Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Investigation of water state during induced crystallization of honey

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Tappi S., Laghi L., Dettori A., Piana L., Ragni L., Rocculi P. (2019). Investigation of water state during induced crystallization of honey. FOOD CHEMISTRY, 294, 260-266 [10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.05.047].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/689236 since: 2021-10-08

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.05.047

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is a final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript.

The final form has been published in Food chemistry Volume 294, 1 October 2019, Pages 260-266

The final published version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.05.047

Investigation of water state during induced crystallization of honey 1 2 Silvia Tappi¹, Luca Laghi^{1,2*}, Amanda Dettori², Lucia Piana³, Luigi Ragni^{1,2}, Pietro Rocculi^{1,2} 3 4 ¹Interdepartmental Centre for Agri-Food Industrial Research, *Alma Mater Studiorum*, University of 5 Bologna, Piazza Goidanich 60, 47521 Cesena (FC), Italy 6 ²Department of Agricultural and Food Science, *Alma Mater Studiorum*, University of Bologna, 7 Campus of Food Science, Piazza Goidanich 60, Cesena (FC), Italy ³Piana Ricerca e Consulenza, Castel San Pietro Terme, Bologna. 8 9 * Corresponding author. E-mail address: to l.laghi@unibo.it 10 Abstract This work studied water state of honey during crystallization, obtained statically and dynamically, by 11 differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), water activity (a_w) assessment and time domain nuclear 12 13 magnetic resonance (TD-NMR). Crystallization was induced by adding 5% of crystallized honey to three honey samples with different 14 15 fructose/glucose ratio, the key characteristic for honey crystallization. Samples were stored at 14 °C. 16 Dynamic crystallization was obtained by using an impeller. DSC showed that the dynamic crystallization was faster than the static one, the latter characterized by two phases, showing different 17 18 rates. The crystallization rate did not affect aw, that remained below 0.600. TD-NMR allowed to 19 separately observe two kinds of protons, both pertaining to liquid sugars, one chemically exchanging 20 with water and one not exchanging with it. The combination of techniques allowed speculating that the 21 two crystallization methods led to crystals of different size and shape. 22 Keywords 23 Differential scanning calorimetry; dynamic crystallization; Honey; static crystallization; time-domain 24 nuclear magnetic resonance; water activity; water state 25 © 2019 Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

- 27 Honey is a supersaturated solution that contains mainly glucose and fructose (70-80%) and only small 28 amounts of other sugars. The crystallization, or granulation, of honey is a natural phenomenon that 29 occurs during storage and involves only glucose, as fructose is characterized by a higher solubility 30 value. 31 The rate of crystallization depends on many factors, among which amount of glucose, fructose and 32 water, temperature, glucose supersaturation level, viscosity and presence of pre-formed crystals or 33 impurities (Conforti, Lupano, Malacalza, Arias, & Castells, 2006; Venir, Spaziani, & Maltini, 2010). 34 Nucleation can be classified as primary or secondary. Primary nucleation occurs when the system does 35 not contain any pre-formed crystal and an energy barrier has to be overcome for the formation of new 36 nuclei. Collision among molecules in the solution leads to the formation of clusters that, if sufficiently 37 big, can overcome the energy barrier and become stable (Hartel, 1993). Higher supersaturation levels 38 increase the probability for clusters to overcome the critical dimension. The secondary nucleation can 39 occur only when pre-existing crystals are present. A secondary crystal can be generated from dendritic 40 growth on the surface of a primary nucleus or when a primary nucleus collides with another primary 41 nucleus or with other components of the system, such as the walls of the vessel (Hartel, 1993). 42 Guided or induced static crystallization (1,987,893, 1935) is based on the secondary nucleation 43 phenomena and involves the introduction of fine seed crystals that will act as primary crystallization 44 nuclei into liquid honey. Such procedure on one side allows to obtain finely granulate honey, on the 45 other side avoids both unpredictable changes in the texture of honey during storage and crystallization 46 defects (Dettori, Tappi, Piana, Dalla Rosa, & Rocculi, 2018). 47 Dynamic crystallization (Gonnet, 1994) consists in carrying out the guided crystallization under a slow
- 48 manual or automatic stirring of the mass for a few days, to impart creaminess and spreadability to the 49 crystallized product. Honey obtained in this way is defined as creamy honey and its peculiar 50 rheological characteristics are due to the formation of very small crystals (Karasu, Toker, Yilmaz, 51 Karaman, & Dertli, 2015). The crystallization rate and rheological characteristics of honeys have been 52 investigated by many works in relation to composition and crystallization levels (Slavomir Bakier, 53 2007; Sławomir Bakier, Miastkowski, & Bakoniuk, 2016; Conforti et al., 2006; Dobre, Georgescu, 54 Alexe, Escuredo, & Seijo, 2012; Venir et al., 2010). However, to the best of our knowledge, the 55 differences between static and dynamic crystallization have never been investigated before.

- In addition, the works published on honey have rarely addressed the behavior of water during
- 57 crystallization, beyond the mere observation of water activity (Gleiter, Horn, & Isengard, 2006;
- Zamora & Chirife, 2006). Water activity determines honey microbiological stability during storage. It
- 59 is deeply influenced by crystallization because glucose binds six molecules of water in liquid honey,
- but crystallizes mainly in the monohydrate form. Crystallization thus promotes water concentration in
- 61 the liquid phase, leading in turn to an increase of water activity, allowing the growth of osmophilic
- 62 yeasts (Gleiter, Horn, & Isengard, 2006).
- DSC measurements have been successfully applied to evaluate the crystallization of honey by various
- authors (Al-Habsi, Davis, & Niranjan, 2013; Venir et al., 2010), who measured the amount of glucose
- crystals on the basis of their melting enthalpy. Transverse relaxation time (T_2) of the protons observed
- 66 by TD-NMR has been found able to give precious information about water interaction with solutes and
- sample's structures in several food matrices (Mauro et al., 2016; Petracci et al., 2012). These
- 68 interactions have been also studied in honey during crystallization, where they have even been used to
- assess product adulterations through water state (Ribeiro et al., 2014; Ribeiro et al., 2014).
- 70 The aim of the present study is to apply differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), water activity and
- 71 time domain nuclear magnetic resonance (TD-NMR) measurements to investigate the behavior of
- 72 water in honey during induced crystallization carried out in a traditional static manner or during a
- 73 dynamic process, achieved through constant stirring of the mass at the optimal crystallization
- 74 temperature (14 °C).

75

76

Material and methods

Raw material and preparation of static crystallization samples

- 77 The honey samples used in the present study were selected with the aim of having specific F/G ratios of
- approximately 1.05, 1.20 and 1.40, leading to fast (FC), medium (MC) and slow (SC) crystallization,
- 79 respectively (Dettori et al., 2018). Before the experiment of crystallization kinetics, samples were
- 80 gently heated up to 50 °C to melt any pre-formed crystal. The absence of glucose crystals was
- 81 evaluated by optical microscopy. The crystal nuclei used were obtained by citrus honey finely
- granulated, added to the three samples so to reach 5% of the total mass. The so obtained mix was
- manually stirred with a spatula at room temperature for 10 min. Samples were analyzed for water (at 20
- 84 °C with an Abbe refractometer) and sugars content (DIN-NORM-10758, 1997). During static
- 85 crystallization, sampling itself could break the crystalline structure. To limit this confounding factor,

- 86 liquid honey added with crystal nuclei and stirred was poured into samples holders ready for each
- 87 analytical determination. Samples, created in triplicate, were stored in a climatic chamber at 14 °C
- throughout the entire crystallization process. Storage time needed for complete crystallization of each
- sample was assessed by means of preliminary tests. Sampling intervals were then adjusted accordingly,
- 90 as follows: 0, 2, 7, 9, 22, 43 and 50 days for the FCs samples; 0, 10, 15, 34, 51 and 62 days for the MCs
- 91 samples; 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 34, 41, 48, 63, 83 and 102 days for the SCs samples.

92

93

105

Raw material and preparation of dynamic crystallization samples

- 94 Samples were subjected to dynamic crystallization with an in-house made steel temperature controlled
- 95 stirrer, equipped with a helical impeller having a diameter of 100 mm and rotating at 14 rpm. The
- 96 mixing chamber (about 1.2 L of volume) was externally cooled with a flux of water/ethylene glycol
- 97 fluid, so to grant a stirred sample temperature of 14 °C. To create the samples, liquid honey at room
- 98 temperature was added with 5% (w/w) of crystallized honey and placed in the stirring chamber. Every
- 99 sample took 3 to 4 hours to reach 14 °C. The moment when the 14 °C were reached was considered as
- T_0 for the analysis. Samples were collected from the stirring chamber without interrupting the process.
- Storage durations were determined for each sample through preliminary tests and were of 10, 16 and 32
- days for samples FCd, MCd and SCd, respectively. Sampling intervals were 0, 1, 2, 4, 7, 8 and 10 days
- 103 for the FCd samples; 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 12, 14 and 16 days for the MCd samples; 0, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11, 14,
- 104 18, 21, 25, 28 and 32 days for the SCs samples.

Differential Scanning Calorimetry

- 106 Thermal analysis was carried out by differential scanning calorimetry using a DSC Q20 (TA
- 107 Instruments, Germany) equipped with a cooling unit (TA-Refrigetated Cooling System90). Heat flow
- and temperature calibration were performed with distilled water (T_m 0.0 °C) and indium (T_m 156.60
- 109 °C) under a dry nitrogen flow of 50 mL min⁻¹.
- Honey samples were weighed in 50 µl aluminium DSC capsules and sealed. At each sampling time,
- three replicates were analyzed through temperature scanning at 5 °C/min from 14 to 100 °C.
- Peaks were integrated with the Software TA-Universal analyzer, determining melting temperature (T_m)
- and enthalpy (ΔH) of the granulated honeys.

114 Water activity (a_w)

Water activity was measured with an ACQUA LAB Water Activity Meter, (Decagon Devices, US).

For statically crystallized honey, three samples holders were filled with liquid honey at the beginning of the storage, then measured at each sampling time. Between measurements, samples were covered with lids and protected with parafilm. For dynamically crystallized honey, samples were collected at each sampling time.

TD-NMR

The transverse relaxation time (T₂) of protons was measured at 25 °C with the Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) (Meiboom & Gill, 1958) pulse sequence (Ribeiro et al., 2014; Ribeiro et al., 2014), using a Bruker Minispec PC/20 spectrometer (Bruker, Germany) working at 20 Hz. The exponential decay comprised 3200 echoes, an echo time (TE) of 0.080 ms, leading to a dead time of 167 μs, and a recycle delay of 5 s. The number of scans and the amplification factor were chosen so that a S/N ratio value of 300 was reached, while signal clipping was prevented. Data mining was performed in R computational language (R Development Core Team, 2011), by means of routines developed in-house. In order to treat honey as a two components system, by following de Ribeiro *et al.* (Ribeiro, Mársico, Carneiro, Monteiro, Júnior, et al., 2014), the phased experimental curves were fit towards the sum of two exponential decays, according to the equation:

$$S_{(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_n e^{\left(\frac{-t}{T_{2,n}}\right)} + E_{(t)}$$

where I_n represents the intensity of each proton population and T_{2,n} its transverse relaxation time. The presence of two water populations postulated by Ribeiro *et al.* could be doubted by the massive work by Brown (Brown, 1989), who demonstrated that the sum of two exponential curves fit nicely also the signal of a single water population. This happens when water covers a wide range of relaxation rates, a common case in food matrices (Iaccheri et al., 2015; Laghi et al., 2005; Petracci et al., 2014), thus giving the false impression of two water components. For this reason the T₂ decays, centered and scaled to unity variance, were also employed to build a robust principal component analysis (rPCA) (Hubert, Rousseeuw, & Vanden Branden, 2005) model. This was done by setting an alpha value of 0.75. For this model, we calculated the scoreplot, the projection of the samples in the PC space, tailored to highlight the underlying structure of the data. Besides, we calculated the Pearson correlation plot, relating the concentration of each variable to the model components.

142 Statistics

- Differences among samples at specific time-points were looked for by anova test, with Tukey as a post-
- hoc test, by taking advantage of the aov function of the R package "stats" (Chambers, Freeny, &
- 145 Heiberger, 1992).
- 146 For TD-NMR data, differences in the overall trends characterizing the FCs, MCs and SCs samples
- along storage time were looked for by two-way anova test, followed by Tukey as a post-hoc test. The
- limited number of samples per point was considered by applying the tests on ranks (Conover & Iman,
- 149 1981). Intensity and T₂ of each proton population and score values in the rPCA (Hubert et al., 2005)
- model registered along time were interpolated by means of non-parametric functions. For the purpose,
- a local regression model was applied, by taking advantage of the loess function (Cleveland, Grosse, &
- 152 Shyu, 1992) of the R package "stats", with degree of smoothing equal to 0.9 and the degree of the
- polynomial equal to 1.

Results and discussion

155 **DSC**

- 156 The precise composition (after crystal nuclei addition) of the samples fast (FCs), medium (MCs) and
- slow (SCs) static crystallization is reported in Table 1. Slight differences were observed between each
- of the couples of samples grouped as FC, MC or SC. However, the selection allowed to obtain very
- similar fructose/glucose ratio, the parameter the mostly affecting the crystallization rate.
- The detailed evolution of the melting enthalpy during static and dynamic crystallization is reported in
- figure 1, while overall features of the crystallization processes are reported in table 2.
- The melting enthalpy is proportional to the amount of crystallized glucose, so that at T_0 its value
- reflects the amount of finely crystallized honey added as starter. FC, MC and SC samples stored
- statically reached the maximum crystallization values of 34.72, 27.62 and 21.68 J/g, respectively.
- These values were proportional to the glucose supersaturation level, hence to the amount of glucose
- that could crystallize (Dettori et al., 2018). Glucose supersaturation level determined also the
- 167 crystallization rate, so that FCs, MCs and SCs samples reached the maximum melting enthalpy in 50,
- 168 90 and 102 days, respectively.
- Although dynamic crystallization is known to increase granulation rate, to our knowledge, no previous
- study has actually compared the crystallization behavior of honey according to static and dynamic
- 171 crystallization process. Results obtained showed for the first time that the dynamic process boosted

- significantly the crystallization rate, which was 5 to 6 fold faster than the static counterpart. In detail,
- full crystallization was reached in 10, 16 and 35 days for FCd, MCd and SCd samples, respectively.
- 174 This was expected, as the nucleation process (both primary and secondary) is known to be strongly
- increased by inputs of energy into the system, here represented by the mechanical energy given by
- 176 continuous mixing. According to Hartel (Hartel, 1993), the reason is that an external energy input
- promotes random energy fluctuations, represented by local concentrations of sugar exceeding the
- 178 critical value for nucleation. Moreover, agitation promotes the forced migration of molecules, so to
- reduce the hindrance to mass transfer given by viscosity.
- 180 It is possible to notice from figure 1 that, in statically stored samples, the crystallization kinetic showed
- a linear trend along the entire process, but with an inflection occurring after 9, 15 and 21 days in FCs,
- MCs and SCs samples, respectively, corresponding to the 50-60% of the process. A similar result was
- observed by Venir et al. (Venir et al., 2010) in taraxacum honey. In particular, they observed a change
- of slope after the crystallization of 15% of glucose, corresponding to the 60 % of the total glucose that
- 185 could undergo crystallization.
- Following Serra-Bovehì (Serra-Bonvehì, 1974), the two stages observed by us and Venir *et al.* (2010)
- could be ascribed to the alternation of nucleation and crystal growth. The two phenomena can occur
- simultaneously, but at different rates in relation to the supersaturation level. At the beginning of the
- process, when supersaturation is high, the formation of new crystals is faster than their growth. As the
- 190 crystallization proceeds, the nucleation rate decreases exponentially, so that, in a second stage, the
- predominant process is the enlargement of the existing nuclei.
- The two-phase behavior could not be observed in honey samples crystallized dynamically, that instead
- showed a linear increase of melting enthalpy along the entire storage time. This observation could be
- rationalized by considering that the energy input represented by the stirring promotes the formation of
- nuclei, while the constant movement of the mass inhibits the excessive growth of the crystals.
- Hence, the different crystallization method adopted not only noticeably influenced the crystallization
- rate, but it also promoted changes in the formation of crystals that is at the basis of the difference in the
- rheological properties, as described by Gonnet (1994).

Water activity

- Figure S1 reports the evolution of a_w in honey samples statically and dynamically stored as a function
- of melting enthalpy. Initial values ranged between 0.490 and 0.550. Differences were likely to be
- 202 caused by the different concentration of sugars and water in the honey samples. Indeed, as shown in

- table 1, water content varied in the 16.0 17.7% range. Values of a_w increased systematically with the
- amount of crystallized glucose. In detail, the observed changes in a_w were in the 0.3-0.6 range, in
- agreement with previous investigations (Zamora & Chirife, 2006) carried out on 49 different honey
- samples. However, in all cases, the final value never exceeded 0.60, the threshold usually considered
- for osmophilic yeast growth.
- 208 Contrarily to the melting enthalpy, the increase in a_w followed a linear trend for statically stored
- samples, with no evident change of slope. This could be explained by considering that water activity
- depends on the overall characteristics of the samples, failing to discriminate fine differences in the state
- of water throughout the sample itself.

TD-NMR: two components model

- 213 By following the works of Ribeiro et al., (Ribeiro et al., 2014; Ribeiro et al., 2014), T₂ weighted TD-
- NMR signals were fit to a model postulating the possibility to separately observe two protons pools,
- that were named T_{21} and T_{22} (Fig. 2). Analysis of variance showed that, for both T_2 and intensity of the
- 216 two populations, level of supersaturation and time had in each case a statistically significant effect
- 217 (p<0.001). To grab the trends of the two populations along storage in a non-parametric fashion,
- smoothing trends were calculated. The main features of the so evidenced trends are summarized in
- 219 table 3.

- 220 In agreement with Ribeiro et al. works, the two protons pools had, at T₀ in the statically crystalized
- samples, T₂ values around 1.5 and 5 ms respectively. Their relative intensities were found in the
- present work to be around 55% and 45%. Ribeiro et al. ascribed the two populations exclusively to
- 223 water differently interacting with crystals, but such ascription seems very unlikely, because it does not
- 224 consider the remarkable number of protons of the sugars. A few qualitative considerations drive the
- 225 point.
- In liquid honey, each mole of water brings 0.11 moles of protons. Each mole of glucose or fructose is
- 227 characterized by 0.028 moles of protons pertaining to -OH groups. Around 75% of them (0.021 moles)
- was found to be labile (Fabri, Williams, & Halstead, 2005). The exchange rate of these protons
- between water and sugars is expected (B. Hills, 1998; Venturi et al., 2007) to be much higher than the
- NMR signal registration rate, reasonably far above 100 s⁻¹ (Fabri et al., 2005). In this regime, water and
- 231 labile sugar protons are observed as a single population. Such population can be simply called
- 232 "exchangeable", as suggested by Petracci et al. (Petracci et al., 2014) on a different matrix. The

- 233 remaining sugar protons bound to carbons plus the non-labile –OH protons (accounting for 0.046
- moles) cannot exchange with water, so that they can be called "non-exchangeable".
- According to the above considerations, in the samples we have analyzed in the present work, non-
- 236 exchangeable and exchangeable protons populations may contribute to T₂ weighted TD-NMR signals
- with about a 50:50 relative intensity. Such qualitative consideration is in very good agreement with the
- ratio measured in SC samples (51.47:48.53), while it shows a 17.14% discrepancy in the case of FC
- samples.
- 240 The pool of exchangeable protons is expected to have longer T₂ values, according to the following
- reasoning. The T₂ of a proton pertaining to liquid sugar is reasonably in the range of milliseconds.
- 242 When this proton is exchanged between sugar and water its T₂ is the weighted average of the T₂ of the
- 243 two sites, according to the Carver and Richards (Carver & Richards, 1972), corrected by Hills and
- 244 coworkers (B. P. Hills, Wright, & Belton, 1989). Such T₂ is undoubtedly longer than the one of non-
- 245 exchangeable protons, because water has been found liquid even in a glassy matrix (B. P. Hills &
- 246 Pardoe, 1995), what translates into T₂ in the range of hundreds of milliseconds. According to this
- consideration, we therefore suggest that the populations originally named T_{21} and T_{22} by Ribeiro *et al.*
- can be ascribed to non-exchanging and exchanging protons, respectively.
- 249 Along the entire storage period the two protons pools model was able to fit nicely the T₂ weighted
- signals registered on every sample, even on those where crystallization has occurred massively. This
- suggests that the liquid fraction of honey had the major, if not the exclusive, contribution to the NMR
- signal. Indeed, the CPMG pulse sequence we employed had an unavoidable dead time of 167 µs. The
- 253 protons pertaining to the crystals were expected to be largely unobservable, because characterized by a
- T₂ of a few microseconds (B. P. Hills & Pardoe, 1995).
- 255 Interestingly, as already observed for the increase of melting enthalpy, for each of the studied samples
- 256 two distinct stages of crystallization could be noticed from a T₂ point of view. However, the change
- 257 was observed at different times. The first stage could be considered as complete at days 30, 50 and 66
- 258 for FCs, MCs and SCs samples, respectively. During this stage, the relative intensity of non-
- exchangeable protons population decreased by 8.7%, 7.6% and 4.6% in the FCs, MCs and SCs
- samples, respectively. In parallel, the T₂ of non-exchangeable protons increased by 74% to 200%,
- 261 while the T₂ of exchangeable protons increased by 10% to 45%. The main contribution to the trends of
- 262 both relative populations and T₂ values in the first stage is very likely the subtraction of glucose from
- 263 the liquid fraction due to crystallization, leading to an increased concentration of water, as noticed by
- Dettori et al. (Dettori et al., 2018). Indeed, an increase in water concentration increases the amount of

265 the exchangeable protons in the system ($\approx 53\%$). As confirmation, the FCs samples, with a higher 266 supersaturation index, showed also the largest and quickest increase of exchangeable protons, followed, 267 proportionally, by MCs and SCs samples. Moreover, the increase of water concentration in the liquid 268 fraction has two further effects. First, it moves the weighted average of T₂ of the exchangeable protons towards higher values. Second, it increases the tumbling rate of the molecules, leading to higher T2 269 270 values for both exchangeable and non-exchangeable protons (Bordoni et al., 2014). 271 The second stage of static crystallization highlighted by T₂ weighted signals started around day 30, 50 272 and 66 for samples FCs, MCs and SCs, respectively. This stage was characterized by a partial inversion of the previous trends, with an increase of non-exchanging protons and with a shortening of the T2 273 274 values of both populations. The most likely rationalization of this phenomenon is that the crystals were 275 so densely spread across the entire honey volume that a high percentage of the still liquid molecules 276 interacted with them. Even if it is not possible to describe rigorously such interaction, it probably 277 comprised a reduced mobility, leading to shorter T₂ values, and a less effective sugar-water protons 278 exchange, that increased the number of non-exchanging protons. A confirmation seems to be devised in 279 the fact that the highest reduction of T₂ values and exchanging protons occurred in the FC samples, 280 characterized by the highest amount of crystallized sugar, what translates into a higher solid-liquid 281 interface. In addition, at the liquid-solid interface local gradients of the magnetic fields form, thus leading to shorter T₂ values (Dunn, 2002), linked to the dimension and the shape of the crystals. 282 283 Dynamically crystalized samples did not show appreciable differences from the statically crystalized 284 counterparts at T₀. The dynamic crystallization process showed the same overall features of the first 285 stage of static crystallization, with an increased concentration of exchangeable protons and an increased T₂ values for both populations for each level of glucose supersaturation. The remarkable feature of 286 287 these trends is the entity of the changes. While the first stage of static crystallization, when crystal 288 nucleation is favoure, leads to a decrease in the pool of non-exchangeable protons of 8.7%, 7.6% and 289 4.6% for FC, MC and SC samples respectively, dynamic crystallization leads in the same samples to a reduction of 9.8%, 15% and 5.8%. Differences even more clear could be noticed for T2 values. As an 290 291 example, while the T₂ of non-exchangeable protons increased for FC, MC and SC samples by 80.9%, 292 56.9 and 26.4% as a consequence of static crystallization, the same values increased by 198.2%, 114.3% and 74%, respectively, as a consequence of dynamic crystallization. Interestingly, stirring 293 294 made the values of dynamically crystallized MC and SC samples change similarly to FC samples. This 295 suggests that at the base of the phenomenon is the number of crystals, which it is higher in the samples

296

crystallized dynamically.

TD-NMR: model-free analysis

297

- In order to employ T₂ weighed NMR signals to gain information on the samples without applying a priori determined model, robust principal component analysis (rPCA) (Hubert et al., 2005) was applied on the centered and scaled signals points (Figure 3). In the scoreplot (Figure 3A), the samples spread with storage time along PC 1, which represented 96.8% of the total samples variance.
- 302 The samples that the two components model identified as collected at the end of the first stage of static 303 crystallization appeared at negative values along PCA, while samples freshly prepared or collected at 304 the end of crystallization were characterized by high and intermediate scores, respectively. This made 305 the pattern covered by the samples along PC 1 undoubtedly similar to those highlighted by the two 306 protons pools model. Again similarly to the two protons pools model, the samples collected at the end 307 of the dynamic crystallization were located at scores that were far lower than the corresponding created 308 with static crystallization. The correlation between the points of the T₂ weighted signals and their 309 importance over PC1 (Figure 3C) showed, in agreement with the two components model, that in the 310 fresh samples the non-exchangeable protons played the highest role, while the opposite was observable 311 at the end of the first stage of crystallization.
- The non-parametric approach constituted by the rPCA model directly calculated on the signals registered by TD-NMR confirmed, from a protons T₂ point of view, that the static crystallization could be divided into two stages, the second of which partly reversing the effects of the first one. From a T₂ point of view, the interactions between crystals and liquid honey seemed of similar type but of different extent for statically and dynamically crystallized samples.

317 Conclusions

- The water behavior in honey during induced crystallization according to static and a dynamic process
- 319 was investigated.
- 320 DSC measurements confirmed that the constant movement of the honey during storage decreased the
- 321 time necessary for the complete crystallization of all the honey types by 5-6 fold. Static crystallization
- 322 showed two main phases of crystal genesis, identified both by DSC and TD-NMR measurements,
- 323 characterized by different rates, probably related to the nucleation and crystal growth phases
- 324 alternation. On the contrary, dynamic crystallization was characterized by a linear trend that was
- attributed to a prevalence of the nucleation phenomenon over the growth of crystals. Moreover, the
- 326 crystallization rate did not influence the a_w increase, that remained below 0.600.

- 327 Through TD-NMR two populations of protons were identified and attributed to liquid sugars protons
- 328 exchanging and non-exchanging with water. The interaction between crystals and liquid honey showed
- 329 some differences according to the type of crystallization process adopted, that could be due to the
- different number and size of the crystals. However, further investigation is necessary to confirm this
- 331 hypothesis.
- In general, the described multi-analytical approach confirmed the suitability of the different techniques
- 333 to study the water mobility in differently crystalized honey, giving integrative results able to increase
- the knowledge of these complex phenomena with a different level of detail.

335 Acknowledgements

- 336 The authors declare no conflicts of interest
- 337
- 338 **Bibliography**
- 339 Al-Habsi, N. A., Davis, F. J., & Niranjan, K. (2013). Development of Novel Methods to Determine Crystalline
- Glucose Content of Honey Based on DSC, HPLC, and Viscosity Measurements, and Their Use to Examine
- 341 the Setting Propensity of Honey. *Journal of Food Science*, 78(6). https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12103
- 342 Assil, H. I., Sterling, R., & Sporns, P. (1991). Crystal control in processed liquid honey. Journal of Food
- 343 *Science*, 56(4), 1034–1034. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1991.tb14635.x
- Bakier, S. (2007). Influence of temperature and water content on the rheological properties of polish honeys.
- Polish Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences, 57(2 (A)), 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-
- 346 35982010000800027
- Bakier, S., Miastkowski, K., & Bakoniuk, J. R. (2016). Rheological properties of some honeys in liquefied and
- 348 crystallised states. Journal of Apicultural Science, 60(2), 153–166. https://doi.org/10.1515/JAS-2016-0026
- Bordoni, A., Laghi, L., Babini, E., Di Nunzio, M., Picone, G., Ciampa, A., ... Capozzi, F. (2014). The
- foodomics approach for the evaluation of protein bioaccessibility in processed meat upon in vitro digestion.
- 351 *Electrophoresis*, 35(11), 1607–1614. https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201300579
- Brown, R. J. S. (1989). Information available and unavailable from multiexponential relaxation data. *Journal of*
- 353 *Magnetic Resonance (1969)*, 82(3), 539–561. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2364(89)90217-5
- 354 Carver, J. P., & Richards, R. E. (1972). A general two-site solution for the chemical exchange produced
- dependence of T2 upon the carr-Purcell pulse separation. Journal of Magnetic Resonance (1969), 6(1), 89–

- 356 105. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2364(72)90090-X
- Chambers, J. M., Freeny, A., & Heiberger, R. M. (1992). Analysis of variance; designed experiments. In
- 358 Statistical Models in S (pp. 145–193). Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole Advanced Books and Software, Pacific
- 359 Grove, California.
- Cleveland, W. S., Grosse, E., & Shyu, W. M. (1992). Local regression models. Statistical Models in S, 2, 309-
- 361 376. https://doi.org/10.2307/1269676
- Conforti, P. A., Lupano, C. E., Malacalza, N. H., Arias, V., & Castells, C. B. (2006). Crystallization of honey at
- 363 -20°C. International Journal of Food Properties, 9(1), 99–107.
- 364 https://doi.org/10.1080/10942910500473962
- 365 Conover, W. J., & Iman, R. L. (1981). Rank transformations as a bridge between parametric and nonparametric
- 366 statistics. American Statistician, 35(3), 124–128. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1981.10479327
- Dettori, A., Tappi, S., Piana, L., Dalla Rosa, M., & Rocculi, P. (2018). Kinetic of induced honey crystallization
- and related evolution of structural and physical properties. LWT, 95, 333–338.
- 369 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.04.092
- 370 DIN-NORM-10758. (1997). Analysis of honey; determination of the content of saccharides fructose, glucose,
- 371 saccharose, turanose and maltose; HPLC method. Deutsches Institut für Normierung (1997--05) Berlin;
- 372 Germany.
- Dobre, I., Georgescu, L. A., Alexe, P., Escuredo, O., & Seijo, M. C. (2012). Rheological behavior of different
- honey types from Romania. Food Research International, 49(1), 126–132.
- 375 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2012.08.009
- Dunn, K. J. (2002). Enhanced transverse relaxation in porous media due to internal field gradients. Journal of
- 377 *Magnetic Resonance*, 156(2), 171–180. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmre.2002.2541
- 378 Dyce, E. J. (1935). 1,987,893. US patent.
- Fabri, D., Williams, M. A. K., & Halstead, T. K. (2005). Water T2 relaxation in sugar solutions. *Carbohydrate*
- 380 Research, 340(5), 889–905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2005.01.034
- 381 Gleiter, R. A., Horn, H., & Isengard, H. D. (2006). Influence of type and state of crystallisation on the water
- 382 activity of honey. Food Chemistry, 96(3), 441–445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.03.051
- Gonnet, M. (1994). La cristallisation dirigée des miels: actualization des méthodes de travail et avantages liés a

- cette pratique tecnologique. Abeilles & Fleurs, 430, 12.
- Hartel, R. W. (1993). Controlling Sugar Crystallization in Food Products. Food Technology, 47(11), 99–107.
- 386 https://doi.org/10.1080/10408399109527541
- 387 Hills, B. (1998). Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Food Science. (Whiley, Ed.). London: Wiley.
- 388 Hills, B. P., & Pardoe, K. (1995). Proton and deuterium NMR studies of the glass transition in a 10% water-
- maltose solution. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 63(3), 229–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-
- 390 7322(95)00796-D
- 391 Hills, B. P., Wright, K. M., & Belton, P. S. (1989). Proton N.M.R. Studies of chemical and diffusive exchange in
- 392 carbohydrate systems. *Molecular Physics*, 67(6), 1309–1326. https://doi.org/10.1080/00268978900101831
- 393 Hubert, M., Rousseeuw, P. J., & Vanden Branden, K. (2005). ROBPCA: A new approach to robust principal
- 394 component analysis. *Technometrics*, 47(1), 64–79. https://doi.org/Doi 10.1098/00401700400000563
- 395 Iaccheri, E., Laghi, L., Cevoli, C., Berardinelli, A., Ragni, L., Romani, S., & Rocculi, P. (2015). Different
- analytical approaches for the study of water features in green and roasted coffee beans. *Journal of Food*
- 397 Engineering, 146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2014.08.016
- 398 Karasu, S., Toker, O. S., Yilmaz, M. T., Karaman, S., & Dertli, E. (2015). Thermal loop test to determine
- 399 structural changes and thermal stability of creamed honey: Rheological characterization. Journal of Food
- 400 Engineering, 150, 90–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2014.10.004
- 401 Laghi, L., Cremonini, M. A., Placucci, G., Sykora, S., Wright, K., & Hills, B. (2005). A proton NMR relaxation
- study of hen egg quality. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 23(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2004.12.003
- 403 Mauro, M. A., Dellarosa, N., Tylewicz, U., Tappi, S., Laghi, L., Rocculi, P., & Rosa, M. D. (2016). Calcium and
- ascorbic acid affect cellular structure and water mobility in apple tissue during osmotic dehydration in
- 405 sucrose solutions. *Food Chemistry*, 195, 19–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.04.096
- 406 Meiboom, S., & Gill, D. (1958). Modified spin-echo method for measuring nuclear relaxation times. Review of
- 407 Scientific Instruments. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1716296
- 408 Petracci, M., Laghi, L., Rimini, S., Rocculi, P., Capozzi, F., & Cavani, C. (2014). Chicken breast meat marinated
- with increasing levels of sodium bicarbonate. Journal of Poultry Science, 51(2), 0130079.
- 410 https://doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.0130079
- Petracci, M., Laghi, L., Rocculi, P., Rimini, S., Panarese, V., Cremonini, M. A., & Cavani, C. (2012). The use of

- sodium bicarbonate for marination of broiler breast meat. Poultry Science, 91(2), 526-534.
- 413 https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2011-01753
- 414 R Development Core Team, R. (2011). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
- Foundation for Statistical Computing (Vol. 1). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7
- Ribeiro, R. de O. R., Mársico, E. T., Carneiro, C. D. S., Monteiro, M. L. G., Júnior, C. C., & Jesus, E. F. O. De.
- 417 (2014). Detection of honey adulteration of high fructose corn syrup by Low Field Nuclear Magnetic
- 418 Resonance (LF 1H NMR). Journal of Food Engineering, 135, 39–43.
- 419 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2014.03.009
- 420 Ribeiro, R. de O. R., Mársico, E. T., Carneiro, C. da S., Monteiro, M. L. G., Conte Júnior, C. A., Mano, S., & de
- Jesus, E. F. O. (2014). Classification of Brazilian honeys by physical and chemical analytical methods and
- low field nuclear magnetic resonance (LF 1H NMR). LWT Food Science and Technology, 55(1), 90–95.
- 423 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2013.08.004
- 424 Serra-Bonvehì, J. (1974). La cristallisation du miel. Facteurs qui l'affecten. Bullettin Technique Apicole, 54(13),
- 425 37–48.

434

- 426 Venir, E., Spaziani, M., & Maltini, E. (2010). Crystallization in "Tarassaco" Italian honey studied by DSC. Food
- 427 *Chemistry*, 122(2), 410–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.04.012
- 428 Venturi, L., Rocculi, P., Cavani, C., Placucci, G., Dalla Rosa, M., & Cremonini, M. A. (2007). Water absorption
- of freeze-dried meat at different water activities: A multianalytical approach using sorption isotherm,
- differential scanning calorimetry, and nuclear magnetic resonance. Journal of Agricultural and Food
- 431 *Chemistry*, 55(26), 10572–10578. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf072874b
- Zamora, M. C., & Chirife, J. (2006). Determination of water activity change due to crystallization in honeys
- 433 from Argentina. Food Control, 17(1), 59–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2004.09.003

436 Figures Captions

- Figure 1: Relationship between enthalpy and storage time for static (continuous lines) and dynamic
- 438 (dashed lines) crystallization, for FC (points), MC (squares) and SC (triangles) samples.
- 439 Fig. 2. Relative concentration and T₂ of the two protons populations non-exchangeable and
- exchangeable with water, as calculated from T₂ weighted curves obtained by TD-NMR on samples
- stored statically (empty symbols) or dynamically (filled symbols) for fast (black circles), medium (dark
- gray squares) and slow (light gray triangles) crystalizing samples. To ease visual inspection of the data,
- 443 trend dashed lines have been added for samples stored statically, while only samples at T_0 and T_f have
- been represented for samples stored dynamically.
- Figure 3: rPCA model calculated on the centered and scaled points of the T₂ weighted TD-NMR
- signals. A) Scoreplot of samples stored statically (empty symbols) or dynamically (filled symbols) for
- fast (black circles), medium (dark gray squares) and slow (light gray triangles) crystalizing samples. To
- ease visual inspection of the data, for samples stored statically trend dashed lines have been added,
- while for samples stored dynamically only data from T₀ and T_f have been represented. B) Example of
- 450 T₂ weighted TD-NMR signals, registered on FC samples at the beginning (black) and at the end (gray)
- of the storage period. C) Correlation between the points of the signals and their importance over PC1

*Declaration of Interest Statement

oxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationship that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
\Box The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Table 1. Composition (g/100 g) of samples.

	Fructose	Glucose	Sucrose	Turanose	Maltose	Water	F/G
FCs	39.2	36.2	< 0.5	0.8	1.4	17.7	1.08
MCs	38.6	32.5	0.5	0.8	1.1	16.5	1.19
SCs	42.8	31.0	< 0.5	1.4	0.7	16.8	1.38
FCd	39.0	36.4	< 0.5	0.9	1.2	16.0	1.07
MCd	39.7	32.9	< 0.5	1.3	1.1	17.5	1.21
SCd	38.0	27.1	< 0.5	1.1	0.6	17.3	1.4

Table 2. Main features of the crystallization kinetics observed through melting enthalpy.

	T ₀ static	T ₀ dynamic	Inflection point static		T_f static	T_{f} dyna	T _f dynamic	
	$\operatorname{Enthalpy}^*$	Enthalpy	Enthalpy	Days	Enthalpy D	ays Enthalpy	Days	
FC	4.32 ^a	3.72 ^{ab}	25.05 ^a	9	34.72 ^{ab}	50 38.01 ^a	10	
MC	3.84^{ab}	5.09 ^a	16.31 ^{ab}	15	27.62 ^{bc}	90 31.39 ^b	16	
SC	2.62^{b}	3.6 ^b	14.77^{b}	21	21.68° 1	02 21.85°	35	

^{*}Enthalpy is expressed in J/g. At each time-point, different letters indicate significant differences between samples. For readability, data dispersion is not indicated.

Table 3. For TD-NMR data, main features of the trends evidenced by the non-parametric fitting (dashed lines of figure 2).

		T ₀ static		T ₀ dynamic		Inflect	Inflection point static			T _f static		T _f dynamic	
		Int. %	T_2^*	Int %	T_2	Int. %	T_2	Days	Int. %	T_2	Int. %	T_2	
Non-	FC	58.57 ^a	1.73 ^a	58.35 ^a	1.10 ^b	53.46 ^a	3.13 ^a	30	54.11 ^a	2.68 ^a	52.63 ^a	3.28 ^a	
exchangeable	MC	54.27 ^a	1.23 ^a	54.65 ^{ab}	1.26^{b}	50.15 ^b	1.93 ^b	50	50.15^{b}	1.93 ^a	46.47^{b}	2.70^{b}	
exchangeable	SC	51.47 ^b	1.48^{a}	50.63 ^b	1.50^{a}	49.12 ^b	$1.87^{\rm b}$	66	50.28^{b}	1.59 ^a	47.68 ^{ab}	2.61^{b}	
	FC	41.43 ^b	5.91 ^a	41.65 ^b	3.61°	46.54 ^b	8.92 ^a	30	45.89 ^b	7.85 ^a	47.37 ^b	9.15 ^a	
Exchangeable	MC	45.73 ^b	4.21 ^a	45.35 ^{ab}	4.31 ^b	49.85^{b}	6.10^{b}	50	49.85 ^a	6.09^{a}	53.53 ^a	8.15^{b}	
	SC	48.53 ^a	4.96 ^a	49.37 ^a	5.01 ^a	50.88 ^a	6.04 ^b	66	49.72 ^a	5.48 ^a	52.32 ^{ab}	7.95 ^b	

^{*} T₂ values are expressed in ms. For readability, data dispersion is not indicated.

Figure(s)





