

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna  
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Sweet cherry water relations and fruit production efficiency are affected by rootstock vigor

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Morandi B., Manfrini L., Lugli S., Tugnoli Alice, Boini A., Perulli G.D., et al. (2019). Sweet cherry water relations and fruit production efficiency are affected by rootstock vigor. JOURNAL OF PLANT PHYSIOLOGY, 237, 43-50 [10.1016/j.jplph.2019.04.007].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/742912> since: 2020-03-01

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2019.04.007>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Sweet cherry water relations and fruit production efficiency are affected by**  
2 **rootstock vigor.**

3 Brunella Morandi\*, Luigi Manfrini, Stefano Lugli, Alice Tugnoli, Alexandra Boini, Giulio Demetrio  
4 Perulli, Kushtrim Bresilla, Melissa Venturi, Luca Corelli Grappadelli.

5  
6 Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences,  
7 University of Bologna,  
8 V.le Fanin 44 40127  
9 Bologna, Italy.

10

11 \*corresponding author

12 [brunella.morandi@unibo.it](mailto:brunella.morandi@unibo.it)

13 Ph: +39 (0)51 2096428

## SUMMARY

Rootstock vigor is well known to affect yield and productive performance in many fruit crops and the dwarfing trait is often the preferred choice for modern orchard systems thanks to its improved productivity and reduced canopy volume. This work investigates the different physiological responses induced by rootstock vigor on cherry, by comparing shoot and fruit growth, water relations, leaf gas exchanges as well as fruit vascular and transpiration in/outflows of “Black Star” trees grafted on semi-vigorous (CAB6P) and on semi-dwarfing (Gisela<sup>TM</sup>6) rootstocks. The daily patterns of stem ( $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ ), leaf ( $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ) and fruit ( $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$ ) water potential, leaf photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and transpiration, shoot and fruit growth, fruit phloem, xylem and transpiration flows were assessed both in pre- and post-veraison, while productivity and fruit quality were determined at harvest. At both stages, no significant differences were found on  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ , photosynthesis, fruit daily growth rates as well as fruit vascular and transpiration flows, while trees on Gisela<sup>TM</sup>6 showed lower shoot growth rates and lower  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$  and  $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$  than trees on CAB6P. The resulting decrease in stem-to-leaf  $\Psi$  gradient on Gisela<sup>TM</sup>6 trees determined a reduction in shoot growth by decreasing shoot strength as sinks for water and carbohydrates. On the other hand, Gisela<sup>TM</sup>6 fruit lowered their  $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$  thanks to a higher osmotic accumulation and increased their competitiveness towards shoots, as confirmed by the higher productivity and fruit soluble solid content found at harvest for these trees. These results indicate that rootstock vigor alters resource competition between vegetative and reproductive growth, which can affect water use efficiency, yield, and fruit quality.

Keywords: Fruit growth, Leaf gas exchanges, *Prunus Avium* L., Sink strength, Water relations,

## ABBREVIATIONS:

AGR: absolute growth rate; DAFB: days after full bloom; RGR: relative growth rate; SSC: soluble solids content;  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$  : stem water potential;  $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$  : fruit water potential;  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  : leaf water potential

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the past, cherry orchards have been characterized by low and/or medium planting densities ( $\approx 500$  trees/ha) on high vigorous rootstocks like seedlings (*P. avium* Mazzard and *P. mahaleb*), the hybrid “Colt” (*P. Avium* x *P. Pseudocerasus* Lindl.) or the sour cherry clones of the CAB series (Lang, 2000; Lugli, 2011). However, in different cherry productive regions, the current trend is to choose rootstocks allowing medium/high densities (800-1200 trees/ha) to anticipate production, facilitate tree management (pruning, harvest etc.) due to their reduced canopy size and improve the orchard productive efficiency (Lang, 2000; Lang, 2005; Lang et al., 2014; Hrotkò and Rozpara, 2017).

48 Currently, the most used dwarfing rootstocks in high density orchards are the interspecific hybrids of  
49 the series Gisela™ (mostly Gisela™ 6 and Gisela™ 5), and more recently the series PHL and Pi-Ku  
50 (Lang, 2000; Lugli, 2011).

51 It is well known how dwarfing rootstocks are characterized by a strong reduction in the xylem  
52 hydraulic conductivity in correspondence of the grafting point where often callus and meristematic  
53 tissues tend to proliferate, in response to different levels of disaffinity between the two living tissues  
54 (Olmstead et al. 2006; 2010). Such disaffinity leads to a progressive decrease in the carbohydrate  
55 transport toward the roots, with consequent lower root development and reduced absorption capacity  
56 (Olmstead et al., 2010). The rootstock can also affect the scion xylem anatomy as trees on dwarfing  
57 rootstocks tend to show lower vessel diameters and higher frequencies (Olmstead et al. 2006;  
58 Gonçalves et al. 2006a; Ljubojevic et al., 2013). A lower hydraulic conductivity in the xylem leads  
59 to a lower water transport capacity and tends to reduce the scion vegetative growth, also due to a  
60 decrease in the stem water potential and to a consequent reduction in leaf nutritional status and gas  
61 exchanges (Gonçalves et al. 2006a, 2006b; Edwards et al., 2014). Despite stomatal conductance tends  
62 to be reduced (Edwards et al., 2014), trees on dwarfing rootstocks usually show higher  
63 photosynthesis/stomatal conductance ratios, and thus a higher photosynthetic efficiency (Gonçalves  
64 et al. 2006b). In cherry, they have also been found to reduce the meristematic activity of their growing  
65 shoots due to a change in the gene expression both in the scion and at the graft union level (Prassinis  
66 et al., 2009).

67 Rootstock vigor can also affect productivity and quality of the production (Lang, 2000; Neilsen et al.,  
68 2016), due to variations in the hydraulic anatomy and in the water relations of the scion (Peschiutta  
69 et al., 2013), although crop load and thus leaf/fruit ratio, seems to be the major driver affecting fruit  
70 development and final fruit quality (Whiting and Lang, 2004; Neilsen et al., 2016). Regarding fruit  
71 quality, Gonçalves et al. (2006b) showed how thanks to their better water status, vigorous rootstocks  
72 usually show a higher fruit size, also because the combination between autofertile cultivars and  
73 dwarfing rootstocks may induce excessive crop loads (Bassi 2005). However, despite the wide  
74 differences on yield and quality of the production, it is not clear yet how vigor affects the  
75 developmental physiology of fruit growth and the evolution of the quality traits within the fruit.

76 Cherry fruit development during the season is described by the double-sigmoid model typical of stone  
77 fruit (Dejong and Gudriann, 1989; Gibeaut et al., 2017). Regardless of the phenological stage, fruit  
78 growth always results from the balance between vascular and transpiration in/outflows (Fishman and  
79 Génard, 1998), therefore, knowledge on the mechanisms underpinning fruit growth would represent  
80 key information to understand the productive efficiency of a given scion/rootstock combination. The  
81 availability of automatic sensors for the continuous monitoring of fruit diameter variations (Morandi

et al., 2007a), has allowed to study the biophysical and physiological mechanisms of fruit growth in different species (Lang, 1990; Morandi et al., 2007b; 2010; 2014), including cherry. In this regard, Brüggewirth et al. (2016) reported how during the first stages of fruit development, at about 40 DAFB, cherry fruit growth was mostly sustained by the xylem, that accounted for about 85% of the total daily inflows, while the phloem contribution was relatively low. However, these inflows were mostly lost by epidermis transpiration (accounting for about 85% of the total daily inflows). As fruit developed, the contribution of the phloem flow progressively increased, while xylem flow decreased accordingly so that, after veraison, cherry fruit growth was almost totally sustained by the phloem (Brüggewirth et al. 2016).

However, despite specific knowledge is available on the effect of the different scion/rootstocks combinations on fruit quality and yield, to date it is not clear how rootstock vigor affects the source/sink water and carbon relations at whole canopy level and whether the physiological mechanisms of fruit growth, and thus the evolution of the fruit quality traits during the season, change depending on the rootstock. In fact, despite the use of dwarfing rootstocks usually leads to an improvement in yield, productive efficiency and (not always) fruit quality, results are highly variable depending on variety, orchard age, irrigation management, soil type and cultivation environment and the reasons underpinning such variability are not completely clear yet. This work studies how rootstock vigor can affect tree water relations, leaf gas exchanges and the daily vascular flows underpinning sweet cherry fruit growth in pre- and post-veraison.

101

## 102 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 103 2.1 Plant material and experimental set up.

104 The study was carried out in 2016 on 16 cherry trees, cv. Black Star. Half of these trees were grafted  
105 on the semi-vigorous rootstock “CAB6P” (*Prunus Cerasus*) (-10-20% vigor compared to seedling -  
106 Lugli et al., 2011) and the other half on the semi-dwarfing rootstock “Gisela™ 6” *Prunus cerasus*  
107 (cv *Schattenmorelle* × *Prunus canescens*) (-50-60% vigor compared to seedling – Lugli, 2011).

108 The trial was carried out in the Po Valley, at the experimental farm of the University of Bologna  
109 (Cadriano, Bologna, Italy). Trees were at their 12<sup>th</sup> leaf, spaced 4.5x0.9m, with a density of 2470 trees  
110 ha<sup>-1</sup> and trained as V. The orchard was managed according to standard cultural practices in terms of  
111 fertilization, thinning and pruning. Irrigation was managed according to the Irrinet irrigation  
112 scheduling system, developed and made available over the Internet by the “Consorzio per il Canale  
113 Emiliano Romagnolo (CER)” of the Emilia-Romagna Region ([www.irriframe.it](http://www.irriframe.it)). A weather station  
114 located near the orchard collected the environmental parameters for the use of the software.

115 Full bloom occurred on April 1<sup>st</sup> and fruit were harvested on June 1<sup>st</sup>, 61 days after full bloom  
116 (DAFB).

117 For each rootstock, the daily patterns of leaf, stem and fruit water potential and of leaf gas exchanges  
118 were determined at 47 and 55 DAFB. Fruit daily growth and vascular and transpiration flows were  
119 also monitored, in the period from 27 to 32 DAFB and from 50 to 54 DAFB. Veraison occurred  
120 around 50 DAFB so all physiological measurements were carried out both in pre- (1<sup>st</sup> date) and in  
121 post-veraison (2<sup>nd</sup> date). Shoot and fruit growth were monitored at regular time intervals during the  
122 whole season. At harvest, average fruit weight, yield and soluble solid content were also assessed.

123

## 124 2.2 Seasonal fruit and shoot growth

125 During the season, the growth of 64 fruit and 48 shoots, on 8 trees per rootstock, randomly selected,  
126 was monitored on a weekly basis, using a digital caliper and a meter, respectively. For each fruit,  
127 diameter (D) data were converted to weight (FW) by the following conversion equation (Eq.1);

128

129 Eq 1:  $FW(g) = a * D(mm)^b$

130

131 where a and b were 0.0021 and 2.5431. This equation was obtained by regressing diameter and weight  
132 data of a large number (above 300) of Black Star cherry fruit picked over several seasons, from the  
133 same orchard. The  $R^2$  of the relationship was >0.99.

134

## 135 2.3 Water relations

136 Stem ( $\Psi_{stem}$ ), leaf ( $\Psi_{leaf}$ ) and fruit ( $\Psi_{fruit}$ ) water potentials were monitored at predawn and at 9.00,  
137 12.30 and 16.30 hours, on 4 trees per treatment, using a Scholander (Soilmisture Equipment Corp.  
138 Santa Barbara, U.S.A.) pressure chamber.  $\Psi_{leaf}$  was measured on one well exposed shoot leaf per tree,  
139 on 4 trees per treatment following Turner and Long (1980).  $\Psi_{stem}$  was measured on the same trees:  
140 one leaf per tree placed in the inner part of the canopy, very close to the main stem, was chosen and  
141 covered with aluminium foil at least 90 minutes prior to measurement to allow equilibration with the  
142 stem, according to the methodology described by McCutchan and Shackel (1992) and by Naor *et al*  
143 (1995). Similarly,  $\Psi_{fruit}$  was measured on one fruit per tree, with a total of 4 fruit per treatment.

144 For every measurement time, stem-to-leaf and stem-to-fruit  $\Psi$  gradients were calculated as the  
145 difference between  $\Psi_{stem}$  and  $\Psi_{leaf}$  and between  $\Psi_{stem}$  and  $\Psi_{fruit}$ , respectively. At all recording times  
146 and for all parameters, means ( $\pm$ SE) were then computed.

147

## 148 2.4 Leaf gas exchanges

149 The daily patterns of leaf gas exchanges (net photosynthesis, transpiration and stomatal conductance)  
150 were measured almost in correspondence with the water potential measurements (at 9:00, 13:00 and  
151 16:00 hour), using an open circuit infra-red gas exchange system fitted with a LED light source (Li-  
152 COR 6400, LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Measurements were carried out on one leaf per tree  
153 on 4 trees per treatment. During each measurement, light intensity was maintained constant setting  
154 the LED light source to the natural irradiance experienced by the leaves immediately before the  
155 measurements.

156

## 157 2.5 Fruit growth, vascular and transpiration flows

158 Daily fruit growth, phloem inflow, xylem in/outflow and transpiration outflow were determined on  
159 trees grafted on CAB6P and Gisela <sup>TM</sup> 6, following Lang (1990). This method assumes fruit diameter  
160 variation in a finite time interval as the result of the algebraic sum among phloem, xylem and  
161 transpiration flows. Vascular and transpiration flows are then calculated as the difference between  
162 the diameter variations of intact, girdled and detached fruit. This calculation is based on the further  
163 assumptions that: (i) xylem flow is not affected by girdling (Van der Wal et al., 2017) and (ii)  
164 transpiration rate is not affected by detachment. Fishman *et al.*, 2001 report how assumption can lead  
165 to some systematic errors, causing under- and over-estimation of phloem and xylem flows,  
166 respectively. However, these errors seem to be limited to specific times during the day and, to date,  
167 this is the only method which allows to estimate vascular and transpiration flows in the field, at short  
168 times scales and on a statistically sound number of samples.

169 The fruit diameter variations were monitored at 15 minute intervals by custom-built gauges interfaced  
170 with a wireless data-logger system. The gauges consisted of a light, stainless steel frame supporting  
171 a variable linear resistance transducer (Megatron Elektronik AG & Co., Munchen, Germany) (Fig 1).  
172 Temperature effects on the frame and the sensor were tested and showed negligible errors under  
173 normal field conditions (Morandi *et al.* 2007b). The wireless data-logger system (Wi-Net s.r.l.  
174 Cesena, Italy) (Giorgetti et al., 2014) to which the gauges were connected was composed of wireless  
175 nodes, located on the topmost part of the pillar, at the beginning of the rows, to send a better signal  
176 to a central network node, which acted as a gateway towards the internet, through a general packet  
177 radio service (GPRS) modem.

178 Fruit vascular and transpiration flows were determined over 4-6 days of measurement starting at 27  
179 and at 50 DAFB, for measurements carried out before and after veraison, respectively. For each  
180 period, diameter variations over time were simultaneously monitored on 8 representative, well  
181 exposed fruit placed on both sides of the row. Six of these fruit were subjected to the following

sequence of conditions: “intact” (with normal vascular connections), “girdled” (with the phloem connection severed) and “detached” (with all vascular connections severed). Phloem connections were severed by girdling the branch at both sides of the fruit pedicel insertion. In “detached” fruit the peduncle surface was covered with glue to avoid any water loss, and fruit were hung in their original position using thin wire. Fruit were monitored for one/two days on each of the “intact” and “girdled” conditions and only for one day on the “detached” condition, then, for each fruit, data collected on days with the same condition were averaged. Detached fruit were monitored for a shorter period of time (1 day) to avoid excessive dehydration of the tissue, which could lead to underestimate fruit transpiration. These measurements were carried out during periods with clear, sunny conditions. During these periods, 2 of the 8 fruit per treatment were continuously monitored in intact conditions and served as controls to verify that fruit daily growth rate and pattern did not change significantly during the period of measurement.

For each fruit, diameter data were converted to weights using Eq1.

The relative changes in fresh weight in a given time interval (t) were then calculated on each of the three conditions: normal (N), girdled (G) and detached (D), and phloem (P), xylem (X) and transpiration (T) flows were computed using the following equations:

Eq. 2:  $P_t = N_t - G_t$

Eq. 3:  $X_t = G_t - D_t$

Eq. 4:  $T_t = D_t$

Fruit growth rate, phloem, xylem and transpiration flows were expressed both as weight changes per whole fruit ( $\text{g fruit}^{-1}$ ) and per unit of fruit weight ( $\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). For all parameters (fruit growth, phloem, xylem and transpiration flows) fresh weight (FW) and changes per whole fruit (AGR) were calculated at daily time intervals ( $t = \text{day}$ ) using the following equation:

Eq. 5:  $\text{AGR}_{t1} = (\text{FW}_{t1} - \text{FW}_{t0}) / (t1 - t0)$

Similarly, FW changes per unit of fruit weight (RGR) were calculated at daily time intervals ( $t = \text{day}$ ), using the following equation:

Eq. 6:  $\text{RGR}_{t1} = (\text{FW}_{t1} - \text{FW}_{t0}) / (t1 - t0) * \text{FW}_{t0}$



215 At each recording time, data from the 6 fruit per treatment measured were averaged and standard  
216 errors were computed for all the parameters considered.

217

## 218 *2.6 Harvest, yield and fruit quality*

219 At 61 DAFB, the 16 trees used for the experiments (8 trees per treatment) were harvested and, for  
220 each tree, total yield and average fruit weight (g) were determined. In addition, soluble solids content  
221 (SSC) by was determined on 15 fruit per tree, on 4 trees. SSC was measured on a few juice drops by  
222 refractometry.

223

## 224 *2.7 Statistical analysis*

225 On all dates considered, all parameters monitored were analyzed as a completely random design: for  
226 the shoot and fruit growth, the water relation parameters ( $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ ,  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  and  $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$ , stem-to-leaf and stem-  
227 to-fruit  $\Psi$  gradient), the leaf gas exchanges (leaf photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and  
228 transpiration), the daily fruit growth, vascular and transpiration flows, the harvest yield, fruit weight  
229 and SSC, the two rootstocks were compared using a Student's t-test.

230

# 231 3. RESULTS

## 232 *3.1 Seasonal shoot and fruit growth*

233 Since the beginning of the measurements, trees on CAB6P showed longer shoots compared to  
234 Gisela™6 with initial lengths of  $15.6 \pm 1$  and  $8.3 \pm 0.5$  cm, respectively (Fig 2a). For both rootstocks,  
235 shoot seasonal growth pattern showed higher growth rates at the beginning of the season, followed  
236 by a general decrease in growth towards harvest (Fig 2a). During the initial stages, CAB6P shoots  
237 showed growth rates peaks of about  $1 \pm 0.2$  cm/day (compared to the  $0.7 \pm 0.3$  cm/day of Gisela™ 6)  
238 and reached almost twice the length of those on Gisela™ 6, at harvest (Fig 2a). On the contrary,  
239 Gisela™ 6 ended up with shorter shoots, characterized by very low growth rates at veraison, in  
240 correspondence with the period of maximum fruit growth (Fig. 2b).

241 For the two rootstocks, seasonal fruit growth showed the typical double sigmoid pattern characterized  
242 by periods of high growth rates in correspondence with cell division and cell expansion. Despite a  
243 slight difference recorded at 25-30 DAFB, both rootstocks maintained similar fruit growth rates  
244 during the season, which were lower during the pit hardening stage, until 35 DAFB, and increased  
245 afterwards, reaching values of ca.  $0.37 \text{ g fruit}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$  at 50-55 DAFB, in correspondence with fruit  
246 cell expansion (Fig. 2b).

247

## 248 *3.2 Water Relations*

249 In both dates, no difference was recorded in pre-dawn  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$  and  $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$  between the two rootstocks.  
 250 However, as time passed by, trees on Gisela™ 6 reached and maintained significantly lower  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$   
 251 during the day, compared to CAB6P, with minimum values of  $-0.8 \pm 0.01$  and  $-0.4 \pm 0.01$  MPa at 47  
 252 DAFB and of  $-0.86 \pm 0.04$  and  $-0.42 \pm 0.01$  MPa at 55 DAFB, for Gisela™ 6 and CAB6P, respectively  
 253 (Fig 3a, c).  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  never showed statistical differences between the two rootstocks, except at 55 DAFB,  
 254 9:00 hour, when Gisela™ 6 leaves showed slightly lower values compared to CAB6P (Fig 3c). Unlike  
 255 leaves,  $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$  was more affected by the rootstock, with fruit on Gisela™ 6 maintaining lower  $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$   
 256 values compared to fruit on CAB6P. These differences appeared already at 47 DAFB, becoming more  
 257 evident at 55 DAFB, with pre-dawn values of about  $-1.53 \pm 0.03$  and  $-1.12 \pm 0.05$  MPa for Gisela™ 6  
 258 and CAB6 fruit, respectively (Fig 3b, d).  
 259 On both dates, trees on Gisela™ 6 maintained lower stem-to-leaf  $\Psi$  gradients during the day,  
 260 compared to CAB6P, where these gradients increased from the morning to the afternoon, reaching  
 261 values of almost -1 MPa at 16:30 (Fig 4). On the contrary, except at 47 DAFB at 9:00 hour, similar  
 262 stem-to-fruit  $\Psi$  gradients were maintained during the day, between the two rootstocks, with values  
 263 around -1 MPa both at 47 and 55 DAFB (Fig 4).  
 264

### 265 *3.3 Leaf gas exchanges and water use efficiency*

266 CAB6P leaves showed a higher stomatal conductance at 9:00 and 16:00 hour, with values of  
 267  $0.30 \pm 0.03$  and  $0.22 \pm 0.04$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig 5b). At the same hours, Gisela™ 6 leaves  
 268 showed a decrease of about 30% and 50%, respectively (Fig 5b). Despite such an important decrease  
 269 in stomatal conductance, these leaves did not show a significant reduction in photosynthesis, with  
 270 values of  $13.7 \pm 0.9$  and  $11.3 \pm 0.8$   $\mu\text{mol CO}_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 9:00 and 16:00 hour, respectively (Fig 5a). On  
 271 the contrary, the daily trend in leaf transpiration followed changes in stomatal conductance with  
 272 significantly higher water losses from CAB6P leaves, that reached values of about  $4.3 \pm 0.6$  mmol H<sub>2</sub>O  
 273 m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 9:00 hour (Fig 5c).

274 At 55 DAFB, the two rootstocks maintained similar photosynthesis and stomatal conductance at 9:00  
 275 and 12:00 hour, while at 16:30 hour Gisela™ 6 leaves were subjected to a 25% and a 50% reduction  
 276 compared to CAB6P, respectively (Fig 6a, b). Water losses by transpiration maintained steady values  
 277 during the day in Gisela™ 6 leaves, while CAB6P showed important increases in leaf transpiration  
 278 that reached values of  $6.4 \pm 0.2$  mmol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to almost the double amount of water  
 279 transpired by Gisela™ 6 leaves, at the same time of day (Fig 6c).

280 Water use efficiency, expressed as the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> fixed per water transpired ( $\mu\text{mol CO}_2$  / mmol  
 281 H<sub>2</sub>O) was much higher for Gisela™ 6 both at 47 and 55 DAFB, with values that were ca. 30% higher  
 282 than CAB6P, regardless of the date and time of day (Fig 5d and 6d).

283

### 284 3.4 Fruit growth and vascular flows

285 Fruit growth showed similar patterns between the two rootstocks, both when expressed on a specific  
286 ( $\text{g g}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) and on a whole fruit ( $\text{g fruit}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) basis. Fruit relative growth rate (RGR) maintained steady  
287 values from 30 to 50 DAFB (ca.  $30\text{--}40 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 7a), while fruit absolute growth rate (AGR)  
288 widely increased during fruit development, reaching values of about  $212\pm30$  and  $230\pm6 \text{ mg fruit}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$   
289 <sup>1</sup> for fruit on Gisela™ 6 and on CAB6P, respectively (Fig. 7b).

290 With increasing fruit size (from 2 to 8 g at the beginning and at the end of the season, respectively),  
291 fruit specific transpiration decreased from about  $-100 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$  at 30 DAFB (2012) to  $-5 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$   
292 at 50 DAFB (Fig. 7c), with no apparent differences between the two rootstocks. A similar pattern was  
293 followed by specific xylem flow (Fig. 7e) which decreased accordingly. Specific phloem flow  
294 maintained steady values for both rootstocks (Fig. 7g). On a whole fruit basis, both xylem and  
295 transpiration flows decreased their daily amounts from 30 to 50 DAFB, with no statistical differences  
296 between rootstocks. On the contrary, phloem flow showed an important increase, passing from  $54\pm23$   
297 and  $55\pm22 \text{ mg fruit}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$  at 30 DAFB to  $156\pm44$  and  $210\pm6 \text{ mg fruit}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$  at 50 DAFB, for Gisela™ 6  
298 and CAB6P, respectively (Fig. 7h).

299 For both rootstocks, the relative contribution of the xylem and phloem flow to fruit growth was about  
300 80 and 20% at 30 DAFB and changed to ca. 25 and 75% at 50 DAFB, respectively. Cherry fruit lost  
301 70 and 20 % of their daily inflows by epidermis transpiration, at 30 e 50 DAFB, respectively.

302

### 303 3.5 Harvest Yield and fruit quality

304 Harvest data showed average yields of 2.59 and 1.99 kg/tree for trees grafted on Gisela™ 6 and on  
305 CAB6P, respectively, while similar average fruit weight (ca. 8 g/fruit) were obtained for the two  
306 rootstocks. Despite yield was not significantly different between the two rootstocks, these values  
307 indicate a higher crop load on Gisela™6 trees. Soluble solids content was higher for fruit on Gisela™  
308 6, with values of 19.5 °Brix, against the 17.18 °Brix recorded from CAB6P fruit (Table 1).

309

## 310 4. DISCUSSION

311 As reported in literature (Gonçalves et al. 2006a; Ljubojevic et al. 2013,), rootstock vigor deeply  
312 affected the scion vegetative growth, with higher shoot lengths and growth rates on trees grafted on  
313 CAB6P, from the beginning of the season, until harvest (Fig. 2a). On the contrary, shoots on the more  
314 dwarfing rootstock Gisela™6 grew slowly, with minima in growth velocity in correspondence with  
315 the fruit cell expansion stage, the period of maximum fruit growth (Fig. 2a). The slower growth of  
316 Gisela™6 shoots suggests a shift in the relative resource partitioning towards fruit sinks which at this

stage need high amounts of water and carbohydrates to sustain their growth. CAB6 shoots continued their growth also in correspondence with fruit cell expansion, the period of maximum fruit growth rates, leading to a higher final vegetative growth, which is typical of vigorous rootstocks (Gonçalves et al. 2006a, 2006b) (Fig 2a). On the other hand, rootstock vigor did not affect average fruit weight during most of the growing season and at harvest. In fact, even if some differences in fruit growth were recorded during cell division, trees on the two rootstocks maintained similar fruit growth rates during the season, which were slower in correspondence with pit hardening and increased during cell expansion, reaching maximum velocities (ca.  $0.35 \text{ g fruit}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) at 50-55 DAFB, in correspondence with veraison (Fig. 2b). Therefore, the semi-dwarfing rootstock Gisela™ 6 sustained the same fruit growth of CAB6P, despite the much higher crop load and harvested yield (Table 1). These results are in accordance with Ayala and Lang (2018) who reported how fruit from trees on Gisela™ 6 become extremely strong sinks during the period of most rapid fruit growth. Other studies also confirm how the higher productive efficiency of dwarfing rootstock tends not to compromise fruit size in cherry (Lang, 2000; Bassi, 2005; Withing and Lang, 2004). Accordingly, daily vascular flows both expressed as a specific contribution and on a whole fruit basis, did not show differences between rootstocks, for any of the flows considered (phloem, xylem and transpiration) (Fig. 7), in agreement with the similar seasonal fruit growth patterns and final fruit size, recorded for the two rootstocks (Table 1).

Fruit vascular flows data confirm what reported by Brüggerwirth et al. (2016) and shows how, during the initial stage of fruit development, cherry fruit growth is characterized by high water in/outflows by xylem and transpiration, while the phloem contribution only accounts for about 20% of the total daily inflows (Fig. 7). Later in the season, fruit water exchanges from the tree to the atmosphere decrease, together with the xylem and transpiration flows. At the same time, the phloem contribution increases and sustains fruit growth for about 80% in correspondence with fruit cell expansion (Fig 7). These results suggest how the mechanism of cherry fruit growth changes deeply with fruit development, leading to a progressive decrease in fruit surface conductance (Knoche et al., 2001) and to a likely partial xylem dysfunctionality (Brüggerwirth and Knoche, 2015), as it happens in apple (Lang, 1990), or to a lack in the necessary water potential gradients within the xylem vessels, which on its turn can be a consequence of the reduced fruit transpiration. However, the relatively high stem-to-fruit  $\Psi$  gradients recorded in this work during fruit cell expansion stage (Fig 4) suggest how this latter hypothesis might be unlikely.

The fact that the vascular flows sustaining fruit growth are not affected by vigor can be explained by the water relations and leaf gas exchange data recorded during the experiment (Fig 3-6). In fact,  $\Psi$  data show how the rootstock deeply affects tree water status, with  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$  reaching much more negative

351 values on Gisela™ 6 trees, compared to CAB6P (Fig 4). The lower  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$  indicates the difficulty of  
 352 dwarfing rootstocks to meet their canopy transpiration demand due: i) to the lower absorption  
 353 capacity of their root system (which is less developed) and ii) to the lower hydraulic conductivity in  
 354 the grafting point and in the scion (Gonçalves et al. 2005, 2006). As flows of water and carbohydrates  
 355 move following  $\Psi$  gradients within the vascular system (Munch, 1930; Patrick, 1990), the more  
 356 negative the  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ , the higher will be the need for shoots and fruit to decrease their  $\Psi$  in order to  
 357 attract water and carbohydrate resources towards themselves. Despite the reduction in  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ , Gisela™  
 358 6 leaves maintained similar  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  to CAB6P (Fig. 3), resulting in lower stem-to-leaf  $\Psi$  gradients (Fig  
 359 4) during most of the day and thus in a lower capacity to attract water. The same mechanisms can  
 360 apply to shoot tips, which might then lack the necessary turgor for their growth.

361 On the contrary, Gisela™6 fruit showed a better adaptation to the lower water availability thanks to  
 362 a decrease in their  $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$ , that allowed them to maintain stem-to-fruit  $\Psi$  gradients similar to CAB6P  
 363 (Fig 4). As fruit transpiration flows are similar between the two rootstocks (Fig 7c,d), the lower fruit  
 364 water potentials recorded for Gisela™6, especially as the fruit gets closer to maturity (Schumann et  
 365 al., 2014) (Fig 3 b, d), could be due to specific mechanisms of osmotic adjustment (i.e. a higher  
 366 solutes accumulation, probably in the apoplast) (Patrick, 1990) allowing fruit to attract more water  
 367 and carbohydrates towards themselves, thus becoming sinks more competitive compared to shoot  
 368 leaves. This hypothesis is supported by the higher soluble solid concentration found in Gisela™6 fruit  
 369 at harvest (Table 1). In fact, soluble solid concentration has been found to be highly related to fruit  
 370 osmotic potential (Winkler and Knoche, 2018), thus sustaining the hypothesis of an osmotic  
 371 adjustment in fruit on dwarfing rootstocks. The relative change in the stem-to-leaf and in the stem-  
 372 to-fruit  $\Psi$  gradients hereby reported contributes to explain the reduced vegetative growth typical of  
 373 dwarfing rootstocks (Fig 2a), together with the maintenance of non-limiting fruit growth rates, as  
 374 confirmed by the daily data for xylem and phloem flows, which are not affected by the rootstock (Fig  
 375 7). In addition, the leaf capacity to fix carbon was not significantly affected by the rootstock, except  
 376 in one case, in the late afternoon, at 55 DAFB (Fig. 6a), despite leaves on CAB6P showed a much  
 377 higher stomatal conductance compared to Gisela™ 6 during the day (Fig 6b). This difference could  
 378 partly depend on the higher stem-to-leaf  $\Psi$  gradient recorded on CAB6P and thus on the higher  
 379 capacity of these leaves to attract water (Fig. 4) which, by consequence, led to extremely high water  
 380 losses by transpiration (Fig 5c and 6c) and to a lower water use efficiency (Fig 5d and 6d), at times  
 381 of high VPD.

382 In our environmental conditions, the lower stomatal conductance on Gisela™ 6 trees does not appear  
 383 to be limiting for photosynthesis and still allows the tree to fix enough carbon to sustain its fruit  
 384 growth at similar rates to CAB6P. Furthermore, it prevents Gisela™6 leaves to lose high amounts of

385 water via transpiration, mainly during the afternoon hours, thus allowing a constantly higher WUE  
386 compared to the more vigorous rootstock (Fig. 6d). However, the reduced photosynthesis of  
387 Gisela™6 trees occurring at times of higher VPDs, which were recorded only occasionally in our  
388 conditions (such at 55 DAFB, in the late afternoon) (Fig 6a), might become a major limitation in  
389 more stressful environments, where the cumulative day by day effect of reduced carbon assimilation  
390 due to drought stress, over weeks, might significantly reduce the tree productive efficiency. This may  
391 explain the difficulties of applying dwarfing rootstocks in environments characterized by high  
392 evapotranspiration requirements and water scarcity.

393

## 394 CONCLUSIONS

395 Results reported in this paper provide some insights on how rootstock vigor can alter sweet cherry  
396 productive efficiency. According to our results, the physiological reasons of the higher productive  
397 efficiency of cherry trees on Gisela™6 can be summarized as follows:

- 398 i) When water is non-limiting, trees on dwarfing rootstocks maintain enough photosynthetic  
399 capacity to fully sustain their fruit growth. This occurs thanks to stomatal conductance  
400 values that, although reduced in comparison with more vigorous rootstocks, are still not  
401 limiting for photosynthesis.
- 402 ii) Changes in whole canopy water relations decrease the sink strength of shoots and lead to  
403 a shift in the relative partitioning of water and carbohydrates towards fruit sinks. This  
404 seems to occur thanks to an adaptation capacity of fruit that, unlike leaves, are more able  
405 to lower their  $\Psi_{\text{fruit}}$  to keep up with the lower  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$  typical of dwarfing rootstocks. On the  
406 other hand, high-vigor rootstocks appear to physiologically shift towards higher  
407 vegetative sink strength thanks to the relatively higher  $\Psi$  gradient between stem and  
408 leaves.

409 Results also show a higher leaf water use efficiency in Gisela™6 trees. To maintain this efficiency a  
410 careful irrigation management might be important as trees on dwarfing rootstocks may be more  
411 sensitive to water scarcity, especially at times and in environments with high evapotranspiration  
412 requirements. In an environmental context where water is a limited resource, these data are  
413 particularly interesting, although further studies are needed to evaluate the actual possibility to use  
414 water more efficiently in orchard on dwarfing rootstocks, which are known to be more subjective to  
415 water stress.

416

417

## 418 REFERENCES

419 Bassi., G. 2005. “Influenza dei portainnesti sulla produzione del ciliegio”. L’informatore Agrario 24,  
 420 55-59.  
 421

422 Brüggewirth, M., Knoche, M. 2015. Xylem conductance of sweet cherry pedicels  
 423 Trees 29 (6), 1851-1860.  
 424

425 Brüggewirth, M., Winkler, A., Knoche, M. 2016. Xylem, Phloem, and transpiration flows in  
 426 developing sweet cherry fruit. Trees 30,1821-1830.  
 427

428 DeJong, TM., Goudriaan, J. 1989. Modelling peach fruit growth and carbohydrate requirements:  
 429 reevaluation of the double-sigmoid growth pattern. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 114, 800–804  
 430

431 Edwards, E.J., Collins, M.J., Boettcher, A., Clingeleffer, P.C., Walker, R.R. 2014. The role of  
 432 rootstocks in grapevine water use efficiency: Impacts on transpiration, stomatal control and yield  
 433 efficiency. Acta Hort. 1038, 121-128.  
 434

435 Fishman, S., Génard, M. 1998. “A biophysical model of fruit growth: simulation of seasonal and  
 436 diurnal dynamics of mass” Plant, Cell Env. 21,739-752.  
 437

438 Fishman, S., Genard, M., Huguet, J.G. 2001. Theoretical analysis of systematic errors introduced by  
 439 a pedicel-girdling technique used to estimate separately the xylem and phloem flows. J. Theor. Biol.  
 440 213, 435–46.  
 441

442 Giorgetti, A., Lucchi, M., Tavelli, E., Chiani, E., Dardar, D. 2014. Design and Deployment of a  
 443 Wireless Sensor Network for Landslide Risk Management. Proceedings of the IEEE WiMob.  
 444 Workshop on Emergency Networks for Public Protection and Disaster Relief, 292-297.  
 445

446 Gibeaut, D.M., Whiting, M.D., Einhorn, T. 2017. Time indices of multiphasic development in  
 447 genotypes of sweet cherry are similar from dormancy to cessation of pit growth. Ann. Bot. 119 (3),  
 448 465-475.  
 449

450 Gonçalves, B., Correia, C.M., Silva, A.P., Bacelar, E.A., Santos, A., Ferreira, H., Moutinho-Pereira  
 451 J.M. 2006a. Variation in xylem structure and function in roots and stems of scion-rootstock  
 452 combinations of sweet cherry tree. Trees 21,127-129.

453

454 Gonçalves, B., Moutinho-Pereira, J., Santos, A., Silva, A. P., Bacela, E., Correia, C., Rosa, E. 2006b.  
455 Scion-rootstock interaction affects the physiology and fruit quality of sweet cherry. *Tree Phys.* 26,  
456 94-104.

457

458 Hrotkò, K., Rozpara, E. Rootstocks and Improvement In: Quero-García, J., Iezzoni, A., Pulawska, J.,  
459 Lang, G. 2017. *Cherries: Botany, production and uses.*, pp. 117-139.

460

461 Lang, A. 1990. Xylem, phloem and transpiration flows in developing apple fruits. *J. Hort. Sci.* 70,  
462 645-652.

463

464 Lang, G.A. 2000. Precocious, dwarfing, and productive – how will new cherry rootstocks  
465 impact the sweet cherry industry? *HortTechnol.* 10,719-725.

466

467 Lang, G.A. 2005. Underlying principles of high density sweet cherry production. *Acta Hort.* 667,  
468 325-336.

469

470 Lang, G.A., Blatt, S., Embree, C., Grant, J., Hoying, S., Ingels, C., Neilsen, D., Neilsen, G., Robinson,  
471 T. 2014. Developing and evaluating intensive sweet cherry orchard systems: The NC140 regional  
472 research trial. *Acta Hort.* 1058, 113-120.

473

474 Lugli, S. 2011. Speciale ciliegio. Nuovi impianti di ciliegio le regole da seguire. *L'informatore*  
475 *Agrario* 21, 35-41.

476

477 Ljubojević, M., Ognjanov, V., Zorić, L., Maksimović, I., Merkulov, L., Bošnjaković, D., Barać, G.  
478 2013. Modeling of water movement through cherry plant as preselecting tool for prediction of tree  
479 vigor. *Sci. Hort.* 160,189-197.

480

481 Knoche, M., Peschel, S., Hinz, M., Bukovac, M.J. 2001. Studies on water transport through the  
482 sweet cherry fruit surface: II. Conductance of the cuticle in relation to fruit development. *Planta*,  
483 213 (6), 927-936.

484

485 McCutchan, H., Shackel, KA. 1992. Stem-water potential as a sensitive indicator of water stress in  
486 prune trees (*Prunus domestica* L. cv. French). *J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 117,607-11.



487

488 Morandi, B., Manfrini, L., Zibordi, M., Noferini, M., Fiori, G., Corelli Grappadelli, L. 2007a. A low-  
489 cost device for accurate and continuous measurement of fruit growth. Hortscience 42,1380-82.

490

491 Morandi, B., Rieger, M., Corelli Grappadelli, L. 2007b. Vascular flows and transpiration affect peach  
492 (*Prunus Persica* Batsch.) fruit daily growth. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 3942-3943.

493

494 Morandi, B., Manfrini, L., Losciale, P., Zibordi, M., Corelli Grappadelli, L. 2010. Changes in vascular  
495 and transpiration flows affect the seasonal and daily growth of Kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*) berry.  
496 Ann. Bot. 105, 913-923.

497

498 Morandi, B., Losciale, P., Manfrini, L., Zibordi, M., Anconelli, S., Pierpaoli, E., Corelli Grappadelli,  
499 L. 2014. Leaf gas exchanges and water relations affect the daily patterns of fruit growth and vascular  
500 flows in Abbé Fétel pear (*Pyrus Communis* L.) trees. Sci. Hort. 178,106-113.

501

502 Münch E. Die Stoffbewegungen in der Pflanze. Gustav Fischer, Jena; 1930.

503

504 Naor, A., Klein, I., Doron, I. 1995. Stem water potential and apple size. J Amer Soc Hort Sci 120,577-  
505 82

506

507 Neilsen, D., Neilsen, G.H., Forge, T., Lang, G.A. 2016. Dwarfing rootstocks and training systems  
508 affect initial growth, cropping and nutrition in 'Skeena' sweet cherry. Acta Hort. 1130,199-205.

509

510 Olmstead, A.M., Lang, N. S., Lang, A. G., Ewers, F.W., Owens, S. A. 2006. Examining the vascular  
511 pathway of sweet cherry grafted onto dwarfing rootstocks. HortScience 41,677,678.

512

513 Olmstead, M.A., Lang, N.S., Lang, G.A. 2010. Carbohydrate profiles in the graft union of young  
514 sweet cherry trees grown on dwarfing and vigorous rootstocks. Sci. Hort. 124 (1),78-82.

515

516 Peschiutta, M.L., Bucci, S.J., Scholz, F.G., Kowal, R.F., Goldstein, G. 2013. Leaf and stem hydraulic  
517 traits in relation to growth, water use and fruit yield in *Prunus avium* L. cultivars. Trees 27 (6), 1559-  
518 1569.

519

520 Prassinou, C., Ko, J.-H., Lang, G., Iezzoni, A.F., Han, K.-H. 2009. Rootstock-induced dwarfing in  
 521 cherries is caused by differential cessation of terminal meristem growth and is triggered by rootstock-  
 522 specific gene regulation. *Tree Phys.* 29 (7), 927-936.

523

524 Patrick, J.W. 1990. Sieve element unloading : cellular pathway, mechanism and control. *Phys. Plant.*  
 525 78,298-308.

526

527 Schumann, C., Jürgen Schlege, H., Grimm, E., Knoche, M., Lang, A. 2014. Water potential and its  
 528 components in developing sweet cherry. *Journal of the Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 139 (4),349-355.

529

530 Turner, NC, Long, MJ. Errors arising from rapid water loss in the measurement of leaf water potential  
 531 by the pressure chamber technique. *Austr J Plant Phys* 1980;7:527–37.

532

533 Van de Wal, B.A.E., Windt, C.W., Leroux, O., Steppe, K. 2017. Heat girdling does not affect xylem  
 534 integrity: an in vivo magnetic resonance imaging study in the tomato peduncle. *New Phyt.* 215 (2),  
 535 558-568.

536

537 Whiting, M.D., Lang, G.A. 2004. `Bing' sweet cherry on the dwarfing rootstock Gisela 5: Thinning  
 538 affects fruit quality and vegetative growth, but not net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*  
 539 129,407-415.

540

541 Winkler, A., Knoche, M.2018. Predicting osmotic potential from measurements of refractive index  
 542 in cherries, grapes and plums. *PloS one*, 13 (11), p. e0207626.

543

#### 544 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS


545 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or  
 546 not-for-profit sectors.

547


548



550  
551 FIGURE 1 Gauge for the continuous monitoring of fruit growth of ‘Black Star’ sweet cherry at 55  
552 DAFB.  
553




554  
555 FIGURE 2: Seasonal shoot (a) and fruit (b) growth pattern of ‘Black Star’ sweet cherry trees grafted  
556 on CAB6P (continuous line) and Gisela™ 6 (dashed line) rootstocks. Each point represents the mean  
557 ( $\pm$ SE) of 48 shoots and 64 fruit, respectively. Statistical comparison between rootstocks with  
558 Student’s t test. \*:P<0.05 \*\*:P<0.01  
559  
560



562


563 FIGURE 3 Diurnal pattern of stem (black lines) and leaf (grey lines) (a, c) and of stem (black lines)  
564 and fruit (gray lines) water potentials (b, d) measured on ‘Black Star’ sweet cherry trees grafted on  
565 CAB6P (continuous lines) and on Gisela™6 (dashed lines), at 47 (a, b) and at 55 (c, d) DAFB. Each  
566 point represents the mean ( $\pm$ SE) of 4 measurements. Statistical analysis with Student’s t test. \*P<0.05  
567 \*\*P<0.01



568

569 FIGURE 4. Stem-to-leaf (a, c) and stem-t- fruit (b, d)  $\Psi$  gradients calculated for 9:00, 12:30 and  
 570 16:30 hour, at 47 DAFB and at 55 DAFB on 'Black Star' sweet cherry trees grafted on CAB6P (grey  
 571 bars) and Gisela™ 6 (white bars) rootstocks. Each bar reports the mean ( $\pm$ SE) of 4 replicates.  
 572 Statistical comparison between rootstocks with Student's t test. \*:P<0.05 \*\*:P<0.01


573



574


575 FIGURE 5: Leaf net assimilation rate (a), stomatal conductance (b), leaf transpiration (c) and water  
 576 use efficiency (WUE) recorded at 9:00, 13:00 and 16:00 hour, at 47 DAFB for 'Black Star' sweet  
 577 cherry trees on CAB6P (grey bars) and Gisela™ 6 (white bars) rootstocks. Each bar reports the mean  
 578 ( $\pm$ SE) of 4 replicates. Statistical comparison between rootstocks with Student's t test. \*:P<0.05  
 579 \*\*:P<0.01

580



581

582 **FIGURE 6:** Leaf net assimilation rate (a), stomatal conductance (b), leaf transpiration (c) and water  
 583 use efficiency (WUE) recorded at 9:00, 13:00 and 16:00 hour, at 55 DAFB for ‘Black Star’ sweet  
 584 cherry trees on CAB 6P (grey bars) and Gisela™ 6 (white bars) rootstocks. Each bar reports the mean  
 585 ( $\pm$ SE) of 4 replicates. Statistical comparison between rootstocks with Student’s t test. \*:P<0.05  
 586 \*\*:P<0.01



587

588 FIGURE 7: Mean ( $\pm$  SE) relative (RGR) (a) and absolute (AGR) (b) growth rates, specific (c) and  
 589 whole fruit transpiration (d), specific (e) and whole fruit xylem flow (f), specific (g) and whole fruit  
 590 phloem flow (h) from/to cherry fruit of ‘Black Star’ sweet cherry trees grafted on CAB6P (grey bars)  
 591 and on Gisela™6 (dashed bars) rootstocks, at 30 and 50 DAFB. Data are the mean of at least 6 fruit.  
 592 No statistical difference was found between treatments using a Student’s t test.

593



	Gisela™ 6	CAB6P
Yield (kg/tree) ± SE	2.59 ± 0.27	1.99 ± 0.34
SSC (°Brix) ± SE	19.54 ± 0.27	17.18 ± 0.32 *
Fruit weight (g) ± SE	8.5 ± 0.6	8.2 ± 0.7

TABLE 1: Yield, soluble solids content (SSC) and mean fruit weight at harvest for ‘Black Star’ sweet cherry trees grafted on CAB 6P and Gisela™ 6 rootstocks. Each bar represents the mean of 4 trees and of 15 fruit (±SE). Statistical comparison between rootstocks with Student’s t test. \*:P<0.05