

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Consumers' perception of food product craftsmanship: a review of evidence

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Sergio Rivaroli, B.B. (2020). Consumers' perception of food product craftsmanship: a review of evidence. FOOD QUALITY AND PREFERENCE, 79(January 2020), 1-11 [10.1016/j.foodqual.2019.103796].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/698963> since: 2019-09-24

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2019.103796>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Consumers' perception of food product craftsmanship: a review of evidence

HIGHLIGHTS

- We reviewed 36 papers considering the consumer's perspective.
- We organised the findings in the context of Alphabet Theory.
- Attitudes are relevant predictors of craft food products.
- Price is consistently associated with the perception of quality.
- Craft food consumption is perceived as a meaningful moment of pleasure resulting from a multifaceted experience.
- A critical discussion on the possible directions of future research is provided.

Consumers' perception of food product craftsmanship: a review of evidence

ABSTRACT

The understanding of what the consumer considers as craftsmanship is a sensitive question in the food sector. Despite food label regulations on this issue having undergone partial harmonisation, revealing what the consumer perceives as a craft food is a feat that has yet to be achieved. Drawing upon a review of literature in the field of consumer research, this investigation addresses this knowledge gap. In the light of the Alphabet Theory framework, the review offers a systematic overview of the motives affecting individuals' perception of food product craftsmanship, as well as of the key factors affecting consumer behaviour towards craft foods. The findings provide useful insight to add to the body of extant literature and to discuss the possible directions of research. Moreover, the results can also have relevant importance to support legislators in designing appropriate regulations on craft foods.

Keywords – Food and beverage; Craft foods; Consumers; Attitudes; Behaviour; Alphabet Theory.

Paper type - Review.

1. Introduction

The term “craft ” is becoming increasingly popular along the food aisles of supermarkets, in restaurants and bars, and is being aptly used by marketers to differentiate their products. Cues provided by the brand are fundamental in the creation of the consumer’s judgement of the quality of products (Rao & Monroe, 1988). As pointed out by the School of Artisan Food (2018), to now “there is no single definition of artisan food”. Thus, the profuse use of the term “craft” and the disconnection between consumer and industry definitions of craft food products, including craft drinks, (referred to here as “CFPs”), is leading to much confusion over the term, and to a dilution of its distinctive character.

During the past decade, regulation policies on CFPs have received great attention. This rising interest stems from the intention to help consumers make a conscious choice, as well as to preserve small-scale production and processing of high-quality traditional CFPs from the so-called “craftwashing” phenomenon. This phenomenon relies on the use of deceptive marketing practices by industrial firms in the food and beverage sector that introduce craft-like brands. The craftwashing strategy is not applied only in the beer sector (Howard, 2018); many are the fast-food chains that have tried to ride the wave of the “craft” trend in order to increase their sales and attract more consumers by labelling new products as “hand-crafted” (e.g., McDonald’s launched an “artisan grilled chicken”; Domino’s released “Artisan Pizza”; PepsiCo released Kaleb’s Cola, a “craft soda” sold in glass bottle with the notation “Honor in Craft”; Grom, an Italian ice cream chain, was banned from using the term “artisanal” to label its products).

In addition, the adoption of regulation policies on CFPs are committed to strengthening the local economy, especially in rural areas. One problem is that, besides the lack of a clear definition of what CFPs are, to date, there is no international harmonisation of norms

regarding it. This leads to questioning whether consumers really understand what they are buying when they choose to purchase CFPs. With regards to European countries, the “patchwork character” of EC law about the use of the term “craft” can produce (un)intended non-conformities with the current law on food-labelling (i.e. Regulation (EC) No.1169/2011 - Article 7, “Fair information practices”), and this goes to the detriment of both craftsmen and consumers. Referring to specific EU countries, Italy was the first to have recently promulgated a law to define the concept of “craft beer” (i.e. L 154/2016 – Article 35, “Denomination of craft beer”), identifying which aspects of the production process are required to produce craft beer. The autonomous Spanish region of Galicia has proposed a legal definition of “artisan food” centred on the prohibition of some ingredients during the production process (European Commission, 2018). In 2015, the Food Safety Authority of Ireland lay down rules on the use of the term “artisan” or “artisanal” or similar terms in advertising of foods which meet specific criteria (Food Safety Authority of Ireland, 2016). Belgian authorities in 2017 adopted guidelines on the use of the term “artisanal”, identifying as criteria for labelling foods as “artisanal” the nature or quality of the ingredients, the production process, and the production at a small scale (SPF Economie, 2017). Moving out of Europe, in the United States, the lack of Food and Drug Administration regulation of the term “craft” or “artisanal” (USFDA, 2013), may induce a misalignment of the concept of the “craft” between consumers and producers, thus leading to confusion over what is and is not a CFP. As far as the Southeast Asian region of Asia, which includes 11 countries, despite food label regulation approaches having undergone partial harmonisation, there is no formal definition of “craft” or “artisanal” (Kasapila & Shaarani, 2011). This review does not aim to provide a prescriptive definition of CFPs.

Rather, it tries to fill two important research gaps in the literature. First, little attention has been paid to give a systematic overview of key motives which underlie the consumer's perception of craftsmanship of foods. Second, a model of the key determinants of CFPs purchasing and consumption behavioural intention is not yet available. This investigation fills these gaps by adopting the Alphabet Theory from Zepeda and Deal (2009) as framework to analyse the recurring elements which emerged from the present review of the literature regarding consumer perception of food product craftsmanship.

2. Theoretical framework

Many theories have been adopted throughout the years to try to understand how human beliefs, values, attitudes and the resulting behaviours interact with one another to shape actions. The Value-Belief-Norm (VBN) Theory (Stern, Dietz, Abel, Guagnano, & Kalof, 1999) is a framework which was introduced to predict pro-environmental behaviour. Since then, it has been applied also to describe behaviours of activism, non-activist public sphere behaviours, private sphere behaviours as well as behaviours within organizations. The VBN Theory is in turn based on other three theories which were also used to predict environmentally conscious behaviours: the Schwartz' value Theory (Schwartz, 1994), the New Ecological Paradigm (Dunlap & Van Liere, 1978) and Norm-Activation Theory (Schwartz, 1977). According to the Schwartz' value Theory, actions are always supported by ten basic personal values types (i.e. stimulation, self-direction, universalism, benevolence, achievement, power, security, conformity, hedonism and tradition). The New Ecological Paradigm framework focuses instead on measuring the degree to which an individual agrees with the concept that we must limit our growth and be in balance with nature in order to truly thrive as a species. Norm-Activation Theory postulates that

94 moral norms are put into action when individuals become aware of the possible adverse
95 consequences of their actions and take responsibility for trying to avoid them. Taking
96 these three theories into consideration, the VBN Theory asserts that values are key
97 elements in shaping beliefs, which affect norms, and eventually lead to certain
98 behaviours. Therefore, VBN Theory can be effectively adopted to explain how personal
99 attitudes are formulated.

100 The Attitudes-Behaviors-Context (ABC) Theory, was introduced by Guagnano, Stern, &
101 Dietz- (1995) and is a model used to explain how attitudes can result in behaviour (Hiamey
102 & Hiamey, 2018); it states that, when context is neutral, attitudes are the prime
103 determinants of behaviour. Contextual factors (which comprise policies, regulations,
104 costs and other exogenous elements) can be either positive or negative; when they are
105 particularly strong and influential, they can shadow attitudes and shape behaviour.

106 In Zepeda & Deal's (2009) work, VBN Theory and ABC Theory were combined and
107 enriched with other elements (namely the demographics (D), knowledge (K), information
108 seeking (IS) and habit (H)) in order to obtain VBN-ABC-D-K-IS-H theory, or Alphabet
109 Theory (Fig. 1). Thus, based on current consumer theories on environmental behaviour,
110 the Alphabet Theory was successfully applied both in explaining consumer behaviour and
111 in a literature review regarding consumers' perceptions and preferences (Feldmann &
112 Hamm, 2015).

113 In this investigation, we adopted the Alphabet Theory to systematise the motives which
114 emerged from the literature review regarding consumer's perception of food product
115 craftsmanship, as well as of the key factors affecting consumer behaviour towards CFPs.
116 Based on the framework of the Alphabet Theory, demographic characteristics affect
117 consumer's attitudes towards CFPs (i.e. values, belief and norms) that, in turn, are

continually updated by information seeking, knowledge, and contextual factors (i.e. external conditions such as price, advertising). What is more, the context and attitudes impact consumer habits (namely a personal routine related to a specific situation) that finally affect consumer's behaviour.

3. Methods

The review of the literature was carried out following the steps set out by Arksey and O'Malley (2005). First, the research questions were established. Second, relevant studies were identified. Third, after a screening procedure, pertinent articles were selected and included in the study. The process was then completed by charting the data, collating, and summarizing it. Finally, the findings of the review of literature were reported.

3.1 Research questions

Two research questions were investigated:

- What are the distinguishing attributes of CFPs for consumers?
- How are these attributes implemented in consumers' purchasing and consumption behaviour?

3.2 Selection of bibliographic sources

For refereed literature, Elsevier Scopus®, Web of Science™, PsycINFO®, PsycARTICLES®, ScienceDirect and EconPapers™ were the sources of database. The search strategy was defined a priori to assure transparency and replicability (Webster & Watson, 2002).

3.3 Keywords and search strategy

The search strategy included the adoption of a combination of keywords. The string “(artisanal OR *craft* OR hand-made OR handmade OR handcrafted) AND (food OR beverage)” was used to focus the research on CFPs. In addition to this, the string

“(attitudes OR behavior OR motives OR preferences OR perception OR attributes OR culture) AND (consumer OR consumption OR purchasing)” was run in combination to the above string search to find key aspects for consumers during their decision making process to purchase and consume CFPs. The Elsevier Scopus®, PsycINFO®, and PsycARTICLES®, ScienceDirect and EconPapers databases were searched in the field abstract, title and keywords. Instead, the Web of Science™ database was searched in the field topic.

3.4 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The literature search was carried out in August 2018, and no cut-off criteria were applied to time span. Consistent with Arksey and O’Malley’s (2005) approach, inclusion and exclusion criteria were determined before running the literature search (Table 1). The search was limited to research articles and reviews written in English. An initial 284 articles were identified, of which 120 produced by Elsevier Scopus®, 104 produced by Web of Science™, followed by PsycINFO® and PsycARTICLES® with 30 papers, ScienceDirect with 26 articles and 4 papers retrieved from the EconPapers database. The double counts was the second exclusion criterion, and allowed us to discard 62 papers. Therefore, 222 papers were finally selected for the double screening process (Fig. 2).

3.5 Screening process

To ensure the quality of the selected articles, a double screening process was conducted: a manual scanning of titles and abstracts, and a manual full-text review stage. This double round of screening resulted in 186 articles excluded because they did not specifically address the key aspects that distinguish CFPs, and/or did not focus on consumer behaviour. A total of 36 papers were finally considered for the review of literature on

CFPs. To validate the above mentioned review process, authors carried out each process both individually and in pairs.

3.6 Material description and evaluation

For a better interpretation of the aspects that determine purchasing and consumption behaviour regarding CFPs, the retrieved papers were described based on key data and attributes (Saldaña, 2013). A key data extraction form allowed for the collection of the following information from each of the reviewed articles: (1) author(s), (2) year of publication, (3) objective(s), (4) type of products, (5) country, (6) continent, (7) sample size and composition, (8) main research issues, (9) measurement method (Appendix A). Attributes were identified deductively based on the existing literature. Relevant information was extracted and analysed in detail by implementing a simple computer-assisted text analysis of the 36 reviewed studies, using the Nvivo® qualitative data analysis software (QRS International, Melbourne, Australia). This last step of the review was aimed at organizing attributes into comprehensive categories to better understand what lies behind the consumer's motivations to purchase and consume CFPs, thus revealing gaps to be explored by further research.

4. Results

4.1 Methodological approaches and research issues

The selected articles cover a time span of 22 years (1997-2018), and more than half have been published in the past three years. Thus, the review reveals a growing research interest towards CFPs and related consumer behaviour.

Concerning the methodological approaches adopted by the units of analysis, 17 studies conducted a survey and 8 studies adopted a qualitative exploratory approach (Table 2). Six research papers adopted a specific methodological approach (e.g. choice experiments,

189 experimental auctions and experiments in the field of laboratory), whereas five papers
190 used market data.

191 Table 3 reveals a location profile of CFPs considered in the selected articles. The issue of
192 CFPs plays a dominant role in consumer studies, both in North America (15 papers) and
193 Europe (15 papers). Furthermore, it is worthy to note that research interest towards
194 specific CFPs changes in relation to the geographical area. Studies on craft beer, play a
195 major role in North America (11 out of 15 papers). Most of the reviewed literature focused
196 on consumer preferences and socio-demographic issues (9 of the 11 articles on craft beer),
197 and the survey was the most frequently adopted methodological approach (Chapman et
198 al., 2018; Weber et al., 2018; Gómez-Corona et al., 2017a; Gómez-Corona et al., 2017b;
199 Gómez-Corona et al., 2017c; Maciel et al., 2017; Muggah et al., 2017; Gómez-Corona et
200 al., 2016a; Murray & O'Neill, 2012). Using market data, Feeney's (2017) study is the first
201 one to examine the impact of craft breweries on the urban landscape and cultural heritage.
202 Instead, Murray et al.'s (2015) study focuses on the niche market potential of craft beer.
203 After this alcoholic beverage, cheese is the second CFP that attracted the interest of
204 researchers in North America (2 out of 15 papers). Gedikoglu & Parcel (2014) carried out
205 a survey exploring consumers' preferences towards domestic and imported craft cheese.
206 Instead, Waldman & Kerr (2015) conducted an experimental auction to estimate the value
207 of pasteurization and age as food safety attributes in craft cheese. Muggah et al.'s (2016)
208 study is the first one in North America that focuses on consumers' comparison of the
209 sensory aspects of industrial bread and locally produced craft bread. Moreover, Giraud et
210 al. (2005) explored consumers' willingness to pay for local CFPs.

211 In studies from Europe (see Table 3), the main research subject is consumer behaviour
212 towards CFPs without referring to a specific product (8 out of 15 papers). Five of these

213 studies focused on the issues of the cultural meaning of food and local food by adopting
 214 a qualitative approach (Kuznesof et al., 1997; Tregear, 2003; Autio et al., 2013; Bessiere
 215 & Laurence, 2013; Schösler & de Boer, 2018). Instead, three studies conducted an
 216 experiment (Favalli et al., 2013; Raghoobar et al., 2017) or a survey (Kavak &
 217 Gumusluoglu, 2007) to explore consumer preferences and personal traits towards CFPs.
 218 In Europe, craft beer was addressed by three studies exploring consumer preferences
 219 towards this beverage (Table 3). Two of them focused on the choice of consuming craft
 220 beer, and the quality perception of specialty beers using a survey (Aquilani et al., 2015;
 221 Donadini et al., 2016). Instead, Donadini & Porretta (2017) conducted a conjoint rating
 222 experiment to explore gender preferences towards craft beer. Three papers from Europe
 223 focused on craft cheese. Two of them explored the motivations behind the consumer's
 224 decision to buy craft cheese by using a survey (Kupiec & Revell, 1998; Rytönen et al.,
 225 2018). Similarly, Di Monaco et al. (2005) conducted an experiment to test consumer
 226 perceptions toward craft "pasta filata" cheese. Finally, Abouab & Gomez (2015) explored
 227 consumer perceptions of naturalness for "handmade" and "machine-made" grape juice.
 228 A cross-country analysis of CFPs consumption was carried out in four articles. Three
 229 papers applied a text analysis to explore the motives for CFPs consumption
 230 (Ariyasriwatana et al., 2016; Zevnik, 2012) and the informational content of food labels
 231 (Lwin, 2015). Following a similar approach, Gómez-Corona et al. (2016b) explored the
 232 effect of culture and consumption habits on craft beer representation amongst men in
 233 Mexico and France.
 234 Carvalho et al.'s (2018) study is apparently the first to explore the motivational factors
 235 for craft beer consumption in South America. Moreover, only one document explores

236 consumer preferences for quality and safety attributes of artisanal fruit juices in Kenya
 237 (Otieno & Nyikal, 2017).

238 This review shows that the research interest is strongly focused on the domain of personal
 239 preferences towards CFPs (namely the intrinsic and extrinsic attributes), as shown in
 240 Table 4. This research issue plays a major role in studies from North America (13 out of
 241 26 papers) and Europe (9 out of 26 papers). The cultural meaning of food is the main
 242 research subject in studies from Europe (5 out of 6 papers). Three of these papers explore
 243 the attitudes towards local CFPs (Kuznesof et al., 1997; Kupiec & Revell, 1998; Autio et
 244 al., 2013). In these studies CFPs are conceptualized as high-value products, for which the
 245 main drivers of purchasing behaviour are place of production and cultural aspects referred
 246 to the home preparation and cooking methods. Along the same line, Bessiere & Laurence
 247 (2013) examined tourist interest in different food cultures in four rural areas in Southwest
 248 France. Schösler & de Boer (2018) examined the food philosophies of Dutch consumers,
 249 also focusing on the origin of food and their distance from the mainstream food culture.

250 In the light of consumption geography, Feeney's (2017) study explores the cultural
 251 meaning of craft breweries in Pennsylvania and their importance in supporting
 252 consumers' desire to connect craft beer with the local area and traditions.

253 *4.2 Factors affecting consumers' perception of food product craftsmanship*

254 The Alphabet Theory was a suitable framework to summarize the main findings of the
 255 studies. The adoption of this theoretical framework made it easy to highlight the key
 256 factors affecting consumers' perception of craftsmanship of foods, and the
 257 interrelationships between the determinants of CFPs consumption (Fig. 3). The review of
 258 36 articles led us to identify specific factors and relevant product (or process) attributes
 259 affecting consumer perception of craftsmanship of foods, able to affect consumers'

intentions to purchase and consume CFPs. The factors related to individual characteristics of consumers are (1) “connectedness” (i.e. information seeking and knowledge), (2) sensory motive, (3) local identity, (4) self-identity, (5) naturalness (e.g. the use of fresh raw materials), and (6) price perception. These factors might be either drivers or barriers of consumers’ perception of product craftsmanship. Moreover, the review revealed four key product (or process) attributes affecting consumers’ perception of craftsmanship of foods: (1) price perception, (2) food processing system, (3) brand humanisation and personification (i.e. the human-side traits of the brand), and (4) production scale.

4.3 Demographics

There is some evidence that demographic characteristics such as age, gender and income may influence consumers’ attitudes and purchasing behaviour towards CFPs, although results are fragmented. Although demographic characteristics were not the focus of this investigation, some of the reviewed studies revealed significant influences through the age of consumers. Middle-aged and well-educated consumers, with a higher income level, tend to be substantially more oriented to purchase CFPs (Carvalho et al. 2018; Weber et al., 2018; Murray & O’Neill, 2012; Aquilani et al., 2015; Waldman & Kerr, 2015; Giraud et al., 2005). What is more, the income factor seems to influence the choice of the location and the quantity of CFPs consumed (Carvalho et al., 2018). For example, Gómez-Corona et al., (2016a) mention that craft beer consumers are characterised by higher income level, tend to shop in specialised stores, and consume beer more frequently since craft beer has a higher price than commercial beer. The review did not find significant gender differences on consumer purchasing behaviour towards CFPs. Results by Donadini & Porretta (2016) show that men and women shared similar pattern of interest towards craft beers, nonetheless men placed more importance on price, location of brewery and where

284 to buy craft beer. In the same vein, Gómez-Corona et al. (2017c) highlights that gender
285 differences occur more frequently in the affective experience, as women search for
286 relaxation while men seek excitement and stimulation while drinking beer.

287 *4.4 Information seeking and knowledge*

288 Zepeda and Deal (2009) explained the strong influence of information seeking and
289 knowledge on consumer attitudes. When consumers are more knowledgeable about what
290 they are consuming, they have stronger attitudes and beliefs; similarly, seeking further
291 information will help them to further develop their attitudes, facilitating behaviour and
292 possibly even the formation of habits. What emerged from the review is that the feeling
293 of connection (referred to here as “connectedness”), despite receiving little attention
294 among the selected studies, was a knowledge-based element which influenced attitudes
295 and consumer perception of CFPs. Kupiec & Revell (1998) report the relevance of the
296 direct connection between local raw milk suppliers and producers of specialty cheese.
297 Similarly, Donadini & Porretta (2017) refer to the shortness of the food supply chain as a
298 distinctive motive of product craftsmanship. Thus, rather than as a closeness between
299 artisan and consumers, connectedness is used as a proxy of the geographical distance
300 between the artisan and the suppliers of raw materials. In addition, connectedness can be
301 understood in its spatial dimension (i.e. geographic and organisational proximity), rather
302 than in a social dimension (namely the reduction of number of intermediaries between
303 producer and consumer). This means that procurement of raw materials, production,
304 processing, and retail should occur within a defined geographical area. Donadini et al.
305 (2016) report that social proximity is only sporadically cited in this field of studies,
306 whereas the geographic proximity refers to the identification with a local-food system.
307 The opportunity to know more about where raw materials come from creates within the

consumer a feeling of connection with the craftsman, thus enhancing the perception of product craftsmanship. For example, Aquilani et al. (2015) mention that craft beer is perceived to be of higher quality than commercial beer due to the consumer's knowledge of the selected raw materials used for brewing. Similarly, Rytönen et al. (2018) refer that consumers generally prefer buying CFPs through short distribution chains, or even directly from the producer, due to the direct relationship of trust between producer and suppliers.

4.5 Context

According to Guagnano al. (2005), attitudes result in different behaviours depending upon the positive or negative influence of contextual factors. Elements of context which were identified included the price, the food processing system, the brand humanisation and the production scale.

4.5.1 Price perception (Price as a proxy of quality and gourmet)

Despite the fact that CFPs include a large range of food and beverage products which are sold at very different market prices, the price attribute is mainly treated in studies on craft beer and artisanal cheese. In particular, the reviewed studies suggest that price attribute is consistently associated with the perception of quality; this means that consumers judge the quality of the CFPs also according to their price, associating higher price with higher quality, and with a luxury product. In Scotland, Kupiec and Revell (1998) found that price and functional properties of craft cheeses are attributes less important in the consumer's decision-making process. This reduced price-sensitivity is linked to the "high quality" use of this CFP that is deemed as gourmet, hence consumed in lesser quantities and on special occasions. Similarly, Di Monaco et al. (2005) confirm that in Italy the price of Provolone del Monaco craft cheese represents a quality indicator for consumers, especially if

supported by adequate information about its typicality. In the same vein, Gedikoglu & Parcel (2014) argue that consumers are willing to pay a premium price for craft cheese, due to the fact that it is mainly consumed on special occasions.

In Italy, Aquilani et al. (2015) observe that for people who have already tasted craft beer (namely experts), the product's quality is directly proportional to its price. Moreover, craft beer experts linked a lower price to a lower quality, unpleasant taste and mass-produced beers (Carvalho et al., 2018). Similarly, Gómez-Corona et al. (2016a) mention that consumers constantly compare the price of craft beer with that of industrial beer, and the high-level price of this alcoholic beverage justifies its consumption for special occasions. Donadini et al. (2016), while exploring the quality perception of specialty beers in Italy, Spain and Poland, observed that only Italians appear to be less sensitive to a price effect, and could accept a price attribution in between 2.00-10.00 Euros. However, it is not clear whether the perception of higher price of CFPs may positively affect the consumers. For example, Kupiec & Revell (1998) also found that very often the convenience of buying industrial cheeses, counterbalanced any negative aspects related to the production technology. Moreover, consumers can benefit from a wide range of specialty industrial cheeses along the food aisles of supermarkets, characterised by lowest price and continuous availability. According to Donadini & Porretta (2017), consumers who are not familiar with craft beers are unlikely to justify a premium price, and perceive price as a cost they want to minimize. In addition, Carvalho et al.'s (2018) study reveals that for some consumers the high price of craft beer is a hindrance to the consumption of this alcoholic beverage.

Beause price can be conceptualised both as a quality cue and a cost for consumers, it can be considered both among contextual factors and among attitudes (Fig.3). Since an

attitude can be considered as a tendency to judge an object with some degree of favour–
disfavour (Eagly & Chaiken, 1998), in this context consumer’s price perception can be
positive (high price perception) or negative (low price perception).

4.5.2 Food processing system (Hand-made vs Industrial-made)

Despite modern techniques of food processing being generally safe and not altering the
natural flavour of food, consumers express concerns about the way foods are
manufactured, preferring handmade production modes (Abuab & Gomez, 2005). For
example, Donadini & Porretta (2017) mention that consumers are fascinated by the fact
that the brewer brews a beer in a way that does not require much treatment and in a natural
way. In the same vein, Carvalho et al. (2018) report that consumers perceive craft beers
as being produced manually, with greater care in most stages of the process, with little
automation. Several studies highlight that in the consumer’s mind the term hand-made is
closely linked to product craftsmanship and naturalness (Kupiec & Revell, 1998; Di
Monaco et al., 2005; Aquilani et al., 2015). For example, Abouab & Gomez (2015) report
that the more human contact there is during the production process, the higher is the
consumer credence of product craftsmanship and naturalness because human processes
are perceived as being more respectful of food integrity. The review provided a clear
evidence that attitudes toward technology may affect individuals’ perception of product
craftsmanship.

4.5.3 Brand humanisation and personification

Despite the importance of brand, few of the reviewed studies have explored the role of
attributing human characteristics and traits to non-human entities such as the brand of
CFPs (referred to here “brand humanisation and personification”). Abouab and Gomez
(2015), highlight that consumers responds positively to brands with humanlike traits; this

seems to stimulate the consumer's sense of place and the idea of human contact during the food production process. Weber et al. (2018) pointed out how iconic elements such as humans, historical figures, agricultural elements are used with the intent of stressing the handmade element of food processing, whereas local connection is generally conveyed through labelling using the location and other graphic elements. Along this line, Di Monaco et al. (2005) reveal that when consumers are informed about the typicality of the cheeses, e.g. using "artisanal", "handmade" and "farmhouse" images, they are more likely to buy and eat it. Moreover, Murray & Kline (2015) observe that brand personality is an active component of consumers' perception of product uniqueness; by leveraging the human-side traits of the brand, it is possible to evoke the individual's feelings of belonging and the perception of handmade processing. The brand is in fact often presented as a personification of a company, the face with which the company wishes to greet new potential customers and clients. A brand is not simply a logo, it is a feeling, a way of life, it is what embodies company culture and gives life to the company itself. The brand must embody and transmit those values that are at the base of every action performed by the company or the entrepreneur. This means that when people come into contact with the brand, in whatever form that may be, they will almost feel as if they were meeting a person or greeting a friend. This is why, in case of a well-constructed brand, consumers can feel a sense of belonging and humanity when exposed to it, and therefore live the consumption of the product not as a mere action but as an emotional experience.

4.5.4 Production scale (Small-scale vs Large-scale)

The small-scale production aspect has not received a lot of consideration, although it is a relevant issue considered by different authors (Autio et al., 2013; Carvalho et al., 2018; Donadini & Porretta, 2017; Gómez-Corona et al., 2016a; Kupiec & Revell, 1998; Di

Monaco et al., 2005; Schösler & de Boer, 2018; Rytönen et al., 2018). Autio et al. (2013) report that industrial mass-produced food represents a decline in quality of food, especially in terms of flavour. Similarly, Carvalho et al. (2018) found that 68.4% of respondents perceive craft beer distinctively as beer produced on a small-scale, although not necessarily in the domestic environment. Along the same line, Donadini & Porretta (2017) and Gómez-Corona et al. (2016a), relate large-scale brewery to the negative impact on consumer judgement. Kupiec & Revell (1998) and Di Monaco et al. (2005), refer to craft cheese as being produced in small-scale, manufactured not on a regular basis but depending on the availability of raw material. Furthermore, it is worth noting that Zevnik (2012), citing Campbell (2005), highlights that the consumption of mass-manufactured goods is by itself considered alienating. The evidence reviewed suggests that consumer perception of product craftsmanship seems to be strongly affected by the belief that on a small-scale production, the artisan is putting great care in his craft, therefore producing unique products of higher value.

4.6 Attitudes

The role of attitudes in the Alphabet Theory is accommodated through the VBN model, in which values, beliefs and norms are elements that contribute to explain how attitudes develop. In particular, personal values and personal norms (which are those internalized obligations to act in a certain way) bring the individual to form beliefs about a certain subject. In this review the sensory motive, local identity, self-identity and naturalness were identified as aspects which shape consumers' attitudes towards CFPs and motivate consumers to buy or not to buy them.

1081
1082
1083 426 4.6.1 *Sensory motive*
1084

1085 427 The sensory motive is the main key factor influencing consumer perception of a food's
1086
1087 428 craftsmanship. Ariyasriwatana & Quiroga (2016), report that the sensory motive refers to
1088
1089 429 the flavour of food; a complex combination of smell, texture and taste, and visual stimuli
1090
1091 430 that can affect taste as well. The flavour of food has been reported to be strong influences
1092
1093 431 of human behaviour toward food, starting already at a very young age. We are, however,
1094
1095 432 capable of acquiring liking for different types of foods, and this is a demonstration that
1096
1097 433 flavour characteristics are not only determined by our senses (Laing & Jinks, 1996), but
1098
1099 434 are also influenced by attitudes, beliefs and expectations (Clark, 1998).
1100

1101
1102 435 Several of the examined studies mention flavour as one motive for experiencing CFPs
1103
1104 436 (Autio et al., 2013; Gedikoglu & Parcell, 2014; Donadini et al., 2016; Gómez-Corona et
1105
1106 437 al., 2016a; Donadini & Porretta, 2017; Muggah & McSweeney, 2017; Schösler & de
1107
1108 438 Boer, 2018). A study of craft beer shows that craft consumers seek a multisensory
1109
1110 439 experience, while industrial beer consumers almost exclusively focus on taste (Gómez-
1111
1112 440 Corona et al., 2017a). Thus, the search for living a meaningful sensory experiences stems
1113
1114 441 from consumers' desire to escape the standardization which characterizes the modern
1115
1116 442 food sector; consumers wish to live an authentic and unique moment of pleasure through
1117
1118 443 the food they consume. In the same vein Aquilani et al. (2015) and Carvalho et al. (2018)
1119
1120 444 report that consumer preferences regarding craft beers stem from a search for
1121
1122 445 multisensory experience, linked to the discovery of new flavours. At the same time the
1123
1124 446 unconventional flavour of some CFPs could be too big a deviation from consumers'
1125
1126 447 sensory expectations (Donadini & Porretta, 2017). Since sensory expectation is product
1127
1128 448 specific, attitudes towards CFPs have been considered as a central variable in several
1129
1130 449 studies. Donadini et al. (2006), relate the attitude toward specialty beers to the expectation
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140

of various sensory characteristics of the product. Considering that attitude is a psychological propensity to evaluate objects using a favour-disfavour scale, sensory perception of CFPs can be positive (liking) or negative (disliking).

4.6.2 Local identity

Several studies mentioned local identity as an important attribute of food product craftsmanship. Autio et al. (2013), observed that interviewees linked CFPs to local food, offering them the possibility to experience a real and authentic food experience. Kupiec & Revell (1998), in a study aiming to identify and describe the determinants of consumer attitudes towards craft cheeses, found that an identifiable origin of craft products and a more “intimate” relationship with producers, can instil greater confidence in artisanal as opposed to mass-produced industrial products. Results from two experiments by Di Monaco et al. (2005), interestingly show that information about the origin of an Italian artisanal pasta-filata cheese give rise to positive stereotypes and generate great expectations in consumers. Therefore, the authors conclude that the term “local” is associated in the consumer’s mind to the concept of “handcrafted”, having a highly symbolic and emotional meaning. Favalli et al. (2013), in a study of consumers’ perception towards artisanal and industrial Danish sandwiches, highlight that individuals recognize craft sandwiches as having a distinctive character which is typical of certain local cultural heritage (nation and/or region of a nation). Similarly, Donadini et al. (2017) wanted to understand how consumers conceptualize and define craft beers. Their results show that consumers perceive craft beer also as an expression of the local identity, thus intercepting the geographical connotation of this CFP. Likewise, Gómez-Corona et al. (2016a) illustrate that Mexican consumers prefer to purchase craft Mexican beer also because of their local attachment. Furthermore, the authors point out that the addition of

local ingredients in beers is capable of evoking the feeling of a local beer identity. Results from Rytkönen et al. (2018), interestingly highlight that both consumers' sense of pride related to the cultural heritage and sense of place as territorial anchorage of a product, are among the motivating factors behind the decision to buy local craft cheese in Jämtland (Sweden). Interestingly, geographic specificity, local food traditions and artisan production are cited as relevant components of food authenticity (Schösler & de Boer, 2018).

4.6.3 Self-identity

The self-identity aspect is the third of the key motives influencing consumer perception of food product craftsmanship, and its role in food choices has been extensively discussed. According to Grubb & Grathwohl (1967), consumption choices can be considered an expression of individual efforts to construct or maintain a given identity or lifestyle, and a natural act originating from a clear and stable self-identity. Through food choices, the consumer not only nourishes himself, but also makes a real statement about his persona. In this vein, Kupiec and Revell (1998), affirm that the reinforcement and renewal of consumer self-image can be repeated many times during consumption, converting consumers into connoisseur-consumers. Thus, mainly due to the non-standardized production process, the choice of consumers to purchase and consume CFPs might represent an attempt of social recognition. Some studies mention self-identity as one motive for handcrafted food consumption. Donadini & Porretta (2016), mention that specialty beers offer to the consumer the possibility to reinforce their sense of identity and social recognition, setting them apart from consumers of industrial beer. Similarly, Gómez-Corona et al. (2016a) affirm that consumers want to differentiate themselves by drinking craft beer. Additionally, Gómez-Corona et al. (2017a) observe that craft beer

consumption strengthens an identity process of the craft consumer, who is seeking to distinguish himself from consumers of mass-produced commercial beers. Besides, the authors argue that craft beer is sought for its symbolic value as an identity object, and it is used as a product that can build consumer identity through a symbolic system that is shared with other craft consumers. Ariyasriwatana & Quiroga (2016) report that decisions we make when eating out build our sense of identity as well as reveal traits of our personality to others. Likewise, Schösler & de Boerb (2018) found in a study of gourmet consumers in the Netherlands, that consumption of CFPs is not only a means to establish a social distinction, but it can also be understood as setting new food cultural standards throughout society.

4.6.4 Naturalness

Surprisingly, the naturalness perception of CFPs has not received much consideration despite this aspect being frequently considered a proxy of craftsmanship. Some papers have found that the perception of product craftsmanship is related to the use of raw, fresh materials, even better if associated with a specific region, thus contributing to the impression of handcrafted foods as being natural and authentic (Aquilani et al., 2015; Carvalho et al., 2018; Di Monaco et al., 2005; Donadini, et al., 2016; Kupiec & Revell, 1998; Muggah & McSweeney, 2017). Furthermore, some studies point out that consumers are increasingly aware of the nutritional components of the food and beverages they are buying and generally view CFPs as being healthier than their industrial counterparts (Aquilani et al., 2015; Di Monaco et al., 2005; Kupiec & Revell, 1998; Rytönen et al., 2018). Otieno & Nyikal (2017) found that consumers are willing to pay a premium price for craft juices that do not contain additives, thus recognizing in the food's naturalness a key attribute of product craftsmanship. Autio et al. (2013) show that

consumers strongly disliked the use of additives, emphasizing the relevance of additive-free attribute of CFPs. Similarly, Donadini & Porretta (2017) reach an analogous conclusion for Italian consumers that describe craft beer as an alcoholic beverage that does not contain any chemical additives and is thus more natural. In the same vein, Abouab & Gomez (2015) observe that handmade production increases naturalness ratings among interviewees (N=133). The authors relate this result to the so-called process dominance effect (Rozin, 2006), in which the humanisation of the production process impacts naturalness perception. Additionally, Muggah et al. (2016) found that consumers associate artisanal gluten free bread with more negative attributes, however, since they perceive it as local and healthier, they continue to buy it. A reason for which people consider CFPs to be more natural is that they are viewed as more respectful of food integrity and nature. Conversely, in industrially-made foods, the machine contact and the intrusion of technology in the production process reduce naturalness perception, with a detrimental effect on the perception of product craftsmanship. Overall, evidence from the reviewed studies reveals that the perception of product craftsmanship is strongly and positively correlated with the perception of food naturalness. Clear evidence is that the concept of naturalness derives from the use of fresh and local raw materials, without additives or artificial flavorings. Moreover, low technology intrusion during the production process, a high degree of human involvement, increase the consumer's perception of naturalness and product craftsmanship.

4.7 Habit

Habits, as a consumer behaviour that is regularly repeated, play a fundamental role in craft foods' purchasing decisions as a conciliating element between attitudes and context, and behaviour (Zepeda & Deal, 2009). Carvalho et al. (2018) report that the main place

of consumption of craft beer is the domestic environment. Aquilani et al. (2015) observe that craft beer is drunk mainly in pubs and with family members, and is more likely to be consumed on special occasions. Likewise, Gómez-Corona et al., (2017c) found that in Mexico City, consumers drink craft beer more frequently during special occasions or in specific contexts. What is more, craft beer is not considered as an everyday product, and its consumption are more oriented towards special and ritualised moments, during special occasions (Gómez-Corona et al., 2016a). Another study (Gedikoglu & Parcel, 2014) on US consumer from the Midwest region, revealed similar results. Consumers bought and use domestic/imported artisanal cheese only for a special occasions; this finding implies that consumers do not purchase artisanal cheese in big quantity or too frequently. Abouab & Gomez (2015) observe that the perception of naturalness of the handmade grape juice increases the purchase frequency.

4.8 Behaviour

All the above explained constructs eventually determine consumers' purchasing behaviour regarding CFPs, though we must remember that most of the reviewed studies revealed that attitudes do not always translate into behaviour. The majority of the articles used surveys to investigate attitudes towards CFPs, and some conducted focus groups or in-depth interviews, thus trying to infer potential consumer behaviours towards CFPs.

To analyse the consumers' behaviour towards CFPs, some studies adopted one of the following experimental designs to determine the willingness-to-pay values for specific CFPs: conjoint analysis, contingent valuation and choice experiment. Due the hypothetical nature of these experiments, the results indicated close antecedents of purchase behaviour (namely the purchase intention), rather than the real appreciation of

CFPs. It is noteworthy that only one of the reviewed articles analysed purchase behaviour in real market scenarios using experimental auctions with real craft food sold and real money paid (Waldmann & Kerr, 2015), thus eliciting the consumers' actual purchase behaviour. The difficulty to compare willingness-to-pay values for different CFPs, using different methods, and across different countries, lead us to avoid reporting concrete values.

Waldmann & Kerr (2015), demonstrate that artisan cheese consumers are not willing to pay more for pasteurization as a food safety attribute, but are willing to pay more for an aged artisan cheese. This finding highlights the importance of the sensory motives, as opposed to safety attitudes, in consumer behaviour towards CFPs. Gedikoglu & Parcel (2014) found higher willingness-to-pay for domestic artisan cheese over processed cheese for respondents who prefer hand-made cheese than consumers who do not have any preferences. Di Monaco et al. (2005) identified that price levels of artisanal cheese significantly affected the liking and the willingness-to-buy among consumers. It is noteworthy that price was considered as a proxy of product quality and authenticity.

Kupiec & Revell (1998) affirmed that the price of artisanal cheese is less important than sensory properties, even if very often the convenience derived from buying industrial cheeses through multiple retailers may counterbalance any negative connotations related to the use of the product technology during the production process.

The study by Donadini et al (2016) identified lower willingness-to-pay values for specialty beers compared to industrial lager beers by consumers who are not familiar with these products. The studies by Aquilani et al. (2015) and Donadini & Porretta (2017), basically confirmed this result; beer drinkers, who consider quality to be important and pay less attention to price, are more likely to taste craft beers. Moreover Giraud et al.

594 (2005) found that local consumers are willing to pay only a small price premium for
595 locally artisanal specialty food products. Furthermore, in a choice experiment study on
596 consumers from Kenya, higher willingness-to-pay values were found for quality and
597 safety attributes of artisanal fruit juice as compared to industrially processed fruit juices
598 (Otieno & Nyikal, 2017).

599 5. Discussion

600 The Alphabet Theory was a suitable framework to draw a picture of the key factors
601 affecting consumers' perception of craftsmanship of foods, and how these factors are
602 implemented in their purchasing and consumption behaviour. Moreover, this framework
603 enabled an overview of the current state of knowledge and research gaps on CFPs'
604 consumption behaviour.

605 The combination of the VBN Theory with the ABC Theory describes how attitudes
606 towards CFPs are translated into behaviour, as well as the gap between consumers'
607 attitudes and their purchase behaviour. Additionally, the inclusion of knowledge in the
608 model is very useful to explain the reinforcement of attitudes, indicating that consumers
609 who take care about information referred to the food supply chain develop stronger
610 attitudes towards CFPs. Whereas, demographics generate insight into the common
611 profile of CFPs shopper (namely middle-aged and well-educated consumers, with a
612 higher income level). Despite the implementation of habits being helpful to explain the
613 consumer's behaviour, spontaneous purchase situations also need to be taken into
614 account.

615 The majority of the evidence discussed suggests that attitudes were found to be relevant
616 predictors of CFPs purchase behaviour and important motives of consumers' perception
617 of food product craftsmanship. This seems to have important practical implications for

1561
1562
1563 618 public authorities, for marketers to conduct appropriate and well-informed social
1564
1565 619 marketing initiatives and for producers to promote their products. Moreover, this can also
1566
1567 620 have relevant importance to support legislators in designing appropriate regulations.
1568
1569 621 Values and beliefs regarding CFPs were the main aspects of the attitudes described in this
1570
1571 622 review. There is strong evidence suggesting that consumers link the concept of
1572
1573 623 craftsmanship to foods characterised by distinctive sensory characteristics, which are able
1574
1575 624 to satisfy their desire to experience a meaningful moment of pleasure. This implies that
1576
1577 625 future research should be conducted to explore more in-depth the relations amongst the
1578
1579 626 sensory qualities of craft/industrial foods, the food processing system (i.e. hand-made vs
1580
1581 627 industrial-made), and the production scale (i.e. small-scale vs large scale). Analogous
1582
1583 628 conclusions can be reached for the local identity motives. The sense of place as cultural
1584
1585 629 heritage that a food should convey to the consumer, is a relevant aspect capable of
1586
1587 630 increasing the perception of a product's craftsmanship. Thus, a strong geographical
1588
1589 631 connotation of the CFP is a key element of this factor (Bregoli, Hingley, Del Chiappa, &
1590
1591 632 Sodano, 2016; Michel-Villarreal, Hingley, Canavari, & Bregoli, 2019). The impact of
1592
1593 633 self-identity on consumer's perception of food product craftsmanship, as well as on
1594
1595 634 consumer's purchase behaviour towards CFPs, is another important key issue. The
1596
1597 635 review's findings suggest that self-identity expresses the individual's effort to distinguish
1598
1599 636 himself from consumers of industrial food products. Consumers perceive CFPs as
1600
1601 637 something which gives them the opportunity to reinforce a certain social status in the eyes
1602
1603 638 of the beholder (e.g. "connoisseurship", "nutritionally aware"). It is worthy to note that
1604
1605 639 self-identity is a multidimensional construct that should be investigated more in depth in
1606
1607 640 order to explore its different facets in relation to the behaviour of craft food consumption.
1608
1609 641 In fact, the consumption of CFPs is perceived by the craft-consumer as a meaningful
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620

moment of pleasure resulting from a multifaceted experience that includes both a taste-related aspect and a social aspect, such as the individual's desire to nourish his sense of self as well as his desire of uniqueness and distinctiveness. Evidence which emerged from the reviewed papers suggests that among the main intrinsic/extrinsic product/processing attributes, food processing system and price perception are the most important aspects influencing both the consumer's perception of food product craftsmanship and his purchase behaviour with regard to CFPs. On the other hand, the humanlike traits of brands and the scale of production received less attention.

6. Conclusions and further areas of research

The confusion over the term "craft" in the food sector, is the main cause of the so-called craftwashing. This phenomenon is detrimental for artisans and consumers, and revealing what the consumer perceives as CFPs is a feat which has yet to be achieved.

This review highlights the need to assess consumers' understanding of food product craftsmanship. This is of great interest both for policy makers and marketers in order to avoid confusion in the consumer's mind. Due to the multifaceted nature of food craftsmanship, cross sectional studies between economics, psychology and nutritional science should be conducted to better understand what lies behind the name "craft food".

We have identified at least four research gaps. The first gap is the lack of a systemic overview of the key aspects underlying the consumer's understanding of CFPs; this brings to light a troubling situation regarding how researchers and governments should tackle the problem of craftwashing in the food sector, and how marketers should communicate the craftsmanship of products. Research shows that consumer perception of food product craftsmanship has a complex multifactorial character. The second gap we have identified is the lack of structured key factors regarding purchasing and consumption of CFPs. The

666 third gap is the lack of a solid research body on consumers' preferences and willingness-
667 to-pay for CFPs in a real context market (Fig. 3). The fourth gap is the lack of studies
668 addressing the social and personal norms as characterising aspects of the consumers'
669 attitudes towards CFPs. Thus, in this review, attitudes involve only values and beliefs.
670 Furthermore, it would be of great interest to study an appropriate measure of consumer
671 perception of product craftsmanship as determinant of the individual's intention to
672 purchase and consume CFPs. This could be relevant to better understand the relationship
673 between additional attitudes, socio-demographic aspects, contextual and / or situational
674 factors, and macro-level factors.

675 Despite not being exhaustive, this review may represent a first starting point for future
676 researches wishing to fill these gaps. However, our research is not without limitations.

677 Because of the difficulty in clearly delimiting the concept of CFPs, not all potential
678 sources of information may have been included in the review. Moreover, our investigation
679 adopted the Alphabet Theory as a theoretical framework, thus other theoretical
680 perspectives were not adopted and applied.

681 **6. Recommendations**

682 Craftsmen and vendors of craft foods must ensure that the food they sell is linked with
683 the local culinary delights and traditions and that it has an authentic taste, distinguishing
684 it from mass-produced food, thus translating a simple food experience into an emotional
685 and unique experience. Moreover, sellers must be aware of how their product is viewed
686 and evaluate whether or not that corresponds to the image they are projecting at present.

687 The entire marketing process should be centred around consumer needs and wants;
688 marketers should always keep in mind the stages of the consumer decision journey and
689 consider which ones they should ameliorate to target a wider or more specific audience.

690 This review provides craftsmen and vendors of craft foods with factors that are taken into
691 consideration by consumers during their “active evaluation” phase of the decision-making
692 process to purchase CFPs. Using effective advertisements, it will be possible to reinforce
693 the consumer’s perception of craftsmanship of foods as well as attitudes towards CFPs.
694 In doing so, vendors must be aware that people buy CFPs to reinforce their sense of self
695 as “craft consumers”, and if consumer’s trust is broken, it will have serious implication
696 for the business.

697 Although this review does not aim to provide a prescriptive definition of CFPs,
698 identifying a legal definition of artisanal products is a question which should be addressed
699 by all governments, especially in those countries who have a solid tradition when it comes
700 to food and beverages. Considering the important differences in terms of culture
701 worldwide, maybe the best option would be to identify national definitions, possibly
702 based on shared and harmonised guidelines. The describing elements which should be
703 comprised in the national definitions can be extrapolated from the results of this study.

704 These indications could be extremely useful for politicians having to agree on what
705 products can effectively be labelled as “artisanal”. Moreover, it might be easier to have
706 separate definitions for each food category. Regulating artisanal products could also bring
707 to the creation of a trademark or logo, thus making these products highly recognizable
708 and giving them a strong differentiating element on the market. Moreover, having a
709 legally recognized logo would allow consumers to make a conscious choice, and it would
710 defend small-scale production and processing of high-quality traditional CFPs from the
711 so-called “craftwashing” phenomenon.

712 **8. Acknowledgements**

713 The authors are grateful to three anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments
714 which improved our article substantially.

715 9. References

- 716 Abouab, N., & Gomez, P. (2015). Human contact imagined during the production process
717 increases food naturalness perceptions. *Appetite*, 91, 273–277.
718 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.04.002>
- 719 Aquilani, B., Laureti, T., Poponi, S., & Secondi, L. (2015). Beer choice and consumption
720 determinants when craft beers are tasted: An exploratory study of consumer
721 preferences. *Food Quality and Preference*, 41, 214–224.
722 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.12.005>
- 723 Ariyasriwatana, W., & Quiroga, L. M. (2016). A thousand ways to say 'Delicious!'—
724 Categorizing expressions of deliciousness from restaurant reviews on the social
725 network site Yelp. *Appetite*, 104, 18–32.
726 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.01.002>
- 727 Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: Towards a methodological
728 framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology: Theory and*
729 *Practice*, 8(1), 19–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616>
- 730 Autio, M., Collins, R., Wahlen, S., & Anttila, M. (2013). Consuming nostalgia? The
731 appreciation of authenticity in local food production. *International Journal of*
732 *Consumer Studies*, 37(5), 564–568. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12029>
- 733 Bessiere, J., & Tibere, L. (2013). Traditional food and tourism: French tourist experience
734 and food heritage in rural spaces. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*,
735 93(14), 3420–3425. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6284>
- 736 Bregoli, I., Hingley, M., Del Chiappa, G., & Sodano, V. (2016). Challenges in Italian
737 wine routes: managing stakeholder networks. *Qualitative Market Research: An*
738 *International Journal*, 19(2), 204–224.
739 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/QMR-02-2016-0008>
- 740 Campbell, C. (2005). The craft consumer: Culture, craft and consumption in a postmodern
741 society. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 5(1), 23–42.
742 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469540505049843>
- 743 Carvalho, N. B., Minim, L. A., Nascimento, M., Ferreira, G. H. de C., & Minim, V. P. R.
744 (2018). Characterization of the consumer market and motivations for the
745 consumption of craft beer. *British Food Journal*, 120(2), 378–391.
746 <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-04-2017-0205>

- Chapman, N. G., Nanney, M., Slade Lellock, J., & Mikles-Schluterman, J. (2018). Bottling gender: accomplishing gender through craft beer consumption. *Food, Culture and Society*, 21(3), 296–313. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15528014.2018.1451038>
- Clark, J. E. (1998). Taste and flavour: their importance in food choice and acceptance. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 57(4), 639–643. <https://doi.org/10.1079/pns19980093>
- Di Monaco, R., Di Marzo, S., Cavella, S., & Masi, P. (2005). Valorization of traditional foods: The case of Provolone del Monaco cheese. *British Food Journal*, 107(2), 98–110. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00070700510579171>
- Donadini, G., Fumi, M. D., Kordialik-Bogacka, E., Maggi, L., Lambri, M., & Sckokai, P. (2016). Consumer interest in specialty beers in three European markets. *Food Research International*, 85, 301–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.04.029>
- Donadini, G., & Porretta, S. (2017). Uncovering patterns of consumers' interest for beer: A case study with craft beers. *Food Research International*, 91, 183–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.11.043>
- Dunlap, R. E., & Van Liere, K. D. (1978). The 'new environmental paradigm': A proposed measuring instrument and preliminary results. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 9(4), 10–19. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.1978.10801875>
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (1998). Attitude structure and function. In D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (pp. 269–322). New York, NY: US: McGraw-Hill.
- European Commission. Draft Decree regulating artisan food (2018). <http://ec.europa.eu/growth/tools-databases/tris/en/index.cfm/search/?trisaction=search.detail&year=2018&num=167&mLang=UK> Accessed 16 May 2019
- Favalli, S., Skov, T., & Byrne, D. V. (2013). Sensory perception and understanding of food uniqueness: From the traditional to the novel. *Food Research International*, 50(1), 176–188. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2012.10.007>
- Feeney, A. E. (2017). Cultural heritage, sustainable development, and the impacts of craft breweries in Pennsylvania. *City, Culture and Society*, 9, 21–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccs.2017.03.001>
- Feldmann, C., & Hamm, U. (2015). Consumers' perceptions and preferences for local food: A review. *Food Quality and Preference*, 40(PA), 152–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.09.014>

1921
1922
1923 783 Food Safety Authority of Ireland. Guide to Food Law for Artisan Food Producers
1924 784 Available (2016). <https://www.fsai.ie/details.aspx?id=9480> Accessed 16 May 2019
1925
1926 785 Gedikoglu, H., & Parcel, J. L. (2014). Variation of Consumer Preferences Between
1927 786 Domestic and Imported Food: The Case of Artisan Cheese Haluk. *Journal of Food*
1928 787 *Distribution Research*, 45(2), 174–194.
1930
1931 788 Giraud, K. L., Bond, C. A., & Bond, J. J. (2005). Consumer preferences for locally made
1932 789 specialty food products across northern New England. *Agricultural and Resource*
1933 790 *Economics Review*, 34(2), 204–216. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1068280500008364>
1934
1935 791 Gomez-Corona, C., Escalona-Buendía, H. B., Garcia, M., Chollet, S., & Valentin, D.
1936 792 (2016a). Craft vs. industrial: Habits, attitudes and motivations towards beer
1937 793 consumption in Mexico. *Appetite*, 96, 358–367.
1938 794 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.10.002>
1939
1940 795 Gómez-Corona, C., Chollet, S., Escalona-Buendía, H. B., & Valentin, D. (2017b).
1941 796 Measuring the drinking experience of beer in real context situations. The impact of
1942 797 affects, senses, and cognition. *Food Quality and Preference*, 60(April), 113–122.
1943 798 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2017.04.002>
1944
1945 799 Gómez-Corona, C., Escalona-Buendía, H. B., Chollet, S., & Valentin, D. (2017a). The
1946 800 building blocks of drinking experience across men and women: A case study with
1947 801 craft and industrial beers. *Appetite*, 116, 345–356.
1948 802 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.026>
1949
1950 803 Gómez-Corona, C., Lelievre-Desmas, M., Escalona-Buendía, H. B., Chollet, S., &
1951 804 Valentin, D. (2016b). Craft beer representation amongst men in two different
1952 805 cultures. *Food Quality and Preference*, 53, 19–28.
1953 806 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2016.05.010>
1954
1955 807 Gómez-Corona, C., Valentin, D., Escalona-Buendía, H. B., & Chollet, S. (2017c). The
1956 808 role of gender and product consumption in the mental representation of industrial
1957 809 and craft beers: An exploratory study with Mexican consumers. *Food Quality and*
1958 810 *Preference*, 60(February), 31–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2017.03.008>
1959
1960 811 Grubb, E. L., & Harrison L. Grathwohl. (1967). Consumer self-concept, symbolism and
1961 812 market behavior a theoretical approach. *Journal of Marketing*, 31(octobre), 22–27.
1962
1963 813 Guagnano, G. A., Stern, P. C., & Dietz, T. (1995). Influences on Attitude-Behavior
1964 814 Relationships: A Natural Experiment with Curbside Recycling. *Environment and*
1965 815 *Behavior*, 27(5), 699–718.
1966 816 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916595275005>
1967
1968
1969
1970 817 Hiamey, S. E., & Hiamey, G. A. (2018). Street food consumption in a Ghanaian
1971 818 Metropolis: The concerns determining consumption and non-consumption. *Food*
1972 819 *Control*, 92, 121–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.04.034>
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980

1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040

820 Howard, P. (2018). Craftwashing in the U.S. Beer Industry. *Beverages*, 4(1), 1–13.
821 <https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages4010001>

822 Kasapila, W., & Shaarani, S. M. D. (2011). Harmonisation of food labelling regulations
823 in southeast asia: Benefits, challenges and implications. *Asia Pacific Journal of*
824 *Clinical Nutrition*, 20(1), 1–8.

825 Kavak, B., & Gumusluoglu, L. (2007). Segmenting food markets - The role of
826 ethnocentrism and lifestyle in understanding purchasing intentions. *International*
827 *Journal of Market Research*, 49(1), 71–94.
828 <https://doi.org/10.1177/147078530704900108>

829 Kupiec, B., & Revell, B. (1998). Speciality and artisanal cheeses today: The product and
830 the consumer. *British Food Journal*, 100(5), 236–243.
831 <https://doi.org/10.1108/00070709810221454>

832 Kuznesof, S., Tregear, A., & Moxey, A. (1997). Regional foods: A consumer perspective.
833 *British Food Journal*, 99(6), 199–206. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00070709710181531>

834 L 154/2016 on the provisions on simplification and food security. Official Gazette (IT)

835 Laing, D. G., & Jinks, A. (1996). Flavour perception mechanisms. *Trends in Food*
836 *Science & Technology*, 7(12), 387–389.
837 [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244\(96\)10049-2](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244(96)10049-2)

838 Lwin, M. O. (2015). Comparative practices of food label claims from US, EU and selected
839 Southeast Asian countries. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 32(7), 530–541.
840 <https://doi.org/10.1108/jcm-10-2014-1191>

841 Maciel, A. F., & Wallendorf, M. (2017). Taste engineering: An extended consumer model
842 of cultural competence constitution. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 43(5), 726–
843 746. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucw054>

844 Michel-Villarreal, R., Hingley, M., Canavari, M., & Bregoli, I. (2019). Sustainability in
845 Alternative Food Networks: A systematic literature review. *Sustainability*
846 *(Switzerland)*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11030859>

847 Muggah, E. M., Duizer, L. M., & McSweeney, M. B. (2016). A comparison of sensory
848 properties of artisanal style and industrially processed gluten free breads.
849 *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science*, 3, 38–46.
850 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2016.01.001>

851 Muggah, E. M., & McSweeney, M. B. (2017). Using Preferred Attribute Elicitation to
852 Determine How Males and Females Evaluate Beer. *Journal of Food Science*, 82(8),
853 1916–1923. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13799>


854 Murray, A., & Kline, C. (2015). Rural tourism and the craft beer experience: factors
855 influencing brand loyalty in rural North Carolina, USA. *Journal Of Sustainable*

- 856 *Tourism*, 23(8–9), 1198–1216.
857 <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2014.987146>
- 858 Murray, D. W., & O'Neill, M. A. (2012). Craft beer: penetrating a niche market. *British*
859 *Food Journal*, 114(7), 899–909. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00070701211241518>
- 860 Otieno, D. J., & Nyikal, R. A. (2017). Analysis of Consumer Preferences for Quality and
861 Safety Attributes in Artisanal Fruit Juices in Kenya. *Journal of Food Products*
862 *Marketing*, 23(7), 817–834. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10454446.2016.1164103>
- 863 Raghoobar, S., van Kleef, E., & de Vet, E. (2017). Self-crafting vegetable snacks: testing
864 the IKEA-effect in children. *British Food Journal*, 119(6), 1301–1312.
865 <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-09-2016-0443>
- 866 Rao, A. R., & Monroe, K. B. (2002). The Moderating Effect of Prior Knowledge on Cue
867 Utilization in Product Evaluations. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15(2), 253.
868 <https://doi.org/10.1086/209162>
- 869 Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25
870 October 2011 on the provision of food information to consumers. Official Journal of
871 the European Union L 304, 18–63.
- 872 Rytönen, P., Bonow, M., Girard, C., & Tunón, H. (2018). Bringing the consumer back
873 in—the motives, perceptions, and values behind consumers and rural tourists’
874 decision to buy local and localized artisan food—A Swedish example. *Agriculture*
875 *(Switzerland)*, 8(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture8040058>
- 876 Rozin, P. (2006). The integration of biological, social, cultural and psychological
877 influences on food choice. In R. Shepherd, & M. Raats (Eds.), *The Psychology of*
878 *Food Choice* (pp. 19–39). CAB International, Oxfordshire.
- 879 Saldaña, J. (2011). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*. Thousand Oaks, CA:
880 Sage.
- 881 Schösler, H., & de Boer, J. (2018). Towards more sustainable diets: Insights from the
882 food philosophies of “gourmets” and their relevance for policy strategies. *Appetite*,
883 127, 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2018.04.022>
- 884 Schwartz, S. H. (1994). Are there universal aspects in the content of human values?
885 *Journal of Social Issues*, 50(4), 19–45.
- 886 Schwartz, S. H. (1977). Normative influences on altruism. *Advances in Experimental*
887 *Social Psychology*, 10, 221–279. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60358-5)
888 2601(08)60358-5 SPF Economie. Guidelines sur l’utilisation de la terminologie
889 “artisanal” et ses dérivés dans l’appellation des produits (2017)
890 [https://economie.fgov.be/sites/default/files/Files/Entreprises/guidelines-produits-](https://economie.fgov.be/sites/default/files/Files/Entreprises/guidelines-produits-artisanaux.pdf)
891 [artisanaux.pdf](https://economie.fgov.be/sites/default/files/Files/Entreprises/guidelines-produits-artisanaux.pdf) Accessed 16 May 2019

- 892 Stern, P. C., Dietz, T., Abel, T., Guagnano, G. A., & Kalof, L. (1999). A value-belief-
893 norm theory of support for social movements: The case of environmentalism.
894 *Human Ecology Review*, 6(2), 81–97.
- 895 Tregear, A. (2003). Market orientation and the craftsperson. *European Journal of*
896 *Marketing*, 37(11/12), 1621–1635. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560310495384>
- 897 USFDA. Food Code 2017 (2017). <https://www.fda.gov/media/110822/download>
898 Accessed 16 May 2019
- 899 Waldman, K. B., & Kerr, J. M. (2015). Is Food and Drug Administration policy governing
900 artisan cheese consistent with consumers' preferences? *Food Policy*, 55, 71–80.
901 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2015.06.004>
- 902 Weber, M. J., Lambert, J. T., Conrad, K. A., Jennings, S. S., & Mastal Adams, J. R.
903 (2018). Discovering a Cultural System Using Consumer Ethnocentrism Theory.
904 *Systemic Practice and Action Research*, 1–20. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11213-018-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11213-018-9444-0)
905 [9444-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11213-018-9444-0)
- 906 Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing
907 a Literature Review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), xiii–xxiii.
- 908 Zepeda, L., & Deal, D. (2009). Organic and local food consumer behaviour: Alphabet
909 theory. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 33(6), 697–705.
910 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2009.00814.x>
- 911 Zevnik, L. (2012). Expression Through Growing Food and Cooking: the Craft
912 Consumption of Food. *Drustvena Istrazivanja*, 21(3), 753–769.
913 <https://doi.org/10.5559/di.21.3.08>

Consumers' perception of food product craftsmanship: a review of evidence

Figure 1. Alphabet Theory adapted from Zepeda and Deal (2009).



9 **Figure 2. Flow chart and criteria of article selection process.**




Figure 3. Factors affecting consumers' perception of food product craftsmanship and consumers' behaviour towards craft foods.




Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Criteria	Inclusion	Exclusion
Time span	All	None
Language	English	Other
Publication type1	Peer-reviewed	Non peer-reviewed
Publication type2	Research article and review	Other
Product considered	Food and Beverage	Other
Focus	Consumers perception	Other

Table 2. Summary of methodological approaches adopted in the selected articles.

	#	Authors
Survey	17	Aquilani, Laureti, Poponi, & Secondi (2015); Bessiere & Tibere (2013); Carvalho, Minim, Nascimento, de Castro Ferreira, & Minim (2018); Donadini, Fumi, Kordialik-Bogacka, Maggi, Lambri, & Sckokai (2016); Gedikoglu & Parcel (2014); Giraud, Bond, & Bond (2005); Gómez-Corona, Escalona-Buendía, García, Chollet, & Valentin (2016); Gómez-Corona, Chollet, Escalona-Buendía, & Valentin (2017); Kavak & Gumusluoglu (2007); Kupiec & Revell (1998); Muggah, Duizer, & McSweeney (2016); Muggah & McSweeney (2017); Murray & Kline (2015); Murray & O'Neill (2012); Otieno & Adhiambo Nykal (2017); Rytönen, Bonow, Girard, & Tunón (2018); Weber, Lambert, Conrad, Jennings, & Mastal Adams (2018).
Qualitative explanatory	8	Schösler & de Boer (2018); Tregear (2003); Zevnik (2012); Gómez-Corona, Escalona-Buendía, Chollet, & Valentin (2017); Kuznesof, Tregear, & Moxey (1997); Autio, Collins, Wahlen, & Anttila (2013); Gómez-corona, Lelievre-desmas, Escalona-Buendía, Chollet, & Valentin (2016); Maciel, & Wallendorf (2017).
Experiments	5	Abouab & Gomez (2015); Di Monaco, Di Marzo, Cavella, & Masi (2005); Favalli, Skov, & Byrne (2013); Gómez-Corona, Valentin, Escalona-Buendía, & Chollet (2017); Raghoobar, van Kleef, & de Vet (2017).
Market data	5	Lwin (2015); Ariyasriwatana & Quiroga (2016); Feeney (2017); Donadini & Porretta (2017); Chapman, Nanney, Lellock, & Mikles-Schlutermana (2018).
Experimental auctions	1	Waldman & Kerr (2015)

Table 3. Location profile and food products of selected articles.

	#	Food and beverage products and number of selected articles
North America	15	Beer (11); cheese (2); food in general (1); bread (1)
Europe	15	Food in general (8); beer (3); cheese (3); Grape juice (1)
Cross-Country	4	Food in general (3); beer (1)
South America	1	Beer (1)
Africa	1	Fruit juices (1)

Table 4. Main research issues and location profile of selected articles.

	#	Location profile and number of selected articles
Personal preferences	26	North America (13); Europe (9); Cross-country (3); South America (1)
Cultural meaning of food	6	Europe (5); North America (1)
Market	2	North America (1); Europe (1)
Food labelling	1	Cross-country (1)
Quality and safety	1	Africa (1)