

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Hollow-fiber flow field-flow fractionation and multi-angle light scattering investigation of the size, shape and metal-release of silver nanoparticles in aqueous medium for nano-risk assessment

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Marassi, V., Casolari, S., Roda, B., Zattoni, A., Reschiglian, P., Panzavolta, S., et al. (2015). Hollow-fiber flow field-flow fractionation and multi-angle light scattering investigation of the size, shape and metal-release of silver nanoparticles in aqueous medium for nano-risk assessment. JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND BIOMEDICAL ANALYSIS, 106, 92-99 [10.1016/j.jpba.2014.11.031].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/555438 since: 2016-07-18

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2014.11.031

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

MARASSI, VALENTINA; CASOLARI, SONIA; RODA, BARBARA; ZATTONI, ANDREA; RESCHIGLIAN, PIERLUIGI; PANZAVOLTA, SILVIA; TOFAIL, SYED A. M.; ORTELLI, SIMONA; DELPIVO, CAMILLA; BLOSI, MAGDA; COSTA, ANNA LUISA. Hollow-fiber flow field-flow fractionation and multi-angle light scattering investigation of the size, shape and metal-release of silver nanoparticles in aqueous medium for nano-risk assessment. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis 106, 92-99, 2015.

Thefinalpublishedversionisavailableonlineat:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2014.11.031

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1	Hollow-Fiber Flow Field-Flow Fractionation and Multi-Angle Light Scattering Investigation
2	of the Size, Shape and Metal-Release of Silver Nanoparticles in Aqueous Medium for
3	Nano-risk Assessment

4

5	Valentina Marassi ¹ , Sonia Casolari ¹ , Barbara Roda ^{1,2,*} , Andrea Zattoni ^{1,2} , Pierluigi
6	Reschiglian ^{1,2} , Silvia Panzavolta ¹ , Tofail Syed ³ , Simona Ortelli ⁴ , Camilla Delpivo ⁴ , Magda
7	Blosi ⁴ , Anna Luisa Costa ⁴
8	¹ Department of Chemistry "G. Ciamician", Via Selmi 2, 40126 Bologna, Italy, and
9	²byFlow Srl, Via Caduti della Via Fani 11/b, 40127 Bologna
10	³ Department of Physics & Energy, University of Limerick, Ireland
11	⁴ Institute of Science and Technology for Ceramics (CNR-ISTEC), National Research
12	Council of Italy, Via Granarolo 64, 48018 Faenza, RA, Italy
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	*corresponding author: Barbara Roda phone: +390512099581

21 email: barbara.roda@unibo.it

22 ABSTRACT

Due to the increased use of silver nanoparticles in industrial scale manufacturing, 23 consumer products and nanomedicine, reliable measurements of the size, shape and 24 25 distribution of these particles in aqueous medium is critical since these properties affect both functional properties and biological impact especially in quantifying associated risks 26 27 and identifying suitable risk-mediation strategies. The feasibility of an on-line coupling of a 28 fractionation technique such as hollow-fiber flow field flow fractionation (HF5) with light scattering techniques such as MALS (multi-anlge light scattering) have been investigated 29 30 for this purpose and data obtained have been compared with those from more 31 conventional, but often complementary techniques e.g. transmission electron microscopy, dynamic light scattering, atomic absorption spectroscopy, and X-ray Fluorescence. The 32 33 combination of fractionation and multi angle light scattering techniques have been found to offer an ideal, hyphenated methodology for the simultaneous size-separation and 34 35 characterization of silver nanoparticles. The hydrodynamic radii determined by fractionation techniques can be conveniently correlated to the mean average diameters 36 determined by multi angle light scattering and reliable information on particle morphology 37 38 in aqueous dispersion can be obtained. The ability to separate silver (Ag⁺) ions from the silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) via membrane filtration during the size analysis can be an 39 40 added advantage in obtaining quantitative insights to its risk potential. Most importantly, 41 the methodology developed in this article can potentially be extended to similar 42 characterization of metal-based nanoparticles when studying the functional effectiveness 43 and potential hazards of these nanoparticles.

44

45 Keywords:

Ag nanoparticles in nanomedicine, HF5 size analysis of AgNPs, HF5-MALS of metal nanoparticles, HF5 conformational studies of metal nanoparticles, HF5 metal release analysis of AgNPs, HF5 for nanorisk assessment

49

50 **1. INTRODUCTION**

51 Nanoparticles are interesting tools for various applications [1]. Thanks to their high 52 surface/volume ratio, they present a noticeably different activity with respect to that of 53 smaller compounds .

54 Sustainable development of the nanotechnologies, as well as in other relevant industrial applications must avoid any adverse effect on health of humans and environment exposed 55 56 to nanomaterials, thus justifying a close attention to safety issues. In particular, the 57 novel/revived attention on colloidal silver antimicrobial applications, used in food 58 packaging materials, food supplements, odor-resistant textiles, household appliances, 59 cosmetics and medical devices, water disinfectants, and room sprays applications, addresses to AgNPs the attention of European nano-safety research [2],[3]. Such 60 relevance is also justified by the fact that surface engineered metal nanoparticles find their 61 62 use also as therapeutic agents in drug delivery applications; moreover, their dimensions match that of biological building blocks, from proteins to organelles, leading to question 63 64 about interactions with living organisms [4][5]. The use of nanoparticles as drug carrier 65 may reduce the toxicity of the incorporated drug and the toxicity of the whole formulation is investigated. However, results of the nanoparticles alone are not often described, and a 66 67 discrimination between drug and nanoparticle toxicity cannot be made. A specific 68 emphasis on the toxicity of the "empty" non-drug loaded particles is instead particularly 69 important when slowly or non degradable particles (as metal nanoparticles) are used for 70 drug delivery since they might show persistence and accumulation on the site of the drug 71 delivery, eventually resulting in chronic inflammatory reactions.

72 The development of safer by design nanomaterials, based on surface engineering could effectively represent an inherent safety approach, able to design out hazard at the source. 73 Nevertheless, to ensure the effectiveness of such preventive measures, it is necessary to 74 75 perform a deep characterization of physicochemical properties, affecting biological and 76 functional properties, while a solid comprehension of mechanism leading nanoparticles 77 biological reactivity is required. In particular, the discrimination between different hazard 78 determining factors within and outside the complex biological matrix is fundamental in 79 order to establish strategies that can mitigate the risk. Such a goal is particularly important 80 when toxicity assessment of silver colloidal systems is addressed, since there is still an 81 ongoing debate about the mechanism by which AgNPs exert toxicity, and its consequential 82 antimicrobial effect [6]. Despite the common accepted mechanism for which the release of 83 cationic Ag represents the primary mechanism of antibacterial action, evidences of a 84 particle specific activity are also reported.

85 A technique able to perform the metal ions quantification and the characterization of silver 86 nanoparticles dispersed in aqueous media, is, for these reasons, strategic to better elucidate biological interaction mechanism and develop solutions to decrease health 87 88 impact by preserving antibacterial activity [7]. Since most of the studies are conducted 89 over commercially available nanosilver having limited, if any, control over AgNPs' size, morphology, degree of agglomeration and distribution between zerovalent (Ag⁰) and 90 91 cationic Aq (Aq⁺), free or adsorbed onto the surface, it is quite difficult to draw universally 92 accepted conclusions regarding the toxicity mechanism of nanosilver [8][9]. To investigate how and if nanoparticles may present harm for the environment and organisms, a 93 94 characterization of their behavior in environmental/physiological medium is required besides a characterization of their size, shape, activity and stability [10]. The most 95 96 common techniques used for NPs analysis in liquid media involve DLS (Dynamic Light 97 Scattering), chromophore counting, resonant light scattering and Raman scattering. High

98 resolution electron microscopy typically deals with the analysis of precipitates formed by drying the colloidal solution on a microscopic grid and often involves a cross sectional cut. 99 100 DLS does not provide any information on particle shape and density distribution and its 101 accuracy may be intrinsically limited in particular when used in complex samples [11]. 102 Static, multi-angle LS (MALS) gives independent information on the NP molar mass (Mr) 103 and root-mean-square (rms) radius values [12]. Consequently, it may provide information 104 on compactness ad shape of the NPs. Hyphenation of DLS or MALS detection with size-105 based separation methods represents a multidimensional platform that can then enhance 106 the accuracy of analysis of complex NPs samples.

Among separative techniques for nanodispersed analytes. Flow Field-Flow Fractionation 107 (F4) is increasingly employed as a mature separation method able to size-sort and isolate 108 109 NPs. Coupled with on-line uncorrelated detection methods including MALS, DLS, 110 absorbance and luminescence spectrophotometry, F4 is able to offer an multidimensional analytical platform for nanomaterials analysis providing size distribution analysis, 111 112 identification of aggregation phenomena, separation of the unbound constituents of the functional NPs, functional characterization of the NPs and correlation of spectroscopic 113 114 properties with NP size [13]. F4 is ideally suited to separate dispersed analytes over a broad size range, from nanometer to micrometer sized analytes based on their coefficient 115 116 diffusion and dimensions [14]. In addition to size fractionation, in F4 membranes also act 117 as in-line sample micro-purification/desalting membranes during the focus/relaxation step 118 used for the sample injection. During the analysis, samples smaller than membrane cut-off exit from it and can be collected from cross-flow line and analyzed using a technique able 119 120 to quantify them, such as flame absorption atomic spectroscopy. F4 can be used in two technical variants, the asymmetrical F4 (AF4) and the hollow fiber F4 (HF5). AF4 is the 121 122 most established technique for the analysis of structured NPs and it involves the use of a 123 rectangular capillary channel where one wall is constituted by an ultrafiltration membrane

to allow the passage of a cross-flow [15][16][17][18].The quantitative determination of metallic NPs using AF4 and MALS was already demonstrated for the characterization of gold NPs [19][20], while AF4-UV and AF4-ICP were used to characterize standard Silver nanoparticles [21]. Many applications of AF4 for NPs characterization in nanomedicine were also reviewed [22 and references therein].

HF5 is the miniaturized variant of the F4 technique and its use in the field of protein analysis have been widely reported [23]; on the other hand, methods for NPs characterization were still unexplored.

132 In HF5, the separation channel has a cylindrical geometry and consists in a HF membrane with porous walls made of polymeric or ceramic materials and the separation is performed 133 134 through an external hydrodynamic field (named cross-flow) applied perpendicularly to a 135 mobile phase flow with an ideally laminar (parabolic) flow profile (named longitudinal flow). 136 Sample components are hydrodynamically driven towards one wall (accumulation wall) of the channel and they move away from the wall due to diffusion, which creates a 137 138 counteracting motion. Smaller particles, which have a higher diffusion coefficient, move closer to the channel center where the longitudinal flow is faster. This results in an earlier 139 140 elution of smaller particles with respect to larger species. Due to the symmetry of the channel geometry, the driving force of the separation in HF5 is represented by a radial 141 142 flow (hence cross-flow) applied perpendicularly to the migration flow (axial/longitudinal 143 flow) with a cross flow density higher than that of AF4, leading to an increase in separation 144 efficiency. Down-scaling of the separation channel has proven to have important intrinsic features that lead to great potential in the bioanalytical field: the sample dilution is reduced 145 146 because of the low channel volume, and as a consequence sensitivity can be increased [24][25][26] and sample fractions can be easily collected for further analysis; in addition, 147 148 diluted samples can be injected and re-concentrated in shorter time [27] [28]. Moreover, 149 disposable usage of the separating channel eliminates sample carry-over or sample

contamination issues. Wyatt Technology Europe has recently commercialized Eclipse®
DUALTEC, instrumentation able to operate both with the HF5 and F4 with the same
system [29].

In this paper we describe a novel approach that combines HF5 with MALS for the size analysis of AgNPs dispersed in water. Due to the increased use of silver nanoparticles, analysis of potential residues and metabolites of these new pharmaceuticals in environmental, food and clinical materials represents a challenging task. Since the nanorisk is correlated to the nanoparticles dimension, shape and Ag+/Ag0 ratio, a method based on HF5-MALS able to determine the shape of the dispersed AgNPs in aqueous media and also to separate molar ion fraction to silver nanoparticles, was developed.

160 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

161 **2.1 AgNPs sample**

Aqueous colloidal nanosuspension (nanosol) of silver-polyvynilpyrrolidone nanoparticles
 (AqNPs 4 % wt) was provided by Colorobbia SpA (Italy).

164 **2.2 Standards**

Polystyrene nanoparticles (PS) of 50 nm and 102 nm diameter (Nanosphere Size Standards, Duke Scientific Corp.) were used as standards for the conformational analysis; since they are spherical and their structure can be assimilated to that of a random coil, a radius of gyration (r_g)/hydrodynamic radius (r_h) ratio of 0.77 is estimated. Thus the standards' calculated r_g are respectively 20 nm and 43 nm.

170 **2.3 AgNPs Ultrafiltration**

Ultrafiltration was carried out using Solvent-resistant Stirred Cell (Merck Millipore) with polymeric membrane with a pore size of 100 kDa, which was kept in slight overpressure (about 3 bar). The ultrafiltration system was able to retain AgNPs, while the solvent of nanosol containing synthesis by-products and cationic silver (Ag⁺) was removed. The vessel refilled with deionized water was treated for four times until the total removal of freecationic Ag⁺.

177 2.4 HF5-MALS instrumental setup

HF5 was performed by using an Agilent 1200 HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa
Clara, CA, USA) consisting in a degasser, an isocratic pump, an autosampler and a
variable wavelength UV detector, combined with an Eclipse® DUALTEC separation
system (Wyatt Technology Europe, Dernbach, Germany).

The HF5 channel (Wyatt Technology Europe) consisted of two sets of ferrules, gaskets 182 183 and cap nuts used to seal a polymeric hollow fiber inside a plastic cartridge. The scheme of the HF5 cartridge, its assembly and the modes of operation of the Eclipse® DUALTEC 184 185 system have already been described elsewhere [24]. The hollow fiber was a polyether-186 sulfone (PES) fiber, type FUS 0181 available from Microdyn-Nadir (Wiesbaden, Germany) 187 with the following characteristics: 0.8 mm ID, 1.3 mm OD, and 10 kDa M_w cut-off, corresponding to an average pore diameter of 5 nm. The HF5 channels used for the 188 189 experimental were a standard cartridge containing a 17 cm long fiber.

The ChemStation version B.04.02 (Agilent Technologies) data system for Agilent instrumentation was used to set and control the instrumentation and for the computation of various separation parameters. The software package Wyatt Eclipse @ ChemStation version 3.5.02 (Wyatt Technology Europe) was used to set and control the flow rate values and to move the focus position during the sample focus/concentration.

A 18-angle multiangle light scattering detector model DAWN HELEOS (Wyatt Technology Corporation, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) operating at a wavelength of 658 nm, was used to measure the radius of particles in solution. An Optilab rEX differential refractive index (dRI) detector (Wyatt Technology Corporation) operating at a wavelength of 658 nm was used on occasion as a concentration detector, when the capabilities of the UV detector were overcome by the complexity of the sample. ASTRA® software version 5.3.2.14 (Wyatt

Technology Corporation) was used to handle signals from the detectors (MALS, dRI and
 UV) and to compute the protein M_w and concentration values.

203 **2.5 HF5 methods**

204 An HF5 method is composed of few steps: focus, focus-injection, elution and elution-205 injection. During focus the mobile phase is split into two different streams entering from the fiber's inlet and outlet; during focus-injection, the flow settings are the same described in 206 the focus step while the sample is introduced into the channel through the inlet and 207 focalized in a narrow region. Then, in the elution step, the flow of mobile phase enters the 208 209 channel inlet and part of it comes out transversely (cross-flow); lastly, during elutioninjection, no cross-flow is applied (the flow is not split anymore), allowing for any remaining 210 211 sample inside the channel to be released; also, the flow is redirected in the injection line 212 as well to clean it before the next injection.

The flow conditions for the different HF5 analysis are shown in Table 1. Longitudinal flow is indicated as Vc, while cross/focus flow as Vx. In flow-injection analyses (FIA) neither focus nor cross-flow are applied, thus allowing all injected analytes to exit from the channel without retention.

217 A volume of AgNPs of 4µL was injected.

218

Steps →	Focus	Focus-injection	Elution	Elution-injection
↓Method	(mL/min)	(mL/min)	(mL/min)	(mL/min)
HF5	Vc=0.35 Vx=0.85 Time=2 min	Vc=0.35 Vx=0.85 Time=3 min	Vc=0.35 Vx=0.1 Time=12 min	Vc=0.35 Vx=0 Time=3 min
FIA	-	-	-	Vc=0.5 Vx=0 Time=3 min

219 Table 1. Flow conditions for F4 analyses

cationic Ag		Vx=1	-	-
collection		Vc=0 Time=12 min		

- 220 2.6 AgNPs size characterization
- 221 2.6.1 DLS analysis

222 AqNPs size distribution was determined at room temperature by Zetasizer Nanoseries 223 (Malvern Instruments, UK) providing the hydrodynamic diameter of suspended particles by 224 the DLS technique. The hydrodynamic diameter was expressed as D50, i.e. the median diameter at 50% in the cumulative distribution. DLS analysis also provides a polidispersity 225 226 Index parameter (PDI), ranging from 0 to 1, quantifying the colloidal dispersion degreePDI 227 values smaller than 0,05 are typical of highly monodispersed standards, while values 228 greater than 0,7 depict a broad particle size distribution that makes samples unsuitable for 229 DLS analysis. A mid-range PDI value between 0,05 and 0,7 usually ensures a proper 230 operating condition of the instrument. As for DLS analysis, AgNPs were dispersed in 231 deionized water at 0.13 mg/ml and homogenized on a vortex mixer for 30 seconds; the resulting dispersion pH was 4,5. A small sample volume (~1 mL) was subjected to three 232 consecutive measurements performed at 25° C and particle size distribution by intensity 233 234 was obtained by averaging these measurements.

235 2.6.2 TEM morphological investigation

The observation of morphology was made using a transmission electron microscope (TEM) in JEOL JEM-2100F multipurpose, high resolution, electron microscope with a field emission source operating between 80 and 200 kV for various level of magnifications. The nanoparticles were taken directly from the ultrafiltered nanosol and placed on TEM grids. The samples were then left to dry before loading in the TEM. Particle size and distribution were determined using image processing software on micrographs taken at around 200 kV emission field on multiple locations within the sample.

243 **2.7 Cationic Ag determination in NPs samples**

244 2.7.1 FAAS analysis

An AAnalyst400 (Perkin Elmer, Massachusetts, USA) flame atomic absorption spectrometer (FAAS) equipped with a silver hollow-cathode-lamp, operating at 328.0 nm, was used for quantitative analyses of solutions collected from the HF5 cross-flow line. The instrumental parameters (10 mA operating current, 2.7 nm bandwidth) were adjusted according the manufacturer's recommendations. Air (10 ml/min)-C2H2 (2.5 ml/min) flame was employed. Ultrapure MilliQ water and nitric acid 0.5M (HNO3 for trace analysis, ≥69%, Fluka) were used to dilute samples or standards in all the experiments.

Silver standard solutions, ranging from 0.2 mg/L to 5.0 mg/L, for FAAS calibration curve were obtained properly diluting a certificate solution of Ag (1002 ±2) mg/L (Merck, Germany). The calibration curve, achieved under the best instrumental conditions, shows a good linear correlation ($R^2 = 0.9972$). The equation Y = 0.0211 (± 0.0003) X + 0.0040 (± 0.0009) was obtained when repeating the calibration 14 times.

257 2.7.2 HF5 filtration of AgNPs – proof of concept

258 The Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) analysis of aqueous colloidal nanosuspension of the AgNPs characterized in this work estimates the total silver amount in 4% w/v. A part of 259 260 this silver amount is present in its ionic form and during the focus-inject step of HF5 analysis it passes through hollow fiber pores and can be collected and quantified. 261 262 Therefore as a proof of concept, solutions having cationic Ag concentrations of the same 263 order of that presumably contained into AgNPs' nanosol were first injected into the hollow fiber channel. The flows were selected in order to collect ionic silver from cross-flow line 264 during the focus-inject step according to Table 1. During HF5 analysis the sample is 265 266 diluted almost 1:10, hence we suppose that the nanosol injected could be in the order of 4000 ppm in Aq. In order to determine the best volume to collect from cross-flow line, 10 267 microL of a solution of AgNO3 (1904 ppm) were injected into HF5 system. This solution is 268 269 prepared diluting 1:2 a stock solution of 3908 ppm, obtained dissolving 59.94 mg (\pm 0.01) mg of AgNO₃ in 10 ml of HNO3 0.5M. Five 3 ml aliquots were collected from the cross flow line and analyzed by FAAS. For each of these aliquots, the concentration of silver was obtained by interpolating the absorbance signal on the calibration curve.

273 2.7.3 XRF

From the ultrafiltered sample the concentration of cationic Ag present in water filtrates was estimated by XRF (WDS - wavelength dispersive X-ray spectrometer) using a Panalytical Axios Advanced (Netherlands). The XRF results showed that the ultrafiltration process allowed removal of 50% of Ag (compared to the initial total amount of Ag), until reaching a plateau, corresponding to the amount of cationic Ag at equilibrium with Ag⁰ solid phase. The results showed that about 50% of Ag nanosol consists of nanoparticles and the remaining 50% is made of cationic Ag.

281

282 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First we developed methods for the size-fractionation of AgNPs which are robust and reproducible, and able to detect AgNPs in aqueous media with a satisfactory sensitivity in particular with HF5.

286 **3.1 HF5- MALS of AgNPs**

Sample was separated in HF5 using water as mobile phase in order to analyze samples in 287 288 their native formulation and to avoid potential modification due to fractionation conditions. 289 The HF5-MALS analysis of AgNPs obtained with flow conditions described in section 2.5 is reported in Figure 1. The fractionation shows no void peak, expected at min 5, for the 290 291 unretained species (such as unreacted reagents for sample preparation or small species 292 dimensionally comparable to channel membrane cut-off), and a retained peak a tR=8 min typical for the nanostructure. An rms value of 45 nm was evaluated for the NPs, with an 293 294 hydrodynamic radius of about 23 nm, indicating an rg /rh ratio of 1.7 that is typical for rod 295 conformation; as deeper discussed in the next paragraph

296 It's also possible to observe that when the flow field ends (tR=17 min) in the elution-297 injection step only a small LS signal is evident so all eluted samples are separated during 298 the HF5 analysis. The presence of a small light scattering signal and a non significant UV 299 signal (data not shown) indicate that no NPs species are released at the end of the 300 fractionation method. As for sample polydispersity, in a homogeneous (i.e., monodisperse) 301 sample its average radius is independent from the averaging method. Then, the ratio 302 between values obtained with different methods will be equal 1(i.e. polydispersity will 303 equal 1). If otherwise the sample contains a mixture of species of different gyration radii 304 (i.e., polydisperse sample) the average radius will depend on the averaging method and the polydispersity will be different from 1. In this case, the calculated polydispersity 305 306 resulted to be 1.002, indicating that the nanoparticles are highly monodispersed.

307 HF5 shows good fractionation/characterization results for NPs using water as mobile 308 phase, having both a high reproducibility and a limited dilution of the sample; this allows for the determination of AgNPs at low concentration and for the direct collection of 309 310 released metal and its quantification. For this purpose, in order to verify that cationic silver totally exits from the channel membrane, a FIA analysis and an analysis with applied 311 312 cross-flow line were performed using the methods reported in Table 1. No UV signal at 205 nm was recorded when the field is applied, confirming that in the fractionation analysis 313 314 cationic silver is filtered through the membrane pores during the focus-injection step (data 315 not shown).

316 **3.2 Morphological analysis of AgNPs**

From the HF5-MALS analysis of AgNPs an accurate conformational analysis of sampleswas performed.

In **HF5**, separation is performed between species presenting different diffusion coefficients. Being the diffusion coefficient of a particle directly linked to its hydrodynamic radius rh, a first dimensional information is obtained. **MALS** detection, on the other hand,

allows for the calculation of particles' average mean square radius r_g , which depends on particle shape and compactness. By correlating r_g and r_h it is possible to determine particles shape; more in detail, a r_g/r_h ratio of 1.7 is typical for rod structures, while a ratio of 0.77-0.8 is typical for random coils as PS standards are. Standard PS particles were separated under the same flow conditions and mobile phase (water) in order to confirm the RMS radius values obtained by HF5-MALS and have a direct comparison for hydrodynamic radius.

In Figure 2 the HF5-MALS analysis of PS standards and AgNPs is reported. In the same Figure the r_g values (determined from the MALS analysis) and r_h values (determined from the HF5 analysis) are also reported. At 7 min AgNPs particles are eluted and an r_g of 45 nm was evaluated; while at 7.8 min the 50 nm PS standard is eluted (r_h =25.5nm, r_g =20nm) and at 12 min the 102 nm PS standard is eluted (r_h =51nm, r_g =46nm).

A ratio of $r_g / r_h = 1.7$ was calculated suggesting a chain shape. The HF5-MALS morphological analysis suggests the presence of small aggregates of Ag nanoparticles in a chain arrangement, as confirmed also by TEM observation discussed in the subsequent section 3.3.

338 A chain shape is not very common among these materials, although some synthesis methods to form Ag nanowires in solution-phase and PVP presence have been presented 339 340 [30], but this could also be related to the lack of descriptivity obtainable with DLS, which 341 factors in the hydrodynamic radius alone, and TEM, where the analysis can be biased by sample handling. In fact, being this morphology related to an aggregation state, a soft 342 343 technique like field-flow fractionation can show the real appearance of the sample since 344 there are no stabilizers (like surfactants or additives which constituted the formulate) and 345 stressful steps are avoided. A tendency to form chain-like aggregates is however noticeable in TEM analyses, although it does not concern all the particles, as discussed in 346 347 paragraph 3.3.

348 **3.3 Size characterization**

Figures 3a and 3b the particle size distribution by Intensity and by Volume of AgNPs after 349 ultrafiltration obtained from DLS analysis are shown. From cumulants analysis, the mean 350 351 hydrodynamic diameter (or Z-average), rh of AgNPs has been measured to be around 100 nm with a PDI of 0,24. However for samples characterized by a multimodal size 352 353 distribution, the Intensity particle size distribution should be considered for the assignment of the size of each peak. AgNPs sample shows a bimodal size distribution with peaks 354 centered on 140 nm (Peak1, %PD=43) and 20 nm diameter (Peak2, %PD=14). The 355 356 intensity size distribution are really sensitive to the presence of aggregates and large particles, because scattered light intensity is proportional to the sixth power of their 357 358 diameter, thus to estimate the relative amount of each peak in the distribution, the Volume 359 particle size distribution has been considered. From this latter, the relative volume of the two populations at 140 and 20 nm resulted almost the same, being respectively 49% and 360 51%. 361

Figure 4 shows the typical morphology and the distribution of AgNPs obtained from 362 transmission electron microscopy. The sample is polydisperse and the particle size 363 364 histogram follows a skewed Gaussian distribution with a long tail towards larger particle size than the average particle size lying around 15-20 nm. Interestingly, the morphology 365 shows that while larger size aggregates (>40 nm, but on an average ~100 nm) are more or 366 367 less isolated the smaller size particles (<40 nm, but on an average ~15-20 nm) have a tendency to be linked to the extent of forming a chain shape aggregate. The latter 368 observation agrees with data obtained from HF5-MALS method. The inherent nature of 369 370 the differences in sample state and preparation technique must be taken into account when data from these entirely different techniques are compared especially for TEM for 371 372 which samples had to be sufficiently dry to allow this high vacuum microscopy technique, 373 pressure typically better than 10⁻⁶ Torr, to work.

374 **3.4 Ag release**

After the development of an HF5-MALS method for the characterization of AgNPs, its potential as an analytical step useful to the study of biological impact of NPs through the quantification of released metal in the environment was explored.

Purification from reagents of the AgNPs synthesis via membrane filtration during the focus-injection step of the analysis, and determination of silver release from AgNPs were then performed. A schematic view of the proposed method able to size separate NPs and isolate cationic Ag fraction as described in section 2.7.2 is reported in Figure 5.

382 Some experiments were performed in HF5 system in order to define operative conditions to guantify, with a good recovery, the ionic silver contained into a sample of nanoparticles 383 384 synthesized by an industrial process. As described in section 2.7 the standard solution of 385 AqNO₃ (1904 ppm) was analyzed with the HF5 method (cationic Aq collection) reported in 386 Table 1. Five aliguots of 3 ml were collected from cross-flow line and analyzed by FAAS. 387 For each one, silver concentration was obtained by interpolation of absorbance signal on the calibration curve. FAAS measurements indicated that a volume of 12 mL must be 388 collected since silver content of the latter fractions was under the limit of detection (data 389 390 not shown). The results showed the recovery of cationic silver was higher than 90% confirming that these conditions allow maximizing recovery of cationic silver collected from 391 392 the cross-flow line through HF5 membrane.

These experimental conditions were applied to dose cationic silver in a sample of AgNPs diluted 1:10 from batch. FAAS measurements indicated that the ionic silver amount in the sample is about 50% of the total. This value is consistent with the cationic Ag concentration determined, by XRF analysis, in samples obtained after ultrafiltration process, as reported in section 2.7.3.

Such result confirms the capability of HF5 technique in one-step process to separate ionic
 phase from solid one, allowing for a better correlation between physicochemical properties

and biological reactivity. A more sound comprehension of nanospecific biological reactivity
in fact will support mechanistic studies and allow the control of nanophase reactivity by
playing with surface engineering (safety by design approach).

403

404 4. CONCLUSIONS

405 On-line coupling of /HF5 with MALS appears to be an ideal, hyphenated methodology for 406 the simultaneous size-separation and characterization of AqNPs samples because they provide independent size information. The rh values determined by AF4/HF5 can be 407 408 correlated to the r_a values determined by MALS and information on particle shape and morphology can be obtained. All the analysis can be performed in aqueous media 409 410 providing fundamental information regarding the actual state of aggregation, size and 411 shape of nanoparticles in physiological media. This leads to more realistic assessment of 412 the risk posed by AqNPs to health, safety and the environment. In addition, the ability to 413 separate the Aq⁺ ions from AqNPs during the size analysis can be advantageous in 414 providing further guantification of its potential risk, which largely originate from the release of Aq⁺ ions. Further studies will be conducted to create a suitable protocol for analysis of 415 416 metal release through fiber filtration. Overall, the combinatorial approach described in this 417 article may significantly improve the characterization of metal-based nanoparticles in order 418 to study both their functional effectiveness and potential hazards.

419

420 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's SeventhFramework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) through the project SANOWORK under Grant Agreement n. 280716. The HRTEM has been made available under the INSPIRE programme, funded by Irish Government's Programme for Research in Third Level Institutions, Cycle 4, National Development Plan 2007-2013, which is

426 supported by European Union Structural Fund. Drs. Abbasi Gandhi and Vishnu Mogili of
427 the University of Limerick are acknowledged for generating HRTEM data.

428

429 6. COMPETING INTEREST

Andrea Zattoni, Barbara Roda and Pierluigi Reschiglian are associates of the academic
spinoff company byFlow Srl (Bologna, Italy). The company mission includes know-how
transfer, development, and application of novel technologies and methodologies for the
analysis and characterization of samples of nano-biotechnological interest.

435

436

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: HF5-MALS analyses of AgPVP nanoparticles in water. Light scattering signal at 437 438 90° and r_g values determined with MALS detector are reported. Figure 2: HF5-MALS of AgPVP nanoparticles and PS. Light scattering signal at 90° and rg 439 values determined with MALS detector are reported for AgNPs (gray lines) and PS 440 441 standards (brown lines). 442 Figure 3: DLS Particle size distribution by Intensity (a) and by Volume (b) for ultrafiltered 443 AgPVP nanoparticles dispersed in water at 0,13 mg/ml (pH = 4,5). Figure 4: TEM micrograph (over) and a histogram of the mean diameter of sample AgNPs 444 445 after ultrafiltration (below). 446 Figure 5: Schematic view of an on-line, one-step Ag+ filtration and particles purification 447 with HF5: (a) Cationic Ag filtration during focus-injection and NPs relaxation, (b) AgNPs 448 size-separation and fractions collection. 449 450 451 452 453 REFERENCES [1] US Nanotechnology Initiative, www.nano.gov/nni2.htm

[2] S.W.P. Wijnhoven, W.J.G.M. Peijnenburg, C.A. Herberts, W.I. Hagens, A.G. Oomen,
E.H.W. Heugens, B. Roszek, J. Bisschops, I. Gosens, D. Van De Meent, S. Dekkers, W.H.
De Jong, M. van Zijverden, A.J.A.M. Sips, R. E. Geertsma, Nano-silver – a review of
available data and knowledge gaps in human and environmental risk assessment,
Nanotoxicology 3(2) (2009) 109-138

[3] SCENIHR (Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks),

Nanosilver: safety, health and environmental effects and role in antimicrobial resistance, 2014

[4] M.N. Moore, Environmental Risk Management - the State of the Art Environment International 32(8) (2006) 967–976

[5] P.H.M. Hoet, I. Brüske-Hohlfeld, O.V. Salata, Nanoparticles — known and unknown health risks, J. Nanobiotechnol. 2(12) (2004) 1-15

[6] G.A. Sotiriou, S.E. Pratsinis, Antibacterial Activity of Nanosilver Ions and Particles,

Environ. Sci. Technol. 44 (2010) 5649-5654

[7] M. Auffan, J. Rose, M.R Wiesner, J-Y. Bottero, Chemical stability of metallic

nanoparticles: A parameter controlling their potential cellular toxicity in vitro, Environmental Pollution 157(4) (2009) 1127–1133

[8] X. Chen, H.J. Schluesener, Nanosilver: A nanoproduct in medical application,

Toxicology Letters 176(1) (2008) 1-12

[9] C.N.Lok, C.M. Ho, R. Chen, Q.Y. He, W.Y. Yu, H. Sun, P.K.H. Tam, J.F. Chiu, C.M.

Che, Silver nanoparticles: partial oxidation and antibacterial activities, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.

12 (2007) 527–534

[10] EUROPEAN COMMISSION HEALTH & CONSUMER PROTECTION

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL Directorate C - Public Health and Risk Assessment C7 - Risk

assessment; SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE ON EMERGING AND NEWLY IDENTIFIED

HEALTH RISKS (SCENIHR); The appropriateness of existing methodologies to assess

the potential risks associated with engineered and adventitious products of

nanotechnologies, 2005

[11]Helmut Hinterwirth1 Susanne K. Wiedmer, Maria Moilanen, Angela Lehner, Gunter Allmaier, Thomas Waitz, Wolfgang Lindner, Michael Lammerhofer Comparative method evaluation for size and size-distribution analysis of gold nanoparticles J. Sep. Sci. 2013, 36, 2952–2961

[12] P.J. Wyatt. Light scattering and the absolute characterization of macromolecules,

Anal. Chim. Acta. 272(1) (1993) 1–40

[13] P. Reschiglian, D.C. Rambaldi, A. Zattoni, Flow field-flow fractionation with multiangle

light scattering detection for the analysis and characterization of functional nanoparticles,

Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 399 (2011) 197-203

[14] J.C. Giddings, Field-flow fractionation: analysis of macromolecular, colloidal, and particulate materials, Science 260 (1993) 1456-1465

[15] D. Roessner, W.M. Kulicke, On-line coupling of flow field-flow fractionation and multiangle laser light scattering, J. Chromatogr. A 687 (1994) 249-258

[16] H. Thielking, D. Roessner, W.M. Kulicke, Online Coupling of Flow Field-Flow

Fractionation and Multiangle Laser Light Scattering for the Characterization of Polystyrene

Particles, Anal. Chem. 67 (1995) 3229-3233

[17] P.J. Wyatt, Submicrometer particle sizing by multiangle light scattering following fractionation, J. Colloid. Interf. Sci. 197 (1998) 9-20

[18] A. Zattoni, D. Rambaldi, P. Reschiglian, M. Melucci, S. Krol, A. M. Coto Garcia, A.

Sanz-Medel, D. Roessner, C. Johann, Asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation with multi-

angle light scattering detection for the analysis of structured nanoparticles, J. Chromatogr.

A 1216 (2009) 9106-9112

[19]B. Schmidt, K. Loeschner, N. Hadrup, A. Mortensen, J. J. Sloth, C. Bender Koch,

and E. H. Larsen., Quantitative Characterization of Gold Nanoparticles by Field-Flow

Fractionation Coupled Online with Light Scattering Detection and Inductively Coupled

Plasma Mass Spectrometry, Anal. Chem.83, (2011), 2461–2468

[20] L. Calzolai , D. Gilliland, C. Pascual Garcia, F. Rossi, Separation and characterization of gold nanoparticle mixtures by flow-field-flow fractionation, J Chrom A 1218(27), (2011), 4234–4239

[21] O. Geiss, C. Cascio, D. Gilliland, F. Franchini, J. Barrero-Moreno, Size and mass determination of silver nanoparticles in an aqueous matrix using asymmetric flow field flow fractionation coupled to inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer and ultraviolet– visible detectors, J Chrom A 1321, (2013), 100–108

[22] A. Zattoni, B. Roda, F. Borghi, V. Marassi, P. Reschiglian, Flow field-flow fractionation for the analysis of nanoparticles used in drug delivery., J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 87 (2014) 53-61

[23] P. Reschiglian, B. Roda, A. Zattoni, M. Tanase, V. Marassi, S. Serani, Hollow-fiber flow field-flow fractionation with multi-angle laser scattering detection for aggregation studies of therapeutic proteins, Anal. Bioanal. Chem.. 406(6) (2014) 1619-1627
[24] P. Reschiglian, A. Zattoni, L. Cinque, B. Roda, F. Dal Piaz, A. Roda, M.H. Moon, B.R. Min, On-Line Hollow-Fiber Flow Field-Flow Fractionation-Electrospray Ionization/Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry of Intact Proteins, Anal. Chem. 76 (2004) 2103-2111
[25] A. Roda, D. Parisi, M. Guardigli, A. Zattoni, P. Reschiglian, Combined approach to the analysis of recombinant protein drugs using hollow-fiber flow field-flow fractionation, mass spectrometry, and chemiluminescence detection, Anal. Chem. 78 (2006)1085-1092
[26] A. Zattoni, D.C: Rambaldi, B. Roda, D. Parisi, A. Roda, M.H. Moon, P. Reschiglian, Hollow-fiber flow field-flow fractionation of whole blood serum, J. Chromatogr. A 1183 (2008) 135-142

[27] A. Zattoni, D.C. Rambaldi , S. Casolari , B. Roda, P. Reschiglian, Tandem hollow-fiber flow field-flow fractionation, J Chrom A. 1218(27), (2011) ,4132-4137

[28] A. Zattoni, S. Casolari, D.C. Rambaldi, P. Reschiglian, Hollow-Fiber Flow Field-Flow Fractionation, Curr. Anal. Chem. 3(4) (2007) 310-323

[29] C. Johann, S. Elsenberg, U. Roesch, D.C. Rambaldi, A. Zattoni, P. Reschiglian, A novel approach to improve operation and performance in flow field-flow fractionation, J. Chromatogr. A 1218 (2011) 4126-4131

[30] X. Gu, C. Nie, Y. Lai, C. Lin, Synthesis of silver nanorods and nanowires by tartratereduced route in aqueous solutions, Materials Chemistry and Physics 96 (2006) 217–222













