<>
		OUT	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>20.00</td><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.83</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>20.00</td><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.83</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>20.00</td><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.83</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></loq<>	20.00	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.83</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.83</td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<>	0.83	<lod< td=""></lod<>
750										
751										
752										
753										
754										
755										
756										
757										
758										
759										
760										
761										
762	Table 6: Evaluation of ge	notoxic	activity i	in water s	samples f	from three	e DWTPs	(Capace	io, NIP a	ind

Standiana) collected during 4 campaigns in 2018 and 2019. Data are expressed as the mean of micronuclei (n°/1000 binucleated cells)  $\pm$  SD of 4 different experiments (N=4). Control: cells exposed to ultrapure water. Positive control: evaluation of genotoxic activity in cells exposed to Bisphenol A (0.1  $\mu$ M). \* P <0.05 vs control. The picture shows an example of binucleated cell detected in the present study; the white arrow marks a micronucleus.

768

769

	Capaccio	NIP	Standiana	control	
summer 2018	8.0 ± 4.8	13.3 ± 3.3	9.7 ± 4.1	12 0 + 4 1	
october 2018	7.5 ± 2.5	13.0 ± 6.6	11.3 ± 6.0	12.0 ± 4.1	1
summer 2019	10.8 ± 4.5	9.6 ± 4.7	13.0 ± 2.6	11 2 + 2 0	-
september 2019	12.8 ± 3.8	11.4 ± 3.2	9.7 ± 3.3	<u> </u>	
				-	
				-	Positive control
Bisphenol A (0.1 μM)				17.1 ± 2.7	37.2 *± 4.8

