

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Effect of waterlogging on soil biochemical properties and organic matter quality in different salt marsh systems

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version: Chiara Ferronato, S.M. (2019). Effect of waterlogging on soil biochemical properties and organic matter quality in different salt marsh systems. GEODERMA, 338(15 March 2019), 302-312 [10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.12.019].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/660055 since: 2019-02-04

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.12.019

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Chiara Ferronato, Sara Marinari, Ornella Francioso, Diana Bello, Carmen Trasar-Cepeda, Livia Vittori Antisari

Effect of waterlogging on soil biochemical properties and organic matter quality in different salt marsh systems

which has been published in final form in *Geoderma* Volume 338, 15 March 2019, Pages 302-312

The final published version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.12.019

© 2018 Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) 4.0 International License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>)

26 Effect of waterlogging on soil biochemical properties and organic matter quality

27 in different salt marsh systems

28

29 Chiara Ferronato^a, Sara Marinari^b, Ornella Francioso^a, Diana Bello^c, Carmen Trasar-Cepeda^c, Livia
30 Vittori Antisari^a

31

^a Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Agro-Alimentari, Alma Mater Studiorum – Università di
 Bologna, Via Fanin 44, 40127 Bologna, Italy

^b Dipartimento per l' Innovazione dei Sistemi Biologici, Agroalimentari e Forestali, Università della

35 Tuscia, Via S. Camillo de Lellis, 01100 Viterbo, Italy

36 ° Departamento de Bioquímica del Suelo, Instituto de Investigaciones Agrobiológicas de Galicia-

37 Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Apartado 122, 15780 Santiago de Compostela,
38 Spain

39

40 Abstract

41 This study investigated the effects of hydroperiod on soil organic matter quality in three different salt 42 marshes in the Baiona lagoon (N Italy) representing terrestrial, intertidal and subaqueous ecosystems 43 in the area. The study specifically aimed to gain some insight into how soil waterlogging 44 (hydroperiod) affects the chemical and biological properties of soils as well as the quality and 45 structure of the soil organic matter (SOM). Total contents of selected nutrients, total organic carbon 46 and carbon stable isotope (δC^{13}) were measured in all soil profiles. The results of these analyses 47 enabled us to define the different origin of the SOM by discriminating between terrestrial and aquatic 48 SOM sources. The findings also show that accumulation of nutrients and SOM is significantly 49 magnified in intertidal systems, in which pedoturbation effects induced by water movements are 50 particularly strong. In addition, DRIFT spectra of humic acids revealed the changes in the main 51 functional groups in relation to increased waterlogging, highlighting the lower aromaticity and 52 complexity in subaqueous soils (SASs), which is possibly due to the effect of the soil water saturation 53 on the chemical and biological SOM transformation processes. Microbial biomass carbon (MBC), 54 microbial quotient (Qmic) and the activities of some soil enzymes were measured to estimate soil 55 metabolic activity in the systems and to evaluate how the microbial pool contributes to transforming 56 the SOM. In all systems, the enzymatic activities were generally higher in subsurface horizons than 57 in the surface horizon. This unexpected behaviour can be explained by the combined effect of water 58 movement, erosion processes and preservation of SOM under anaerobic conditions. This study 59 represents an attempt to investigate and understand the ongoing degradation processes in salt marsh 60 ecosystems. The findings emphasize the strong influence of water flow and erosional processes 61 associated with soil waterlogging on chemical and biological reactions in intertidal and subaqueous 62 systems.

63

Keywords: Subaqueous soils; Soil organic matter transformations; Soil waterlogging; Enzyme
 activity; Salt marshes

66

67 **1. Introduction**

68

Salt marshes and wetlands represent some of the largest and most efficient C sink systems in the world (the so called "blue carbon"). It has been estimated that wetland systems hold 45-70% of all terrestrial C (Mitra et al., 2005; Morrissey et al., 2014) and that salt marshes can store about $87.2 \pm$ 9.6 Tg C yr⁻¹ in comparison with the 53 ± 9.6 Tg C yr⁻¹ stored by the most efficient upland terrestrial system (e.g. tropical rainforest, Macreadie et al., 2013). Salt marsh ecosystems have thus been globally defined as high-priority zones because of their fragile structure and their important role in providing ecosystem services (Barbier et al., 2011; De Groot et al., 2012). As recently highlighted by the secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, habitat disturbance, erosion and climate change are threatening the functions of salt marshes as C sinks (Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, 2010). In order to prevent the complete degradation of these ecosystems and to enable implementation of appropriate protection strategies, further information must be obtained about the chemical and biological processes associated with water-soil-plant relationships.

81 Salt marshes are mainly dominated by halophyte vegetation, in which plant zonation is driven by 82 changes in soil morphology, which modify edaphic conditions, competitive mechanisms and thus 83 development of plant communities (Ferronato et al., 2018; Laegdsgaard, 2006; Silvestri et al., 2005). 84 Tidal oscillations, salinity and waterlogging period (hydroperiod) affect plant zonation and form soil 85 hydrosequences ranging from terrestrial to intertidal and subaqueous systems (Ferronato et al., 2018). 86 The distribution of plant communities changes rapidly along hydrosequences and depends on the 87 ability of the plants to tolerate submergence of their roots in salt water (Bertness et al., 1992; 88 Ferronato et al., 2018; Silvestri et al., 2005). The residues of halophyte plant species (mostly annual 89 herbaceous species) contribute greatly to the C sink function of salt marsh ecosystems. Fresh biomass 90 is deposited on the soil surface every year and the rate of degradation depends on the availability of 91 oxygen in soil, persistence of waterlogging and pedoclimatic conditions (Chmura et al., 2003; Mcleod 92 et al., 2011; Sharifi et al., 2013). The tidal oscillations continually supply nutrients and allochthonous 93 suspended mineral matter and organic C, thus contributing to the overall C input in salt marsh soils 94 (Kennedy et al., 2010; Mcleod et al., 2011). The C stable isotope signatures can be used to identify 95 the origin of organic matter, by discriminating terrestrial plant residues (e.g. C3 plants -29 to -22‰ 96 δ^{13} C) from riverine phytoplankton (-34 to -26‰ δ^{13} C) and marine phytoplankton (-23 to -17‰ 97 δ^{13} C) (Bristow et al., 2013; Middelburg and Nieuwenhuize, 1998; Peterson and Fry, 1987). Bristow 98 et al. (2013) recognized the importance of the input of marsh plants and seagrasses such as Spartina 99 spp. and Zostera spp. on the adjacent salt marshes and mudflats, as well as the presence of microalgae-100 associated C deposited along the banks, while Santín et al. (2009) highlighted the contribution of the

phytoplankton to organic matter (OM) accumulation in a highly exposed area under *Spartina* spp.close to the main tidal channel.

103 Long-standing theory states that soil organic matter comprises a labile pool (e.g. microbial biomass 104 carbon) and a recalcitrant pool (e.g. humic substances) (Ghabbour and Davies, 2001; Rodríguez-105 Murillo et al., 2017; Schnitzer and Monreal, 2011). In both of these pools, humic substances are 106 commonly defined as complex molecules characterized by the presence of a number of functional 107 oxygenated groups such as carboxyl groups (-COOH) and phenolic hydroxyl groups (Ph–OH), which 108 are involved in soil aggregation, water retention, cation and anion exchange and chelation of mineral 109 elements (Ghabbour and Davies, 2001) and can even act as plant biostimulants (Canellas et al., 2002; 110 Nardi et al., 2002). Many studies have used infrared spectroscopy to analyze the structure of humic 111 compounds, in order to evaluate and predict structural modifications of soil organic matter in space 112 and time (Agnelli et al., 2000; Chai et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008).

113 There has been some debate about the existence of humic substances in recent years (Lehmann 114 and Kleber, 2015). Thus, soil organic matter formation has been described by these authors "as a 115 continuum of progressively decomposing organic compounds" characterized by a wide range of 116 substances derived from plant residues and particularly enriched in carboxylic acids (Trumbore, 117 1997). Despite the application of advanced spectro-microscopic techniques for in situ investigation 118 of soil organic matter, there is no direct evidence for the existence of humic substances in natural 119 soils. Nonetheless, the study of humic substances remains very popular because of the impact of these 120 substances in a wide variety of disciplines (e.g. agronomy, environmental sciences and economy) and 121 the availability of standard samples isolated from soil, peat, leonardite and river water (http://humic-122 substances.org/). In the present study, we chose to maintain the conventional approach to humic and 123 fulvic acids, as recommended by International Humic Substances Society (IHSS), in order to enable 124 comparison of our findings with those of similar studies on soils from hydromorphic environments 125 (Filip et al., 1988; Fooken and Liebezeit, 2003; Santín et al., 2008).

126 Although numerous studies have investigated the nature of humic substances in terrestrial soils, 127 very few have considered the chemical composition of these molecules in marsh and salt marsh sediments (Keller et al., 2009; Santín et al., 2008; Lu and Xu, 2014). In anaerobic microenvironments 128 129 where oxygen availability is a limiting element, degradation of SOM may also be mediated by 130 reduction reactions. Therefore, the low levels or lack of molecular oxygen in hydromorphic and 131 waterlogged soils may trigger a sequence of changes in chemical properties of the soil that could 132 influence the chemical composition and the structure of humic substances (Rodríguez-Murillo et al., 133 2011, 2017). Important information can thus probably be obtained about the processes that transform dead plant material into refractory organic C. 134

135 The chemical diversity of stable SOM depends on the availability of oxygen, which influences the microbial community and the efficiency of soil microorganisms in processing organic carbon (OC) 136 137 inputs (e.g. by plant cover) (Caravaca et al., 2005; Gleixner et al., 2001). Soil enzymes participate in 138 SOM degradation processes by catalysing the conversion of complex high-molecular weight 139 compounds into smaller compounds, either by redox reactions (catalysed by oxidoreductases), or by 140 the breakdown of complex compounds (catalysed by hydrolases) (Burns, 1982; Sarathchandra et al., 141 1984). Soil enzyme activity can therefore be used to quantify and monitor changes in soil metabolic 142 activity and SOM dynamics in different ecosystems and in soils disturbed by anthropogenic activities 143 (Trasar-Cepeda et al., 2008). In hydromorphic/subaqueous soils, the restrictive environmental 144 conditions (e.g. low redox potential, soil waterlogging, halinity and sulphide concentration, etc.) can 145 influence the microbial community as well as enzyme synthesis and activities (Arbona et al., 2008; 146 Pulford and Tabatabai, 1988). Soil salinity and waterlogging act as soil dispersant agents, inducing 147 changes in ionic strength and in the molecular stability and sorption of enzymes (Morrissey et al., 148 2014; Rietl et al., 2016), thereby causing changes in the eco-physiological functions of the microbial 149 community. The pathway of organic matter degradation and the end-products of the microbial 150 metabolism may thus also be affected by both water quality and fluctuations (e.g. tidal oscillations).

151 These changes can be investigated by measuring the enzyme activities associated with 152 biogeochemical cycles (Bello et al., 2015; Burns et al., 2013; Kandeler et al., 1996; Nannipieri, 1994). 153 The aim of the present study was to evaluate changes in SOM and enzyme activities in relation to 154 the soil hydroperiod in three salt marshes in the Baiona Lagoon (Ravenna, North Italy). Soil hydrosequences consisting of terrestrial, intertidal and subaqueous ecosystems have previously been 155 156 identified in this area, in a study focusing on the relationships between soil hydroperiod, soil 157 physicochemical properties and vegetation pattern (Ferronato et al., 2018). In the present study, the 158 same soils were used to investigate stable and labile carbon pools and also enzyme activities 159 throughout the soil profiles, in order to gain some insight into SOM chemical and biological 160 transformation processes in salt marshes.

161

162 2. Materials and methods

163

164 *2.1. Study area and sampling survey*

165

The Baiona lagoon (SPA/SAC IT4070004 of the Natura 2000 ecological network) is located in
the S. Vitale Regional Park, south of the Po Delta on the northern coast of the Adriatic Sea (N Italy).
The area is characterized by retrogradational back-barriers, shoreline and offshore transitional
Holocene deposits (Buscaroli et al., 2011; Migani et al., 2015; Veggiani, 1974).

Soil profiles were collected in three different salt marshes in the lagoon, according to the terrestrial, intertidal and subaqueous areas defined by Ferronato et al. (2018). In particular, 5 terrestrial (TES), 4 intertidal (ITS) and 3 subaqueous (SAS) soil profiles were selected for this study (Figure 1). The soil profiles (0-100 cm depth) were collected using a beaker vibracore sampler equipped with a polyethylene core tube (diameter 6 cm). The genetic horizons were divided and described according to Schoeneberger et al. (2012) and McVey et al. (2012). Subsamples of soil were then prepared in different ways for subsequent analysis: a) air-dried and sieved at 2 mm, for physical and chemical characterization; b) freeze-dried, for SOM fractionation and diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) analysis; and c) stored at 4 °C, for the analysis of microbial biomass carbon and enzyme activities.

All soil profiles consisted of A-AC-C horizons (Table S1) and were classified according to the US Soil Taxonomy as *Typic psammaquent* to *endaquent* in the TES and ITS systems, and as *Fluventic psammowasssent* to *Typic fluviwassent* in the SAS system (Soil Survey Staff, 2010). All soil horizons (A, AC and C) were analysed separately and the average values for each genetic horizon were subsequently obtained for the TES, ITS and SAS systems.

- 185
- 186 2.2. Soil physical and chemical analysis
- 187

188 Soil particle size distribution was determined with the pipette method according to Gee and Bauder 189 (1986). Soil pH (pHmeter, Crison, Spain) and electrical conductivity (EC; conductimeter Orion, 190 Germany) were measured in a 1:2.5 (w:v) suspension of soil and deionized water, while total lime 191 (CaCO₃) was quantified by the volumetric method (Loeppert and Suárez, 1996). The total Ca, Na, P 192 and S contents were determined in finely ground soil samples by microwave-assisted acid digestion 193 (Milestone, 1200) with aqua regia (HCl and HNO₃ suprapure, Carlo Erba, Italy, 3:1 v:v) and Inductive 194 Coupled Plasma-Optic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Ametek, Germany). The spectrometer was 195 calibrated using international multi element standard (CPI 4400-12627-WG02), and a standard 196 reference material (BCR 320R) was used to check the accuracy of the instrument (< 10%).

Soil total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) contents were determined by Continuous
 Flow–Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry (CF–IRMS, Delta Plus Thermo Scientific) after pre treatment of samples with 6 M HCl at 80 °C to eliminate carbonates.

200 The ¹³C abundance was expressed in delta units (δ^{13} C‰):

 $\delta^{13} \text{C}\% = \left[\left(R_{\text{sample}} / R_{\text{standard}} \right) - 1 \right] \times 1000$

where, R_{sample} is the isotope ratio ${}^{13}\text{C}/{}^{12}\text{C}$ of the sample and R_{standard} is the ${}^{13}\text{C}/{}^{12}\text{C}$ ratio of the Pee Dee Belemnite carbonate standard (PDB) (Middelburg and Nieuwenhuize, 1998)

All analyses were performed in duplicate for each soil sample and the results shown are the mean values ± the standard error for the soil samples analysed for each of the soil systems.

206

207 2.3. SOM fractionation and DRIFT spectroscopy

208 Humic acid (HA) extraction was performed according to De Nobili et al. (2008). Samples were 209 sequentially extracted at room temperature for 1 h under N2 flux (1:10 w:v). The HAs were first 210 extracted with 0.5 M NaOH, to yield the fraction considered to consist of labile humic acids (free 211 HA, HAF) consisting of both polysaccharides and humic acids, and then with 0.1 M NaOH + 0.1 M 212 Na4P2O7, to yield the fraction considered to consist of humic acids bound to the soil mineral fraction 213 (bound HA, HAB) via cationic bridges or strongly complexed by polycations (Parsons, 1988). The 214 extracts were precipitated overnight at pH 1.5 with 6 M HCl, and then centrifuged to separate the 215 compounds insoluble at pH 1.5 (humic acids, HA) from those soluble at this pH (fulvic acids and 216 non-humic substances, FA). The HA fractions were then re-suspended in distilled water and freeze-217 dried.

218 The humic acids (HAF and HAB) were analysed by DRIFT spectroscopy. Spectra were recorded 219 using a Bruker TENSOR series FT-IR Spectrophotometer (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany) interfaced 220 with a diffuse reflectance apparatus (Spectra-Tech. Inc., Stamford, CT, USA). HA samples were 221 mixed with KBr powder (1:50 w:w) (Aldrich Chemical Co. Milwaukee, WI, USA), which was also 222 used as a background reference. Spectra were recorded as Kubelk-Munk units, ranging from 4000 to 223 400 cm⁻¹ and averaged over 100 scans with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution and manipulated with OriginLab 7.0 224 software (USA). The percentage area under each band was calculated by curve-fitting analysis, implemented with Grams/386 spectroscopic software (version 6.00, Galactic Industries Corporation, 225 226 Salem), as described by Mastrolonardo et al. (2015).

The main peaks and bands in the spectra were interpreted according to assignments used by different authors (Fooken and Liebezeit, 2003; Mecozzi and Pierantonio, 2006; Stevenson, 1994) and are shown in Table 1.

230

231 2.4. Analysis of microbial biomass carbon and enzyme activities

232

233 Soil microbial biomass C (MBC) was determined on previously conditioned (3 days at 25 °C) wet 234 subsamples of soil, by the chloroform fumigation-extraction method (Vance et al., 1987). This 235 method uses chloroform to kill soil microorganisms, before the soil C content is extracted with 0.5 M 236 K₄SO₄. The difference in the C content extracted from fumigated and unfumigated soil samples (measured in a L-TOC analyser, Hypertoc Shimadzu) corresponds to the C released by the dead 237 238 microorganisms (C flush). This value was then used to estimate the microbial biomass C (expressed 239 in mg kg⁻¹ of dry soil) by applying a transformation factor (Kc) of 0.38 (Joergensen and Brookes, 240 1990). Microbial biomass C was determined in triplicate for each soil sample, and the results reported 241 are the means \pm standard error for the soil samples analysed for each of the soil systems. The microbial 242 quotient (Qmic) was calculated as the percentage of soil MBC relative to the TOC, as reported by 243 Anderson and Domsch (1989). This index was calculated as an indicator of the changes in organic 244 matter due to soil alteration processes and the availability of nutrients to soil microbiota (Sparling, 245 1997).

Different enzyme assays were performed in triplicate on fresh soil samples by using the traditional methods described below. The results (mean \pm standard error of the results for the soil samples analysed for each of the soil systems) are reported as absolute values (µmol of released/consumed compound per gram of oven-dried [105 °C] soil per hour) and as specific activity, i.e. enzyme activity per unit of soil organic carbon (Trasar-Cepeda et al., 2008), which enables comparison of different types of soils under different soil use, and therefore with different organic matter contents (Barriuso et al., 1988). Urease (URE) activity in the samples was determined using 1065.6 mM urea as

253 substrate, incubation for 1.5 h at 37 °C and pH 7.0 (0.2 M phosphate buffer) and measurement of the 254 NH₄⁺ released with an ammonia electrode (Nannipieri et al., 1980). Alkaline phosphomonoesterase 255 activity (ALK) was determined using 16 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate as substrate, incubation for 0.5 256 h at 37 °C with Modified Universal Buffer (MUB) pH 11.0 and spectrophotometric measurement (at 257 400 nm) of the *p*-nitrophenol (PNP) released (Tabatabai and Bremner, 1969). B-glucosidase (GLU) 258 activity was determined using p-nitrophenyl- β -glucopyranoside 25 mM as substrate, incubation for 1 259 h at 37 °C with MUB pH 6.5 and measurement (at 400 nm) of the *p*-nitrophenol released (Eivazi and 260 Tabatabai, 1988). Arylsulphatase activity (ARYL) was determined using 5 mM p-nitrophenyl sulphate as substrate, incubation at pH 5.8 (acetate buffer 0.5 M) and 37 °C for 1 h (Tabatabai and 261 262 Bremner, 1970). Invertase activity (INV) was determined using 35.06 mM saccharose as substrate, incubation for 3 h at 50 °C and pH 5.5 (acetate buffer 2 M) and measurement of reducing sugars by 263 264 the Prussian-blue method (Schinner and Mersi, 1990). Catalase activity (CAT) was determined using 265 8.8 mM H₂O₂ as substrate, incubation of the soil samples for 10 min at 20 °C and measurement of the residual H₂O₂ at 505 nm after colorimetric reaction, and the results are expressed as mmol H₂O₂ 266 267 consumed g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Trasar-Cepeda et al., 1999). In order to obtain an indicator of the enzymatic activity 268 involved in C, N and P cycles, the Synthetic Enzyme Index (SEI) was calculated as the sum of three 269 activities measured using fluorogenic methylumbelliferyl (MUF)-based substrates. B-glucosidase 270 (GLU), chitinase (CHIT) and acid-phosphomonoesterase (ACP) activities were measured using MUF 271 substrates according to the method of Marx et al. (2001). The fluorogenic substrates, prepared with 272 acetate buffer 0.5 M pH 5.5, were 4-MUF-β-D-glucoside 4-MUF-N-acetyl-β-glucosaminide and 4-273 MUF-phosphate. Fluorescence (excitation 360 nm, emission 450 nm) was measured in an automatic 274 fluorimetric plate-reader (Fluoroskan Ascent) and readings were taken after incubation of plates for 275 0, 30, 60, 120 and 180 minutes at 30 °C. The results are expressed as nmol of MUF released per g of 276 oven-dried soil per hour.

280	Statistical analysis of the data was performed using R free software (R Core Team, 2015), with
281	the Kruskal function of the "agricolae" package. As the basic assumptions required for the ANOVA
282	test were not met (i.e. normal data distribution and homogeneity of variance), the data were analysed
283	by one-way nonparametric ANOVA or the Kruskal-Wallis test (Hollander et al., 2013). The latter is
284	a non-parametric method for testing a nominal variable and a continuous (or ordinal) variable by
285	ranking the observations. It tests whether the mean ranks are the same in all the groups, namely if the
286	samples originate from the same distribution. The Kruskal-Wallis test was applied to distinct a priori
287	groups formed by the three soil systems (TES, ITS and SAS) and the three different horizons
288	considered (A, AC and C). Holm-Bonferroni correction was applied for multiple comparisons.
289	
290	3. Results
291	
292	3.1. Physical and chemical properties of salt marsh soils
293	
294	The mean values of the main physical and chemical properties of the diverse horizons in the TES,
295	ITS and SAS soil profiles are shown in Table 2, while Table 3 shows the results of the Kruskal-Wallis
296	test to highlight the significant differences between the three ecosystems (TES, ITS and SAS).
297	The main differences between the physicochemical characteristics of the three different salt marsh
298	systems were observed in the A horizon, in which the pH, EC, organic C and nutrients varied
299	significantly (Table 2). Generally, in all soil pedosequences, the EC decreased sharply from A to AC
300	and C horizons, and the same was observed for clay percentage and the concentrations of
301	macronutrient elements (e.g. TOC, TN, P and S), while Ca and CaCO ₃ contents increased in the AC
302	and C horizons (Table 2).
303	Significant differences between the TES, ITS and SAS systems mainly involved the A horizon
304	(Table 3). In the A horizon of the ITS system, the pH was significantly lower than in the A horizons

305 of the other soil systems (Table 3). Moreover, the EC values were significantly higher in all horizons 306 of the ITS system than in all horizons of the TES and SAS systems (Table 3). Similarly, the TOC and 307 P contents were significantly higher in the ITS than in the TES and SAS systems. The Na and S 308 contents increased significantly from the TES to the ITS and SAS system, while there was no 309 significant difference between ITS and SAS systems (Table 3). The δ^{13} C signature revealed 310 significant enrichment of the lighter ¹³C isotope in the surface horizon of TES relative to the same 311 horizon in SAS. Moreover, in the TES and ITS systems, the δ^{13} C ratio increased from -25.9 and -25.1 312 to -23.9 and -24.8‰, respectively, throughout the soil profile, while in the SAS system the opposite trend was noted, as the δ^{13} C ratio decreased from -23.8 to -25.3‰, although these differences were 313 314 not statistically significant (Table 3).

315

316 3.2. SOM fractionation and DRIFT spectra

317

318 Humic acids were only extracted from the A horizon because the organic carbon content of the 319 AC and C horizons was too low to obtain accurate results. The DRIFT spectra of both free and bound 320 humic acids (HAF and HAB, respectively) extracted from the A horizon exhibited some modifications along the hydrosequence in both the aliphatic and the aromatic regions (Figure 2). 321 322 Quantitative information was obtained from the FTIR spectra by Gaussian curve fitting procedure applied to the region considered. The percentage area for each functional group is representative of 323 324 the structure of humic substances (Figure 3). In general, the peaks in the spectra of the TES system 325 were more variable than those in the spectra of the ITS and SAS systems.

In both free and bound HA (HAF and HAB, Figure 3a and 3b, respectively), the percentage area for aliphatic chains (2954-2952 cm⁻¹) was relatively low in the TES and ITS soils, and was higher in the SAS soils (Figure 3a and b).

The peak at around 1729-1720 cm⁻¹, attributed to C=O carbonyl stretching in acids or ketones, was not present in HAF and HAB of the TES soils, while it accounted for 5 and 7 % of the area in the ITS

and SAS soils, respectively. The peak at around 1670-1653 cm⁻¹ was mainly due to Amide I vibration
of proteinaceous material. This band was present in all samples, but it was particularly evident in SAS
soils (accounting for 22-24% of the area). Conversely, the peak at 1592-1580 cm⁻¹, assigned to
aromatic rings and asymmetric stretching of carboxylate, only appeared in the TES soil and accounted
for 19% of the area in HAF (Figure 3).

On the other hand, lignin derivatives (indicated by peaks at 1547-1515 cm⁻¹) increased according to the level of soil waterlogging (TES < ITS < SAS). The 1223-1211 cm⁻¹ band, attributed to phenol compounds, was only observed in the ITS soils, and accounted for respectively 11.9 and 6.5% of the area in HAF and HAB.

340

341 *3.3. Microbial biomass and enzyme activities*

342

To evaluate the soil microbial community and its activity, microbial biomass C (MBC) content, the metabolic quotient (Qmic) and a synthetic enzyme index (SEI) were determined in all soil profiles (Figure 4 a-c). Enzyme activities and specific enzyme activities were also determined (Table 4 and Figure 5a-f, respectively). The results of the statistical analysis are shown in Table 5.

347 The soil biochemical properties and indexes generally varied widely between the different soil 348 systems (Fig. 4a-c). As found for the soil physical and chemical properties, the most significant 349 differences between the TES, ITS and SAS systems involved the A horizon (Table 5). The MBC 350 content (Figure 4a) was higher in the A horizon of both the TES and ITS than in that of the SAS soil profiles and was much lower in the AC and C horizons. Moreover, in the A horizon, Qmic decreased 351 352 significantly with increasing hydroperiod (TES>ITS>SAS, Figure 4b). The values of this index were 353 similar in all horizons of the TES soil profiles, but increased throughout the ITS and SAS soil profiles. 354 By contrast, the differences in the SEI between the different horizons and systems were generally not 355 significant (Figure 4c), as a result of the high variability in the data. However, some trends were 356 noted. Thus, for the A horizon, the mean SEI values were lower in the SAS than in TES and ITS soil

357 profiles. Moreover, in the SAS soils, the SEI increased gradually with depth of the soil profile, while 358 in the TES and ITS soils the opposite pattern was observed (Figure 3c). In the AC and C horizons, 359 the MBC and Qmic values were generally lower in the SAS than in the TES and ITS soils, although 360 the differences were statistically significant only for MBC (Table 5). The values of SEI in the AC 361 and C horizons were similar in all three hydrosequences.

The values of the enzyme activities are shown in Table 4, and the results of the statistical analysis of the data are reported in Table 5. No significant differences in the enzyme activities were observed between the TES, ITS and SAS systems for the different horizons, with exception of ALK and INV in the A horizons and URE activity in the C horizons. These enzyme activities were significantly lower in the SAS than in TES and ITS soils (Table 5).

The enzyme activity generally decreased with depth in the soil profile in the three systems, although the differences were not always statistically significant (data not shown). The CAT, URE, INV and ALK activities were significantly higher in the A horizon than in the deeper horizons of the TES soils. The same trend was observed for CAT, INV and ALK activities in the ITS soil and for CAT and INV in the SAS soils.

372 Generally, the specific enzyme activity, which allows comparison of the enzyme activity between 373 soils with different organic matter content, increased throughout the soil profiles. Notably, in all 374 horizons (A, AC and C), the CAT, URE, GLU and ARYL (Figure 5a, 5b, 5e and 5f) enzyme activities 375 were lower in the ITS soils than in the other soils. Considering the A horizon, CAT/C was lower in 376 the ITS system than in the TES and SAS soils (Figure 5a), although the differences were only 377 statistically significant for the comparison between the ITS and SAS systems (Table 5). Furthermore, 378 INV/C was significantly lower in the SAS than in the TES and ITS (Figure 5d), while GLU/C was 379 significantly higher in the TES system than in the other two systems (Figure 5e).

Regarding the AC horizon, CAT/C was significantly lower in the ITS system than both in the TES and SAS systems (Figure 5a), while GLU/C was significantly lower both in the TES and ITS systems than in the SAS system (Figure 5e). This specific activity therefore increased with the intensity of waterlogging. Considering the C horizon, URE/C, ARYL/C, GLU/C and INV/C were lower in the
ITS than in the TES and SAS systems (Figure 5b, 5f, 5e and 5d), and the differences were generally
statistically significant, while ALK/C and CAT/C (Figure 5c and 5a) were significantly higher in the
SAS system than in the TES and ITS systems, in which the activities were similar (Table 5).

387

388 4. Discussion

389

The soils in the Baiona lagoon area are developed on carbonate sandy substrate, resulting in C horizons with relatively high carbonate content and sandy loam (TES) or sandy clay loam texture (ITS and SAS), in concordance with previous findings (Amorosi et al., 2005; Ferronato et al., 2014; Giambastiani, 2007). The main differences between the three salt marsh systems regarding their organic matter and nutrients content and EC were observed in the A horizon, while the differences between the AC and C horizon of the three salt marsh soils were less pronounced.

396 The δ^{13} C signature indicates the different nature of organic C in the soils considered. In the TES 397 and ITS, the soil surface is enriched by lighter C isotopes ($\delta^{13}C = -25\%$ c.a), which are generally 398 associated with organic material derived from terrestrial vascular plants (Bristow et al., 2013). In the 399 deeper horizons, the decrease in C isotopic ratio indicates buried organic C forms and marine plankton 400 material (average value of $\delta^{13}C = -23\%$ c.a) (Dickens et al. 2004; Santín et al. 2009). Conversely, the 401 SAS profiles showed the opposite trend, highlighting the presence of C derived from marine plankton 402 on the soil surface and the presence of vascular plants in the deeper layer, probably associated with 403 the salt marsh erosion and subsidence phenomena characteristic of the study area (Ferronato et al, 404 2016; Teatini et al., 2005).

The difference between the terrestrial and aquatic soil organic matter was determined by analysis of humic substances at the soil surface. Transformation of plant debris is known to be driven by oxygen availability, and in terrestrial systems the organic molecules extracted with alkaline solution 408 (IHSS, 2015) are typically rich in aromatic compounds and poor in aliphatic ligands (Filip and 409 Alberts, 1994). The structure of humic substances is more complex in terrestrial than in intertidal and 410 subaqueous systems, where degradation of SOM may be mediated by reduction reactions, resulting 411 in humic substances with more aromatic compounds and a higher degree of polymerization than in 412 terrestrial systems (Bronick and Lal, 2005; De Nobili et al., 2008). As the soil waterlogging increased 413 (from ITS to SAS systems), the structure of humic substances became more enriched in aliphatic 414 compounds, and the differences between free HA structure and those bound to the soil mineral 415 fraction (i.e. via cationic bridges or via complexation) became less evident (Figure 2 and 3). 416 Enrichment of aliphatic compounds was mainly observed in the HA extracts from the SAS and can 417 be attributed to the effect of the water movement on the soil surface. Sea water acts as a powerful 418 dispersant of soil aggregates, directly breaking them up and contributing to repulsive charges that 419 disperse clay particles (Bronick and Lal, 2005). The capacity of the soil to retain organic colloids, 420 clay particles and other cementing agents is thus reduced, resulting in enhanced soil fluidity 421 (Surabian, 2007). The shift in some characteristic bands observed in the HA extracts from the SAS 422 profiles (Figure 2) is consistent with the findings of Filip et al. (1988) and Fooken and Liebezeit 423 (2003), who reported that marine humic acids are distinguished by similar bands, mainly attributed 424 to amide linkages of proteins (Ertel and Hedges, 1983). These authors also observed that as the soil 425 hydroperiod increases there is usually an increase in the presence of bands at 1665-1660 cm⁻¹, and at 426 1725 cm⁻¹. These bands typically increase in the direction fresh plants < dead plants < mud. As 427 expected, the bands at around 1547-1515 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the most characteristic aromatic 428 skeletal vibrations of lignin, increased with the level of soil waterlogging. The persistence of lignin 429 derivatives is probably due to the low level of oxygen, which may decrease the rate of decomposition 430 (Filip et al., 1988). We can conclude that the structure of the organic molecules shifted toward a low 431 complexity along the soil hydrosequences as a consequence of the anaerobic conditions. 432 Nevertheless, we can infer that the water movement (both along the soil hydrosequence and

throughout the soil profiles) can produce additional perturbation such as the transport andaccumulation of nutrients in different parts of saltmarsh soils.

435 The TOC and MBC contents were lowest in the SAS profiles, while both Qmic and SEI increased 436 significantly with depth of the soil profile, indicating enhancement of biochemical activity in deep 437 horizons. This observation may be related to some pedoturbation due to water movements at the soil 438 surface. Movement of water at the soil surface washes and greatly depletes the soil (Allen, 2000), 439 while in the deeper horizons, water movement is limited and therefore may cause less intense 440 disturbance. This could somehow induce a new equilibrium of microbial communities in AC and C 441 horizons, and a different C metabolic pathways. However, there is another possible explanation for 442 the biochemical characteristics of the SAS. The presence of terrestrial-like C forms in deep SAS 443 horizons (indicated by the isotopic analysis) may be due to past erosion processes, e.g. detachment 444 and deposition of clumps of saltmarsh soils on the sea floor and transportation of fine terrestrial 445 material by water during tidal cycles. The enhanced enzymatic activities detected in the deeper 446 horizons may therefore be associated with past accumulation of upland terrestrial soil material 447 transported by erosion processes. Nevertheless, under altered environmental conditions, the 448 biochemical activity may reach a new equilibrium with different effects in relation to function. This hypothesis suggests that the enhanced enzymatic activity observed in the SAS profiles will be related 449 450 to the quantity or availability of SOM and also to the type of SOM present in the organo-mineral 451 complex, as under anoxic conditions, the SOM is preserved from degradation and retains immobilized enzymes. The specific enzyme activities (i.e. the activities expressed relative to organic C mass) are 452 453 often calculated in order to decouple the changes in soil enzyme activities from the changes in organic 454 matter content (Marinari et al., 2012; Trasar-Cepeda et al., 1999; 2008; Vittori Antisari et al., 2011) 455 and to enable comparison of soils under different types of use or subjected to diverse types of 456 disturbance. In terrestrial soils, the activity of hydrolytic enzymes usually decreases with depth 457 (Harrison, 1983; Kuprevich and Shcherbakova, 1971; Trasar-Cepeda and Gil-Sotres, 1987), while the specific enzyme activity generally increases as a result of the lower organic C content in deeper 458

459 horizons where enzyme activity remains high (Marinari and Vittori Antisari, 2010). This enrichment 460 may represent an ecological mechanism for retaining soil metabolic activity or making organic matter 461 more available to degradation by enzymatic reactions (Boerner et al., 2000; Burns, 1982; Trasar-462 Cepeda et al., 2008). In this study, the specific enzyme activities generally increased with soil profile 463 depth in all systems (TES, ITS and SAS) and were particularly high in the SAS system (Figure 5). 464 The high specific activity in these soil profiles was particularly noteworthy, as the biochemical 465 activity was expected to be lower in the submerged than in the terrestrial soils. However, as previously 466 hypothesized, the specific enzyme activity in deep horizons of the SAS soils may be due to different 467 types of pedoturbation operated by the combined effects of water movement and soil organisms on 468 the surface horizons, and to the presence of allochthonous terrestrial SOM in deeper horizons. The 469 organic matter derived in the past from terrestrial systems and accumulated in the lagoon sediments 470 may be enriched in immobilized enzymes, which would remain active in AC and C horizons (Burns, 471 1982; Nannipieri, 1994; Nannipieri et al., 1980). Given the lack of reference studies and the 472 complexity of enzyme variability under such environmental conditions, further research is required 473 to confirm this hypothesis.

474 The key focus area between the terrestrial and aquatic environment is the intertidal area, which 475 represents the real transitional system. In this part of the salt marsh hydrosequence, the accumulation 476 of C residues and the occurrence of alternating aerobic/anaerobic soil conditions enable growth of 477 terrestrial plants and mineralization of SOM, as in TES systems. However, the HAs in the ITS soils 478 are relatively poor in aromatic compounds and enriched in phenols and aliphatic compounds 479 (highlighting the lower degree of polymerization than in the TES soils). As in aquatic-like 480 environments, the biochemical and biological processes associated with SOM degradation in the ITS 481 soils are strongly limited by the effect of continuous and provisional waterlogging (Chendrayan et 482 al., 1980). The continuous wet and dry cycles associated with the tidal oscillations contribute greatly 483 to phenomena such as transportation of particulate and soluble organic matter (Fagherazzi et al., 484 2013) and accumulation of nutrients and marine salts (Ferronato et al., 2016). Accumulation of high

amounts of nutrients and organic C content, as well as frequency of flooding and length of waterlogging period, affected both microbial communities and the production and activity of soil hydrolytic enzymes (Geng et al., 2017; Kang and Stanley, 2005), which often decreases in ITS soil horizons. Moreover, the alternation of aerobic/anaerobic conditions due to soil water saturation will affect the metabolic and biochemical pathway of SOM degradation in subaqueous systems, which may therefore differ from those in terrestrial systems, so that a biochemical equilibrium may not be reached.

492

493 **5.** Conclusions

494

495 Soil hydroperiod plays a fundamental role in the degradation of organic matter, inducing a decrease 496 in the oxygen level in soil and thus inhibiting the overall oxidative processes. Water movement also 497 acts as an important pedoturbation agent inducing profound changes in soil physico-chemical and 498 biochemical processes. The biochemical reactions leading to SOM degradation are also influenced 499 by the water flow dynamics, which hinder the activation of enzymes and microbial stabilization. The 500 study findings demonstrate the importance of the origin of the SOM in explaining the enzyme activity 501 in subaqueous soil environments. The high specific enzyme activities in deep horizons of subaqueous 502 environments may be explained by the combined effect of water movement, erosional processes and 503 preservation of SOM under anaerobic conditions. Considering the lack of similar approaches to 504 studying the pathway of SOM degradation in salt marsh ecosystems, further studies are required to 505 test the hypothesis presented in this study at different scales.

506

507 Acknowledgements

508

The authors thank Professor Gilmo Vianello for his enormous support in helping to advance research on intertidal and subaqueous soil development as a new frontier of the soil science, and for

511 practical field support in this study. The authors also thank Ana I. Iglesias-Tojo for assistance in 512 carrying out the analyses. The authors are grateful for support from the Spanish MINECO through 513 project CGL 2015-66857-C2-1-R, co-financed with FEDER funds from the EU.

514

515 **References**

- Agnelli, A., Celi, L., Degl'Innocenti, A., Corti, G., Ugolini, F.C., 2000. Chemical and spectroscopic
 characterization of the humic substances from sandstone-derived rock fragments. Soil Science
 165, 314-327. doi: 10.1097/00010694-200004000-00003.
- Allen, J.R.L., 2000. Morphodynamics of Holocene salt marshes: a review sketch from the Atlantic
 and Southern North Sea coasts of Europe. Quaternary Science Reviews 19, 1155–1231.
 doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(99)00034-7.
- Amorosi, A., Centineo, M.C., Colalongo, M.L., Fiorini, F., 2005. Millennial-scale depositional cycles
 from the Holocene of the Po Plain, Italy. Marine Geology 222–223, 7–18.
 doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2005.06.041.
- Anderson, T.-H., Domsch, K.H., 1989. Ratios of microbial biomass carbon to total organic carbon in
 arable soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 21, 471–479. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(89)90117-X
- Arbona, V., Hossain, Z., López-Climent, M.F., Pérez-Clemente, R.M., Gómez-Cadenas, A., 2008.
 Antioxidant enzymatic activity is linked to waterlogging stress tolerance in citrus. Physiologia
 Plantarum 132, 452–466. doi:10.1111/j.1399-3054.2007.01029.x.
- Barbier, E.B., Hacker, S.D., Kennedy, C., Koch, E.W., Stier, A.C., Silliman, B.R., 2011. The value
 of estuarine and coastal ecosystem services. Ecological Monographs 81, 169–193.
 doi:10.1890/10-1510.1.
- Barriuso, E., Pérez-Mateos, M., González-Carcedo, S., 1988. Actividad ureásica específica del suelo.
 Agrochimica 32, 284-294.
- Bello, D., Ferronato, C., Vittori Antisari, L., Trasar Cepeda, C., 2015. Preliminary results on
 enzymatic activities in two salt marsh soils differing in hydromorphic characteristics and

- 537 vegetation cover. EQA International Journal of Environmental Quality 19, 1–15.
 538 doi:10.6092/issn.2281-4485/5801.
- Bertness, M.D., Gough, L., Shumway, S.W., 1992. Salt tolerances and the distribution of fugitive salt
 marsh plants. Ecology 73, 1842–1851.
- 541 Boerner, R.E.J., Decker, K.L.M., Sutherland, E.K., 2000. Prescribed burning effects on soil enzyme
- activity in a southern Ohio hardwood forest: a landscape-scale analysis. Soil Biology &
 Biochemistry 32, 899–908. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00208-4.
- Bristow, L.A., Jickells, T.D., Weston, K., Marca-Bell, A., Parker, R., Andrews, J.E., 2013. Tracing
 estuarine organic matter sources into the southern North Sea using C and N isotopic signatures.
- 546 Biogeochemistry 113, 9–22. doi:10.1007/s10533-012-9758-4.
- 547 Bronick, C.J., Lal, R., 2005. Soil structure and management: A review. Geoderma 124, 3–22.
 548 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.03.005.
- Burns, R.G., 1982. Enzyme activity in soil: Location and a possible role in microbial ecology. Soil
 Biology & Biochemistry 14, 423–427. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(82)90099-2.
- Burns, R.G., DeForeset, J.L., Marxsen, J., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Stromberger, M.E., Wallenstein, M.D.,
 Weintraub, M.N., Zoppini, A., 2013. Soil enzymes in a changing environment: Current
 knowledge and future directions. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 58, 216–234.
 doi:10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2012.11.009.
- Buscaroli, A., Dinelli, E., Zannoni, D., 2011. Geohydrological and environmental evolution of the
 area included among the lower course of the Lamone river and the Adriatic coast. EQA –
 International Journal of Environmental Quality 5, 11–22.
- 558 Canellas L.P., Lopes Olivares F., Okorokova-Façanha, A.L., Rocha Façanha, A., 2002. Humic Acids
- isolated from Earthworm composte enhance Root Elongation, Lateral Emergence, and Plasma
 membrane H+-ATPase Activity in Maize Roots. Plant Physiology 130, 1951-1957.
- 561 doi.org/10.1104/pp.007088.
- 562 Caravaca, F., Alguacil, M.M., Torres, P., Roldán, A., 2005. Plant type mediates rhizospheric

563 microbial activities and soil aggregation in a semiarid Mediterranean salt marsh. Geoderma 124,

564 375–382. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.05.010.

- 565 Chai, X., Takayuki, S., Cao, X., Guo, Q., Zhao, Y., 2007. Spectroscopic studies of the progress of
 566 humification processes in humic substances extracted from refuse in a landfill. Chemosphere 69,
 567 1446–1453. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.04.076.
- 568 Chendrayan K., Adhya T. K., Sethunathan N. (1980) Dehydrogenase and invertase activities of
 569 flooded soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 12, 211-213.
- 570 Chmura, G.L., Anisfeld, S.C., Cahoon, D.R., Lynch, J.C., 2003. Global carbon sequestration in tidal,
 571 saline wetland soils. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 17, 1111. doi:10.1029/2002GB001917.
- De Groot, R., Brander, L., van der Ploeg, S., Costanza, R., Bernard, F., Braat, L., Christie, M.,
 Crossman, N., Ghermandi, A., Hein, L., Hussain, S., Kumar, P., McVittie, A., Portela, R.,
 Rodriguez, L.C., ten Brink, P., van Beukering, P., 2012. Global estimates of the value of
 ecosystems and their services in monetary units. Ecosystem Services 1, 50–61.
 doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2012.07.005.
- 577 De Nobili, M., Contin, M., Mahieu, N., Randall, E.W., Brookes, P.C., 2008. Assessment of chemical 578 and biochemical stabilization of organic C in soils from the long-term experiments at 579 Rothamsted (UK). Waste Management (New York, N.Y.) 28, 723-33. 580 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2007.09.025.
- 581 Dickens, A.F., Gélinas, Y., Masiello, C.A., Wakeham, S., Hedges, J.I., 2004. Reburial of fossil
 582 organic carbon in marine sediments. Nature 427, 336–339. doi:10.1038/nature02299.
- Eivazi, F., Tabatabai, M.A., 1988. Glucosidases and galactosidases in soils. Soil Biology &
 Biochemistry 20, 601–606. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(88)90141-1.
- 585 Ertel, J.R., Hedges, J.I., 1983. Bulk chemical and spectroscopic properties of marine and terrestrial
- humic acids, melanoidins and catechol-based synthetic polymers, in: Christman, R.F., Gjessing,
- 587 E.T. (Eds.), Aquatic and Terrestrial Humic Materials, Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, MI,
- 588 pp.143-163

- Fagherazzi, S., Wiberg, P.L., Temmerman, S., Struyf, E., Zhao, Y., Raymond, P.A., 2013. Fluxes of
 water, sediments, and biogeochemical compounds in salt marshes. Ecological Processes 2, 3.
 doi:10.1186/2192-1709-2-3.
- Ferronato, C., Falsone, G., Natale, M., Zannoni, D., Buscaroli, A., Vianello, G., Vittori, L., 2016.
 Chemical and pedological features of subaqueous and hydromorphic soils along a
 hydrosequence within a coastal system (San Vitale Park, Northern Italy). Geoderma 265, 141–
 151. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.11.018.
- Ferronato, C., Speranza, M., Ferroni, L., Buscaroli, A., Vianello, G., Vittori Antisari, L., 2018.
 Vegetation response to soil salinity and waterlogging in three saltmarsh hydrosequences through
 macronutrients distribution. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 200, 131–140.
 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2017.10.019.
- Ferronato, C., Vianello, G., Vittori Antisari, L., 2014. The evolution of the Po Valley and Reno basin
 (North Italy) through the historical cartography: vicissitude of a land reclamation, in: Regional
 Symposium on Water, Wastewater and Environment: Traditions and Culture. pp. 741–752.
- Filip, Z., Alberts, J.J., 1994. Microbial utilization resulting in early diagenesis of salt-marsh humic
 acids. Science of The Total Environment 144, 121–135. doi:10.1016/0048-9697(94)90433-2.
- Filip, Z., Alberts, J.J., Cheshire, M. V, Goodman, B.A., Bacon, J.R., 1988. Comparison of salt marsh
 humic acid with humic-like substances from the indigenous plant species *Spartina alterniflora*
- 607 (Loisel). Science of The Total Environment 71, 157–172. doi:10.1016/0048-9697(88)90164-7.
- Fooken, U., Liebezeit, G., 2003. An IR Study of Humic Acids Isolated from Sediments and Soils.
 Senckenbergiana Maritima 32, 183–189. doi:10.1007/BF03043094.
- 610 Gee, G. W., Bauder, J. W., 1986. Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 1—Physical and Mineralogical
- 611 Methods, SSSA Book Series. Soil Science Society of America, American Society of Agronomy,
- 612 Madison, WI. doi:10.2136/sssabookser5.1.2ed.c15.
- 613 Geng, Y., Wang, D., Yang, W., 2017. Effects of different inundation periods on soil enzyme activity
- 614 in riparian zones in Lijiang. Catena 149, 19–27. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2016.08.004.

- 615 Ghabbour, E.A., Davies, G., 2001. Humic substances: structures, models and functions. Royal
 616 Society of Chemistry.
- 617 Giambastiani, B., 2007. Evoluzione idrologica ed idrogeologica della pineta di S. Vtiale (Ravenna),
 618 PhD Thesis, University of Bologna.
- 619 Gleixner, G., Czimczik, C.J., Kramer, C., Lühker, B., Schmidt, M.W.I., 2001. Plant Compounds and
- 620 Their Turnover and Stabilization as Soil Organic Matter, in: Schulze, E.D., Heimann, M.,
- Harrison, S., Holland, E., Lloyd, J., Prentice, I.C., Schimel, D. (Eds.), Global Biogeochemical
 Cycles and the Physical Climate System, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp. 201–215.

623

624 properties in woodland soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 15, 93–99. doi:10.1016/0038625 0717(83)90124-4.

Harrison, A.F., 1983. Relationship between intensity of phosphatase activity and physico-chemical

- Hollander, M., Wolfe, D.A., Chicken, E., 2013. Nonparametric statistical methods. John Wiley &
 Sons.
- 628 IHSS, 2015. What are Humic Substances? [WWW Document]. URL http://humic-substances.org/
 629 (accessed 12.5.17).
- Joergensen, R.G., Brookes, P.C., 1990. Ninhydrin-reactive nitrogen measurements of microbial
 biomass in 0.5 m K₂SO₄ soil extracts. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 22, 1023–1027.
 doi:10.1016/0038-0717(90)90027-W.
- Kandeler, F., Kampichler, C., Horak, O., 1996. Influence of heavy metals on the functional diversity
 of soil microbial communities. Biology and Fertility of Soils 23, 299–306.
 doi:10.1007/BF00335958.
- Kang, H., Stanley, E.H., 2005. Effects of levees on soil microbial activity in a large river floodplain.
 River Research and Applications 21, 19–25. doi:10.1002/rra.811.
- Kelleher, B.P., Simpson, A.J., 2006. Humic Substances in Soils: Are They Really Chemically
 Distinct? Environmental Science and Technology 40, 4605–4611. doi:10.1021/ES0608085.
- 640 Keller, J.K., Weisenhorn, P.B., Megonigal, J.P., 2009. Humic acids as electron acceptors in wetland

- decomposition. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 41, 1518–1522. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.04.008
- 642 Kennedy, H., Beggins, J., Duarte, C.M., Fourqurean, J.W., Holmer, M., Marbá, N., Middelburg, J.J.,
- 643 2010. Seagrass sediments as a global carbon sink: Isotopic constraints. Global Biogeochemical
 644 Cycles 24, 1–9. doi:10.1029/2010GB003848.
- Kuprevich, V.F., Shcherbakova, T.A., 1971. Comparative enzymatic activity in diverse types of soil,
 in: McLaren A.D., Skujins J.J. (Eds), Soil Biochemistry, vol. 2, Marcel Dekker, New York, pp.
 167–201.
- Laegdsgaard, P., 2006. Ecology, disturbance and restoration of coastal saltmarsh in Australia: a
 review. Wetlands Ecology and Management 14, 379–399. doi:10.1007/s11273-005-8827-z.
- Lehmann, J., Kleber, M., 2015. The contentious nature of soil organic matter. Nature 528, 60.
 doi:10.1038/nature16069.
- Lehmann, J., Solomon, D., Kinyangi, J., Dathe, L., Wirick, S., Jacobsen, C., 2008. Spatial complexity
 of soil organic matter forms at nanometre scales. Nature Geoscience 1, 238–242.
 doi:10.1038/ngeo155.
- Liu, Q., Shurong, W., Yun, Z., Zhongyang, L., Kefa, C., 2008. Mechanism study of wood lignin
 pyrolysis by using TG–FTIR analysis. Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 82, 170–177.
 doi:10.1016/J.JAAP.2008.03.007.
- Loeppert, R.H., Suarez, D.L., 1996. Carbonate and Gypsum, in: D. L. Sparks, A. L. Page, P. A.
- 659 Helmke, R. H. Loeppert, P. N. Soltanpour, M. A. Tabatabai, C. T. Johnston, M. E. Sumner
- (Eds.), Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3. Chemical Methods. SSSA Book Series. Soil Science
 Society of America-American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, pp.437-474.
- Lu, Y., Xu, H., 2014. Distribution characteristic of soil organic carbon fraction in different types of
 wetland in Hongze Lake of China. The Scientific World Journal 2014, 1-5.
 doi:10.1155/2014/487961
- Macreadie, P.I., Hughes, A.R., Kimbro, D.L., D'Odorico, P., McGlathery, K., 2013. Loss of "Blue
 Carbon" from Coastal Salt Marshes Following Habitat Disturbance. PLoS ONE 8, e69244.

- 667 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069244
- Marinari, S., Carbone, S., Vittori Antisari, L., Grego, S., Vianello, G., 2012. Microbial activity and
 functional diversity in Psamment soils in a forested coastal dune-swale system. Geoderma 173–
- 670 174, 249–257. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.12.023
- Marinari, S., Vittori Antisari, L., 2010. Effect of lithological substrate on microbial biomass and
 enzyme activity in brown soil profiles in the northern Apennines (Italy). Pedobiologia 53, 313–
 320. doi:10.1016/j.pedobi.2010.02.004
- Marx, M.C., Wood, M., Jarvis, S.C., 2001. A microplate fluorimetric assay for the study of enzyme
 diversity in soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 33, 1633-1640. doi.org/10.1016/S00380717(01)00079-7.
- Mastrolonardo, G., Francioso, O., Di Foggia, M., Bonora, S., Forte, C., Certini, G., 2015. Soil
 pyrogenic organic matter characterisation by spectroscopic analysis: a study on combustion and
 pyrolysis residues. Journal of Soils and Sediments 15, 769–780. doi:10.1007/s11368-014-1034x
- McLeod, E., Chmura, G.L., Bouillon, S., Salm, R., Björk, M., Duarte, C.M., Lovelock, C.E.,
 Schlesinger, W.H., Silliman, B.R., 2011. A blueprint for blue carbon: toward an improved
 understanding of the role of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO₂. Frontiers in Ecology
 and the Environment 9, 552–560. doi:10.1890/110004
- McVey, S., Schoeneberger, P.J., Turenne, J., Payne, M., Wysocki, D.A., 2012. Subaqueous soils
 (SAS) description, in: Field Book for Describing and Sampling Soils. Natural Resources
 Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center, Lincoln, NE., USA, pp. 2–97.
- Mecozzi, M., Pierantonio, E., 2006. Carbohydrates proteins and lipids in fulvic and humic acids of
 sediments and its relationships with mucilaginous aggregates in the Italian seas. Marine
 Chemistry 101, 27–39. doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2006.01.001
- Middelburg, J.J., Nieuwenhuize, J., 1998. Carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes in suspended matter
 and sediments from the Schelde Estuary. Marine Chemistry 60, 217–225. doi:10.1016/S0304-

693 4203(97)00104-7

- Migani, F., Borghesi, F., Dinelli, E., 2015. Geochemical characterization of surface sediments from
 the northern Adriatic wetlands around the Po river delta. Part I: Bulk composition and relation
- to local background. Journal of Geochemical Exploration 156, 72–88.
 doi:10.1016/j.gexplo.2015.05.003
- Mitra, S., Wassmann, R., Vlek, P.L.G., 2005. An appraisal of global wetlands area and its organic
 carbon stock. Current Science 25–35.
- Morrissey, E.M., Gillespie, J.L., Morina, J.C., Franklin, R.B., 2014. Salinity affects microbial activity
 and soil organic matter content in tidal wetlands. Global Change Biology 20, 1351–62.
 doi:10.1111/gcb.12431
- Nannipieri, P., 1994. The potential use of soil enzymes as indicators of productivity, sustainability
 and pollution, in: Pankhurst, C.E., Double, B.M., Gupta, V.V.S.R., Grace, P.R. (Eds.), Soil
 Biota: Management and Sustainable Farming Systems, CSIRO, Adelaide, pp. 238–244.
- Nannipieri, P., Ceccanti, B., Cervelli, S., Matarese, E., 1980. Extraction of Phosphatase, Urease,
 Proteases, Organic Carbon, and Nitrogen from Soil1. Soil Science Society of America Journal
 44, 1011. doi:10.2136/sssaj1980.03615995004400050028x.
- Nardi, S., Pizzeghello, D., Muscolo, A., Vianello, A., 2002. Physiological effects of humic substances
- 710 on higher plants. Soil biology & Biochemistry 34, 1527-1536. doi.org/10.1016/S0038711 0717(02)00174-8.
- Parsons, J.R., 1988. Isolation of humic substances from soils and sediments, in: Frimmel F.H.,
 Christman, R.F. (Eds), Humic Substances and Their Role in the Environment, John Wiley &
 Sons Ltd., Chichester, pp. 3-14.
- Peterson, B.J., Fry, B., 1987. Stable Isotopes in Ecosystem Studies. Annual Review of Ecology and
 Systematics 18, 293–320. doi:10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.001453
- Pulford, I.D., Tabatabai, M.A., 1988. Effect of waterlogging on enzyme activities in soils. Soil
 Biology & Biochemistry 20, 215–219. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(88)90039-9

- R Core Team, 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing [WWW Document]. URL https://www.r-project.org/
- Rietl, A.J., Overlander, M.E., Nyman, A.J., Jackson, C.R., 2016. Microbial Community Composition
 and Extracellular Enzyme Activities Associated with Juncus roemerianus and Spartina
 alterniflora Vegetated Sediments in Louisiana Saltmarshes. Microbial Ecology 71, 290–303.
 doi:10.1007/s00248-015-0651-2
- Rodríguez-Murillo, J.C., Almedrons, G., Knicker, H., 2011. Wetland soil organic matter composition
 in a Mediterranean semiarid wetland (Las Tablas de Daimiel, Central Spain): Insight into
 different carbon sequestration pathways. Organic Geochemistry 42, 762–773.
 doi:10.1016/J.ORGGEOCHEM.2011.05.007
- Rodríguez-Murillo, J.C., Almendros, G., Knicker, H., 2017. Humic acid composition and
 humification processes in wetland soils of a Mediterranean semiarid wetland. Journal of Soils
 and Sediments 17, 2104–2115. doi:10.1007/s11368-017-1663-y
- Santín, C., González-Pérez, M., Otero, X.L., Ángel Álvarez, M., Macías, F., 2009. Humic substances
 in estuarine soils colonized by Spartina maritima. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 81, 481–
 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2008.12.013
- 735 Santín, C., González-Pérez, M., Otero, X.L., Vidal-Torrado, P., Macías, F., Álvarez, M.Á., 2008.
- Characterization of humic substances in salt marsh soils under sea rush (*Juncus maritimus*).
 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 79, 541–548. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2008.05.007
- Sarathchandra, S.U., Perrott, K.W., Upsdell, M.P., 1984. Microbiological and biochemical
 characteristics of a range of New Zealand soils under established pasture. Soil Biology &
 Biochemistry 16, 177–183. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(84)90109-3
- Schinner, F., Mersi, W. von, 1990. Xylanase-, CM-Cellulase- and Invertase Activity in Soil: An
 Improved Method. Soil Biology & Biochemistry. 22, 511-515.
- Schnitzer, M., Monreal, C.M., 2011. Chapter three Quo vadis Soil Organic Matter Research?: A
 Biological Link to the Chemistry of Humification. Advances in Agronomy 113, 139-213.

- 745 doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-386473-4.00008-7
- Schoeneberger, P., Wysocki, D.A., Benham, E.C.J., 2012. Field book for describing and sampling
 soils, Version 3.0. Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center,
 Lincoln, NE., USA.
- Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, 2010. Global Biodiversity Outlook 3.
 Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Montréal.
- Sharifi, A., Kalin, L., Hantush, M.M., Isik, S., Jordan, T.E., 2013. Carbon dynamics and export from
 flooded wetlands: A modeling approach. Ecological Modelling 263, 196–210.
 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.04.023
- Silvestri, S., Defina, A., Marani, M., 2005. Tidal regime, salinity and salt marsh plant zonation.
 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 62, 119–130. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2004.08.010
- Soil Survey Staff, 2010. Keys to Soil Taxonomy, 12th ed. United States Department of Agriculture,
 Natural Resources Conservation Service, Lincoln, NE., USA.
- 758 Sparling, G.P., 1997. Soil microbial biomass, activity and nutrient cycling as indicators of soil health.
- 759 Stevenson, F.J., 1994. Humus chemistry: genesis, composition, reactions. Wiley and Sons, New
 760 York.
- Surabian, D.A., 2007. Moorings : An Interpretation from the Coastal Zone Soil Survey of Little
 Narragansett Bay , Connecticut and Rhode Island 92, 90–92.
- Tabatabai, M.A., Bremner, J.M., 1970. Arylsulfatase Activity of Soils. Soil Science Society of
 America Journal 34, 225. doi:10.2136/sssaj1970.03615995003400020016x
- Tabatabai, M.A., Bremner, J.M., 1969. Use of *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate for assay of soil phosphatase
 activity. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 1, 301–307. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(69)90012-1
- Teatini, P., Ferronato, M., Gambolati, G., Bertoni, W., Gonella, M., 2005. A century of land
 subsidence in Ravenna, Italy. Environmental Geology 47, 831–846. doi:10.1007/s00254-0041215-9
- 770 Trasar-Cepeda, C., Camiña, F., Leirós, M.C., Gil-Sotres, F., 1999. An improved method to measure

- catalase activity in soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 31, 483–485. doi:10.1016/S00380717(98)00153-9
- Trasar-Cepeda, C., Leirós, M.C., Gil-Sotres, F., 2008. Hydrolytic enzyme activities in agricultural
 and forest soils. Some implications for their use as indicators of soil quality. Soil Biology &
 Biochemistry 40, 2146–2155. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.03.015
- Trasar-Cepeda, M.C., Gil-Sotres, F., 1987. Phosphatase activity in acid high organic matter soils in
 Galicia (NW Spain). Soil Biology & Biochemistry 19, 281–287. doi:10.1016/00380717(87)90010-1
- 779 Trumbore, S.E., 1997. Potential responses of soil organic carbon to global environmental change.
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 94, 8284–91.
- 781 doi:10.1073/PNAS.94.16.8284
- Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S., 1987. An extraction method for measuring soil
 microbial biomass C. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 19, 703–707. doi:10.1016/00380717(87)90052-6
- Veggiani, A., 1974. Le ultime vicende geologiche del ravennate, in: Influenza Di Insediamenti
 Industriali Sul Circostante Ambiente Naturale Studio Sulla Pineta Di San Vitale Di Ravenna.
 Ed Compositori, Bologna.
- Vittori Antisari, L., Marinari, S., Dell'Abate, M.T., Baffi, C., Vianello, G., 2011. Plant cover and
 epipedon SOM stability as factors affecting brown soil profile development and microbial
 activity. Geoderma 161, 212–224. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.12.021.
- 791
- 792
- 793
- 794
- 795
- 796

797 Figure captions:

- Figure 1: Study area, sampling sites and WGS-84 UTM 33T coordinates.
- Figure 2. DRIFT spectra of free (F) and bound (B) humic acids (according to conventional theory)
- 800 extracted from the A horizons of the TES, ITS and SAS systems.
- Figure 3. Percentage of the main peak areas on a) free HA and b) bound HA (according toconventional theory) extracted from the TES, ITS and SAS soils.
- 803 Figure 4. Mean values ± standard error (SE) of microbial biomass carbon (MBC), microbial quotient
- 804 (Qmic) and Synthetic Enzyme Index (SEI) for A, AC and C horizons of the TES, ITS and SAS805 profiles.
- 806 Figure 5. Mean values ± standard error (SE) of specific enzyme activities for A, AC and C horizons
- 807 of the TES, ITS and SAS soil profiles. Data are expressed as mmol H_2O_2 consumed g C⁻¹ h⁻¹ (CAT/C),
- 808 μ mol NH₃ g C⁻¹ h⁻¹ (URE/C), μ mol PNP g C⁻¹ h⁻¹ (ALK/C, ARYL/C, GLU/C), μ mol glucose g⁻¹ h⁻¹
- 809 (INV/C).
- 810
- 811
- 812















Table 1. Assignment of the main bands observed in the SOM FT-IR spectra according to Fooken and Liebezeit (2003), Mecozzi and Pierantonio (2006), and Stevenson (1994)

Wavelength (cm ⁻¹)	Band assignments
3500-3200	O–H stretching vibration in phenols, carboxylic acids and carbohydrates
2952-2850	Symmetric and symmetric C-H stretching
1730-1720	C=O stretching in acids or ketones
1670-1650	C=O stretching in Amide I; aromatic and aliphatic C=C stretching; C=N
	stretching; H-bonded conjugated ketones, carboxyls and quinones
1570-1515	Aromatic C=C stretching, aromatic skeletal vibrations, aromatic skeletal
	(lignin), amide II; carboxylates
1440-1340	C-H deformation of CH ₂ and CH ₃ groups and/or to symmetric stretching of
	COO- groups
1260-1216	Amide III, C–O stretching and O–H deformation of COOH groups and to C–
	O stretching of aryl ethers and phenols

Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of soil horizons (A, AC and C horizons) in the different ecosystems (TES, ITS, SAS). Mean values and Standard Error (SE) for each group are shown. "na"= not available (because of lack of sufficient material).

		pН	-	EC		San	d	Sil	t	Clay	y	CaC	D ₃	Ca	
				dS m	l ⁻¹	%	%			%		g kg	-1	g kg	5 -1
		Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	Mean SE		Mean SE		SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
	TES	8	0.1	12	3	58.2	8.8	30.1	6.8	11.7	3.1	6.3	1.2	31.5	3.6
A	ITS	7.5	0.2	24.9	2.6	16.5	1.9	64.2	5.2	19.3	3.6	3.3	1.4	17.2	4.1
	SAS	8.3	0.2	8.1	1.4	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	36.7	13.2
	TES	8.4	0.1	6.1	0.6	57.3	11.8	36.3	10.6	6.4	1.3	12.9	0.8	51.4	1.8
AC	ITS	8.2	0.1	10.2	0.9	40.8	14.6	51.6	14.3	7.6	1	9.6	2.3	36	7.8
	SAS	8.3	0.1	7.2	0.8	39.3	15.9	53.6	14.4	7.1	1.5	15.1	1	57.1	2.2
	TES	8.5	0.1	5.7	0.9	65.5	13	28.2	12.5	6.3	1.1	15.1	0.9	59.6	2.7
С	ITS	8.5	0	8.1	1.5	53.7	15.9	42	15.8	4.4	0.7	13.4	0.7	52.8	0.9
	SAS	8.5	0.1	5.1	0.8	72.6	6.6	26.5	9.8	5.2	1.3	15.7	0.6	59.8	1.7

		то	2	δ ¹³ C	į	TN		Na		Р		S	
		g kg	-1	‰		g kg	-1	g kg	-1	g kg	-1	g kg	-1
		Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
	TES	51.6	15.1	-25.9	0.3	4.7	1.5	6.5	0.9	0.7	0.1	1.3	0.6
Α	ITS	111.1	17.4	-25.1	0.6	11.1	1.9	9.9	1	1	0.1	4.6	1.2
	SAS	38.3	17.7	-23.8	0.9	6	3.1	13.9	1.3	0.5	0.1	8.2	1.2
	TES	5.9	0.9	-25	0.2	0.6	0.1	5.6	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.1
AC	ITS	6.1	1.4	-25	0.3	0.7	0.2	8.6	1.3	0.6	0.1	0.6	0.1
	SAS	5.1	1	-25.3	0.3	0.9	0.3	6.7	1	0.5	0.1	1.7	0.5
		•		• • •						~ -			
	TES	3.8	1.1	-24.8	0.1	0.4	0.1	5.2	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1
С	ITS	4.7	0.7	-23.9	0.2	0.5	0.1	5.3	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1
	SAS	2	0.4	-25.3	0.3	0.3	0	4.3	0.6	0.4	0	0.7	0.1

Table 3. Results of the Kruskal-Wallis test based on the grouping of A, AC and C horizons from the three salt marsh ecosystems (TE, IT and SA). nd= not determined; ns= not significant; * P <0.05, ** P <0.01.

		рН	EC	Sand	Silt	Clay	CaCO ₃	Ca	TOC	δ ¹³ C	Ν	Na	Р	S
	TES vs ITS	*	*	nd	nd	nd	ns	*	*	ns	ns	*	*	**
Α	TES vs SAS	*	*	nd	nd	nd	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	**	ns	**
	ITS vs SAS	**	**	nd	nd	nd	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	**	ns
	TES vs ITS	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
AC	TES vs SAS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*
	ITS vs SAS	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
C	TES vs ITS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
C	TES vs SAS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
	ITS vs SAS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

		САТ	۲	URE		ALK	Р	GLU	J	ARY	L	INV	7
		mmol H ₂ O	2 g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	μmol NH ₃	g-1 h-1	µmol PNP	g-1 h-1	µmol PNP	g-1 h-1	µmol PNP	g-1 h-1	µmol glucos	se g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹
		mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE
	TES	17.4	4.2	2.9	0.7	3	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.1	12.1	4.4
Α	ITS	22.5	4.8	2.2	0.6	3.3	1	0.4	0.1	0.6	0.2	11.6	2.2
	SAS	13.9	0.7	1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.6	0	1.6	0.1
	TES	7	2.8	0.5	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.7	0.2
AC	ITS	4.5	1.3	0.6	0.3	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.1	1.4	0.5
	SAS	10.1	2.5	0.4	0.1	0.5	0	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.1
	TES	4.9	2.3	0.6	0.1	0.3	0	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.1
С	ITS	6.7	5.3	0.4	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.4	0
	SAS	5.1	1.1	0.3	0	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.2	0

Table 4. Mean values (± standard error, SE) of enzyme activities in the A, AC and C horizons of the TE, IT and SA soil profiles.

Table 5. Results of the Kruskal Wallis test based on the single A, AC and C horizons of three salt marsh ecosystems (TE, IT and SA). ns= not significant; * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001.

		MBC	Qmic	SEI	CAT	URE	ALK	INV	GLU	ARYL	CAT/C	URE/C	ALK/C	INV/C	GLU/C	ARYL/C
	TES vs ITS	ns	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns						
A	TES vs SAS	***	***	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	***	**	ns
	ITS vs SAS	***	*	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	*	ns	ns
	TES vs ITS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns
AC	TES vs SAS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns
	ITS vs SAS	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
C	TES vs ITS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns
C	TES vs SAS	*	ns	ns	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	*	ns	ns
	ITS vs SAS	*	ns	ns	ns	**	ns	*	ns	ns	**	*	ns	ns	ns	ns

Self condition Self condition And is an isotropy Tex And is an isotropy And isotropy And is an isotropy And isotropy				D (1		Color N	Junsell		ше		C • •		(Concentratio	ns			Sulphide detection			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Soil Classification	Soil System	Master	Depth (cm)	Bound.			Text.	HC (cm h ⁻¹)	Structure	/Fluidity	Mot	tles								
Tarp Tar Solid So				(em)		Moist	Dry		(((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((/i luluity	I	II	Roots	Bio	Other	RXF	H ₂ O ₂	Odor	рН _{іп.}	pH _{fin.}
Data many basis DS C. DS (2) DS (2) DS (2)<	.		A1	0-5	A/W	10YR 3/2	10YR 4/3	SL	7.59	SBK/1/f	so/po			c/f-m	-/955			N	N	7.98	nd
Tampane in the image	Typic	TES	A2	5-15/19	C/W	10 Y K 3/2	2,5 Y 5/2	SL	11.67	SBK/1/I SC/0/f	so/po			c/I-m	C/SFB		f/E2M	N	N	8.14	nd
Type Parameteria IK Al. 6.5 A.W. 1998 (1) 900 900 (1) 900 900 (1) 900 900 (1) 900 900 (1) 900 900 (1) 900 900 (1) 900 900 (1) 900	i sammaquent		AC Co	37+	U/W	2 5V 4/4	2 5Y 6/3	s	3.67	SG/0/1 SG/0/f	so/po			1/1 f/f	1/365		1/ F 2 IVI	N	N N	8.65	nd
Parameter Parameter Sec. 2473 Control Contro			A1	0-5	A/W	10YR 3/2	10YR 4/1	SL.	9.06	SBK/1/m	so/po			c/f-m	f/SFB			N	N	8.42	nd
Dammangent IN X. 172/82 G.W. 107/84 1010 Skiff mark mark Model N	Typic	TER	A2	5-17/20	C/W	10YR 3/2	10YR 5/2	SL	4.09	SBK/1/m	so/po			c/f-m	1010			N	N	8.42	nd
Fig. 3 VI VI VI VI V	Psammaquent	TES	AC	17/20-28	G/W	10YR 4/2	10YR 6/3	S	10.02	SG/0/f	so/po			f/f	f/SFB	f/OAF		N	N	8.61	nd
Type Oil 2.5.0 No. No.<			Cg	28+	U	5Y 5/1	10YR 6/3	S	12.83	SG/0/f	so/po	c/d - 10YR 5/6		Vf/f				N	N	8.61	nd
Paramagener Parama			Oi	2.5-0	A/S	5Y 3/1	5Y 4/2	MK		nd	ss/p			m/f; c/d	m;c/RSF			Y	S/ST	7.47	7.40
Parmagene IPs Accord State IPS Add State IPS Add IPS Add N	Туріс		A	0-8/9	A/S	5Y 3/1	5Y 3/2	S	0.40					m/f-m							
A.A. Dial Dial Dial Dial Dial Dial Dial Dial No. No. <t< td=""><td>Psammaquent</td><td>ITS</td><td>AC</td><td>8/9-19/20</td><td>A/W</td><td>10YR 3/1</td><td>10YR 4/2</td><td><u>S</u></td><td>2.65</td><td>SBK/1/m</td><td>so/po</td><td></td><td></td><td>m/f; c/d</td><td>/DEC</td><td>2/005</td><td>c/F3M</td><td>N</td><td>N</td><td>7.98</td><td>7.35</td></t<>	Psammaquent	ITS	AC	8/9-19/20	A/W	10YR 3/1	10YR 4/2	<u>S</u>	2.65	SBK/1/m	so/po			m/f; c/d	/DEC	2/005	c/F3M	N	N	7.98	7.35
Figure Reserved: Paramanonancert List Solid			AC2g	19/20-30	A/W	5Y 3/2	2.5Y 6/2	<u> </u>	8.44	SG/0/1 SC/0/f	so/po			C/I	C/RFS	m2/OSF	m/FED	N	N	8.04	nd
Image: participant series of the se			<u></u>	0.5	15	2.51 4/2	2.51 0/5	5 MV	10.82	50/0/1	so/po			I/U				V	IN S/ST	0.31	7 22
Invention Add 10% of 1			 	5-17	CW	N 2 5/0	5V 5/2	S NIK	11.85	nd	visf							V	S/MD	8 62	7.35
Parameter Sec S			AC	17-60	GW	10Y 4/1	5Y 6/2	5	12.09	nd						f/OSF	m/F3M	N	N	8 94	nd
Pramovisent 2A.Q. 84.86 A.W. 7777 7777	Fluventic	SAS	2C	60-84	AS	10Y 5/1	5Y 6/2	S	11.67	nd	nf				cSFB	1/001	RMX	N	N	8.85	nd
image image <th< td=""><td>Psammowassent</td><td></td><td>2ACg</td><td>84-86</td><td>AW</td><td>???</td><td>???</td><td></td><td></td><td>nd</td><td>sf</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>m/OSF</td><td></td><td>Y</td><td>S/SL</td><td>nd</td><td>7.35</td></th<>	Psammowassent		2ACg	84-86	AW	???	???			nd	sf					m/OSF		Y	S/SL	nd	7.35
Problem Circle 100-130 U N.50 SY 0/1 S 8.44 m/d m/d SSE m/d SSE m/d N			3Cg	86-109	CW	10Y 5/1	5Y 6/2	S	8.78	nd	nf							N	N	nd	nd
Process Process <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Cg</td><td>109-136+</td><td>U</td><td>N 5/0</td><td>5Y 6/1</td><td>S</td><td>8.44</td><td>nd</td><td>nf</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>f/OSF</td><td>m/F3M</td><td>Ν</td><td>N</td><td>8.60</td><td>nd</td></t<>			Cg	109-136+	U	N 5/0	5Y 6/1	S	8.44	nd	nf					f/OSF	m/F3M	Ν	N	8.60	nd
Price Endoaquent Fib Add Q Q Q Q Q Q Q N			OA	0-2	A/S	10YR 2/1	10YR 4/1	SL	2.31	GR/1/f	so/ps			m/vf	f/SFB			N	N	7.98	nd
Tpic Endoagnent F A2 4-10 A/W 10/18/23<			A1	2-4	A/S	10YR 3/1	10YR 4/1	SL	3.45	GR/1/f	so/ps			m/vf				N	N	7.88	nd
AC 10-22 CW 107K 2 2,57 K 3 57 K 3	Typic Endoaquent	TES	A2	4-10	A/W	10YR4/3	10YR 5/2	LS	4.35	SBK/2/m	ss/p	f/f - 10YR 5/8		f/f				N	N	8.08	nd
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			AC	10-22	C/W	10YR 4/2	2,5Y 6/3	LS	4.04	SBK/3/m	so/ps	c/d - 10YR 5/8	f/f - 2.5Y 5/1	f/f-m	(255	0/0 GD		N	N	8.45	nd
Typic Endoaquent Tes Oi 1/3 A/S 2/ST k2/S 2/ST k2/S NK 0.1 nd modAs modAs NK NK 1/S nd AC 3.15 C/W 2.5Y k3/S 1.6/S 0.5/S N <n< td=""> 7.5/S 0.5/S N<n< td=""> 7.5/S 0.5/S N<n< td=""> 7.5/S 0.5/S N<n< td=""> 7.5/S 0.5/S N<n< td=""> 7.5/S N<n< td=""> 8.6 7.6/S 0.5/S N<n< td=""> 8.6/S 7.2/S N/S 0</n<></n<></n<></n<></n<></n<></n<></n<></n<></n<>			Cg	22+	0	5Y 5/1	2.5 Y 6/3	LS	3.71	Massivo	s/p	c/t -10YR 5/6			m/SFB	t/OSF		N	N	8.42	nd
Typic Endaquent TES A. C 3/3 N 3/3 N 1/3 N 4/2 MK 1/1 m/m m/m <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1-0</td> <td>A/S</td> <td>2.5 Y 2.5/1</td> <td>2.5 Y 3/2</td> <td>MK</td> <td>0.35</td> <td>nd</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ma /s ef</td> <td>f/SED</td> <td>m/OAF</td> <td>DMV</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td>7.55</td> <td>nd</td>				1-0	A/S	2.5 Y 2.5/1	2.5 Y 3/2	MK	0.35	nd				ma /s ef	f/SED	m/OAF	DMV	N	N	7.55	nd
No. St.0 Cit 2.5% Cit 3.46 massive svipu Cit Dic Dic N	Typic Endoaquent	TES	A	3-15	A/5 C/W	2 5V 5/3	2 5V 6/3	INIK.	2.60	Massivo	s/n	c/d - 7 5VR 4/6	f/f - 2 5V 5/1	c/f-m	f/RSB	f/OSE	c/FMM	N	IN N	8.13	nd
Typic Endoaquent TRS 0.5 0 A 0.5 0 A AS 107 32 2.5 3/32 MK 2.76 at 2.5 4/1 m/d	Typic Endoaquent		Co	15+	U U	2.5Y 5/2	2.51 0/3	LA	3 46	massivo	sv/nv	c/f 2 5Y 4/1	1/1 - 2.3 1 3/1	C/ 1-111	1/1(3)	1/051	C/1 WIWI	N	N	8 28	nd
Typic Endoaquent Fragment A 0.4 A/S 7.5YR.33 7.5YR.34 Microscol microscol model m			Oi	0.5-0	A/S	10Y 3/2	2.5Y 3/2	MK	2.73	nd	50001	012.01 11				m/OAF		N	N	7.84	nd
Type Endosquent HS AC 4.23 C/W 5Y 5/2 SY 6/2 LA 3.41 ABK/2m sp cf-'SY 4/1 fd-10VR 5/6 ff fr RSB e/F3M N N 8.45 nd Typic A1 0-11 AW 10BG 2,51 nd MK nd vf = cc/SF V N 8.45 nd 7.29 Typic A2 11-38 AW 106 4/1 nd MK nd vf< st rd rd </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>A</td> <td>0-4</td> <td>A/S</td> <td>7.5YR 3/3</td> <td>7.5YR 4/1</td> <td>MK</td> <td>2.76</td> <td>nd</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>m/vf</td> <td></td> <td>c/OSF</td> <td></td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td>7.61</td> <td>nd</td>			A	0-4	A/S	7.5YR 3/3	7.5YR 4/1	MK	2.76	nd				m/vf		c/OSF		N	N	7.61	nd
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Typic Endoaquent	ns	AC	4-23	C/W	5Y 5/2	5Y 6/2	LA	3.41	ABK/2/m	s/p	c/f - 5Y 4/1	f/d - 10YR 5/6	f/f	f/RSB		c/F3M	N	N	8.45	nd
Physic Al 0-11 AW 1096 $2,51$ and MS nd vf st md v29 Purvessent A2 11-28 AW 1006 41 nd S38 nd nf cdm cdm S58 nd st st< s			Cg	23+	U	N 3/0	5Y 6/2	LA	3.88	massivo	s/p	f/d - 5Y 5/6				c/OSF		Y	N	8.46	7.66
Tpic Hurivasent A2 11-28 AW 106 4/1 nd sfl cdt, mf rdt, mf <			A1	0-11	AW	10BG 2,5/1	nd	MK		nd	vf				c;m/SFB			Y	S/ST	nd	7.29
Flux wasent SAS AC 28-66/67 AI 101/41 nd 3.38 nd nf Eff	Typic		A2	11-28	AW	10G 4/1	nd			nd	sf			c/d; m/f				Y	S/SL	7.93	7.38
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Fluviwassent	SAS	AC	28-66/67	AI	10Y 4/1	nd		3.38	nd	nf			f/f	f/RSB			N	N	8.40	nd
Variable			1C	66/67-80	AW	10Y 4/1	nd	S	3.71	nd	nf				0/255	f/OSF	c/CLD	N	N	8.42	nd
Typic Endoaquent Trypic Endoaquent Trypic Endoaquent Image: Constraint of the state of			20	80-110+	U	10Y 3/1	nd	5	5.37	nd	st				I/SFB	C/OSF		N	N R/OI	8.17	nd
Typic Endoaquent TES AZ 4+14 C/W 2.31 a/3 2.31 a/3 3.20 SDK/3/m SSp C/Image of the control of the			UA A2	0-4	A/W	10YK 3/1	10YK 3/2	LS	3.03	GR/2/1	so/ps			m/I-m	f/SED			N	S/SL N	/./8	8.06
$ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} = \frac{19.56}{10.9} + \frac{10}{\sqrt{5}} = \frac{10}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{10}{\sqrt{5}}$	Typic Endoaquent	TES	AZ AC	4-14	C/W G/W	2.51 4/5 2 5V 5/3	2.51 5/2 2.5V 6/3	LO	3.20	SBK/3/III SBK/2/m	ss/p	c/d - 10VR 5/6	f/d - 2.5V 6/1	f/m	c/SFB			N	IN N	8 16	nd
Note m/OAF Y S/ST nd 8.09 Typic Endoaquent ITS AC 3-10 G/W 10Y 3/2 ???? MK 0.89 m//f m//G m/OAF Y S/ST nd 8.09 Typic Endoaquent ITS AC 3-10 G/W 10Y 3/2 10Y 8/2 SS LA 3.87 massivo s/p f/d - 2.5Y 5/6 f/f f/SFB c/f3M N N 8.99 nd Typic Endoaquent TS AC 3-10 G/W 10Y 2/1 SY 6/2 SL 4.08 massivo s/p f/d - 2.5Y 5/6 f/f f/SFB c/f3M N N 8.24 nd Typic Endoaquent TS A1 0-3 AS 10YR 3/2			Cg	38-56+	U	5Y 5/3	2.5Y 6/3	LS	5 92	SBK/2/f-m	s/p	m/f - 5Y 5/6	c/d - 10YR 5/8	1/111	m/SFB			N	N	8.61	nd
Typic Endoaquent A1 0-3 AS 10YR 3/2 10YR 4/4 MK 0.89 m/vf-f N 6.96 nd AC 3-10 G/W 10Y 2.50 N 7/0 LA 3.87 massivo s/p f/d - 2.5Y 5/6 f/f c_{RSB}^{-1} c/F3M N N 8.24 nd Cg 10+ U 10Y 5/1 5Y 6/2 SL 4.08 massivo s/p f/d - 2.5Y 5/6 f/f c_{RSB}^{-1} c/F3M N N 8.24 nd Typic Endoaquent 0f 4-0 AS 10YR 3/2 10YR 4/1 MK 2.12 N N N N 8.29 nd AC 3-20 G/W 5Y 5/1 5Y 6/2 3.71 massivo s/p f/d - 0.10YR 5/6 f/f m/r N N N 8.41 nd Q 20+ U N 2/50 5Y 6/2 S 4.89 SG0/f so/p r r<7.26<			Oi	0.5-0	AS	10Y 3/2	210 1 0/2	MK	0.92	00102/111	50/p5	1121 01 0/0	ord formerro		in or b	m/OAF		Y	S/ST	nd	8.09
Typic Endoaquent Ifs AC 3-10 G/W 10Y 2.5/0 N 7/0 LA 3.87 massivo s/p f/d - 2.5Y 5/6 f/f c/RSB; f/SFB c/F3M N N 8.24 nd Cg 10+ U 10Y 5/1 5Y 6/2 SL 4.08 massivo ss/ps f/d - 2.5Y 5/6 f/f f/SFB c/F3M N N 8.24 nd Typic Endoaquent 0 4-0 AS 10YR 3/2 10YR 4/1 MK 2.12 m/vf-f m/vf-ff c/OSF f/fMM Y N 8.29 nd AC 3-20 G/W 5Y 5/1 5Y 6/2 3.71 massivo s/p m/r m/vf-ff c/OSF f/fMM N N 8.29 nd Cg 20+ U N2/0 5Y 6/2 S 4.89 SG0/f so/po m/r <m r<<="" r<m="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Al</td><td>0-3</td><td>AS</td><td>10YR 3/2</td><td>10YR 4/4</td><td>MK</td><td>0.89</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>m/vf-f</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>N</td><td>N</td><td>6.96</td><td>nd</td></m>			Al	0-3	AS	10YR 3/2	10YR 4/4	MK	0.89					m/vf-f				N	N	6.96	nd
Ke S-10 O/W 101 2.30 N/0 LA 5.87 Inasivo Sp D/L 2.31 5/0 D/L f/SFB CF3M N N S.24 nd Typic Endoaquent Cg 10+ U 107 S 3/2 SY 6/2 SL 4.08 massivo ss/ps m/c 5/SFB V SL 8.49 7.61 Typic Endoaquent A1 0-3 AS 107 S 3/2 107 H MK 2.12 m/c c/OSF 0/FMM Y N 8.29 nd AC 3-20 G/W 5Y 4/1 5Y 7/2 4.12 massivo s/p - c/OSF 0/FMM N N 8.41 nd Cg 20+ U N2,50 SY 6/2 S 4.89 SG/0/ so/po - c/FSB N N N 8.41 nd Cg 20+ U N2,50 SY 6/2 S 4.89 SG/0/F so/po <t< td=""><td>Typic Endoaquent</td><td>ITS</td><td>AC</td><td>2 10</td><td>C/W</td><td>101/25/0</td><td>N 7/0</td><td>ТА</td><td>2 07</td><td>mossimo</td><td>alm</td><td>6/A 25V5/6</td><td></td><td>E/E</td><td>c/RSB;</td><td></td><td>«/E2M</td><td>N</td><td>N</td><td>0 74</td><td></td></t<>	Typic Endoaquent	ITS	AC	2 10	C/W	101/25/0	N 7/0	ТА	2 07	mossimo	alm	6/A 25V5/6		E/E	c/RSB;		«/E2M	N	N	0 74	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			AC	3-10	G/W	104 2.5/0	IN 7/0	LA	3.87	massivo	s/p	1/0 - 2.5 ¥ 5/6		I/I	f/SFB		C/F 3IVI	IN	IN	8.24	na
Typic Endoaquent Oi 4-0 AS 10YR 3/2 10YR 3/2 10YR 4/1 MK 2.12 m/r/f m/r/f K 7.01 7.50 Typic Endoaquent A1 0-3 AS 5Y 4/1 5Y 6/2 3.71 massivo s/p f/d-10YR 5/6 f/f-m c/OSF 0/FMM Y N 8.29 nd AC 3-20 G/W 5Y 4/1 5Y 7/2 4.12 massivo s/p c/FMM N 8.41 nd nd Cg 20+ U N 2.50 5Y 6/2 S 4.89 SG/0f so/p f/SE f/SE N N N nd nd A1 0-3/4 AS N 2.50 T/G S 4.03 SG/0f so/p m/f m/RSB f/SE N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N			Cg	10+	U	10Y 5/1	5Y 6/2	SL	4.08	massivo	ss/ps					f/OSF		Y	S/SL	8.49	7.61
Typic Endoaquent ITS A1 0-3 AS 5Y 5/1 5Y 6/2 3.71 massivo s/p f/d - 10YR 5/6 f/f m c/OSF f/FMM Y N 8.29 nd AC 3-20 G/W 5Y 4/1 5Y 7/2 4.12 massivo s/p c/F3M N N 8.41 nd Cg 20+ U N 2.50 5Y 6/2 S 4.89 SG/0/f so/po f/f m/f m/f N N N nd 7.26 A1 0-3/4 AS N 2,5/0 nd M M N 2.52 7.78 Fluviassent A1 0-3/4 AS 2.5Y 3/2 nd 1.76 nf c/d c/RSB m/FMM N N 8.46 nd A2 3/4-18/19 AW 2.5Y 3/2 nd 1.76 nf c/d c/RSB m/FMM N N 8.46 nd 2ACg 62-76			Oi	4-0	AS	10YR 3/2	10YR 4/1	MK	2.12					m/vf-f				Ν	S/SL	7.01	7.50
AC 5-20 G/W 5Y 4/1 5Y 7/2 4.12 massivo s/p c/F3M N N 8.41 nd Cg 20+ U N2.5/0 5Y 6/2 S 4.89 SG/0/f so/po f/RSB; f/OSF N	Typic Endoaquent	ITS	A1	0-3	AS	5Y 5/1	5Y 6/2		3.71	massivo	s/p	t/d - 10YR 5/6		f/f-m		c/OSF	f/FMM	Y	N	8.29	nd
Typic Cg 20+ U N 2,5/0 N 10/2 S 4.89 S0/01 80/po UKSB; UOSF N			AC	3-20	G/W	5Y 4/1	5Y 7/2	5	4.12	massivo	s/p				f/DOD-	f/OEE	c/F3M	N	N	8.41	nd
Typic Fluviwassent A1 0-3/4 AS IN 2,5/0 nd MIX SI m/1 m/KSB Y SI nd /.26 A2 3/4-18/19 AW 2,5Y 4/2 nd 3.23 nf Y S/SL 8.22 7.78 Fluviwassent 1ACg 18/19-62 AW 2,5Y 3/2 nd 1.76 nf C/d c/RSB m/FMM N 8.46 nd 2ACg 62-76 A1 10Y 4/1 nd 3.60 sf f/f f/RSB c2/OSF c/FMN N N 8.43 nd Cg 76-109+ U 10Y 3/1 nd S 4.85 nf f/f f/RSB f2/OSF f/F3M N N 8.39 nd			<u></u>	20+	0	IN 2.5/0	5Y 0/2	5 MV	4.89	50/0/1	so/po				I/K5B;	1/USF		IN V	IN C/CT	na	7.26
Typic Fluviwassent SAS $\frac{1}{1ACg}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{3}{12}$ $\frac{3}{12}$ $\frac{3}{12}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{3}{22}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ Fluviwassent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0			A1 A2	3/4-18/10	AS	1N 2,5/0	na nd	MK	3 23		si			m/I	m/KSB			Y V	5/51	8 22	7.20
Fluviwassent International and the second seco	Typic Fluviwassent	SAS	1AC 9	18/19_67	AW	2,51 4/2 2 5V 3/2	nu nd		1 76					c/d	c/RSR		m/FMM	N N	N N	8 46	nd
Cg 76-109+ U 10Y 3/1 nd S 4.85 nf f/f f/RSB f2/OSF f/H3M N N 8.39 nd		546	2ACg	62-76	AI	10Y 4/1	nd		3 60		sf			f/f	f/RSB	c2/OSF	c/FMN	N	N	8 31	nd
			Cg	76-109+	U	10Y 3/1	nd	S	4.85		nf			f/f	f/RSB	f2/OSF	f/F3M	N	N	8.39	nd

Table S1. Morphological soil classification (McVey et al., 2012; Schoeneberger et al., 2012).

Soil System: TES = Terrestrial soils; ITS = intertidal soils; SAS = subaqueous soils --- Horizon master (Master): g = strong gleying, se = presence of sulphides --- Horizon boundary (Bound.): Distinctness: A = abrupt, C = clear, G = gradual, D = diffuse / Topography: S = smooth, W = wavy, I = irregular, U = unknown --- Mottles Quantity: f = few, c = common, m = many / Contrast: f = faint, d = distinct --- Texture Field estimation (Text.): MK = mucky, L = Loam, LS = Loamy Sand, S = sand, SL = Sandy Loam, SICL = Silty Clay Loam, SIL = Silt Loam --- Hydraulyc Conductibility (HC) --- Structure (T) Type: GR = granular, ABK= angular blocky, SBK = subangular blocky, SG = single grain / (G) Grade: 0 = structureless, 1 = weak, 2 = moderate / (S) Size: vf = very fine, f = fine, m = medium --- Consistence: Stickiness: so = non-sticky, ss = slightly sticky, s = moderately sticky, sv = very sticky / Plasticity: po = non-plastic, ps = slightly plastic, p = moderately plastic, pv = very plastic / Fluidity: nf = non fluid; sl = slightly fluid; mf = moderately fluid; vf = very fluid; --- Mottles Quantity: f = few, c = common, m = many / (K) Kind: RSB = root sheaths, SFB = shell fragments. Other (Coats/films and redoximorphic features): Quantity: f = few, c = common, m = many / (K) Kind: RSB = root sheaths, SFD = shell fragments. Other (Coats/films and redoximorphic features): Quantity: f = few, c = common, m = many / (K) Kind: RSB = ferriargillans coats, F2M = reduced iron Fe2+ masses, FMM = iron-manganese masses, OAF = organoargillans concentrations, OSF = organic stains concentrations, RMX = reduced matrix --- H2O2 color change: Y= yes; N = no; Odour: (K) Kind: S = sulforous; N = none; (I) Intensity: SL = slight; M D = moderate; ST = strong.