



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM  
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE  
DELLA RICERCA

## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

The effects of in-plane shear displacements at the springings of Gothic cross vaults

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Carfagnini, C., Baraccani, S., Silvestri, S., Theodossopoulos, D. (2018). The effects of in-plane shear displacements at the springings of Gothic cross vaults. *CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDING MATERIALS*, 186, 219-232 [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.07.055].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/660082> since: 2019-02-04

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.07.055>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Carfagnini, Carmela, Simonetta Baraccani, Stefano Silvestri, and Dimitris Theodossopoulos. 2018. "The Effects of In-Plane Shear Displacements at the Springings of Gothic Cross Vaults." *Construction and Building Materials* 186 (October): 219–32

The final published version is available online at:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.07.055>

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

*This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)*

***When citing, please refer to the published version.***

# 1 **The effects of in-plane shear displacements at the springings of Gothic** 2 **cross vaults**

3  
4 Carmela Carfagnini<sup>1</sup>, Simonetta Baraccani<sup>1</sup>, Stefano Silvestri<sup>1</sup>, Dimitris Theodossopoulos<sup>2</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>*Department DICAM, University of Bologna, Italy*

6 <sup>2</sup>*ESALA, Edinburgh College of Art, University of Edinburgh, UK*

## 7 8 9 **Abstract**

10 Extensive damages recorded during recent strong Italian earthquakes highlighted how vulnerable  
11 masonry vaults are and what deformations they receive from the rest of the building, which can be  
12 simulated as two phenomena: (i) a dynamic response of the vault itself, above the lateral walls and  
13 piers, and (ii) a pseudo-static response of the vault to imposed displacements at its springings,  
14 triggered by significant movement from the lateral walls and piers. This paper aims at improving  
15 knowledge in this field by simulating the first of these phenomena as static shear deformation at the  
16 springings. An experimental programme was set on a model of a typical quadripartite square Gothic  
17 cross vault (from the aisle of the Holyrood Abbey in Edinburgh). The test on a 1:4 scaled model had  
18 the shear displacement applied by moving two abutments in the longitudinal sense until failure,  
19 recording the crack pattern evolution and displacements of the ridges, identifying the diagonal  
20 cracks normal to the shear displacement that cause the damage and collapse in the vault. The crack  
21 pattern was validated with linear and non-linear numerical models, confirming particular  
22 observations like the uplift of the ridges and concentration of damage along the notional shear  
23 diagonal. Non-linear models are capable of capturing not only the crack pattern evolution, but also  
24 the vertical and horizontal displacements of the structure.

## 25 26 **Key words**

27 Masonry cross vault - Experimental test - Shear displacement - Springings

## 28 **1 Introduction**

29 Damages and collapses observed in Italian churches after many recent earthquakes (Umbria 1997,  
30 L'Aquila 2008, Emilia 2012) pointed out the vulnerability of their masonry cross vaults [1] (e.g.  
31 Saint Francis church in Assisi, San Marco church in Aquila, and the Modena Cathedral), so

1 understanding their behaviour is crucial for the assessment of the seismic safety of the entire  
2 structure [2], [3], [4]. However, the evaluation of their seismic response is quite complex and  
3 depends on several factors, such as understanding fully the three-dimensional geometry,  
4 determining the mechanical properties of the constituent materials and assessing the effect of the  
5 behaviour of the underlying vertical elements (lateral walls and piers).

6 A vault under earthquake excitation is subjected to two main phenomena:

- 7 - dynamic response of the vault itself to acceleration of its springings;
- 8 - pseudo-static response to displacements imposed at its springings from the horizontal  
9 movements of the structures underneath (walls and piers).

10 Several advanced computational techniques have been developed to investigate the weakness of  
11 arch and vault structures, including Limit Analysis, Trust Network Method, Finite Element Method  
12 and Discrete Element Method approaches [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10],[11].


13 Many experimental studies have analysed the structural behaviour of arches and vaults under static  
14 [12], [13], [14], and seismic action, mostly focusing on the dynamic response, [15], [16],[17], while  
15 some have performed displacement-controlled tests at the springings [18], [19], [20], [21], [22].

16 Nonetheless, only few specific studies on the pseudo-static response of masonry cross vaults to  
17 imposed shear displacements at the springings (Figure 1a) are available in the scientific literature  
18 [22], [23],[24]. In a multi-nave church, such a shear deformation mechanism can occur due to the  
19 large difference in the lateral stiffness between the nave and the perimeter wall or the façade  
20 (Figure 1b). For instance, damages traced back to this mechanism were observed in the Modena  
21 Cathedral after the 2012 Emilia earthquake [4]. Rossi et al. (2014) [23] performed tests on a 1:5  
22 scale model of a groin vault made of 3D printed plastic blocks with dry joints, by applying an  
23 incremental horizontal differential displacement between two couples of opposite abutments.

24 Consequently, Gaetani (2016) [24] performed different experimental tests reproducing in-plane  
25 horizontal shear distortion and longitudinal opening/closing of the abutments on the above model  
26 [23]. In the shear test, the maximum force was attained at about 3% of the shear displacement-to-  
27 span ratio, a little more than half of its collapse value. Milani et al. [22] presents the simulation of a  
28 wide and diversified set of laboratory tests on a small-scale model (1:5) representative of a brick  
29 masonry cross-vault. The model is made by plastic blocks with dry joints. Two types of  
30 displacement/rotation controlled tests have been simulated: DSA (Direct Seismic Action),  
31 representative of the inertial horizontal actions induced by the earthquake, and ISA (Indirect  
32 Seismic Action), corresponding with shear displacements imposed at the abutments due to the  
33 differential deformations of masonry walls. ISA tests mainly showed the great ductility of the  
34 system, the maximum force being attained at about 3% of the displacement over span ratio.

1 This paper describes an experimental research that aims to starting understanding this pseudo-static  
 2 response. The first stage discussed here is a test conducted on a Gothic cross vault from the aisle of  
 3 the Holyrood Abbey church in Edinburgh (UK). The layout of the church and the geometry of the  
 4 quadripartite vault are representative of a wide range of medium-sized Gothic churches and a  
 5 detailed model of the vault exists that could be adapted to the aims of this project [25].  
 6 This model is a 1:4 scaled replica built with timber blocks and lime mortar and the shear  
 7 displacement is applied by moving two abutments in the longitudinal axis until failure (Figure 1a).  
 8 Linear and non-linear numerical simulations are performed to interpret further the results of the test  
 9 and complete the insight to the phenomenon.

10




11  
12

13 **Fig. 1:** (a) Imposed shear displacements at the springings of a cross vault A and B against the stiffer edge C-  
 14 D, (b) Origins in possible shear displacements in a church (e.g. plan view of the Modena Cathedral)

## 15 2 The prototype vault from Holyrood Abbey

16 The vault investigated here is located on the surviving south aisle of the Holyrood Abbey church in  
 17 Edinburgh. The church collapsed in 1768 after an unreasonable substitution of the decaying timber  
 18 roof trusses with equally closely-spaced masonry walls which produced huge transverse thrusts that  
 19 could not be contained by the badly maintained buttresses [26]. The performance of the vault in  
 20 such conditions was studied earlier [19], [21] and the model from that research could be easily used  
 21 for further studies on movement spread. The aisle vault considered is quadripartite and spans over  
 22 an almost squared bay, with dimensions (4.9 x 3.78m and height of 3.03m) representative of many  
 23 aisle vaults. While walls and piers were built with regular shaped stones, the vault webs are  
 24 constituted in rubble masonry made of long thin blocks of irregular thickness (Figure 2a). The  
 25 mechanical properties of the stone are assumed to be: (i) unit weight of 23.7 kN/m<sup>3</sup>, (ii) elastic  
 26 modulus 27.1 kN/mm<sup>2</sup> and (iii) compressive strength 42.8 N/mm<sup>2</sup>[27].  
 27 The survey of the fourth bay vault highlights its typical proportions and particular geometrical  
 28 characteristics. The longitudinal, nave arches are wide enough to support the lateral wall from the  
 29 triforium and have a compound cross-section made of various deep mouldings. The ribs and the

1 transverse arches share the same plain cross-section. The transverse arches follow the quite typical  
 2 proportions of an equilateral triangle, where the radius of the each of the generating half-arches is  
 3 equal to the span of the arch (Figure 2b). The ribs use the same radius and they are almost semi-  
 4 circular, as their centre is at the crossing of the diagonals of the bay.



5  
 6  
 7 **Fig. 2:** (a) The South aisle of the Holyrood Abbey Church. (b) Measurements and proportions of the vault in  
 8 the fourth bay of the south aisle - ground plan (dimensions in mm) [25]  
 9

### 10 3 The experimental programme on the scaled cross vault model

#### 11 3.1 The model

12 The constructed physical specimen is a replica of the cross vault in  $\frac{1}{4}$  scale (Fig. 2), with the  
 13 following dimensions: the span of the transverse arches is 0.88 m, the span of the nave arch is 1.10  
 14 m, and the global rise is 0.76 m. Timber blocks were used to build the model [25], and bonding was  
 15 achieved using a lime mortar mix. The advantages of using timber blocks were that the pieces could  
 16 be produced very fast and the wood allows an accurate reproduction of the vault's shape and details.  
 17 The mortar is a mix of one part lime and three parts sand, plus water and PVA glue, which creates a  
 18 sufficient yet weak bond to allow failure to occur along the joints rather than the blocks [19].  
 19 The construction of the vault model is more extensively discussed in [28] and earlier in [19],  
 20 [21],[25]. The nave, transverse and diagonal arches were built first as a form of skeleton and guide  
 21 for the construction of the webs (Figure 3a).

1 Secondly, the webs were filled with timber blocks of uniform thickness. The blocks were cut and  
2 arranged to reproduce the masonry bond pattern and particular attention was paid to fit them along  
3 the ribs by forming a dihedral section specific for the end block of each row (Figure 3b).

4



5  
6 (a)



(b)

7 **Fig. 3:** (a) Construction of the vault arches. (b) Timber blocks for the webs.

8

9 The units were laid in rows for each web and were bonded with lime mortar. The construction  
10 started from the supports and each corner was built without falsework as the rows were quite  
11 vertical (Figure 4a), but a falsework was required when the row span and the web curvature  
12 increased (Figure 4b).

13



14  
15 (a)



(b)


16 **Fig. 4:** (a) Springing built without falsework. (b) Plastic panels falsework for the subsequent courses.

17

18 During this phase the arches work as a skeleton [12]: they provide the lateral support on which the  
19 extreme pieces of the rows are laid on. The falsework was removed carefully after the hardening of

1 the webs by lowering the supporting props. Figure 5 displays the completed model and the  
 2 nomenclature used as reference to its structural elements.

3



4

5

6

**Fig. 5 :**(a) The model. (b) The nomenclature of the structural elements of the specimen.

7

8 The vault was positioned above four stiff concrete piers. The two back springings were fixed to  
 9 piers 3 and 4; the other two were fitted with a mechanism of moving along one direction to simulate  
 10 the imposed shear displacement (piers 1 and 2). To form such a sliding mechanism, a plastic plate  
 11 was fixed at the base of the springing, to permit sliding along a metal sheet laid on the piers. Guides  
 12 were attached to control movement and avoid the sideways slipping of the supports. The  
 13 longitudinal external wall of the Abbey was modelled using a panel with an arch shape and it was  
 14 fixed on the two back piers with screws.

15 The strength of timber is lower than the stone one, but the cracks are supposed to occur along the  
 16 joints only and they would not damage the blocks since the strength of the mortar is less than the  
 17 timber's one, satisfying the typical assumptions introduced by Heyman [12]: infinite compression  
 18 strength and negligible tensile strength of the masonry. For this reason, the results of the pseudo-  
 19 static tests conducted on a scaled timber model may be considered dependent only on the geometry.  
 20 From an engineering point of view, the findings could be thus used to understand not only the  
 21 qualitative, but also the quantitative structural response of actual masonry vaults.

22


### 23 3.2 The shear displacement test

24 Shear displacement to the springings on piers 1 and 2 was applied manually through a turn-buckle  
 25 connecting each springing to a steel stiff reaction frame (Figure 6a). Space displacements of

1 selected extrados points were recorded by a Total Station, positioned to capture as much extrados  
 2 surface as possible (Figure 6b). In particular, points were chosen along the longitudinal and  
 3 transverse ridges, the transverse arches and the nave arch, as well as on the front webs (namely, A,  
 4 B, C and H).



(a)



(b)

5  
 6  
 7 **Fig. 6 :** (a) The turn-buckle connected to a rigid steel reaction frame. (b) The position of the points  
 8 monitored during the test.

### 9 3.3 The test results

10 The crack pattern was recorded on the extrados and the intrados after every displacement increment  
 11 [28]. Figure 7 displays the crack pattern at different shear displacement stages.

12 Cracks were observed immediately at the beginning of the test:

- 13 - at the 2 mm stage of shear displacement, bending cracks initiated at the extrados along the  
 14 joints between the nave arches and web B, as also web F. Other “sliding” cracks (i.e. cracks  
 15 associated to shear mechanisms) developed along the diagonal ribs, in particular on webs C  
 16 and G;
- 17 - at 4 mm, a long bending crack was observed on the extrados of the longitudinal ridge. The  
 18 earlier cracks propagated and additional small cracks appeared on the webs and along the  
 19 transverse arches. A small hinge started to develop at the extrados of the two central  
 20 voussoirs of the nave arch. Moreover, several cracks appeared at the intrados close to all the  
 21 four springings;
- 22 - shear displacement at 10 mm caused the formation of new cracks. The transverse arch 1-4  
 23 showed the formation of one hinge in its central part. Two new bending cracks formed on  
 24 webs C and B in correspondence to the initial “sliding” cracks. It should be noticed that  
 25 these cracks, at 45° with respect to the diagonal rib 1-3, compromised the integrity of the rib  
 26 itself, since they appeared across its section ;

- 1 - at 20 mm, the cracks along the nave arch and webs B and F widened and propagated, in  
2 particular in the extrados of web F and the intrados of web B reaching also web A. New  
3 cracks appeared at the extrados of the nave arch (indicating possible hinge locations). The 4  
4 mm-crack along the longitudinal ridge of the web C significantly spread closer to rib 1-3;  
5 - at 30 mm, long cracks developed on the extrados, in particular in webs H and F. On the  
6 extrados of the web F, the new crack ran across all the web, joining from rib 1-3 to nave  
7 arch 3-4 (Figure 8a). Another considerable crack formed at the intrados of web A close to  
8 the keystone. This scenario is to be considered as the failure of the vault in service or  
9  $30/1,100 = 1/37$  of the longitudinal span of the nave arch.

10  
11 Figure 7 also displays the final crack pattern and the first partial collapse:

- 12 - during the 35 mm stage, partial failure of web F occurred (orange area in Figures 7 and 8b).  
13 The bigger crack at the 30 mm stage detached the voussoir on the rib 1-3 and this caused a  
14 rotation of the cracked web around the nave arch. A new long “sliding” crack also  
15 developed along the same rib on the intrados of the entire web C;  
16 - during the last stages more damage occurred. At the shear displacement stage of 40 mm, the  
17 cracks along the ribs (webs C and E) and along the nave arch extended to the whole length  
18 on the extrados. New cracks developed at the intrados of web A and E close to the keystone;  
19 - further increasing of the shear displacement up to 45 mm caused a partial collapse in web C  
20 (yellow area in Figures 7 and 8c). The nave arch looked very deformed and hinges are  
21 clearly recognizable (Figure 8d);  
22 - the shear displacement stage of 55 mm caused the failure of the whole web B and the  
23 remaining part of web C (pink area in Figure 7);  
24 - the shear displacement stage of 62 mm, corresponding to the last stage before the global  
25 collapse of the vault, provoked a detachment of a portion of the webs G and H close to the  
26 keystone.

27  
28  
29




Fig. 7: Crack pattern evolution and collapse condition.

1



(a)



(b)

2

3



(c)



(d)

4

5

6

7

8

**Fig. 8:** (a) The crack pattern at the shear displacement of 30mm, (b) Collapses at a shear displacement of 35mm, (c) Partial collapse at the 50 mm stage, (d) Hinges on the nave arch at 45mm.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


Table 1 and Figure 9 summarise the main effects observed on the model and provides dimensionless shear displacement levels (with respect to the nave arch span 1.10 m) that can be useful as a rough indication of the damage limits of similar cross vaults. The collapse mechanism in Figure 9a can be summarised in these key stages: (1) diagonal cracks form, splitting along the bed joints of the affected webs B, C, F and G; (2) shear detachment of the webs from the more rigid edges (nave arch and wall); (3) these areas across the other diagonal are mainly in compression. The arrows reported in Figure 9a represent the propagation direction of the cracks. Figure 9b shows a basic model to understand the shear displacement from the deformation of the plan: the spreading rib 1-3 still maintains its thrust line and opposes the outward deformation of rib 2-4 and of transverse ridge. The hinges forming though in rib 1-2 join with the shear deformation of webs B, C, F and G. Failure happens essentially at 3% spread of the longitudinal span. This is in good agreement with results obtained by Gaetani (2016) [24], Rossi et al. (2014)[23], and Milani et al. (2016) [22].

1  
2

**Table 1:** Summary of the cracking pattern stages.

Shear displacement stage	% Dimensionless shear displacement (with respect to the nave arch span)	Monitored effects on model
0 mm	0	-
4 mm	0.4 %	Formation of small cracks
10 mm	0.9 %	Initiation of major cracks in area 1
30 mm	2.7 %	Extension of the major cracks
35 mm	3.2 %	Partial collapse of webs
62 mm	5.6 %	Total collapse of the model

3



4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9


**Fig. 9:** (a) The failure mechanism of the vault model and observed stages. (b) Reaction of rib 1-3 (black arrow) to the deformation of rib 2-4 (black dotted line) and to the deformation of transversal ridge (red dotted line) due to the shear displacement applied at the springings 1 and 2.

10 The displacements of the monitored points obtained via Total Station are hereafter illustrated with  
11 specific reference to the vertical and horizontal movements of the transverse ridge and the two  
12 diagonal ribs.


13 The vertical displacements of the transverse ridge are reported in Figure 10. It can be noticed that  
14 they increased upwards during the test and the final uplift of the keystone was 24 mm.

15 The horizontal displacements of the transverse ridge are reported in Figure 11. The general  
16 horizontal movement follows the imposed displacements at the springings, with the largest  
17 displacement in the front side (nave arch). The deformation looks like a rotation around the wall

1 edge 3-4 associated with the effects of the reaction of rib 1-3 next to the keystone (see also Figure  
 2 9b). The points are almost aligned for all the length of the transverse ridge except for the points  
 3 closer to the keystone which show a displacement in the opposite direction during the initial steps  
 4 of the test (probably due to the compressive action generated by rib 1-3).




5 **Fig. 10: Upward vertical displacement of the transverse ridge**



6 **Fig. 11: Horizontal displacement of the transverse ridge**

7  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11 Similarly to the transverse ridge, the ribs moved horizontally in the same direction of the imposed  
 12 shear displacement. On the other hand, in the vertical direction, the points along rib 1-3 (spreading,

1 in tension) moved slightly downwards (Figure 12a), whilst the points along rib 2-4 (the one in  
 2 compression) moved upwards (Figure 12b).



3  
 4  
 5 **Fig. 12:** Vertical displacements of (a) rib 1-3 and (b) rib 2-4 during the test  
 6

#### 7 **4 Reproduction of the experimental tests by means of a Finite Element model**

8 The structural response of the cross vault timber-mortar model subjected to shear displacement at  
 9 the springings has been also investigated by means of Finite Element (FE) models simulated in  
 10 Abaqus v6.12, following a macro-modelling approach. With the purpose of identifying the simplest  
 11 model capable of capturing the behaviour observed during the experimental test, a linear analysis  
 12 has been first developed. The rationale behind the search for a simple model is to enable  
 13 engineering practitioners to take advantage of the information presented in this research work, to  
 14 compare and/or validate results from modelling similar masonry vaults. A simple model,  
 15 nevertheless able to grasp the main issues, can be the robust basis for planning strengthening  
 16 interventions and quickly evaluating their effectiveness. However, linear analyses have shown to be  
 17 of limited validity for the study of masonry structures. Indeed, these analyses provided only an  
 18 indication on the mechanical behaviour of the structure in the first stages of the test, assuming that  
 19 the areas where high tensile stresses are concentrated represent the sections where the cracks first  
 20 develop. However, they were not able to correctly reproduce the redistribution of stresses occurring  
 21 at the movements of the vault. For instance, the experiment has shown an upward movement of the  
 22 transverse and the longitudinal ridges, whilst an opposite trend (downward movement) has been  
 23 obtained in the FE linear model. For this reason, the results of the linear analyses are not reported.


1 Only the results of the non-linear analyses considering the Concrete Damage Plasticity (CDP)  
 2 model to represent the constitutive behaviour of the timber-mortar material are presented hereafter.

### 3 4.1 The material properties

4 Vaults are expected to resist any bending that develops through their thickness and in ideal  
 5 conditions (e.g., specific geometries, perfect constraints, typical uniform static loads, ...) they are  
 6 very stable under dead load, but it is well known that any discrepancy like imposed shear  
 7 displacement at its springings may lead to not negligible bending [29], [30].

8 The flexural stiffness and strength along the directions parallel to the bed joints (X) and normal to  
 9 the bed joints (Y) were evaluated through four-point bending tests on timber-mortar walette  
 10 samples (Figure 13) [19], [25] and Table 2 collects the material properties obtained.

11



12

13

14 **Fig 13:** (a) The 4-point walette test; (b) direction X parallel to the bed joint (c) direction Y perpendicular to  
 15 the bed joints  
 16

16

17

**Table 2.** Material properties from flexural tests

	Parallel to bed joints (X)	Normal to bed joints (Y)
Modulus of elasticity (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	4200	670
Flexural strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	0.98	0.32

18

### 19 4.2 The FE model

20 The FE model was discretized using quadrilateral shell elements for the webs and the large nave  
 21 arch, and beam elements for the transverse arches. In particular, the shells were modelled with  
 22 S4R5 elements in Abaqus, quadrilateral doubly-curved thin shells (with 5 degrees of freedom per  
 23 node), while the transverse arches were modelled with quadratic beam elements B21.

24 The mechanical properties in Table 2 were applied to the shell elements of the model. The  
 25 properties applied for every type of element are reported in Table 3.

26

27

28

**Table 3:** Mechanical properties of the materials used in the finite elements.

	E parallel to bed joints (X) (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	E normal to bed joints (Y) (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	$\nu_{12}$	density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	thickness (mm)
web shell	4200	670	0.2	700	35
nave arch shell	6000		0.2	700	160
beam	500		0.2	700	

1

2 Pinned connections were applied as the base constraints. Fixed supports were applied in the nodes  
3 of the wall edge to simulate the presence of the longitudinal external wall.

4 First, the self-weight ( $7 \text{ kN/m}^3$ , to account for the timber blocks and the lime mortar) was applied to  
5 the model. Second, the shearing deformation was applied with displacements imposed in piers 1  
6 and 2 along the negative X direction. The displacement was deployed in several steps until a total  
7 value of 100 mm to monitor the crack pattern evolution.

### 8 **4.3 Non-linear analysis results**

9 Non-linear static analysis was carried out for the interpretation of the experimental results. The  
10 analysis was performed reproducing the non-linear properties of the timber-mortar units with a  
11 smeared crack approach (the initiation of cracking process at any location happens when the  
12 material stresses reach one of the failure surfaces either in the biaxial tension region or in a  
13 combined tension-compression region) [33]. Timber-mortar units, as well as typical masonry brick-  
14 mortar units, are characterized by an orthotropic behaviour, which is difficult to model. Several  
15 researches focus on the identification of an orthotropic damage model able to represent the masonry  
16 structures [34], [35].

17 According to Roca et al. [6] and Lourenco et al. [36], two main modelling approaches based on  
18 FEM are available for masonry structures: (i) micro-modelling: units and mortar are represented as  
19 distinct elements and the unit-mortar interface are treated as discontinuous elements, and (ii) macro-  
20 modelling: units and mortar are homogenized in a continuum (isotropic or anisotropic) equivalent  
21 material. Several attempts to use brick-interfaces for the finite element modelling of masonry have  
22 been carried out [31], [32]. Macro modelling is probably the most popular and practical approach  
23 for the analysis of large structural members or full structures. However, constitutive models of the  
24 homogeneous material are difficult to be determined and have not been standardized yet. Several  
25 studies have suggested specific constitutive formulations for the analysis of masonry structures,  
26 considering different inelastic criteria for tension and compression and different  
27 hardening/softening behaviour along each material axis [31],[35], [37], [38], [34].

28 In the last decade, several studies have focused on homogenization procedures to take into account  
29 for the heterogeneity and anisotropy of the masonry material. The homogenization process consists

1 in replacing the repetition of a heterogeneous elementary cell through a homogeneous one whose  
 2 constitutive relationships are derived from the characteristics of its individual components [8], [10],  
 3 [11], [39]. However, in the scientific literature, the assumption of an isotropic concrete model has  
 4 proven to be able to reasonably predict the masonry behaviour as long as proper material definition  
 5 is provided [40], [41], [42], [43]. In this paper, as a preliminary study, the Concrete Damage  
 6 Plasticity (CDP) model was selected to model the constitutive behaviour of the timber-mortar  
 7 material. In detail, the CDP model is characterized by an isotropic constitutive law defined by  
 8 different strengths in tension and compression, an elasto-plastic behaviour with damage and a  
 9 softening phase ruled in tension and compression by two independent parameters and a 3D  
 10 behaviour obeying a Drucker-Prager failure criterion. The behaviour of the material is linear-elastic  
 11 up to a certain value,  $\sigma_{t0}$  for tension and  $\sigma_{c0}$  for compression (for the compressive branch there is an  
 12 additional stress increment due to hardening before reaching its peak stress value  $\sigma_{cu}$  and showing  
 13 compression crushing), thereafter the stress-strain curves drop down. The degradation of the elastic  
 14 stiffness on the strain softening branch of the stress-strain curve is characterized by two damage  
 15 variables,  $d_t$  and  $d_c$ , which can take values from zero (undamaged material) to one (which  
 16 represents the total loss of strength) [44], [45].

17 Considering Heyman's assumption of infinite compressive strength, generally adopted for masonry  
 18 structures and here assumed also valid for the timber units, the compression model parameters  
 19 reduce to the elastic modulus only since  $d_c = 0$ , whilst a full relationship should be defined for the  
 20 tension model accounting for the softening branch. All parameters were selected on the basis of the  
 21 four-point bending tests on wallettes (Figure 13 and Table 2). Elastic modulus in compression was  
 22 set to 4200 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Table 4 reports the stress-strain relationship in tension. The softening branch  
 23 has been assumed piece-wise linear from the max-strength point to a point obtained imposing a  
 24 reduced elastic module equal to 10% of the initial elastic module in correspondence of  $\varepsilon = 0.002$ .

25  
26

**Table 4** Stress-strain relationship in tension

Strain $\varepsilon_t$	Stress $\sigma_t$ [N/mm <sup>2</sup> ]
0	0
0.00023	0.98
0.00200	0.84
0.0066	0.46
0.0098	0.19

27  
28

1 Table 5 collects the main model parameters used in the non-linear analysis in agreement with  
2 literature recommendations [44], [46], [47], [48], [49].

3  
4

*Table 5 Masonry parameters according to CDP*

<b>Concrete Damage Plasticity</b>	
Dilatation angle	10°
Eccentricity	0.1
$\sigma_{b0}/\sigma_{c0}$	1.16
Kc	2/3
Viscosity parameter	0.002

5

6 Figure 14 displays the tension damage variable ( $d_t$ ) contour plots for the different shear  
7 displacement applied. It can be noticed there is a good agreement between the failure pattern  
8 obtained by the FE non-linear analysis and the cracks from the experiment. The non-linear analysis  
9 exhibits the first collapse ( $d_t = 1$ ) at the 25 mm stage of shear displacement instead of the 35 mm  
10 stage obtained in the experiment.

11 The validation of the vertical displacements of the longitudinal ridge (Figure 15) shows that the  
12 non-linear simulation is capable of well reproducing the experimental responses at all stages of  
13 loading both qualitatively and quantitatively. In particular, contrary to the linear analysis, the  
14 vertical uplift is well captured by the non-linear simulations.

15  
16




Fig. 14: Non-linear static damage contour plots

1





Fig. 15: Vertical displacement of the longitudinal ridge – Numerical and experimental results

2  
3  
4

### 5 Comparison between experimental results and real-field data


6 This insight can be useful to interpret the crack pattern in the perimeter vaults of a church after an  
 7 earthquake. For instance, this section presents a qualitative interpretation of the real crack pattern  
 8 detected in the nave perimeter vaults of Modena Cathedral (Italy) after the 2012 Emilia earthquake.  
 9 Figure 16 shows the cracking pattern of all cross vaults: the most damaged vault is the one of the  
 10 nave connected to the façade (V1). This cross vault at the edge of the church is in the same  
 11 boundary conditions as the tested one, as it has one side connected to a rigid wall and the other one  
 12 subjected to shear transverse movements induced by the central body of the church. Figure 17  
 13 presents the first three ~~five~~ modes of vibration of the Modena Cathedral which highlight the  
 14 tendency of the masonry churches to develop large transverse displacements of the central portion  
 15 of the naves (associated with large out-of-plane movements of the perimeter walls).

16



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6


*Fig. 16 Post-earthquake crack pattern of the Modena Cathedral.*



7  
8  
9  
10

*Fig. 17 The first three modes of vibration of the Modena Cathedral FE model [50] (the 1<sup>st</sup> mode is responsible for damages in vault V2).*

11 Figure 18 compares the intrados cracks of the tested vault with the post-earthquake intrados cracks  
 12 observed in the vault of the nave next to the façade (V1). A similar pattern is observed: (i) two  
 13 “opening” cracks formed on two adjacent webs at 45° with respect to the diagonal rib, (ii) sliding  
 14 cracks along the ribs. The weakness is in both cases along the bed joints and the cracks start from  
 15 the diagonal ribs spreading on the adjacent webs.




(a)

(b)

(c)

**Fig. 18:** Comparison between (a) the intrados of the tested vault and (b,c) the post-earthquake cracks observed in the intrados of the Modena Cathedral façade vault VI. The rigid edge is considered to lie along the rose window.

Finally, it is worth pointing out that a similar structural response caused the collapse of two vaults in Saint Francis Basilica in Assisi (1997 Umbria earthquake), being these two vaults in the same boundary conditions as the tested one. The first was connected to the façade, the second to the rigid zone of the transept/apses [51].



**Fig. 19:** Damages incurred during the 1997 earthquake in the San Francesco church in Assisi [51].

## 6 Conclusions

Historical churches, and specifically their masonry vaults, can be prone to seismic damage. Typically, in-plane shear deformation mechanisms occur in the vaults due to the large difference in the lateral stiffness between the piers of the nave and the longitudinal wall or the façade.

1 The interpretation through an experiment on 1:4 scaled specimen of a Gothic cross vault subjected  
2 to shear deformation at two of its springings confirmed the initiation of cracks normal to the  
3 diagonal ribs, showing that failure happens essentially at 2.7% spread of the longitudinal span,  
4 which is in good agreement with the few results on similar cases available in the scientific  
5 literature.

6 The linear and non-linear FE simulations to validate the test confirmed further aspects of the  
7 responses of the model, like the uplift of the vault, the shear deformation along the ribs and the  
8 asymmetric deformation (rotation). This confirms real case observations like in Saint Francis  
9 church in Assisi (1997 Umbria earthquake), San Marco in L'Aquila (2009 L'Aquila earthquake)  
10 and in Modena Cathedral (2012 Emilia earthquake), and can help distinguishing the origins of  
11 cracks observed in such buildings during their life span.

12 This validation can be followed by further tests of model vaults under proper dynamic conditions or  
13 the application of FRP reinforcement at the extrados to understand if this shear deformation  
14 mechanism can be halted.

15

## 16 **References**

- 17 [1] S. Lagomarsino, "Damage assessment of churches after L'Aquila earthquake (2009)," *Bull.*  
18 *Earthq. Eng.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 73–92, 2012.
- 19 [2] S. Cattari, S. Resemini, and L. Sergio, "Modelling of vaults as equivalent diaphragms in 3D  
20 seismic analysis of masonry buildings," in *Structural Analysis of Historic Construction –*  
21 *D'Ayala & Fodde (eds)*, 2008.
- 22 [3] S. Lagomarsino and S. Podestà, "Seismic vulnerability of ancient churches: I. Damage  
23 assessment and emergency planning," *Earthq. Spectra*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 377–394, 2004.
- 24 [4] S. Baraccani *et al.*, "A Structural Analysis of the Modena Cathedral," *Int. J. Archit. Herit.*,  
25 vol. 3058, no. June, p. 15583058.2015.1113344, 2015.
- 26 [5] A. Tralli, C. Alessandri, and G. Milani, "Computational Methods for Masonry Vaults: A  
27 Review of Recent Results," *Open Civ. Eng. J.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 272–287, 2014.
- 28 [6] P. Roca, M. Cervera, G. Gariup, and L. Pela', "Structural analysis of masonry historical  
29 constructions. Classical and advanced approaches," *Arch. Comput. Methods Eng.*, vol. 17,  
30 no. 3, pp. 299–325, 2010.
- 31 [7] M. Como, *Statics of Historic Masonry Constructions*. .
- 32 [8] E. Milani, G. Milani, and A. Tralli, "Limit analysis of masonry vaults by means of curved  
33 shell finite elements and homogenization," vol. 45, pp. 5258–5288, 2008.
- 34 [9] A. Chiozzi, G. Milani, and A. Tralli, "A Genetic Algorithm NURBS-based new approach for

- 1 fast kinematic limit analysis of masonry vaults. No Title,” *Comput. Struct.*, pp. 187–204,  
2 2017.
- 3 [10] G. Milani, “Upper bound sequential linear programming mesh adaptation scheme for  
4 collapse analysis of masonry vaults,” *Adv. Eng. Softw.*, vol. 79, pp. 91–110, 2015.
- 5 [11] G. Milani and A. Tralli, “A simple meso-macro model based on SQP for the non-linear  
6 analysis of masonry double curvature structures,” *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp.  
7 808–834, 2012.
- 8 [12] J. Heyman, *The stone skeleton: structural engineering of masonry architecture*. Cambridge  
9 University Press, 1995.
- 10 [13] P. Block and L. Lachauer, “Three-Dimensional (3D) Equilibrium Analysis of Gothic  
11 Masonry Vaults,” *Int. J. Archit. Herit.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 312–335, 2014.
- 12 [14] P. Block, T. Ciblac, and J. Ochsendorf, “Real-time limit analysis of vaulted masonry  
13 buildings,” *Comput. Struct.*, vol. 84, no. 29–30, pp. 1841–1852, 2006.
- 14 [15] L. De Lorenzis, M. DeJong, and J. Ochsendorf, “Failure of masonry arches under impulse  
15 base motion,” *Earthq. Eng. Struct. Dyn.*, no. June, pp. 2119–2136, 2007.
- 16 [16] L. Ferrario, M. Alessandra, V. Andreis, S. Zanotti, P. Riva, and E. Giuriani, “Behavior and  
17 retrofitting of single-leaf vaults under distributed horizontal forces,” in *Structural Analysis of*  
18 *Historical Constructions –*, 2012.
- 19 [17] E. E. Shapiro, “Collapse mechanisms of small-scale unreinforced masonry vaults,” 2012.
- 20 [18] T. Van Mele, J. McInerney, M. J. DeJong, and P. Block, “Physical and Computational  
21 Discrete Modelling of Masonry Vault Collapse,” *Struct. Anal. Hist. Constr. Vols 1-3*, pp.  
22 2552–2560, 2012.
- 23 [19] D. Theodossopoulos, B. P. Sinha, and a. S. Usmani, “Case Study of the Failure of a Cross  
24 Vault: Church of Holyrood Abbey,” *J. Archit. Eng.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 109–117, 2003.
- 25 [20] D. Theodossopoulos, N. Makoond, and L. AKL, “The Effect of Boundary Conditions on the  
26 Behaviour of Pointed Masonry Barrel Vaults: Late Gothic Cases in Scotland,” *Open Constr.*  
27 *Build. Technol. J.*, vol. 10, no. Suppl 2: M8, pp. 274–292, 2016.
- 28 [21] D. Theodossopoulos, J. Sanderson, and M. Scott, “Strengthening Masonry Cross Vaults  
29 Damaged by Geometric Instability,” in *Key Engineering Materials*, 2014, vol. 624, no.  
30 January 2015, pp. 635–643.
- 31 [22] G. Milani, M. Rossi, C. Calderini, and S. Lagomarsino, “Tilting plane tests on a small-scale  
32 masonry cross vault: Experimental results and numerical simulations through a  
33 heterogeneous approach,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 123, pp. 300–312, 2016.
- 34 [23] G. Rossi, M., Calderini, C., Lagomarsino, S., and Milani, “Seismic response of masonry  
35 vaulted structures : experimental and numerical modelling.,” in *9th International Masonry*  
36 *Conference*, 2014.
- 37 [24] A. Gaetani, “Seismic Performance of Masonry Cross Vaults : Learning from Historical  
38 Developments and Experimental Testing,” 2016.

- 1 [25] D. Theodossopoulos, "Structural behaviour of historic masonry cross vaults," 2002.
- 2 [26] D. Theodossopoulos, "The catastrophic repairs of Holyrood Abbey church in 1760," *Int. J.*  
3 *Archit. Herit.*, pp. 954–974, 2016.
- 4 [27] T. Bunyan, J. A. Faihurst, A. Mckie, and A. Macmillan, *Building stones of Edinburgh*. 1987.
- 5 [28] C. Carmela, B. Simonetta, and S. Stefano, "Pseudo-static response of masonry cross vaults to  
6 imposed shear displacements at the springings."
- 7 [29] G. Croci and F. Viskovic, A. Sabbadini, "Some Aspects of the Structural Behaviour of  
8 Gothic Cathedrals," in *Spatial structures: past, present and future*, Milan: IASS, 1995.
- 9 [30] P. Roca, L. Pellegrini, E. Oñate, and A. Hanganu, "Analysis of the structure of Gothic  
10 cathedrals: application to Barcelona cathedral," *Struct. Anal. Hist. Constr. Possibilities*  
11 *Numer. Exp. Tech.*, pp. 231–258, 1998.
- 12 [31] P. . Lourenco and J. G. Rots, "Multisurface Interface Model for Analysis of Masonry  
13 Structures Article," *J. Eng. Mech.*, vol. 230–238, no. 123, pp. 1–23, 1997.
- 14 [32] J. G. Rots, *Structural masonry: An experimental/numerical basis for practical design rules*.  
15 1997.
- 16 [33] S. V. Chaudhari and M. A. Chakrabarti, "Modeling of Concrete for Nonlinear Analysis using  
17 Finite Element Code ABAQUS," *Int. J. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 44, no. 7, pp. 14–18, 2012.
- 18 [34] L. Pelà, M. Cervera, and P. Roca, "An orthotropic damage model for the analysis of masonry  
19 structures," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 41, pp. 957–967, 2013.
- 20 [35] L. Berto, A. Saetta, R. Scotta, and R. Vitaliani, "An orthotropic damage model for masonry  
21 structures," *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 127–157, 2002.
- 22 [36] P. . Lourenço, "Computational strategies for masonry structures," Available at:  
23 [http://www.narcis.nl/publication/RecordID/oai:tudelft.nl:uuid:4f5a2c6c-d5b7-4043-9d06-](http://www.narcis.nl/publication/RecordID/oai:tudelft.nl:uuid:4f5a2c6c-d5b7-4043-9d06-8c0b7b9f1f6f)  
24 [8c0b7b9f1f6f.](http://www.narcis.nl/publication/RecordID/oai:tudelft.nl:uuid:4f5a2c6c-d5b7-4043-9d06-8c0b7b9f1f6f), 1996.
- 25 [37] P. B. Lourenco, R. De Borst, and J. G. Rots, "A plane stress softening plasticity model for  
26 orthotropic materials," vol. 40, no. January, pp. 4033–4057, 1997.
- 27 [38] E. Papa, "A unilateral damage model for masonry based on a homogenisation procedure,"  
28 *Mech. Cohesive-frictional Mater.*, 1996.
- 29 [39] A. Zucchini and P. B. Lourenco, "A micro-mechanical model for the homogenisation of  
30 masonry," vol. 39, pp. 3233–3255, 2002.
- 31 [40] A. Giordano, E. Mele, and A. De Luca, "Modelling of historical masonry structures:  
32 Comparison of different approaches through a case study," *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp.  
33 1057–1069, 2002.
- 34 [41] A. M. D'Altri, G. Castellazzi, S. de Miranda, and A. Tralli, "Seismic-induced damage in  
35 historical masonry vaults: a case-study in the 2012 Emilia earthquake-stricken area," *J.*  
36 *Build. Eng.*, vol. 13, no. August, pp. 224–243, 2017.

- 1 [42] M. Acito, C. Chesi, G. Milani, and S. Torri, “Collapse analysis of the Clock and Fortified  
2 towers of Finale Emilia, Italy, after the 2012 Emilia Romagna seismic sequence: Lesson  
3 learned and reconstruction hypotheses,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 115, pp. 193–213, 2016.
- 4 [43] A. Bayraktar, A. Şahin, D. M. Özcan, and F. Yildirim, “Numerical damage assessment of  
5 Hagia Sophia bell tower by nonlinear FE modeling,” *Appl. Math. Model.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp.  
6 92–121, 2010.
- 7 [44] Abaqus, “Theory manual, version 6.12.” 2014.
- 8 [45] Y. Sümer and M. Aktaş, “Defining parameters for concrete damage plasticity model,” *Chall.*  
9 *J. Struct. Mech.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 149–155, 2015.
- 10 [46] M. Valente and G. Milani, “Seismic assessment of historicak masonry towers in the north-  
11 east region of Italy,” no. June, pp. 5–10, 2016.
- 12 [47] J. H. Lee and G. L. Fenves, “Plastic-damage model for cyclic loading of concrete structures,”  
13 *J. Eng. Mech.*, vol. 124, no. 8, pp. 892–900, 1998.
- 14 [48] P. S. Lourenço, “Experimental and numerical issues in the modelling of the machanical  
15 behaviour of masonry,” 1998.
- 16 [49] A. Page, “The Biaxial Compressive Strength of Brick Masonry.,” *Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng.*, vol.  
17 71, no. 3, pp. 893–906, 1981.
- 18 [50] S. Baraccani, S. Silvestri, M. Palermo, G. Gasparini, and T. Trombetti, “The Assessment of  
19 the Seismic Behaviour of the Cathedral of Modena , Italy,” in *Second European Conference*  
20 *on Earthquake engineering and seismology*, 2014, pp. 1–12.
- 21 [51] G. Basile, *Restauri in San Francesco ad Assisi: Il cantiere dell’utopia : studi, ricerche e*  
22 *interventi sui dipinti murali e sulle vetrate dopo il sisma del 26 settembre 1997*. Perugia,  
23 Italy: Quattroemme, 2007.

24