Ochratoxin A contamination of the casing and the edible portion of artisan salamis produced in two Italian regions
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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to provide data on the presence of ochratoxin A (OTA) in artisan salamis produced in the Campania and Marche regions (Italy). For this aim, 96 different salamis were purchased from farms and small salami factories. Analysis were carried out firstly on the casings of all samples, and in a second step, in the outer and inner edible parts of those samples whose casings were found positive for OTA at concentration levels above the Italian guideline value established for OTA in pork meat (1 µg/kg). The analytical method, based on a sample preparation procedure with immunoaffinity columns, together with analysis by LC-MS/MS, has guaranteed average recoveries between 79.4 and 89.0%, limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) of 0.10 and 0.25 µg/kg, respectively. OTA was detected in 25.0% of the analysed casings (24 samples) at concentration ranging from 0.25 to 98.52 µg/kg. Ten of these samples were from the same production plant in which an additional sampling was carried out, and where a problem of environmental contamination by ochratoxigenic moulds probably exists. The edible parts were mostly uncontaminated, except in 3 samples, 2 of which showed OTA contamination levels above 1 µg/kg. The presence of OTA on the casing does not seem to be cause for alarm about the safety of this type of product but it should in any case be monitored. Before slicing the meat for consumption, it is always good practice to peel the casing even if reported as edible on salami label.
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1. Introduction

Ochratoxin A (OTA) is a mycotoxin produced by several species of the genera Aspergillus and Penicillium, mainly Aspergillus ochraceus, Penicillium verrucosum, and Penicillium nordicum (Dall’Asta et al., 2010). It has been shown to be nephrotoxic, hepatotoxic, teratogenic and immunotoxic to several species of animals, and to cause kidney and liver tumours in mice and rats (IECFA, 1996). In addition, it is a significant causal determinant of porcine nephropathy (Hald, 1991). OTA has been classified as a possible human carcinogen (Group 2B) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, 1993). Literature also reports its possible implication in Balkan Endemic Nephropathy (BEN) (Castegnaro et al., 2006; Pfohl-Leszkowicz et al., 2007), and in Chronic Interstitial Nephropathy (CIN), a highly similar disease found in North Africa (Hassen et al., 2004), although the most recent studies have shown that OTA plays a minor role in the aetiology of these diseases (Pavlović, 2013; Stiborová et al., 2016).

The products that are most frequently contaminated are: barley, sorghum, corn, wheat and other cereals, legumes, raw coffee beans and various baked products, spices, peanuts, fresh fruit (especially grapes) and dried fruit, raisins, cocoa, beer and wine (EC, 2002; EFSA, 2006; Jørgensen, 2005). OTA has also been found in edible pork by-products, due to the carry-over from naturally contaminated feed and its accumulation in different tissues and organs (blood, kidneys, lungs, heart, liver, spleen, fat and muscle) (Altafini et al., 2017; Persi et al., 2014; Pleadin et al., 2016). However,
in most cases the presence of OTA in cured meat products could be due to direct contamination with moulds (Battilani et al., 2007; Bertuzzi et al., 2013). It is important to report that in products of animal origin, mycotoxins could also come from other ingredients added during preparation, especially spices (Altafini et al., 2019; Armorini et al., 2016; EFSA, 2006). A study has also shown that the sea salt used for salting meat in the early stages of dry-cured meat processing can be a source of P. nordicum, a toxigenic mould which can then proliferate on dried meats and cause OTA contamination (Sonjak et al., 2011). Processed meat products, such as dry-cured ham, fermented sausage and others, are foods of major importance in several European countries, both nutritionally and economically (Meftah et al., 2018). In particular, salami is a typical product of the Italian tradition. In 2016 Italy became the world leader in export of prepared or preserved meat of swine, and salamis represent 17% of these products (ASSICA, 2018).

Salami is an encased sausage containing even grains of product predominantly obtained from a mixture of minced pork meat and fat in natural or artificial casings. The name derives from the salting operation, necessary to ensure conservation. Besides sea salt, nitrate and/or nitrite and spices, often including sugar, starter cultures and other additives may be added. After being packed into casings, salami is subjected to a fermentation and drying/ripening stage (Fongaro et al., 2015).

At European level, a guidance value for OTA equal to 0.05 mg/kg was established in complementary and complete feedingstuffs for pigs (EC, 2006a), but not in meat and meat-based products. In Italy, the Ministry of Health has set a guideline value of 1 µg/kg in pork meat and derived products (Ministero della Sanità, 1999). According to a survey carried out in the European Union, meat contribute 1% to the mean human dietary intake of OTA (EC, 2002), while in a more recent research conducted in the United States it is reported that, among the adult population, pork consumption supposes 15.82% of the total OTA intake (Mitchell et al., 2017).

The accumulation of OTA by Aspergillus and Penicillium is affected by the amount of inoculum, substrate, water activity, moisture content, temperature, incubation time and by the species of the fungus itself (Rizzo et al., 2002). All these factors interact together, and also fungal growth depend on a combination of these parameters. In addition, optimum conditions for fungal growth are usually different from those for mycotoxin production (Gil-Serna et al., 2015). The published studies indicate that OTA is stable and processing procedures, such as ripening, drying, and storage, have been proved to be ineffective for its reduction in meat products (Monaci et al., 2005; Schiavone et al., 2008). If OTA is produced by moulds growing on the surface of the casing throughout the ripening process, it becomes interesting to evaluate if the accumulation of this mycotoxin is limited to the casing or may penetrate to the inner edible part. This information could be used to implement actions to avoid production of salamis contaminated with OTA.

The aim of this work was to monitor the degree of OTA contamination of the casing and the edible part of artisan salamis produced in the Campania and Marche regions (Italy). The present survey is part of a larger research project monitoring the occurrence of OTA in salamis from several Italian regions. Compared to our previous studies, this research has focused on the presence of the mycotoxin on the casing. A liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method was used for analyses of samples.

2. Materials and methods

Samples

In this study, from May to July 2018, a total of 96 salamis produced by traditional artisan techniques were purchased mainly from small family farms located in the provinces of the Italian regions of Campania and Marche. Firstly, 83 salamis of various type (51 from Campania and 32 from Marche) were sampled. From each salami, the casing was removed, and an aliquot was then taken both from the outer portion and from the core. The casing, the inner and outer portion were collected and analysed separately. Precautions were taken to avoid cross-contamination between the different parts. All the samples were stored at -18 °C. Analysis were performed firstly on the casings of all samples, and in a second step, in the outer and inner edible parts of those samples whose casings were found positive for OTA at concentration levels above the Italian guideline value established for OTA in pork meat (1 µg/kg). After analysis of these 83 samples, further investigations were carried out on the same farm from which the two most contaminate products came by collecting and analysing 13 additional samples of salamis.

Chemicals and reagents

All solvents and reagents were analytical grade or HPLC grade. The OTA standard and the U-[13C20]-OTA standard (internal standard, IS) used to prepare standard solutions for the validation of the applied methodology were purchased from Biopure (Tulln, Austria). Ochraprep® immunoaffinity columns from R-Biopharm AG (Darmstadt, Germany) were used for samples purification. Acetonitrile, acetic acid, sodium phosphate dibasic dihydrate, potassium dihydrogen phosphate anhydrous, potassium chloride and sodium carbonate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA); sodium chloride and sodium sulphate anhydrous were obtained from Panreac (Barcelona, Spain); sodium hydroxide, methanol, and formic acid were purchased from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany),
WWR Chemicals (Milano, Italy), and Carlo Erba Reagents (Cornaredo, MI, Italy), respectively. Ultrapure water used throughout the experiments was produced by a Millipore Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

Chromatographic apparatus

OTA analysis were performed by LC-MS/MS on an Alliance HT 2695 (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) coupled to a Quattro Ultima Platinum triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization source (Micromass, Manchester, UK). Chromatographic separation was achieved in gradient elution mode and at room temperature using an analytical column Luna C18 (2) 100Å 100×2.0 mm 3 µm (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The mobile phase consisted of deionized water (solvent A), and acetonitrile (solvent B), both containing 0.2% formic acid. The gradient program started with 85% A and 15% B, reaching 0% A in 5.5 min with linear increase; then return to 85% A in 3 min and re-equilibration time of 2.5 min, giving a total run time of 11 min. The flow rate of the mobile phase was 0.25 ml/min, and the injection volume was 10 µl. Based on the structural properties of the analytes, the positive ionization modes (ESI+) was applied. The parameters were as follows: cone voltage, 45 V; capillary voltage, 4.5 kV; source temperature, 120 °C; and desolvation temperature, 350 °C. Mass Lynx™ 4.0 SP4 software (Micromass, Manchester, UK) was used to control the instruments and process the data. The data acquisition was in multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) mode. The ion transitions and mass parameters monitored for OTA and U-[13C20]-OTA are reported in Table 1.

Sample extract preparation

For the analysis of the casing, 1 g of minced sample was added with 20 ml of acetonitrile-water solution (80:20) in a centrifuge tube. The mixture was then mixed for 30 min on an horizontal shaker and centrifuged at 48,384×g for 10 min at ambient temperature. A 4 ml aliquot of the upper phase (equivalent to 1 g sample) was then transferred into a clean centrifuge tube and diluted with 44 ml of PBS buffer solution. The mixture was mixed for 30 min on an horizontal shaker and centrifuged at 48,384×g for 10 min at ambient temperature. A 4 ml aliquot of the upper phase was then transferred into a clean centrifuge tube and diluted with 44 ml of PBS buffer pH 7.4. Before cleanup, 10 µl of the U-[13C20]-OTA standard solution at a concentration of 100 ng/ml were added as an internal standard (resulting in a final concentration in the sample of 1 ng/g).

The extract of each matrix was then passed through the IAC at a flow rate of 1 drop/s. After a washing step with 20 ml of PBS buffer solution, the column was dried for several seconds using draw vacuum. OTA was eluted in a glass tube with 1.5 ml of methanol acified with acetic acid (98:2) and 1.5 ml of deionised water. After vortexing, the eluate was transferred in a glass vial before LC-MS/MS analysis.

Quantification

For the quantification of OTA in salami, calibration curves were obtained using calibration solutions in solvent. The curves were generated from the peak area ratio between OTA and U-[13C20]-OTA (IS). Eight calibration standards were prepared in the range of 0.01–10 ng/ml, and a constant amount of internal standard (U-[13C20]-OTA) was added to all standards. The OTA contents of the samples were calculated by extrapolating the peak-area ratio to the calibration curve.

Performance evaluation

The performance of the method applied was assessed using standard OTA solutions in solvent, blanks and spiked samples. The evaluation of linearity was determined using calibration solutions in solvent. The performance of the method applied was assessed using standard OTA solutions in solvent, blanks and spiked samples. The evaluation of linearity was determined using calibration solutions in solvent. The performance of the method applied was assessed using standard OTA solutions in solvent, blanks and spiked samples. The evaluation of linearity was determined using calibration solutions in solvent.
of determination ($R^2$). Specificity and matrix effects were evaluated by analysis of blanks and spiked samples to verify the possible presence of interfering substances at the retention time of OTA. The recovery and repeatability experiments were carried out using samples spiked with OTA at levels of 0.5, 1, and 2 µg/kg by making 6 replicate measurements for a total of 18 determinations. The spiked samples were prepared and analysed by the same operators with the same instruments and on the same day. The recovery values were calculated by comparing the peak area ratio between OTA and $^{[13]C_{20}}$-OTA in spiked samples and the peak area ratio between OTA and $^{[13]C_{20}}$-OTA of pure standard solutions at the same concentration levels. The repeatability was expressed in terms of relative standard deviation (RSD %) of the replicate measurements. The within-laboratory reproducibility was determined using samples spiked with OTA at levels of 0.5, 1, and 2 µg/kg by making 6 replicate measurements for each concentration on 3 different days (54 determinations in total), and RSD % of the replicate measurements was calculated. The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) were determined on the basis of a signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1 for the LOD and 10:1 for the LOQ.

### 3. Results

#### Method validation

Linearity was checked for all the calibration curves over the entire observed range (0.01-10 µg/kg), and the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) was always >0.99. Under the analytical conditions described above, the specificity of the method was acceptable. Assay interference was investigated by injecting blank samples and spiked samples: no interfering peaks were observed around the retention time of OTA (8.5 min). The run time was 11 min. Supplementary Figure S1 shows a chromatogram obtained following the analysis of a naturally contaminated casing at 24 µg/kg level.

Recovery was checked at 3 spike levels, and the average recovery percentages ranged from 79.4 to 89.0%, while the overall average recovery was 83.8%. The data about the percent recoveries and the mean recoveries for each fortification level are shown in Table 2.

The repeatability was expressed as intra-day precision, and the RSDs of quantification results were in the range 8.1-15.8%, while the within-laboratory reproducibility was expressed as inter-day precision, and the RSDs of quantification results ranged from 11.8 to 16.4% (Table 3). These results comply with the performance criteria fixed by Regulation (EC) 401/2006 of the Commission of the European Communities (EC, 2006b). The LOQ and the LOD values obtained were 0.25 and 0.10 µg/kg, respectively. These values underline the good level of sensitivity attained in the present work since they are 4 and 10 times lower than the Italian guideline value of 1 µg/kg established for OTA in pork meat and derived products. Taken together, these validation results show that the methodology applied in this study performed well in quantitating OTA in the products analysed.

#### Occurrence of ochratoxin A in salami samples

As reported above, in this study a total of 96 artisan salamis were analysed for the presence of OTA. Firstly, the casings of 83 salamis purchased at the start of the study (51 from Campania and 32 from Marche) were analysed, and 57 of them were negative, while 16 samples (11 from Campania and 5 from Marche) showed a concentration level of OTA ranging from the LOQ (0.25 µg/kg) to 23.8 µg/kg. In particular, 5 out of these positives (2 from Campania and 3 from Marche) exceeded the guidance value of 1 µg/kg established for OTA by the Italian Ministry of Health, as shown in Table 4.

The edible part of these last 5 samples was then examined, and the outer portion and the core of each salami were analysed individually. OTA was detected in the outer edible part of 3 salamis, and in one of them also in the inner core. In two cases, the concentration found in the outer portion exceeded the guidance value of 1 µg/kg (3.9 and 5.7 µg/kg, respectively).

### 2. Recovery data of the method for analysis of ochratoxin A (OTA) in salami samples spiked at 3 concentration levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTA spiking level (µg/kg)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>M&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Average of 18 replicates at 3 concentrations.

<sup>2</sup> Average recoveries of the 3 spiking levels.

### 3. Results of repeatability and within-laboratory reproducibility tests (expressed as RSD%) calculated for analysis of ochratoxin A (OTA) in salami samples.<sup>1</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTA spiking level (µg/kg)</th>
<th>Repeatability</th>
<th>Within-laboratory reproducibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (µg/kg)</td>
<td>SD (µg/kg) RSD (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> SD = standard deviation; RSD % = relative standard deviation.
Ochratoxin A on the casing and in the edible portion of artisan salamis

respectively), while the OTA level detected in the inner part was 0.3 µg/kg, that is slightly above LOQ (Table 5).

Since salami samples that showed the highest concentrations of OTA came from the same production plant located in the Marche region, an additional sampling was carried out in this site in order to assess the possible contamination of salamis of other types and from different batches. Thirteen further samples of sausage products were therefore collected, and analysis were carried out separately on the casing and on the outer and inner edible parts. OTA was found on the casing of 8 samples (equal to 61.5% of the products sampled), and 6 of them exceeded the Italian guidance value for OTA. The concentrations detected ranged from LOQ to 98.5 µg/kg, while the mycotoxin was not found in the edible parts of any sample (Table 6).

Table 4. Occurrence of ochratoxin A (OTA) on the casing of salami samples collected in the Campania and Marche regions at the first sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># positives/ # total samples</th>
<th>% positives</th>
<th># positives exceeding OTA guideline value (1 µg/kg)</th>
<th>% positives exceeding OTA guideline value (1 µg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campania</td>
<td>11/51</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>5/32</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Concentration levels of ochratoxin A (OTA) in salamis whose casings exceeded the Italian guideline value for OTA (1 µg/kg).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Type of salami</th>
<th>OTA levels (µg/kg)</th>
<th>Casing</th>
<th>Outer edible part</th>
<th>Inner edible part</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/7</td>
<td>Campania</td>
<td>Sopressata</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/50</td>
<td>Campania</td>
<td>Salame Corallina</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/21</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Salame Nostrano</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/22</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Salame Nostrano</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/30</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Salame Suino</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Samples from the same production plant.
b LOQ = limit of quantification.

Table 6. Ochratoxin A (OTA) levels in positive samples collected at the additional sampling carried out in the same production plant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Type of salami</th>
<th>OTA levels (µg/kg)</th>
<th>Casing</th>
<th>Outer edible part</th>
<th>Inner edible part</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M II/2A</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Ciauscolo</td>
<td>24.3*</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M II/2B</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Ciauscolo</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M II/6A</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Salame Nostrano</td>
<td>62.0*</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M II/6B</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Salame Nostrano</td>
<td>98.5*</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M II/7A</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Salame Nostrano</td>
<td>7.7*</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M II/7B</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Salame Nostrano</td>
<td>4.2*</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M II/8A</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Salame al Cinghiale</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M II/8B</td>
<td>Marche</td>
<td>Salame al Cinghiale</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
<td>&lt; LOQ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Concentration exceeding the Italian guideline value for OTA (1 µg/kg) indicated by *.
2 LOQ = limit of quantification.
Considering all the 96 sausage products examined, on the whole, OTA was detected on the casing of 24 samples, which account for a relatively high percentage (25.0% of the total). However, the distribution of the concentration levels shows that more than 50% of the positive samples was in the concentration range 0.25-1 µg/kg, and therefore did not exceed OTA guidance value (Figure 1).

4. Discussion and conclusions

The percentage of positive samples is slightly different in the two regions (21.6% of samples from Campania, 15.6 or 28.9% of samples from Marche, depending on whether we consider only the data about the first sampling or even the additional sampling). However, there are no elements to say that these differences are related to the production area.

The two regions have quite different climates. The entire production process of sausages is significantly affected by the climatic conditions, and in particular the drying-maturing process during which the growth of microflora characteristic of the geographical environment occurs. Moreover, other differences between the products from the two areas concern raw materials, ingredients and preparation methods. However, what all sausages examined have in common is that they were purchased from farms in which pigs were reared using traditional livestock-farming methods in a wild and semi wild state, using a diet based on wheat bran and household food scraps (such as potatoes, apples and tomato skins). The casings used in sausage production were mainly of natural origin and no mould starters were added for the fermentation process and mould cover formation.

The edible parts of the salamis were mostly uncontaminated and this would mean that the diet administered to animals from which raw material for sausages production derive was safe, at least as regard OTA contamination, while the presence of the mycotoxin on the casings could be due to an environmental contamination. Thus, the high percentage of sausage products from the same farm in which OTA was detected on the casing is probably due to mycotoxigenic moulds originating from the indoor air of the dehydrating and ripening rooms. This is not a situation common to the sausage products from the Marche region, but it is a problem of contamination linked to a single production plant.

Several studies have been carried out to monitor the presence of OTA in pork meat-derived products. The main results reported by some authors are summarised in Table 7.

Based on the present data and the results of previous studies, some further interesting consideration can be made in evaluation of OTA risk in cured meat products. Indirect contamination of pork products due to transmission of OTA from animals exposed to contaminated feed, although it has been largely demonstrated experimentally, occurs rarely (Iacumin et al., 2009, 2011; Pietri et al., 2006). Otherwise, direct contamination with toxigenic moulds of environmental origin is the most commonly reported cause for mycotoxin presence (Dall’Asta et al., 2010; Iacumin et al., 2009; Merla et al., 2018; Pietri et al., 2006; Pleadin et al., 2015; Rodriguez et al., 2012). However, the high contamination risk is greater in dry-cured ham than in sausage products either because of the long curing time or because of the fact that ham is not protected by the casing (Bertuzzi et al., 2013; Dall’Asta et al., 2010; Pleadin et al., 2015). It seems quite clear that the casing acts as a protective system against external contamination during ripening.

However, the results of several studies also indicate that OTA can cross the casing (Bertuzzi et al., 2013; Pietri et al., 2006; Spotti et al., 1999), but not always (Iacumin et al., 2009). Regarding this last question, the data of the present study show that in some cases, also in presence of very high levels of OTA on the casing, the mycotoxin was not detected in the edible parts (Table 6), while in others, the presence of OTA on the casing is associated to the detection of the mycotoxin in the sausage meat (Table 5). Furthermore, one of the positive samples (ref. C/7) was in artificial casing, showing that OTA was capable of diffusing also through this type of casing, according to Spotti et al. (1999). To prevent or limit this kind of contamination, the control of environmental parameters (physical and microbiological) is the most important measure that should be implemented (Comi et al., 2004).

Figure 1. Distribution of ochratoxin A (OTA) levels detected on the casings of the positive samples.
The production of OTA is in turn strain dependent and requires ad hoc conditions of temperature, humidity, water activity (a_w), nutrients, and each fungal species has different optimal conditions for producing OTA (Merla et al., 2018). It has been demonstrated that uncontrolled and heterogeneous indigenous fungal population represents a serious risk of OTA accumulation in dry-cured meat products (Bernáldez et al., 2018). The most interesting methods developed to control OTA accumulation in these products are represented by bioprotective cultures of non-toxigenic moulds (Iacumin et al., 2017). Some other measures that can be taken to prevent or reduce OTA contamination are the removal of moulds from the product surfaces using various techniques (e.g. brushing, washing, or air pressure) and the spreading of rice flour on the casings (Iacumin et al., 2009; Pleadin et al., 2015). In addition to cleaning sausages physically, chemical antifungal agents, such as sodium benzoate, potassium sorbate, and methyl p-hydroxybenzoate can be used as superficial mould inhibitors (Martín-Sánchez et al., 2011; Matos et al., 2007).

However, in recent years the problem of possible health hazards linked to the use of chemical preservatives in foods has caused concern among consumers (Ingredients Insight, 2018). For this reason, the increasing trend is using natural preservatives (in the specific case, natural antifungals) instead of chemically synthetised compounds (Ribes et al., 2018). Among them, natamycin is a natural antifungal agent produced during the fermentation of the bacterium Streptomyces natalensis (Pipek et al., 2010). Some essential oils (EO) from plants such as oregano (Origanum vulgare) and basil (Ocimum basilicum L.) can be used as natural antifungals for the production of dry sausages without having detrimental effects on sensory properties (Martín-Sánchez et al., 2011; Saggiorato et al., 2012).

Also chitosan, a natural polycationic linear polysaccharide derived from chitin, was suggested as alternative natural antifungal agent. Chitosan solution 1%, whether used singly or enriched with some EO (1% thyme-EO or 1% rosemary-EO) has shown to be effective for the inhibition of surface mould growth without affecting the quality parameters of

Table 7. Residual levels of ochratoxin A in in pork meat-derived products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th># positive/ # total samples</th>
<th>% positive</th>
<th>Contamination range (µg/kg)</th>
<th>Sampling area</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork raw sausages</td>
<td>14/30</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>South Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisanal and industrial sausages</td>
<td>0/160</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 (LOD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Northern Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisanal and industrial sausages</td>
<td>72/160</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry-cured hams (surface portion)</td>
<td>84/110</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry-cured hams (inner core)</td>
<td>32/110</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisanal sausages (dry meat)</td>
<td>0/450</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 (LOD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Northern Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisanal sausages (casings)</td>
<td>108/450</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>625.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermented meat products</td>
<td>58/90</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry-fermented sausages</td>
<td>14/208</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisan salamis</td>
<td>5/50</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>103.69</td>
<td>Northern Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional salamis</td>
<td>13/133</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>&gt;1 (LOQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Northern Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisan salamis</td>
<td>22/172</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 LOD = limit of detection; LOQ = limit of quantification; – undefined.*
sausages (Arslan and Soyer, 2018; Soncu et al., 2018). Finally, another technique worth mentioning is treatment with ozonated air during drying and ripening. It was reported as an effective method to prevent potentially toxigenic mould contamination and growth (Comi et al., 2013; Iacumin et al., 2011, 2012). Furthermore, the use of ozone do not seem to influence the ripening, physico-chemical parameters, lipid oxidation or sensorial characteristics of the sausages (Iacumin et al., 2012).

However, these practices cannot always be implemented and in any case, care is needed in such approaches as they can cause the inhibition of growth of specific mould species or strains that play an important role, especially in the typical productions, for the development of characteristic flavours and aromas (Rodríguez et al., 2015).

In conclusion, the present study on the occurrence of OTA in artisan salamis showed that although also very high OTA levels were found on the surface of some products, only in two cases the concentration found in the edible portion exceeded the guidance value of 1 µg/kg. On the whole, OTA was detected on the casing in 25.0% of the samples and even if this data is affected by the high number of positives samples found in one single farm, the percentage is nevertheless not negligible. The presence of OTA on the casing does not therefore seem to be cause for alarm about the safety of this type of product. However, it should be noted that sausages are sometimes sliced without removing the casing especially if it is very thin, also because on salamis labels the casing is often reported as edible. Moreover, even if it is removed from the slices before consumption, a contamination of the edible part from the outside via the knife blade might also be possible. Lasty, it is worth stressing the importance of monitoring the whole production chain of these food products in order to implement appropriate measures to prevent and minimise the health hazard due to this mycotoxin.
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**Figure S1.** Chromatogram obtained after LC-MS/MS analysis of a naturally contaminated casing at 24 µg/kg level representing the quantitation and confirmation MRM transitions.
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