



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

ICTs for Accessing, Understanding and Safeguarding Cultural Heritage: The Experience of INCEPTION and ROCK H2020 Projects

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Di Giulio R., B.A. (2021). ICTs for Accessing, Understanding and Safeguarding Cultural Heritage: The Experience of INCEPTION and ROCK H2020 Projects. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARCHITECTURAL HERITAGE, 15(6), 825-843 [10.1080/15583058.2019.1690075].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/728317> since: 2020-02-18

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1080/15583058.2019.1690075>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Roberto Di Giulio, Andrea Boeri, Danila Longo, Valentina Gianfrate, Saveria O.M. Boulanger & Chiara Mariotti (2019): *ICTs for Accessing, Understanding and Safeguarding Cultural Heritage: The Experience of INCEPTION and ROCK H2020 Projects*, International Journal of Architectural Heritage, eISSN:1558-3058

The final published version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1080/15583058.2019.1690075>

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Fig. 1: Recent main events in the field of CH digitisation across Europe. (Design by Chiara Mariotti).

180x189mm (300 x 300 DPI)



45 Fig. 2: Timeline of CH digitisation across Europe (Design by Chiara Mariotti).

46 209x287mm (300 x 300 DPI)

N	Acronym	Coord.	CH Digital Approach used
1	INCEPTION (H2020) RIA	IT	3D digital reconstruction for HBIM, semantic web platform.
2	iMareCulture (H2020) RIA	CYP	Use of open GIS data, creation of 3D libraries, 3D automatic recognition and localization of objects.
3	GRAVITATE (H2020) RIA	UK	3D geometry, shape analysis, color features, semantic metadata and natural language processing, decision support platform.
4	Time Machine (H2020) CSA	CH	Use of Big Data of the Past, systematization of archive with the creation of a digital information system.
5	VIMM (H2020) CSA	CYP	Virtual Reality applied to Museums.
6	EMOTIVE (H2020) RIA	UK	Virtual Museums, "emotive storytelling", creation of high-quality, interactive, personalized digital stories.
7	NewsEye (H2020) RIA	FR	Digital investigation on Historical Newspaper, with text recognition, text analysis, natural language processing, computational creativity and natural language generation.
8	Pluggy (H2020) RIA	EL	Web architecture for pluggable applications, allowing not yet imagined ways to utilize the content on the social platform. Apps include Augmented Reality, Geolocalisation, 3D Sonic Narratives (audio), gaming Apps.
9	SILKNOW (H2020) RIA	ES	Semantically relating digitized European silk heritage, enabling data interoperability across different collections, for advanced searching abilities. Building a "Virtual Loom" to clone weaving techniques. Visual tools that show the spatio-temporal relationships of data, including an open-access, multilingual thesaurus.
10	TROMPA (H2020) RIA	ES	Digitization of repertoire, crowd annotation, analysis through automated processing.
11	CoHERE (H2020) RIA	UK	Digital heritage dialogue[s]: the role of digitally-enabled conversations in constructing heritage identities in Europe engages with digital design methodologies to investigate heritage conversations online and on-site. web- and mobile-based, alongside experimental bespoke tools for use in museums and sites.
12	COHESIFY (H2020) RIA	UK	Mixed-methods design including an original and representative survey of citizens in a sample of EU regions, quantitative and qualitative analysis of EU-wide data and of programme implementation and communication strategies in a sample of regions, framing and sentiment analysis of online and offline media and focus groups with citizens.
13	COURAGE (H2020) RIA	HU	Online database of collections in open source, online education materials, educational games.
14	CRIC (H2020) MSCA-RISE	UK	The project mainly uses storytelling both with and without digital supports.
15	CULTURALBASE (H2020) CSA	ES	Social Platform that involves a combination of traditional and innovative modes of communication. This social platform has been conceived as a double-shaped process enabling interactions and work dynamics stemming from the shared identification and formulation of the most urgent topics and issues to be addressed in further research initiatives resulting from a specific research agenda.
16	EUNAMUS (FP7) CP-FP	SE	Platform to systematize information on national museums.
17	PERCEIVE (H2020) RIA	IT	PERCEIVE will produce a computer simulation environment and embed it into a virtual platform that cohesion policy stakeholders will be able to use and produce what-if analysis and long-term scenario analysis of the effects of policies. Creation of a Virtual Learning Environment.
18	RICHES (FP7) CP-FP	UK	Interactive showcase, taxonomy on CH, virtual performances.
19	SIGNHUB (H2020) RIA	ES	Digital grammars of 6 sign languages, produced with a new online grammar writing tool; an interactive digital atlas of linguistic structures of the world's sign languages; online sign language assessment instruments for education and clinical intervention, and the first digital archive of life narratives by elderly signers, subtitled and partially annotated for linguistic properties.

Fig. 3: Analyses of digital approaches of 19 selected projects (Design by Saveria O.M. Boulanger).

209x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)

#	Acronym	Short Description of the project
1	INCEPTION (H2020) RIA	INCEPTION realises innovation in 3D modelling of cultural heritage through an inclusive approach for time-dynamic 3D reconstruction of artefacts, built and social environments.
2	iMareCulture (H2020) RIA	iMARECULTURE is focusing in raising European identity awareness using maritime and underwater cultural interaction and exchange in Mediterranean sea. iMARECULTURE will bring inherently unreachable underwater cultural heritage within digital reach of the wide public using virtual visits and immersive technologies.
3	GRAVITATE (H2020) RIA	The overall objectives of the GRAVITATE project are to create a set of software tools that will allow archaeologists and curators to reconstruct shattered or broken cultural objects, to identify and re-unify parts of a cultural object that has been separated across collections and to recognise associations between cultural artefacts that will allow new knowledge and understanding of past societies to be inferred.
4	Time Machine (H2020) CSA	Time Machine will give Europe the technology to strengthen its identity against globalisation, populism and increased social exclusion, by turning its history and cultural heritage into a living resource for co-creating its future. The Large Scale Research Initiative (LSRI) will develop a large-scale digitisation and computing infrastructure mapping millennia of European historical and geographical evolution, transforming kilometres of archives, large collections from museums and libraries, and geohistorical datasets into a distributed digital information system.
5	ViMM (H2020) CSA	Virtual Multimodal Museum (ViMM) proposes a major, high-impact CSA across the field of Virtual Museums (VM), within the overall context of European policy and practice on Virtual Cultural Heritage (VCH).
6	EMOTIVE (H2020) RIA	The principal objective of the EMOTIVE project is to research, design, develop and evaluate methods and tools that can support the cultural and creative industries in creating Virtual Museums which draw on the power of 'emotive storytelling'. This means storytelling that can engage visitors, trigger their emotions, connect them to other people around the world, and enhance their understanding, imagination and, ultimately, their experience of cultural sites and content.
7	NewsEye (H2020) RIA	Newspapers collect information about cultural, political and social events in a more detailed way than any other public record. In the last decades, tens of millions of newspaper pages from European libraries have been digitized and made available online, while national libraries will intensify their digitization efforts in the coming years. There is large demand for access to historical newspapers. The NewsEye project addresses text recognition, text analysis, natural language processing, computational creativity and natural language generation, with regard to historical newspapers but also more universally, digital newspaper research.
8	Pluggy (H2020) RIA	Pluggable Social Platform for Heritage Awareness and Participation (PLUGGY) will support citizens in shaping cultural heritage and being shaped by it. PLUGGY will enable them to share their local knowledge and everyday experience with others. The participation will include the contribution of cultural institutions and digital libraries, building extensive networks around a common interest in connecting past, present and future. The PLUGGY Social Platform will facilitate a continuing process for creating, modifying and safeguarding heritage where citizens will be prosumers and maintainers of cultural activities.
9	SILKNOW (H2020) RIA	SILKNOW aims to produce an intelligent computational system that goes beyond current technologies in order to improve our understanding of European silk heritage. It applies next-generation computing research to the needs of diverse users (museums, education, tourism, creative industries, media...), and preserves the tangible and intangible heritage associated with silk.
10	TROMPA (H2020) RIA	While involving several key user audiences and use cases, TROMPA will democratise our publicly available musical heritage through a user-centred co-creation setup. For analysing and linking music data at scale, the project will employ and improve state-of-the-art technology.
11	CoHERE (H2020) RIA	The CoHERE project seeks to identify, understand and valorise European heritages, engaging with their socio-political and cultural significance and their potential for developing communitarian identities. CoHERE addresses an intensifying EU Crisis through a study of relations between identities and representations and performances of history. It explores the ways in which heritages can be used for division and isolation, or to find common ground and encourage modern visions and uses of its past.
12	COHESIFY (H2020) RIA	The project takes as its framing question the current legitimacy of the European project, and the continuous need to enhance it through support and identification by EU-citizens. The project focuses in particular on EU-citizens' perceptions and understanding of the European Structural and Investment Funds.

Fig. 4: Short description of the selected projects (Design by Saveria O.M. Boulanger).

209x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)

13	COURAGE (H2020) RIA	The project proposes both to create an electronic registry of representative online and offline, private and public collections of cultural opposition in all former socialist countries in Europe and to study the origins, uses and changing roles of these collections in their social, political and cultural contexts.
14	CRIC (H2020) MSCA- RISE	The objective of the "Cultural Narratives of Crisis and Renewal" project is to examine cultural production and cultural practices in periods of societal crisis at the turn-of the 20th Century on both sides of the Atlantic. The overarching aim of the project is to investigate the role of cultural production, not just as a vehicle to elaborate cohesive narratives in moments of crisis, but as a space to create alternative imaginaries for social renewal.
15	CULTURALBASE (H2020) CSA	The Cultural Base Platform has addressed the intensified relationship between cultural identity, cultural heritage and cultural expression as part of the recent transformations of culture in the context of digitization and globalization. It highlights the value of a transnational approach to European heritage rather than viewing it as a collection of national heritages. On the other hand, the project notes the challenge posed by marginalised and excluded memories to both universalistic and national memories.
16	EUNAMUS (FP7) CP-FP	The project explored European national museums as spaces for the display and negotiation of identities, values, citizenship and conflicts. It analysed the historical formation of national museums together with contemporary museum policies and politics external to the museums, which also play a major role in setting museum agendas.
17	PERCEIVE (H2020) RIA	The multidisciplinary project, drawing from nine regional case studies from seven countries, investigates the understandings of EU citizens about the EU as institution, in particular how the knowledge of citizens in different European regions construct their knowledge about the EU.
18	RICHES (FP7) CP-FP	The project researches the context of change in which European Cultural Heritage is transmitted, its implications for future Cultural Heritage practices and the frameworks (cultural, legal, financial, educational, technical) to be put in place for the benefit of all audiences and communities in the digital age.
19	SIGNHUB (H2020) RIA	The project has two main focuses. The first focus will be on sign language: the project will create online grammars of 6 sign languages (and a sign language structure Atlas, and develop tools for sign language assessment. The second, is the creation and making available online of a digital archive of memories of elderly signers, which will serve as documentation of the history, and linguistic and cultural heritage of Deaf communities in several European countries since the mid-20th century.

Fig. 4: Short description of the selected projects (Design by Saveria O.M. Boulanger).

209x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Fig. 5: INCEPTION, 3D survey of Palazzo Arese Litta, Milan, as an example of complex architectural space detected (survey and modelling by DIAPReM Center, Department of Architecture, University of Ferrara).

209x164mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Fig. 6: INCEPTION 9 Demonstration Cases (Design by Chiara Mariotti).

207x136mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Fig. 7: INCEPTION H-BIM ontology layers structure (Design by Peter Bonsma).

170x100mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig. 8: INCEPTION, example of on-site application tested on the Italian Demonstration Case, the Istituto degli Innocenti (Design by Federico Ferrari).

299x159mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig. 9: ROCK 3 Demonstrative areas (Design by Chiara Mariotti).

209x66mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Fig. 10: Example of ROCK Atlas visualisation (<https://atlas.rockproject.eu>).

250x127mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Fig. 11: Home page of the Innovators in Cultural Heritage Platform (www.innovatorsinculturalheritage.eu).

250x126mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3 **ICTs for accessing, understanding and safeguarding Cultural Heritage:**
4
5
6 **the experience of INCEPTION and ROCK H2020 projects**
7
8
9

10
11
12 Roberto Di Giulio¹, Andrea Boeri², Danila Longo², Valentina Gianfrate²,
13
14
15 Saveria O.M. Boulanger², Chiara Mariotti²
16
17

18
19 ¹ *Department of Architecture, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*
20
21

22 ² *Department of Architecture, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 Reference person: Roberto Di Giulio, Professor, Department of Architecture, University
30 of Ferrara, Via della Ghiara 36, 44121 Ferrara, Italy. E-mail: roberto.digiulio@unife.it
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ICTs for accessing, understanding and safeguarding Cultural Heritage: the experience of INCEPTION and ROCK H2020 projects

Today digital technologies offer great opportunities in the field of Cultural Heritage (CH). After a general overview of the European policy documents on CH digitisation, the paper aims to reflect on tools, procedures and methodologies in the use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) as a new way of visualization, application and data collection towards accessing, understanding and safeguarding our historic built environment. The focus will be on two ongoing H2020 project, INCEPTION and ROCK, selected to address the problem of CH digitisation and the access to the corresponding digitized resources in relation to historic buildings and urban districts. Therefore, they are presented as inspiring good practices for tackling this issue considering its impacts both at the architectural and urban scale. Stressing the potentials of enabling technologies, such as 3D laser surveys, environment and climate sensors, large crowd monitoring tools and CH analytic, they are also able to orient future research beyond 2020.

Keywords: Cultural Heritage; Information & Communication Technology; Accessibility; Understanding; Safeguard; H2020; INCEPTION; ROCK.

Introduction

In line with the conclusions adopted by the Council of Europe in 2014, European polices extensively promoted the centrality of Cultural Heritage (CH) as “a strategic resource for a sustainable Europe” (Council of the European Union 2014, 1). Consequently, the European Union (EU) encouraged researches for the development and the advancement of all instruments needed to promote economic growth, social cohesion and environmental sustainability starting from our tangible and intangible cultural heritage. Instruments and tools that seem to favour new effective ways of accessing, understanding and safeguarding CH are the Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs). Thus, EU initiatives started to give a key role to the development of new digital

1
2
3 technologies and to digitisation processes, in unprecedented ways. The result was the
4 definition of a hybrid experimental field that brought together scientific research areas
5 such as computer science, geomatics, restoration and technology for historic architectures
6 and sites, opening new scenarios for the locked-up potential of Europe's CH. The
7 following study was born and developed within this research field.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **Objective and Methodology**

17
18
19 The objective of this paper is to analyse the current and most innovative practices of use
20 of digital technologies applied to Cultural Heritage. The aim is to identify some of the
21 most promising strategies and innovation that can boost the valorisation-enhancement and
22 preservation of cultural heritage, considering it both in its material and immaterial nature
23 (Sonkoly and Vahtikari 2018). The integration among different available technologies-it
24 is the key point for innovation in this paper. It is structured in **two core sections**: the first
25 one offers a general overview of the European policy documents and events addressing
26 CH digitisation and investigating the most interesting projects, as selected and presented
27 in the last core events about the topic. The second section deepens two European funded
28 projects, INCEPTION (G.A. 665220) and ROCK (G.A. 730280), highlighting their
29 similarities and differences both in their assumptions, approaches and results. The
30 conclusion will identify some red lines and promising roadmaps for the future of the
31 practice.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 The paper is based both on a desk research and on the direct research experience on
51 INCEPTION and ROCK projects. ~~The desk research concerns the first section of the~~
52 ~~paper. The selected projects are the projects~~ presented in the three main meetings and
53 workshops discussing about the topic: ~~In particular,~~ the "Digital Day" (April 9, 2019),
54 the Brussels Workshop on "Common challenges and perspectives for Digital Cultural
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Heritage in H2020 projects” (November 14, 2018), the Policy Review at the end of the
4
5 European Year of the Cultural Heritage (EYCH) (January 2018).
6
7

9 **Section 1: Cultural Heritage in Digital Era**

12 *European policies on digitisation of Cultural Heritage*

13
14
15
16 “The digital revolution is leading to new and innovative forms of artistic creation while
17 making culture and heritage more accessible and opening up new ways of enjoying
18 cultural contents. Making our cultural heritage widely available in the digital era is vital”
19
20 (Ansip, Gabriel, and Navracsics as quoted in European Commission 2019). Today, digital
21 transformation is one of the social, cultural and economic most pervasive and mediatic
22 phenomena characterizing our era, to the point that we often talk about Digital Era. In
23 parallel, the growing attention to Cultural Heritage as a fundamental key driver of social
24 cohesion, economic and sustainable growth has encouraged the development of enabling
25 technologies for its knowledge, dissemination, reuse, conservation and enhancement
26 across borders. Thus, a close bond has been established between CH and ICTs, leading
27 the European Union to declare the urgent need to digitalise cultural heritage for recording,
28 documenting and preserving Europe’s cultural inheritance and even more for fostering its
29 visibility and accessibility, improving the engagement of local communities and
30 supporting spill-overs in transversal sectors such as education, tourism, creative and
31 cultural industries.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 This is the perspective of the **Digital Day 2019** (3rd edition), the last international event
52 promoted by the EU to pool resources to accelerate digital developments in key areas that
53 can bring tangible benefits to our economies and societies. On the 9 April 2019, as part
54 of the thematic area dedicated to the CH digitisation, 24 European countries signed the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Declaration “Cooperation on advancing digitisation of cultural heritage”**, which
4 stated: “Emerging technologies such as big data, artificial intelligence and extended
5 reality offer numerous possibilities to further process and use digital cultural heritage. 3D
6 technologies are especially promising, providing new ways of advancing scientific
7 understanding, handling and restoring damaged or fragile heritage and ensuring digital
8 preservation that reflects the uniqueness and multidimensionality of our heritage. ~~3D is~~
9 ~~also one of the foundations for interactive technologies such as virtual and augmented~~
10 ~~reality for cultural applications~~” (Declaration: Cooperation on advancing 2019, 2). As a
11 matter of fact, the development and use of ~~digital tools~~, for example, 3D integrated laser
12 scanner, allow to survey rapidly historical buildings and ~~urban~~ sites by collecting millions
13 of spatial coordinates used for creating 3D models with a high degree of accuracy and
14 precision. The availability of innovative tools, based on 3D models, the Virtual Reality
15 (VR), the Mixed Reality (MR) and the Augmented Reality (AR) open innovative
16 scenarios for ~~Cultural Heritage~~CH. 3D models can be used not only for documentation
17 and monitoring purposes but also for digital applications (e.g. virtual tours, virtual
18 tourism, digital reconstructions, etc.) and for creating integrated 3D database useful for
19 ~~preserving~~, diagnostic, ~~restore~~ conservation and management procedures.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 In tackling the main challenges related to the so-called “4th industrial revolution” and its
44 impact on ~~h~~Cultural Heritage, the Declaration highlighted two additional crucial points:
45 the commitment to support the digital transformation of ~~cultural heritage~~CH institutions
46 and the need to ensure that digitised cultural contents and related applications will be
47 available, ~~in line with their values~~, on appropriate European platforms. In relation to the
48 latter, it is also interesting to stress the effort of economic and business companies to test
49 ~~and improve~~ advanced technologies for supporting the innovative use of digitalised
50 cultural resources, the knowledge extraction and the more engaging experience of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 heritage contents. ~~For instance, the~~The ongoing research carried out by Vodafone for on
4 the implementation of 5G technology is a case in point: it performs this task. ~~Presented~~
5 ~~by Vodafone at the International Conference “Cultural Heritage: challenges, new~~
6 ~~perspectives and technology innovation. Towards informative content models and~~
7 ~~beyond” (Milan, 8-10 May 2019),~~ it aims to make available high-quality interlinked data
8 and complex multimodal objects for promoting CH through narratives and immersive
9 experiences using smart phones and tablets (Baggioni 2019).

10
11
12 However, the matter of CH digitisation was also one of the core topics discussed during
13 the **Symposium “Horizons for Heritage Research. Towards a Cluster on Cultural**
14 **Heritage”**, held in Brussels on 20 March 2019, just few days before the Digital Day. The
15 participants shared the intention to constitute a new governance structure addressed to
16 professional stakeholder partners of EU institutions for the co-creation of **cultural**
17 **heritageCH** researches and the construction of the innovation agenda of Horizon Europe
18 (Vahtikari 2019). ~~Nevertheless, the most interesting question for this dissertation is that~~In
19 addition, a specific~~the second~~ session of the symposium was designed to discuss
20 prominent **cultural heritageCH** themes and ~~that one of them, among the 4 selected,~~ was
21 dedicated to “Digital cultural heritage”. Chaired by the Policy Officer Albert Gauthier,
22 the roundtable on this topic made clear the issues of digitisation and accessibility, and it
23 claimed that the “quality instead of quantity of data is a central concern in digitization, so
24 the work should focus on creating quality standards. Also issues of copyright, automatic
25 annotation of objects and the need for a European storage capacity were pointed out as
26 an absolute necessity, as well as curation, which is of key importance for accessibility,
27 interaction, participation and re-use of objects” (Vahtikari 2019, 13). Exactly one year
28 after the high-level conference “Innovation & Cultural Heritage”, ~~the roundtable~~all
29 participants ~~remembered and shared~~stressed the importance of ~~defining an~~ holistic
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 approach to heritage and heritage research and concluded that “digital cultural heritage
4 should be seen as part of cultural heritage, not as a separate realm” (Vahtikari 2019, 13).
5
6

7
8 The great fervour linked with ~~the above-mentioned~~these recent events reminds us how
9
10 much the question of CH digitisation is current and relevant today; although it should be
11
12 noted that these are not the only remarkable results. Over the past decade, Member States
13
14 have significantly invested in digitisation and digital preservation of ~~cultural heritage~~CH
15
16 and, as a direct consequence, mainly in the context of digitisation efforts and
17
18 collaboration at European level (Fig 1). A first milestone was reached with **Europeana**,
19
20 the **European’s digital platform for cultural heritage**, funded as a well-established
21
22 Digital Service Infrastructure under the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) to bring
23
24 Europe’s digitised ~~cultural heritage~~CH material online and to promote its cross-border
25
26 visibility, accessibility and usability across Europe. Launched in 2008, it currently
27
28 provides access to over 51 million items from the collections of over 3700 European
29
30 libraries, archives, museums, galleries through its main portal Europeana Collections and
31
32 through the platform’s Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) (European
33
34 Commission 2018a). At the beginning of 2019, Europeana published an **Innovation**
35
36 **Agenda** that ~~highlighted~~research and innovation priorities in the ~~cultural~~-heritage
37
38 domain ~~and advocates for their implementation across Europe. According to the~~
39
40 ~~Europeana Network Association, the Agenda listed 13 topics that showcase the~~
41
42 ~~opportunities of transformation in 4 overarching categories and, once again, and, once~~
43
44 ~~again,~~ one of them was “Technological innovation”. After stating that “the Europeana
45
46 Innovation Agenda aims to ensure long-term access to cultural assets and calls for
47
48 continuous support for the improvement of digital content, tools and services offered by
49
50 cultural heritage organisations” (Oomen and Bočytè 2019, 8), the document ~~pointed~~eds out
51
52 5 specific topics for this ~~category~~priority including digitisation and digital durability (1),
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 digging into data (2), data quality, usability and retrieval (3), infrastructures for secure,
4 trustable and shareable content (4) and storytelling and immersive experiences (5). In
5
6
7
8 ~~particular, with regard~~ relation to this last objective, the Agenda confirmed eds that “research
9
10 actions should focus on unleashing the potential of novel technologies such as VR, AR,
11
12 360 videos and 3D modelling to offer full-body, immersive and personal encounters with
13
14 cultural heritage” (Oomen and Bočyté 2019, 10).

15
16
17
18 ~~After that~~ Besides ~~Soon after the construction of Europeana~~, in 2010, a new goal was
19
20 achieved with the launch of the **Digital Agenda for Europe**. Planned as one of the 7
21
22 flagship initiatives of the Europe 2020 Strategy, it ~~aims to~~ defined the key-enabling role
23
24 that the use of ICTs will play if Europe wants to succeed in its ambitions for 2020. As
25
26 stated in the documents, the ~~more effective~~ use of digital technologies ICTs “will provide
27
28 Europeans with a better quality of life through, for example, better health care, safer and
29
30 more efficient transport solutions, cleaner environment, new media opportunities and
31
32 easier access to public services and cultural content” (European Commission 2010, 3).
33
34 Then in 2011, under the Digital Agenda for Europe, the **report “The New Renaissance”**
35
36 **by the Comité des Sages on bringing Europe’s cultural heritage online** (~~Comité des~~
37
38 ~~sages 2011~~) and the **“Commission Recommendation on the digitisation and online**
39
40 **accessibility of cultural material and digital preservation”** (~~European Commission~~
41
42 ~~2011~~) were published in order to help cultural institutions make the transition towards the
43
44 digital era ~~and~~ improving the conditions for the entire digitisation lifecycle (Comité des
45
46 sages 2011 ; European Commission 2011).

47
48
49
50
51
52
53 At the same time, the **EU Work Plan for Culture (2015-2018)** also raised the importance
54
55 of the interaction between tangible, intangible and digital cultural heritage. Since digital
56
57 technologies ICTs have changed the way people access, produce and use cultural content,
58
59 the EU Work Plan for Culture paid specific attention to “digital shift challenges”
60

1
2
3 exploring “how digitisation of cultural content and digital services can foster the
4 expansion of trans-European tourism networks” (European Commission 2014, 5, 11).
5
6

7
8 In a global perspective but with the same assumptions, the **2030 Agenda for Sustainable**
9 **Development**, adopted by all United Nations Member States in 2015, encouraged the
10 advance and implementation of ICTs to speed up progress towards each one of the **17**
11 **Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**. ~~In the opening paragraph about “Our world~~
12 ~~today”,~~ ~~†~~The Agenda declared that “the spread of information and communications
13 technology and global interconnectedness has great potential to accelerate human
14 progress, to bridge the digital divide and to develop knowledge societies, as does
15 scientific and technological innovation” (United Nations 2015, ~~point n°~~ 15).
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Another significant breakthrough on digitisation for CH promotion and preservation was
29 recorded in 2018, when the EU decided to dedicate the year to the Cultural Heritage =
30 that was the European Year of Cultural Heritage – fostering the launch of specific
31 policies and projects on the topic. The EU decision proved how ~~Cultural Heritage~~CH
32 could effectively conceptualise global cultural challenges and have impact on society,
33 economy and environment. In this context, new scenarios have been opened up especially
34 thanks to digital technologies. Therefore, to make the impact of digitisation more
35 effective – in terms of innovative forms of artistic creation, more democratic access to
36 ~~heritage~~CH, and new ways to enhance and monetise cultural content – the **New European**
37 **Agenda for Culture** defined the “**Digital4Culture**” strategy. Its core objective was to
38 “create a network of competence centres across the EU to safeguard knowledge of
39 endangered heritage monuments through large-scale digitisation [...], set up a pan-
40 European network of Digital Creative and Innovation Hubs to support digital
41 transformation, propose next steps for Europeana [...], stimulate cross-overs and
42 collaboration between art and technology for sustainable innovation on industrial and
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 societal levels” (European Commission 2018b, 9).
4
5

6 ~~In some ways~~ Additionally, the **position paper on the European Research Policy for**
7 **Cultural Heritage** written by Gábor Sonkoly and Tanja Vahtikari offered an extra point
8 of view on this subject. Entitled **“Innovation in Cultural Heritage Research”** and
9 published at the beginning of the ~~European Year of Cultural Heritage~~ EYCH, it recognized
10 that “the integrated definition of cultural heritage requires not only a new
11 conceptualization, but also a new techniques and practices between the wide range of
12 concerned participating communities and individuals” (Sonkoly and Vahtikari 2018, 37).
13 In deepening this matter, the authors stated that if digitalisation “seems to be most obvious
14 instrument of democratisation of cultural heritage”, however, “the digital divide has
15 mixed existing and new forms of exclusion” (Sonkoly and Vahtikari 2018, 38). Similar
16 considerations lead Sonkoly and Vahtikari to stress the idea that “the use of digital
17 heritage by the different social, cultural and professional groups calls for research, which
18 takes into consideration the social effects of virtual realities and the visibility of actorship
19 in the processes related to cultural heritage practices” (Sonkoly and Vahtikari 2018, 38).
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Criticality and potentials of digitisation are also underlined during the **Conference**
41 **“Innovation & Cultural Heritage”**, held in Brussels on 20 March 2018. Here once
42 again, Professor Gábor Sonkoly of the Eötvös Loránd University in Budapest urged
43 researchers to handle digital technology critically, as he said, “it could lead to extreme
44 individualisation, the opposite of community-building” (Sonkoly as quoted in Collins
45 2018).
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Reflections on impacts of ~~heritage-CH~~ digitisation moved on also during the **Workshop**
55 **“Common challenges and perspectives for Digital Cultural Heritage in H2020**
56 **projects”**, organized in Brussels by the Research Executive Agency on 14 November
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 2018: ~~Seven~~ 7 ongoing ~~Horizon~~ 2020 projects in the field of digital CH were invited and
4
5 shortly presented in order to better orient future researches. Concluding remarks were
6
7 focused on the importance to “continue to build on digital for cultural heritage with
8
9 particular emphasis on promising technologies such as 3D, Virtual Reality, Augmented
10
11 Reality and Artificial Intelligence” as well as to ~~support the construction of~~ build an
12
13 “European platform on cultural heritage preservation and conservation using digital
14
15 technologies” (Tsakou and Athens 2018).
16
17
18

19
20 Starting from the results of the EYCH and ensuring its legacy for developing further
21
22 concrete activities, the **European Framework for Action on Cultural Heritage** was
23
24 programmed and launched at the end of the year. Announced in the New European
25
26 Agenda for Culture and designed to support actions beyond 2020, it promoted and put in
27
28 practice a holistic and participatory approach to CH focusing on 5 main pillars. ~~Under~~
29
30 The pillar 4, “Cultural Heritage for an Innovative Europe”, ~~it~~ emphasised the digital
31
32 dimension of CH ~~stating~~; “Digital technologies offer unprecedented opportunities for us
33
34 to improve public access to cultural heritage assets and allow for their curation and re-
35
36 use. Innovative technologies, such as virtual or augmented reality, can also enhance
37
38 people’s experiences with cultural heritage, while digital tools such as 3D scanning play
39
40 a major role in the preservation and restoration of physical heritage assets” (European
41
42 Commission 2018c, 8).
43
44
45
46
47

48 The attention paid by the new European action plan to the matter of CH re-use ~~was~~ also
49
50 ~~directly connected in line~~ with the acquisitions of the ~~contemporary~~ **Leeuwarden**
51
52 **Declaration on “Adaptive re-use of the built heritage: preserving and enhancing the**
53
54 **values of our built Heritage for future generation”**, adopted on 23 November 2018.
55
56 Taking stock of lessons learned over the EYCH and reflecting on good practices for
57
58 quality interventions, ~~the Declaration~~ it remarked the potentials of CH digitalisation ~~for~~
59
60

1
2
3 ~~the preservation and construction of tangible and intangible values linked with our~~
4 ~~inheritance.~~ ~~In this perspective, it affirmed,~~ “good story-telling, using all opportunities
5
6 offered by digital technologies, is key to conveying the history of the place and enhancing
7
8 its heritage value” (Leeuwarden Declaration 2018).
9
10

11
12
13 Finally, this overview on the Europe’s involvement in the field of digital CH cannot
14
15 exclude a focus on practical experimentations (Fig. 2). Taking input from the EU-funded
16
17 project already implemented, sharing their knowledge and building added value is what
18
19 really matters today. Future researches will be based on the experiences carried out during
20
21 the EYCH and on their major results, both positive and negative. As pointed out during
22
23 the Symposium mentioned at the beginning of this paragraph, EU actions for future will
24
25 be divided in 5 key areas. One of them will be on “Mobilising knowledge and research
26
27 as a way to support advanced digitisation and foster social innovation”. The European
28
29 Union will invest 265 million euro for this thematic area. The digitisation of Cultural
30
31 Heritage in relation of its multi-level nature (tangible and intangible) and multi-scalar
32
33 dimension (architectural, urban and territorial) is still an open challenge.
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 ***Cultural Heritage and ICTs: a new alliance. A high-quality selection of EU***
41 ***projects on digital CH***
42
43
44

45
46 The first paragraph highlighted how nowadays the link between digital technologies and
47
48 cultural heritage is perceived necessary for the future of the mankind and its inheritance.
49
50 Mainly focused on the European level, the paper showed up the major moments and
51
52 manifestos that are framing this new context of research, policies and innovation.
53
54 However, when speaking about ~~Information and Communication Technologies~~ ICTs
55
56 applied to cultural heritage it is possible to find several examples both on technologies
57
58 and on objects of application. This study stresses this relation analysing some key
59
60

1
2
3 European projects presented in the last three core events on digital CH that are
4 contributing in relevant way in framing the topic. The following figures figure 3 resumes
5 the analysed projects, identifying the used digital approaches (Fig. 3) and. ~~Hence, figure~~
6
7
8
9
10 ~~4 resumes~~ the analysed projects details, including a short description (Fig. 4).
11

12
13 Through this analysis, some common approaches can be identified. At first, each project
14 focuses on different research objects. As, in fact, it is highlighted into the “Innovation in
15 Cultural Heritage Research” report (Sonkoly and Vahtikari 2018), cultural heritage can
16 be intended in very different ways, material and immaterial. According with the 19
17 analysed projects four are the most common **objects of the research**:
18
19
20
21
22
23

- 24
25 • single objects/architectures that are subject of 3D reconstruction, as in the case of
26 INCEPTION and iMareCulture;
27
28
- 29
30 • projects that work on immaterial CH, such as PLUGGY that works on cultural
31 stakeholders, TROMPA working with musical heritage involving stakeholders,
32 CoHERE, CULTURAL BASE, EUNAMUS, PERCEIVE, RICHES, SIGN-HUB;
33
34
35
- 36
37 • projects that work on capacity building in Europe, and/or with citizens, stakeholders,
38 communities of practices on material and immaterial CH, such as COHESIFY,
39 COURAGE and PERCEIVE;
40
41
42
- 43
44 • ~~finally~~, projects concerning documentation materials, archives or museum collections,
45 as in the case of ViMM and EMOTIVE, NewsEye, COURAGE, SIGN-HUB and
46 SILKNOW.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 As happens with the objects of each research, also **objectives** are different. Therefore, it
56 is possible to identify some common lines as following:
57
58
59
60

- reconstruction of CH elements in 3D for enhancing the research capacity and increase the academia and stakeholders' knowledge for the present and future researches, as in the case of INCEPTION, GRAVITATE, Time Machine;
- projects that aims to reconstruct CH in 3D or digitize it in order to guarantee their preservation for future generations or to allow their universal access, including the enhancement of touristic applications, as in the case of INCEPTION, iMareCulture, Time Machine, EMOTIVE, NewsEye, SILKNOW, EUNAMUS, SIGN-HUB;
- projects that aims to create unconventional narratives and storytelling, to increase of communities/stakeholders' capacity building, as in the case of iMareCulture, Time Machine, ViMM, EMOTIVE, SILKNOW, CoHERE, COHESIFY, COURAGE, CULTURAL BASE, PERCEIVE, RICHES, SIGN-HUB;
- finally, projects that aims to create new virtual communities and to foster the creation of new collaborations among stakeholders, as PLUGGY, TROMPA, CULTURAL BASE.

Even if these approaches can seem very different, both for object and objectives, there are some common enabling technologies that are frequently used in most of the listed experiences. In fact, the digitalization of the society and the availability of innovative tools and instruments are highly helping the research on CH in reaching new objectives and new possibilities, even when applied to very different cases. According with the conducted analyses, ~~six~~four **types of innovative enabling technologies** can be recognised:

- one of the most used approach is the **3D reconstruction of specific CH objects**. This technique foresees the use of laser-scanners, innovative cameras and videomapping,

1
2
3 both static or dynamic (e.g. those installed on drones). This technology is necessary
4
5 for the digitization of objects, such as architectures, damaged heritage (e.g. ancient
6
7 books, artefacts) etc. These tools are fundamental for creating shareable and
8
9 ~~questionable-questioning~~ digital repositories, useful for different purposes (research,
10
11
12 restoration/conservation, education, tourism, etc);
13
14

15
16 • **Semantic, taxonomy and open access.** Even if they are not strictly digital
17
18 technologies, a great attention is nowadays given to open access, semantic and
19
20 taxonomy as ways to involve researchers, stakeholders outside the project consortium,
21
22 but also the community of European citizens that can have interest in accessing
23
24 research data and results. In particular, the use of open access both for publication and
25
26 research data is recommended by the European Commission (European Commission
27
28 2012). For researches on CH, the open access seems to be a current and common trend,
29
30 as CH is for definition a shared community inheritance. Thus, its accessibility to
31
32 everyone is of particular interest. In fact, most part of the projects are designing open
33
34 access platforms where the digitization of CH elements can be not only shared with
35
36 the public, but also be a meaning of education, interplay and engagement. As, for
37
38 example, it happens in projects such as COHESIFY, COURAGE, CULTURAL
39
40 BASE, PERCEIVE that foresee the use of gaming experience, innovative learning
41
42 methods and interactions with the society. The theme of making CH available in open
43
44 access formats poses immediately the question of multi-languages. The presence of
45
46 multiple ways to identify specific CH elements, artefacts or parts of them, as well as
47
48 the presence of multiple and different languages in Europe and technical vocabulary
49
50 can pose several risks and cause challenges when multiple sources become accessible
51
52 to everyone. Thus, the accessibility and the shareability of similar databases rely on
53
54 the use of a common vocabulary, shared among all. As a matter of fact, several
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 international agencies are providing similar contents. This usually goes under the name
4 of Thesaurus (UNESCO, Getty, etc.). Several projects, such as INCEPTION, are
5 strictly working with these instruments in order to share their use and to contribute
6 into its advance;
7
8
9
10

- 11
12
13 • **Immersive Virtual and Augmented Reality** experiences and **Gaming** applications.

14 Both technologies are based on the possibility to include citizens and potential
15 different targets of people into an immersive environment reconstructing, in many
16 different ways, a past, even no more existing or fictional environment. As defined by
17 Chavan S. (2016), augmented reality is a view of an environment where some elements
18 are augmented with images, sounds or additional information, while virtual reality is
19 a complete, immersive and totally artificial reconstruction. iMareCulture, ViMM,
20 EMOTIVE projects propose virtual realities. Also Gaming applications are becoming
21 common applications for CH. In addition to the previous technologies, they include an
22 interactive component and they are particularly used for education and teaching
23 purposes;
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

- 40 • Some projects, such as iMareCulture, propose **3D printing** as a major innovation. The
41 digitization of CH artefacts and components allows, in fact, to share 3D models that
42 can be compatible with home 3D printing. This approach ensures a full experience of
43 accessibility, giving the opportunity to print historical and artistic elements at home.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 These types of enabling technologies ~~seems to be~~ the most innovative and used ones
51 in the selected projects. In addition to them, the most common **digital platform**, as
52 repositories of information, 3D models and applications are also particularly spread. The
53 most innovative ones allow not only to share information and data, but also to interact in
54 multi-modal ways (e.g. create personalized analysis from available data or insert new
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 ~~personal~~-data) or to be the base for community creation. The described technologies are
4 available in the market in many forms since years. Even if some specific advances are
5 undertaken by each project, the main innovation relies on the integration of different
6 technologies together. In fact, the previous analysis also highlighted how no projects can
7 be categorized in only one single thematic subdivision. This point is crucial when
8 speaking about innovation in technologies applied to CH: it is perceived by the authors
9 that the red lines behind all these approaches is the way in which the different components
10 are put together and combined in unconventional ways.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
This paragraph identified some common and innovative approaches, in a selection of recognised innovative projects. Next section will deepen two projects, identifying their approach in using digital technologies ~~applied to~~ for CH and focusing on the different ways in which they are combined together.

Section 2: INCEPTION and ROCK H2020 project

Starting from the analysis conducted in the previous section regarding the connection between CH and ~~digital technologies~~ ICTs in a selection of outstanding EU-funded projects, this further section will investigate more deeply two projects that are, in different ways, using technologies applied to cultural heritage. Both projects have the objective to enhance while preserving CH even if they work on different scales. **INCEPTION** works on the 3D reconstruction of single historic artefacts, mainly architectures, while **ROCK** works on the application of technologies for urban districts in historic city centres. The results will deepen these distinctions and similarities, with the aim to discuss the potential of ICTs for the better knowledge, use, management, conservation and enhancement of

1
2
3 CH and identifying some orientations for a roadmap for European cities.
4
5

6
7 ***INCEPTION project. CH digitisation at the architectural scale***
8
9

10 The INCEPTION project – *Inclusive Cultural Heritage in Europe through 3D semantic*
11 *modelling* – (G.A. 665220) was funded under the Horizon 2020 Programme, Work
12 Programme “Europe in a changing world – inclusive, innovative and reflective societies”,
13 topic “Reflective Societies: Cultural Heritage and European Identities”, call
14 “REFLECTIVE 7-2014: Advanced 3D modelling for accessing and understanding
15 European cultural assets”. Ranked first of 87 RIA (Research and Innovation Action)
16 proposals, the project boasts a consortium of 14 partners from 10 European countries
17 under the coordination of the University of Ferrara, Italy (scientific coordinator: Professor
18 Roberto Di Giulio). The EU contribution of 3.990.205 EUR supports a four-year project,
19 started in June 2015 and it will end in May 2019 (European Commission 2018d, 71-72;
20 INCEPTION Official Website).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 INCEPTION develops **innovation in cultural heritage 3D modelling** through an
37 inclusive approach for time-dynamic 3D reconstruction of artefacts, mainly historic
38 architectures, sites and social environments (European Commission 2018d, 71).
39 INCEPTION main goal is to overcome the limits of state-of-the-art 3D reconstruction, by
40 significantly progressing functionalities, capabilities and cost-effectiveness of
41 instruments and deployment procedures for 3D laser survey, data acquisition and
42 processing (INCEPTION Official Website) (Fig. 5). According to its vision, it aims to
43 create an **open-standard Semantic Web platform** for accessing, processing and sharing
44 interoperable digital models resulting from 3D survey and data capturing (INCEPTION
45 Official Website). The relevance of this research was recently confirmed during the
46 above-mentioned Digital Day: INCEPTION was presented as the first ongoing H2020
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 project in a high-quality selection of 5 EU researches in field of digital cultural heritage
4
5 (European Commission 2019). After all, the study has demonstrated to take on board all
6
7 the European Community's assumptions and challenges on digitisation, ensuring a further
8
9 step forward on this topic.
10
11

12
13 Starting from the idea that CH documentation is essential to understand and give value to
14
15 our cultural legacy, INCEPTION tests advanced ICTs ~~to create~~for multi-layered
16
17 knowledge databases using laser scanner applications. Thanks to the automatic
18
19 acquisition of geometric parameters, it experiments the creation of high-definition three-
20
21 dimensional morphometric archives where data can be collected not only on shape and
22
23 dimensions, the so-called “geometric memory”, but also on topography, materials,
24
25 structures, state of conservation, diagnostic analysis, historic facts related to the detected
26
27 object (Maietti et al. 2017). The result ~~wants to be~~is “the holistic heritage documentation,
28
29 the semantic enrichment via 3D modelling in H-BIM environment, and the models
30
31 deployment and valorisation through the INCEPTION platform” (Maietti et al. 2018**b**,
32
33 357).
34
35
36
37
38

39
40 By defining an open-standard format and semantic ontology to generate high-quality and
41
42 interoperable models of Heritage Building Information Model (H-BIM) (INCEPTION
43
44 Official Website), INCEPTION ~~intends to support~~s process of management,
45
46 maintenance, conservation, and enhancement of heritage sites encouraging the use of
47
48 such collected data – in this way exportable, updatable and implementable – by all
49
50 relevant categories of users interested in CH research and thus facilitating trans-
51
52 disciplinary collaborations.
53
54

55
56 Compared to the many ongoing and already ended projects on CH digitisation,
57
58 INCEPTION differs because it focuses on “heritage ‘spaces’” (Maietti et al. 2018**b**⁹, 357)
59
60

1
2
3 and, as it is well known, spatial cultural assets, such as historic buildings, are often
4 characterized by unconventional location, features and geometries. Working on 3D
5 survey of these spaces means to investigate and control the binomials space/time,
6 space/user and space/identity: in fact, historic architectural spaces have always changed
7 over time, but despite this they have always established new and renewed interfaces with
8 users – today on-site and off-site users – and, last but not least, they have been able to
9 build an evolving identity still rooted in the memory of places.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Assuming these implications as a starting point, INCEPTION applies the described
21 process on 9 demonstration cases across Europe, selected from 6 partner countries
22 (INCEPTION Official Website) (Fig. 6): Istituto degli Innocenti (Florence, Italy),
23 Historic church of Obergum (Groningen, The Netherlands), Castillo de Torrelobatón
24 (Valladolid, Spain), Cultural Heritage Municipality of Unešić (Unešić, Croatia),
25 Technical Museum Nikola Tesla (Zagreb, Croatia), Church Panayia Phorviotissa
26 (Asinou), Nikitari village (Troodos, Cyprus), The Akropolis of Erimokastro (Rhodes,
27 Greece), Villa Klonaridi (Athens, Greece) and the HAMH Museum (Hydra, Greece).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 The wide spectrum of spatial contexts offered by pilot sites allows to verify the project
41 methodology in relation with the complexity and the heterogeneity of built heritage at the
42 architectural scale. As a matter of fact, the 9 buildings have different typologies and use
43 vocations (churches, castles, villas, palaces, museums and archaeological sites), spatial
44 configurations and dimensions (from single architectures to delimited urban areas); they
45 were also built in different historic periods (from ancient times to the present day), they
46 show various states of conservation (ruins, partially lost or intact architectures) as well as
47 peculiar connections with the surrounding context (urban or natural setting). Finally, they
48 have diverse tourism relevance in their respective countries (national monuments or
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 abandoned places), condition that makes them potential catalysts of attractiveness thanks
4
5 to the use of advanced 3D model technology.
6
7

8
9 In order to create a **semantic H-BIM** for all demonstrative cases, the first step is defining
10
11 an ontology, intended as a set of concepts within the cultural heritage domain based on a
12
13 specific language denoting properties and interrelations for CH (Maietti et al. 2018a, 2).
14
15 Nevertheless, building a language to name every single element of historical architectures
16
17 is not an easy exercise: in fact, each building is the final result of a complex diachronic
18
19 process in which construction techniques, availability of materials, decorative apparatus,
20
21 but also transformative needs and uses played a fundamental role. ~~For this reason, That's~~
22
23 why each historical building ~~reveals a uniqueness~~ unique and ~~that~~ hardly fits into a
24
25 standard dictionary.
26
27

28
29
30 To meet the double requirement of not reducing CH complexity and of setting up a
31
32 sharable CH language code, INCEPTION designs a common glossary for the semantic
33
34 enrichment and modelling in BIM environment, and organises names according to a
35
36 structure that could ensure the relation between the elements and their subsequent reuse
37
38 in the IT development phase (Logothetis, Delinasiou, and Stylianidis 2015, 177). After
39
40 an in-depth analysis of the many languages already developed to collect information on
41
42 cultural heritage (*Herein, UNESCO Thesaurus, UK Archival Thesaurus, Pactols*
43
44 *Thesaurus, Getty Art & Architecture Thesaurus*, to name the ~~major~~ main ones), the project
45
46 recognises the importance to connect data in a dynamic version using a **Semantic Web**
47
48 **technology** and **Linked Open Data principles** that make it possible to define an **open**
49
50 **HBIM ontology** without defining the complete schema as a copy of external sources. The
51
52 INCEPTION strategy aims to extend the H-BIM ontology based on the classification
53
54 available in Getty, integrating this with the BIM classification generated from the IFC
55
56 (Industry Foundation Classes) schemas (Maietti et al. 2018a, 5-6) (Fig. 7).
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Up to now, the method for supporting the semantic approach to CH has been defined and
4 a preliminary nomenclature for 3D model enrichment has been developed. Being the
5 integration of semantic 3D reconstructions with intangible information and social
6 environment another priority of the project, it has been tested the upload of additional
7 contents to the platform (e.g. VR and AR) for each ~~demonstration of 9~~ case (Fig. 8).
8 INCEPTION platform has been gradually populated using these first results; in this
9 perspective, its validity and efficiency could be verified as a significant dissemination
10 tool.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Thanks to this advanced digital tool, experts will be able to visualise, update, manage and
23 exchange data and metadata semantically organized, while non-experts access to various
24 information related to the building (history, transformation over the centuries, etc.).
25 Digital or digitalised contents (technical or educational ones) linked to 3D H-BIM models
26 will support a more accessible and sharable cultural heritage for all.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 According to the Faro Convention, the project has proven to encourage the creation of “a
36 heritage community consists of people who value specific aspects of cultural heritage
37 which they wish, within the framework of public action, to sustain and transmit to future
38 generations” (Council of Europe 2005). Last but not least, INCEPTION ~~seems to~~
39 ~~responds~~ to Jukka Jokilehto’s call to consider CH “both in time and in space” (2005): by
40 layering different information directly connected to spatial coordinates, the research
41 allows heritage community to manage contextually space and time dimension of historic
42 buildings. On the one hand people could know the past using, for example, the 3D virtual
43 application that shown the building reconstruction in different eras, on the other one
44 preserve the future in the present using, for instance, 3D laser scanner survey for
45 designing restoration works or prevented and planned conservation programmes.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ROCK project. CH digitisation at the urban scale

ROCK stands for *Regeneration and Optimisation of Cultural heritage in creative and Knowledge cities*. The project (G.A. 730280) was ranked first in the Horizon 2020 Programme, Work Programme “Climate action, environment, resource efficiency and raw materials”, topic “Cultural heritage for sustainable growth”, call “SC5-21-2016-2017: Cultural heritage as a driver for sustainable growth”. Design as an IA (Innovation Action), it obtained an EU contribution of 9.873.585 EUR and it will last three years, from June 2017 to May 2020. The project involves 32 partners from 13 European countries whose synergic commitment is under the coordination of the Municipality of Bologna, Italy (European Commission 2018d, 35-36; ROCK Official Website).

ROCK develops innovation in cultural heritage through an innovative, collaborative and systemic approach that promote CH-led regeneration strategies for historic city centres. Starting from the selection of three demo areas afflicted by physical decay, social conflicts and poor life quality (Bologna, Lisbon and Skopje), it supports their transformation into Creative and Sustainable Districts through ~~a shared generation of~~ new sustainable environmental, social, economic processes (ROCK Official Website) (Fig. 9).

Compared to ongoing projects on CH, ROCK differs because it focuses on heritage urban space, notably urban spaces characterized by the presence of important cultural heritage, both material and immaterial. ROCK approach foresees the identification of strategies of regeneration that involves not only project partners, researchers and policy makers, but also citizens and local stakeholders, with the aim to co-design shareable and more effective strategies. Thus, the role of digital technologies is crucial inside the project, as they give a unique potentiality in involving citizens, acquire new ~~and deep~~ knowledge on social behaviours, as well as ~~acquire deep knowledge~~ on the built environment

1
2
3 complexity. Their role is not only in the application of single or standing alone devices,
4 but in crossing results and potentialities among them in order to find unconventional
5 strategies to face urban challenges. As a matter of fact, ROCK needs to face the multi-
6 layered complexity of urban spaces and, moreover, of historical city centres that have
7 technical, social and economic constraints (Boeri et al. 2019; Gaspari, Boulangier, and
8 Antonini 2017). Therefore, the project foresees the implementation of enabling digital
9 technologies for supporting cultural heritage-led regeneration strategies. According with
10 the dynamic and the multi-layered complexity of urban spaces, the project is
11 implementing a coordinated and complimentary panel of technologies, as following:
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

- 25 • **ROCK Web Platform.** An interoperable platform that collects and manage projects
26 and cities datasets;
 - 27 • **Augmented Reality** in the form of a multiplatform app for smartphones and tablets;
 - 28 • An **integrated cultural heritage analytics**, which is the combination of Opinion
29 Analytics with Video Neuroanalytics and a range of intelligent decision support
30 systems (this tool has been selected for the Fair of Innovators in Bruxelles, 2019);
 - 31 • **Large crowd monitoring tool.** A LBASense system to measure over time data on
32 activities and mobility patterns;
 - 33 • **Outdoor multi-parameter.** An integrated system of climatic sensors for analysis
34 temperature, wind speed and humidity;
 - 35 • **Outdoor thermal comfort system** composed by a simulation software and anonym
36 questionnaires for analysis people comfort in open spaces;
 - 37 • **Indoor microclimate monitoring** based on indoor sensors;
 - 38 • **People flow analytics**, based on GPS sensors performing location-base analytics on
39 mobility and volunteers preferred paths.
- 40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 All the listed technologies are part of an integrated system applied to the material and
4 immaterial cultural heritage of 10 European Cities. Three of them are Replicator Cities
5 (Bologna, Skopje, Lisbon), adapting actions that were considered successful in seven
6 Role Models (Turin, Vilnius, Athens, Liverpool, Eindhoven, Cluj-Napoca, Lyon). This
7 wide spectrum of historical city centres allows the project to test and learn from several
8 approaches, both on the technical and social point of view. In fact, each city has different
9 typologies of city centres from often multi-layered different ages (archaeological,
10 modern, contemporary) with several social compositions (from Jewish communities, to
11 students, to residents, to tourists).

22
23
24
25 ~~The project innovation on~~The project innovation lies not only into the application of
26 different innovative technologies, as a summation of devices, but more ~~on~~into their
27 interrelations in describing the complexity of historical city centres and in supporting the
28 transition toward Creative and Sustainable Districts. In fact, ROCK is defining
29 complementary sets of actions according with three major themes: accessibility,
30 environmental sustainability and new collaborations among stakeholders, environmental
31 sustainability and accessibility. These three pillars have been selected after one year of
32 the project according with the results of Living Labs, participatory approaches and
33 stakeholders' meetings. The themes follow the results of the Innovation in Cultural
34 Heritage Research, as well as the Faro Convention and UNESCO reports, as they address
35 both material and immaterial CH and ~~they~~ go through the objective of open science and
36 multidisciplinary. Each of these themes ~~are~~is supported by a set of combined digital
37 technologies that, with physical and concrete actions, compose a complete scenario of
38 intervention on historical urban spaces.

39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58 The first scenario is the creation of new paths for **boosting new collaborations among**
59 **local and international stakeholders**. On the digital point of view, this scenario is
60

1 supported by three digital frameworks: **ROCK interoperable platform**, **ROCK Atlas**
2
3 and the **Platform of CH Innovators**. The first one is developed directly by the project
4
5 and it allows the management of several sources of data in order to perform data-based
6
7 analysis and comparisons. The second one, ROCK Atlas, is also developed entirely inside
8
9 the project and it is useful for the geographic referencing of ROCK actions, both tangible
10
11 and intangible (Fig. 10). Each action is in fact recorded into the platform on a city map
12
13 composed by several layers of detail. End-users can select which layers they want to
14
15 visualize according with their need. Each action, then, is enriched with factsheet including
16
17 historical, social, logistic information. The third one is developed in collaboration with
18
19 MARINA project on an initiative of the European Commission
20
21 (www.innovatorsinculturalheritage.eu) (Fig.11). This platform is of particular interest for
22
23 the purpose of this paper as it embodies the creation of a **virtual community** of
24
25 international stakeholders working on and dealing with cultural heritage. The platform
26
27 allows to share information on projects but also to share reports, documents, datasets,
28
29 events and to create networking and job opportunities. Thus, it creates a prolific
30
31 environment for sharing innovations: the technology provides a support for the creation
32
33 of an effective virtual **heritage** community, according to the Faro Convention. Each of the
34
35 three Replicator Cities is then using some of the available digital technologies to support
36
37 the achievement of this objective. In particular, the city of Bologna is developing a
38
39 gaming experience in the form of a call for creative entrepreneurs. The **gaming**
40
41 **experience** is applied to the **museums' collections** in the demonstrating area. This
42
43 approach will not only increase the accessibility of local museums in an immersive and
44
45 innovative way, but also it increases the collaborations with the local creative industry
46
47 and entrepreneurs.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 For the **environmental sustainability** scenario, ROCK proposes the composition of
4 knowledge acquisition on built environment and micro-climate (**microclimatic sensors**)
5
6 combined with outdoor project-based **simulation through a 2D and 3D software**
7
8 (**Envimet**). The combination of these two technologies allows decision makers to identify
9
10 in the specific environment and in the specific selected area which are the best design
11
12 solutions to be applied. An additional interesting approach is the one provided by the
13
14 **indoor microclimatic analysis**. In the city of Bologna, as an example, the system of
15
16 sensors is applied inside the University Library (BUB – Biblioteca Universitaria [Bologna](#))
17
18 where some key ancient books are stored and preserved. Here, sensors are applied in one
19
20 of the ancient rooms of the library. ~~Thus, the system of sensors: they~~ allows to acquire
21
22 knowledge on the indoor microclimatic conditions when the historical room is empty or
23
24 full or persons and to identify the best strategies for preserving while ~~valorising~~ enhancing
25
26 the library heritage. The sustainability scenario also allows to boost the interrelations of
27
28 results coming from the system of sensors, especially from crossing 3D outdoor
29
30 microclimatic simulations, with large crowd monitoring tools mapping the presence of
31
32 people in such spaces, with microclimatic sensors mapping wind speed, air pollution,
33
34 temperature and humidity.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Finally, for the **accessibility** scenario, ROCK proposes the composition of knowledge
44 acquisition on citizens behavior in their use of indoor and outdoor heritage spaces (**GPS**
45 **trackers** used in volunteers' campaigns of mapping, **large crowd monitoring**) with the
46
47 creation of applications allowing citizens to access CH in different ways (**virtual reality**).

48 In the city of Bologna, virtual reality ~~allows in particular let citizens~~ to perform a universal
49
50 accessibility ~~to of CH by citizens, even if they are also~~ affected by permanent or temporary
51
52 disabilities (deaf, blind, motor disabilities). In the city of Skopje, this tool is used for
53
54 giving new life to the lost Jewish district: ~~In this case,~~ the city is implementing the 3D
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 reconstruction of the neighborhood in order to ~~allows-help~~ citizens to recover this
4
5 historical memory.
6
7

8
9 As highlighted by the description of the three scenarios, the project is using the
10 methodology of research-action-research. Thus, digital tools are really used as enabling
11 instruments that, combined among them and with material and immaterial actions can
12 boost the creation of new values and the reaffirmation of existing ones.
13
14
15
16
17

18 19 **Conclusion**

20
21
22 ~~This~~ paper investigated several key EU documents and moments on digital technologies
23 applied to cultural heritage and it analysed some of the most innovative projects working
24 on this topic; as recognised by the European Commission. The paper highlighted some of
25 the most used ~~digital tools~~ICTs actually used in these projects. Then, it investigated in
26 depth two projects, INCEPTION and ROCK. Both projects work on cultural heritage
27 using different typologies of digital tools with similar objectives but very different
28 approaches and scales. In particular, INCEPTION mainly works on single artefacts of CH
29 and it applies digital tools in a sub sequential way (starting from digitization in 3D and
30 ending with the application of additional information and features through a semantically
31 organized taxonomy), while ROCK works on historical city centres, with their multi-
32 layered and dynamic complexity and using enabling technologies as collaborative
33 instruments for performing and identifying new strategies for CH-led urban regeneration.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 According with this analysis, it ~~seems-turns out~~ that digital technologies can be applied
52 in very different ways, according with the specificities of research objects, objectives and
53 context of application. Nevertheless, it seems evident that the most innovative approaches
54 tend to involve several tools in a collaborative and interrelated way, instead of a
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 summation of single elements. In fact, the current market is already full of very different
4 tools and devices that can of course be improved in a standing alone way but that give the
5 best opportunities when integrated together on a smart city perspective; CH can benefit
6 more from these interrelations instead of from the implementation of singles devices. This
7
8 is the case ~~for both~~ showed by ROCK and INCEPTION. The first one selects variable sets
9
10 of digital tools for achieving high objectives of ~~reconstruction~~ discovery and construction
11
12 of cultural and social values in historical city centres, while the second one uses digital
13
14 tools with increasing complexity into the description of CH artefacts and architectures.
15
16 As a matter of fact, the paper shows how digital technologies applied to cultural heritage
17
18 can trigger a variety of innovations both in knowledge increase, in CH accessibility, in
19
20 use and proactive use by citizens (,in line with Faro Convention and the Innovation in
21
22 Cultural Heritage Research) and in improving mitigation and adaptation strategies to
23
24 climate change.-
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 The major innovation that seems necessary for the advance of researches on this topic is
35
36 the creation of a **Roadmap for digital tools applied to cultural heritage and paths for**
37 **integration**. A similar document will give experts a framework on innovative ways to
38
39 intervene on ~~inheritance~~ CH, facilitating the selection of solutions according with objects
40
41 of interventions, objectives and contexts of applications. According to this proposition,
42
43 some specific innovative lines can be identified. The first one is the **potentiality of**
44
45 **applying semantic languages and a shared taxonomy to digitized materials** (3D
46
47 reconstructions as well as digitize libraries and archives). The addition of a shared and
48
49 codified language as well as other information, can simplify the accessibility and
50
51 shareriness of such digital objects, but also it can simplify the process of digitization when
52
53 it is not necessary to have a very precise reconstruction of each element, especially when
54
55 they include very complex decorative details. Therefore, semantic can also be applied to
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 intangible cultural heritage. As an example, the ROCK Atlas should be significantly
4
5 improved with semantic.
6
7

8
9 The second very interesting innovation is the **definition of a collaborative sets of digital**
10
11 **tools for enhancing the universal accessibility of CH in a multi-dimension**
12
13 **perspective**. Augmented and virtual reality with gaming application and 3D printing can
14
15 provide a multi-purpose and multi-target accessibility, allowing new strategies of
16
17 restoration-conservation and/or research but also allowing innovative methods of
18
19 learning and education for everybody, including people with disabilities.
20
21
22

23
24 The third innovation is the **use of digital platforms** with the specific aim to create
25
26 effective virtual heritage communities. These communities can be necessary for sharing
27
28 methods of interventions, but also for co-designing solutions with new stakeholders. The
29
30 enhancement of uncommon collaboration of stakeholders is a significant objective in
31
32 order to advance into the topic and to increase responsibilities towards Cultural Heritage.-
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure captions

Fig. 1: Recent main events in the field of CH digitisation across Europe. (Design by Chiara Mariotti).

Fig. 2: Timeline of CH digitisation across Europe (Design by Chiara Mariotti).

Fig. 3: Analyses of digital approaches of 19 selected projects (Design by Saveria O.M. Boulanger).

Fig. 4: Short description of the selected projects (Design by Saveria O.M. Boulanger).

Fig. 5: INCEPTION, 3D survey of Palazzo Arese Litta, Milan, as an example of complex architectural space detected (survey and modelling by DIAPReM Center, Department of Architecture, University of Ferrara).

Fig. 6: INCEPTION 9 Demonstration Cases (Design by Chiara Mariotti).

Fig. 7: INCEPTION H-BIM ontology layers structure (Design by Peter Bonsma).

Fig. 8: INCEPTION, example of on-site application tested on the Italian Demonstration Case, the Istituto degli Innocenti (Design by Federico Ferrari).

Fig. 9: ROCK 3 Demonstrative areas (Design by Chiara Mariotti).

Fig. 10: Example of ROCK Atlas visualisation (<https://atlas.rockproject.eu>).

Fig. 11: Home page of the Innovators in Cultural Heritage Platform (www.innovatorsinculturalheritage.eu).

References

1
2
3
4
5
6 Baggioni, S. 2019. 5G potentials for Tourism and Education in the Cultural Heritage
7 domain. Paper presented at the 2nd International Conference GEORES on *Cultural*
8 *Heritage: challenges, new perspectives and technology innovation. Towards*
9 *informative content models and beyond*, Milan, Italy, May 10.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 Boeri, A., J. Gaspari, V. Gianfrate, D. Longo, and S.O.M. Boulanger. 2019. Circular
18 city: a methodological approach for sustainable districts and communities. *Eco-*
19 *Architecture VII*: 73-82. doi: 10.2495/ARC180071.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26 Boeri, A., J. Gaspari, V. Gianfrate, D. Longo. 2017. Tecnologie per l'adattamento e
27 strategie di coprogettazione per rifunzionalizzare gli spazi storici. *Techne* 14: 252-259.
28 doi: 10.13128/Techne-20800.

29
30
31
32
33
34
35 Chavan, S.R. 2016. Augmented Reality vs. Virtual Reality: Differences and
36 Similarities. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Engineering &*
37 *Technology (IJARCET)* Volume 5, Issue 6: 1947-1952. Accessed, May 22, 2019.
38 <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/7dda/32ae482e926941c872990840d654f9e761ba.pdf>

39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47 Collins, C. 2018. Digital innovation can enhance cultural heritage, hears conference.
48 *Horizon. The EU Research & Innovation Magazine*. March 21. Accessed May 14, 2019.
49 [https://horizon-magazine.eu/article/digital-innovation-can-enhance-cultural-heritage-](https://horizon-magazine.eu/article/digital-innovation-can-enhance-cultural-heritage-hears-conference.html)
50 [hears-conference.html](https://horizon-magazine.eu/article/digital-innovation-can-enhance-cultural-heritage-hears-conference.html)

1
2
3 Comité des sages. 2011. Digital Agenda: “Comité des Sages” calls for a “New
4 Renaissance” by bringing Europe's cultural heritage online. Brussels, January 10.
5
6 Accessed May 13, 2019. http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-11-17_en.htm
7
8
9

10
11
12 Council of the European Union. 2014. Conclusions on cultural heritage as a strategic
13 resource for a sustainable Europe. Education, Youth, Culture and Sport. Council
14 meeting Brussels, Belgium, May 20. Accessed May 19, 2019.
15
16
17
18
19 https://www.consilium.europa.eu/uedocs/cms_data/docs/pressdata/en/educ/142705.pdf
20
21
22

23
24 Council of Europe. 2005. Council of Europe Framework Convention on the Value of
25 Cultural Heritage for Society. Faro, October 10. Accessed May 21, 2019.
26
27
28
29 <https://rm.coe.int/1680083746>
30
31
32

33 Declaration: Cooperation on advancing of cultural heritage. Digital Day 2019, Brussels,
34 Belgium, April 9, 2019. Accessed May 12, 2019. [http://www.digiczech.eu/cms/wp-](http://www.digiczech.eu/cms/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Declarationondigitisingculturalheritage.pdf)
35
36 [content/uploads/2019/04/Declarationondigitisingculturalheritage.pdf](http://www.digiczech.eu/cms/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Declarationondigitisingculturalheritage.pdf)
37
38
39
40

41
42 Di Giulio, R., F. Maietti, E. Piaia, M. Medici, F. Ferrari, and B. Turillazzi. 2017.
43 Integrated data capturing requirements for 3d semantic modelling of Cultural Heritage:
44 the INCEPTION protocol. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote*
45 *Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*. XLII-2/W3: 251-257. doi: 10.5194/isprs-
46 archives-XLII-2-W3-251-2017. Accessed May 21, 2019.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 [https://iris.unife.it/retrieve/handle/11392/2369303/88050/isprs-archives-XLII-2-W3-](https://iris.unife.it/retrieve/handle/11392/2369303/88050/isprs-archives-XLII-2-W3-251-2017.pdf)
54
55 [251-2017.pdf](https://iris.unife.it/retrieve/handle/11392/2369303/88050/isprs-archives-XLII-2-W3-251-2017.pdf)
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Di Giulio, R., F. Maietti, and E. Piaia. 2016. 3D documentation and semantic aware
4 representation of cultural heritage: the INCEPTION project. In *Eurographics Workshop*
5 *on Graphics and Cultural Heritage*, ed. C.E. Catalano, and L. De Luca, L., 195–198.
6
7

8 Goslar, Germany: The Eurographics Association. doi: 10.2312/gch.20161406.
9

10
11
12
13
14 European Commission. 2019. EU Member States sign up to cooperate on digitising
15 cultural heritage. Brussels, Belgium, April 9. Accessed May 12, 2019.

16
17 [https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/eu-member-states-sign-cooperate-](https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/eu-member-states-sign-cooperate-digitising-cultural-heritage)
18 [digitising-cultural-heritage](https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/eu-member-states-sign-cooperate-digitising-cultural-heritage)
19
20
21

22
23
24
25 European Commission. 2018a. Report from the Commission to the European
26 Parliament and the Council on the evaluation of Europeana and the way forward.

27
28 Brussels, September 6. Accessed May 12, 2019. [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52018DC0612&from=EN)
29 [content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52018DC0612&from=EN](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52018DC0612&from=EN)
30
31

32
33
34
35
36 European Commission. 2018b. Communication from the Commission to the European
37 Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European economic and social
38 Committee and the Committee of the Regions. A New European Agenda for Culture.

39
40 Brussels, Belgium, May 22. Accessed May 14, 2019. [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52018DC0267&from=EN)
41 [content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52018DC0267&from=EN](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52018DC0267&from=EN)
42
43

44
45
46
47
48 European Commission. 2018c. Commission Staff Working Document. European
49 Framework for Action on Cultural Heritage. Brussels, Belgium, December 5. Accessed

50
51
52
53
54 May 14, 2019. [https://ec.europa.eu/culture/sites/culture/files/library/documents/staff-](https://ec.europa.eu/culture/sites/culture/files/library/documents/staff-working-document-european-agenda-culture-2018.pdf)
55 [working-document-european-agenda-culture-2018.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/culture/sites/culture/files/library/documents/staff-working-document-european-agenda-culture-2018.pdf)
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 European Commission. 2018d. Horizon 2020 Cultural Heritage and European Identities
4 - List of projects 2014-2017. Directorate-General for Research and Innovation Open
5 and inclusive Societies. Accessed May 19, 2019. [https://ec.europa.eu/research/social-](https://ec.europa.eu/research/social-sciences/pdf/project_synopses/cultural_heritage_projects.pdf)
6 [sciences/pdf/project_synopses/cultural_heritage_projects.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/research/social-sciences/pdf/project_synopses/cultural_heritage_projects.pdf)
7
8
9

10
11
12
13
14 European Commission. 2015. Getting cultural heritage to work for Europe. Report on
15 the Horizon 2020 Expert Group on Cultural Heritage. Printed by Publications Office of
16 the European Union. ISBN 978-92-79-46046-3. doi: 10.2777/745666. Accessed May
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

European Commission. 2015. Getting cultural heritage to work for Europe. Report on
the Horizon 2020 Expert Group on Cultural Heritage. Printed by Publications Office of
the European Union. ISBN 978-92-79-46046-3. doi: 10.2777/745666. Accessed May
18, 2019. [https://www.kowi.de/Portaldata/2/Resources/horizon2020/coop/H2020-](https://www.kowi.de/Portaldata/2/Resources/horizon2020/coop/H2020-Report-Expert-Group-Cultural-Heritage.pdf)
[Report-Expert-Group-Cultural-Heritage.pdf](https://www.kowi.de/Portaldata/2/Resources/horizon2020/coop/H2020-Report-Expert-Group-Cultural-Heritage.pdf)

European Commission. 2014. Conclusions of the Council and of the Representatives of
the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, on a Work Plan for
Culture (2015-2018). *Official Journal of the European Union*, C463, 57: 4-14. Accessed
May 13, 2019. [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:C:2014:463:FULL&from=EN)
[content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:C:2014:463:FULL&from=EN](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:C:2014:463:FULL&from=EN)

European Commission. 2012. Commission Recommendation on access to and
preservation of scientific information. Brussels, Belgium, July 17, 2017. Accessed May
22, 2019. [http://ec.europa.eu/research/science-](http://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/document_library/pdf_06/recommendation-access-and-preservation-scientific-information_en.pdf)
[society/document_library/pdf_06/recommendation-access-and-preservation-scientific-](http://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/document_library/pdf_06/recommendation-access-and-preservation-scientific-information_en.pdf)
[information_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/document_library/pdf_06/recommendation-access-and-preservation-scientific-information_en.pdf)

European Commission. 2011. Commission Recommendation on the digitisation and
online accessibility of cultural material and digital preservation. Brussels, Belgium,

1
2
3 October 27. Accessed May 13, 2019. [https://eur-](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2011:283:0039:0045:EN:PDF)
4
5 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2011:283:0039:0045:EN:PDF](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2011:283:0039:0045:EN:PDF)
6
7

8
9
10 European Commission. 2010. Communication from the Commission to the European
11
12 Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the
13
14 Committee of the Regions. A Digital Agenda for Europe. Brussels, Belgium, July 26.

15
16 Accessed May 13, 2019. [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52010DC0245R(01)&from=EN)
17
18 [content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52010DC0245R\(01\)&from=EN](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52010DC0245R(01)&from=EN)
19
20
21

22
23 Gaspari J., S.O.M. Boulanger, and E. Antonini. 2017. Multi-layered design strategies to
24
25 adopt smart districts as urban regeneration enablers, *International Journal of Sustainable*
26
27 *Development and Planning* 12/8:1247-1259. doi: 10.2495/SDP-V12-N8-1247-1259.
28
29

30
31
32
33 GETTY Thesaurus. Accessed, May 23, 2019.
34
35 <https://www.getty.edu/research/tools/vocabularies/aat/>
36
37

38
39
40 INCEPTION Official Website. Accessed May 19, 2019. [https://www.inception-](https://www.inception-project.eu)
41
42 [project.eu](https://www.inception-project.eu)
43
44

45
46
47 Jokilehto, J. 2005. Definition of Cultural Heritage. References to documents in history.

48
49 ICCROM Working Group “Heritage and Society”. Accessed May 20, 2019.
50
51 http://cif.icomos.org/pdf_docs/Documents%20on%20line/Heritage%20definitions.pdf
52
53

54
55
56 Leeuwarden Declaration: “Adaptive re-use of the built heritage: preserving and
57
58 enhancing the values of our built heritage for future generations”. Leeuwarden,
59
60

1
2
3 Netherlands, November 23, 2018. Accessed May 14, 2019. [https://www.ace-](https://www.ace-cae.eu/uploads/tx_jidocumentsview/LEEWARDEN_STATEMENT_FINAL_EN-NEW.pdf)
4 [cae.eu/uploads/tx_jidocumentsview/LEEWARDEN_STATEMENT_FINAL_EN-](https://www.ace-cae.eu/uploads/tx_jidocumentsview/LEEWARDEN_STATEMENT_FINAL_EN-NEW.pdf)
5 [NEW.pdf](https://www.ace-cae.eu/uploads/tx_jidocumentsview/LEEWARDEN_STATEMENT_FINAL_EN-NEW.pdf)
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 Logothetis, S., A. Delinasiou, E. Stylianidis. 2015. Building information modelling for
13 cultural heritage: a review. *The international Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote*
14 *Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*. II-5/W3: 177-183. doi: 10.5194/isprsannals-
15 II-5-W3-177-2015. Accessed May 21, 2019. [https://www.isprs-ann-photogramm-](https://www.isprs-ann-photogramm-remote-sens-spatial-inf-sci.net/II-5-W3/177/2015/isprsannals-II-5-W3-177-2015.pdf)
16 [remote-sens-spatial-inf-sci.net/II-5-W3/177/2015/isprsannals-II-5-W3-177-2015.pdf](https://www.isprs-ann-photogramm-remote-sens-spatial-inf-sci.net/II-5-W3/177/2015/isprsannals-II-5-W3-177-2015.pdf)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Maietti, F., R. Di Giulio, E. Piaia, M. Medici, F. Ferrari. 2018a. Enhancing Heritage
fruition through 3D semantic modelling and digital tools: the INCEPTION project. In
IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering 364: 1-8. Florence, Italy:
IOP Publishing. doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/364/1/012089.

Maietti, F., E. Piaia, G. Mincoelli, R. Di Giulio, S. Imbesi, M. Marchi, G.A.
Giacobone, S. Brunoro. 2018b. Accessing and Understanding Cultural Heritage
Through Users Experience Within the INCEPTION Project. In *Digital Heritage.*
Progress in Cultural Heritage: Documentation, Preservation, and Protection, ed. R.
Ioannides, E. Fink, R. Brumana, P. Patias, A. Doulamis, J. Martins, and M.s Wallace,
356-365. Cham, Switzerland: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-01762-0_30.

Maietti, F., R. Di Giulio, M. Balzani, E. Piaia, M. Medici, F. Ferrari. 2017. Digital
Memory and Integrated Data Capturing: Innovations for an Inclusive Cultural Heritage
in Europe Through 3D Semantic Modelling. In *Mixed Reality and Gamification for*

1
2
3 *Cultural Heritage*, ed. M. Ioannides, N. Magnenat-Thalmann and G. Papagiannakis,
4 225-244. Cham, Switzerland: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-49607-8_8.
5
6
7
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Maietti, F., F. Ferrari, M. Medici, M. Balzani. 2016a. 3D integrated laser scanner
survey and modelling for accessing and understanding European cultural assets. In
*Proceedings of the International Conference SBE Malta 2016. Europe and the
Mediterranean: Towards a Sustainable Built Environment*, ed. R.P., Borg, P. Gauci,
C.S. Staines, 317–324. Malta: Gutenberg Press.

Maietti, F., E. Piaia, and S. Brunoro. 2016. Diagnostic Integrated Procedures aimed at
Monitoring, Enhancement and Conservation of Cultural Heritage Sites. In *Proceedings
of the International Conference SBE Malta 2016. Europe and the Mediterranean:
Towards a Sustainable Built Environment*, ed. R.P. Borg, P. Gauci, C.S. Staines, 309–
316. Malta: Gutenberg Press.

Maietti, F., E. Piaia, and B. Turillazzi. 2016. Digital Documentation: Sustainable
Strategies for Cultural Heritage Assessment and Inspection. . In *Proceedings of the
International Conference SBE Malta 2016. Europe and the Mediterranean: Towards a
Sustainable Built Environment*, ed. R.P., Borg, P. Gauci, C.S. Staines, 303–308. Malta:
Gutenberg Press.

Oomen, J. and R. Bočytè. 2019. Europeana Innovation Agenda. Research and
innovation priorities for the European cultural heritage sector. Europeana Foundation.
Accessed May 13, 2019.

1
2
3 [https://pro.europeana.eu/files/Europeana_Professional/Innovation_Agenda/Europeana%](https://pro.europeana.eu/files/Europeana_Professional/Innovation_Agenda/Europeana%20Innovation%20Agenda.pdf)
4
5 [20Innovation%20Agenda.pdf](https://pro.europeana.eu/files/Europeana_Professional/Innovation_Agenda/Europeana%20Innovation%20Agenda.pdf)
6
7
8
9

10 REACH. 2019. Manifesto: “Horizon for Heritage Research”. Signed at the Symposium
11
12 “Horizons for Heritage Research. Towards a Cluster on Cultural Heritage”, Brussels,
13
14 Belgium, March 20. Accessed May 14, 2019. [https://www.reach-culture.eu/wp-](https://www.reach-culture.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Manifesto-Horizon-for-Heritage-Research-draft.pdf)
15
16 [content/uploads/2019/03/Manifesto-Horizon-for-Heritage-Research-draft.pdf](https://www.reach-culture.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Manifesto-Horizon-for-Heritage-Research-draft.pdf)
17
18
19

20
21 ROCK Official Website. Accessed May 19, 2019. <https://rockproject.eu>
22
23
24
25

26 Sonkoly, G. and T. Vahtikari. 2018. Innovation in Cultural Heritage Research. For an
27
28 integrated European Research Policy. Directorate-General for Research and Innovation
29
30 Europe in a changing world – Inclusive, innovative and reflective societies
31
32 (Horizon 2020/SC6) and Cooperation Work Programme: Socio-Economic Sciences and
33
34 Humanities (FP7. Printed by Publications Office in Luxembourg. ISBN 978-92-79-
35
36 78019-6. doi: 10.2777/673069. Accessed May 13, 2019.
37
38
39

40 [https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/1dd62bd1-2216-11e8-](https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/1dd62bd1-2216-11e8-ac73-01aa75ed71a1)
41
42 [ac73-01aa75ed71a1](https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/1dd62bd1-2216-11e8-ac73-01aa75ed71a1)
43
44
45
46

47 Tsakou, G. and S. Athens. 2018. Common challenges and perspectives for Digital
48
49 Cultural Heritage in H2020 projects Building on lessons learnt and strengthening the
50
51 societal impact. Report on the Workshop in Brussels, Belgium, November 14. Accessed
52
53 May 14, 2019. [https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/common-challenges-](https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/common-challenges-and-perspectives-digital-cultural-heritage-h2020-projects)
54
55 [and-perspectives-digital-cultural-heritage-h2020-projects](https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/common-challenges-and-perspectives-digital-cultural-heritage-h2020-projects)
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 UNESCO Thesaurus. Accessed May 23, 2019.

4
5 <http://vocabularies.unesco.org/browser/thesaurus/en/>

6
7
8
9
10 UNESCO. 2011. Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape. Paris, November
11
12 10. Accessed May 20, 2016.

13
14 <https://whc.unesco.org/uploads/activities/documents/activity-638-98.pdf>

15
16
17
18
19 United Nations. 2015. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable
20
21 Development. Accessed May 13, 2019.

22
23 <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transformingourworld>

24
25
26
27
28 Vahtikari, T. 2018. Innovation & Cultural Heritage. Conference Report. Royal Museum
29
30 of Arts and History, Brussels, Belgium, March 20. Printed by Publications Office in
31
32 Luxembourg. ISBN 978-92-79-81847-9. doi: 10.2777/303242. Accessed May 14, 2019.

33
34 [https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/b1a053fd-4ceb-11e8-](https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/b1a053fd-4ceb-11e8-be1d-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-70689249)
35
36 [be1d-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-70689249](https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/b1a053fd-4ceb-11e8-be1d-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-70689249)

37
38
39
40
41
42 Vahtikari, T. 2019. Report on the Symposium “Horizons for Heritage Research.
43
44 Towards a Cluster on Cultural Heritage”. Symposium results, Covent Garden, Brussels,
45
46 March 20. Accessed May 12, 2019. [https://www.reach-culture.eu/wp-](https://www.reach-culture.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Horizons-for-Heritage-Research-Towards-a-Cluster-on-Cultural-Heritage-Symposium-Report.pdf)
47
48 [content/uploads/2019/05/Horizons-for-Heritage-Research-Towards-a-Cluster-on-](https://www.reach-culture.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Horizons-for-Heritage-Research-Towards-a-Cluster-on-Cultural-Heritage-Symposium-Report.pdf)
49
50 [Cultural-Heritage-Symposium-Report.pdf](https://www.reach-culture.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Horizons-for-Heritage-Research-Towards-a-Cluster-on-Cultural-Heritage-Symposium-Report.pdf)