

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

The puzzling taxonomic rank of *Pijnackeria hispanica*, a chimerical hybrid androgen (Insecta, Phasmida)

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Milani L., Scali V., Punzi E., Luchetti A., Ghiselli F. (2020). The puzzling taxonomic rank of *Pijnackeria hispanica*, a chimerical hybrid androgen (Insecta, Phasmida). *ORGANISMS DIVERSITY & EVOLUTION*, 20, 285-297 [10.1007/s13127-020-00436-1].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/781529> since: 2020-11-20

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-020-00436-1>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Milani, L., Scali, V., Punzi, E., Luchetti, A., Ghiselli, F. The puzzling taxonomic rank of *Pijnackeria hispanica*, a chimerical hybrid androgen (Insecta, Phasmida). *Org Divers Evol* **20**, 285–297 (2020)

which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-020-00436-1>.

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Springer Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1 **The puzzling taxonomic rank of *Pijnackeria hispanica*, a chimerical**
2 **hybrid androgen (Insecta, Phasmida)**

3
4
5
6 4 LILIANA MILANI^{1*}, VALERIO SCALI^{1*}, ELISABETTA PUNZI, ANDREA
7
8 5 LUCHETTI, FABRIZIO GHISELLI

9
10
11 6
12 7 ¹equal contribution

13
14
15 8
16 9 Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences – University of
17 10 Bologna, 40126, Bologna, Italy.
18
19 11 E-mail: liliana.milani@unibo.it; scavale1938@gmail.com

20
21
22 12
23 13
24 14
25 15 Liliana Milani orcid ID: 0000-0001-5052-2075
26 16 Andrea Luchetti orcid ID: 0000-0002-2986-721X
27 17 Fabrizio Ghiselli orcid ID: 0000-0002-1680-8616

28
29
30 18
31 19
32 20
33 21
34 22
35 23
36 24
37 25
38 26 **Funding:** This work was supported by the Canziani bequest.

39 27
40 28 **Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank Luciana Eliopoli for the Sierra Nevada
41 29 sample collection.

35

36 Abstract

37

38 The stick-insect genus *Pijnackeria* includes four diploid bisexual and two polyploid (3n,
39 4n) parthenogenetic species. Earlier analyses of the tetraploid parthenogen *P. hispanica*
40 using mitochondrial markers allowed to trace its maternal ancestry to *Pijnackeria*
41 *originis*, while no maternal nuclear contribution was found, thus suggesting an
42 androgenetic and hybrid origin. The recently described *Pijnackeria recondita*—
43 showing, among other features, a specific antennal structure linking it to the tetraploid
44 parthenogen—prompted us to check whether the new species could be *P. hispanica*
45 unknown paternal ancestor. In this work we use karyology and of molecular analysis of
46 the mitochondrial gene cytochrome c oxidase subunit 2 (*cox2*), and the nuclear gene
47 elongation factor 1 subunit α (*efl- α*) to investigate the origin of such a complex
48 tetraploid hybrid parthenogen.

49 The molecular analysis supported *P. recondita* as being a paternal ancestor of the *P.*
50 *hispanica*, but also suggested that two more fathering species have to be taken into
51 account: *P. barbarae* and the unknown paternal ancestor of the triploid hybrid *P.*
52 *masettii*. Therefore, *P. hispanica* is apparently a polyphyletic chimeric androgen, which
53 we propose to indicate as an *androgenetic complex*. Our data also revealed that *P.*
54 *hispanica* is between 1.96 Myr and 3.31 Myr old, making it the oldest parthenogenetic
55 taxon discovered among insects.

56

57 Keywords: androgenesis, hybridization, parthenogenesis, *Pijnackeria hispanica*,
58 *Pijnackeria recondita*, reticulate evolution.

59

60

61 Introduction

62

63 The phasmid genus *Pijnackeria* Scali, 2009 is a Spanish monophyletic taxon
64 embodying four diploid bisexual and two polyploid parthenogenetic species (3n, 4n), all
65 sharing the same haploid chromosome set with $n = 19$ (Brock 1991, 1993 for reviews;
66 Bianchi, 1992, Bianchi and Meliado, 1998; Scali, 2009a; Scali et al. 2013).
67 *P. hispanica* (Pantel, 1890) (Fig. 1), the nominal species of the genus, appears to be a
68 very successful tetraploid hybrid parthenogen, ranging from the Sierra Nevada

(southern Spain) up to the Sistema Central Mountains, northwestwards and to the Serrania de Cuenca, northeastwards. Here, its distribution area overlaps that of the similarly successful triploid hybrid parthenogen *P. masettii* Scali et al., 2013 which is also distributed up to the southern French districts of Var, Herault and Basses Alps (Bianchi, 1992; Ghiselli et al. 2007, Scali, 2009; Scali et al. 2013) (Fig. 2). The remaining four taxa, namely *P. lucianae* (Scali et al. 2013), *P. barbarae* (Scali et al. 2013), *P. lelongi* (Scali et al. 2013) and *P. originis* (Scali et al. 2013) are diploid and show much more limited distribution areas in the south-eastern Iberian Peninsula, clearly suggestive of relict distribution (Fig. 2).

Molecular genetic analyses, carried out using both the mitochondrial gene cytochrome c oxidase subunit 2 (*cox2*) and the nuclear gene elongation factor 1 subunit α (*efl- α*) as markers, suggested the bisexual diploid *P. lelongi* Scali et al., 2013 as the maternal ancestor of *P. masettii*, and the diploid bisexual *P. originis* Scali et al., 2013 of Tiscar (Sierra de Cazorla) as the maternal ancestor of the tetraploid *P. hispanica* (Ghiselli et al. 2007; Scali, 2009a). On the other hand, quite surprisingly, while *P. masettii* showed the *efl- α* allele of maternal derivation, as it could be expected, *P. hispanica* did not, so that its *efl- α* gene ought to be of only paternal derivation (Ghiselli et al. 2007). In order to explain such finding, three different hypotheses were considered: *i*) the effect of gene conversion; *ii*) the outcome of a non-equivalent gene silencing in the hybrid; *iii*) the clear-cut consequence of the maternal genome exclusion within an androgenesis scenario (Ghiselli et al. 2007; Milani et al. 2010, 2013). At any rate, the available data greatly stimulated us to trace the paternal ancestor(s) of *P. hispanica* and to try to shed light on its puzzling genetic structure, without forgetting that even the paternal ancestor of *P. masettii* was actually unknown.

Recently, a new *Pijnackeria* species, *P. recondita* Valero and Ortiz, 2015 was found in a very small area of the Sierra Nevada, right at the border of *P. hispanica* southernmost range (Fig. 2; Valero and Ortiz, 2015). Its general morphology differs from *P. hispanica* only in the lower amount of body granulation and chorionic egg sculpturing. All other morphological and morphometric characters are shared with the tetraploid hybrid, including a very similar structure and number of antennae articles (Scali et al. 2013). All these features point to the possibility to consider *P. recondita* as a candidate paternal ancestor of *P. hispanica*. We therefore decided to test the supposed paternal role of *P. recondita* by investigating its chromosome set—which obviously had to be congruent

with the tetraploid karyotype—and, more importantly, by comparing the *cox2* and the *efl-α* gene sequences of the newly described species with those of *P. hispanica*.

Material and Methods

On the second half of July 2016, 14 adult specimens (8 males and 6 females) were found in the tiny area of the Sierra Nevada, 2,000 meters a.s.l., as precisely indicated by Valero and Ortiz (2015) (Fig. 2). Owing to the very small size of the population, four males and two females were released on the spot to keep the population as steady as possible. At collection, few specimens were found on the common broom, *Cytisus* (*Sarothamnus*) *scoparius*, but most of them were caught resting on, or actually eating, a different leguminous plant, here tentatively referred to as *Cytisus* *sp.* (Fig. 3); no *Dorycnium pentaphyllum* was recorded in the collecting area, although it was easily accepted as lab feed, as stated by Valero and Ortiz (2015). The insects were therefore kept on their original food plants added with *D. pentaphyllum*, until their utilization for cytogenetic and molecular analyses.

During the same sampling campaign, two adult females of *P. hispanica*, also feeding on *Cytisus* *sp.*, were collected 15 kilometers away, along the route to El Purche, about 2 Km from the A395 junction.

Chromosome plates of *P. recondita* were obtained from anaesthetized specimens by manual dissection of the gonads soaked in Ringer solution for insects. After a short hypotonic shock (5-10 min), testes or ovariole tips were put in an 1% sodium citrate solution, fixed for 30 min in a simplified Carnoy solution (3:1, absolute ethanol:acetic acid), and then gently pinched in drops of 45% acetic acid and dried on a hot plate (60° C). Finally, a post-fixation treatment with the same fixative was applied to the slides and then the Giemsa staining was performed. Dry stained slides were eventually mounted in some drops of Canadian balm. Later on, chromosome observations were carried out with a Zeiss photomicroscope, which also allowed picture recording on Ilford film or direct recording from the microscope camera.

Total genomic DNA was obtained according to the method described in Preiss *et al.* (1988). Total RNA was obtained with TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher) according to manufacturer instructions, then the cDNA was reverse transcribed as indicated in Ghiselli *et al.* (2007). The partial sequences of mitochondrial gene *cox2* and of the nuclear gene *efl-α* were PCR-amplified as described in Ghiselli *et al.* (2007). Obtained

PCR product were purified using the Wizard PCR Preps DNA Purification System (Promega), and Sanger-sequenced at MacroGen Europe Lab. Sequence chromatograms checking and multiple sequence alignments with ClustalW algorithm were carried out using Mega v.7 (Kumar et al. 2016). New sequences were elaborated together with previously obtained ones (Fig. 4; Table 1 and Table 2; Supplementary material 1-3 for Genbank accession numbers and sequences) in order to get a more comprehensive analysis. Maximum Likelihood tree searches were performed with RAxML v. 8.2 (Stamatakis 2014), using the GTR+G substitution model and 500 rapid bootstrap replicates for both genes. Bayesian inferences were conducted with Mr Bayes v3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012): two runs were launched, each with 1,000,000 generations, sampled every 500th generation, and using the GTR+G substitution model. Convergence was assessed through the variance of split frequencies (<0.01), PSRF (=1.00) and ESS (>200). Age estimates of cladogenetic event were calculated using a bayesian framework with BEAST v. 1.8 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007) on the *cox2* dataset. Two independent searches were run, each 10,000,000 generations long, sampled every 1,000th generation, and using the GTR+G substitution model. Convergence was assessed through ESS values >200. Following Mantovani et al., 2000, time calibration was set to the split between *Bacillus rossius tripolitanus* and *B. rossius rossius/B. rossius redtenbacheri*: the separation of this two clades would date back to the end of Messinian salinity crisis, when the Mediterranean basin was filled up, separating North Africa, hosting *B. r. tripolitanus* only, and Southern Italy, where only *B. rossius rossius/B. rossius redtenbacheri* can be found. Calibration time was, therefore, set to 5.33 ± 0.5 Myr ago and implemented with a normal distribution. Searches were run with an uncorrelated, log-normal relaxed molecular clock and the birth-death speciation process. Haplotype parsimony networks were calculated through TCS v. 1.21 (Clement et al. 2000).

Results

Karyotype analysis

The chromosome set of *P. recondita* fully matched to expectations, for both number and structure, being 2n=37,X0 male / 38,XX female (Fig. 5), and showing similarities to the *P. hispanica* quartets (Fig. 6). The main features of *P. recondita* karyotype are three

large pairs of metacentrics (1, 2, 4), and a smoothly decreasing series of acro- and subacrocentric pairs; the last four pairs, owing to their minute size, could also be envisaged as small metacentrics.

The *P. recondita* karyotype shows an overall good correspondence with the *P. hispanica* chromosome set (Figs 5, 6), the main differences being the different centromere position in the 4th and 6th pairs of the former when compared to the corresponding quartets of the latter. It could also be noted that the first and 13th quartets have two chromosomes bearing small satellites, lacking in the corresponding positions of *P. recondita*, which, in turn, presents satellites on the 2nd and 4th pairs.

The peculiar features of *P. masettii* (3n = 57) can be summarized as follows: *P. masettii* is a triploid hybrid with one chromosome set derived from *P. lelongi* and the other two from an unknown heterospecific paternal ancestor, as both the structure of several chromosome triplets and the cytological satellite features clearly support (Fig. 6, triplets 1-4, 6, 12, 17-19) (Ghiselli et al. 2007; Scali et al. 2013). Its link to *P. hispanica* will be commented in the Discussion section.

Molecular analysis

Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian inference phylogenetic analyses carried out on the *cox2* mitochondrial gene are congruent (Fig. 7a) and cluster *Pijnackeria* and *Leptynia* species in a monophyletic clade (bootstrap = 64%; posterior probability = 0.99). However, relationships within this clade are not fully resolved. Overall, taxa are split in a polytomy where it is possible to recognize four well-supported clades: i) the *L. annaepaulae* clade, ii) a clade including *L. attenuata*, *L. caprai*, and *L. montana*, iii) the *P. recondita* clade, which embodies also the newly obtained sequences, and iv) a cluster comprising *P. lucianae* in sister relationship with the group of the remaining *Pijnackeria* species. In this latter group, *P. masettii* and *P. lelongi* cluster together with high support; on the other hand, the cluster including *P. hispanica* and *P. originis* is weakly supported (bootstrap = 71%; posterior probability < 0.9). The same analyses performed on the *ef-1α* nuclear gene are congruent as well (Fig. 7b), and place the *P. recondita* clade in sister relationship with the remaining *Pijnackeria* species. *P. hispanica* and *P. originis* are not included in the same cluster, while three *P. masettii ef-1α* sequences cluster with that of *P. lelongi*.

Parsimony network on *Pijnackeria cox2* sequences (Fig. 8) is consistent with the phylogenetic analyses. Three separate networks can be observed: i) one formed by *P. recondita* haplotypes, ii) one made by *P. lucianae* haplotypes, and iii) another one including sequences from *P. barbarae*, *P. lelongi*+*P. masettii*, and *P. hispanica*+*P. originis*. The parsimony network of *ef-1a* (Fig. 9), though, shows a quite different pattern. The *P. hispanica* subnetwork is connected with two different subnetworks, one including *P. barbarae* and one including *P. masettii* and *P. lelongi*. *P. recondita*, and *P. originis* are included in two different networks. Interestingly, when the network connection limit is relaxed (< 90%), the three networks become connected and *P. recondita* appears more related to the *P. hispanica* sub-network. On the other hand, the *P. originis* sub-network results connected to that of *P. masettii* (Fig. 9). The Bayesian time tree analysis (Supplementary Figure 1) produced a tree topology that is fully compatible with that obtained through Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian inference analyses. Age estimates of the main *Pijnackeria* clades are included between 0.14 Mya (*P. barbarae*) and 3.25 Mya (*P. lucianae*) (Table 3; Supplementary Figure 1). The *P. hispanica*+*P. originis* clade resulted to be 1.96 Myr old and diverged from the sister clade (*P. masettii*+*P. lelongii*) 3.31 Mya (Supplementary Figure 1). The divergence of the *Leptynia-Pijnackeria* clade dates back to 29.73 Mya (Table 3; Supplementary Figure 1)

Discussion

The origin of P. hispanica genome

Our results suggest a quite complex scenario for the composition of *P. hispanica* genome and the possible role of *P. recondita* as a fathering species. From a chromosome analysis standpoint, the karyotypes of *P. recondita* and *P. hispanica* are highly similar, especially the relative size and centromere positioning of most chromosomes. However, there are also differences such as the centromere position in the 4th and 6th pairs, and the position of cytological satellites. The karyotype of *P. recondita* shows the same basic haploid set of 19 elements consistently found in all other diploid species of the genus (Scali, 2009a; Scali et al. 2013), and also keeps the metacentric X chromosome as the largest. This finding clearly follows from the male

237 mitotic divisions which, being the male sex-chromosome formula X0, allow the
 238 identification of the unique X chromosome: therefore, we could reasonably assign the
 239 same role of sex-chromosomes to the first quartet in the karyotype of the
 240 parthenogenetic *P. hispanica*.
 241 The combined analysis of *cox2* mitochondrial sequences and of *efl-α* nuclear genes
 242 suggests a quite intriguing origin of *P. hispanica* hybrid genome. *P. originis* and *P.*
 243 *hispanica cox2* haplotypes always cluster together, indicating a clear genetic
 244 homogeneity. Therefore, in line with previous analyses (Ghiselli et al. 2007), the
 245 maternal ancestor would have been *P. originis*, as *P. hispanica* clearly incorporates its
 246 mitochondrial marker. On the other hand, the paternal contributions are less clear.
 247 Based on the *efl-α* phylogenetic and network analyses, *P. hispanica* is more related to
 248 *P. barbarae*, *P. masettii*, and *P. lelongi* than to *P. recondita* and *P. originis*. However,
 249 less stringent parameters for network construction allowed the connection of *P.*
 250 *recondita* and *P. hispanica* sub-networks. This suggests a common ancestry of the two
 251 nuclear sequences, but it also indicates a wide differentiation between *P. recondita* and
 252 *P. hispanica*. The time tree analysis clearly supports such distant relationship, dating the
 253 divergence of mitochondrial *cox2* sequences back to the Mid-Oligocene (29.73 Mya).
 254 Overall, the results are not in contrast with a possible contribution of *P. recondita* to the
 255 genome of *P. hispanica*, although the level of genetic divergence observed in both
 256 mitochondrial and nuclear markers would suggest caution. In summary, we can say that
 257 the data are compatible with the hypothesis of an ancestral contribution followed by
 258 diversification. It is worth noting that the *P. recondita* and the *P. hispanica* subnetworks
 259 are connected through the sample from El Purche (PUR), which has been collected very
 260 close to the distribution area of *P. recondita* (Sierra Nevada, SNE) (Fig. 8). Therefore, it
 261 is possible that widening the sampling in the area of sympatry would shed light on this
 262 issue.
 263 *P. hispanica* is a very unusual hybrid since it incorporates the mitochondrial marker of
 264 *P. originis* but it includes the nuclear sequences of different species. The tetraploid
 265 constitution of *P. hispanica* genome and the close similarity of chromosome sets shared
 266 by all *Pijnackeria* taxa suggest the possibility of polyploidization. On the other hand,
 267 some minute differences among specific chromosome complements indicate a
 268 heterogeneous structure, supporting a complex chromosome set with heterospecific
 269 genomes. Among these, at least one of *P. recondita* origin. However, further inspection
 270 of *P. hispanica* karyotype with heteromorphic quartets points to just a double *P.*

271 *recondita* chromosome set contribution—also in view of a diploid structure of the initial
272 hybrid—with two paternal chromosome sets derived each from the unknown paternal
273 ancestor of *P. masettii* and *P. barbarae*. Overall, *P. hispanica* genetic structure points to
274 a complex, unusual derivation.

275 The occurrence of a mitochondrial genome from one species and the nuclear genome
276 from a different species inherited by an individual of a third species was observed for
277 the first time through allozyme analysis and cytological investigations of both field-
278 collected and lab-reared specimens of the hybridogenetic *Bacillus rossius-grandii*
279 strains (Mantovani and Scali, 1992; Tinti and Scali, 1996), thus providing clear
280 evidence for androgenesis in stick insects. Afterwards, natural androgenesis was also
281 discovered in several species of the freshwater clam *Corbicula* (Komaru et al. 1998;
282 Byrne et al. 2000; Qiu et al. 2001) and in the cypress tree *Cupressus dupreziana* (Pichot
283 et al. 2001). Our analysis with a mitochondrial gene and a single nuclear marker cannot
284 be conclusive but, all considered, it is reasonable to suggest an androgenetic origin also
285 for *P. hispanica*, which could date back to between 2 Mya (the estimated age of the
286 clade) and 3.3 Mya (the estimate split age from the closest relative).

287 Because of the clonal structure of parthenogenetic taxa, with consequent loss of genetic
288 variability, the causes and consequences of their longevity are debated (see Bell, 1982;
289 Wrijenhoek and Lerman, 1982; Wrijenhoek, 1998; Normark et al. 2003). Data on stick
290 insects obtained so far indicated that *Bacillus* hybrid taxa originated around 1 Mya
291 (Mantovani et al. 2001), whereas parthenogenetic *Timema* lineages have evolved
292 between 500,000 and 2 Mya (Schwander et al. 2011). In this view, *P. hispanica* may
293 represent the oldest parthenogenetic taxon discovered so far among insects.

294

295 *The Pijnackeria karyotype and the evolution of egg maturation modes*

296

297 A great stability for chromosome number and structure within a cluster of related
298 species is quite a rare finding among Phasmida: all species-rich genera investigated so
299 far actually showed a marked karyotype plasticity for both number and structure of
300 chromosomes, particularly when parthenogens are involved. Striking instances of this
301 feature are the Australian *Didymuria* (Craddock, 1972, 1975) and *Sipyloidea* (John et al.
302 1987), as well as the circum-Mediterranean *Bacillus* and *Clonopsis* (Scali, 2009b;
303 Milani et al. 2010). Also the Iberian genus *Leptynia*—defined on both morphology and
304 genetic basis, and encompassing only four species—revealed diploid chromosome sets

305 ranging from 36 to 40, and a very significant structural variation, even entailing the sex-
 306 chromosome formula with a shift from the usual XX/X0, to the rarer XX/XY one (Scali,
 307 2009c; Scali et al. 2016). In addition, the karyotype stability of *Pijnackeria* species is
 308 mirrored by the number and localization of cytological NOR-bearing satellites (Ghiselli
 309 et al. 2007; Scali et al. 2016): taking into account the tens of specimens analyzed
 310 belonging to the seven *Pijnackeria* species, we were able to score 10 different satellite
 311 positions, but each species possesses a maximum of two different locations. This is a
 312 quite different scenario from that observed for NOR-bearing satellites of *Bacillus*,
 313 where a single species may encompass as much as 12 different locations for cytological
 314 satellites (Manaresi et al. 1991, 1992, 1993; Salvadori et al. 2018; Scali et al.
 315 submitted). Frequent changes in location and number of satellites are a common finding
 316 in stick insects, and it has been suggested that they could be an outcome of transposon
 317 activity (Meyne et al. 1990; Zhdanova et al. 2007; Ruiz Herrera et al. 2008; Ocalewicz,
 318 2013; Satovic et al. 2016). For example, R2 non-LTR transposons have been reported to
 319 be active in *Bacillus* species and even particularly prone to accumulate in
 320 parthenogenetic taxa (Bonandin et al. 2014, 2017; Scavariello et al. 2017).
 321 The cytological satellite features are not just a trait of inter- and intra-specific
 322 variability, since in all investigated phasmatodean species—13 all together up to now—
 323 chromosomal satellites have been always found to be sites of highly enriched and co-
 324 localized rDNA/telomeric sequences (Scali et al. 2016; Liher et al. 2017; Salvadori et al.
 325 2018): therefore, this trait appears to have a biological and evolutionary bearing.
 326 Actually, it has been already possible to observe that in the di-hybrid *Bacillus whitei*
 327 and the three-hybrid *B. lynceorum*, active NORs derive from all ancestors, although that
 328 of maternal *B. rossius* derivation appears as the most conserved (Manaresi et al.
 329 1991, 1992, 1993). However, owing to the androgenetic structure of *P. hispanica*, such a
 330 feature cannot be verified.
 331 A careful karyotype analysis of *Pijnackeria* polyploids gives us some indirect clues
 332 about their egg maturation mechanisms, since a direct investigation has not been
 333 possible. In stick insects, egg meiosis is blocked at pachytene during the first instar
 334 larva, to be resumed in adults at laying. Consequently, eggs can be collected at precisely
 335 scheduled times and investigated. Unfortunately, in *Pijnackeria* such a direct analysis of
 336 oocyte maturation is not feasible, because the few laid eggs are firmly glued to the
 337 substratum and their chorionic capsule is too fragile to be handled and cut for fixation as
 338 it has been done in *Bacillus* and *Clonopsis* (Marescalchi et al. 1991; Scali et al. 2010).

339 Owing to such limitations, we can propose only conjectural hypotheses for *P. hispanica*,
 340 and considering its complex hybrid structure, an apomictic mechanism maintaining a
 341 steady karyotype structure appears the most likely. In this connection, we can observe
 342 that *P. masettii* is a triploid hybrid with one chromosome set derived from *P. lelongi*
 343 and the other two from an unknown heterospecific paternal ancestor, as both the
 344 structure of several chromosome triplets and the cytological satellite features clearly
 345 support (Fig. 6, triplets 1-4, 6, 12, 17-19; see also Ghiselli et al. 2007; Scali et al. 2013).
 346 At the time of its formation, such a hybrid certainly suffered from a diffuse imbalance in
 347 pairing and segregation of the trivalents during the first meiotic division, as actually
 348 observed in triploid specimens of *Bacillus atticus* (Marescalchi and Scali 1997, 2003);
 349 therefore, a modified meiosis escaping such constraints is likely to have been evolved
 350 by selecting an apomictic egg-maturation mechanism. A similar meiotic pathway could
 351 have been evolved in the tetraploid *P. hispanica* androgenetic parthenogen with a high
 352 (76) chromosome number.
 353 Gathering all relevant observations on the issue, the most parsimonious series of
 354 gains/losses of whole chromosome sets leading to the extant structure of *P. hispanica*
 355 can be envisaged as follows: a seminal parthenogenetic *P. originis*/*P. recondita* hybrid
 356 with an apomictic reproduction owing to the marked genetic differentiation of the
 357 parental taxa was produced. Pre-mating isolating mechanisms were easily overcome,
 358 since in phasmids they are rather ineffective even between utterly differentiated species
 359 (Scali et al. 1995). Back-crosses to *P. recondita* males were still possible (see Tinti and
 360 Scali, 1996) and, thanks to the physiological egg-polyspermy (Scali, 1972), an all-
 361 paternal progeny was originated when syngamy with the hybrid egg nucleus failed and
 362 two spermatozoa fused to originate a 2n androgen, which only kept the mitochondrial
 363 DNA of the mother but continued an apomictic reproduction (Mantovani and Scali,
 364 1992). The 4n ploidy of *P. hispanica* could then be reached through a two-step
 365 acquisition of additional *Pijnackeria* genomes by the androgen. After the original
 366 hybridization of *P. recondita* with *P. originis* leading to an early diploid androgen, an
 367 additional fathering taxon, providing the third haploset, should have been different from
 368 *P. recondita* and likely similar to the unknown paternal ancestor of *P. masettii*. The last
 369 contribution of a fourth genome could have been provided by a *P. barbarae*-like
 370 paternal ancestor: the heterozygous structure of several quartets of *P. hispanica* (Fig. 6)
 371 and the high variability of its *efl-α* sequences (Fig. 9) are consistent with the above
 372 outlined assumptions. Being these correct, *P. hispanica* could be then envisaged as a

polyphyletic hybrid-complex endowed with a high colonizing potential. Moreover, it is tempting to speculate that such kind of multi-hybrid origin could be the reason behind the long evolutionary persistence (1.96-3.31 Myr) of this parthenogenetic taxon. The high variability produced by multiple hybridizations events might have compensated for the absence of sexual recombination (Ghiselli et al 2007 and references therein). On the issue, we would like mentioning that androgenetic stick-insect strains of *Bacillus* and *Clonopsis* contributed to the formation of a complex network among parental and derived taxa, so that their reproductive and micro-evolutionary features were defined as “reticulate evolution” (reviewed in Scali, 2009a), and, within it, androgenesis has been proposed as a short-cut pathway for speciation (Ghiselli et al. 2007; Milani et al. 2010; 2015). To better envisage the cladogenetic potential of androgenesis, a simple model of hybrid eggs maturation and genome transmission has been worked out for *Clonopsis* hybrids, which would even explain the ascertained diploid structure of polyploid karyotypes (Milani et al. 2009; 2010): the *Clonopsis* model also accommodates quite easily the otherwise inexplicable chromosomal findings reported in the Australian *Sipyloidea nelida* species complex by John et al. (1987). On the whole, the targeted cytogenetic insight and transmission analysis of genomes, although rather limited, appears an effective tool to reveal the exploitation of a wide array of reproductive modes and evolutionary pathways in stick insects: these insights seem to really add to the routinely accepted ideas about reproductive features, evolutionary modes and phylogenetic relationships in animals.

Taxonomic implications

Following the above described scenario of “reticulate” backcrosses, multiple tetraploid populations arose in different areas of the *Pijnackeria* range, stepwise embodying additional sets of fathering taxa, some of which can also be missing from the sampling. These 4n populations are now spread and mixed in the region indicated in Figure 2. According to this phylogeographic pattern, *P. hispanica* would then represent the ensemble of many subpopulations of 4n parthenogenetic androgens in which the multiple contributions from diverse diploid species can be appreciated in the *efl-α* network (Fig. 9). Each *P. hispanica* specimen within the different subpopulations appears to possess a chimerical genetic structure, even more strengthened by the occurrence of the “foreign” mitochondrial DNA of the maternal ancestor. All this points

to a composite, polyphyletic structure of the tetraploid hybrid, which we propose to indicate as an *androgenetic complex*.

Finally, we would like to point out that, if in *cox2* network the recently described Sierra Nevada (SNE) *P. recondita* taxon does not actually cluster together with the previously described *Pijnackeria* species (Fig. 7a,b), and Valero and Ortiz (2015) obtained the same tree topology from *cox1* and *cox 2* analyses. Although this topology does not fully resolve the relationships within the genus *Pijnackeria*, it clearly indicates a high degree of differentiation between *P. recondita* and the other conspecific species. Further molecular investigation may help to shed light on the evolution of this genus and its relationship with the closely related genus *Leptynia*.

Data availability: The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the GenBank repository (see corresponding GenBank numbers in Supplementary Material 1). The sequences generated during this study are also available as Supplementary Material 2 and 3 in FASTA format.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Specimen of *Pijnackeria hispanica*: the nominal species of the new genus (corresponding to the originally described *Leptynia hispanica* species by Pantel 1890). Note the very short antennae and the pointed abdomen end peculiar to the taxon. Additional information and images of this tetraploid parthenogen and of all other congeneric species are to be found in Scali 2009, Scali et al. 2012, Scali et al. 2013; Valero and Ortiz 2015.

Fig. 2 Ranges of *Pijnackeria* taxa. **2n:** **A**, *P. lucianae*; **B**, *P. barbarae*; **C**, *P. lelongi*; **D**, *P. originis*; **3n**, *P. masettii*; **4n**, *P. hispanica*. Sample acronyms as in Ghiselli et al, 2007. The area of *P. recondita* (Sierra Nevada) and *P. hispanica* (El Purche) samples is

represented by a single dot south-east of PRA (Puerto La Ragua). Acronyms as in Table 1 and Table 2

Fig. 3 *Pijnackeria recondita* food plants: *Cytisus scoparius* on the right and *Cytisus sp.*, on the left

Fig. 4 Geographical distribution of the haplotypes obtained both by sampling and from literature contributing to the molecular analysis. A) *cox2*. B) *efl-α*. For the exact coordinates of the sampling sites, refer to Table 1 and Table 2

Fig. 5 Karyotype of *Pijnackeria recondita*. The karyotype is very similar to those of all other *Pijnackeria* species. A) Female: 1st pair, heterochromosomes; the 2nd and 4th pairs bear a heterozygous satellite; B) Male: its unique sex chromosome (X0) allows to indicate the first female pair as the heterochromosome pair in both *P. recondita* and, as a consequence, in *P. hispanica*

Fig. 6 Karyotype of the triploid *Pijnackeria masettii* (on the top), and of the tetraploid *Pijnackeria hispanica* (on the bottom) modified from Scali et al. (2013). *P. hispanica* appears either a 2+2 structure, or, better, a 2+1+1 structure. *P. masettii* triplets 1-4, 6, 12, 17-19 clearly support a 2+1 structure; 1st, 2nd, 13th, 15th and 19th quartets of *P. hispanica* seem to suggest either a 2+2 structure, or better a 2+1+1 structure

Fig. 7 Schematic drawing of Maximum Likelihood/Bayesian Inference on *cox2* (A; $-\ln L = 3431.06/3503.44$) and *efl-α* (B; $-\ln L = 1958.30/20072.49$) datasets. Number at nodes are bootstrap/posterior probabilities support values. Outgroup(s) have been omitted for graphical purposes

Fig. 8 Parsimony network of the *cox2* gene sequences. Circles size is proportional to haplotype frequency; black dots represent missing/ideal haplotypes. Connections obtained with relaxed parameters are indicated with dashed, grey lines; grey numbers in parentheses are the number of missing/ideal haplotypes along the connection

Fig. 9 Templeton network of the *efl-α* gene sequences. Circles size is proportional to haplotype frequency; black dots represent missing/ideal haplotypes. Connections

obtained with relaxed parameters are indicated with dashed, grey lines; grey numbers in parentheses are the number of missing/ideal haplotypes along the connection

Legends to tables

Table 1. Analyzed species for *cox2*, with collecting place with acronyms and geographic coordinates.

Table 2. Analyzed species for *efl-α*, with collecting place with acronyms and geographic coordinates.

Table 3. Age estimates of the main *Pijnackeria* clades.

Supplementary material

Supplementary Figure 1. Time calibrated tree obtained on *cox2* gene sequence. Numbers on branches represent the posterior probability nodal support; bars at nodes indicate the 95% high posterior density (HPD).

Supplementary Material 1. Genbank accession numbers of the analyzed sequences.

Supplementary Material 2. *cox2* sequences.

Supplementary Material 3. *efl-α* sequences.

References

Bell, G. (1982). *Masterpiece of nature: the evolution and genetics of sexuality*. London: Croom Helm.

506 Bianchi, A. (1992). Karyological studies of mediterranean stick-insects belonging to the
 507 genera *Clonopsis* and *Leptynia* (Insecta Phasmatodea). *Caryologia*, 45(1), 1-19. doi:
 508 10.1080/00087114.1992.10797205
 509 Bianchi, A., & Meliador, P. (1998). Analysis of the karyotypes of four species of the
 510 *Leptynia attenuata complex* (Insecta Phasmatodea). *Caryologia*, 51(3-4), 207-219. doi:
 511 10.1080/00087114.1998.10797413
 512 Bonandin, L., Scavariello, C., Mingazzini, V., Luchetti, A., & Mantovani, B. (2016).
 513 Obligatory parthenogenesis and TE load: *Bacillus* stick insects and the R2 non-LTR
 514 retrotransposon. *Insect Science*, 24(3), 409-417. doi: 10.1111/1744-7917.12322
 515 Brock, P. (1991). *Stick-insects of Britain, Europe and the Mediterranean*. London:
 516 Fitzgerald Publishing.
 517 Brock, PD. (1993). Studies on stick insects of the genus *Leptynia* in Spain. *Amateur*
 518 *Entomologist's Society Bulletin*, 52, 165-172
 519 Byrne M, Phelps H, Church T, Adair V, Selvakumaraswamy P, Potts J. (2000).
 520 Reproduction and development of the freshwater clam *Corbicula australis* in southeast
 521 Australia. *Hydrobiologia*, 418, 185-197. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003986512832>
 522 Cho, S., Mitchell, A., Regier, JC., Poole, RW., Friedlander, TP. & Zhao, S. (1995). A
 523 highly conserved nuclear gene for low-level phylogenetics: elongation factor-1 alpha
 524 recovers morphology-based tree for heliothine moths. *Molecular Biology And*
 525 *Evolution*, 12, 650-656. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040244
 526 Clement, M., Posada, D., & Crandall, K. (2000). TCS: a computer program to estimate
 527 gene genealogies. *Molecular Ecology*, 9(10), 1657-1659. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-
 528 294x.2000.01020.x
 529 Craddock, E. (1972). Chromosomal diversity in the Australian Phasmatodea. *Australian*
 530 *Journal Of Zoology*, 20(4), 445-462. doi: 10.1071/zo9720445
 531 Craddock, E. (1975). Intraspecific karyotypic differentiation in the Australian phasmatid
 532 *Didymuria violescens* (Leach). *Chromosoma*, 53(1), 1-24. doi: 10.1007/bf00329387
 533 Drummond, A., & Rambaut, A. (2007). BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by
 534 sampling trees. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 7(1), 214. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-7-214
 535 Farell, E., & Alexandre, G. (2012). Bovine serum albumin further enhances the effects
 536 of organic solvents on increased yield of polymerase chain reaction of GC-rich
 537 templates. *BMC Research Notes*, 5(1), 257. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-5-257

538 Gatesy, J., DeSalle, R., & Wahlberg, N. (2007). How Many Genes Should a Systematist
 539 Sample? Conflicting Insights from a Phylogenomic Matrix Characterized by Replicated
 540 Incongruence. *Systematic Biology*, 56(2), 355-363. doi: 10.1080/10635150701294733
 541 Ghiselli, F., Milani, L., Scali, V., & Passamonti, M. (2007). The *Leptynia hispanica*
 542 species complex (Insecta Phasmida): polyploidy, parthenogenesis, hybridization and
 543 more. *Molecular Ecology*, 16(20), 4256-4268. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294x.2007.03471.x
 544 John, B., Rentz, D., & Contreras, N. (1987). Extensive chromosome variation in the
 545 stick insect genus *Sipyloidea* Brunner von Wattenwyl (Phylliidae : Necrosiinae) within
 546 Australia, and descriptions of three new species. *Invertebrate Systematics*, 1(6), 603–
 547 630. doi: 10.1071/it9870603
 548 Jordal, B. (2002). Elongation Factor 1 alpha resolves the monophyly of the haplodiploid
 549 ambrosia beetles Xyleborini (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Insect Molecular Biology*,
 550 11(5), 453-465. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2583.2002.00354.x
 551 Komaru, A., Kawagishi, T., & Konishi, K. (1998). Cytological evidence of spontaneous
 552 androgenesis in the freshwater clam *Corbicula leana* Prime. *Development Genes And*
 553 *Evolution*, 208(1), 46-50. doi: 10.1007/s004270050152
 554 Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary
 555 Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular Biology And Evolution*,
 556 33(7), 1870-1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054
 557 Leigh, J., & Bryant, D. (2015). popart: full-feature software for haplotype network
 558 construction. *Methods In Ecology And Evolution*, 6(9), 1110-1116. doi: 10.1111/2041-
 559 210x.12410
 560 Luchetti, A., & Mantovani, B. (2013). Non-LTR R2 Element Evolutionary Patterns:
 561 Phylogenetic Incongruences, Rapid Radiation and the Maintenance of Multiple
 562 Lineages. *Plos ONE*, 8(2), e57076. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057076
 563 Manaresi, S., Marescalchi, O., & Scali, V. (1991). Ag-detected NOR and C-banding
 564 patterns in *Bacillus rossius* (Insecta Phasmatodea) from Sicily. *Caryologia*, 44(3-4),
 565 265-286. doi: 10.1080/00087114.1991.10797192
 566 Manaresi, S., Marescalchi, O., & Scali, V. (1992a). The Chromosome Complement of
 567 the Hybrid *Bacillus whitei* Complex (Insecta Phasmatodea). I. The paleo- and neo-
 568 standard karyotypes. *Cytologia*, 57(1), 101-109. doi: 10.1508/cytologia.57.101
 569 Manaresi, S., Marescalchi, O., & Scali, V. (1992b). The Chromosome Complement of
 570 the Hybrid *Bacillus whitei* Complex (Insecta Phasmatodea). II. The repatterned
 571 cytotypes. *Cytologia*, 57(1), 111-119. doi: 10.1508/cytologia.57.111

- 572 Manaresi, S., Marescalchi, O., & Scali, V. (1993). The trihybrid genome constitution of
573 *Bacillus lynceorum* (Insecta Phasmatodea) and its karyotypic variations. *Genome*, 36(2),
574 317-326. doi: 10.1139/g93-044
- 575 Mantovani, B., & Scali, V. (1992). Hybridogenesis and Androgenesis in the Stick-Insect
576 *Bacillus rossi-grandii benazzii* (Insecta, Phasmatodea). *Evolution*, 46(3), 783-796.
577 doi: 10.2307/2409646
- 578 Mantovani, B., Passamonti, M., & Scali, V. (2001). The Mitochondrial Cytochrome
579 Oxidase II Gene in *Bacillus* Stick Insects: Ancestry of Hybrids, Androgenesis, and
580 Phylogenetic Relationships. *Molecular Phylogenetics And Evolution*, 19(1), 157-163.
581 doi: 10.1006/mpev.2000.0850
- 582 Marescalchi, O., & Scali, V. (1997). Chromosomal and NOR patterns in the polyclonal
583 stick insect *Bacillus atticus atticus* (Insecta; Phasmatodea). *Genome*, 40(2), 261-270.
584 doi: 10.1139/g97-037
- 585 Marescalchi, O., & Scali, V. (2003). Automictic parthenogenesis in the diploid-triploid
586 stick insect *Bacillus atticus* and its flexibility leading to heterospecific diploid hybrids.
587 *Invertebrate Reproduction & Development*, 43(2), 163-172. doi:
588 10.1080/07924259.2003.9652535
- 589 Marescalchi, O., Pijnacker, L., & Scali, V. (1991). Cytology of Parthenogenesis in
590 *Bacillus whitei* and *Bacillus lynceorum* (Insecta Phasmatodea). *Invertebrate*
591 *Reproduction & Development*, 20(1), 75-81. doi: 10.1080/07924259.1991.9672180
- 592 Meyne, J., Baker, R., Hobart, H., Hsu, T., Ryder, O., & Ward, O. et al. (1990).
593 Distribution of non-telomeric sites of the (TTAGGG)_n telomeric sequence in vertebrate
594 chromosomes. *Chromosoma*, 99(1), 3-10. doi: 10.1007/bf01737283
- 595 Milani, L., Ghiselli, F., Pellicchia, M., Scali, V., & Passamonti, M. (2010). Reticulate
596 evolution in stick insects: the case of *Clonopsis* (Insecta Phasmida). *BMC Evolutionary*
597 *Biology*, 10(1), 258. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-10-258
- 598 Milani, L., Scali, V., & Passamonti, M. (2009). The *Clonopsis gallica* puzzle:
599 Mendelian species, polyploid parthenogens with karyotype re-diploidization and clonal
600 androgens in Moroccan stick insects (Phasmida). *Journal Of Zoological Systematics*
601 *And Evolutionary Research*, 47(2), 132-140. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0469.2008.00489.x
- 602 Milani, L., Scali, V., & Passamonti, M. (2014). Speciation through androgenesis in the
603 stick insect genus *Clonopsis* (Insecta Phasmatodea). *Journal Of Zoological Systematics*
604 *And Evolutionary Research*, 53(2), 116-123. doi: 10.1111/jzs.12087

605 Miller, M., Schwartz, T., Pickett, B., He, S., Klem, E., Scheuermann, R. ..., O'Leary,
 606 M. A. (2015). A RESTful API for Access to Phylogenetic Tools via the CIPRES
 607 Science Gateway. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics*, 11, 43-48. doi: 10.4137/ebo.s21501
 608 Normark, B., Judson, O., & Moran, N. (2003). Genomic signatures of ancient asexual
 609 lineages. *Biological Journal Of The Linnean Society*, 79(1), 69-84. doi: 10.1046/j.1095-
 610 8312.2003.00182.x
 611 Pantel, P.J. (1890). Notes Orthoptérologiques. II Les Phasmides d'Europe et des pays
 612 limitrophes. *Anales Sociedad Española de Historia Natural*, 19, 371-404
 613 Passamonti, M., Mantovani, B., & Scali, V. (1999). Karyotype and Allozyme
 614 Characterization of the Iberian *Leptynia attenuata* Species Complex (Insecta
 615 Phasmatodea). *Zoological Science*, 16(4), 675-684. doi: 10.2108/zsj.16.675
 616 Passamonti, M., Mantovani, B., & Scali, V. (2004). Phylogeny and karyotype evolution
 617 of the Iberian *Leptynia attenuata* species complex (Insecta Phasmatodea). *Molecular*
 618 *Phylogenetics And Evolution*, 30(1), 87-96. doi: 10.1016/s1055-7903(03)00156-8
 619 Pichot, C., El Maâtaoui, M., Raddi, S., & Raddi, P. (2001). Surrogate mother for
 620 endangered Cupressus. *Nature*, 412(6842), 39. doi: 10.1038/35083687 #pagine
 621 Pijnacker, L. (1966). The maturation divisions of the parthenogenetic stick insect
 622 *Carausius morosus* Br. (Orthoptera, Phasmidae). *Chromosoma*, 19(1), 99-112. doi:
 623 10.1007/bf00332794
 624 Preiss, A., Hartley, D., & Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. (1988). The molecular genetics of
 625 Enhancer of split, a gene required for embryonic neural development in *Drosophila*.
 626 *The EMBO Journal*, 7(12), 3917-3927. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1988.tb03278.x
 627 Qiu, AD & Shi, AJ & Komaru, Akira. (2001). Yellow and brown shell color morphs of
 628 *Corbicula fluminea* (Bivalvia: Corbiculidae) from Sichuan Province, China, are
 629 triploids and tetraploids. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 20, 323-328.
 630 Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D., Darling, A., Höhna, S., ...,
 631 Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and
 632 Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. *Systematic Biology*, 61(3), 539-542. doi:
 633 10.1093/sysbio/sys029
 634 Ruiz-Herrera, A., Nergadze, S., Santagostino, M., & Giulotto, E. (2008). Telomeric
 635 repeats far from the ends: mechanisms of origin and role in evolution. *Cytogenetic And*
 636 *Genome Research*, 122(3-4), 219-228. doi: 10.1159/000167807
 637 Salvadori, S., Coluccia, E., Deidda, F., Sibiriu, M., Costa, E., Deiana, AM. & Scali, V.
 638 (2018). Multiple highly amplified NORs co-localized with telomeric sequences in the

639 parthenogenetic hybrid *Bacillus whitei* (Insecta Phasmatodea). *Comparative*
 640 *Cytogenetics*, 12(3), 299-360. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v12i3.27748
 641 Satović, E., Vojvoda Zeljko, T., Luchetti, A., Mantovani, B., & Plohl, M. (2016).
 642 Adjacent sequences disclose potential for intra-genomic dispersal of satellite DNA
 643 repeats and suggest a complex network with transposable elements. *BMC Genomics*,
 644 17(1), 997. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-3347-1
 645 Scali, V. (1972). La citologia della partenogenesi di *Bacillus rossius*. *Bollettino Di*
 646 *Zoologia*, 39(4), 567-573. doi: 10.1080/11250007209431412
 647 Scali V. (2009a) Metasexual Stick Insects: Model Pathways to Losing Sex and Bringing
 648 It Back. In: Schön I., Martens K., Dijk P. (eds) *Lost Sex*. Springer, Dordrecht. Doi:
 649 10.1007/978-90-481-2770-2_16
 650 Scali, V. (2009b). Revision of the Iberian stick insect genus *Leptynia* Pantel and
 651 description of the new genus *Pijnackeria*. *Italian Journal Of Zoology*, 76(4), 381-391.
 652 doi: 10.1080/11250000802702062
 653 Scali, V., Coluccia, E., Deidda, F., Lobina, C., Deiana, A., & Salvadori, S. (2016). Co-
 654 localization of ribosomal and telomeric sequences in *Leptynia* (Insecta: Phasmatodea).
 655 *Italian Journal Of Zoology*, 83(3), 285-290. doi: 10.1080/11250003.2016.1219403
 656 Scali, V., Deidda, F., Coluccia, E., Melis, R., Cannas, R., & Salvadori, S. (submitted)
 657 Variability for number and location of highly-amplified interspersed telomeric and
 658 ribosomal sequences in parental species of *Bacillus* and their hybrids (Insecta
 659 Phasmatodea).
 660 Scali, V., Milani, L. & Passamonti, M. (2012). Revision of the stick insect genus
 661 *Leptynia*: description of new taxa, speciation mechanism and phylogeography.
 662 *Contributions to Zoology*, 81(1), 25-42.
 663 Scali, V., Milani, L., & Passamonti, M. (2013). Description and ecology of new
 664 *Pijnackeria* stick insects: four bisexual species and a triploid parthenogen with their
 665 phyletic relationships. *Journal Of Zoological Systematics And Evolutionary Research*,
 666 51(3), 213-226. doi: 10.1111/jzs.12018
 667 Scali, V., Milani, L., & Passamonti, M. (2010). *Clonopsis gallica*, a stick insect
 668 parthenogen exploiting different egg maturation mechanisms over its range.
 669 *Invertebrate Reproduction & Development*, 54(3), 143-150. doi:
 670 10.1080/07924259.2010.9652326

671 Scali, V., Tinti, F., Mantovani, B., & Marescalchi, O. (1995). Mate recognition and
672 gamete cytology features allow hybrid species production and evolution in *Bacillus*
673 stick insects. *Bollettino Di Zoologia*, 62(1), 59-70. doi: 10.1080/11250009509356052
674 Scavariello, C., Luchetti, A., Martoni, F., Bonandin, L., & Mantovani, B. (2017).
675 Hybridogenesis and a potential case of R2 non-LTR retrotransposon horizontal
676 transmission in *Bacillus* stick insects (Insecta Phasmida). *Scientific Reports*, 7(1),
677 41946. doi: 10.1038/srep41946
678 Schwander, T., Henry, L., & Crespi, B. (2011). Molecular Evidence for Ancient
679 Asexuality in *Timema* Stick Insects. *Current Biology*, 21(13), 1129-1134. doi:
680 10.1016/j.cub.2011.05.026
681 Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-
682 analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, 30(9), 1312-1313. doi:
683 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
684 Taddei, C., Maurizii, M., Chicca, M., & Scali, V. (1993). The germarium of panoistic
685 ovarioles of *Bacillus rossius* (Insecta Phasmatodea): Structure and function during
686 imaginal life. *Invertebrate Reproduction & Development*, 23(2-3), 203-210. doi:
687 10.1080/07924259.1993.9672316
688 Tinti, F., & Scali, V. (1996). Androgenetics and Triploids from an Interacting
689 Parthenogenetic Hybrid and its Ancestors in Stick Insects. *Evolution*, 50(3), 1251-1258.
690 doi: 10.2307/2410665
691 Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B. (2016). W-IQ-TREE: a fast
692 online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research*,
693 44(W1), W232-W235. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw256
694 Valero, P., & Ortiz, AS. (2015). Description and DNA barcoding of a new Iberian
695 species of *Pijnackeria* (Scali, 2009) from the Sierra Nevada, Spain (Phasmida:
696 Diapheromeridae). *Zootaxa*, 4058(4), 535-550. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4058.4.5
697 Vrijenhoek, R. (1998). Animal Clones and Diversity. *Bioscience*, 48(8), 617-628. doi:
698 10.2307/1313421
699 Vrijenhoek, R., & Lerman, S. (1982). Heterozygosity and Developmental Stability
700 Under Sexual and Asexual Breeding Systems. *Evolution*, 36(4), 768-776. doi:
701 10.2307/2407890
702 Zhdanova, N., Rubtsov, N., & Minina, Y. (2007). Terminal regions of mammal
703 chromosomes: Plasticity and role in evolution. *Russian Journal Of Genetics*, 43(7), 721-
704 732. doi: 10.1134/s1022795407070022

FIGURE 1

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig 1.tif](#)




FIGURE 2

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig2.tif](#)





FIGURE 3

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig3.tif](#)



Fig. 4
a



b





FIGURE 5

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig5.tif](#)

A



B





FIGURE 6

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig6.tif](#)

A



B






Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9

