Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Occurrence of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica in bivalve molluscs and associations with Escherichia coli in molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawater

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Rubini, S., Galletti, G., D'Incau, M., Govoni, G., Boschetti, L., Berardelli, C., et al. (2018). Occurrence of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica in bivalve molluscs and associations with Escherichia coli in molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawater. FOOD CONTROL, 84, 429-435 [10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.08.035].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/614905 since: 2021-01-20

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.08.035

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Silva Rubini, Giorgio Galletti, Mario D'Incau, Guido Govoni, Lari Boschetti, Chiara Berardelli, Stefania Barbieri, Giuseppe Merialdi, Andrea Formaglio, Enrica Guidi, Mauro Bergamini, Silvia Piva, Andrea Serraino, Federica Giacometti. *Occurrence of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica in bivalve molluscs and associations with Escherichia coli in molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawater.* Food Control 84 (2018) 429e435.

The final published version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.08.035

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

- 2 Occurrence of Salmonella spp. in bivalve molluscs and associations with Escherichia coli in
- 3 molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawater

4

- 5 Silva Rubinia, Giorgio Gallettia, Mario D'Incaua, Guido Govonib, Lari Boschettic, Chiara
- 6 Berardelli^c, Stefania Barbieri^d, Giuseppe Merialdi^a, Andrea Formaglio^d, Enrica Guidi^d, Mauro
- 7 Bergamini^d, Silvia Piva^e, Andrea Serraino^e, Federica Giacometti^{e*}

8

- 9 ^aExperimental Institute for Zooprophylaxis in Lombardy and Emilia Romagna, Via Bianchi 9, 25124
- 10 Brescia, Italy
- bInternational Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), 19 Avenue de la Paix 1202, Geneva, Switzerland
- 12 °Public Health Unit of Ferrara, U.O.D. Igiene degli alimenti di Origine Animale, Via Cassoli 30,
- 13 44121 Ferrara, Italy
- dDepartment of Medical Sciences, Branch of Public Health Medicine, University of Ferrara, Via
- 15 Fossato di Mortara 64/b, 44121 Ferrara, Italy
- ^eDepartment of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Via Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064
- 17 Ozzano dell'Emilia, Bologna, Italy

18

- 19 (*) Corresponding author: Federica Giacometti, Tel.: +39 51 2097320; fax: +39 51 2097346. E-
- 20 mail address: federica.giacometti3@unibo.it (F. Giacometti).

21

22 **Keywords:** bivalve molluscs, seawaters, Salmonella spp., Escherichia coli, faecal coliforms

23

24 ABSTRACT

- 25 The objectives of this study were to present data on the presence of Salmonella spp. and on the
- 26 enumeration of *Escherichia coli* and faecal coliforms respectively in different species of bivalve

molluscs and seawater and to conduct a retrospective evaluation to assess the capacity of E. coli in molluses and faecal coliforms and Salmonella spp. in sea and brackish water to predict the presence of Salmonella spp. in bivalve molluscs, and therefore, the risk of exposure for consumers. Data were collected from 4972 seawater samples and 5785 live bivalve molluscs samples (2877 Ruditapes philippinarum, 2177 Mytilus galloprovincialis, 256 Chamelae gallina and 475 C. gigas and O. edulis) collected in the molluscs production area of Ferrara, Northern Italy, from 1997 to 2015. An overall Salmonella spp. occurrence of 2.2% was reported in water and molluscs, with percentages varying depending on the type of sample and on the classification areas. All the 237 Salmonella strains were identified as Salmonella enterica and a total of 53 different serovars were observed. Significant associations between the fecal indicators and presence of Salmonella spp. were observed both applying EU and USA criteria, but, it should be noted that the EU approach seems to be more stringent achieving the goal of identifying the most critical batches (94 out of the 100) whereas, following the USA approach, a not negligible and higher number of batches compliant for faecal coliforms but contaminated by Salmonella spp. has to be mentioned. In any case, the faecal indicators E. coli in molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawaters reflect only in part the presence of Salmonella spp. in molluscs and the consequent potential risk for consumers. Microbiological evaluation of seawaters seems to have a minor impact into the prediction of Salmonella spp. presence in molluscs.

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

1. Introduction

- In Italy bivalve molluscs such as Manila clams (*Ruditapes philippinarum*) and mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) represent products of great economic importance whereas striped clams (*Chamelae gallina*) and oysters (*Crassostrea gigas* and *Ostrea edulis*) are of less economic importance. Overall they are widely distributed in the food trade and, specifically for *R. philippinarum*, Italy is the second producer after China (Turolla, 2008).
- In relation to the sanitary control of shellfish produced and sold for human consumption, two main control systems are in place in the European Community (EC) and United States of America (USA):

these are the principal systems used worldwide and countries that trade with EU and/or USA will use either one, or a hybrid of the two systems (Gosling, 2015). In Europe, according to Regulation 853/2004, areas in which bivalve molluscs are cultivated in marine or brackish water must be previously classified by veterinary authorities as production area A, B or C depending on the content of Escherichia coli in the soft parts and flesh and intravalvular liquid of harvested bivalves, with an upper limit of respectively 230, 4600 and 46000 MPN E. coli/100 g sample material is for class A, B and C areas. Bivalve molluscs from class A area can be placed directly on the market provided that they comply with microbiological criteria requirements, whereas molluscs from class B area must be purified by resuspension at class A area, or heat-treated before distribution, and, finally molluscs from class C area, a resuspension at class A area over a long period of time or a sufficient heat treatment is needed. In relation to food safety criteria laid down in EC Regulation 2073/2005 concerning bivalve to be placed on the market, the absence of Salmonella spp. in 25 g of flesh and an upper limit of 230 MPN E. coli/100 g sample material are mandatory; according to EC Regulation 2285/2015, from 1st January 2017, 20% of the samples may contain E. coli between 230 and 700 MPN/100 g sample material while the remaining 80% of the samples must be below 230 MPN/100 g sample material. In the USA, the official controls system is based on the National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP), that is a federal/state cooperative programme recognized by Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Interstate Shellfish Conference that is substantially different from the EU one; for example, the microbiological monitoring is based on water testing and considers either total coliforms or faecal coliforms, not E. coli, and to achieve 'approved' status the geometric mean faecal coliforms count per water sample from an area must not exceed 14 Most probable Number (MPN)/100 ml, and the ninetieth percentile must not exceed 43 MPN/100 ml (NSSP, 2017). It is well known that live bivalve molluscs, being suspension feeders that gain nourishment by pumping large volumes of water from the environment through their gills, actively filter and retain particles from their surrounding water, including free living or particle bound bacteria, viruses and

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

parasites. This highlights the role of bivalve molluscs as vehicle for several hazards that could result in potential health risks for consumers, particularly if live bivalve molluscs are eaten raw or lighted cooked. With specific regard to bacteria, the microbiota found in bivalve molluscs include indigenous bacteria that naturally occur in marine or estuarine environments (mostly Vibrio spp.), nonindigenous bacteria, usually enteric bacteria, derived from faecal contamination (mostly Salmonella spp., E. coli, Shigella spp. and rarely Campylobacter spp. and Yersinia enterocolitica), and bacteria from contamination during food preparation and processing by the distribution industry or consumers (Bacillus cereus, Stapylococcus aureus and Clostridium perfringens) (Anacleto, Pedro, Leonor, Rosa, & Marques, 2013). Salmonella is the second most common cause of human gastroenteritis (EFSA & ECDC, 2016): the risks of foodborne illness associated with Salmonella in molluscs are low compared to viruses and Vibrio spp. (Iwamoto, Ayers, Mahon, & Swerdlow, 2010; NACMCF, 1992) but there is a considerable amount of epidemiological data regarding the presence of Salmonella in seafood and related illness. The EU summary report on zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2015 reports that, for the causative agent of foodborne outbreaks by food vehicle, in "fish, shellfish, molluses, crustaceans and products thereof", histamine was the leading cause of strong-evidence outbreaks (52.5%) followed by calicivirus including Norwalk-like virus (norovirus) (25%) and Salmonella (12.5%) (EFSA & ECDC, 2016). Even if Salmonella spp. are not natural inhabitant of the acquatic environment, several Salmonella serovars are widely distributed in water (sea, estuarine, river) and in a variety of seafood, with the highest prevalence in molluscs, shrimp, clams, and various fish species (Novoslavskij et al., 2016). Salmonella spp. and, in general, faecally derived enteric pathogens, are introduced into the aquatic environment via anthropogenic activities like inappropriate disposal of human wastes, agricultural runoffs or sewage discharges (Malham et al., 2014) as well as wildlife (Obiri-Danso & Jones, 2000), and, given the reported ability to survive long periods in different aquatic environments, these microrganisms could pass into new hosts (Amagliani, Brandi, & Schiavano, 2012). Yet the use of

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

faecal bacterial indicators in bivalve monitoring was based on their relation to the probable occurrence of human faecal pathogens: it has been well remarked that no correlation was between faecal microorganisms and both *Vibrio* spp. and viruses for humans, but, the true evidence of this correlation in relation to *Salmonella* spp. is still a matter of debate.

The current publication presents data on the presence of *Salmonella* spp. and on the enumeration of *E. coli* in different species of bivalve molluscs, namely Manila clams (*R. philippinarum*), mussels (*M. galloprovincialis*), striped clams (*C. gallina*) and oysters (*C. gigas* and *O. edulis*), and on faecal coliform levels in water samples from seashore and inland channels of the Ferrara province, Emilia-Romagna Region, Northern Italy with a continuous sampling history from 1997 to 2015. In addition, a retrospective evaluation was conducted to assess: i) the capacity of the faecal indicator *E. coli* to predict the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in bivalve molluscs, and therefore, the risk of exposure for consumers; ii) the efficacy of sea and brackish water analysis for faecal coliforms and *Salmonella* spp. to predict the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in live bivalve molluscs iii) the comparison of the regulations currently in force in USA versus Europe.

2. Material and methods

121 2.1. Sample and data collection

Microbiological records for *E. coli*, faecal coliforms and *Salmonella* spp. analyses of live bivalve molluscs and water were collated from official monitoring performed by the regional Veterinary Authority from 1997 to 2015 and from a shellfish monitoring program that has been carried out since 1997 in the province of Ferrara, Emilia Romagna region, Italy. A total of 10757 samples were collected, respectively 4972 seawater samples (of which 1237 in class A area and 3735 in class B area) and 5785 live bivalve molluscs samples; the examined bivalve molluscs comprise 2877 Manila clams (*R. philippinarum*, all in class B area), 2177 mussels (*M. galloprovincialis*, 969 and 1208 in class A and B areas), 256 striped clams (*C. gallina*, all in class A area) and 475 oysters (*C. gigas* and *O. edulis*, respectively 62 and 413 in class A and B areas). A total of 4815 paired samples of bivalve

mollusc and seawater were collected. Each mollusc sample comprised at least 10 live individuals (commercial size or adult product) that were analyzed for *Salmonella* spp. detection and *E. coli* enumeration. Seawater samples were collected in from 0.2 m below the water surface using 1.2 L polypropylene or glass bottle for *Salmonella* spp. detection and for faecal coliforms count. All samples were transported under chilled conditions to the laboratory and processed within 24 h after collection.

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

131

132

133

134

135

136

2.2. Sampling site

All the samplings were performed in the north-western area of the Adriatic Sea facing the Po river delta, the major Italian river which, from spring to estuary, flows through the Po Valley (Pianura Padana) for a total of 652 Km. The Po Valley is a high populated area with abundant large animals intensive farms. The Po River, near to its end in the Adriatic sea, creates a wide delta with a surface area of 31 Km² and an average depth of 1.5 m; its hydrographic network is for the most part artificially regulated, and, as a consequence, freshwater flows are partially independent of rain events. More than one third of the lagoon surface is exploited for clam farming, with an annual production that reached a maximum of 87000 t y-1 in 2011 (Bison, 2012). The areas of molluscs production have been divided into 5 sub-areas (see Figure 1): i) long-line: the marine class A area used to breed mostly mussels and secondary oysters; ii) Lupini: the coastal marine area including seawaters between 1 and 2 nautical miles that is classified as class A area in which natural banks of striped clams are present and harvested; iii) B-Out: the narrow sea coastal area and inland waters classified as class B area; iv) B-In: class B area that includes the inner channels directly connected to the sea, together with internal waters; v) Sacca: the class B area included between the Po river and the marine coastline. All these last three sub-areas were used to breed mussels, Manila clams and oysters.

155

156

2.3. Microbiological analyses

157 All samples were analyzed at the Experimental Institute for Zooprophylaxis of Lombardy and Emilia 158 Romagna in Ferrara. For live bivalve molluscs, the isolation of Salmonella spp. was performed using 159 the official International Organization for Standardization (ISO) cultural methods, UNI EN ISO 160 6579:1993 still 2002, the ISO 6579:2002 since 2003 with its technical corrigendum and amendments, 161 whereas, E. coli was enumerated using a 5 tube 3 dilutions MPN procedure based on ISO 16649-3. 162 For water analysis, the isolation of Salmonella spp. was carried out filtering a sample volume of 1000 163 mL through 0.45 µm-pore size membrane filters according to APAT CNR IRSA 7080 procedure 164 (APAT, 2003); the enumeration of faecal coliform and E. coli from 1998 to 2014 were performed by 165 a five tube three dilutions MPN methods according respectively to APAT CNR IRSA 7020 and 7030 166 procedures (APAT, 2003), whereas from August 2014, a membrane filtering method was applied 167 (APAT, 2003). 168 Cultures displaying a reaction typical of Salmonella (an alkaline slant and acid butt, with or without 169 production of H₂S) were confirmed by biochemical tests using miniaturized galleries, e.g. API-20E 170 strip (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) or MID (Microgen Bioproduct Ltd, Camberley, United 171 Kingdom) (Anacleto et al. 2013; APAT, 2003). The serotyping of Salmonella strains was performed 172 using commercial antisera (BBL Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA; Statens Serum Institut, 173 Copenhagen, Denmark); following the White-Kauffman-Le Minor serotyping scheme (Baudart, 174 Lemarchand, & Brisabois, 2000).

175

177

178

179

180

181

182

176 2.4. Statistical analysis

Fisher's exact test were used to study the association between the occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. in molluscs and: i) *E. coli* levels in molluscs; ii) faecal coliform levels in paired seawater; iii) presence of *Salmonella* spp. in paired seawater.

To perform the statistical analysis the *E. coli* counts in molluscs were divided based on the *E. coli* contamination level laid down into EC Regulation 2073/2005 as food safety criteria applicable for products from class A areas, namely collected for direct human consumption and placed on the

market, irrespective of the fact that samples were collected from class A or B areas. Therefore, two groups were considered using 230 MPN/100g as cutoff. Similarly results of fecal coliforms count in water were divided in three categories identified according to the classification approach used in USA with standards set for categories ranging from waters with low contamination levels, \leq 14, between 14 and \leq 43 and > 43 MPN/100 ml water. Data on faecal coliforms was available since 1999.

188

189

183

184

185

186

187

3. Results

- A total of 237 out of 10757 samples (2.2%) were positive for *Salmonella* spp., respectively 137 seawater samples (2.8%) and 100 bivalve molluscs (1.7%): the presence of *Salmonella* spp. ranged from 0 to 3.4% depending on the type of sample and on the classification areas (Table 1).
- The overall *Salmonella* spp. prevalence differs considerably through the years and between water class areas and species of molluscs: water prevalences were of 0 to 4.2% and of 0 to 13.8% respectively for class A and B areas; in molluscs, *R. philippinarum* (collected only in class B area) reported higher prevalences of 0 to 8.2% followed by *M. galloprovincialis* (0 to 4.3% and of 0 to 2.4% respectively for class A and B areas), *C. gallina* (collected only in class A area) with prevalences of 0 to 4.3% and lastly *C. gigas* and *O. edulis* with prevalences of 0 to 2.1%.
- All the 237 *Salmonella* strains were identified as *Salmonella enterica* and a total of 53 different serovars were observed (Figure 2), respectively 43 and 32 serovars from the 137 and 100 seawater and molluscs positive samples. *Salmonella* ser. Typhimurium resulted the dominant serotype (26.9%), followed by its monophasic variant 4,[5],12:i:- (11.8%), *Salmonella* Derby (6.3%), *Salmonella* Newport (5.5%), and *Salmonella* Thompson (4.6%).
- A significant association was observed between *E. coli* levels in molluscs and presence of *Salmonella* spp. in molluscs samples, both from class A and B areas. In more details, an association was observed in *R. philippinarum* from class B area (no *R. philippinarum* were on class A area) and in *M. galloprovincialis* collected only from class A area, not for class B area (table 2).

A significant association was observed between faecal coliforms levels in seawater and presence of *Salmonella* spp. both in total molluscs and *R. philippinarum* samples (table 3).

A significant association between presence of *Salmonella* spp. in molluscs and paired seawater was observed only for *R. philippinarum* samples (see table 4), where a co-presence of *Salmonella* spp. in 13 samples was observed. In 5 samples the same serovar was isolated in the two different matrices, respectively *S. enterica* serovars Typhimurium (3 samples), its monophasic variant 4,[5],12:i:- (1 sample) and *Salmonella* Thompson (1 sample).

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

4. Discussion

Only safe food should be placed on the market (Regulation EC 178/2002). With regards to bivalve molluscs, the climate change, the pollution and the anthropogenic factors as well as the food distributions and consumers behaviour changes (undercooked or raw seafood consumption, mainly bivalve molluscs) have created a cunning environment in which each element may have a potential impact on food safety. In this context, it appears evident that the acquatic environment and its quality and/or safety is a critical point for live bivalve molluscs that, being excellent bio-samplers, reflect the quality of the surrounding water in a given location. This study, reporting data on the presence of Salmonella spp. and on the enumeration of E. coli in different species of bivalve molluscs and of fecal coliform in water samples, with a continuous sampling history over 19-year-period (1997 through 2015), is useful to present the real scenario in which bivalve molluscs are bred in the molluscs production area of Ferrara, leading us to perform some considerations. An overall occurrence of Salmonella spp. of 2.2% was reported in water and molluscs collected in the considered production area: this rate could be considered low, but, at the same time poses a not negligible threat for the assessment of the risks of faecal pollutions in the aquatic environment. The significance of Salmonella spp. as human pathogen and as a leading cause of food-borne illness is well known, but, the observed occurrence suggests to reconsider the role and the ecology of this allochthonous pathogen at the base of the marine and estuarine environment and ecosystem; in fact,

234 although allochthonous organisms are historically viewed as transient member of the microbial 235 community of coastal waters, the coastal environment may serve as an important niche for these 236 microrganisms to persist, exchange genetic material, and grow (Bienfang et al., 2011). 237 The observed Salmonella spp. occurrence in seawater and bivalve molluscs is in agreement with 238 available data in literature, in which the prevalence rates considerably vary depending on climate 239 conditions, the season and area of samplings, the type of considered seafood and similar or different 240 environmental conditions (estuarine or seawater, after rainfall, low solar radiation) (Amagliani et al., 241 2012; Novoslavskij et al., 2016); in Italy, Salmonella spp. prevalence rates from 0 to 3.1% were 242 reported (Normanno, Parisi, Addante, Quaglia, & Dambrosio, 2006; Mazzette, Virgilio, Piras, 243 Tempesta & Serra, 2010; Serracca et al., 2010; Prato et al., 2013; Fusco, Aprea, Galiero, Guarino, & 244 Viscardi, 2011; Carraro et al., 2015; EFSA & ECDC, 2016). In our 19-years period of monitoring in 245 live bivalve molluscs, from class A areas (products that can be placed directly on the market) only 6 246 out of the 1287 (0.5%) samples collected resulted Salmonella spp. positive, showing a good insight 247 the official monitoring usefulness. In the other hand, a total of 94 samples resulted Salmonella spp. 248 positive among the 4498 (2%) molluscs collected from class B areas (molluscs that may be placed on 249 the market only after treatment in a purification centre or after relaying); no food safety considerations 250 could be gathered from these data, but, again, the EU classification areas approach achieves the goal 251 of identifing the most critical batches (94 out of the 100). 252 Lastly, it should be noted that 87 out of total 100 Salmonella spp. positive molluscs were R. 253 philippinarum and, therefore, an higher Salmonella spp. prevalence in Manila clams than the other 254 bivalve molluscs species considered in this study has to be reported, according with the studies of 255 Anacleto et al. (2013), that reported an occurrence of 17% and 25%, respectively for V. pullastra and R. philippinarum, and of Carraro et al. (2015) that observed the presence of Salmonella spp. in only 256 257 one sample of Manila clam among the 540 samples analyzed. 258 In literature, other studies investigated the correlation between the presence of Salmonella spp. and the bacterial indicator of faecally pollution *E. coli* in bivalve molluscs, even if with contrasting results, 259

260 but, as far as we known, no other studies were on the comparison of bacterial indicators of faecal 261 pollution E. coli in molluscs and faecal coliforms in water (representative of EU and USA monitoring 262 approaches) in relation to the power of prediction of the presence of Salmonella spp. in bivalve 263 molluscs. 264 Literature reports that bacterial indicators of faecal pollution in water provide an adequate indicator 265 of Salmonella spp. presence in waters (Efstratiou, Mavridou, & Richardson, 2009; Ferguson, Coote, 266 Ashbolt, & Stevenson, 1996; Morinigo, Castro, Balebona, Munoz, & Borrego, 1992) and in bivalve 267 molluses, particularly in R. philippinarum, or in other bivalve species like oysters (Anacleto et al., 268 2013), but, on several occasions or no Salmonella spp. was detected in samples with high indicator 269 counts or Salmonella spp. was detected in samples with low indicator counts (Dionisio, Joao, Ferreiro, 270 Fidalgo, & Garc, 2000; Efstratiou, Mavridou, & Richardson, 2009; Hood, Ness, & Blake, 1983; 271 Mannas, Mimouni, Chaouqy, Hamadi, & Martinez-urtaza, 2014; Morigligo, Cornax, Oz, Romero, & 272 Borrego, 1990). In this context, despite our monitoring was not drawn with the specific aim of testing 273 these associations, and therefore, it could be biased by several factors, our study results is a useful 274 and robust tool for assessing the E. coli, faecal coliform and Salmonella spp. parameters all together 275 in a long period of time and in a specific area and considering both water versus molluscs and mollusc 276 versus mollusc and some considerations could be performed from our findings. 277 A significant associations between fecal indicators and presence of Salmonella spp. was observed 278 both applying EU and USA criteria prevalently for R. philippinarum, whereas, the weight carried for 279 the other observed associations resulted of less importance or partially affected by R. philippinarum 280 results. Considering that the R. philippinarum data we analyzed belongs exclusively from class B area, it seems evident that or this fact may has biased our findings or R. philippinarum really has a 281 282 different behavior with respect with other bivalve species. 283 It should be noted that, following EU regulations and its official monitoring system, only two batches 284 (0.2%) with E. coli levels ≤230 MPN/100 g in molluses from class A area showed the presence of 285 Salmonella spp. in molluscs, whereas, following the NSSP, a total of 58 batches (1.6%) with faecal

coliforms ≤14 MPN/100 ml in seawaters, were contaminated by Salmonella spp. Shellfish from "approved" waters, namely waters in which the MPN faecal coliforms median does not exceed the considered value of ≤14 MPN/100 ml water, can be sold directly on the market without prior treatment (Gosling, 2015). In this specific case, a not negligible and higher number of batches compliant for the faecal indicators but contaminated by Salmonella spp. has to be mentioned. This scenario is not representative of the current situation for two reasons: i) the sampling plan was not designed to assess the microbiological quality of waters according to NSSP; ii) the statistical evaluation could not be performed calculating the geometric mean of fecal coliforms enumeration but only singular values were considered. On the other hand calculating the gemetric median of all data collected in this study on fecal coliform contamination of water a level of 12 MPN/100 ml water was obtained, finding that could be considered compliant with NSSP. Despite our attempts, in one hand, this estimation should be considered anyway a forced parameter which remains an important data gap having some implications in the final outputs of this association, but in the other, it should be noted that, in general, concentrations of faecal coliform bacteria under steady-state conditions are approximately 10 to 100 times higher in bivalves than the surrounding seawater (Strubbia, Lyons, & Lee, 2016; Bernard, 1989). This demonstrates the fact that the occurrence of faecal bacteria in waters is highly variable and depending on several factors including pollution sources as well as environmental conditions that are not easily identifiable and testable. This observation should be taken into account in relation to the different approaches used for faecal indicators, that in the EU are measured in shellfish flesh while in the USA in shellfish-growing waters.

306

307

308

309

310

311

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

5. Conclusion

This study has reported the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in seawaters and in bivalve molluscs collected in the Ferrara area from 1997 to 2015 and has demonstrated that its presence varied by bivalve species considered, classification areas in which molluscs were collected and sampling occasion. The faecal indicators *E. coli* in molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawaters reflect only in part the presence of

Salmonella spp. in molluscs and the consequent potential risk for consumers. Microbiological evaluation of seawaters seems to have a minor impact into the prediction of Salmonella spp. presence in molluscs.

315

316

312

313

314

References

317 178/2002/EC, R. (2002). Regulation (EC) No. 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the 318 Council laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the 319 European Food Safety Authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety. In E. 320 Union (Ed.), 178/2002. Brussels, Belgium: The European parliament and the council of the 321 European Union. 322 853/2004/EC, R. (2004). Regulation (EC) No. 853/2004 of the European Parliament and of the 323 Council laying down specific hygiene rules for food of animal origin. In E. Union (Ed.), 324 853/2004. Brussels, Belgium: The European parliament and the council of the European Union. 325 2073/2005/EC, R. (2005). Commission Regulation (EC) No. 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria 326 for foodstuffs. In E. Union (Ed.), 2073/2005. Brussels, Belgium: The European parliament and 327 the council of the European Union. 328 2285/2015/ EC, Commission Regulation No. 2285/2015 amending Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 329 854/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down specific rules for the 330 organisation of official controls on products of animal origin intended for human consumption 331 as regards certain requirements for live bivalve molluscs, echinoderms, tunicates and marine 332 gastropods and Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for 333 foodstuffs. In E. Union (Ed.), 2285/2015. Brussels, Belgium: The European parliament and the 334 council of the European Union. 335 Amagliani, G., Brandi, G., & Schiavano, G. F. (2012). Incidence and role of Salmonella in seafood 336 safety. Food Research International, 45, 780-8.

337 Anacleto, P., Pedro, S., Nunes, M. L., Rosa, R., & Marques, A. (2013). Microbiological composition 338 of native and exotic clams from Tagus estuary: Effect of season and environmental parameters. 339 *Marine pollution bulletin*, 74(1), 116-124. 340 APAT CNR IRSA. 2003. APAT Manuali e Linee Guida 29/2003. Metodi analitici per le acque-341 Volume 3, 7000 - Metodi per la determinazione di microorganismi indicatori di 342 inquinamento e di patogeni - APAT CNR IRSA 7020 Coliformi fecali, pag. 875. 343 http://www.irsa.cnr.it/Docs/Capitoli/1000.pdf 344 APAT CNR IRSA. 2003. APAT Manuali e Linee Guida 29/2003. Metodi analitici per le acque-345 Volume 3, 7000 - Metodi per la determinazione di microorganismi indicatori di 346 inquinamento e di patogeni - APAT CNR IRSA 7030 Escherichia coli., pag. 883. 347 http://www.irsa.cnr.it/Docs/Capitoli/1000.pdf 348 APAT CNR IRSA. 2003. APAT Manuali e Linee Guida 29/2003. Metodi analitici per le acque-349 Volume 3, 7000 - Metodi per la determinazione di microorganismi indicatori di 350 inquinamento e di patogeni - APAT CNR IRSA 7080 Salmonella spp., pag. 927. 351 http://www.irsa.cnr.it/Docs/Capitoli/1000.pdf 352 Baudart, J., Lemarchand, K., Brisabois, A., & Lebaron, P. (2000). Diversity of Salmonella strains 353 isolated from the aquatic environment as determined by serotyping and amplification of the 354 ribosomal DNA spacer regions. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 66(4), 1544-1552. 355 Bernard, F. R. (1989). Uptake and elimination of coliform bacteria by four marine bivalve molluscs. 356 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 46, 1592-1599. 357 Bienfang, P. K., DeFelice, S. V., Laws, E. A., Brand, L. E., Bidigare, R. R., Christensen, S., et al. 358 (2010). Prominent human health impacts from several marine microbes: history, ecology, and 359 public health implications. *International Journal of Microbiology*, 2011. 360 Bison, G.O. (2012). Gli obiettivi per il futuro: fidelizzare il consumatore al brand "Vongola di Goro"

e commercializzare confezioni sottovuoto con sugo pronto a fianco. Il Pesce 2 (Mar/Apr):52

http://www.pubblicitaitalia.com/ilpesce/2012/2/11664.html

361

362

- Carraro, V., Sanna, C., Brandas, V., Sanna, A., Pinna, A., & Coroneo, V. (2015). Hygiene and health
- risks associated with the consumption of edible lamellibranch molluscs. *International Journal*
- 365 *of Food Microbiology*, 201, 52-57.
- Catalao Dionisio, L. P., Joao, M., Ferreiro, V. S., Fidalgo, M. L., Garcia Rosado, M. E., & Borrego,
- J.J. (2000). Occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. in estuarine and coastal waters of Portugal. *Antonie*
- 368 *Van Leeuwenhoek*, 78, 99-106.
- Efstratiou, M. A., Mavridou, A., & Richardson, C. (2009). Prediction of Salmonella in seawater by
- total and faecal coliforms and Enterococci. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 58(2), 201-205.
- 371 EFSA & ECDC. (2016). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses,
- zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2015. EFSA Journal, 14(12), 4634. European
- Food Safety Authority and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (EFSA and
- 374 ECDC).
- Ferguson, C. M., Coote, B. G., Ashbolt, N. J., & Stevenson, I. M. (1996). Relationships between
- indicators, pathogens and water quality in an estuarine system. *Water Research*, 30, 2045-2054.
- Fusco, G., Aprea, G., Galiero, G., Guarino, A., & Viscardi, M. (2013). Escherichia coli, Salmonella
- spp., Hepatitis A Virus and Norovirus in bivalve molluscs in Southern Italy. Veterinaria
- 379 *italiana*, 49(1), 55-58.
- 380 Geldreich, E. (1970). Applying bacteriological parameters to recreational water quality. *Journal of*
- 381 the American Water Works Association, 62, 113-120.
- Gosling, E. (2015). Marine bivalve molluscs. (2nd ed.). Chichester, UK: Wiley- Blackwell.
- Hood, M. A., Ness G. E., & Blake NJ. (1983). Relationship among fecal coliforms, Escherichia coli,
- and Salmonella spp. in shellfish. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 45(1), 122-126.
- 385 ISO. (1993). ISO 6579:1993. Microbiology General guidance on methods for the detection of
- 386 Salmonella. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization (ISO).

- 387 ISO. (2002). ISO ISO 6579:2002. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs Horizontal
- method for the detection of Salmonella spp. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization
- for Standardization (ISO).
- 390 ISO. (2005). ISO/TS 16649-3:2005. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs Horizontal
- method for the enumeration of beta-glucuronidase-positive Escherichia coli Part 3: Most
- probable number technique using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-glucuronide. Geneva,
- 393 Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization (ISO).
- 394 Iwamoto, M., Ayers, T., Mahon, B. E., & Swerdlow D. L. (2010). Epidemiology of seafood-
- associated infections in the United States. *Clinical Microbiological Review*, 23, 399-410.
- 396 Malham, S. K., Rajko-Nenow, P., Howlett, E., Tuson, K. E., Perkins, T. L., Pallett, D. W., et al.
- 397 (2014). The interaction of human microbial pathogens, particulate material and nutrients in
- 398 estuarine environments and their impacts on recreational and shellfish waters. *Environmental*
- 399 *Science: Processes & Impacts*, 16 (9), 2145-2155.
- 400 Mannas, H., Mimouni, R., Chaouqy, N., Hamadi, F., & Martinez-Urtaza, J. (2014). Occurrence of
- Vibrio and Salmonella species in mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) collected along the
- 402 Moroccan Atlantic coast. *SpringerPlus*, *3*(1), 265.
- 403 Martinez-Manzanares, E., Moriñigo, M. A., Castro, D., Balebona, M. C., Muñoz, M. A. & Borrego
- J. J. (1992). Relationship between indicators of fecal pollution in shellfish-growing water and
- 405 the occurrence of human pathogenic microorganisms in shellfish. *Journal of Food Protection*,
- 406 55(8), 609-614.
- Mazzette, R., Virgilio, S., Piras, A., Tempesta, A., Serra, S., Sferlazzo, G., et al. (2010). Valutazione
- dell'efficacia dei sistemi di depurazione nei confronti di E. coli, Salmonella spp. e Vibrio spp.
- in mitili allevati nel Golfo di Olbia. *Il Pesce*, 5, 165-172.
- 410 Moriñigo, M. A., Còrnax, R., Munòz, M. A., Romero, P., & Borrego, J. J. (1990). Relationships
- between Salmonella spp. and indicator microorganisms in polluted natural waters. Water
- 412 Research, 24(1), 117e120.

- 413 Moriñigo, M. A., Martinez-Manzanares, E., Muñoz, M. A., Balebona, M. C., & Borrego, J. J. (1993).
- Reliability of several microorganisms to indicate the presence of *Salmonella* in natural waters.
- 415 *Water Science and Technology*, 27(3-4), 471-474.
- NACMCF. (1992). Microbiological criteria for raw molluscan shellfish. Journal of Food Protection,
- 417 55, 463–480. National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods.
- Normanno, G., Parisi, A., Addante, N., Quaglia, N. C., Dambrosio, A., Montagna, C., & Chiocco, D.
- 419 (2006). Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Vibrio vulnificus and microorganisms of fecal origin in
- mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) sold in the Puglia region (Italy). International Journal of
- 421 Food Microbiology, 106(2), 219-222.
- Novoslavskij, A., Terentjeva, M., Eizenberga, I., Valciņa, O., Bartkevičs, V. & Bērziņš, A. (2016).
- 423 Major foodborne pathogens in fish and fish products: a review. *Annals of Microbiology*, 66(1),
- 424 1-15.
- NSSP. (2017). Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish: 2015 Revision (Updated February
- 426 2017).
- https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/GuidanceRegulation/FederalStateFoodPrograms/UCM
- 428 <u>505093.pdf</u>
- 429 Obiri-Danso, K., & Jones, K. (2000). Intertidal sediments as reservoirs for hippurate negative
- campylobacters, salmonellae and faecal indicators in three EU recognised bathing waters in
- North West England. *Water Research*, 34, 519-527.
- 432 Prato, R., Martinelli, D., Tafuri, S., Barbuti, G., Quarto, M., Germinario, C. A., & Chironna, M.
- 433 (2013). Safety of shellfish and epidemiological pattern of enterically transmitted diseases in
- 434 Italy. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 162(2), 125-128.
- 435 Serracca, L., Verani, M., Battistini, R., Rossini, I., Carducci, A., & Ercolini, C. (2010). Evaluation of
- Adenovirus and *E. coli* as indicators for human enteric viruses presence in mussels produced in
- 437 La Spezia Gulf (Italy). *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 50(5), 462-467.

Strubbia, S., Lyons, B. P., & Lee, R. J. (2016). Geographical and temporal variation of E. coli and norovirus in mussels. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 107(1), 66-70.
Turolla, E. (2008). La venericoltura in Italia. En A. Lovatelli, A. Farías & I. Uriarte (eds). FAO Actas de Pesca y Acuicultura. No. 12. Roma, FAO. pp. 177–188.
http://www.fao.org/3/a-i0444s/i0444s13.pdf
Viaroli, P., Marinov, D., Bodini, A., Giordani, G., Galbiati, L., Somma, F., et al. (2007). Analysis of clam farming scenarios in the Sacca di Goro lagoon. *Transitional Waters Monographs*, 1,

445

71-92.