

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Converged Fronthaul/Backhaul based on Integrated Hybrid Optical Networks

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Federico Tonini, C.R. (2018). Converged Fronthaul/Backhaul based on Integrated Hybrid Optical Networks. Piscataway, USA : IEEE [10.1109/ACP.2018.8595915].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/658267> since: 2020-02-13

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1109/ACP.2018.8595915>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the post peer-review accepted manuscript of:

F. Tonini, C. Raffaelli, B. M. Khorsandi, S. Bjornstad and R. Veisllari, "Converged Fronthaul/Backhaul based on Integrated Hybrid Optical Networks," 2018 Asia Communications and Photonics Conference (ACP), Hangzhou, 2018, pp. 1-3.

The published version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1109/ACP.2018.8595915>

© 2018 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

Converged Fronthaul/Backhaul based on Integrated Hybrid Optical Networks

Federico Tonini
DEI
University of Bologna
Bologna, Italy
f.tonini@unibo.it

Carla Raffaelli
DEI
University of Bologna
Bologna, Italy
carla.raffaelli@unibo.it

Bahare M. Khorsandi
DEI
University of Bologna
Bologna, Italy
bahare.masood@unibo.it

Steinar Bjornstad
Transpacket
AS
Oslo, Norway
steinar.bjornstad@transpacket.com

Raimena Veisllari
Transpacket
AS
Oslo, Norway
raimena.veisllari@transpacket.com

Abstract—Integrated hybrid optical network is investigated to carry both fronthaul and backhaul traffic on an Ethernet optical channel. Evaluation of the additional backhaul throughput is presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of the approach.

Index Terms—hybrid optical networks, fronthaul, backhaul, C-RAN, convergence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Centralized/Cloud Radio Access Network (C-RAN) is a promising technique enhancing mobile network performance and flexibility while reducing the network cost [1]. Common Public Radio Interface (CPRI) [2] is nowadays the most common protocol to transport data in the fronthaul (FH) segment of C-RAN between radio sites and baseband hotels/mobile edge computing. Being a TDM-based protocol, it poses strict capacity, latency and latency variation requirements on the transport network. More recent packet-based functional splitting protocols, such as eCPRI [2], have been released, while splits proposed by 3GPP [3] are on their way of standardization. However, so far, the majority of the proposed splits concern the radio physical layer and do not relax the strict delay requirements on the FH transport.

In recent proposals [1], the highly demanding traffic generated by these functional splits is transported together with traditional backhaul (BH) traffic over a common optical infrastructure by sharing the same fiber links using different wavelengths. WDM solutions solve the latency issues but may limit system scalability and lead to low resource utilization in the presence of many antennas. This is due to the provisioning of one wavelength channel per antenna/sector. Hence, a technique for improving the optical channel utilization while meeting the strict performance requirements is investigated in this paper.

A packet-based integrated hybrid optical network (IHON) with ultra-low packet delay variation (PDV) services and statistically multiplexed traffic, is proposed in [4]. Implementation based on Ethernet has been demonstrated in C-

RAN [8], assuming CPRI traffic transparently transported by a wavelength and using the leftover capacity for BH traffic. However, to prevent the FH traffic from PDV caused by BH traffic insertion, IHON adds a fixed delay, corresponding to the maximum duration of a BH frame, to all FH packets.

In this work the IHON architecture is extended with preemption, allowing the additional delay on the FH traffic to be lowered and independent of the maximum BH frame size. IEEE Time Sensitive Networking (TSN) group has proposed a FH standard, the IEEE 802.1CM [9], which assumes high priority FH frames to preempt lower priority BH frames, to introduce low delay. However, due to the time needed by the preemption process, the PDV is not minimized. Preemption in IHON, instead, allows lowered delay and zero PDV. Results obtained by simulation demonstrates that the approach reaches a remarkable throughput of the BH traffic on the shared Ethernet optical channel and hence, a good exploitation of the leftover FH capacity.




Fig. 1. Converged fronthaul/backhaul scenario.

II. INTEGRATED HYBRID OPTICAL NETWORK IN C-RAN

Fig. 1 shows a sample ring topology implementing a C-RAN. The baseband unit (BBU) serving a remote radio head

(RRH) can be activated in different hotels for resource optimization, service continuity or energy efficiency, thus requiring dynamic association between RRHs and BBUs. Moreover, traditional base stations (e.g., LTE eNB) may also be present in the same area, requiring connection to the core network. As a consequence, both FH and BH traffic need to be transferred on each network segment.

IHON was first proposed in [4], analyzed in [5] and experimentally proved in [6]. It consists of a packet-based technique which can be implemented in an Ethernet switch, enhanced with guaranteed time transparent service. A fixed delay (Δ) is added to guaranteed traffic so that statistically multiplexed traffic, transmitted on the same optical channel, is allowed to be preempted by guaranteed traffic, while introducing minimum delay and zero PDV (fig. 2).

Here, IHON is applied to a network segment of a C-RAN where FH traffic, i.e. CPRI flow encapsulated in Ethernet frames [7], is identified as the guaranteed service, with zero PDV, while BH traffic is dealt with preemption. IHON eliminates PDV of the FH traffic because the fixed delay Δ enables a time-window which gives sufficient time for processing and decision of BH packet preemption. This goes beyond, e.g., the IEEE 802.1Qbu preemption recommended in the IEEE 802.1CM standard for FH, where FH packets may experience anyway PDV corresponding to the service time of 155 Byte. Hence, IHON is here characterized in relation to the C-RAN context with CPRI over Ethernet (CPRIoE). In particular, with reference to fig. 2, the FH inter-packet gap T_{gap} will be expressed in relation to CPRI characteristics.




Fig. 2. IHON multiplexing scheme

III. C-RAN TRAFFIC CHARACTERIZATION USING IHON

CPRIoE traffic characterization has been analyzed in [7]. Parameters used in this study are reported in Tab.I. RRHs generate CPRI flows at different rates (R_{CPRI}) set by the standard [2]. Each flow is composed of CPRI basic frames with fixed duration $T_{CPRI} = 260$ ns. A certain number of CPRI basic frames (N_F) are encapsulated in an Ethernet frame forming the CPRIoE payload of length: $L_F = N_F * R_{CPRI} * T_{CPRI}$. CPRIoE frames are then sent by RRHs towards IHON switches, where they are delayed by Δ . Also conventional BH traffic reaches the switches, loading the output channels with parameter ρ_B . The length of BH frames is considered to be exponentially distributed with parameter L_B . IHON switches




Fig. 3. BH success probability as a function of payload length L_F for different BH payloads L_B using CPRI opt. 1 and 6.

have m output channels, each characterized by a rate R_W , and accommodates CPRIoE frames of duration: $T_F = \frac{H_{CPRI} + L_F}{R_W}$, where H_{CPRI} is the header of CPRIoE frames assumed to be 44 Byte [7]. Depending on N_F and R_{CPRI} , the gap duration T_{gap} is selected according to: $T_{gap} = \frac{L_F}{R_{CPRI}} - T_F$.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To evaluate the benefits introduced by IHON, an event-driven simulator has been developed. One RRH generates a CPRI flow according to two different options with rates $R_{CPRI} = 614.4$ Mbps (option 1) and 6.144 Gbps (option 6). The IHON fixed delay $\Delta = 99.2$ ns is assumed, when not differently indicated, which corresponds to the smallest fragment (124 Byte) that can be preempted [9]. A single output channel ($m = 1$) with rate $R_W = 10$ Gbps is considered. The number of CPRI basic frames in a guaranteed burst N_F is varied over the intervals $[1, 70]$ and $[1, 7]$, for CPRI option 1 and 6, respectively [7], so that the payload length L_F varies accordingly. A set of simulations varying the average BH packet length L_B is obtained with a load ρ_B such that a BH packet is always ready for transmission on the output channel.

Fig. 3 shows the success probability of the BH traffic, defined as the ratio between the packets not interrupted and the

TABLE I
IHON PARAMETERS.

Parameter	Description
N_F	Number of CPRI basic frames forming a CPRIoE payload
L_F	Payload length for CPRIoE frame
R_W	Output channel rate
T_F	CPRIoE duration
T_{gap}	Gap duration
Δ	Fixed delay to avoid collision
ρ_B	Offered BH load per channel
L_B	Average length of BH frames
m	Number of channels in the switch output interface




Fig. 4. BH throughput as a function of payload length L_F for different BH payloads L_B using CPRI opt. 1.




Fig. 5. BH throughput as a function of payload length L_F for different BH payloads L_B using CPRI opt. 6.

total packets in service, as a function of L_F , for both CPRI options, varying L_B . In both cases, the success probability increases with L_F , due to the resulting larger T_{gap} . Option 6 shows lower performance than option 1 due to the smaller size of the gap, especially when L_F is low, so suggesting to use larger N_F in this case. However, increasing N_F increases the encapsulation delay, that may impact the maximum reach of FH connection. Figs. 4 and 5 report the BH throughput as a function of L_F for option 1 and 6 respectively, varying L_B . In both cases, the throughput follows the trend of the success probability. In the case of option 1 the throughput reaches 9 Gbps with quite limited influence of L_F and L_B . With option 6 the influence of BH packet length increases. In particular, with large BH packets (e.g., $L_B = 1000$ Byte) and small gaps (e.g., $L_F = 200$ Byte) the exploitation of the left output channel capacity ($R_W - R_{CPRI}$) by BH traffic is quite low. Fig. 6 shows the impact of Δ on BH throughput for CPRI option 6 when $L_B = 600$ Byte. When Δ increases the BH throughput decreases, due to the shorter time available for BH traffic, which is switched off when a CPRIoE frame




Fig. 6. BH throughput as a function of payload length L_F for CPRI opt. 6 and different Δ when BH payloads $L_B = 600$ B.

is detected and is waiting for transmission during the interval Δ .

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has explored the feasibility of IHON extended with preemption in C-RAN for transporting FH traffic with timing transparency combined with preemptable BH traffic within the same optical Ethernet channel. Performance evaluations have been presented for different CPRI options, finding the amount of BH traffic taking advantage of unused FH capacity. Remarkable BH throughput is shown especially for CPRI option 1. Scheduling BH packets only when gaps in the FH traffic of suitable size for the BH packets are present, is an IHON characteristic. CPRI option 6 limits BH throughput because the smaller packet gaps in the FH traffic makes fitting of the BH traffic more difficult. Further investigations will include the introduction of controlled traffic mechanisms for adapting the BH traffic for better fitting the unused bandwidth in a FH multi-channel configuration.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Checko, et al., 'Cloud RAN for Mobile Networks. A Technology Overview', IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials, 17(1), 2015
- [2] CPRI & eCPRI, <http://www.cpri.info/press.html>, Jan. 2018.
- [3] 3GPP TSG RAN WG3, TR 38.801, Apr. 2017.
- [4] S. Bjornstad, et al., 'A packet switched hybrid optical network with service guarantees', IEEE JSAC, v.24, n.8, 2006.
- [5] W. Cerroni, et al., 'Analytical model of quality of service scheduling for optical aggregation in data centers', Springer PNC, v.27, n.3, June 2014.
- [6] R. Veisllari, et al., 'Field-trial demonstration of cost efficient sub-wavelength service through integrated packet/circuit hybrid network', IEEE/OSA JOCN, v.7, n.3, 2015.
- [7] D. Chitimalla, et al., '5G fronthaul-latency and jitter studies of CPRI over ethernet', IEEE/OSA JOCN, v.9, n.2, 2017.
- [8] R. Veisllari, et al., 'Experimental Demonstration of 100 Gb/s Optical Packet Network for Mobile Fronthaul with Load-independent Ultra-low Latency', ECOC 2017.
- [9] IEEE Standard P802.1CM, "Time Sensitive Networking for Fronthaul".