



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM  
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE  
DELLA RICERCA

## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Sensory and chemical profile of a phenolic extract from olive mill waste waters in plant-base food with varied macro-composition

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

De Toffoli A., Monteleone E., Bucalossi G., Veneziani G., Fia G., Servili M., et al. (2019). Sensory and chemical profile of a phenolic extract from olive mill waste waters in plant-base food with varied macro-composition. *FOOD RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL*, 119, 236-243 [10.1016/j.foodres.2019.02.005].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/738198> since: 2020-02-27

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.02.005>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

De Toffoli A., Monteleone E., Bucalossi G., Veneziani G., Fia G., Servili M., Zanoni B., Pagliarini E., Gallina Toschi T., Dinnella C. *“Sensory and chemical profile of a phenolic extract from olive mill waste waters in plant-base food with varied macro-composition”*

which has been published in final form in Food Research International 2019, vol. 119, pages 236-243

The final published version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.02.005>

© 2020 Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Manuscript Number: FOODRES-D-18-03581R2

Title: Sensory and chemical profile of a phenolic extract from olive mill waste waters in plant-based food with varied macro-composition

Article Type: Research Articles

Keywords: functional foods, by-products, bitterness, pungency, astringency, proteins, carbohydrates

Corresponding Author: Professor Erminio Monteleone,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Università degli Studi di Firenze (Florence)

First Author: Alessandra De Toffoli

Order of Authors: Alessandra De Toffoli; Erminio Monteleone; Ginevra Bucalossi; Gianluca Veneziani; Giovanna Fia; Maurizio Servili; Bruno Zanon; Ella Pagliarini; Tullia Gallina Toschi; Caterina Dinnella

Abstract: Phenols from olive mill waste water (OMWW) represent valuable functional ingredients. The negative impact on sensory quality limits their use in functional food formulations. Chemical interactions phenols/biopolymers and their consequences on bioactivity in plant-based foods have been widely investigated, but no studies to date have explored the variation of bitterness, astringency and pungency induced by OMWW phenols as a function of the food composition.

The aim of the paper was to profile the sensory and chemical properties of phenols from OMWW in plant-based foods varied in their macro-composition.

Four phenol concentrations were selected (0.44, 1.00, 2.25, 5.06 g/kg) to induce significant variations of bitterness, sourness, astringency and pungency in three plant-based food: proteins/neutral pH - bean purée (BP), starch/neutral pH - potato purée (PP), fiber/low pH - tomato juice (TJ). The macro-composition affected the amount of the phenols recovered from functionalized food. The highest recovery was from TJ and the lowest from BP. Two groups of 29 and 27 subjects, trained to general Labelled Magnitude Scale and target sensations, participated in the evaluation of psychophysical curves of OMWW phenols and of functionalized plant-based foods, respectively. Target sensations were affected by the food macro-composition. Bitterness increased with phenol concentration in all foods. Astringency and sourness slightly increased with concentration, reaching the weak-moderate intensity at the highest phenol concentration in PP and TJ only. Pungency was suppressed in BP and perceived at weak-moderate intensity in PP and TJ sample at the highest phenol concentration. Proteins/neutral pH plant-food (BP) resulted more appropriate to counteract the impact of added phenol on negative sensory properties thus allowing to optimize the balance between health and sensory properties.

Research Data Related to this Submission

-----

There are no linked research data sets for this submission. The following reason is given:  
Data will be made available on request

1 **Sensory and chemical profile of a phenolic extract from olive mill waste waters in plant-base**  
2 **food with varied macro-composition**

3  
4  
5 4 <sup>1</sup>De Toffoli A., <sup>1</sup>Monteleone E.\*, <sup>1</sup>Bucalossi G., <sup>2</sup>Veneziani G., <sup>1</sup>Fia G., <sup>2</sup>Servili M., <sup>1</sup>Zanoni B.,  
6  
7 5 <sup>3</sup>Pagliarini E., <sup>4</sup>Gallina Toschi T., <sup>1</sup>Dinnella C.

8  
9 6  
10  
11 7 <sup>1</sup>Dept.GESAAF-University of Florence, Italy

12 8 <sup>2</sup>Dept. Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences -University of Perugia, Italy

13  
14 9 <sup>3</sup>Dept. DeFENS-University of Milan, Italy

15  
16 10 <sup>4</sup>Dep. DiSTAL, Alma Mater Studiorum - University of Bologna, Italy  
17  
18 11  
19  
20 12

21  
22 13 **\*Corresponding author:** [erminio.monteleone@unifi.it](mailto:erminio.monteleone@unifi.it)  
23  
24 14

25 15 ***Abstract***

26  
27 16 *Phenols from olive mill waste water (OMWW) represent valuable functional ingredients. The*  
28  
29 17 *negative impact on sensory quality limits their use in functional food formulations. Chemical*  
30  
31 18 *interactions phenols/biopolymers and their consequences on bioactivity in plant-base foods have*  
32  
33 19 *been widely investigated, but no studies to date have explored the variation of bitterness,*  
34  
35 20 *astringency and pungency induced by OMWW phenols as a function of the food composition.*

36 21 *The aim of the paper was to profile the sensory and chemical properties of phenols from OMWW*  
37  
38 22 *in plant-base foods varied in their macro-composition.*

39  
40 23 *Four phenol concentrations were selected (0.44, 1.00, 2.25, 5.06 g/kg) to induce significant*  
41  
42 24 *variations of bitterness, sourness, astringency and pungency in three plant-base food:*  
43  
44 25 *proteins/neutral pH - bean purée (BP), starch/neutral pH - potato purée (PP), fiber/low pH -*  
45  
46 26 *tomato juice (TJ). The macro-composition affected the amount of the phenols recovered from*  
47  
48 27 *functionalized food. The highest recovery was from TJ and the lowest from BP. Two groups of 29*  
49  
50 28 *and 27 subjects, trained to general Labelled Magnitude Scale and target sensations, participated*  
51  
52 29 *in the evaluation of psychophysical curves of OMWW phenols and of functionalized plant-base*  
53  
54 30 *foods, respectively. Target sensations were affected by the food macro-composition. Bitterness*  
55  
56 31 *increased with phenol concentration in all foods. Astringency and sourness slightly increased with*  
57  
58 32 *concentration, reaching the weak-moderate intensity at the highest phenol concentration in PP*  
59  
60 33 *and TJ only. Pungency was suppressed in BP and perceived at weak-moderate intensity in PP and*  
61  
62 34 *TJ sample at the highest phenol concentration.*  
63  
64  
65

35 *Proteins/neutral pH plant-food (BP) resulted more appropriate to counteract the impact of added*  
1 *phenol on negative sensory properties thus allowing to optimize the balance between health and*  
2 *sensory properties.*  
3  
4

5 38  
6  
7 **Key-words:** functional foods, by-products, bitterness, pungency, astringency, proteins,  
8  
9 carbohydrates  
10

## 11 41 12 42 **Highlights**

- 13 43 • Food macro-composition affects the amount of recovered phenols
- 14 44 • The lowest recovery was from proteins/neutral pH plant-food
- 15 45 • Intensities of sensations depend by phenol concentration and food macro-composition
- 16 46 • Proteins/neutral pH food counteracted phenol induced “warning” sensations.

## 17 47 18 48 **Introduction**

19 49 Plant phenolics are powerful antioxidants and free radical scavengers whose protective effects  
20 50 against cardiovascular diseases and oxidative stress related pathologies have been demonstrated  
21 51 (Shahidi & Ambigaipalan, 2015). Plant by-products represent a valuable source of these natural  
22 52 antioxidants and the recovery of such high-value bioactive compounds may have beneficial effects  
23 53 on the economic and environmental sustainability of agro-industry (Kowalska, Czajkowska,  
24 54 Cichowska, & Lenart, 2017).

25 55  
26 56 Phenolic compounds from olive fruit belong to the class of secoiridoids. Oleuropein, ligstroside,  
27 57 demethylcarboxyoleuropein and nüzhenide are the most abundant glucoside forms of secoiridoids  
28 58 in olive drupe (Servili et al., 2004). Because of the enzymatic and non-enzymatic phenomena  
29 59 along the oil extraction process (Trapani et al., 2017), phenolic compounds in virgin olive oils are  
30 60 mainly represented by the secoiridoid aglycon forms such as 3,4-DHPEA-EDA, *p*-HPEA-EDA, *p*-  
31 61 HPEA-EA and 3,4-DHPEA-EA, and phenolic alcohols (3,4-DHPEA and *p*-HPEA). These phenols  
32 62 are abundant in olive mill waste water (OMWW), the main waste of the virgin olive oil production  
33 63 industry. The phenolic compounds from virgin olive oils and from their by-products are  
34 64 characterized by antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, chemo-preventive properties  
35 65 (Bendini et al., 2007; Servili et al., 2014). Moreover, OMWW disposal represents a major cost in  
36 66 olive oil production, and the recovery of bioactive phenols may greatly help the sustainability of  
37 67 the olive oil industry.  
38 68

69 Phenols from plant by-products (Torri et al., 2016; Świeca, Gawlik-Dziki, Sęczyk, Dziki, &  
1 70 Sikora, 2018; Nirmala, Bisht, Bajwa, & Santosh, 2018), including OMWW (Araújo, Pimentel,  
2 71 Alves, & Oliveira, 2015; Esposto et al., 2015; Servili et al., 2011a; Servili et al., 2011b), have  
3 72 been proposed as functional ingredients that are able to enhance food and beverage antioxidant  
4 73 activity and its potential pro-health effects. Unfortunately, phenol compounds are mainly  
5 74 responsible for the bitterness, astringency and pungency in phenol rich foods (Lesschaeve &  
6 75 Noble, 2005). For instance, secoiridoid aglycons 3,4-DHPEA-EDA and *p*-HPEA-EDA induce  
7 76 intense bitter taste and pungent sensations (Vitaglione et al., 2015). The intensity of these phenol-  
8 77 induced ‘warning’ sensations significantly affects preference and choice of phenol rich vegetable  
9 78 foods (Dinnella, Recchia, Tuorila, & Monteleone, 2011).

10 79  
11 80 Developing a phenol-enriched functional food can be a challenging task since consumers are not  
12 81 willing to compromise on sensory quality when it comes to functional foods (Verbeke, 2006;  
13 82 Krystallis, Maglaras, & Mamalis, 2008; Jaeger, Axten, Wohlers, & Sun-Waterhouse, 2009).  
14 83 Hence, strategies to control for the intensity of warning sensations need to be considered when  
15 84 developing phenol enriched functional foods. Three main strategies can be envisaged to reduce the  
16 85 intensity of the unacceptable sensory properties of phenols (Ares, Barreiro, Deliza, & Gámbaro,  
17 86 2009; Gaudette & Pickering, 2012; Keast, 2008).

18 87  
19 88 The first of these is to take advantage of common perceptual interaction in which the suppression  
20 89 of the target sensations occurs through the addition of a counteracting tastant. Sweeteners, fats and  
21 90 salt can lead to perceptual interactions that reduce the impact of phenols on sensory properties of  
22 91 functional food, but these sensory stimuli may also negatively impact on functional food pro-  
23 92 health properties due to the energy and salt intake. Furthermore, the perceived level of healthiness  
24 93 in food is frequently linked to naturalness which may also imply the absence of unnecessary  
25 94 ingredients (Román, Sánchez-Siles, & Siegrist, 2017). Functional foods perceived as natural are  
26 95 more likely to be consumed (Carrillo, Prado-Gascó, Fiszman, & Varela, 2013). Thus, the  
27 96 appropriate strategy to mitigate the impact of phenols on sensory properties of functional food  
28 97 should be to lower the intensity of phenol-induced sensations and limit the use of ingredients that  
29 98 can compromise the pro-health expectations for this food product category.

30 99  
31 100 Secondly, tasteless ingredients that compete for phenol receptor binding, such as cyclodextrin  
32 101 derivatives, can be employed (Gaudette & Pickering, 2012).

103 Finally, the chemical interactions between phenols and biopolymers naturally occurring in  
104 vegetable foods (Zhang et al., 2014) can be seen as an appropriate strategy to lower functional  
105 phenol bitter and astringent potential. Plant biopolymers can act as a physical barrier for phenol  
106 stimuli utilized, thus hindering their interactions with sensory receptors and saliva. Many factors  
107 affect phenol/biopolymer binding including pH and reagent features such as chemical  
108 compositions, structure, hydrophobic/hydrophilic character (Kroll, Rawel & Rohon, 2003).  
109 Several studies have investigated the chemical features of phenol/biopolymer interactions and their  
110 consequences on bioactivity (Jakobek, 2015; Ozdal, Capanoglu, & Altay, 2013) but no studies to  
111 date have explored the systematic variation of target sensations induced by functional phenols in  
112 plant-base food.

113  
114 The aim of the paper was to profile the sensory and chemical properties of phenols extracted from  
115 OMWW in plant-base foods varied in their macro-composition in which different  
116 phenol/biopolymer interactions might occur. Selected plant-base foods were proteins/neutral pH -  
117 bean purée (BP), starch/neutral pH - potato purée (PP), fibers/low pH - tomato juice (TJ).

## 118 119 **Material & Methods**

### 120 121 **1. OMWW phenol extract preparation**

122 The phenolic fraction was extracted from OMWW of Peranzana, Ogliarola, Coratina and Moraiolo  
123 cultivars harvested at ripening in region from Central Italy. The extraction and purification of  
124 phenolic fraction from OMWW was carried out as described by Esposto et al., 2015  
125 stages of from OMWW of . Three steps of tangential membrane filtration were applied to obtain a  
126 crude phenolic concentrate from OMWW previously treated with an enzymatic solution of  
127 pectinase from *Aspergillus niger*, BIODÉP (Biotec s.r.l., Roma, Italy) (Servili et al., 2011a).

128  
129 Phenolic compounds from crude concentrate were recovered by liquid-liquid extraction with ethyl  
130 acetate. A rotavapor was used to completely evaporate the ethyl acetate at 35 °C. The phenolic  
131 extract obtained was dissolved in ethanol, which was then evaporated using a flow of nitrogen  
132 (Servili, et al., 2011b).

### 133 134 **2. Chemical Analysis**

#### 135 **2.1 Phenol profile**



136 The analysis of phenolic composition of the extract was performed by HPLC, after sample  
137 solubilization with methanol/water (50:50 v/v) and filtration over a 0.2 µm PVDF filter.

138 Extraction of phenols from OMWW from plant-base foods was carried out mixing 2 g of sample  
139 and 10 ml of ethanol/acetone (50:50 v/v) with T25 digital Ultra-Turrax (IKA® Works,  
140 Wilmington, NC 28405 USA) at 17000 rpm. The sample was centrifuged, made up to volume,  
141 filtered over a 0.2 µm PVDF filter and directly injected into HPLC system.

142 The HPLC analysis was conducted using an Agilent Technologies Model 1100 following the  
143 operating conditions described by Veneziani et al. (2015). DAD with a wavelength of 278 nm was  
144 used to detect secoiridoid derivatives and phenolic alcohols. The *p*-HPEA and vanillic acid were  
145 purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Milan, Italy), whereas 3,4-DHPEA and verbascoside were  
146 provided by Cabru s.a.s. (Arcore, Milan, Italy) and Extrasynthese (Genay, France), respectively.  
147 The 3,4-DHPEA-EDA and *p*-HPEA-EDA were extracted from virgin olive oil (VOO) as  
148 previously reported by Selvaggini et al. (2014). The data were expressed as mg of phenols kg<sup>-1</sup> of  
149 extract or foods.

## 150 2.2 Antioxidant activity

151 Free radical scavenging activity was evaluated by the DPPH assay (Brand-Williams, Cuvelier, &  
152 Berset, 1995). A solution of DPPH ( $6 \times 10^{-5}$  M) was prepared by dissolving 0.236 mg of DPPH in  
153 100 mL of methanol. A volume of 0.1 mL of sample was mixed with 3.9 mL of DPPH solution.  
154 For the reference sample, 0.1 mL of methanol was added to 3.9 mL of DPPH solution to measure  
155 the maximum DPPH absorbance. All samples were left in the dark for 30 min at 30°C then the  
156 absorbance decrease was measured at 515 nm with a Perkin Elmer Lambda 10 spectrophotometer  
157 (Massachusetts, USA). Free radical scavenging activity was expressed as µmol of Trolox  
158 equivalents antioxidant capacity (TEAC). Trolox standard solutions were prepared in ethanol at  
159 concentrations ranging from 10 to 600 µmol/L. Each assay was performed in triplicate.

## 160 3. Sensory evaluations

### 161 3.1 Subjects

162 Participants were recruited on a regional basis by means of announcements published on research  
163 unit websites, emails, pamphlet distribution and word of mouth. At the time of recruitment,  
164 respondents were asked to complete an online questionnaire on socio-demographic and physical  
165 health characteristics. Pregnancy, food allergies and history of perceptual disorders were exclusion  
166 criteria. Two respondent groups were recruited to evaluate OMWW extract (Group 1: n=29; 59 %  
167 females; mean age  $27.5 \pm 7.1$ ) or functionalized plant-base foods (Group 2: n=27; 70 % females;  
168 mean age  $31.5 \pm 9.4$ ).

## 3.2 Procedure

Subjects from group 1 took part in one session for OMWW extract evaluation, group 2 took part in two sessions, held over two days, for the evaluation of three series of functionalized foods. In the first session, participants signed the informed consent according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and were introduced to the general organization of the experiment. Subjects (Ss) were then trained in the use of general Labelled Magnitude Scale (gLMS; 0: *no sensation* - 100: *the strongest imaginable sensation of any kind*) (Bartoshuk, 2000; Green et al., 1996; Green, Shaffer, & Gilmore, 1993). Participants were told that the top of the scale - *the strongest imaginable sensation of any kind* - represented the most intense sensation that subjects could ever imagine experiencing. Ss were focussed on a variety of remembered sensations from different modalities including loudness, oral pain/irritation and tastes. The Ss were then trained to recognize the following target sensations in water solutions prepared to be at “moderate/strong” intensity on gLMS: bitterness (caffeine 3.00 g/kg), sourness (citric acid - 4.00 g/kg), saltiness (NaCl-15 g/kg), astringency (aluminium potassium sulphate – 0.8 g/kg) and pungency (capsaicin – 1.5 mg/kg)(Monteleone et al., 2017). At the end of the training, while all Ss were seated in individual booths, group 1 evaluated OMWW extracts (nine samples), and group 2 evaluated one series of food prototype (five samples). On day two, the gLMS and target sensations were briefly introduced again to group 2, who then they were seated in individual booths to evaluate two series of functionalized foods (five samples each). The two sessions were separated by between 1 and 7 days, according to availability of Ss from group 2. Ss received a gift to compensate them for their time.

## 3.3 Sensory stimuli

### 3.3.1 OMWW extract

The OMWW extract was diluted in EtOH 1% to obtain eight solutions at 0.29, 0.44, 0.66, 1.00, 1.50, 2.25, 3.37, 5.06 g/L phenol concentrations. These concentrations were chosen based on preliminary informal assessment by expert laboratory personnel to induce bitterness intensity from weak to strong. A further solution consisting of the solvent was considered and indicated as 0.00 g/L phenol. In total, nine OMWW extract solutions were prepared for evaluation. These solutions were stored at room temperature in a tightly closed container protected from light and used within 10 hours.

### 3.3.2 Functionalized foods

203 Three vegetable foods with different macro-composition were selected for the development of  
204 phenol functionalized foods: proteins/neutral pH - bean purée (BP), carbohydrates/neutral pH -  
205 potato purée (PP), water/low pH - tomato juice (TJ). Canned or powdered ingredients produced by  
206 large food companies were used to prepare the functionalized food since their composition is  
207 constant, and they are easily available without seasonality restrictions. The three foods had four  
208 levels of phenol from OMWW extract added: 0.44, 1.00, 2.25, 5.06 g/kg. A further sample for  
209 each series consisting of the vegetable food without OMWW extract added, and indicated as 0.00  
210 g/kg, was considered. In total, five levels of phenol concentration for each vegetable food were  
211 considered for evaluation. Samples were evaluated immediately after preparation, within 15 min  
212 of extract addition.

### 3.4 Evaluation conditions

215 The OMWW solutions (7 mL) and functionalized foods (6 g) were presented in 80cc plastic cups  
216 identified by a 3-digit random code. Food samples (BP, TJ, PP) were presented with a plastic tea-  
217 spoon. Ss from group 1 were presented with a set consisting of the nine OMWW solutions  
218 arranged in three subsets of three samples each. Samples were presented in randomized order  
219 across Ss. The three series of functionalized foods (BP, PP and TJ) were presented to Ss from  
220 group 2 in independent sets, each consisting of five samples of the same food arranged in two  
221 subsets of three and two samples each. The presentation order of the three series of foods was  
222 balanced across Ss. The presentation order of samples within each series was randomized across  
223 subjects. Ss had a 3 min break between subsets a 10 min break between the sets.

225 During tasting, Ss were instructed to hold the whole OMWW sample in their mouth for 10 s, then  
226 expectorate and evaluate the intensity of target sensations (bitterness, sourness, saltiness,  
227 astringency and pungency). For the food samples, subjects were instructed to take a spoonful of  
228 the sample, wait for 10 s, then swallow and evaluate the intensity of bitterness, sourness,  
229 astringency and pungency. The order of sensation evaluation was randomized for the tastes  
230 (bitterness, sourness and saltiness), while astringency and pungency were evaluated in penultimate  
231 and last position to allow for the full development of their intensity.

233 After each sample, Ss rinsed their mouth with water for 30 s, had some plain crackers for 30 s and  
234 finally rinsed their mouth with water for a further 30 s. To control for odor cues, Ss were asked to  
235 wear nose clips. Evaluations were performed in individual booths under red lights. Data were  
236 collected with the software *Fizz* (ver.2.51. A86, Biosystèmes, Couternon, France).

237

## 238 **5. Data Analysis**

239 Two-ways ANOVA models were used to assess the effect of phenol concentration and food  
240 macro-composition on the amount of phenols extracted from functionalized samples and on their  
241 total recovery. Two-way ANOVA mixed models (fixed factor: phenol concentration; random  
242 factor: subjects) were used to assess the effect of phenol concentration on the intensity of target  
243 sensations in OMWW solutions and food prototype samples. Three-way mixed models (fixed  
244 factors: food matrix and phenol concentration; random factor: subjects) with interactions were  
245 used to assess the effect of food matrix on the intensity of target sensations. A Fisher LSD post  
246 hoc test was applied to test significant differences in multiple comparison test (significant for  $P \leq$   
247 0.05)

248 The XLSTAT statistical software package version 19.02 (Addinsoft) was used for data analysis.

249

## 250 **Results**

251

### 252 **1. Chemical characterization**

#### 253 **1.1 OMWW extract: phenol profile and antioxidant activity**

254 Phenols represented approximately 70 % of the OMWW extract. The phenolic composition of the  
255 OMWW extract was characterized by the main phenolic compounds of olive fruit and virgin olive  
256 oil. The most abundant phenolic compounds were secoiridoid derivatives: 3,4-DHPEA-EDA, the  
257 dialdehydic forms of elenolic acid linked to hydroxytyrosol, ( $605.4 \pm 0.5$  mg/g of extract),  
258 hydroxytyrosol - 3,4-DHPEA, ( $43.8 \pm 0.2$  mg/g of extract) and tyrosol - *p*- HPEA ( $7.6 \pm 0.6$  mg/g of  
259 extract). The OMWW is rich of verbascoside, a phenylethanoid glycoside, which was also present  
260 in the purified extract ( $23.8 \pm 1.2$  mg/g of extract)(Veneziani, Novelli, Esposto, Taticchi, & Servili,  
261 2017). Antioxidant activity of the extract was  $3.060 \pm 0.071$  TEAC eq/mg phenols.

262

#### 263 **1.2 Functionalized foods: OMWW phenol recovery and profile**

264 The amount of OMWW phenols in food samples functionalized with increasing concentrations  
265 was determined after extraction and expressed as percentage of recovery (Fig.1). The phenol  
266 recovery increased with the added amount ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) and ranged from 3.7 to 13.9 % in bean  
267 purée, from 12.6 to 19.9 % in tomato juice and from 5.4 to 17.3 % in potato purée. The recovery  
268 was significantly influenced by food macro-composition ( $p \leq 0.001$ ). The lowest recovery of  
269 OMWW phenols was from functionalized bean purée samples irrespective to the amount initially

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

270 added. The highest recovery was from tomato juice added with 0.44, 2.25 and 5.06 g/kg of  
271 phenols. Potato purée showed the highest recovery when 1.00 g/kg of phenols was used.

272  
273 The amount of individual OMWW phenols from functionalized food regularly increased with the  
274 total amount initially added ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ) and was affected by food macro-composition ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) in  
275 a different extent depending on the specific phenol and the added amount (Tab.1). In general, the  
276 lowest amount of each phenol was recovered from bean purée and the largest differences were  
277 found among food functionalized with the highest amount of phenols ( $\geq 2.25$  g/kg). Phenol profiles  
278 recovered from BP, TJ and PP functionalized with 5.06 g/kg were compared to the profile of  
279 OMWW extract (Fig. 2). The relative content of 3,4-DHPEA-EDA, 3,4-DHPEA, *p*-HPEA and  
280 verbascoside largely differ between OMWW extract and functionalized food. 3,4-DHPEA-EDA  
281 represented the most abundant phenol of OMWW extract (89 %) but its proportion lowered to  
282 approx. 27, 35 and 36 % of total OMWW phenols recovered from BP, PP and TJ, respectively.  
283 3,4-DHPEA and verbascoside represented 6.4 and 3.5 %, of the total phenol content of OMWW  
284 extract respectively, and approximately 40 and 22 %, of the total phenols recovered from  
285 functionalized foods. *p*-HPEA was 1 and approximately 4 % of total phenols in OMWW extract  
286 and functionalized foods, respectively.

## 288 2. Sensory evaluation

### 289 2.1 OMWW extract solutions

290 Phenol concentration of OMWW solutions significantly affected the intensity of target sensations  
291 (Tab.2). According to F values the increase of phenol concentration had the strongest effect on  
292 bitterness and, to a lesser extent, on other target sensations. Significant bitterness and astringency  
293 increases were observed in the samples with phenols from OMWW as compared to the sample  
294 without phenol added (0.00 g/L). Bitterness increased from weak/moderate to strong/very strong  
295 across the phenol concentration range. Sourness showed the same trend of increasing intensity, but  
296 only in a narrow range from weak to moderate. Astringency showed a limited intensity increases  
297 from moderate to moderate strong on the scale. Pungency did not differ across samples from 0.00  
298 and 0.66 g/L of phenols, while higher concentrations induced significant pungency increasing  
299 from weak to moderate/strong. Saltiness represents a marginal sensation, its intensity reaching a  
300 weak/moderate intensity at the highest phenol concentration, and thus was not considered further.

301  
302 Four concentration levels, which cover the whole range of significant variations of intensity of  
303 target sensations, were selected to fortify the vegetable matrices: 0.44, 1.00, 2.25 and 5.06 g/L.

## 2.2 Functionalized foods

The impact of OMWW extract on the sensory profile of the three vegetable matrices was independently assessed in each series of prototype as a function of the concentration of added phenols. The intensity of target sensations significantly changed in all the three vegetable prototypes as a function of increasing phenol concentrations, the only exceptions being pungency in bean purée (Tab.3). F values indicated that the increase of phenol concentration induced the strongest effect on bitterness in all the three prototypes. The intensity of sourness, astringency and pungency were influenced by both the increase of phenol concentration and, to a lesser extent, by the matrix macro-composition. All the sensations were barely detectable in bean purée sample without phenol added, while in the rest of samples, bitterness increased from weak to strong/very strong, and sourness and astringency increased slightly from barely detectable to weak/moderate. All sensations were rated as weak in the tomato juice sample without phenol added; in the rest of samples, bitterness increased from weak to strong, and sourness, pungency and astringency increased from weak to weak/moderate as a function of the concentration of added phenols. In the potato purée sample without added phenols, all sensations were rated at barely detectable/weak intensity. Bitterness increased from barely detectable to strong with increasing with phenol concentration, and astringency, pungency and sourness increased slightly, reaching weak/moderate intensity level.

In general, these intensity data indicate a significant impact of the addition of OMWW extracts on the sensory properties of the three prototypes as a function of the added phenol concentration, and in particular on the perception of bitterness. Sourness, pungency and astringency intensities were significantly modified by OMWW extract, but the extent of these effects appears to be affected by the matrix macro-composition.

The effect of vegetable matrix composition on the intensity of sensations contributed by OMWW phenols was further explored and the intensities of target sensations in the three matrices at different added phenol concentration were compared (Tab.4). The vegetable matrix significantly affected the intensity of sourness. The concentration of added phenol significantly affected the intensity of target sensations, with the greatest effect on bitterness. The vegetable matrix\*concentration interaction was significant only for pungency, due to the suppression of this sensation in bean purée samples. No significant differences were found comparing bitterness from the three matrices at 0.00, 0.44, 1.00 and 5.06 g/L phenol concentrations, but at 2.25 g/L,

338 bitterness was significantly higher in tomato juice than in bean purée (Fig.3-A). Sourness was  
339 rated as more intense in tomato juice than in either bean purée and potato purée in a concentration  
340 range from 0.00 to 2.25 g/L, at 5.06 g/L the lowest intensity was perceived in bean purée and no  
341 significant differences were found between tomato juice and potato purée (Fig.3-B). The three  
342 vegetable matrices did not differ for the intensity of astringency at 0.44 and 1.00 g/L of added  
343 phenol, however in the rest of samples, this sensation was lower in bean purée than in potato purée  
344 and no significant differences were found comparing tomato juice and potato purée (Fig.3-C).  
345 Pungency was significantly higher in tomato juice (from 1.00 to 5.06 g/kg) and in potato puree  
346 (5.06 g/kg) than in bean purée, but no significant differences were found between tomato juice and  
347 potato purée (Fig.3-D).

348  
349 In general, these data indicate that the different composition of vegetable matrices does not affect  
350 the contribution to bitterness of phenols from OMWW extract since the same regular trend and the  
351 same range of increasing intensity with added phenols was observed in the all three series of  
352 prototypes. On the other hand, the increasing intensity range observed for sourness, astringency  
353 and pungency differed across the series of prototypes indicating an active role of their macro-  
354 component in modulating the sensory impact of phenols from OMWW.

## 355 356 **Discussion**

357 The amount of OMWW phenols recovered from the functionalized food prototypes was much  
358 lower than expected, thus indicating the existence of strong chemical interactions between  
359 functional phenols and food components, the lowest amount was recovered from bean purée, the  
360 protein rich food matrix. These findings are in line with the previously documented interactions  
361 between phenols and food biopolymers. Proteins strongly interact with plant polyphenols through  
362 covalent and non-covalent binding, and high basic-residue content and open and flexible structure  
363 are the major features of proteins highly reactive towards phenols (Kroll, et al., 2003; Xiao & Kai,  
364 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). Binding involves hydrophobic and hydrogen interactions, and proline-  
365 rich regions of leguminous proteins have been reported as preferred sites of interactions for plant  
366 phenol/food protein in *in vitro* conditions (Rawel, Czajka, Rohn, & Kroll, 2002). The formation  
367 of aggregates with proteins significantly impacts on the bioactivity of phenols and the reduction of  
368 both extractability from raw material and antioxidant activity has been reported (Kroll et al.,  
369 2014). The overall bioavailability of phenols from protein aggregates is still a matter of debate,  
370 and several sources of evidence indicate a lowering of the blood content of phenols after intake of  
371 food protein sources (Ozidal et al., 2013). However, the longer duration of the aggregates in the

372 stomach followed by a delayed phenol release has been observed (Ozdal et al., 2013).  
1  
2 373 Furthermore, after *in vitro* digestion of protein/phenol aggregates, the recovery of phenol related  
3  
4 374 antioxidant activity was reported (Drummond e Silva et al., 2017; Kroll et al., 2003). Thus, it is  
5  
6 375 possible to hypothesize that the interactions between food proteins and phenols do not lower the  
7  
8 376 functional potential of the phenols, but rather influence their kinetic of phenol adsorption and  
9  
10 377 bioactivity (Zhang et al., 2014).

11  
12 378  
13 379 Phenolic compounds bridge or cross-link with starch and other polysaccharides, and a large  
14  
15 380 fraction of the so called “NEPP” (not extractable polyphenols) consists in phenol associations with  
16  
17 381 polysaccharides (Pérez-Jiménez, Díaz-Rubio, & Saura-Calixto, 2013). The consequences of  
18  
19 382 phenol/carbohydrate interactions on phenol bioactivity depends on phenol and carbohydrate  
20  
21 383 chemical characteristics, and both enhancement or suppression of antioxidant activity and bio-  
22  
23 384 accessibility have been observed (Zhang et al., 2014). The majority of NEPP arrive almost intact  
24  
25 385 to the colon where they are fermented by microflora or depolymerized via enzymes, leading to  
26  
27 386 phenol metabolites being available for adsorption (Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2013).

28  
29 387  
30 388 Based on these considerations, the low recovery from functionalized prototypes should not be  
31  
32 389 interpreted as the mere loss of the bioactive compounds, and further investigations on phenol  
33  
34 390 bioavailability and bio-accessibility will clarify the potential pro-health effects of experimental  
35  
36 391 food matrices enriched with OMWW phenols.

37  
38 392  
39 393 The profile of phenol fractions extracted from functionalized foods differed substantially from the  
40  
41 394 profile of the OMWW extract, mainly because of the strong decrease of 3,4-DHPEA-EDA relative  
42  
43 395 to the other phenol compounds. Several phenol features, including their structure, the arrangement  
44  
45 396 of hydroxyl groups, and the planarity of molecules, actively modulate the interactions  
46  
47 397 phenols/environment and might be responsible for the observed differences (Jakobek, 2015; Ozdal  
48  
49 398 et al., 2013). Investigating the associations of the chemical features of OMWW phenols with the  
50  
51 399 strength and the modality of their interaction with biopolymers was behind the aim of the present  
52  
53 400 work but further studies should be encouraged for a deeper understanding of the mechanism  
54  
55 401 underlying phenol/biopolymer interactions in real food systems.

56  
57 402  
58 403 Bitterness was the most intense sensation induced by OMWW extracts, astringency and pungency  
59  
60 404 were perceived at lower intensities, while sourness represented a marginal sensation. The observed  
61  
62 405 sensory properties are consistent with the phenol profile of the extract. Secoiridoid derivatives of  
63  
64  
65



hydroxytyrosol are considered the main contributors to olive oil bitterness (Bendini et al., 2007). 3,4-DHPEA-EDA represents the main extract component and has been described as mainly bitter and slightly pungent (Taticchi, Esposito, & Servili, 2014). Pungency is instead mainly attributed to *p*-tyrosol derivatives which, when tested at the same concentration 3,4-DHPEA-EDA, primarily produced bitter tastes and low pungency, while *p*-HPEA-EDA mainly induced pungency (Andrewes, Busch, De Joode, Groenewegen, & Alexandre, 2003). Bitterness represents the main contribution of OMWW phenols to sensory profile of functional prototypes. The vegetable matrix macro-composition did not significantly affect the perceived intensity of this sensations. Thus, the strong interactions of OMWW phenols with vegetable biopolymers prevent the chemical extraction of phenols, and in particular of 3,4-DHPEA-EDA, but do not suppress the bitter taste of phenol compounds. In line with the documented *in vivo* release of phenols from biopolymer aggregates (Ozdamar et al., 2013) and *in vitro* action of saliva enzymes on phenol structures (Walle et al., 2005), it might be possible to speculate about their possible release in the oral environment. The relatively high temperature of oral environment, and the presence of salts and hydrolytic enzymes in saliva, may favor phenol release from biopolymer aggregates, their diffusion across bitter taste receptors and a consequent stimulation of these receptors. Moreover, the contribution to bitter taste of 3,4 DHPEA, verbascoside and *p*-HPEA should be reconsidered. The vegetable matrix composition affected the perceived intensity of pungency and sourness. Pungency perception is suppressed in the protein rich prototype, and this could be tentatively related to 3,4-DHPEA-EDA/protein binding. This could lower the 3,4-DHPEA-EDA concentration so that bitterness is not affected, but the capacity to induce these secondary sensations is instead inhibited.

## Conclusions

Food macro-composition actively impacts on the chemical and sensory properties of phenols from an OMWW extract with the strongest effects observed in protein-based foods. Interactions between food proteins and phenols appear a possible strategy to produce a compromise between the health potential of phenols and sensory acceptability of phenol-enriched foods since lower the intensity of warning sensations, while at the same time avoiding extraneous ingredients in their formulations. Specificities were found between phenol chemical structure and strength of their interactions with food components. Systematic investigations in real food systems would help in clarifying the mechanisms underlying the phenol-biopolymer aggregate formation, thus helping in optimizing functional food formulations.

## References

- 440 Andrewes, P., Busch, J. L. H. C., De Joode, T., Groenewegen, A., & Alexandre, H. (2003).  
1 441 Sensory properties of virgin olive oil polyphenols: Identification of deacetoxy-ligstroside  
2 442 aglycon as a key contributor to pungency. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,  
3 443 51(5), 1415–1420. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf026042j>  
4 444
- 7 444 Araújo, M., Pimentel, F. B., Alves, R. C., & Oliveira, M. B. P. P. (2015). Phenolic compounds  
8 445 from olive mill wastes: Health effects, analytical approach and application as food  
9 446 antioxidants. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*.  
10 447 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2015.06.010>  
11 448
- 14 448 Ares, G., Barreiro, C., Deliza, R., & Gámbaro, A. (2009). Alternatives to reduce the bitterness,  
15 449 astringency and characteristic flavour of antioxidant extracts. *Food Research International*,  
16 450 42(7), 871–878. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.03.006>  
17 451
- 19 451 Bartoshuk, L. M. (2000). Comparing Sensory Experiences Across Individuals: Recent  
20 452 Psychophysical Advances Illuminate Genetic Variation in Taste Perception. *Chemical Senses*,  
21 453 25(4), 447–460. <https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/25.4.447>  
22 454
- 25 454 Bendini, A., Cerretani, L., Carrasco-Pancorbo, A., Gómez-Caravaca, A. M., Segura-Carretero, A.,  
26 455 Fernández-Gutiérrez, A., & Lercker, G. (2007). Phenolic molecules in virgin olive oils: A  
27 456 survey of their sensory properties, health effects, antioxidant activity and analytical methods.  
28 457 An overview of the last decade. *Molecules*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/12081679>  
29 458
- 32 458 Brand-Williams, W., Cuvelier, M. E., & Berset, C. (1995). Use of a free radical method to  
33 459 evaluate antioxidant activity. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 28(1), 25–30.  
34 460 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438\(95\)80008-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438(95)80008-5)  
35 461
- 37 461 Carrillo, E., Prado-Gascó, V., Fiszman, S., & Varela, P. (2013). Why buying functional foods?  
38 462 Understanding spending behaviour through structural equation modelling. *Food Research*  
39 463 *International*, 50(1), 361–368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2012.10.045>  
40 464
- 43 464 Dinnella, C., Recchia, A., Tuorila, H., & Monteleone, E. (2011). Individual astringency  
44 465 responsiveness affects the acceptance of phenol-rich foods. *Appetite*, 56(3), 633–642.  
45 466 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.02.017>  
46 467
- 48 467 Drummond e Silva, F. G., Miralles, B., Hernandez-Ledesma, B., Amigo, L., Iglesias, A. H.,  
49 468 Reyes, F. G. R., & Netto, F. M. (2017). Influence of protein-phenolic complex on the  
50 469 antioxidant capacity of flaxseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) products. *Journal of Agricultural*  
51 470 *and Food Chemistry*, 65(4), 800–809. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b04639>  
52 471
- 54 471 Esposto, S., Taticchi, A., Di Maio, I., Urbani, S., Veneziani, G., Selvaggini, R., ... Servili, M.  
55 472 (2015). Effect of an olive phenolic extract on the quality of vegetable oils during frying. *Food*  
56 473 *Chemistry*, 176, 184–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.12.036>  
57 474  
58 475  
59 476  
60 477  
61 478  
62 479  
63 480  
64 481  
65 482

- 474 Gaudette, N. J., & Pickering, G. J. (2013). Modifying Bitterness in Functional Food Systems.  
1  
2475 *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 53(5), 464–481.  
3  
4476 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2010.542511>
- 5477 Green, B. G., Dalton, P., Cowart, B., Shaffer, G., Rankin, K., & Higgins, J. (1996). Evaluating the  
6  
7478 “labeled magnitude scale” for measuring sensations of taste and smell. *Chemical Senses*,  
8  
9479 21(3), 323–334. <https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/21.3.323>
- 10  
11480 Green, B. G., Shaffer, G. S., & Gilmore, M. M. (1993). Derivation and evaluation of a semantic  
12  
13481 scale of oral sensation magnitude with apparent ratio properties. *Chemical Senses*, 18(6),  
14  
15482 683–702. <https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/18.6.683>
- 16483 Jaeger, S. R., Axten, L. G., Wohlers, M. W., & Sun-Waterhouse, D. (2009). Polyphenol-rich  
17  
18484 beverages: Insights from sensory and consumer science. *Journal of the Science of Food and*  
19  
20485 *Agriculture*, 89(14), 2356–2363. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3721>
- 21  
22486 Jakobek, L. (2015). Interactions of polyphenols with carbohydrates , lipids and proteins. *Food*  
23  
24487 *Chemistry*, 175, 556–567. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.12.013>
- 25488 Keast, R. S. J. (2008). Modification of the bitterness of caffeine. *Food Quality and Preference*,  
26  
27489 19(5), 465–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2008.02.002>
- 28  
29490 Kowalska, H., Czajkowska, K., Cichowska, J., & Lenart, A. (2017). What’s new in biopotential of  
30  
31491 fruit and vegetable by-products applied in the food processing industry. *Trends in Food*  
32  
33492 *Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.06.016>
- 34  
35493 Kroll, J., Rawel, H. M., & Rohn, S. (2003). Reactions of Plant Phenolics with Food Proteins and  
36  
37494 Enzymes under Special Consideration of Covalent Bonds. *Food Science and Technology*  
38  
39495 *Research*, 9(3), 205–218. <https://doi.org/10.3136/fstr.9.205>
- 40496 Krystallis, A., Maglaras, G., & Mamalis, S. (2008). Motivations and cognitive structures of  
41  
42497 consumers in their purchasing of functional foods. *Food Quality and Preference*, 19(6), 525–  
43  
44498 538. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2007.12.005>
- 45499 Lesschaeve, I., & Noble, A. C. (2005). Polyphenols : factors influencing their sensory properties  
46  
47500 and their effects on food and beverage preferences, 81, 330–335.
- 48  
49501 Monteleone, E., Spinelli, S., Dinnella, C., Endrizzi, I., Laureati, M., Pagliarini, E., ... Tesini, F.  
50  
51502 (2017). Exploring influences on food choice in a large population sample: The Italian Taste  
52  
53503 project. *Food Quality and Preference*, 59, 123–140.  
54  
55504 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2017.02.013>
- 56505 Nirmala, C., Bisht, M. S., Bajwa, H. K., & Santosh, O. (2018). Bamboo: A rich source of natural  
57  
58506 antioxidants and its applications in the food and pharmaceutical industry. *Trends in Food*  
59  
60507 *Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.05.003>
- 61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 508 Ozdal, T., Capanoglu, E., & Altay, F. (2013). A review on protein – phenolic interactions and  
1 associated changes Food Research International A review on protein – phenolic interactions  
2 and associated changes. *FRIN*, 51(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.02.009>
- 3  
4  
5  
6 511 Pérez-Jiménez, J., Díaz-Rubio, M. E., & Saura-Calixto, F. (2013). Non-extractable polyphenols, a  
7 major dietary antioxidant: Occurrence, metabolic fate and health effects. *Nutrition Research*  
8  
9 513 *Reviews*, 26(2), 118–129. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954422413000097>
- 10  
11 514 Rawel, H. M., Czajka, D., Rohn, S., & Kroll, J. (2002). Interactions of different phenolic acids and  
12 flavonoids with soy proteins. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 30(3–4),  
13  
14 516 137–150. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-8130\(02\)00016-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-8130(02)00016-8)
- 15  
16 517 Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M., & Siegrist, M. (2017). The importance of food naturalness for  
17 consumers: Results of a systematic review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*.  
18  
19 518 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.06.010>
- 20  
21 520 Selvaggini, R., Esposito, S., Taticchi, A., Urbani, S., Veneziani, G., Di Maio, I., ... Servili, M.  
22 (2014). Optimization of the temperature and oxygen concentration conditions in the  
23 malaxation during the oil mechanical extraction process of four italian olive cultivars.  
24  
25 522 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 62(17), 3813–3822.  
26  
27 523 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf405753c>
- 28  
29 524 Servili, M., Esposito, S., Veneziani, G., Urbani, S., Taticchi, A., Di Maio, I., ... Montedoro, G.  
30 (2011)a. Improvement of bioactive phenol content in virgin olive oil with an olive-vegetation  
31 water concentrate produced by membrane treatment. *Food Chemistry*, 124(4), 1308–1315.  
32  
33 526 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.07.042>
- 34  
35 527 Servili, M., Rizzello, C. G., Taticchi, A., Esposito, S., Urbani, S., Mazzacane, F., ... Di Cagno, R.  
36 (2011)b. Functional milk beverage fortified with phenolic compounds extracted from olive  
37 vegetation water, and fermented with functional lactic acid bacteria. *International Journal of*  
38  
39 529 *Food Microbiology*, 147(1), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.03.006>
- 40  
41 530 Servili, M., Selvaggini, R., Esposito, S., Taticchi, A., Montedoro, G. F., & Morozzi, G. (2004).  
42 Health and sensory properties of virgin olive oil hydrophilic phenols: Agronomic and  
43 technological aspects of production that affect their occurrence in the oil. *Journal of*  
44  
45 533 *Chromatography A*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2004.08.070>
- 46  
47 534 Servili, M., Sordini, B., Esposito, S., Urbani, S., Veneziani, G., Maio, I. Di, ... Economico-  
48 estimative, S. (2014). Biological Activities of Phenolic Compounds of Extra Virgin Olive  
49  
50 535 Oil, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox3010001>
- 51  
52 536  
53 537  
54 538  
55 539  
56 540  
57 541  
58 540  
59 541  
60 541  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 542 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2015.06.018>
- 543 Świeca, M., Gawlik-Dziki, U., Sęczyk, Ł., Dziki, D., & Sikora, M. (2018). Interactions of green  
544 coffee bean phenolics with wheat bread matrix in a model of simulated in vitro digestion.  
545 *Food Chemistry*, 258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.03.081>
- 546 Taticchi, A., Esposito, S., & Servili, M. (2014). *The Basis of the Sensory Properties of Virgin Olive*  
547 *Oil. Olive Oil Sensory Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118332511.ch2>
- 548 Torri, L., Piochi, M., Marchiani, R., Zeppa, G., Dinnella, C., & Monteleone, E. (2016). A sensory-  
549 and consumer-based approach to optimize cheese enrichment with grape skin powders.  
550 *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(1), 194–204. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9922>
- 551 Trapani, S., Migliorini, M., Cecchi, L., Giovenzana, V., Beghi, R., Canuti, V., ... Zanoni, B.  
552 (2017). Feasibility of filter-based NIR spectroscopy for the routine measurement of olive oil  
553 fruit ripening indices, *1600239*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201600239>
- 554 Veneziani, G., Esposito, S., Taticchi, A., Selvaggini, R., Urbani, S., Di Maio, I., ... Servili, M.  
555 (2015). Flash Thermal Conditioning of Olive Pastes during the Oil Mechanical Extraction  
556 Process: Cultivar Impact on the Phenolic and Volatile Composition of Virgin Olive Oil.  
557 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 63(26), 6066–6074.  
558 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b01666>
- 559 Veneziani, G., Novelli, E., Esposito, S., Taticchi, A., & Servili, M. (2017). *Applications of*  
560 *recovered bioactive compounds in food products. Olive Mill Waste: Recent Advances for*  
561 *Sustainable Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-805314-0.00011-X>
- 562 Verbeke, W. (2006). Functional foods: Consumer willingness to compromise on taste for health?  
563 *Food Quality and Preference*, 17(1–2), 126–131.  
564 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2005.03.003>
- 565 Vitaglione, P., Savarese, M., Paduano, A., Scalfi, L., Fogliano, V., & Sacchi, R. (2015). Healthy  
566 Virgin Olive Oil: A Matter of Bitterness. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*,  
567 55(13), 1808–1818. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2012.708685>
- 568 Walle, T., Browning, A. M., Steed, L. L., Reed, S. G., & Walle, U. K. (2005). Flavonoid  
569 glucosides are hydrolyzed and thus activated in the oral cavity in humans. *The Journal of*  
570 *nutrition*, 135(1), 48-52.
- 571 Xiao, J., & Kai, G. (2012). A review of dietary polyphenol-plasma protein interactions:  
572 Characterization, influence on the bioactivity, and structure-affinity relationship. *Critical*  
573 *Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2010.499017>
- 574 Zhang, H., Yu, D., Sun, J., Liu, X., Jiang, L., Guo, H., & Ren, F. (2014). Interaction of plant  
575 phenols with food macronutrients: Characterisation and nutritional-physiological

consequences. *Nutrition Research Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S095442241300019X>

## Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR), ITALY - Research Project : 20158YJW3W Programmi di Ricerca Scientifica di Rilevante Interesse Nazionale – PRIN 2015: “ Individual differences in the acceptability of healthy foods: focus on phenol and fat content”.

## Figure Legend

**Figure 1:** Percentage of OMWW phenols recovered (Recovery %) form bean purée (BP), tomato juice (TJ) and potato purée (PP) functionalized with increasing amount of phenols from OMWW extract.


Bars represent standard deviation, different letters indicate significantly different values ( $p \leq 0.001$ )

**Figure 2:** Percentage of individual phenols detected in the OMWW extract (OMWW ext) and in bean purée (BP), tomato juice (TJ) and potato purée (PP) functionalized with 5.06 g/kg phenols from OMWW extract.

**Figure 3:** Effect of the vegetable matrix on the perceived intensity of target sensations (A-bitterness; B-sourness; C-astringency; D-pungency) in foods functionalized with different concentrations of phenols from OMWW extract. Different letters represent significant different values ( $p \leq 0.001$ ).


Figure

Fig.1



**Figure 1:** Percentage of OMWW phenols recovered (recovery%) from bean purée (BP), tomato juice (TJ) and potato purée (PP) functionalized with increasing amount of phenols from OMWW extract. Bars represent standard deviation, different letters indicate significantly different values (  $p \leq 0.001$  )


**Fig.2**



**Figure 2:** Percentage of individual phenols detected in the OMWW extract (OMWW ext) and in bean purée (BP), tomato juice (TJ) and potato purée (PP) functionalized with 5.06 g/kg phenols from OMWW extract.




Fig.3




**Figure 3A:** Effect of the vegetable matrix on the perceived intensity of bitterness in prototypes functionalized with different concentrations of phenols from OMWW extract. Different letters represent significant different values ( $p \leq 0.001$ ).

**Fig.3**




**Figure 3B:** Effect of the vegetable matrix on the perceived intensity of sourness in prototypes functionalized with different concentrations of phenols from OMWW extract. Different letters represent significant different values ( $p \leq 0.001$ ).

**Fig.3**



**Figure 3C:** Effect of the vegetable matrix on the perceived intensity of astringency in prototypes functionalized with different concentrations of phenols from OMWW extract. Different letters represent significant different values ( $p \leq 0.001$ ).

**Fig.3**



**Figure 3D:** Effect of the vegetable matrix on the perceived intensity of pungency in prototypes functionalized with different concentrations of phenols from OMWW extract. Different letters represent significant different values ( $p \leq 0.001$ ).

**Table 1:** Recovery (mMean values g/kg) of individual phenols from foods (BP-bean purée, TJ-tomato juice, PP-potato purée) functionalized with increasing amount of phenols from OMWW extract.

Formatted: Normal (Web),  
Justified, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

	Concentration of phenols from OMWW				
	0	0.44	1.00	2.25	5.06
<b>3.4- DHPEA</b>					
BP	0 h	5.34 gh	45.24 f	112.36 e	283.09 c
TJ	0 h	7.89 g	48.74 f	127.78 d	378.86 b
PP	0 h	6.57 gh	51.29 f	122.96 d	333.80 a
<b>p-HPEA</b>					
BP	0 f	0 f	10.85 e	15.52 d	31.07 b
TJ	0 f	0 f	15.11 d	23.42 c	38.44 a
PP	0 f	9.02 e	17.59 d	27.77 b	37.04 a
<b>Verbascoside</b>					
BP	0 i	10.75 gh	36.15 f	74.62 de	171.09 c
TJ	0 i	13.75 gh	18.07 g	80.43 d	222.28 a
PP	0 i	7.96 h	31.35 f	68.58 e	194.24 ab
<b>3.4-DHPEA-EDA</b>					
BP	0 i	0 i	0 i	93.73 f	203.63 c
TJ	0 i	34.03 h	67.09 g	140.21 d	368.72 a
PP	0 i	0 i	66.53 g	106.18 e	310.05 b

Different letters indicate significantly different values ( $p \leq 0.0001$ )

**Table 2:** 2-Way ANOVA mixed model (random effect assessors): Phenol concentration effect on intensity of target sensations in OMWW extract solutions. Mean, F and p values.

			Concentration (g/L)								
	F	p	0.00	0.29	0.44	0.66	1.00	1.50	2.25	3.37	5.06
Bitterness	106.62	p<0.0001	1.69 f	9.95 e	13.23 de	17.18 d	23.18 c	26.91 c	34.28 b	38.28 ab	40.75 a
Sourness	17.30	p<0.0001	1.65 e	4.47 de	5.37 de	7.17 cd	8.13 bed	8.75 bed	10.10 bc	11.98 ab	16.21 a
Saltiness	13.83	p<0.0001	1.83 d	2.56 cd	2.72 cd	4.35 bed	5.55 bc	5.59 bc	5.78 bc	7.17 b	11.07 a
Astringency	17.69	p<0.0001	1.65 c	14.53 b	14.44 b	17.12 ab	18.26 ab	21.62 a	22.31 a	22.78 a	21.75 a
Pungency	47.79	p<0.0001	1.62 e	1.88 e	2.83 e	4.17 de	8.52 cd	9.34 bc	14.21 b	19.51 a	23.73 a

Different letters indicate significantly different values ( $p \leq 0.0001$ )

**Table.3** 2-Way ANOVAs mixed model (random effect: assessors): Phenol concentration effect on intensity of target sensations in food models. Mean, F and p values.

	F	p	Concentration of phenols from OMWW (g/kg)				
			0.00	0.44	1.00	2.25	5.06
<b>Bitterness</b>							
Bean Purée	68.09	< 0.0001	2.89 d	3.81 d	12.19 c	21.23 b	33.27 a
Tomato Juice	45.39	< 0.0001	4.22 d	6.00 d	15.15 c	27.00 b	32.67 a
Potato Purée	57.68	< 0.0001	3.15 d	4.08 d	14.92 c	25.69 b	35.15 a
<b>Sourness</b>							
Bean Purée	7.63	< 0.0001	2.70 b	2.50 b	3.35 b	5.08 b	10.00 a
Tomato Juice	4.72	0.002	8.41 c	11.41 bc	10.89 bc	16.70 a	14.74 ab
Potato Purée	12.75	< 0.0001	2.73 c	2.85 c	5.04 bc	8.46 b	14.96 a
<b>Astringency</b>							
Bean Purée	5.14	0.001	2.85 c	5.73 bc	5.42 bc	7.73 ab	9.92 a
Tomato Juice	5.04	0.001	4.89 c	5.11 c	7.07 bc	8.96 ab	11.04 a
Potato Purée	4.62	0.002	6.81 c	8.11 bc	8.35 bc	11.11 ab	14.81 a
<b>Pungency</b>							
Bean Purée	0.26	0.905	1.15 a	1.50 a	1.11 a	1.50 a	1.50 a
Tomato Juice	9.98	< 0.0001	2.41 c	3.11 c	4.89 bc	6.78 b	12.67 a
Potato Purée	12.53	< 0.0001	1.08 b	0.96 b	2.19 b	4.31 b	11.54 a

Different letters indicate significantly different values ( $p \leq 0.001$ )

**Table 4:** 3-Way ANOVA mixed model (random effect assessors): Vegetable matrix, phenol concentration and their interactions effects on intensity of target sensations in food models. F and p values.

	<b>Bitterness</b>	<b>Sourness</b>	<b>Astringency</b>	<b>Pungency</b>
<b>Vegetable matrix</b>				
F	2.81	36.02	6.64	23.33
P	0.06	< 0.0001	0.001	< 0.0001
<b>Concentration</b>				
F	147.52	17.61	10.79	20.30
P	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
<b>Vegetable matrix*Concentration</b>				
F	0.56	1.83	0.22	4.85
p	0.81	0.07	0.99	< 0.0001



